

Estimating a periodicity parameter in the drift of a time inhomogeneous diffusion

R. Höpfner, Johannes Gutenberg Universität Mainz

Yu. Kutoyants, Université du Maine, Le Mans

Abstract: We consider a diffusion $(\xi_t)_{t \geq 0}$ whose drift contains some deterministic periodic signal. Its shape being fixed and known, up to scaling in time, the periodicity of the signal is the unknown parameter ϑ of interest. We consider sequences of local models at ϑ , corresponding to continuous observation of the process ξ on the time interval $[0, n]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, with suitable choice of local scale at ϑ . Our tools –under an ergodicity condition– are path segments of ξ corresponding to the period ϑ , and limit theorems for certain functionals of the process ξ which are not additive functionals.

When the signal is smooth, with local scale $n^{-3/2}$ at ϑ , we have local asymptotic normality (LAN) in the sense of Le Cam (1969). When the signal has a finite number of discontinuities, with local scale n^{-2} at ϑ , we obtain a limit experiment of different type, studied by Ibragimov and Khasminskii (1981), where smoothness of the parametrization (in the sense of Hellinger distance) is Hölder $\frac{1}{2}$.

Key words: diffusion processes, inhomogeneity in time, continuous signals, discontinuous signals, periodicity, localization, limit experiment.

MSC: 62 F 12 , 60 J 60

Write P^ϑ for the law on $(C([0, \infty)), \mathcal{C}([0, \infty)))$ of the solution to the SDE

$$(1) \quad d\xi_t^\vartheta = \left[S(\vartheta, t) + b(\xi_t^\vartheta) \right] dt + \sigma(\xi_t^\vartheta) dW_t \quad , \quad t \geq 0 \quad , \quad \xi_0^\vartheta = x_0$$

whose drift involves a periodic deterministic signal $t \rightarrow S(\vartheta, t)$ governed by an unknown parameter

$$(2) \quad S(\vartheta, t) := S_0\left(\frac{1}{\vartheta} t\right) \quad , \quad \vartheta \in \Theta := (0, \infty) \quad , \quad t \geq 0$$

where the 1-periodic function $S_0(\cdot)$ is fixed and known. By (2), the signal $t \rightarrow S(\vartheta, t)$ contained in the drift of the process (1) is ϑ -periodic under P^ϑ .

A special case of our problem (1)+(2) is the 'signal in white noise' model $b(\cdot) \equiv 0$, $\sigma(\cdot) \equiv 1$ for which Ibragimov and Khasminskii [IH 81, pp. 209] proved local asymptotic normality at rate $n^{-3/2}$ when observing the process under unknown ϑ up to time n , provided the signal $S_0(\cdot)$ is smooth. Their approach was combined with L^2 -methods by Golubev [G 88] to estimate the period ϑ together

with the shape of the signal, at the same rate $n^{-3/2}$. Based on this, estimation of the shape of the signal under unknown periodicity was considered by Castillo, Lévy-Leduc and Matias [CLM 06] who prove nonparametric rates over nonparametric function classes. We will not go in the last mentioned directions. For discontinuous signals, the features are essentially different. Local scale is n^{-2} , and a different type of limit experiment arises, also studied in [IH 81]: likelihoods between laws \tilde{P}_h and \tilde{P}_0 in the limit model $\{\tilde{P}_h : h \in \mathbb{R}\}$ are of type $\exp\{\tilde{W}(h) - \frac{1}{2}|h|\}$ for double-sided Brownian motion $(\tilde{W}(h))_{h \in \mathbb{R}}$. This limit model has a number of interesting properties: in particular, for quadratic loss, the Bayes estimator in the limit experiment is better than the maximum likelihood estimator ([IH 81, p. 342], [RS 95]). Note that for the 'signal in white noise' setting $b(\cdot) \equiv 0$, $\sigma(\cdot) \equiv 1$, the observed process is always a Gaussian process, hence specific techniques for Gaussian processes are available which do not carry over to the problem (1)+(2).

To illustrate the type of difficulty which arises with nontrivial drift and diffusion coefficient, we anticipate and mention one typical problem of convergence which arises when we consider asymptotics of likelihoods. Take the example of discontinuous $S_0(\cdot)$ defined from 1-periodic continuation of

$$S_0(t) := 1_{]r_1, r_2]}(t) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1$$

with given jump times $0 < r_1 < r_2 < 1$. Based on continuous observation of (1) under unknown ϑ up to time n , the local structure of log-likelihoods close to ϑ , with local scale n^{-2} and local parameter h at ϑ , makes appear objects like

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{\vartheta} \rfloor} \int_{(\vartheta + hn^{-2})(k+r_i)}^{\vartheta(k+r_i)} \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\xi_s^\vartheta)} ds \quad \text{if } h < 0 \quad , \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{\vartheta} \rfloor} \int_{\vartheta(k+r_i)}^{(\vartheta + hn^{-2})(k+r_i)} \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\xi_s^\vartheta)} ds \quad \text{if } h > 0 \quad .$$

If $\sigma(\cdot) \equiv 1$ is constant, there is nothing to prove, the above quantities being deterministic and close to $|h| \cdot \frac{1}{2\vartheta^2}$. For nonconstant $\sigma(\cdot)$, proving that indeed the above quantities converge in P^ϑ -probability as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to some deterministic limit which contains a factor $|h|$, under suitable assumptions on the process under ϑ , requires quite some work: the proofs will have to exploit the periodicity structure of the process in order to work with 'ergodic properties', will have to control fluctuations of the process ξ^ϑ over the above intervals of integration $[(\vartheta + hn^{-2})(k+r_i), \vartheta(k+r_i)]$ or $[\vartheta(k+r_i), (\vartheta + hn^{-2})(k+r_i)]$ whose length is $\approx \frac{1}{n^2}k$, and so on. Hence, proving convergence of likelihood ratios in the problem (1)+(2) is very different from what one does in the 'signal in white noise' model.

In this paper, we will consider sequences of local models at ϑ in the problem (1)+(2), corresponding to continuous observation of the process (1) under ϑ on the time interval $[0, n]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, with suitable choice of local scale at ϑ . Our tools –under an ergodicity condition– are path segments of ξ

corresponding to the period ϑ which form a time homogeneous Markov chain of path segments, and limit theorems for certain functionals of the process ξ which are not additive functionals.

When the signal is smooth, with local scale $n^{-3/2}$ at ϑ , we will prove in theorem 1.1 below local asymptotic normality (LAN) in the sense of Le Cam [L 69] (studied intensely and under a broad range of aspects by many authors, see e.g. Hajek and Sidak [HS 67], Hajek [H 70], Ibragimov and Khasminskii [IH 81], Strasser [85], Davies [85], Le Cam and Yang [LY 90], Pfanzagl [P 94], Witting and Müller-Funk [WM 95], Kutoyants [K 98], van der Vaart [V 98], Kutoyants [K 04], Liese and Miescke [08]). In the limit experiment, we have one estimator for the local parameter –the central statistics– which minimizes the risk simultaneously under a broad class of loss functions.

When the signal is discontinuous, with local scale n^{-2} at ϑ , we will prove in theorem 1.2 below convergence of the log-likelihoods in local models at ϑ to a limit experiment of type

$$\left\{ \tilde{P}_h : h \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \quad \text{with likelihoods} \quad \tilde{L}^{h/0} = \exp \left\{ \widetilde{W}(h) - \frac{1}{2}|h| \right\}$$

where $(\widetilde{W}(h))_{h \in \mathbb{R}}$ is double-sided Brownian motion. This limit experiment, studied by Ibragimov and Khasminskii for discontinuous signals in white noise [IH 81, sections VII.2–3] and in the sequel by several authors in various contexts (see e.g. Golubev [G 79], Deshayes and Picard [DP 84], Rubin and Song [RS 95], Küchler and Kutoyants [KK 00], Kutoyants [K 04, section 3.4], Dachian [D 10], Höpfner and Kutoyants [HK 10]), is very different from the previous one: the smoothness of the likelihood as a function of the parameter h is the smoothness of the Brownian path, the smoothness of parametrization in the sense of Hellinger distance is Hölder $\frac{1}{2}$ (i.e.: Hellinger distances between $\tilde{P}_{h'}$ and \tilde{P}_h are of order $|h' - h|^{1/2}$ as $h' \rightarrow h$), only loss-function-specific minimax results are known, and a squared loss Bayes estimator is strictly better than the maximum likelihood estimator (where better means: with respect to squared loss); no tool is known which permits to compare the minimax bounds related to different loss functions.

1. Main results

For the problem (1)+(2), we assume Lipschitz conditions on $b(\cdot)$ and $\sigma(\cdot)$, and have (e.g. [KS 91]) for every $\vartheta \in \Theta$ a unique strong solution of (1). The starting point x_0 in (1) is fixed and does not vary with ϑ . Our main assumption on the process will be

(H2) : for every $\vartheta \in \Theta$, the ϑ -grid chain $(\xi_{k\vartheta}^\vartheta)_k$ under ϑ is positive Harris recurrent .

As an example, condition **(H2)** holds for piecewise continuous $S_0(\cdot)$ in case where the process without signal is an ergodic Ornstein Uhlenbeck process ([HK 10, example 2.3], [DFK 10]). Under **(H2)**, we write μ^ϑ for the (unique) invariant law of the ϑ -grid chain $(\xi_{k\vartheta}^\vartheta)_k$ under ϑ . We will work under either 'smooth signal' hypotheses

(H0) : the 1-periodic function $S_0(\cdot)$ in (2) is \mathcal{C}^2 on $[0, \infty)$

(H1) : $\sigma(\cdot)$ is bounded away from 0

or 'discontinuous signal' hypotheses

(H0') : $S_0(\cdot)$ is 1-periodic, piecewise continuous, and Lipschitz between the jumps

(H1') : $\sigma(\cdot)$ is bounded away from both 0 and ∞

and stress that **(H0')** deals with discontinuous functions $S_0(\cdot)$ with finitely many jumps on $(0, 1]$.

Let $(C([0, \infty)), \mathcal{C}([0, \infty)), \mathbb{F})$ denote the canonical path space for solutions of (1) and $\eta = (\eta_t)_{t \geq 0}$ the canonical process; i.e. $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ where \mathcal{F}_t is generated by observation of η up to time t . $(P_{s,t}^\vartheta)_{0 \leq s < t < \infty}$ denotes the semigroup of transition probabilities of the process (1) under ϑ . Write P_t^ϑ for the restriction of P^ϑ to \mathcal{F}_t . Let $L^{\zeta/\vartheta} = (L_t^{\zeta/\vartheta})_{t \geq 0}$ denote the likelihood ratio process of P^ζ to P^ϑ relative to the filtration \mathbb{F} (cf. [LS 81], [JS 87], [K 04]):

$$(3) \quad L^{\zeta/\vartheta} = \mathcal{E}_\vartheta \left(\int_0^\cdot \frac{S(\zeta, t) - S(\vartheta, t)}{\sigma^2(\eta_t)} dm_t^{(\vartheta)} \right) = \mathcal{E}_\vartheta \left(\int_0^\cdot \frac{S(\zeta, t) - S(\vartheta, t)}{\sigma(\eta_t)} dB_t^{(\vartheta)} \right)$$

where $m^{(\vartheta)}$ is the martingale part of the canonical process under P^ϑ , and where $B^{(\vartheta)} := \int_0^\cdot \frac{1}{\sigma(\eta_s)} dm_s^{(\vartheta)}$ is a $(\mathbb{F}, P^\vartheta)$ -Brownian motion. We shall consider the sequence of experiments

$$(4) \quad \left(C([0, \infty)), \mathcal{F}_n, \left\{ P_n^\zeta : \zeta \in \Theta \right\} \right) \quad , \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

locally in small neighbourhoods of some fixed $\vartheta \in \Theta$.

Under 'smooth signal' hypotheses, the limit of local models (5) at ϑ , with local scale $n^{-3/2}$ (thus essentially faster than the usual $n^{-1/2}$ in time homogenous ergodic diffusions, and also essentially faster than the rate $n^{-1/2}$ in [HK 11] when the drift contains a parametrized continuous signal of known periodicity), will be the well known Gaussian shift model. The following is a 2nd Le Cam lemma (in the language of Hajek and Sidak [HS 67]) for estimation of the periodicity in the problem (1)+(2), for smooth signals.

1.1 Theorem : Under hypotheses **(H0)**+**(H1)**+**(H2)** consider the sequence of experiments (4) and local models at $\vartheta \in \Theta$

$$(5) \quad \left\{ P_n^{\vartheta+n^{-3/2}h} : h \in \Theta_{\vartheta,n} \right\} \quad , \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

where $\Theta_{\vartheta,n} := \{h \in \mathbb{R} : \vartheta + n^{-3/2}h \in \Theta\}$.

a) For every $\vartheta \in \Theta$, we have LAN at ϑ with local scale $n^{-3/2}$ and Fisher information

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{I}^{(\vartheta)} = \frac{1}{3\vartheta^4} \int_0^1 dv [S'_0]^2(v) [\mu^\vartheta P_{0,v\vartheta}^\vartheta](\frac{1}{\sigma^2}) .$$

b) For every $\vartheta \in \Theta$, for arbitrary bounded sequences $(h_n)_n$ in \mathbb{R}^d , we have a quadratic decomposition of log-likelihood ratios

$$(7) \quad \log L_n^{(\vartheta+n^{-3/2}h_n)/\vartheta} = h_n \Delta_n^{(\vartheta)} - \frac{1}{2} h_n^2 \mathcal{I}^{(\vartheta)} + o_{P^\vartheta}(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

with score

$$(8) \quad \Delta_n^{(\vartheta)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n^3}} \int_0^n \frac{\dot{S}(\vartheta, s)}{\sigma(\eta_s)} dB_s^{(\vartheta)} = \frac{-\vartheta^{-2}}{\sqrt{n^3}} \int_0^n \frac{s S'_0(\frac{1}{\vartheta} s)}{\sigma(\eta_s)} dB_s^{(\vartheta)}$$

such that

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{L}(\Delta_n^{(\vartheta)} \mid P^\vartheta) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, \mathcal{I}^{(\vartheta)}) \quad (\text{weak convergence in } \mathbb{R}, n \rightarrow \infty) .$$

In the special case $\sigma(\cdot) \equiv 1$, the Fisher information (6) coincides with [G 88, p. 289] and [IH 81, p. 209]. Theorem 1.1 will be proved at the end of section 2 below.

Under 'discontinuous signal' hypotheses, the rate is n^{-2} (thus essentially faster than the rate n^{-1} in [K 04, section 3.4] for time homogenous ergodic diffusions where the drift has jumps at parameter dependent positions, and also essentially faster than the rate n^{-1} in [HK 10] for time inhomogenous periodic settings with known periodicity where a signal in the drift has jumps at parameter dependent positions), and the nature of the limit experiment, see above, is very different from the Gaussian shift in theorem 1.1. Below, $(\widetilde{W}(u))_{u \in \mathbb{R}}$ is double sided standard Brownian motion.

1.2 Theorem : Under hypotheses **(H0')**+**(H1')**+**(H2)** consider the sequence of experiments (4) and local models at $\vartheta \in \Theta$

$$(10) \quad \left\{ P_n^{\vartheta+n^{-2}h} : h \in \Theta_{\vartheta,n} \right\} \quad , \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

where $\Theta_{\vartheta,n} := \{h \in \mathbb{R} : \vartheta + n^{-2}h \in \Theta\}$. For all $\vartheta \in \Theta$, we have convergence under P^ϑ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ of

$$\left(L_n^{(\vartheta+n^{-2}h)/\vartheta} \right)_{h \in \Theta_{\vartheta,n}}$$

in the sense of finite-dimensional distributions to

$$(11) \quad \left(\tilde{L}^{h/0} \right)_{h \in \mathbb{R}} \quad , \quad L^{h/0} := \exp \left\{ \widetilde{W}(h J(\vartheta, r, \rho)) - \frac{1}{2} |h| J(\vartheta, r, \rho) \right\}$$

where the scaling constant

$$(12) \quad J(\vartheta, r, \rho) := \frac{1}{2\vartheta^2} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \rho_j^2 [\mu^\vartheta P_{0,r_j,\vartheta}^\vartheta] \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)$$

depends on the collection $r = (r_1, \dots, r_\ell)$ of jump times and $\rho = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_\ell)$ of jump heights in the 1-periodic signal $S_0(\cdot)$.

Theorem 1.2 will be proved at the end of section 3 below.

2. Proofs: laws of large numbers, application to smooth signals

We start with those parts of the proofs which are common to theorems 1.1 and 1.2; at the end of this section, we will prove theorem 1.1.

Let the 1-periodic function $S_0(\cdot)$ be piecewise continuous on $[0, 1]$. Under P^ϑ , we have a ϑ -periodic structure in the semigroup associated to the canonical process $(\eta_t)_{t \geq 0}$

$$(13) \quad P_{s,t}^\vartheta(x, dy) = P_{s+k\vartheta, t+k\vartheta}^\vartheta(x, dy) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s < t < \infty, \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } s + k\vartheta \geq 0$$

and thus can define on the canonical path space $(C([0, \infty)), \mathcal{C}([0, \infty)))$ for solutions of (1)

- a Markov chain \mathbb{X}^ϑ of path segments

$$\mathbb{X}^\vartheta = \left(\mathbb{X}_k^\vartheta \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \quad , \quad \mathbb{X}_k^\vartheta := \left(\eta_{(k-1)\vartheta+s} \right)_{0 \leq s \leq \vartheta}$$

which takes values in the space $(C([0, \vartheta]), \mathcal{C}([0, \vartheta]))$ of continuous functions $[0, \vartheta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (starting from $\mathbb{X}_0^\vartheta \equiv \alpha_0$, for some $\alpha_0 \in C([0, \vartheta])$ with terminal value $\alpha_0(\vartheta) = x_0$);

- a grid chain X^ϑ

$$X^\vartheta = (X_k^\vartheta)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \quad , \quad X_k^\vartheta := \eta_{k\vartheta}$$

which both are time homogeneous Markov chains under P^ϑ , by (13). Condition **(H2)** implies as in [HK 10, section 2] that the segment chain \mathbb{X}^ϑ is positive Harris recurrent under P^ϑ . We write m^ϑ for the invariant measure on $(C([0, \vartheta]), \mathcal{C}([0, \vartheta]))$ of the segment chain which is determined uniquely from

$$(14) \quad \begin{cases} \text{for arbitrary } 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_\ell < t_{\ell+1} = \vartheta \text{ and } A_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) , \\ m^\vartheta(\{\pi_{t_i} \in A_i, 0 \leq i \leq \ell+1\}) \text{ is given by} \\ \int \dots \int \mu^\vartheta(dx_0) 1_{A_0}(x_0) \prod_{i=0}^{\ell} P_{t_i, t_{i+1}}^\vartheta(x_i, dx_{i+1}) 1_{A_{i+1}}(x_{i+1}) . \end{cases}$$

Moreover, as a consequence of **(H2)**, we have the following strong law of large numbers ([HK 10, theorem 2.1]): for every $\vartheta \in \Theta$ and every increasing process $A^\vartheta = (A_t^\vartheta)_{t \geq 0}$ with the property

$$(15) \quad \begin{cases} \text{there is some function } F^\vartheta : C([0, \vartheta]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{ nonnegative, } \mathcal{C}([0, \vartheta])\text{-measurable,} \\ \text{satisfying } m^\vartheta(F^\vartheta) := \int_{C([0, \vartheta])} F^\vartheta(\alpha) m^\vartheta(d\alpha) < \infty , \text{ such that} \\ A_{k\vartheta}^\vartheta = \sum_{j=1}^k F^\vartheta(\mathbb{X}_j^\vartheta) = \sum_{j=1}^k F^\vartheta((\eta_{(k-1)\vartheta+s})_{0 \leq s \leq \vartheta}) , \ k \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

we have P^ϑ -almost surely

$$(16) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k F^\vartheta(\mathbb{X}_j^\vartheta) = m^\vartheta(F^\vartheta) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} A_t^\vartheta = \frac{1}{\vartheta} m^\vartheta(F^\vartheta) .$$

On this basis, we have the following.

2.1 Lemma: Assume **(H2)** and $\sigma(\cdot)$ strictly positive. For any function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which is measurable, 1-periodic, bounded, for every $\vartheta \in \Theta$, define an increasing process A^ϑ by

$$(17) \quad A_t^\vartheta := \int_0^t \frac{f(\frac{1}{\vartheta}s)}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} ds \quad , \quad t \geq 0 .$$

Then we have P^ϑ -almost surely as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$\frac{1}{t} A_t^\vartheta \longrightarrow \int_0^1 dv f(v) [\mu^\vartheta P_{0, v\vartheta}^\vartheta](\frac{1}{\sigma^2}) =: C(\vartheta, f)$$

(where the limit may take the value $+\infty$).

Proof: Fix $\vartheta \in \Theta$. Assume first that $\sigma(\cdot)$ is bounded away from 0. Define a σ -finite measure on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$

$$\Lambda^\vartheta(ds) := f(\frac{1}{\vartheta}s) ds$$

which is ϑ -periodic in the sense that $\Lambda^\vartheta(B) = \Lambda^\vartheta(B + k\vartheta)$ for $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and a functional

$$F^\vartheta : C([0, \vartheta]) \ni \alpha \longrightarrow \int_0^\vartheta \Lambda^\vartheta(ds) \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\alpha(s))} < \infty .$$

For A^ϑ defined in (17) we have as in (15)

$$A_{k\vartheta}^\vartheta = \sum_{j=1}^k F^\vartheta(\mathbb{X}_j^\vartheta) \ , \ k \geq 1$$

and apply the strong law of large numbers (16). Calculating

$$m^\vartheta(F^\vartheta) = \int_0^\vartheta \Lambda^\vartheta(ds) \int_{C([0,\vartheta])} m^\vartheta(d\alpha) \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2(\alpha(s))} \right) = \int_0^\vartheta \Lambda^\vartheta(ds) \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\mu^\vartheta P_{0,s}^\vartheta](dy) \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2(y)} \right)$$

the limit of $\frac{1}{t} A_t^\vartheta$ under P^ϑ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$\frac{1}{\vartheta} m^\vartheta(F^\vartheta) = \frac{1}{\vartheta} \int_0^\vartheta ds f\left(\frac{1}{\vartheta} s\right) [\mu^\vartheta P_{0,s}^\vartheta] \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)$$

equals $C(\vartheta, f)$ as asserted. The lemma is proved when $\sigma(\cdot)$ is bounded away from 0; in the general case we replace σ by $\sigma \wedge \delta$, $\delta > 0$, and let δ tend to 0. \square

In the following, we will assume that $\sigma(\cdot)$ is bounded away from 0; this guarantees for finite limits $C(\vartheta, f)$ under arbitrary ϑ whenever f is bounded. In the present section, this is merely for convenience, but will be essential in section 3 below (in steps 5) and 6) of the proof of lemma 3.1).

2.2 Lemma: Assume **(H1)**+**(H2)**, consider $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ measurable, 1-periodic, and bounded. Consider a function $H : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which is càdlàg increasing, and which varies regularly at ∞ with index $\rho > 0$ ([BGT 87]). Then for every $\vartheta \in \Theta$

$$\frac{1+\rho}{t H(t)} \int_0^t H(s) \frac{f(\frac{1}{\vartheta} s)}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} ds \longrightarrow C(\vartheta, f) \quad P^\vartheta\text{-almost surely as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

where the limit is $C(\vartheta, f)$, as defined in lemma 2.1, is finite.

Proof: We have from [BGT 87, theorem 1.6.4 on p. 33]

$$(18) \quad \int_{t_0}^t s dH(s) \sim \frac{\rho}{1+\rho} t H(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

for arbitrary $0 < t_0 < \infty$ fixed. Fix $\vartheta \in \Theta$. The paths of $t \rightarrow A_t^\vartheta$ defined in (17) being continuous, Stieltjes product formula gives

$$\int_0^t H(s) dA_s^\vartheta = H(t) A_t^\vartheta - \int_0^t A_s^\vartheta dH(s)$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Both terms on the r.h.s. are of order $tH(t)$, as a consequence of P^ϑ -almost sure convergence of $\frac{1}{t} A_t^\vartheta$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ according to lemma 2.1: for the first term, this is obvious since

$$A_t^\vartheta H(t) \sim C(\vartheta, f) t H(t) \quad P^\vartheta\text{-almost surely as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

by lemma 2.1; for the second term, we deduce from (18) and lemma 2.1

$$\int_0^t A_s^\vartheta dH(s) \sim C(\vartheta, f) \int_{t_0}^t s dH(s) \sim C(\vartheta, f) \frac{\rho}{1+\rho} t H(t) \quad P^\vartheta\text{-almost surely as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Taking differences, the assertion follows. \square

Write \mathcal{D} for the Skorohod path space of càdlàg functions $[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Under assumption **(H0)** we write $\dot{S}(\vartheta, t)$, $\ddot{S}(\vartheta, t)$ for the derivatives of $S(\vartheta, t)$ with respect to the parameter ϑ .

2.3 Lemma: Assume **(H0)**+**(H1)**+**(H2)**. Then for all $\vartheta \in \Theta$, we have weak convergence in \mathcal{D} of

$$M^n := \left(\sqrt{\frac{3}{n^3}} \int_0^{tn} \frac{\dot{S}(\vartheta, s)}{\sigma(\eta_s)} dB_s^\vartheta \right)_{t \geq 0}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

under P^ϑ to Brownian motion $B \circ \Phi^\vartheta$ time changed by Φ^ϑ

$$t \longrightarrow \Phi^\vartheta(t) := \frac{t^3}{\vartheta^4} C(\vartheta, [S'_0]^2)$$

where $C(\vartheta, [S'_0]^2)$ is the limit defined in lemma 2.1 for $f := [S'_0]^2$.

Proof: The parametrization (2) gives $\dot{S}(\vartheta, t) = \frac{d}{d\vartheta} S_0(\frac{1}{\vartheta}t) = -\frac{t}{\vartheta^2} S'_0(\frac{1}{\vartheta}t)$. Applying lemma 2.2 with $H(t) = t^2$ and $f = [S'_0]^2$ under P^ϑ yields

$$(19) \quad \langle M^n \rangle_t = \frac{3}{n^3} \int_0^{tn} \frac{[\dot{S}(\vartheta, s)]^2}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} ds = \frac{1}{\vartheta^4} \frac{3}{n^3} \int_0^{tn} s^2 \frac{[S'_0]^2(\frac{1}{\vartheta}s)}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} ds \longrightarrow \frac{t^3}{\vartheta^4} C(\vartheta, [S'_0]^2)$$

P^ϑ -almost surely as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for every $t > 0$ fixed. Then the martingale convergence theorem (cf. Jacod and Shiryaev [JS 87, VII.3.22]) applies and gives the result. \square

2.4 Lemma: Under **(H0)**+**(H1)**+**(H2)**, consider sequences in the parameter space

$$\vartheta_n := \vartheta + \sqrt{\frac{3}{n^3}} h_n, \quad n \geq n_0$$

defined with respect to some bounded sequence $(h_n)_n$ in \mathbb{R} and some fixed reference point $\vartheta \in \Theta$.

Then as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the following processes under P^ϑ

$$(20) \quad N_t^{n, h_n} := \int_0^{tn} \frac{S(\vartheta_n, s) - S(\vartheta, s) - (\vartheta_n - \vartheta) \dot{S}(\vartheta, s)}{\sigma(\eta_s)} dB_s^\vartheta, \quad t \geq 0$$

$$(21) \quad U_t^{n, h_n} := \int_0^{tn} \frac{[S(\vartheta_n, s) - S(\vartheta, s) - (\vartheta_n - \vartheta) \dot{S}(\vartheta, s)]^2}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} ds, \quad t \geq 0$$

$$(22) \quad V_t^{n, h_n} := \int_0^{tn} \frac{[S(\vartheta_n, s) - S(\vartheta, s) - (\vartheta_n - \vartheta) \dot{S}(\vartheta, s)][(\vartheta_n - \vartheta) \dot{S}(\vartheta, s)]}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} ds, \quad t \geq 0$$

vanish uniformly over compact t -intervals as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof: Fix $\vartheta \in \Theta$, write $\delta_n = \sqrt{\frac{3}{n^3}}$, and consider sequences $(h_n)_n$ which are bounded by c .

1) Exploiting **(H0)** and (2), we show that there are constants $c(\vartheta, c)$ such that

$$(23) \quad \left| S(\vartheta_n, s) - S(\vartheta, s) - (\vartheta_n - \vartheta) \dot{S}(\vartheta, s) \right| \leq c(\vartheta, c) \frac{3}{n^3} (1 + s^2) \quad , \quad s \geq 0$$

for all n large enough. We write

$$S(\vartheta_n, s) - S(\vartheta, s) - (\vartheta_n - \vartheta) \dot{S}(\vartheta, s) = \frac{1}{2} (\vartheta_n - \vartheta)^2 \ddot{S}(\zeta_{\vartheta, n, h_n}(s))$$

with suitable $\zeta_{\vartheta, n, h_n}(s)$ between ϑ and $\vartheta_n \rightarrow \vartheta$. From $\ddot{S}(\zeta, s) = S_0''(\frac{1}{\zeta} s) \frac{s^2}{\zeta^4} + S_0'(\frac{1}{\zeta} s) \frac{2s}{\zeta^3}$ and some upper bound K for $|2S_0'|$ and $|S_0''|$, the l.h.s. of (23) is smaller than

$$\frac{K c^2}{2} \frac{3}{n^3} \left\{ \left(\frac{s^2}{[\vartheta - \delta_{n_0} c]^4} + \frac{s}{[\vartheta - \delta_{n_0} c]^3} \right) \right\}$$

uniformly in $n \geq n_0$. Together with $s \vee s^2 \leq 1 + s^2$ on $s \geq 0$, this gives (23).

2) We consider the process U^{n, h_n} in (21). For $t > 0$ fixed, the bound (23) shows

$$U_t^{n, h_n} \leq c^2(\vartheta, c) \frac{9}{n^6} \int_0^{tn} \frac{(1 + s^2)^2}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} ds$$

and the assertion is immediate from lemma 2.2 with $H(s) = (1 + s^2)^2$ and $f \equiv 1$.

3) For $t > 0$ fixed, we consider angle brackets $\langle N^{n, h_n} \rangle = U^{n, h_n}$ under P^ϑ and make use of step 2). Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality ([IW 89, p. 110]) then shows that the P^ϑ -martingales N^{n, h_n} vanish uniformly over compact t -intervals as $n \rightarrow \infty$ under P^ϑ .

4) Finally, processes (22) vanish uniformly over compact t -intervals as $n \rightarrow \infty$ under P^ϑ , by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality combined with (19) and step 2). \square

2.5 Proof of theorem 1.1: Fix $\vartheta \in \Theta$. We start with local scale $\delta_n = \sqrt{\frac{3}{n^3}}$ instead of $\sqrt{\frac{1}{n^3}}$. Then with all notations as in lemma 2.4 except that we write \tilde{h} for the local parameter, for bounded sequences $(\tilde{h}_n)_n$ in \mathbb{R}

$$\log L_{nt}^{\vartheta_n/\vartheta} = \int_0^{nt} \frac{S(\vartheta_n, s) - S(\vartheta, s)}{\sigma(\eta_s)} dB_s^{(\vartheta)} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{nt} \left(\frac{S(\vartheta_n, s) - S(\vartheta, s)}{\sigma(\eta_s)} \right)^2 ds$$

under P^ϑ . Adding $\pm(\vartheta_n - \vartheta)\dot{S}(\vartheta, \cdot)$ in the numerators of the integrands, we separate leading terms

$$(24) \quad \tilde{h}_n \tilde{\Delta}_t^n(\vartheta) - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{h}_n^2 \tilde{I}_t^n(\vartheta)$$

defined by

$$(25) \quad \tilde{\Delta}_t^n(\vartheta) := \sqrt{\frac{3}{n^3}} \int_0^{tn} \frac{\dot{S}(\vartheta, s)}{\sigma(\eta_s)} dB_s^{(\vartheta)} , \quad t \geq 0$$

$$(26) \quad \tilde{I}_t^n(\vartheta) := \frac{3}{n^3} \int_0^{tn} \frac{[\dot{S}(\vartheta, s)]^2}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} ds , \quad t \geq 0$$

from remainder terms as defined in (20)–(22)

$$N_t^{n, \tilde{h}_n} - \frac{1}{2} U_t^{n, \tilde{h}_n} - V_t^{n, \tilde{h}_n}$$

which vanish under P^ϑ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly on compact t -intervals, by lemma 2.4. Now (19) allows to replace $\tilde{I}_t^n(\vartheta)$ by its limit $\frac{t^3}{\vartheta^4} C(\vartheta, [S'_0]^2) =: \Phi^\vartheta(t)$ under P^ϑ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and lemma 2.3 gives weak convergence of the martingales $\tilde{\Delta}^\vartheta$ in (24) under P^ϑ to $B \circ \Phi^\vartheta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We thus have proved a quadratic expansion of log-likelihood ratios with local parameter $\tilde{h} \in \mathbb{R}$ and local scale $\sqrt{\frac{3}{n^3}}$. Viewing $\sqrt{3}\tilde{h} =: h$ as local parameter, we get (7)+(8)+(9) as stated in theorem 1.1. \square

3. Proofs: discontinuous signals

We continue with 'general' signals $S_0(\cdot)$ as in the beginning of section 2. At the end of the present section, we will prove theorem 1.2.

3.1 Lemma: Assume **(H1')+(H2)**. Consider sequences $m \sim cn$ for suitable $0 < c < \infty$. Fix $0 < r < 1$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}$. Then under P^ϑ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$(27) \quad \sum_{k=0}^m \int_{(\vartheta+h/n^2)(k+r)}^{\vartheta(k+r)} \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} ds = |h| \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=0}^m k \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_{\vartheta(k+r)})} + o_{P^\vartheta}(1) \quad \text{if } h < 0 ,$$

$$(28) \quad \sum_{k=0}^m \int_{\vartheta(k+r)}^{(\vartheta+h/n^2)(k+r)} \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} ds = h \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=0}^m k \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_{\vartheta(k+r)})} + o_{P^\vartheta}(1) \quad \text{if } h > 0 .$$

The leading term on the r.h.s. of (27)+(28) has the property

$$(29) \quad \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_{\vartheta(k+r)})} \longrightarrow [\mu^\vartheta P_{0,r\vartheta}^\vartheta] \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right) \quad P^\vartheta\text{-almost surely as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and for increasing sequences $(H(n))_n$ which vary regularly at ∞ with index $\rho > 0$ we have

$$(30) \quad \frac{1+\rho}{m H(m)} \sum_{k=0}^m H(k) \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_{\vartheta(k+r)})} \longrightarrow [\mu^\vartheta P_{0,r\vartheta}^\vartheta] \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right) \quad P^\vartheta\text{-a.s. as } n \rightarrow \infty .$$

Proof: 1) Fix $\vartheta \in \Theta$. Imitating the proof of lemma 2.1 with

$$A_t^\vartheta := \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} \Lambda^\vartheta(ds) \quad , \quad \Lambda^\vartheta := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \epsilon_{\vartheta(k+r)} \quad , \quad F^\vartheta((\alpha(s))_{0 \leq s \leq \vartheta}) := \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\alpha(r\vartheta))}$$

under P^ϑ we obtain (29) from the strong law of large numbers (16). To prove (30), we embed the sequence $(H(n))_n$ into a càdlàg increasing function $\tilde{H} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\tilde{H}(\vartheta(k+r)) = H(k)$ for all k . Then $\tilde{H}(\cdot)$ is regularly varying at ∞ with index ρ . Based on (29) and on the Stieltjes product formula for càdlàg paths $t \rightarrow A_t^\vartheta$

$$\int_0^t \tilde{H}(s) dA_s^\vartheta = \tilde{H}(t) A_t^\vartheta - \int_0^t A_{s-}^\vartheta d\tilde{H}(s)$$

we imitate the proof of lemma 2.2 to obtain

$$\frac{1+\rho}{t \tilde{H}(t)} \int_0^t \tilde{H}(s) dA_s^\vartheta \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\vartheta} m^\vartheta(F^\vartheta) = \frac{1}{\vartheta} [\mu^\vartheta P_{0,r\vartheta}^\vartheta] \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)$$

P^ϑ -almost surely as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Cancelling a factor $\frac{1}{\vartheta}$ on both sides gives (30).

2) We shall apply the following exponential inequality (31), due to [B 05] and adapted to time inhomogeneous diffusions in [HK 10, lemma 3.1]. With ϑ -periodic drift as in (1), this inequality requires Lipschitz conditions on $b(\cdot)$ and $\sigma(\cdot)$, boundedness of $S_0(\cdot)$, and boundedness of $\sigma(\cdot)$ which is guaranteed by **(H1')**. Fix $0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2} < \kappa < 1-\lambda$. Then for the canonical process η under P^ϑ , there is some $\Delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$(31) \quad P^\vartheta \left(\sup_{t_1 \leq t \leq t_1 + \Delta} |\eta_t - \eta_{t_1}| > \Delta^\lambda, |\eta_{t_1}| \leq \left(\frac{1}{\Delta} \right)^\kappa \right) \leq c_1 \cdot \exp \left\{ -c_2 \left(\frac{1}{\Delta} \right)^{1-2\lambda} \right\}$$

holds for all $0 \leq t_1 < \infty$ and all $0 < \Delta < \Delta_0$, with positive constants c_1 and c_2 which do not depend on $t_1 \geq 0$ or on $\Delta \in (0, \Delta_0)$.

3) Below we give a detailed proof for assertion (27) which corresponds to the case $h < 0$ (the proof of (28) for $h > 0$ is then similiar, and slightly simpler). Integration on the l.h.s. in (27) is over intervals

$$(32) \quad [(\vartheta + h/n^2)(k+r), \vartheta(k+r)] \quad , \quad 0 \leq k \leq m$$

where $h < 0$, $0 < r < 1$, and $m \sim cn$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for suitable $0 < c < \infty$. Put $\Delta_n := d \frac{m+1}{n^2}$. Uniformly in $|h| \leq d$, for n large enough, the intervals in (32) of length $|h| \frac{k+r}{n^2}$ can be embedded into intervals of equal length

$$(33) \quad J_{n,k} := [\vartheta(k+r) - \Delta_n, \vartheta(k+r)] \subset [\vartheta k', \vartheta(k'+2)] \quad , \quad |k' - k| \leq 1.$$

Here the intervals on the r.h.s. of (33) correspond to twice the periodicity ϑ of the canonical process η under P^ϑ . Under **(H2)**, we have Harris recurrence of the ϑ -segment chain $\mathbb{X}^\vartheta = (\mathbb{X}_k^\vartheta)_k$ with invariant

probability m^ϑ on $C([0, \vartheta])$. As a consequence, we also have Harris recurrence of a 'bivariate' chain

$$\mathbb{X}^{\vartheta,2} = (\mathbb{X}_k^{\vartheta,2})_k \quad , \quad \mathbb{X}_k^{\vartheta,2} := ((\eta_{\vartheta(k+s)})_{0 \leq s \leq 2})_k$$

formed by two successive ϑ -segments; the invariant probability $m^{\vartheta,2}$ on $C([0, 2\vartheta])$ for the chain $\mathbb{X}^{\vartheta,2}$ is easily determined, in analogy to (14) for \mathbb{X}^ϑ .

4) We imitate step 1) of the proof of theorem 4.1 in [HK 10] to show the following: for arbitrary constants $K > \infty$ and powers $\kappa > 0$

$$(34) \quad \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^m 1_{\{\sup_{0 \leq s \leq 2} |\eta_{\vartheta(k+s)}| > K m^\kappa\}} \longrightarrow 0$$

P^ϑ -almost surely as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This follows from the strong law of large numbers for additive functionals of the chain $\mathbb{X}^{\vartheta,2}$: using $F^\vartheta : \alpha \rightarrow 1_{\{\sup_{0 \leq s \leq 2\vartheta} |\alpha(s)| > c\}}$ which is defined on $C([0, 2\vartheta])$, we have

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^m F^\vartheta(\mathbb{X}_k^{\vartheta,2}) \longrightarrow m^{\vartheta,2} \left\{ \alpha \in C([0, 2\vartheta]) : \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 2\vartheta} |\alpha(s)| > c \right\}$$

P^ϑ -almost surely as $m \rightarrow \infty$ where the r.h.s. can be made arbitrarily small for large choice of c .

5) We shall show

$$(35) \quad \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_{(\vartheta+h/n^2)(k+r)})} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_{\vartheta(k+r)})} + o_{P^\vartheta}(1) \quad \text{under } P^\vartheta \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for $h < 0$, $0 < r < 1$, and $m \sim cn$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The idea is to control fluctuations of the canonical process η over intervals of identical length $\Delta_n = d \frac{m+1}{n^2} = O(\frac{1}{n})$ thanks to the exponential inequality (31), similar to step 2) of the proof of theorem 4.1 of [HK 10]. For $|h| \leq d$ and n large enough, with notations of (33), we embed

$$[(\vartheta + h/n^2)(k+r), \vartheta(k+r)] \subset [\vartheta(k+r) - \Delta_n, \vartheta(k+r)] = J_{n,k} \quad , \quad 0 \leq k \leq m$$

and consider the k -th summand contributing to the difference in (35)

$$(36) \quad \left| \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_{\vartheta(k+r)})} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_{(\vartheta+h/n^2)(k+r)})} \right|.$$

This summand admits – since $\sigma(\cdot)$ is Lipschitz and bounded away from 0 and ∞ – bounds of type

$$\begin{aligned} & d_1 \cdot 1_{\{\sup_{0 \leq s \leq 2\vartheta} |\eta_{\vartheta(k-1)+s}| > \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_n}\right)^\kappa\}} \\ & + d_1 \cdot 1_{\{\sup_{t \in J_{n,k}} |\eta_t - \eta_{\vartheta(k+r) - \Delta_n}| > \Delta_n^\lambda, |\eta_{\vartheta(k+r) - \Delta_n}| \leq \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_n}\right)^\kappa\}} \\ & + d_2 \Delta_n^\lambda \cdot 1_{\{\sup_{t \in J_{n,k}} |\eta_t - \eta_{\vartheta(k+r) - \Delta_n}| \leq \Delta_n^\lambda\}} \end{aligned}$$

with suitable constants d_1, d_2 ; here d_2 involves the Lipschitz constant for $\sigma(\cdot)$, and d_1 the lower bound for $\sigma(\cdot)$. By the type of bound in the first line combined with $m \sim cn$ and step 4), we see that

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left| \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_{\vartheta(k+r)})} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_{(\vartheta+h/n^2)(k+r)})} \right| \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 2\vartheta} |\eta_{\vartheta(k-1)+s}| > \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_n}\right)^\kappa \right\}}$$

vanishes almost surely under P^ϑ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Next, the exponential inequality in step 2) on the intervals $J_{n,k}$ of length Δ_n (i.e. with $t_1 = \vartheta(k+r) - \Delta_n$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$) shows that

$$P^\vartheta \left(\sup_{t \in J_{n,k}} |\eta_t - \eta_{\vartheta(k+r)-\Delta_n}| > \Delta_n^\lambda, \quad |\eta_{\vartheta(k+r)-\Delta_n}| \leq \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_n}\right)^\kappa, \text{ some } k = 0, 1, \dots, m \right)$$

vanishes under P^ϑ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, by the type of bound in the second line, the probability under ϑ to find any strictly positive summand in the sum

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left| \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_{\vartheta(k+r)})} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_{(\vartheta+h/n^2)(k+r)})} \right| \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \sup_{t \in J_{n,k}} |\eta_t - \eta_{\vartheta(k+r)-\Delta_n}| > \Delta_n^\lambda, \quad |\eta_{\vartheta(k+r)-\Delta_n}| \leq \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_n}\right)^\kappa \right\}}$$

tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$: hence the sum itself vanishes under P^ϑ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Finally, by the type of bound in the third line, we are left to consider averages

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left| \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_{\vartheta(k+r)})} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_{(\vartheta+h/n^2)(k+r)})} \right| \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \sup_{t \in J_{n,k}} |\eta_t - \eta_{\vartheta(k+r)-\Delta_n}| \leq \Delta_n^\lambda \right\}}$$

which are bounded by $d_2 \Delta_n^\lambda$, and thus vanish as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We have proved (35).

6) Next we prove that under P^ϑ , as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(37) \quad \sum_{k=0}^m \int_{(\vartheta+h/n^2)(k+r)}^{\vartheta(k+r)} \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} ds = |h| \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=0}^m (k+r) \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_{(\vartheta+h/n^2)(k+r)})} + o_{P^\vartheta}(1)$$

for $h < 0$, $0 < r < 1$, and $m \sim cn$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

For $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$, consider in the difference between l.h.s. and r.h.s. of (37) a k -th summand

$$\int_{(\vartheta+h/n^2)(k+r)}^{\vartheta(k+r)} \left| \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_{(\vartheta+h/n^2)(k+r)})} \right| ds.$$

Exploiting $m \sim cn$ and thus $\Delta_n = O(\frac{1}{m})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we embed the interval of integration into the larger $J_{n,k}$ of (33), uniformly in $|h| \leq d$, and have for the k -th summand bounds of type

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{m} d_1 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 2\vartheta} |\eta_{\vartheta(k-1)+s}| > \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_n}\right)^\kappa \right\}} \\ & + \frac{1}{m} d_1 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \sup_{t \in J_{n,k}} |\eta_t - \eta_{\vartheta(k+r)-\Delta_n}| > \Delta_n^\lambda, \quad |\eta_{\vartheta(k+r)-\Delta_n}| \leq \left(\frac{1}{\Delta_n}\right)^\kappa \right\}} \\ & + \frac{1}{m} d_2 \Delta_n^\lambda \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \sup_{t \in J_{n,k}} |\eta_t - \eta_{\vartheta(k+r)-\Delta_n}| \leq \Delta_n^\lambda \right\}}. \end{aligned}$$

The factor $\frac{1}{m}$ here in combination with $\sum_{k=0}^m$ in (37) allows to proceed in exact analogy to step 5) above to establish (37).

7) To finish the proof, we deduce (27) from (37). With $H(k) := k + r$, the difference

$$(38) \quad \frac{1}{m H(m)} \left| \sum_{k=0}^m H(k) \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_{(\vartheta+h/n^2)(k+r)})} - \sum_{k=0}^m H(k) \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_{\vartheta(k+r)})} \right|$$

is trivially smaller than

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^m \left| \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_{(\vartheta+h/n^2)(k+r)})} - \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_{\vartheta(k+r)})} \right|$$

which vanishes P^ϑ -almost surely as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by (35). Exploiting $m \sim cn$ and (30), we replace the factor in front of the difference (38) by $\frac{1}{n^2}$, and see –using (29) for the last comparison – that

$$\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=0}^m (k+r) \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_{(\vartheta+h/n^2)(k+r)})} \quad , \quad \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=0}^m (k+r) \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_{\vartheta(k+r)})} \quad , \quad \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=0}^m k \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_{\vartheta(k+r)})}$$

are equivalent under P^ϑ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence the leading terms on the r.h.s. of (27) and (37) are equivalent under P^ϑ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This establishes (27) and concludes the proof. \square

Fix a collection of points $0 < r_1 < \dots < r_\ell < 1$ and a collection of step functions

$$N_j(t) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 1_{[k+r_j, \infty)}(s) \quad , \quad 1 \leq j \leq \ell$$

without common jumps. With respect to some fixed reference point $\vartheta \in \Theta$ we define for $s \geq 0$

$$(39) \quad j_\vartheta^{h,n}(s) := \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \rho_j \left[N_j\left(\frac{1}{(\vartheta+h/n^2)} s\right) - N_j\left(\frac{1}{\vartheta} s\right) \right] & \text{in case } h < 0 \\ \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \rho_j \left[N_j\left(\frac{1}{\vartheta} s\right) - N_j\left(\frac{1}{(\vartheta+h/n^2)} s\right) \right] & \text{in case } h > 0 \end{cases}$$

for arbitrary real ρ_j , $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, and arbitrary $h \in \mathbb{R}$, and write as in (12)

$$J(\vartheta, r, \rho) := \frac{1}{2\vartheta^2} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \rho_j^2 [\mu^\vartheta P_{0,r_j\vartheta}^\vartheta] \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right)$$

for $\rho = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_\ell)$ and $r = (r_1, \dots, r_\ell)$.

3.2 Lemma: Assume **(H1') + (H2)**. For all $\vartheta \in \Theta$, we have convergence in P^ϑ -probability

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{tn} j_\vartheta^{h_1,n}(s) j_\vartheta^{h_2,n}(s) \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} ds &\longrightarrow (|h_1| \wedge |h_2|) t^2 J(\vartheta, r, \rho) \quad \text{in case } \text{sgn}(h_i) = \text{sgn}(h_j) \\ \int_0^{tn} j_\vartheta^{h_1,n}(s) j_\vartheta^{h_2,n}(s) \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} ds &\longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{in case } \text{sgn}(h_1) \neq \text{sgn}(h_2) \end{aligned}$$

for every $0 < t < \infty$ fixed, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof: Fix $\vartheta \in \Theta$, fix some $0 < t_0 < \infty$, fix h_1, h_2 such that $|h_i| < d$, $i = 1, 2$. We consider $t \leq t_0$ and define Δ_n by (33).

1) Consider first the case $h_1 < h_2 < 0$. We can choose n large enough to make sure that for all $j = 1, \dots, \ell$, differences of counting functions

$$s \longrightarrow N_j\left(\frac{1}{(\vartheta + h_i/n^2)} s\right) - N_j\left(\frac{1}{\vartheta} s\right)$$

are $\{0, 1\}$ -valued in restriction to $[0, t_0 n]$, and supported –in restriction to $[0, t_0 n]$ – by collections of intervals of a form (32)

$$(40) \quad [(\vartheta + h_i/n^2)(k + r_j), \vartheta(k + r_j)] \quad , \quad 0 \leq k \leq m \text{ for suitable } m \text{ with } m \sim \frac{t_0}{\vartheta} n$$

with the following property: whenever $j \neq j'$, no intersections occur between a collection (40) of intervals corresponding to r_j and a collection (40) of intervals corresponding to $r_{j'}$, irrespectively of the values $|h_i| \leq d$ under consideration, provided n is larger than some $n_0(\vartheta, d, t_0)$. In case $h_1 > h_2 > 0$, we use instead of (40)

$$(41) \quad [\vartheta(k + r_j), (\vartheta + h_i/n^2)(k + r_j)] \quad , \quad 0 \leq k \leq m \text{ for suitable } m \text{ with } m \sim \frac{t_0}{\vartheta} n$$

and obtain the same conclusion.

2) Consider h_1, h_2 such that $|h_i| \leq d$, $i = 1, 2$, and $n \geq n_0(\vartheta, d, t_0)$. For r_j in $r = (r_1, \dots, r_\ell)$ fixed, the intervals in (40) or (41) induced by (h_2, r_j) are subsets of the corresponding intervals in (40) or (41) induced by (h_1, r_j) in the two cases $h_1 < h_2 < 0$ and $0 < h_2 < h_1$, and have void intersection in cases $h_1 < 0 < h_2$ or $h_2 < 0 < h_1$. In virtue of step 1) this implies

$$(42) \quad j^{h_1, n} \cdot j^{h_2, n} \equiv 0 \quad \text{on } [0, t_0 n] \text{ whenever } \text{sgn}(h_1) \neq \text{sgn}(h_2)$$

in restriction to $[0, t_0 n]$, and

$$(43) \quad j^{h_1, n} \cdot j^{h_2, n} = \left(j^{\tilde{h}, n}\right)^2 \quad \text{on } [0, t_0 n] \text{ where } \begin{cases} \tilde{h} := -(|h_1| \wedge |h_2|) & \text{if } h_1 < 0, h_2 < 0 \\ \tilde{h} := h_1 \wedge h_2 & \text{if } h_1 > 0, h_2 > 0 \end{cases}$$

3) For $|h_i| \leq d$, $t \leq t_0$, and $n \geq n_0(\vartheta, d, t_0)$, consider first the case $h_1, h_2 < 0$. With notation of (43), we have

$$(44) \quad \int_0^{tn} j_\vartheta^{h_1, n}(s) j_\vartheta^{h_2, n}(s) \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} ds = \int_0^{tn} \left(j^{\tilde{h}, n}(s)\right)^2 \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} ds$$

for $t \leq t_0$. For fixed value of t , as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the support of the integrand on the r.h.s. is by step 1) above a system of mutually disjoint intervals

$$[(\vartheta + \tilde{h}/n^2)(k + r_j), \vartheta(k + r_j)] \quad , \quad 0 \leq k \leq m \quad , \quad m \sim \frac{t}{\vartheta} n \quad , \quad 1 \leq j \leq \ell .$$

Hence, by lemma 3.1 with $m \sim \frac{t}{\vartheta} n$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we can continue equation (44) under P^ϑ by

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \rho_j^2 \int_0^{tn} \left[N_j \left(\frac{1}{(\vartheta + \tilde{h}/n^2)} s \right) - N_j \left(\frac{1}{\vartheta} s \right) \right]^2 \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} ds \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \rho_j^2 \left(\sum_{k=0}^m \int_{(\vartheta + \tilde{h}/n^2)(k+r_j)}^{\vartheta(k+r_j)} \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} ds \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \rho_j^2 \left(|\tilde{h}| \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=0}^m k \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_{\vartheta(k+r_j)})} \right) + o_{P^\vartheta}(1) \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Making use of (30) combined with $m \sim \frac{t}{\vartheta} n$, we determine the limit of the leading terms under P^ϑ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ as

$$(45) \quad |\tilde{h}| \frac{t^2}{2\vartheta^2} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \rho_j^2 [\mu^\vartheta P_{0,r_j\vartheta}^\vartheta] \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right) = (|h_1| \wedge |h_2|) t^2 J(\vartheta, \rho, r)$$

where $J(\vartheta, \rho, r)$ is the constant defined in (12). Hence in case $h_1, h_2 < 0$, the proof of the lemma is finished. The proof in case $h_1, h_2 > 0$ is similiar; when $\text{sgn}(h_1) \neq \text{sgn}(h_2)$, the proof was already finished with the orthogonality (42). \square

The following proposition is the key to convergence of local experiments in the 'discontinuous signals' setting, with Ibragimov and Khasminskii's limit experiment (11), and plays in this context the same role which 2nd Le Cam lemmata play for convergence to Gaussian shifts.

3.3 Proposition: Under hypotheses **(H0')+(H1')+(H2)**, for local scale n^{-2} , for arbitrary $t_0 < \infty$ and for bounded sequences $(h_n)_n$ in \mathbb{R} , we have a decomposition of log-likelihood ratio processes in local models (10) at $\vartheta \in \Theta$ as follows:

$$(46) \quad \sup_{t \in [0, t_0]} \left| \log L_{tn}^{(\vartheta + n^{-2}h_n)/\vartheta} - \left\{ \int_0^{tn} j_\vartheta^{h_n, n}(s) \frac{1}{\sigma(\eta_s)} dB_s^\vartheta - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{tn} \left(j_\vartheta^{h_n, n}(s) \right)^2 \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} ds \right\} \right|$$

vanishes in P^ϑ -probability as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Here $j_\vartheta^{h_n, n}(\cdot)$ are the deterministic functions defined in (39) which enjoy the orthogonality properties (42)+(43) above for n large enough.

Proof: 1) Fix $\vartheta \in \Theta$ and $0 < t_0 < \infty$. In local models (10) at ϑ , we start from (3)+(2) and have

$$\log L_{tn}^{(\vartheta+n^{-2}h_n)/\vartheta} = \int_0^{tn} \frac{S_0(\frac{1}{\vartheta+n^{-2}h_n}s) - S_0(\frac{1}{\vartheta}s)}{\sigma(\eta_s)} dB_s^{(\vartheta)} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{tn} \frac{[S_0(\frac{1}{\vartheta+n^{-2}h_n}s) - S_0(\frac{1}{\vartheta}s)]^2}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} ds$$

under P^ϑ . Below, we consider $|h_n| \leq d$, $0 \leq t \leq t_0$ and $n \geq n_0(\vartheta, d, t_0)$, with notations defined in the proof of lemma 3.2.

2) By assumption **(H0')**, the 1-periodic function $S_0(\cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has finitely many jumps in $(0, 1]$, and is Lipschitz between its jumps. Let $0 < r_1 < \dots < r_\ell \leq 1$ denote the jump times of $S_0(\cdot)$ in $(0, 1]$, and ρ_1, \dots, ρ_ℓ the corresponding jump heights. From 1-periodic continuation of

$$(0, 1] \ni t \longrightarrow \rho_j \left(1_{[r_j, 1]}(t) - t \right)$$

define 1-periodic functions

$$\tilde{S}_j(t) := \rho_j \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 1_{[k+r_j, \infty)}(t) - \rho_j t \quad , \quad t \geq 0$$

for every $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. They have the property $\tilde{S}_j(k) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and all $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. Introducing

$$\tilde{S}_0(t) := S_0(t) - [\tilde{S}_1(t) + \dots + \tilde{S}_\ell(t)]$$

which is Lipschitz on $[0, \infty)$ and 1-periodic, we rearrange the decomposition of $S_0(\cdot)$ in form

$$(47) \quad S_0(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \rho_j N_j(t) - S_0^c(t) \quad , \quad t \geq 0$$

where $N_j(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 1_{[k+r_j, \infty)}(t)$ and where $S_0^c(\cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ collects contributions to the decomposition of $S_0(\cdot)$ which are Lipschitz. Write L for the Lipschitz constant of $S_0^c(\cdot)$ on $[0, \infty)$.

3) With notations (47)+(39), restarting at step 1) above, we write differences in (46)

$$\log L_{tn}^{(\vartheta+n^{-2}h_n)/\vartheta} - \left\{ \int_0^{tn} \frac{j_\vartheta^{h_n, n}(s)}{\sigma(\eta_s)} dB_s^{(\vartheta)} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{tn} \frac{[j_\vartheta^{h_n, n}(s)]^2}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} ds \right\} \quad , \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_0$$

as a sum of two processes: a first one where parametrization comes in through a deterministic function which is Lipschitz in s

$$(48) \quad \int_0^{tn} \frac{S_0^c(\frac{1}{\vartheta+n^{-2}h_n}s) - S_0^c(\frac{1}{\vartheta}s)}{\sigma(\eta_s)} dB_s^{(\vartheta)} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{tn} \frac{[S_0^c(\frac{1}{\vartheta+n^{-2}h_n}s) - S_0^c(\frac{1}{\vartheta}s)]^2}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} ds$$

and a second one containing mixed terms

$$(49) \quad \int_0^{tn} \frac{[S_0^c(\frac{1}{\vartheta+n^{-2}h_n}s) - S_0^c(\frac{1}{\vartheta}s)][j_\vartheta^{h_n, n}(s)]}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} ds .$$

i) Since $|h_n| \leq d$ and since $S_0^c(\cdot)$ has Lipschitz constant L , angle bracket terms in (48) are of order

$$O\left(\frac{1}{n^4}\right) \int_0^{tn} \frac{s^2}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} ds \quad , \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_0 .$$

By **(H1')** –or by lemma 3.1– they vanish uniformly over $[0, t_0]$ in P^ϑ -probability as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

ii) As a consequence of i), by Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, the martingale terms in (48) vanish uniformly over $[0, t_0]$ in P^ϑ -probability as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

iii) We turn to the mixed terms (49). Since $|h_n| \leq d$ we have inclusions, h_n being positive or negative (cf. proof of lemma 3.2)

$$j_\vartheta^{h_n, n}(s) \leq j_\vartheta^{+d, n}(s) + j_\vartheta^{-d, n}(s) \quad , \quad s \in [0, t_0 n]$$

and by lemma 3.2 tightness under P^ϑ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ of

$$\int_0^{tn} \frac{[j_\vartheta^{+d, n}(s)]^2 + [j_\vartheta^{-d, n}(s)]^2}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} ds$$

for any fixed $0 < t \leq t_0$. By Cauchy-Schwarz combined with i), the mixed terms (49) vanish in P^ϑ -probability as $n \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly over $[0, t_0]$. The lemma is proved. \square

For the next lemma and for the proof of theorem 1.2, we prepare some notation. Write \mathcal{H} for the family of (non-void) finite subsets of \mathbb{R} . Arrange elements of $H \in \mathcal{H}$ in increasing order $h_1 < h_2 < \dots < h_r$ with $r = r(H) \in \mathbb{N}$, write $d = d(H) = \max_i |h_i|$, and let \mathbb{A}^H denote the $r \times r$ matrix with (i, j) -entry

$$(50) \quad (|h_i| \wedge |h_j|) \text{ if } \text{sgn}(h_i) = \text{sgn}(h_j) \quad , \quad 0 \text{ if } \text{sgn}(h_i) \neq \text{sgn}(h_j) .$$

This is the covariance matrix for $(\widetilde{W}(h_i))_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ in double sided Brownian motion $(\widetilde{W}(h))_{h \in \mathbb{R}}$. For every $\vartheta \in \Theta$, we introduce an additional r -dimensional Brownian motion \widehat{B} which is independent of B^ϑ . For $0 < t_0 < \infty$ fixed and $n \geq n_0(\vartheta, t_0, d)$, we consider continuous r -dimensional P^ϑ -martingales

$$M_t^{n, H, t_0} = \left(M_t^{n, h_i} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq r} \quad , \quad t \geq 0$$

defined by $dM_t^{n, H, t_0} = d\widehat{B}_{t-t_0}$ for $t > t_0$ and

$$(51) \quad M_t^{n, h_i} := \int_0^{tn} j_\vartheta^{h_i, n}(s) \frac{1}{\sigma(\eta_s)} dB_s^\vartheta \quad \text{for } t \leq t_0 ,$$

together with an r -dimensional Gaussian martingale (defined on some probability space)

$$\widehat{W}^{H, t_0} = \left(\widehat{W}_t^{H, t_0} \right)_{t \geq 0} \quad , \quad \widehat{W}_t^{H, t_0} =: \left(\widehat{W}_t^{h_i} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$$

defined by $d\widehat{W}_t^{H, t_0} = d\widehat{B}_{t-t_0}$ for $t > t_0$ and

$$(52) \quad \langle \widehat{W}^{H, t_0} \rangle_t = \mathbb{A}^H t^2 J(\vartheta, r, \rho) \quad \text{for } t \leq t_0$$

where $J(\vartheta, r, \rho)$ is the limiting constant in lemma 3.2. Processes \widehat{W}^{H, t_0} will be the limiting objects in the following lemma.

3.4 Lemma: Under **(H0')**+**(H1')**+**(H2)**, for arbitrary $0 < t_0 < \infty$ fixed, we have weak convergence

$$M^{n, H, t_0} \longrightarrow \widehat{W}^{H, t_0} \quad \text{under } P^\vartheta \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

in the Skorohod path space $\mathcal{D}([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^r)$.

Proof: From the martingale convergence theorem ([JS 87, VIII.3.22]) combined with lemma 3.2. \square

Now we can prove theorem 1.2.

3.5 Proof of theorem 1.2: We assume **(H0')**+**(H1')**+**(H2)**. We fix $\vartheta \in \Theta$ and $t_0 > 1$. For finite collections $H = \{h_1, \dots, h_r\} \in \mathcal{H}$, with $d = d(H)$, $r = r(H)$ and $n \geq n_0(\vartheta, t_0, d)$, we consider

$$\left(\log L_n^{(\vartheta + h_i/n^2)/\vartheta} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$$

which by proposition 3.3 is equivalent under P^ϑ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to

$$\left(\int_0^n j_\vartheta^{h_i, n}(s) \frac{1}{\sigma(\eta_s)} dB_s^\vartheta - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^n \left(j_\vartheta^{h_i, n}(s) \right)^2 \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\eta_s)} ds \right)_{1 \leq i \leq r}.$$

With notations of lemma 3.4 (since $t = 1 < t_0$) and (51) this last object is

$$\left(M_1^{n, h_i} - \frac{1}{2} \langle M^{n, h_i} \rangle_1 \right)_{1 \leq i \leq r}.$$

and converges by lemma 3.2 and lemma 3.4 weakly in \mathbb{R}^r under P^ϑ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to

$$\left(\widehat{W}_1^{h_i} - \frac{1}{2} \langle \widehat{W}^{h_i} \rangle_1 \right)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$$

by definition of the process \widehat{W}^{H, t_0} above. By (52) and (50), this is equal in law to

$$(53) \quad \left(\widetilde{W}(h_i J(\vartheta, r, \rho)) - \frac{1}{2} |h_i| J(\vartheta, r, \rho) \right)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$$

for double-sided Brownian motion $(\widetilde{W}(h))_{h \in \mathbb{R}}$. \square

References

- [BGT 87] Bingham, N., Goldie, C., Teugels, J.: Regular variation. Cambridge 1987.
- [B 05] Brandt, C: Partial reconstruction of the trajectories of a discretely observed branching diffusion with immigration and an application to inference. PhD thesis, Universität Mainz 2005.
- [CLM 06] Castillo, I., Lévy-Leduc, C., Matias, C.: Exact adaptive estimation of the shape of a periodic function with unknown period corrupted by white noise. *Math. Methods Statist.* **15**, 1–30 (2006).
- [D 10] Dachian, S.: On limiting likelihood ratio processes of some change-point type statistical models. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference* **140**, 2682–2692 (2010).
- [D 85] Davies, R.: Asymptotic inference when the amount of information is random. In: Le Cam, L., Olshen, R. (Eds): *Proc. of the Berkeley Symposium in honour of J. Neyman and J. Kiefer*. Vol. II. Wadsworth 1985.
- [DFK 10] Dehling, H., Franke, B., Kott, T.: Drift estimation for a periodic mean reversion process. To appear in *Statist. Inference Stoch. Proc.* (2010).
- [DP 84] Deshayes, J., Picard, D.: Lois asymptotiques des tests et estimateurs de rupture dans un modèle statistique classique. *Annales I.H.P. B* **20**, 309–327 (1984).
- [G 79] Golubev, G.: Computation of efficiency of maximum-likelihood estimate when observing a discontinuous signal in white noise. *Problems Inform. Transmission* **15**, 61–69 (1979).
- [G 88] Golubev, G.: Estimating the period of a signal of unknown shape corrupted by white noise. *Problems Inform. Transmission* **24**, 38–52 (1988).
- [H 70] Hájek, J.: A characterization theorem of limiting distributions for regular estimators. *Zeitschr. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheor. Verw. Geb.* **14**, 323–330, 1970.
- [HS 67] Hájek, J., Sidák, Z: *Theory of rank tests*. Academic Press 1967.
- [HK 10] Höpfner, R., Kutoyants, Y.: Estimating discontinuous periodic signals in a time inhomogeneous diffusion. *Statist. Inference Stoch. Proc.* **13**, 193–230 (2010).

- [HK 11] Höpfner, R., Kutoyants, Y.: On LAN for parametrized continuous periodic signals in a time inhomogeneous diffusion. To appear in *Statistics&Decisions* (2011).
- [IH 81] Ibragimov, I., Has'minskii, R.: Statistical estimation. Springer 1981.
- [IW 89] Ikeda, N., Watanabe, S.: Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes. 2nd ed. North-Holland/Kodansha 1989.
- [JS 87] Jacod, J., Shiryaev, A.: Limit theorems for stochastic processes. Springer 1987.
- [KS 91] Karatzas, J., Shreve, S.: Brownian motion and stochastic calculus. 2nd ed. Springer 1991.
- [KK 00] Kückler, U., Kutoyants, Y.: Delay estimation for some stationary diffusion-type processes. *Scand. J. Statist.* **27**, 405–414 (2000).
- [K 98] Kutoyants, Y.: Statistical inference in spatial Poisson processes. Springer Lect. Notes Math. 134, Springer 1998.
- [K 04] Kutoyants, Y.: Statistical inference for ergodic diffusion processes. Springer 2004.
- [L 68] Le Cam, L.: Théorie asymptotique de la décision statistique. Presses de l'Université de Montréal 1969.
- [LY 90] Le Cam, L., Yang, G.: Asymptotics in statistics. Some basic concepts. Springer 1990. (2nd Ed. Springer 2002).
- [LM 08] Liese, F., Miescke, K.: Statistical decision theory. Springer 2008.
- [LS 81] Liptser, R., Shiryaev, A.: Statistics of random processes. Vols. I+II, Springer 1981, 2nd Ed. 2001.
- [P 94] Pfanzagl, J.: Parametric statistical inference. de Gruyter 1994.
- [RS 95] Rubin, H., Song, K.: Exact computation of the asymptotic efficiency of maximum likelihood estimators of a discontinuous signal in a Gaussian white noise. *Ann. Statist.* **23**, 732–739 (1995).
- [S 85] Strasser, H.: Mathematical theory of statistics. de Gruyter 1985.

[V 98] van der Vaart, A.: Asymptotic Statistics. Cambridge 1998.

[WM 95] Witting, H., Müller-Funk, U.: Mathematische Statistik II. Teubner 1995.

25.10.2010

Yury A. Kutoyants

Laboratoire de Statistique et Processus, Université du Maine, F-72085 Le Mans Cedex 9

`kutoyants@univ-lemans.fr`

http://www.univ-lemans.fr/sciences/statist/pages_persos/kuto.html

Reinhard Höpfner

Institut für Mathematik, Universität Mainz, D-55099 Mainz

`hoepfner@mathematik.uni-mainz.de`

<http://www.mathematik.uni-mainz.de/~hoepfner>