arXiv:1010.5970v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 28 Oct 2010

Theory of magnetic switching of ferroelectricity in spiral magnets

Masahito Mochizuki¹ and Nobuo Furukawa^{2,3}

¹Department of Applied Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan

²Department of Physics, Aoyama Gakuin University, Sagamihara, 229-8558 Japan

³Multiferroics Project, ERATO, Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), Tokyo 113-8656, Japan

We propose a microscopic theory for magnetic switching of electric polarization (P) in the spinspiral multiferroics by taking TbMnO₃ and DyMnO₃ as examples. We reproduce their phase diagrams under a magnetic field H_{ex} by Monte-Carlo simulation of an accurate spin model and reveal that competition among the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction, spin anisotropy, and spin exchange is controlled by the applied H_{ex} , resulting in magnetic transitions accompanied by reorientation or vanishing of P. We also discuss the relevance of the proposed mechanisms to many other multiferroics such as LiCu₂O₂, MnWO₄, and Ni₃V₂O₄.

PACS numbers: 77.80.Fm, 75.80.+q, 75.30.Gw, 75.47.Lx

Concurrently magnetic and ferroelectric materials, i.e. multiferroics, offer prospective systems to attain magnetic control of electricity via magnetoelectric (ME) coupling [1, 2]. It was experimentally demonstrated that an external magnetic field (H_{ex}) can cause reorientation, emergence, and vanishing of ferroelectric polarization Pin many spin-spiral multiferroics such as $RMnO_3$ (R=Tb, Dy, $Eu_{1-x}Y_x$, etc) [3–5], $LiCu_2O_2$ [6], $MnWO_4$ [7], and $Ni_3V_2O_4$ [8]. These ME phenomena are currently attracting enormous interest, and a thorough understanding of their mechanisms is an urgent issue. However, the number of theoretical studies is very few despite many experimental reports. Naively, the applied $H_{\rm ex}$ can determine the direction of \boldsymbol{P} by controlling the conical spin structure via Zeeman coupling, but there are many examples that do not obey this simple picture.

In the spin-spiral multiferroics, inherent spin frustration as an origin of the spiral magnetism inevitably reduces the spin-exchange energy, and hence increases the relative importance of other tiny interactions, e.g. the single-ion spin anisotropy and the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (DM) interaction. Consequently, the magnetic switching of \boldsymbol{P} in this new class of multiferroics is governed by their fine energy balance tuned by $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathrm{ex}}$, which cannot be understood from a simple interplay between Zeeman coupling and the spin exchanges.

In this Letter, by taking the Mn perovskites TbMnO₃ and DyMnO₃ as examples, we propose a microscopic theory for the magnetic control of \boldsymbol{P} in the spin-spiral multiferroics. Their puzzling T- $H_{\rm ex}$ phase diagrams are reproduced by the Monte-Carlo (MC) analysis of an accurate spin model. Our microscopic theory reveals that the applied $\boldsymbol{H}_{\rm ex}$ controls conflicts among the spin exchanges, spin anisotropy, and DM interaction, resulting in magnetic transitions accompanied by reorientation or vanishing of \boldsymbol{P} . The mechanisms proposed here are relevant to many other spin-spiral multiferroics such as ${\rm LiCu}_2{\rm O}_2$ [6], MnWO₄ [7], and Ni₃V₂O₄ [8]. We also discuss the influence of effective magnetic fields from rare-earth f moments. The ferroelectricity in these materials is described by the spin-current model [9, 10] as given by $\mathbf{P} \propto \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{\chi}$, where \mathbf{Q} is a propagation vector of the spiral and $\mathbf{\chi} \propto \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \times \mathbf{S}_j$ is the vector spin chirality. As shown in Fig 1(b), the Mn spins in TbMnO₃ and DyMnO₃ are rotating within the *bc* plane ($\mathbf{\chi} || \mathbf{a}$) to form a transverse spiral with $\mathbf{Q} || \mathbf{b}$ [11], and thus $\mathbf{P} || \mathbf{c}$ is realized.

In Figs. 1(c)-(f), we briefly summarize the puzzles in $RMnO_3$ [4]. The applied H_{ex} induces the magnetization $M \| H_{\text{ex}}$ via Zeeman coupling, and hence forces the spin structure to be conical where $\chi \| H_{\text{ex}}$. When we apply $H_{\text{ex}} \| Q$ [see Fig. 1(c)], we expect a longitudinal conical spin order with $\chi \| Q$. In this case, P should be zero within the spin-current model. Thus we expect vanishing of **P** when we apply $H_{ex} \| b$ (Pbnm setting) to $TbMnO_3$ and $DyMnO_3$. However, reorientation of **P** from $P \parallel c$ to $P \parallel a$ is observed in reality [see Fig. 1(e)]. A neutron-scattering experiment confirmed that this P reorientation results from the spin-chirality flop from $\chi \| a$ to $\chi \| c$ [12, 13]. This discrepancy has been naively attributed to the influence of f moments on the rare-earth ions thus far [15, 16]. However, a similar behavior has been observed also in LiCu₂O₂ without f moments [6], suggesting an essentially new mechanism. Mostovoy reproduced the flop by introducing higher-order anisotropies in a *phenomenological* theory although their microscopic origins are unclear [9]. On the other hand, the application of $H_{\text{ex}} \perp Q$ is expected to stabilize a transverse conical spin order with $\chi \perp Q$. As shown in Fig. 1(d), we expect the ab-plane transverse conical order with $P \| a$ when we apply $H_{\text{ex}} \| c$ to TbMnO₃ and DyMnO₃. However, in TbMnO₃, the first-order transition to paraelectric (P=0) phase is observed under $H_{\rm ex} \| c$ as shown in Fig. 1(f). The $H_{\rm ex}$ -induced vanishing of \boldsymbol{P} is also observed in MnWO₄ [7] and Ni₃V₂O₄ [8].

To solve these puzzles, we start with a classical Heisenberg model on a cubic lattice, in which the Mn S=2 spins are treated as classical vectors. The Hamiltonian is given by $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_J + \mathcal{H}_{\text{sia}} + \mathcal{H}_{\text{DM}} + \mathcal{H}_{\text{Zeeman}}$. The first term $\mathcal{H}_J = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} J_{ij} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j$ describes spin-exchange in-



FIG. 1: (color online). (a) Crystal structure, spin exchanges, and local axes ξ_i , η_i , and ζ_i in *R*MnO₃. Here FM (AFM) denotes (anti)ferromagnetic exchange. (b) *bc*-plane transverse spin spiral in TbMnO₃ and DyMnO₃, which induces ferroelectric polarization $\boldsymbol{P} \| \boldsymbol{c}$. (c) [(d)] Application of $\boldsymbol{H}_{ex} \| \boldsymbol{b} [\boldsymbol{H}_{ex} \| \boldsymbol{c}]$ is expected to stabilize the longitudinal [transverse] spin spiral with magnetization $\boldsymbol{M} \| \boldsymbol{H}_{ex}$ where $\boldsymbol{P}=0$ [$\boldsymbol{P} \| \boldsymbol{a}$] is expected within the spin-current model. (e)[(f)] Experimental *T*-*H*_{ex} phase diagram of DyMnO₃ [TbMnO₃] for $\boldsymbol{H}_{ex} \| \boldsymbol{b} [\boldsymbol{H}_{ex} \| \boldsymbol{c}]$ from Ref. [4], which shows reorientation of \boldsymbol{P} from $\boldsymbol{P} \| \boldsymbol{c}$ to $\boldsymbol{P} \| \boldsymbol{a}$ [disappearance of \boldsymbol{P}] [4]. Here FE (PE) denotes ferroelectric (paraelectric) phase.

teractions as shown in Fig. 1(a). The second term \mathcal{H}_{sia} denotes the single-ion spin anisotropy, which consists of two parts as $\mathcal{H}_{\text{sia}} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{sia}}^D + \mathcal{H}_{\text{sia}}^E$ with $\mathcal{H}_{\text{sia}}^D = D \sum_i S_{\zeta i}^2$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\text{sia}}^E = E \sum_i (-1)^{i_x + i_y} (S_{\xi i}^2 - S_{\eta i}^2)$. Here ξ_i , η_i and ζ_i are the tilted local axes attached to the *i*th MnO₆ octahe-dron [17]. The term $\mathcal{H}_{\text{sia}}^D$ causes the hard-axis anisotropy along $\boldsymbol{c},$ or, equivalently, the easy-plane anisotropy in the *ab* plane. The third term $\mathcal{H}_{DM} = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} d_{i,j} \cdot (S_i \times S_j)$ represents the DM interaction where the vectors $d_{i,j}$ are defined on the Mn(i)-O-Mn(j) bonds, and are expressed by five DM parameters, α_{ab} , β_{ab} , γ_{ab} , α_c , and β_c [18]. This term consists of two parts, $\mathcal{H}^{ab}_{\rm DM}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{c}_{\rm DM}$, where $\mathcal{H}^{ab}_{\mathrm{DM}}$ ($\mathcal{H}^{c}_{\mathrm{DM}}$) is associated with the DM vectors on the in-plane (out-of-plane) Mn-O-Mn bonds. The last term, $\mathcal{H}_{\text{Zeeman}} = g\mu_{\text{B}} \sum_{i} \boldsymbol{S}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{H}_{\text{in}}$, stands for the Zeeman coupling. Note that the Mn spins feel the internal magnetic field $H_{\rm in}$, which consists of two contributions, i.e., the applied field H_{ex} and the effective field H_{fd} from the f moments. This model has successfully reproduced the phase diagrams of $RMnO_3$ at $H_{ex}=0$ [19].

We have microscopically determined the values of J_{ab} , J_b , J_c , D, and E, and have estimated the values of five



FIG. 2: (color online). Theoretical T- $H_{\rm in}$ phase diagrams of (a) TbMnO₃ and (b) DyMnO₃ for $H_{\rm in}||b$. (c) T profiles of specific heat C(T) and spin chiralities $\chi_{\gamma}(T)$ ($\gamma=a, b, c$) for TbMnO₃ at $H_{\rm in}^b=8$ T. (d) Spin structure in the *bc*-plane spiral state at $H_{\rm in}=0$, and arrangement of the *a*-axis components of DM vectors on the out-of-plane Mn-O-Mn bonds. The symbols \odot and \otimes express their signs, i.e., positive and negative, respectively. In the inset, the arrows (dashed lines) show the spin directions in the presence (absence) of DM interaction.

DM parameters in Ref. [19]. We perform calculations using two sets of the model parameters (A and B) as (A) $(J_{ab}, J_b, J_c) = (-0.74, 0.64, 1.0), (D, E) = (0.2, 0.25),$ $(\alpha_{ab}, \beta_{ab}, \gamma_{ab}) = (0.1, 0.1, 0.14)$ and $(\alpha_c, \beta_c) = (0.48, 0.1),$ and (B) $(J_{ab}, J_b, J_c) = (-0.7, 0.99, 1.0), (D, E) = (0.22, 0.25),$ $(\alpha_{ab}, \beta_{ab}, \gamma_{ab}) = (0.1, 0.1, 0.14)$ and $(\alpha_c, \beta_c) = (0.45, 0.1).$ Here the energy unit is meV. These parameter sets give the *bc*-plane spin spirals propagating along the *b* axis with wave numbers $Q_b = 0.3\pi$ and $Q_b = 0.4\pi$, respectively. They reproduce well the spiral spin states in TbMnO₃ $(Q_b = 0.28\pi)$ [11] and DyMnO₃ $(Q_b = 0.39\pi)$ [4] at $H_{ex} = 0$.

We analyze this model using the replica-exchange MC technique [20]. Each exchange sampling is taken after 400 standard MC steps. After 600 exchanges for thermalization, we typically perform 1000 exchanges for systems of $N=40\times40\times6$ sites with periodic boundaries.

In Figs. 2(a) and (b) we display theoretically obtained T- $H_{\rm in}$ phase diagrams of TbMnO₃ and DyMnO₃ for $H_{\rm in} || b$, respectively. They successfully reproduce the observed reorientation of P from P || c to P || a as a flop of the spin chirality from $\chi || a$ to $\chi || c$. We determine the transition points and the spin structures by calculating the T dependence of specific heat $C(T) = \frac{1}{N} \partial \langle \mathcal{H} \rangle / \partial (k_{\rm B}T)$ and spin chiralities $\chi_{\gamma}(T) =$ $\frac{1}{N} \langle | \sum_{i} (S_i \times S_{i+\hat{b}})_{\gamma} | \rangle / S^2 (\gamma = a, b, c)$. Here the brackets denote thermal averages. Concerning the spin chiralities, the $\chi_a(T) [\chi_c(T)]$ has a large value, while other two components are nearly zero in the *bc*-plane [*ab*-plane] spiral or conical phases. Figure 2(c) shows C(T) and $\chi_{\gamma}(T)$ at



FIG. 3: (color). (a)[(b)] Intensity map of internal magnetic field $H_{\rm in}^b$ [$H_{\rm in}^c$] for DyMnO₃ [TbMnO₃] in plane of T and external magnetic field $H_{\rm ex}^b$ [$H_{\rm ex}^c$] calculated from experimental magnetization data $m_b(T, H_{\rm ex}^b)$ [$m_c(T, H_{\rm ex}^c)$], which reproduces the experimental T- $H_{\rm ex}$ diagram in Fig. 1(e)[(f)]. (c)[(d)] Arrangement of the Dy [Tb] f moments in DyMnO₃ [TbMnO₃] under $H_{\rm ex}$ [b [$H_{\rm ex}$]c] where $\theta \sim 60^\circ$ [$\theta \sim 30^\circ$] [4].



FIG. 4: (color online). Theoretical T- H_{in} phase diagrams of (a) TbMnO₃ and (b) DyMnO₃ for $H_{in}||c$, and spin structures in (c) PE(P=0) phase in TbMnO₃ and (d) FE(P||a) phase in DyMnO₃. Dashed line (open circles) in (a) denote the crossover line (points) where C(T) shows a broad maximum.

 $H_{\rm in}^b = 8$ T for TbMnO₃. The C(T) shows three peaks in accord with successive three phase transitions with lowering T. The assignments of spin structures are confirmed by calculating spin and spin-chirality correlations in the momentum space.

By calculating the $H_{\rm in}$ dependence of the expectation value for each term in the Hamiltonian, we identify a mechanism of the chirality flop from $\chi || a$ to $\chi || c$ under $H_{\rm ex} || b$. The *bc*-plane spiral with $\chi || a$ at $H_{\rm ex}=0$ is stabilized by the DM interaction associated with the DM vectors on the out-of-plane Mn-O-Mn bonds, i.e., $\mathcal{H}_{\rm DM}^c$. The spins dominantly couple to the *a*-axis components of the vectors (i.e., components perpendicular to the *bc* spiral plane) whose signs are the same within a plane

but alternate along the c axis, while their magnitudes are all equal to α_c [see Fig. 2(d)]. Without DM interaction, angles between adjacent two spins along the caxis are uniformly $\phi_c = \pi$ because of the strong antiferromagnetic (AFM) coupling J_c . In the presence of DM interaction, the angles alternate between $\pi + \Delta \phi_c$ and $\pi - \Delta \phi_c$ with $\Delta \phi_c > 0$ [see the inset of Fig. 2(d)]. We can derive a gain of the DM energy due to this angle modulation as $\Delta E_{\rm DM}^{bc}/N = -\alpha_c S^2 |\cos \phi_c| \Delta \phi_c$. Without $H_{\rm in}$, the gain $\Delta E_{\rm DM}^{bc}$ in the *bc*-plane spiral dominates over the easy-(ab)-plane [or the hard-(c)-axis] spin anisotropy from \mathcal{H}_{sia}^D , which favors the *ab*-plane spiral with $\chi \| c$. Note that the value of $|\cos \phi_c|$ is maximum (=1) at $\phi_c = \pi$, but decreases as ϕ_c decreases. This means that the application of $H_{\text{ex}} \| b$ suppresses this energy gain since it destroys the interplane AFM coupling and reduces the angle ϕ_c from π . The *bc*-plane spiral becomes destabilized when the reduced energy gain $\Delta E_{\rm DM}^{bc}$ is defeated by the easy-(*ab*)-plane anisotropy \mathcal{H}_{sia}^D , resulting in the spiral-plane (chirality) flop from $bc(\boldsymbol{\chi} \| \boldsymbol{a})$ to $ab(\boldsymbol{\chi} \| \boldsymbol{c})$. Note that in $RMnO_3$, the *ac*-plane spiral or conical is unfavorable. This is because it can energetically benefit neither from $\mathcal{H}_{\text{sia}}^D$ nor from $\mathcal{H}_{\text{DM}}^c$, whereas the *ab*- and *bc*plane spirals can take advantage of one of these two. We expect that the above mechanism is relevant also to the $H_{\text{ex}} \| \boldsymbol{b} \text{ induced } \boldsymbol{P} \text{ flop from } \boldsymbol{P} \| \boldsymbol{c} \text{ to } \boldsymbol{P} \| \boldsymbol{a} \text{ in LiCu}_2 \mathcal{O}_2 [6]$ in terms of the role of $H_{\rm ex}$, which destabilizes the spin spiral with $P \| c \| 14 \|$ through destroying the AFM coupling along c. Note that the single-ion anisotropy \mathcal{H}_{sia}^D cannot work in this quantum S=1/2 spin system in contrast to $RMnO_3$ with S=2 spins. We expect that the spin spiral with $P \| a$ under H_{ex} (possibly the *ab*-plane spiral) is stabilized by the other interaction, and the DM coupling with the *c*-axis components of DM vectors is a possible candidate.

Now we compare our results with experimental ones. Between Figs. 1(e) and Fig. 2(b), there are a few discrepancies. First, threshold fields for the P reorientation are different; i.e., the calculated threshold value of H_{in}^b for $DyMnO_3$ is approximately 18 T, whereas the experimental value of H_{ex}^b is 1-4 T. Second, the slope of the phase boundary is very steep in the theoretical T- $H_{\rm in}$ diagram of Fig. 2(b), while in the experimental T- H_{ex} diagram of Fig. 1(e), it is rather gradual. These discrepancies are solved by considering the effective magnetic field H_{fd} generated by the rare-earth f moments, which acts on the Mn spins via the f-d coupling J_{fd} . Because of the AFM J_{fd} , H_{fd} and H_{ex} are antiparallel, and the internal field $H_{\text{in}}^{\gamma}(\gamma = a, b, c)$ is given by $H_{\text{in}}^{\gamma} = H_{\text{ex}}^{\gamma} - H_{fd}^{\gamma}$. Here H_{fd}^{γ} is written using the *f*-electron magnetization m_{γ} as a function of T and H_{ex}^{γ} as $H_{fd}^{\gamma}(T, H_{\text{ex}}^{\gamma}) = z J_{fd} m_{\gamma}(T, H_{\text{ex}}^{\gamma}).$ Here z(=8) is the coordination number of R ions around the Mn ion. We assume $J_{fd}=0.45 \text{ T}/\mu_{\rm B}$ for DyMnO₃. Figure 3(a) displays a color plot of the internal magnetic field $H_{\rm in}^b$ in the T- $H_{\rm ex}^b$ plane calculated using the experimental magnetization data. A solid line on which H_{in}^b is

equal to the calculated threshold value is drawn. This figure coincides with the experimental diagram of DyMnO₃ in Fig. 1(e). A similar analysis for TbMnO₃ has also reproduced the experimental diagram (not shown). The roles of the f-d coupling in RMnO₃ at $H_{ex}=0$ have been studied by recent neutron-scattering experiments [15, 16]. We find that the switching of P can be qualitatively understood even without considering the f-d coupling, but it should be taken into account for quantitative discussion.

Next we discuss the case of $H_{\text{ex}} \| c$. The theoretical $T-H_{in}^c$ phase diagrams of TbMnO₃ and DyMnO₃ are displayed in Figs. 4(a) and (b). In Fig. 4(a), we find the transition to a coplanar spin state with P=0 for TbMnO₃ at $H_{in}^c \sim 3-5$ T, which coincides with the experimental observation of paraelectric phase under $H_{\text{ex}} \| c$. For its magnetic structure, see Fig. 4(c). Again, there are a few discrepancies between the theoretical and experimental results [compare Figs. 1(f) and Fig. 4(a)]. They are resolved by considering the influence of Tb f moments. In Fig. 3(b), we display the T and H_{ex}^c dependence of the internal field $H_{\rm in}^c$ calculated from the experimental magnetization data. Here we assume $J_{fd}=0.65 \text{ T}/\mu_{\rm B}$ for TbMnO₃. Solid lines on which H_{in}^c is equal to the calculated threshold value are drawn. This figure coincides well with the experimental diagram of $TbMnO_3$ in Fig. 1(f). On the other hand, the transition to the *ab*plane transverse conical state with $P \| a$ [see Fig. 4(d)] is found for $DyMnO_3$ in Fig. 4(b), which has not been observed in experiments up to $H_{\text{ex}}^c=9$ T. The required $H_{\rm ex}^c$ for this transition deviates from the calculated critical value of H_{in}^c by the field H_{fd} from the Dy f moments antiparallel to H_{ex} . Hopefully, the reorientation of Pwill be observed in DyMnO₃ under a higher H_{ex}^c .

The contrasting behaviors of P under $H_{\text{ex}} \| c$ between DyMnO₃ and TbMnO₃ can be attributed to the difference in magnitude of the in-plane spin-exchange J_b . TbMnO₃ has much smaller $J_b=0.64$ meV than DyMnO₃ with $J_b=0.99$ meV. At $H_{ex}=0$, the Mn spins form a spiral order to minimize the spin-exchange energy in both compounds. Once we apply $H_{\text{ex}} \| c$, the ferromagnetic moment is induced along the c axis, and hence rotating components of the spins become reduced. Then in $TbMnO_3$ with a small J_b , the spiral and conical spin orders no longer take advantage of the spin exchanges under $H_{\rm ex} \| c$, resulting in the first-order transition to the coplanar state as shown in Fig. 4(c). This state can benefit from all of the large *a*-axis components of the DM vectors on the out-of-plane bonds, which are perpendicular to the coplanar spin plane. The H_{ex} -induced ferroelectric-toparaelectric transition with sudden vanishing of P has also been observed in many other spin-spiral multiferroics, e.g., $Ni_3V_2O_8$ [8] and $MnWO_4$ [7]. We expect that the above mechanism is relevant also to them.

In summary, we have theoretically studied the puzzling T- $H_{\rm ex}$ phase diagrams of the spin-spiral multiferroic $RMnO_3$ (R=Tb and Dy) and have revealed new mechanisms for the magnetic control of P by analyzing a microscopic spin model using the MC technique. We have shown that the applied $H_{\text{ex}} \| Q \| b$ in the present case) reduces the DM energy through modulating the interplane spin angles, and thereby controls a competition between $\mathcal{H}^c_{\mathrm{DM}}$ and other interaction $(\mathcal{H}^D_{\mathrm{sia}}$ in the present case), which results in the spiral-plane or spinchirality flop with reorientation of P. On the other hand, the applied $H_{\mathrm{ex}} \perp Q$ ($\|c\|$ in the present case) suppresses the spin-exchange energy through reducing the rotating components of spins, and thereby causes a competition between the spin exchanges \mathcal{H}_{ex} and other interaction (\mathcal{H}_{DM}^c) in the present case). As a result, the first-order transition from spiral to coplanar spin phases occurs in TbMnO₃ with a rather small J_b accompanied by the sudden disappearance of **P**. We have discussed that the proposed mechanisms are also applicable to many other spinspiral multiferroics. Additionally, we have found that the experimental results can be quantitatively reproduced by considering the effective field H_{fd} from the rare-earth f moments.

We thank Y. Tokura and N. Nagaosa for valuable discussions. MM thanks H. Murakawa and Y. Tokunaga for their experimental supports. This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid (No.22740214) and G-COE Program ("Physical Sciences Frontier") from MEXT Japan, and Funding Program for World-Leading Innovative R&D on Science and Technology (FIRST Program) from JSPS.

- [1] T. Kimura et al., Nature (London) **426**, 55 (2003).
- Y. Tokura, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **310**, 1145 (2007); S.-W. Cheong and M. Mostovoy, Nat. Mater. **6**, 13 (2007).
- [3] T. Kimura, Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. 37, 387 (2007).
- [4] T. Kimura, G. Lawes, T. Goto, Y. Tokura, and A. P. Ramirez, Phys. Rev. B 71, 224425 (2005).
- [5] H. Murakawa et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 197207 (2008).
- [6] S. Park, Y. J. Choi, C. L. Zhang, and S.-W. Cheong, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 057601 (2007).
- [7] K. Taniguchi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 064408 (2008).
- [8] M. Kenzelmann *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 014429 (2006).
- [9] M. Mostovoy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 067601 (2006).
- [10] H. Katsura, N. Nagaosa, and A. V. Balatsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 057205 (2005).
- [11] M. Kenzelmann *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 087206 (2005).
- [12] N. Aliouane et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 207205 (2009).
- [13] Y. Yamasaki et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 097204 (2008).
- [14] Y. Kobayashi et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 78, 084721 (2009).
- [15] O. Prokhnenko et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 057206 (2007).
- [16] O. Prokhnenko et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 177206 (2007).
- [17] For their direction vectors, we use the structural data of TbMnO₃ and DyMnO₃; see J. A. Alonso, M. J. Martínez-Lope, M. T. Casais, and M. T. Fernández-Díaz, Inorg. Chem. **39**, 917 (2000).
- [18] I. Solovyev, N. Hamada, and K. Terakura, Phys. Rev.

- Lett. **76**, 4825 (1996). [19] M. Mochizuki, and N. Furukawa, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 134416 (2009).
- [20] K. Hukushima and K. Nemoto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 65, 1604 (1996).