A Fresh Look at $B_{s,d} \to \pi\pi, \pi K, KK$ Decays

Robert Fleischer and Robert Knegjens Nikhef, Science Park 105, NL-1098 XG Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Abstract

Using updated measurements and SU(3)-breaking form factors, we have a detailed look at the $B_d \to \pi^+\pi^-$, $B_s \to K^+K^-$ and $B_d \to \pi^{\mp}K^{\pm}$, $B_s \to \pi^{\pm}K^{\mp}$ systems. The corresponding decays are related to each other by the U-spin symmetry of strong interactions and offer determinations of the angle γ of the unitarity triangle. In the former case, we obtain $\gamma = (68.3^{+4.8+5.0}_{-5.7-3.7})^{\circ}$, which is in excellent agreement with the Standard-Model fits of the unitarity triangle. The first errors correspond to experimental input uncertainties, while the latter are an estimate of U-spin-breaking effects. In view of this result, large CP-violating new-physics effects at the amplitude level are excluded. However, the effective $B_s \to K^+K^$ lifetime and the mixing-induced CP violation in this channel offer interesting probes for New Physics in $B_s^0 - \bar{B}_s^0$ mixing. In the case of the $B_d \to \pi^{\mp}K^{\pm}$, $B_s \to \pi^{\pm}K^{\mp}$ system, using additional information from $B^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm}K$, we obtain a bound of $\gamma \leq (71.8^{+5.4}_{-4.3})^{\circ}$, and the range $24^{\circ} \leq \gamma \leq 71^{\circ}$. We perform also tests of the U-spin symmetry and do not find any indication for large non-factorizable corrections.

> Talk at the 6th International Workshop on the CKM Unitarity Triangle (CKM2010) Warwick, United Kingdom, 6–10 September 2010 To appear in the Proceedings

December 2010

1 Introduction

The key problem in the analysis of non-leptonic B decays is related to the hadronic matrix elements of local four-quark operators. A powerful method to deal with this challenge is offered by the flavour symmetry of strong interactions, which allows us to get the relevant hadronic parameters from experimental data. In this respect, the *U*spin-related decays $B_d \to \pi^+\pi^-$, $B_s \to K^+K^-$ are particularly interesting, allowing a determination of the angle γ of the unitarity triangle (UT) [1]. The advantage with respect to conventional SU(3) strategies is twofold: no additional dynamical assumptions, which could be spoiled by large rescattering effects, have to be made, and electroweak penguins are automatically included. The relevant observables are the CP-averaged branching ratios, and the direct and mixing-induced CP asymmetries:

$$\frac{\Gamma(B_q^0(t) \to f) - \Gamma(\bar{B}_q^0(t) \to f)}{\Gamma(B_q^0(t) \to f) + \Gamma(\bar{B}_q^0(t) \to f)} \propto \mathcal{A}_{\rm CP}^{\rm dir} \cos(\Delta M_q t) + \mathcal{A}_{\rm CP}^{\rm mix} \sin(\Delta M_q t).$$
(1)

There is another interesting U-spin-related pair, which is given by $B_d \to \pi^{\mp} K^{\pm}$, $B_s \to \pi^{\pm} K^{\mp}$ [2]. By using further input from $B^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm} K$, γ can be extracted.

A comprehensive analysis of these decays was performed in 2007 [3]. Here we will have a fresh look at this topic, using updated measurements from CDF [4] and data taken by Belle at $\Upsilon(5S)$ [5], as well as updated information on SU(3)-breaking form factors [6]. For a detailed discussion, including derivations of the relevant formulae, further numerical results and references, the reader is referred to Ref. [7].

2 The $B_d \to \pi^+\pi^-, B_s \to K^+K^-$ System

In the Standard Model (SM), the $B_s^0 \to K^+ K^-$ and $B_d^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay amplitudes can be written as follows [1]:

$$A(B_d^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-) \propto \mathcal{C}\left[e^{i\gamma} - d\,e^{i\theta}\right], \quad A(B_s^0 \to K^+ K^-) \propto \mathcal{C}'\left[e^{i\gamma} + \frac{1}{\epsilon}d'e^{i\theta'}\right], \qquad (2)$$

where $\lambda \equiv |V_{us}|$, $\epsilon \equiv \lambda^2/(1-\lambda^2)$ are CKM factors, while $\mathcal{C}^{(\prime)}$ and $d^{(\prime)}e^{i\theta^{(\prime)}}$ are CPconserving hadronic parameters that describe, loosely speaking, tree contibutions and the ratio of penguin to tree amplitudes, respectively. The U-spin symmetry implies

$$d' = d, \quad \theta' = \theta. \tag{3}$$

Since $de^{i\theta}$ and $d'e^{i\theta'}$ are actually ratios of hadronic amplitudes, U-spin-breaking form factors and decay constants cancel. On the other hand, decay constants and form factors do not cancel in $|\mathcal{C}'/\mathcal{C}|$. Consequently, they enter also the observable

$$K \propto \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left| \frac{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{C}'} \right|^2 \left[\frac{\mathrm{BR}(B_s \to K^+ K^-)}{\mathrm{BR}(B_d \to \pi^+ \pi^-)} \right] = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left[\frac{\epsilon^2 + 2\epsilon d' \cos \theta' \cos \gamma + d'^2}{1 - 2d \cos \theta \cos \gamma + d^2} \right] \stackrel{\mathrm{exp}}{=} 51.8^{+12.7}_{-15.0},\tag{4}$$



Figure 1: Contours for the determination of γ from the $B_d \to \pi^+\pi^-$, $B_s \to K^+K^$ system: (a) 1σ error bands and 68% C.L. regions for current data; (b) illustration of the optimal determination of γ using also CP violation in $B_s \to K^+K^-$ (SM case).

where the numerical value uses the updated QCD sum-rule calculation of Ref. [6].

With the amplitude parameterizations in (2), we can express the CP asymmetries of these decays as functions of $d^{(\prime)}$, $\theta^{(\prime)}$ and γ . In the case of the mixing-induced CP asymmetries, also the $B_q^0 - \bar{B}_q^0$ mixing phases ϕ_q ($q \in \{d, s\}$) enter; $\phi_d = (42.4^{+3.4}_{-1.7})^\circ$ is already known [8], and ϕ_s can be determined through $B_s^0 \to J/\psi\phi$. The measured values of the CP asymmetries can then be converted into theoretically clean contours in the $\gamma - d^{(\prime)}$ planes. Using the U-spin relation d = d', γ as well as θ and θ' can be determined, where the strong phases offer a test of the U-spin symmetry [1].

So far, only BR($B_s \to K^+K^-$) has been measured by CDF [4] and Belle [5]. Unfortunately, data on the CP-violating effects in this channel are not yet available. In the case of $B_d \to \pi^+\pi^-$, there is good agreement between the BaBar and Belle results for the mixing-induced CP asymmetry; the Heavy Flavour Averaging Group (HFAG) gives the average $\mathcal{A}_{CP}^{mix}(B_d \to \pi^+\pi^-) = 0.65\pm0.07$. On the other hand, we are unfortunately still facing a discrepancy between the BaBar and Belle measurements for the direct CP asymmetry, which, in our opinion, makes it problematic to combine them in an average. This feature is reflected by the averages in the literature: HFAG gives $\mathcal{A}_{CP}^{dir}(B_d \to \pi^+\pi^-) = -0.38\pm0.06$, whereas the Particle Data Group quotes the same central value with a larger error of 0.17. In view of this unsatisfactory situation, we would like to avoid these averages, utilizing instead the direct CP-asymmetry measurement of the SU(3)-related $B_d \to \pi^{\mp}K^{\pm}$ channel, which is well settled. This gives $\mathcal{A}_{CP}^{dir}(B_d \to \pi^+\pi^-) = -0.26\pm0.10$, where we have generously inflated the error to allow for possible SU(3)-breaking corrections.

In view of the lack of data on CP violation in $B_s \to K^+ K^-$, we use the observable K. Using then also the CP asymmetries of $B_d \to \pi^+ \pi^-$ with ϕ_d , the U-spin relation



Figure 2: Target regions for early LHCb data: (a) dependence of $\tau_{K^+K^-}/\tau_{B_s}$ on ϕ_s , where the narrow band corresponds to the errors of the input quantities and U-spinbreaking effects; (b) correlation between $\mathcal{A}_{CP}^{mix}(B_s \to K^+K^-)$ and $\sin \phi_s$, where the error band takes input uncertainties and U-spin breaking corrections into account.

(3) provides sufficient information to extract γ and the hadronic parameters. In Fig. 1(a), we illustrate this determination in the γ -d plane by showing the error bands and confidence regions of a χ^2 fit to the current data. As discussed in Refs. [1, 3] (see also Section 3), the twofold ambiguity can be resolved, thereby leaving us with

$$\gamma = \left(68.3^{+4.8}_{-5.7}|_{\text{input}-3.7}|_{\xi-0.2}|_{\Delta\theta}\right)^{\circ}.$$
(5)

Here we have also included the impact of possible U-spin-breaking corrections, which we parameterize as $\xi \equiv d'/d = 1 \pm 0.15$ and $\Delta \theta \equiv \theta' - \theta = \pm 20^{\circ}$.

This result is in excellent agreement with the fits of the UT, giving $\gamma = (67.2^{+3.9}_{-3.9})^{\circ}$ (CKMfitter Collaboration) and $(69.6 \pm 3.1)^{\circ}$ (UTfit Collaboration). Consequently, large CP-violating New-Physics (NP) effects at the amplitude level are already excluded by the current data. However, $B_s \to K^+K^-$ offers sensitive probes for CPviolating NP contributions to $B_s^0 - \bar{B}_s^0$ mixing through its effective lifetime

$$\tau_{K^+K^-} \equiv \frac{\int_0^\infty t \left[\Gamma(B_s^0(t) \to K^+K^-) + \Gamma(\bar{B}_s^0(t) \to K^+K^-) \right] dt}{\int_0^\infty \left[\Gamma(B_s^0(t) \to K^+K^-) + \Gamma(\bar{B}_s^0(t) \to K^+K^-) \right] dt}$$
(6)

and the mixing-induced CP asymmetry $\mathcal{A}_{CP}^{\min}(B_s \to K^+K^-)$ [7]. These observables are also particularly interesting for improved measurements at the Tevatron and the early data taking at LHCb. Assuming the SM expressions in (2), we obtain the target regions shown in Fig. 2 as functions of the $B_s^0 - \bar{B}_s^0$ mixing phase ϕ_s . Once the CP-violating observables of $B_s^0 \to K^+K^-$ have been measured, an opti-

Once the CP-violating observables of $B_s^0 \to K^+K^-$ have been measured, an optimized determination of γ is possible through the corresponding contour in the $\gamma - d'$



Figure 3: The current situation of the contours in the $\gamma - r_{(s)}$ plane resulting from the $B_d \to \pi^{\mp} K^{\pm}$, $B_s \to \pi^{\pm} K^{\mp}$ strategy: (a) 1σ error bands for $R_{(s)}$; (b) 1σ error bands for $A_{0(s)}$. The two error crosses correspond to the twofold solution in Fig. 1(a).

plane [1]. In particular, K is then no longer needed, which removes our dependence on the theoretically determined parameter $|\mathcal{C}'/\mathcal{C}|$. In Fig. 1(b), we give the projected region for the contour coming from the CP asymmetries of $B_s \to K^+K^-$ that is compatible with the analysis shown in Fig. 1(a).

3 The $B_d \to \pi^{\mp} K^{\pm}, B_s \to \pi^{\pm} K^{\mp}$ System

An alternative for determining γ is given by the U-spin-related decays $B_d \to \pi^{\mp} K^{\pm}$ and $B_s \to \pi^{\pm} K^{\mp}$, which have amplitudes of the following structure [2]:

$$A(B_d^0 \to \pi^- K^+) = -P\left[1 - r \, e^{i\delta} e^{i\gamma}\right], A(B_s^0 \to \pi^+ K^-) = P_s \sqrt{\epsilon} \left[1 + r_s e^{i\delta_s} e^{i\gamma} / \epsilon\right], \tag{7}$$

where $P_{(s)}$ and $r_{(s)}e^{i\delta_{(s)}}$ are CP-conserving hadronic parameters that describe penguin amplitudes and the ratio of trees to penguins, respectively. An unbroken U-spin symmetry would imply $r_s = r$, $\delta_s = \delta$ and $|P_s/P| = 1$. For the extraction of γ , the overall normalization P has to be fixed, which can be done through $B^+ \to \pi^+ K^0$, neglecting colour-suppressed electroweak penguins and a doubly Cabibbo-suppressed correction, which is found from $B^+ \to K^+ \bar{K}^0$ data to play a minor role [3].

It is useful to introduce

$$R \sim \frac{\tau_{B^+}}{\tau_{B_d}} \left[\frac{\text{BR}(B_d \to \pi^{\mp} K^{\pm})}{\text{BR}(B^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm} K)} \right] = 1 - 2r \cos \delta \cos \gamma + r^2 \stackrel{\text{exp}}{=} 0.902 \pm 0.049.$$
(8)

This ratio of branching ratios can be converted into the following bound [9]:

$$\sin^2 \gamma \le R \Rightarrow \gamma \le \left(71.8^{+5.4}_{-4.3}\right)^\circ,\tag{9}$$

which is nicely consistent with (5). Further information on γ can be obtained from $A_0 \equiv \mathcal{A}_{CP}^{dir}(B_d \to \pi^{\mp} K^{\pm})R = 2r \sin \delta \sin \gamma$. If we replace $B_d \to \pi^{\mp} K^{\pm}$ through $B_s \to \pi^{\pm} K^{\mp}$, we can define – in analogy to R and A_0 – observables $R_s = 0.250^{+0.065}_{-0.088}$ [10], which depends – in contrast to R – on a form-factor ratio (taken from Ref. [6]), and A_s . The resulting situation in the γ - $r_{(s)}$ plane shown in Fig. 3 is very similar to that in Ref. [3], where a much more detailed discussion can be found. Because of the sgn($\cos \delta_s$) = sgn($\cos \delta$) = 1 constraint, only the lower branches of the γ - r_s contours are effective, so that we obtain $24^{\circ} \leq \gamma \leq 71^{\circ}$ with $0.07 \leq r_{(s)} \leq 0.13$. Consequently, the situation is not as fortunate as in the case of $B_d \to \pi^+\pi^-$, $B_s \to K^+K^-$.

Using the dictionary $re^{i\delta} = e^{i(\pi-\theta)}\epsilon/d$, we obtain the two error crosses in Fig. 3, corresponding to the two solutions in Fig. 1(a). We can clearly see that the solution around $\gamma \sim 38^{\circ}$ is excluded. We can also perform a variety of internal consistency checks of *U*-spin-breaking corrections, which do not indicate any significant non-factorizable effects within the current errors [3].

We look forward to improved measurements by CDF at the Tevatron and the first LHCb data on the decays considered above!

References

- [1] R. Fleischer, Phys. Lett. B **459** (1999) 306 [arXiv:hep-ph/9903456].
- [2] M. Gronau and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Lett. B 482 (2000) 71 [arXiv:hepph/0003119].
- [3] R. Fleischer, Eur. Phys. J. C 52 (2007) 267 [arXiv:0705.1121 [hep-ph]].
- [4] http://www-cdf.fnal.gov/physics/new/bottom/060921.blessed-bhh_1fb/;
 A. Abulencia *et al.* [CDF Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 97 (2006) 211802;
- [5] R. Louvot [Belle Collaboration], PoS E PS-HEP2009 (2009) 170 [arXiv:0909.2160 [hep-ex]].
- [6] G. Duplančić and B. Melić, Phys. Rev. D 78 (2008) 054015 [arXiv:0805.4170 [hep-ph]].
- [7] R. Fleischer and R. Knegjens, arXiv:1011.1096 [hep-ph].
- [8] S. Faller, R. Fleischer, M. Jung, and T. Mannel, Phys. Rev. D 79 (2009) 014030 [arXiv:0809.0842 [hep-ph]].
- [9] R. Fleischer and T. Mannel, Phys. Rev. D 57 (1998) 2752 [arXiv:hep-ph/9704423].
- [10] T. Aaltonen et al. [CDF Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 (2009) 031801.