arXiv:1012.4840v4 [hep-ph] 12 Jun 2012

Effective Higgs Vertices in the generic MSSM

Andreas Crivellin¹

¹Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics, Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Bern, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland. (Dated: February 24, 2024)

In this article we consider chirally enhanced corrections to Higgs vertices in the most general MSSM. We include the contributions stemming from bilinear-terms, from the trilinear A-terms, and from their nonholomorphic analogues, the A'-terms, which couple squarks to the "wrong" Higgs field. We perform a consistent renormalization of the Higgs vertices beyond the decoupling limit $(M_{SUSY} \to \infty)$, using a purely diagrammatic approach. The cancellation of the different contributions in and beyond the decoupling limit is discussed and the possible size of decoupling effects which occur if the SUSY particles are not much heavier than the electroweak-scale are examined. In the decoupling limit we recover the results obtained in the effective-field-theory approach. For the nonholomorphic A'-terms we find the well known tan β enhancement in the down-sector similar to the one for terms proportional to μ . Due to the a priori generic flavor structure of these trilinear terms large flavor-changing neutral Higgs couplings can be induced. We also discover new tan β enhanced contributions involving the usual holomorphic A-terms, which were not discussed before in the literature. These corrections occur only if also flavor-diagonal nonholomorphic corrections to the Higgs couplings are present. This reflects the fact that the A-terms, and also the chirality-changing self-energies, are physical quantities and cannot be absorbed into renormalization constants.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Gh,12.15.Ff,12.60.Jv,14.80.Da

I. INTRODUCTION

Chirally enhanced corrections to Higgs couplings in the MSSM have been under consideration for a long time starting with the first analysis of FCNC processes in Ref. [1]. Due to the μ -term in the MSSM superpotential, couplings to the "wrong" Higgs field are induced via quantum corrections. Therefore, in the decoupling limit in which all sparticles are heavy and integrated out, the MSSM is a two-Higgs-doublet (2HDM) model of type III. This means that even very heavy SUSY particles leave their imprint in the form of nonholomorphic Higgs-quark couplings. The resulting effective Higgs couplings are in general flavor-changing and are therefore of special interest since they can significantly enhance processes like $B_{s,d} \rightarrow \mu \mu$ [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. Especially the tan β enhanced corrections to Higgs couplings in the minimally-flavor violating MSSM have been under extensive investigation in the decoupling limit [1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 14, 15] and beyond [16]. These couplings have also been examined in the general MSSM with a generic flavor structure using an effective-field-theory approach [17].

In this article we want to go beyond the analysis of Ref. [17] in several aspects. First we want to compute the renormalization of the Higgs couplings beyond the decoupling limit. For this purpose we use a purely diagrammatic approach with a tree-level definition of the super-CKM basis as we have applied before to the CKM matrix [18] and to the squark-quark-gluino vertex [19]. We also perform the calculation in the most general MSSM which in principle also contains, in addition to the holomorphic A-terms, the nonholomorphic A'-terms [20, 21]. By nonholomorphic we mean that these trilinear terms couple squarks to the "wrong" Higgs fields due to the following term in the soft-SUSY breaking Lagrangian [22, 23]:

$$L_{\rm soft}^{A'} = h_u^{I*} \tilde{q}_{iL}^{I} A'_{ij}^{d} \tilde{d}_{jR}^* + h_d^{I*} \tilde{q}_{iL}^{I} A'_{ij}^{u} \tilde{u}_{jR}^* + h.c.$$
(1)

For the calculation of the corrections to the Higgs vertices we will use a minimal renormalization scheme (MS or $\overline{\text{MS}}$ for example). This has several advantages compared to an on-shell scheme as we want to illustrate later in more detail. First of all, the resummation of $\tan \beta$ turns out to be much easier in a minimal renormalization scheme. In addition, a minimal scheme corresponds to a tree-level definition of the super-CKM basis [18, 19] which allows for a direct relation between the parameters in the Lagrangian and physical quantities.

This article is structured as follows: First, in Sec. II we quote the Feynman rules for the Higgs-quark and Higgssquark vertices in our conventions. Section III is devoted to the diagrammatic calculation of the effective Higgs-quark



FIG. 1: Higgs-quark vertices with the corresponding Feynman-rules.

vertices. In Sec. IV we perform the analysis in the effective-field-theory confirming previous results in the decoupling limit. Here we also compare our results to the ones in the literature. Finally we conclude in Sec. V.

II. HIGGS FEYNMAN RULES

In this section we quote the Feynman-rules for the Higgs vertices both for easy reference for the reader and in order to fix our conventions and abbreviations. First consider the Higgs-quark vertices shown in Fig. 1. Let us write the Feynman-rules for the neutral Higgs as

$$i\left(\Gamma_{q_f q_i}^{RL H_k^0} P_L + \Gamma_{q_f q_i}^{LR H_k^0} P_R\right) \qquad \text{with} \qquad \mathbf{H}_k^0 = \left(\begin{array}{c} H^0, \ h^0, \ A^0. \end{array} \right)$$
(2)

Here H^0 (h^0) is the heavy (light) CP-even Higgs and A^0 is the physical CP-odd Higgs particle. The indices f and i denote the flavors of the quarks. We also write the charged-Higgs vertex with an outgoing up-quark as

$$i\left(\Gamma_{u_fq_i}^{RL\,H^+}P_L + \Gamma_{u_fq_i}^{LR\,H^+}P_R\right).\tag{3}$$

With these definitions we have the following couplings Γ for the uncorrected (tree-level) vertices

$$\Gamma_{q_{f}q_{i}}^{LR\,H_{k}^{0}} = \left(\Gamma_{q_{i}q_{f}}^{RL\,H_{k}^{0}}\right)^{*} = \tilde{\Gamma}_{q}^{H_{k}^{0}}Y_{i}^{q}\delta_{fi},$$

$$\Gamma_{u_{f}d_{i}}^{LR\,H^{+}} = \sin\left(\beta\right)V_{fi}^{CKM\,(0)}Y_{i}^{d},$$

$$\Gamma_{u_{f}d_{i}}^{RL\,H^{+}} = \cos\left(\beta\right)Y_{f}^{u*}V_{fi}^{CKM\,(0)}.$$
(4)

where we have defined the flavor independent quantity $\Gamma_q^{H_k^0}$ which is given by:

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{d}^{H_{k}^{0}} = \left(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}\cos\left(\alpha\right), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin\left(\alpha\right), \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\sin\left(\beta\right)\right),$$

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{u}^{H_{k}^{0}} = \left(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin\left(\alpha\right), \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}\cos\left(\alpha\right), \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\cos\left(\beta\right)\right).$$
(5)

Here, α is the usual mixing angle of the Higgs sector (see for example [22, 23]) and $\tan \beta = v_u/v_d$ is the ratio of the vacuum expectation values acquired by h_d and h_u , respectively. In Eq. (4), Y^q is the tree-level (uncorrected) Yukawa matrix of the MSSM superpotential which is diagonal in the super-CKM basis. We choose a "tree-level" definition of

the super-CKM basis which respects Supersymmetry also at the loop-level [18, 19]. This means we diagonalize the bare Yukawa couplings and apply the same rotations to the squark fields. Therefore, the CKM matrix which occurs in the charged-Higgs vertex is the one which arises solely due to the misalignment between the bare Yukawa couplings. We will explain this in more detail in the next section.

Now we consider the Higgs-squark couplings. Again we denote the Feynman-rule of the corresponding neutral Higgs vertex by $i\Gamma_{\tilde{q}_s\tilde{q}_t}^{H_k^0}$ and the charged-Higgs vertex by $i\Gamma_{\tilde{u}_s\tilde{d}_t}^{H^+}$ where s (t) label the mass eigenstates of the outgoing (incoming) squark running from 1 to 6. In order to simplify the notation it is useful to define some more abbreviations:

$$\Gamma_{\tilde{q}_{s}\tilde{q}_{t}}^{H_{k}^{0} EW} = -a_{q}b_{q}^{k}\frac{e^{2}}{3c_{W}^{2}}\left(\delta^{st} + \frac{3-4a_{q}s_{W}^{2}}{2a_{q}s_{W}^{2}}W_{is}^{\tilde{d}*}W_{it}^{\tilde{d}}\right), \\
\Gamma_{\tilde{q}_{s}\tilde{q}_{t}}^{Y} = -v_{q}Y_{q}^{i}Y_{q}^{i*}\left(W_{is}^{\tilde{q}*}W_{it}^{\tilde{q}} + W_{i+3,s}^{\tilde{q}*}W_{it}^{\tilde{q}}\right), \\
\Gamma_{\tilde{q}_{s}\tilde{q}_{t}}^{RLA} = -W_{j+3,s}^{\tilde{q}*}A_{ij}^{q}W_{it}^{\tilde{q}}, \\
\Gamma_{\tilde{q}_{s}\tilde{q}_{t}}^{LRA} = -W_{is}^{\tilde{q}*}A_{ij}^{q*}W_{j+3,t}^{q}, \\
\Gamma_{\tilde{q}_{s}\tilde{q}_{t}}^{RL\mu A'} = -W_{j+3,s}^{\tilde{q}*}\left(A_{ij}^{\prime q} + \mu^{*}Y_{i}^{q*}\delta_{ij}\right)W_{it}^{\tilde{q}}, \\
\Gamma_{\tilde{q}_{s}\tilde{q}_{t}}^{LR\mu A'} = -W_{is}^{\tilde{q}*}\left(A_{ij}^{\prime q} + \muY_{i}^{q}\delta_{ij}\right)W_{j+3,t}^{q}.$$
(6)

The definitions for the rotation matrices $W^{\tilde{q}}$ can be found in Ref. [18] and the constants a_q and b_q^k are given by:

$$a_{d} = 1, \quad a_{u} = 2,$$

$$b_{d}^{k} = -b_{u}^{k} = \left(v_{d} \cos\left(\alpha\right) - v_{u} \sin\left(\alpha\right), \quad -v_{d} \sin\left(\alpha\right) - v_{u} \cos\left(\alpha\right), \quad 0 \right).$$
(7)

With these conventions the Feynman rules for the neutral Higgs vertices can be written in a very compact form:

$$\Gamma^{H^0_k}_{\tilde{q}_s\tilde{q}_t} = \Gamma^{H^0_k EW}_{\tilde{q}_s\tilde{q}_t} + \tilde{\Gamma}^{H^0_k}_q \left(\Gamma^Y_{\tilde{q}_s\tilde{q}_t} + \Gamma^{LRA}_{\tilde{q}_s\tilde{q}_t} + c^k_q \Gamma^{LR\,\mu A'}_{\tilde{q}_s\tilde{q}_t} \right) + \tilde{\Gamma}^{H^{0*}}_q \left(\Gamma^Y_{\tilde{q}_s\tilde{q}_t} + \Gamma^{RLA}_{\tilde{q}_s\tilde{q}_t} + c^k_q \Gamma^{RL\,\mu A'}_{\tilde{q}_s\tilde{q}_t} \right), \tag{8}$$

with

$$c_d^k = 1/c_u^k = \left(\tan\left(\alpha\right), -\cot\left(\alpha\right), -\cot\left(\beta\right)\right).$$
(9)

Finally we have for the charged-Higgs:

$$\Gamma_{\tilde{u}_{s}\tilde{d}_{t}}^{H^{+}} = \left[\left(\frac{-e^{2}}{2s_{W}^{2}} \left(v_{d}\sin\left(\beta\right) + v_{u}\cos\left(\beta\right) \right) + v_{d}(Y_{d}^{i})^{2}\sin\left(\beta\right) + v_{u}(Y_{u}^{j})^{2}\cos\left(\beta\right) \right) V_{ji}^{CKM(0)} W_{it}^{\tilde{d}} W_{js}^{\tilde{u}*} \\
+ \frac{\sqrt{2}M_{W}s_{W}}{e} Y_{u}^{j*}Y_{d}^{i}V_{ji}^{CKM(0)} W_{i+3,t}^{\tilde{d}} W_{j+3,s}^{\tilde{u}*} \\
+ \left(\sin\left(\beta\right) \mu^{*}Y_{u}^{j*}\delta_{kj} + \sin\left(\beta\right) A_{u}^{\prime kj*} - \cos\left(\beta\right) A_{u}^{kj*} \right) V_{ki}^{CKM(0)} W_{j+3,s}^{\tilde{u}*} W_{it}^{\tilde{d}} \\
+ \left(-\sin\left(\beta\right) A_{d}^{ki} + \cos\left(\beta\right) A_{d}^{\prime ki} + \cos\left(\beta\right) \mu Y_{d}^{i}\delta_{ki} \right) V_{jk}^{CKM(0)} W_{js}^{\tilde{u}*} W_{i+3,t}^{\tilde{d}} \right]$$
(10)

With these vertices at hand, we can now calculate the effective Higgs-quark couplings including the chirally enhanced loop-corrections.

III. RENORMALIZATION IN THE FULL THEORY

We now want to calculate the effective Higgs-quark vertices taking into account the leading chirally enhanced corrections. We follow a diagrammatic approach treating all diagrams with flavor-changing self-energy on an external leg as one-particle irreducible [24]. (We already applied the same approach to the renormalization of the CKM matrix [18] and of the squark-quark-gluino vertex [19].) These flavor-changing corrections can be viewed as rotation in



FIG. 2: Quark self-energy with squark and gluino as virtual particles. We receive the chirally enhanced part by evaluating this diagram at vanishing external momentum.

flavor space, while flavor-conserving self-energies renormalize the quark masses. In the following we will focus on the gluino contributions which are dominant (in most regions of parameter space) in the presence of generic sources of flavor-violation since they involve the strong coupling constant.

As mentioned in the introduction we also include the nonholomorphic trilinear-terms which couple squarks to the "wrong" Higgs fields via the terms in Eq. (1). These terms enter the squark mass matrices:

$$\mathbf{M}_{\tilde{u}}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix}
V_{CKM}^{(0)}\mathbf{M}_{LL}^{\tilde{q}\,2}V_{CKM}^{(0)\dagger} + \frac{\cos 2\beta}{6} \left(m_{Z}^{2} + 2m_{W}^{2}\right)\hat{1} + v_{u}^{2}Y^{u}Y^{u*} & -v_{u}\mathbf{A}^{u} - v_{d}\mathbf{A}^{\prime u} - v_{u}Y^{u}\mu\cot\beta \\
-v_{u}\mathbf{A}^{u\dagger} - v_{d}\mathbf{A}^{\prime u\dagger} - v_{u}Y^{u*}\mu^{*}\cot\beta & \mathbf{M}_{RR}^{\tilde{u}\,2} + \frac{2\cos 2\beta}{3}m_{Z}^{2}\sin^{2}\theta_{W}\hat{1} + v_{u}^{2}Y^{u}Y^{u*} \\
\mathbf{M}_{\tilde{d}}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix}
\mathbf{M}_{LL}^{\tilde{q}\,2} - \frac{\cos 2\beta}{6} \left(m_{Z}^{2} - 4m_{W}^{2}\right)\hat{1} + v_{d}^{2}Y^{d}Y^{d*} & -v_{d}\mathbf{A}^{d} - v_{u}\mathbf{A}^{\prime d} + v_{d}Y^{d}\mu\tan\beta \\
-v_{d}\mathbf{A}^{d\dagger} - v_{u}\mathbf{A}^{\prime d\dagger} - v_{d}Y^{d*}\mu^{*}\tan\beta & \mathbf{M}_{RR}^{\tilde{d}\,2} - \frac{\cos 2\beta}{3}m_{Z}^{2}\sin^{2}\theta_{W}\hat{1} + v_{d}^{2}Y^{d}Y^{d*}
\end{pmatrix}$$
(11)

Here $V_{\text{CKM}}^{(0)}$ denotes the bare CKM matrix and Y^q is the unrenormalized Yukawa coupling of the MSSM superpotential. Since the structure of the A'-terms in the squark mass matrices is similar to the term proportional to μ we can anticipate similar large tan β enhanced corrections for the couplings of down-quarks to Higgs particles.

A. The SQCD quark self-energy

First, consider the quark self-energy with squarks and gluinos as virtual particles shown in Fig. 2. In order to receive its chirally enhanced part it is sufficient to evaluate the diagram at vanishing external momentum [33]. With this simplification we get

$$\Sigma_{fi}^{q} = \frac{2}{3\pi} \alpha_{s} m_{\tilde{g}} \sum_{s,t=1}^{6} \left(V_{sfi}^{qLR} P_{R} + V_{sfi}^{qRL} P_{L} \right) B_{0} \left(m_{\tilde{g}}^{2}, m_{\tilde{q}_{s}}^{2} \right).$$
(12)

Note that the self-energy is also non-decoupling. This means that it does not vanish for infinitely large SUSY masses. Since we know that the self-energy evaluated at vanishing external momentum is chirality-changing it must be proportional to at least one power of a chirality flipping off-diagonal Δ_{ij}^{qLR} element of the squark-mass matrix. Even though the mass-insertion approximation loses precision for extremely large off-diagonal elements in the squark mass matrix, the expansion in $\Delta_{ij}^{qAB}/M_{SUSY}^2$ will always converge (at least slowly) since we know that the off-diagonal

elements of the squark mass matrices are smaller than the diagonal ones (otherwise we would get negative squared squark masses).

In the decoupling limit the self-energy is linear in the chirality-flipping parameters. Therefore, it is possible (and useful) to factor out one power of $\Delta_{ij}^{q LR}$ and to write the self-energy in the following way:

$$\Sigma_{fi}^{q\,LR} = \frac{2}{3\pi} \alpha_s m_{\tilde{g}} \sum_{j,k=1}^{3} \sum_{s,t=1}^{6} V_{s\,fj}^{q\,LR} \Delta_{jk}^{q\,LR} V_{t\,ki}^{q\,RR} C_0 \left(m_{\tilde{g}}^2, m_{\tilde{q}_s}^2, m_{\tilde{q}_t}^2 \right),$$

$$\Sigma_{fi}^{q\,RL} = \frac{2}{3\pi} \alpha_s m_{\tilde{g}} \sum_{j,k=1}^{3} \sum_{s,t=1}^{6} V_{s\,fj}^{q\,RR} \Delta_{kj}^{q\,LR*} V_{t\,ki}^{q\,LL} C_0 \left(m_{\tilde{g}}^2, m_{\tilde{q}_s}^2, m_{\tilde{q}_t}^2 \right).$$
(13)

Note that this expression is exact in the decoupling limit. It contains all orders of chirality-conserving mass insertions since the rotation-matrices involved in V_{sfj}^{qAB} take into account all possible flavor changes. One should keep in mind that in the decoupling limit, V_{sfj}^{qAB} and $m_{\tilde{q}_s}$ do not depend on the chirality-flipping entries of the squark mass matrices.

Since

$$\Delta_{ij}^{d\,LR} = -v_d A_{ij}^d - v_u A_{ij}'^d - v_u \mu Y_i^d \delta_{ij},$$

$$\Delta_{ij}^{u\,LR} = -v_u A_{ij}^u - v_d A_{ij}'^u - v_d \mu Y_i^u \delta_{ij},$$
(14)

we can split the self-energy into the following three parts:

$$\Sigma_{fiA}^{qLR} = \frac{-2}{3\pi} \alpha_s m_{\tilde{g}} v_d \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \sum_{s,t=1}^6 V_{sfj}^{qLL} A_{jk}^d V_{tki}^{qRR} C_0 \left(m_{\tilde{g}}^2, m_{\tilde{q}_s}^2, m_{\tilde{q}_t}^2 \right),$$

$$\Sigma_{fiA'}^{qLR} = \frac{-2}{3\pi} \alpha_s m_{\tilde{g}} v_u \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \sum_{s,t=1}^6 V_{sfj}^{qLL} A_{jk}' V_{tki}^{qRR} C_0 \left(m_{\tilde{g}}^2, m_{\tilde{q}_s}^2, m_{\tilde{q}_t}^2 \right),$$

$$\Sigma_{fiY}^{qLR} = \frac{-2}{3\pi} \alpha_s m_{\tilde{g}} v_u \mu \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{s,t=1}^6 V_{sfj}^{qLL} Y_j^d V_{tki}^{qRR} C_0 \left(m_{\tilde{g}}^2, m_{\tilde{q}_s}^2, m_{\tilde{q}_t}^2 \right).$$
(15)

We derived these equations without having made an assumptions about the hierarchy between the SUSY and the electroweak scale (we just demand that the SUSY-particles are sufficiently heavier than the external quarks). In principle, the rotation matrices also depend on the A-terms and on the Yukawa coupling. Therefore, this decomposition is not unambiguous. However, in the decoupling limit no higher orders in A or Y^q survive and the rotation matrices depend only on the bilinear terms of the squark mass matrices. In this case it is useful to define the sum of all self-energies involving "wrong" Higgs couplings by:

$$\Sigma_{fi\,A'\mu}^{q\,LR} = \Sigma_{fi\,A'}^{q\,LR} + \Sigma_{fi\,Y}^{q\,LR}.$$
(16)

B. Minimal versus on-shell renormalization

In this subsection we want to discuss and clarify the differences between minimal renormalization and on-shell renormalization regarding finite SUSY corrections. We emphasize that a minimal renormalization scheme leads to the same result for the bare quantities as the on-shell scheme, however, it is more straight forward and the symmetries of the superpotential are also manifest in the renormalized Yukawa couplings.

The self-energy in Eq. (13) is finite. This means that the introduction of a counter-term is possible, but not necessary. There are two obvious choices: A minimal renormalization scheme (MS and $\overline{\text{MS}}$ are equivalent here) or the on-shell scheme. Let us illustrate the two possibilities for the simple case of the renormalization of the bottom-quark mass by tan β enhanced corrections [34]. In any renormalization scheme the physical quark mass m_b is given by [35]

$$m_b = Y^b v_d + \delta Y^b v_d + Y^b v_u \varepsilon_b \tag{17}$$

 δY^b being the counter-term to Y^b , $\varepsilon_b = \sum_{33}^{d LR} / (Y_3^d v_u)$ and the bare Yukawa coupling is $Y^{b(0)} = Y^b + \delta Y^b$. The counter-term is determined by the renormalization condition. First consider the on-shell scheme (for details of the calculation see Ref. [16]). On-shell means that the physical bottom mass equals the renormalized one. This requirement determines the mass counter-term and the Yukawa counter-term at the one-loop level:

$$\delta m_b \equiv v_d \delta Y^b = -Y^b v_u \varepsilon_b \tag{18}$$

Note that the counter-term can be of order one due to the $\tan \beta$ enhancement. At a higher loop-order *n* there is only one chirally enhanced diagram which can also be of order one [25]: the one-loop self-energy with the insertion of the n-1 counter term for Y^b . Therefore, we can iteratively solve for $Y^{b(0)}$, which is determined by a geometric series [36]:

$$Y^{b(0)} = Y^{b} - \frac{m_{b}}{v_{d}} \left[\varepsilon_{b} \tan\beta - (\varepsilon_{b} \tan\beta)^{2} + (\varepsilon_{b} \tan\beta)^{3} - \dots \right] = \frac{m_{b}}{v_{d} \left(1 + \varepsilon_{b} \tan\beta \right)}$$
(19)

This is the well know resummation formula for large $\tan \beta$ which determines the relation between the bare Yukawa coupling and the physical quark mass [37].

Now we want to derive the corresponding formula in a minimal renormalization scheme where things will turn out to be much simpler. Minimal renormalization implies that we don't introduce a counter-term at all since the corrections are finite. Therefore, the physical bottom-quark mass is just given by

$$m_b = v_d Y^b + Y^b v_u \varepsilon_b. \tag{20}$$

Since the counter-term is put to zero, the renormalized mass (Yukawa coupling) is equal to the bare mass (Yukawa coupling). However, the renormalized quark mass is no longer the physical one but rather equals the part of the mass which originates at tree-level from the Yukawa coupling of the superpotential. This statement is valid to all orders in perturbation theory. Since we don't introduce a counter-term, there is also nothing we could have to insert at higher loop-orders. Even though the meaning of the renormalized quantities is different now, the relation between the physical quark mass for the bare Yukawa coupling remains unchanged. This is easy to see, we just have to solve Eq. (20) for the Yukawa in order to recover Eq. (19). This simple example illustrates that a minimal renormalization scheme is simpler for the conceptional point of view since no higher loop-diagrams have to be taken into account, and therefore the resummation is automatically achieved.

The same arguments also apply to the flavor-changing case. One can, in principle, cancel flavor-changing selfenergies on external lines with flavor-off-diagonal mass counter-terms. However, these counter-terms reappear in the vertices via the LSZ factor. In the case of the W-vertex these counter-terms, or equivalently the self-energy correction can be absorbed into the CKM matrix [18]. Again an on-shell or a minimal renormalization is possible. In this case the same arguments apply as in the case of the bottom-quark mass. Also in the case of the renormalization of the squark-quark-gluino vertex a minimal renormalization scheme is preferred. We have already discussed this in detail in Ref. [19]. In addition, in the limit when masses or CKM mixing angles are generated radiatively [26] it is unnatural to introduce counter-terms to quantities which are zero at tree-level because in this case the counter-terms would break the symmetry of the Lagrangian. Of course, minimal renormalization and on-shell renormalization lead to the same physical results, however, due to the arguments presented above we will use a minimal renormalization scheme in the following which we believe is clearly preferred over an on-shell one.

C. Finite renormalization of quark masses and wave functions

Now let us consider the general finite renormalization of wave functions and quark masses in a minimal renormalization scheme. The physical quark mass is given by

$$m_{q_i} = v_q Y_i^q + \Sigma_{ii}^{q \ LR}.\tag{21}$$

Equation 21 determines implicitly the Yukawa couplings for given SUSY parameters. The self-energy on the right side can in principle contain arbitrarily many powers of Yukawa couplings. In this case it is only possible to determine the Yukawa coupling analytically in the absence of flavor-mixing [16]. However, Eq. (21) can be easily solved numerically since, as already noted, the mass-insertion approximation has to converge due to the positivity condition for the

squark masses which demands that the off-diagonal elements are smaller than the diagonal ones. However, it is useful to have an analytic formula at hand. If we restrict ourselves to terms proportional to only one power of Y^d (which is exact in the decoupling limit) than we recover the well known resummation formula for $\tan \beta$ enhanced corrections in the down-quark sector with a correction due to the A-terms

$$Y_i^d = \frac{m_{d_i} - \sum_{ii}^{d LR} \sum_{ij}^{d'}}{v_d \left(1 + \tan\left(\beta\right) \varepsilon_i^d\right)}.$$
(22)

Here ε_i^d is given by

$$\varepsilon_i^d = \frac{\sum_{ii\,Y_i^d}^{d\,LR}}{Y_i^d v_u}.\tag{23}$$

and $\sum_{ii}^{dLR} V_i$ is the part of the self-energy which involves no Yukawa coupling Y_i^d (for example also $\sum_{11}^{dLR} \sim \Delta_{13}^{LL} v_d Y_3^d \tan(\beta) \Delta_{31}^{RR}$ is included here). In the up-sector we can safely neglect the self-energy contributions proportional to Y^u since they are suppressed by $\cot(\beta)$. Therefore, the Yukawa coupling is simply given by

$$Y_i^u = \left(m_{u_i} - \Sigma_{ii}^{u\,LR}\right)/v_u.\tag{24}$$

Furthermore, the flavor-changing self-energies induce also a wave-function rotation in flavor space. This rotation has to be applied to all external quark fields. At the one loop level, neglecting small mass-ratios, it is given by [18]:

$$U_{fi}^{q\,L} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\Sigma_{12}^{q\,LR}}{m_{q_2}} & \frac{\Sigma_{13}^{q\,LR}}{m_{q_3}} \\ \frac{-\Sigma_{21}^{q\,RL}}{m_{q_2}} & 1 & \frac{\Sigma_{23}^{q\,LR}}{m_{q_3}} \\ \frac{-\Sigma_{31}^{q\,RL}}{m_{q_3}} & \frac{-\Sigma_{32}^{q\,RL}}{m_{q_3}} & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{fi}$$
(25)

However, for transitions between the third and the first generation also two-loop corrections can be important [18, 26]. Applying the rotations in Eq. (25) to the W-vertex renormalizes the CKM matrix. Then bare CKM matrix (stemming from the misalignment between the Yukawa couplings) can now be calculated in terms of the physical one:

$$V^{CKM(0)} = U^{u\,L\dagger} V^{CKM} U^{d\,L} \tag{26}$$

Here a comment on the quark mass appearing propagator is in order. Without the self-energy corrections, the propagator contains the bare quark mass $Y_i^q v_q$. However, the self-energy corrections have to be included to all orders using the Dyson resummation. In this way, again the physical mass $M_{q_i} = Y_i^q v_q + \sum_{ii}^{qLR}$ appears in the propagator. This mass, which enters in Eq. (25) has to be evaluated at the same scale as the self-energy corrections \sum_{ij}^{qLR} . Furthermore, it can be shown that it is the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ renormalized quark mass [16] extracted from experiment using the SM prescription.

D. Calculation of the effective Higgs-vertices

Now we are ready to address the renormalization of the Higgs-quark vertices. First, let us apply the field rotation in Eq. (25) to the neutral Higgs vertices. If we do this, the self-energy contributions to the neutral and charged-Higgs vertices are simply given by:

$$\Gamma_{q_{f}q_{i}}^{RL\,H_{k}^{0}} \Big|_{SE}^{eff} = U_{jf}^{q\,R*} \Gamma_{q_{j}q_{k}}^{RL\,H_{k}^{0}} U_{ki}^{q\,L}, \qquad \Gamma_{q_{f}q_{i}}^{LR\,H_{k}^{0}} \Big|_{SE}^{eff} = U_{jf}^{q\,L*} \Gamma_{q_{j}q_{k}}^{LR\,H_{k}^{0}} U_{ki}^{q\,R},$$

$$\Gamma_{u_{f}d_{i}}^{RL\,H^{+}} \Big|_{SE}^{eff} = U_{jf}^{u\,R*} \Gamma_{u_{j}d_{k}}^{RL\,H^{+}} U_{ki}^{d\,L}, \qquad \Gamma_{u_{f}d_{i}}^{LR\,H^{+}} \Big|_{SE}^{eff} = U_{jf}^{u\,L*} \Gamma_{u_{j}d_{k}}^{LR\,H^{+}} U_{ki}^{d\,R}.$$

$$(27)$$

This means that in order to obtain the flavor structure of the self-energy corrections we have to calculate:

$$\tilde{Y}_{fi}^{q} = U_{jf}^{q\,L*} Y_{j}^{q} U_{ji}^{q\,R} = Y^{q_{i}} \delta_{fi} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{Y_{2}^{q}}{m_{q_{2}}} \Sigma_{12}^{q\,LR} & \frac{Y_{3}^{q}}{m_{q_{2}}} \Sigma_{13}^{q\,LR} \\ \frac{Y_{2}^{q}}{m_{q_{2}}} \Sigma_{21}^{q\,LR} & 0 & \frac{Y_{3}^{q}}{m_{q_{2}}} \Sigma_{23}^{q\,LR} \\ \frac{Y_{3}^{q}}{m_{q_{2}}} \Sigma_{31}^{q\,LR} & \frac{Y_{3}^{q}}{m_{q_{2}}} \Sigma_{32}^{q\,LR} & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{fi}$$

$$(28)$$

Substituting Eq. (22) and Eq. (24) into Eq. (28) we can express everything via self-energies and physical masses:

$$\tilde{Y}_{fi}^{u} = \frac{1}{v_{u}} \begin{pmatrix} m_{u_{i}} \delta_{fi} - \Sigma_{fi}^{uLR} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{\Sigma_{22}^{uLR}}{m_{u_{2}}} \Sigma_{12}^{uLR} & \frac{\Sigma_{33}^{uLR}}{m_{u_{3}}} \Sigma_{13}^{uLR} \\ \frac{\Sigma_{22}^{uLR}}{m_{u_{2}}} \Sigma_{21}^{uLR} & 0 & \frac{\Sigma_{33}^{uLR}}{m_{u_{3}}} \Sigma_{23}^{uLR} \\ \frac{\Sigma_{33}^{uLR}}{m_{u_{3}}} \Sigma_{31}^{uLR} & \frac{\Sigma_{33}^{uLR}}{m_{u_{3}}} \Sigma_{32}^{uLR} & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{fi} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\tilde{Y}_{fi}^{d} = \frac{1}{v_{d}} \frac{1}{1 + \tan\left(\beta\right)} \varepsilon_{\max(f,i)}^{d} \begin{pmatrix} m_{d_{1}} - \Sigma_{22}^{dLR} & \frac{m_{d_{2}} - \Sigma_{22}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{2}}} & \frac{m_{d_{2}} - \Sigma_{22}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{2}}} \Sigma_{12}^{dLR} & \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}} \Sigma_{13}^{dLR} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{32}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{2}}} \Sigma_{22}^{dLR} & m_{d_{2}} - \Sigma_{22}^{dLR} & \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}} \Sigma_{32}^{dLR} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}} \Sigma_{31}^{dLR} & \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}} \Sigma_{31}^{dLR} & \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}} \Sigma_{32}^{dLR}} & m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}} \Sigma_{32}^{dLR}} & \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{32}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3}} - \Sigma_{33}^{dLR}}{m_{d_{3}}} \\ \frac{m_{d_{3$$

Here we have neglected small mass ratios and terms which involve more than one flavor-changing self-energy. Using Eq. (26) we can derive the following equalities which appear in charged-Higgs vertices

$$U^{u\,L\dagger}V^{CKM\,(0)}Y^{d\,(0)}U^{d\,R} = V^{CKM}U^{d\,L\dagger}Y^{d\,(0)}U^{d\,R},$$

$$U^{u\,R\dagger}Y^{u*\,(0)}V^{CKM\,(0)}U^{d\,L} = U^{u\,R\dagger}Y^{u\,(0)*}U^{u\,L}V^{CKM}.$$
(31)

In this way the quantities \tilde{Y}^q also occur in the charged-Higgs vertices. Hence, we find for the self-energy corrections to the Higgs vertices

$$\Gamma_{q_f q_i}^{LR H_k^0} \Big|_{SE}^{eff} = U_{jf}^{q \ L*} \Gamma_{q_j}^{LR H_k^0} U_{ji}^{q \ R} = \tilde{Y}_{fi}^q \tilde{\Gamma}_q^{H_k^0}, \tag{32}$$

$$\Gamma_{u_f d_i}^{LRH^+} \Big|_{SE}^{eff} = \sin\left(\beta\right) V_{fj}^{CKM} \tilde{Y}_{ji}^d,$$

$$\Gamma_{u_f d_i}^{RLH^+} \Big|_{SE}^{eff} = \cos\left(\beta\right) \tilde{Y}_{jf}^{u*} V_{ji}^{CKM}.$$
(33)

This completes the calculation of the self-energy corrections. Next we turn to the genuine vertex corrections. As explained in the previous subsection, the rotations in flavor-space $U_{ij}^{qL,R}$ can be of order one in the presence of chirally-enhanced flavor changing self-energies. The same is true concerning the genuine vertex correction. Therefore, a simple power-counting of α_s is not possible since terms proportional to α_s^2 can be of the same order as terms proportional to α_s . This means, we have to apply the rotation matrices $U_{ij}^{qL,R}$ to all external quarks, also the ones in the genuine vertex correction. This is crucial in order to obtain the correct vertices in the decoupling limit. As it turns

out, without these additional rotations, we would not reproduce the Higgs couplings obtained in the effective-fieldtheory approach (see Sec. IV). Hence the genuine vertex corrections including theses rotations read for the neutral Higgs couplings

$$\Gamma_{q_f q_i}^{LR H_k^0} \Big|_{GVren}^{eff} = \frac{2}{3\pi} \alpha_s m_{\tilde{g}} \sum_{s,t=1}^{6} \sum_{j,l=1}^{3} U_{jf}^{q \, L*} W_{js}^{\tilde{q}} \Gamma_{st}^{H_k^0} W_{l+3,t}^{\tilde{q}*} U_{li}^{q \, R} C_0 \left(m_{\tilde{g}}^2, m_{\tilde{q}_t}^2, m_{\tilde{q}_s}^2 \right),$$

$$\Gamma_{q_f q_i}^{RL H_k^0} \Big|_{GVren}^{eff} = \frac{2}{3\pi} \alpha_s m_{\tilde{g}} \sum_{s,t=1}^{6} \sum_{j,l=1}^{3} U_{jf}^{q \, R*} W_{j+3,s}^{\tilde{q}} \Gamma_{st}^{H_k^0} W_{lt}^{\tilde{q}*} U_{li}^{q \, L} C_0 \left(m_{\tilde{g}}^2, m_{\tilde{q}_t}^2, m_{\tilde{q}_s}^2 \right),$$

$$(34)$$

and for the charged-Higgs

$$\Gamma^{LR\,H^+}_{u_f d_i} \Big|_{GVren}^{eff} = \frac{2}{3\pi} \alpha_s m_{\tilde{g}} \sum_{s,t=1}^{6} \sum_{j,l=1}^{3} U^{uL*}_{jf} W^{\tilde{u}}_{js} \Gamma^{H^+}_{st} W^{\tilde{d}*}_{l+3,t} U^{d\,R}_{li} C_0 \left(m_{\tilde{g}}^2, m_{\tilde{u}_s}^2, m_{\tilde{d}_t}^2 \right),$$

$$\Gamma^{RL\,H^+}_{u_f d_i} \Big|_{GVren}^{eff} = \frac{2}{3\pi} \alpha_s m_{\tilde{g}} \sum_{s,t=1}^{6} \sum_{j,l=1}^{3} U^{uR*}_{jf} W^{\tilde{u}}_{j+3,s} \Gamma^{H^+}_{st} W^{\tilde{d}*}_{lt} U^{d\,L}_{li} C_0 \left(m_{\tilde{g}}^2, m_{\tilde{u}_s}^2, m_{\tilde{d}_t}^2 \right).$$

$$(35)$$

We obtain the complete effective neutral (charged) Higgs couplings by adding Eq. (34) to Eq. (32) (Eq. (35) to Eq. (33)).

E. Higgs-vertices in the decoupling limit

Now we calculate the effective Higgs vertices in the decoupling limit. In this limit all surviving terms must involve nonholomorphic corrections. This means these must terms involve "wrong" Higgs couplings (i.e. go beyond a type-II 2HDM). We will verify the result in the next section using an effective-field-theory approach. In the decoupling limit the genuine vertex corrections simplify to:

$$\Gamma_{d_{f}d_{i}}^{LR\,H_{k}^{0}}\Big|_{GV} = \tilde{\Gamma}_{d}^{H_{k}^{0}} \left(\frac{\tilde{\Sigma}_{fiA}^{d\,LR}}{v_{d}} + c_{d}^{k} \frac{\tilde{\Sigma}_{fiA'\mu}^{d\,LR}}{v_{u}} \right),$$

$$\Gamma_{u_{f}u_{i}}^{LR\,H_{k}^{0}}\Big|_{GV} = \tilde{\Gamma}_{u}^{H_{k}^{0}} \left(\frac{\tilde{\Sigma}_{fiA}^{u\,LR}}{v_{u}} + c_{u}^{k} \frac{\tilde{\Sigma}_{fiA'\mu}^{u\,LR}}{v_{d}} \right),$$

$$\Gamma_{u_{f}d_{i}}^{LR\,H^{\pm}}\Big|_{GV}^{eff} = \frac{1}{v} \sum_{j=1}^{3} V_{fj}^{CKM\,(0)} \left(\tan\left(\beta\right) \Sigma_{jiA}^{d\,LR} + \cot\left(\beta\right) \Sigma_{jiA'\mu}^{d\,LR} \right),$$

$$\Gamma_{u_{f}d_{i}}^{RL\,H^{\pm}}\Big|_{GV}^{eff} = \frac{1}{v} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \left(\cot\left(\beta\right) \Sigma_{fjA}^{u\,RL} + \tan\left(\beta\right) \Sigma_{fjA'\mu}^{u\,RL} \right) V_{ji}^{CKM\,(0)}.$$

$$(36)$$

Here, we have expressed the charged-Higgs coupling in terms of the physical CKM matrix and used the fact that in the decoupling limit, the left-left blocks of the up and down squark mass matrices just differ by a CKM rotation, which implies:

$$V_{sfj}^{uLL}V_{jk}^{CKM(0)} = V_{fj}^{CKM(0)}V_{sjk}^{dLL},$$

$$V_{kl}^{CKM(0)}V_{tli}^{dLL} = V_{tkl}^{uLL}V_{li}^{CKM(0)}.$$
(37)

In Eq. (36) the quantity $\tilde{\Sigma}_{fiX}^{q\,LR}$ (with X = A' or $X = \mu$) contains the effects of external flavor-changing self-energies and is given by

$$U_{jf}^{q\,L*} \Sigma_{jk\,X}^{q\,LR} U_{ki}^{q\,R} = \tilde{\Sigma}_{jk\,X}^{q\,LR} = \Sigma_{fi\,X}^{q\,LR} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{\Sigma_{22\,X}^{q\,LR}}{m_{q_2}} & \frac{\Sigma_{33\,X}^{q\,LR}}{m_{q_2}} \\ \frac{\Sigma_{22\,X}^{q\,LR}}{m_{q_2}} & 0 & \frac{\Sigma_{33\,X}^{q\,LR}}{m_{q_3}} \\ \frac{\Sigma_{23\,X}^{q\,LR}}{m_{q_3}} & \Sigma_{31}^{q\,LR} & \frac{\Sigma_{33\,X}^{q\,LR}}{m_{q_3}} \\ \frac{\Sigma_{33\,X}^{q\,LR}}{m_{q_3}} & \Sigma_{32}^{q\,LR} & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{fi}$$
(38)



FIG. 3: Self-energy and genuine vertex correction involving A_{23}^q contributing to the effective Higgs coupling. The cancellation between the two diagrams in imperfect since $Y_i^q v_q \neq m_{q_i}$.

We can now add the genuine vertex correction to the self-energy contributions and find

$$\Gamma_{u_{f}u_{i}}^{LR\,H_{k}^{0}}\Big|_{dec}^{eff} = \tilde{\Gamma}_{u}^{H_{k}^{0}}\frac{1}{v_{u}}\left(m_{u_{i}}\delta_{fi} - \tilde{\Sigma}_{fi\,A'\mu}^{u\,LR}\left(1 - \tan\left(\beta\right)c_{u}^{k}\right)\right)$$

$$\Gamma_{d_{f}d_{i}}^{LR\,H_{k}^{0}}\Big|_{dec}^{eff} = \tilde{\Gamma}_{d}^{H_{k}^{0}}\frac{1}{v_{d}}\left(m_{d_{i}}\delta_{fi} - \tilde{\Sigma}_{fi\,A'\mu}^{d\,LR}\left(1 - \cot\left(\beta\right)c_{d}^{k}\right)\right)$$

$$\Gamma_{u_{f}d_{i}}^{LR\,H^{\pm}}\Big|_{dec}^{eff} = \frac{1}{v}\sum_{j=1}^{3}V_{fj}^{CKM}\left(-\left(\cot\left(\beta\right) + \tan\left(\beta\right)\right)\tilde{\Sigma}_{ji\,A'\mu}^{d\,LR} + \tan\left(\beta\right)m_{d_{i}}\delta_{ji}\right)$$

$$\Gamma_{u_{f}d_{i}}^{RL\,H^{\pm}}\Big|_{dec}^{eff} = \frac{1}{v}\sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(-\left(\tan\left(\beta\right) + \cot\left(\beta\right)\right)\tilde{\Sigma}_{fj\,A'\mu}^{u\,RL} + \cot\left(\beta\right)m_{u_{f}}\delta_{fj}\right)V_{ji}^{CKM}.$$
(39)

The coupling to down-type quarks depends implicitly on the Yukawa coupling. We can express everything in terms of SUSY parameters and physical masses using Eq. (22) which implies:

$$\Sigma_{ij\,A'\mu}^{d\,LR} = \Sigma_{ij\,A'}^{d\,LR} + \frac{\left(m_{d_i} - \Sigma_{ii\,A}^{d\,LR}\right)\varepsilon_i^d \tan\left(\beta\right)}{1 + \tan\left(\beta\right)\varepsilon_i^d}\delta_{ij} \tag{40}$$

Inserting Eq. (40) into Eq. (39) we recover the well known $\tan \beta$ enhanced correction to the bottom-quark mass in the absence of flavor-violation. In the up-sector we can safely neglect terms proportional to μ since they are also proportional to quark masses and $\cot \beta$. However, although the A'^{u} -terms also come with v_d they can still be relevant due to their generic flavor structure. Note that the cancellation between the self-energy contributions and the genuine vertex diagram in the case $\mu = A' = 0$ observed in this section, is related to the fact that one must get a type-II 2HDM in the decoupling limit. This means that in the absence of nonholomorphic corrections the effect of the A-terms can be absorbed into a effective Yukawa coupling [38].

Note that in the couplings to down quarks in Eq. (39) there is a new contribution not discovered before in the literature due to the last term in Eq. (38) which is a combination of a flavor-diagonal nonholomorphic term with a flavor-changing one. Diagrammatically, this term remains because of an imperfect cancellation between the Yukawa coupling in Higgs-quark vertex and the quark mass in the denominator of the propagator, since at large $\tan \beta$ the ratio $Y_i^d/(m_{d_i}/v_d)$ is unequal to one (see Fig. 3). This new contribution is numerically important for large values of $\tan(\beta)$ since the flavor structure of the A-terms directly enters the self-energies, which does not need to involve further nonholomorphic terms.

F. Decoupling Corrections

Finally, we discuss the cancellations between the self-energy contributions and the genuine vertex correction beyond the decoupling limit and quantify the possible size of the decoupling effects. We will do this for the neutral Higgs vertices. The generalization to the charged-Higgs couplings is straight forward and no new effects occur.

There are two different types of decoupling effects. First, there are terms which are leftover, if one adds the genuine vertex correction to the self-energy contributions beyond the decoupling limit. These terms correspond to higher dimensional operators and do not match on the SU(2)-invariant structure of the 2HDM. Second, there are decoupling corrections to the terms which match on the effective 2HDM but are altered beyond the decoupling limit (for example corrections to the relation between the quark mass and the Yukawa coupling).

First we consider the deviations from the 2HDM which are due to imperfect cancellations between the self-energy contributions and the genuine vertex correction. In order to be explicit we consider the coupling of down-quarks to the heavy neutral CP even Higgs (we see from equation Eq. (8) and Eq. (6) that it differs only by factors of $\tan \alpha$, $\tan \beta$ from the other vertices):

$$\Gamma_{d_{f}d_{t}}^{R\,H^{0}\,eff} \Big|_{GV} = \frac{2}{3\pi} \alpha_{s} m_{\tilde{g}} \sum_{s,t=1}^{6} \sum_{j,k=1}^{3} \left[\frac{e^{2}}{6c_{W}^{2}} \left(v_{d} \cos\left(\alpha\right) - v_{u} \sin\left(\alpha\right) \right) \left(V_{sfi}^{LR} \delta_{st} + \frac{3 - 4s_{W}^{2}}{2s_{W}^{2}} V_{sfk}^{LL} V_{tki}^{LR} \right) \right. \\ \left. - v_{d} \left(Y_{k}^{d} \right)^{2} \cos\left(\alpha\right) \left(V_{sfk}^{dLL} V_{dLR}^{dLR} + V_{sfk}^{dLR} V_{tki}^{dRR} \right) \right. \\ \left. - \tilde{\Gamma}_{d}^{H_{k}^{0}} \left[\left(V_{sfj}^{LR} A_{kj}^{d*} V_{tki}^{dLR} + V_{sfk}^{dLL} A_{kj}^{d} V_{tji}^{dRR} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \tan\left(\alpha\right) \left(V_{sfj}^{dLR} \left(A_{kj}^{\prime d*} + \mu^{*} Y_{d}^{k} \delta_{kj} \right) V_{tki}^{dLR} + V_{sfk}^{dLL} \left(A_{kj}^{\prime d} + \mu Y_{d}^{k} \delta_{kj} \right) V_{tji}^{dRR} \right) \right] \right] \\ \left. \times C_{0} \left(m_{\tilde{q}}^{2}, m_{\tilde{q}_{t}}^{2}, m_{\tilde{q}_{s}}^{2} \right) + h.c.$$

The terms in the first two lines vanish in the decoupling limit. In addition there are electroweak contributions which are a priori tiny. Note that the term $V_{s\,fk}^{q\,LL}A_{kj}^{q}V_{t\,ji}^{q\,RR}$ cancels very precisely with the self-energy correction in the decoupling limit. Therefore, we can only expect sizable decoupling effects from the term proportional to $V_{s\,fj}^{q\,LR}A_{kj}^{q*}V_{t\,ki}^{q\,LR}$. Furthermore, these deviations from an effective 2HDM can only be relevant in the absence of nonholomorphic corrections, because otherwise these corrections also involving the trilinear A-terms are dominant. Very large off-diagonal elements $\Delta_{ij}^{q\,LR}$ can, in principle, induce sizable decoupling effects. However, the A-terms cannot be arbitrarily large since they are restricted by vacuum stability bounds [27, 28, 29] and 't Hooft's naturalness criterion [18, 26]. Especially the possible size of the off-diagonal elements in the down squark mass matrix due to A^d cannot be large since A^d enters multiplied with the small vacuum expectation value v_d . The combination $v_u A^u$ can be larger, however, their contribution is suppressed by $\cot \beta$. In addition, for the top quark, where one could expect the largest effects due to A^t , we have to compare the corrected Yukawa coupling to the huge tree-level one which prohibits sizable effects. In addition, all flavor off-diagonal elements cannot be relevant for decoupling effects since these elements are severely restricted from FCNC processes and must be much smaller than the diagonal ones [39].

Therefore, we can only expect relevant decoupling effects from the term $v_d Y^b \mu \tan \beta$ in the down squark mass matrix. In this case the genuine vertex correction is suppressed by $\cot \beta$ and therefore decoupling effects in Eq. (41) are irrelevant. However, also the relation between the Yukawa coupling and physical mass in Eq. (22) receives decoupling corrections. These effects can be numerically relevant. In Fig. 4 we show the possible effects for SUSY masses of 500 GeV. We see that only for large negative values of μ in combination with large tan β sizable effects can occur.

In summary, we conclude that all decoupling effects due to an imperfect cancellation between the genuine vertex correction and the self-energy contributions are negligible. Only the relation between the bottom-quark mass and the Yukawa coupling can receive a sizable decoupling correction for large negative values of the higgsino mass parameter μ . Therefore, the decoupling limit is an excellent approximation for the full theory, if one uses the non-decoupling relation in order to determine Y^b .



FIG. 4: Decoupling corrections to the relation between the physical bottom-quark mass and the bottom Yukawa coupling.

IV. HIGGS-COUPLINGS IN THE EFFECTIVE-FIELD-THEORY

It is instructive to recover the results of the previous section, up to decoupling corrections, using an effective-fieldtheory approach. This means that if we integrate out the SUSY particles the non-decoupling corrections to Higgs vertices are considered as effective Yukawa couplings. Before we specialize to the MSSM let us first consider the most general effective Lagrangian for Yukawa interactions in a 2HDM:

$$L_{Y}^{eff\ w} = \bar{Q}_{f\ L}^{a} \left(\left(E_{fi}^{d\ w} + Y_{fi}^{d} \right) \varepsilon_{ab} H_{d}^{b\star} - E_{fi}^{\prime d\ w} H_{u}^{a} \right) d_{i\ R} - \bar{Q}_{f\ L}^{a} \left(\left(Y_{fi}^{u} + E_{fi}^{u\ w} \right) \varepsilon_{ab} H_{u}^{b\star} + E_{fi}^{\prime u\ w} H_{d}^{a} \right) u_{i\ R}.$$
(42)

Here a and b denote the components of the SU(2) doublets and ϵ_{ab} the antisymmetric tensor in two dimensions with $\epsilon_{12} = -1$. We have included nonholomorphic loop-induced corrections $E_{ij}^{\prime d w}$ and $E_{ij}^{\prime u w}$ as well as the homomorphic ones $E_{ij}^{d w}$ and $E_{ij}^{u w}$ (the superscript w denotes the fact, that the couplings E in Eq. (42) are given in a weak basis). The holomorphic corrections were not considered before in the context of effective Higgs couplings in the MSSM. However, they can easily be of order one (of the same size as the quark masses or the corresponding CKM element times the quark mass) [18] and therefore can lead to important effects. We now decompose the Lagrangian into its neutral and charged interaction part by explicitly writing out the components of the SU(2) doublets and we switch to a basis in which the Yukawa couplings are diagonal in flavor space (this corresponds to the super-CKM basis in the case of the MSSM):

$$L_{Y}^{eff} = \bar{u}_{f\ L} V_{fk}^{CKM(0)} \left(\left(E_{ki}^{d} + Y_{k}^{d} \delta_{kj} \right) H_{d}^{2\star} - E_{ki}^{\prime d} H_{u}^{1} \right) d_{i\ R} + \bar{d}_{f\ L} V_{kf}^{CKM(0)*} \left(\left(Y_{k}^{u} \delta_{ki} + E_{ki}^{u} \right) H_{u}^{1\star} - E_{ki}^{\prime u} H_{d}^{2} \right) u_{i\ R} - \bar{d}_{f\ L} \left(\left(E_{fi}^{d} + Y_{f}^{d} \delta_{fi} \right) H_{d}^{1\star} + E_{fi}^{\prime d} H_{u}^{2} \right) d_{i\ R} - \bar{u}_{f\ L} \left(\left(Y_{f}^{u} \delta_{fi} + E_{fi}^{u} \right) H_{u}^{2\star} + E_{fi}^{\prime u} H_{d}^{1} \right) u_{i\ R}$$

$$(43)$$



FIG. 5: Loop-induced Higgs down-quark couplings with gluinos and squarks as virtual particles.

Like in the case of the MSSM, $V^{\text{CKM}(0)}$ is the CKM matrix which arises solely due to the misalignment between the (tree-level) Yukawa couplings. The Higgs fields decompose in the following way into their physical components:

$$H_d^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\cos\left(\alpha\right) H^0 - \sin\left(\alpha\right) h^0 + i \sin\left(\beta\right) A \right),$$

$$H_u^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\sin\left(\alpha\right) H^0 + \cos\left(\alpha\right) h^0 + i \cos\left(\beta\right) A \right),$$

$$H_u^{1*} = \cos\left(\beta\right) H^-,$$

$$H_d^2 = \sin\left(\beta\right) H^-.$$

(44)

After electroweak symmetry breaking the Higgs fields acquire their vacuum expectation values in their neutral components and the quark mass matrices are afterwards given by:

$$m_{ij}^{d} = \left(E_{ij}^{d} + Y_{i}^{d}\delta_{ij}\right)v_{d} + v_{u}E_{ij}^{\prime d},$$

$$m_{ij}^{u} = \left(E_{ij}^{u} + Y_{i}^{u}\delta_{ij}\right)v_{u} + v_{d}E_{ij}^{\prime u}.$$
(45)

The quark mass-matrices are not diagonal in flavor space in this basis (the super-CKM basis in the case of the MSSM) due to the generic corrections E_{ij}^q and $E_{ij}^{\prime q}$. Therefore, we have to diagonalize them by a bi-unitary transformation

$$U^{q\,L\dagger}m^q U^{q\,R} = m_{q_i} \tag{46}$$

in order to receive the physical quark masses m_{q_i} . We can assume that the off-diagonal entries are smaller than the diagonal ones since we know from experiment that flavor violation is a small quantity [40]. In addition, if the corrections E_{ij}^q and $E_{ij}^{\prime q}$ are loop-induced, at least the top Yukawa coupling is bigger that the off-diagonal entries. Therefore, it is possible to perform a perturbative diagonalization. We get for the following rotation matrices which diagonalize the down-quark mass matrix:

$$U^{d\,L} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{E_{12}^{d}v_d + E_{12}^{\prime}v_u}{m_{d_2}} & \frac{E_{13}^{d}v_d + E_{13}^{\prime}v_u}{m_{d_3}} \\ \frac{E_{12}^{d*}v_d + E_{12}^{\prime d*}v_u}{m_{d_2}} & 1 & \frac{E_{23}^{d}v_d + E_{23}^{\prime d}v_u}{m_{d_3}} \\ \frac{E_{13}^{d*}v_d + E_{13}^{\prime d*}v_u}{m_{d_3}} & \frac{E_{23}^{d*}v_d + E_{23}^{\prime d*}v_u}{m_{d_3}} & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$U^{d\,R} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{E_{21}^{d*}v_d + E_{21}^{\prime d*}v_u}{m_{d_2}} & \frac{E_{31}^{d}v_d + E_{31}^{\prime d}v_u}{m_{d_3}} \\ \frac{E_{21}^{d*}v_d + E_{21}^{\prime d*}v_u}{m_{d_2}} & 1 & \frac{E_{32}^{d}v_d + E_{32}^{\prime d}v_u}{m_{d_3}} \\ \frac{E_{31}^{d*}v_d + E_{31}^{\prime d*}v_u}{m_{d_3}} & \frac{E_{32}^{d*}v_d + E_{32}^{\prime d*}v_u}{m_{d_3}} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(47)$$

For up-quarks the rotation matrices are simply obtained by interchanging u and d. At leading order, the masses m_{q_i} are just given by the diagonal entries of the mass matrix:

$$m_{d_{i}} = \left(E_{ii}^{d} + Y_{i}^{d}\right)v_{d} + v_{u}E_{ii}^{\prime d},$$

$$m_{u_{i}} = \left(E_{ii}^{u} + Y_{i}^{u}\right)v_{u} + v_{d}E_{ii}^{\prime u}.$$
(48)

Now, in order to calculate the flavor structure of the Higgs Yukawa couplings we switch to the physical basis in which the quark masses are diagonal:

$$L_{Y}^{eff} = \bar{u}_{f \ L} U_{kf}^{u \ L*} \left(\left(E_{kj}^{d} + Y_{j}^{d} \delta_{kj} \right) H_{d}^{2\star} - E_{kj}^{\prime d} H_{u}^{1} \right) U_{ji}^{d \ R} d_{i \ R} + \bar{d}_{f \ L} U_{kf}^{d \ L*} \left(\left(Y_{j}^{u} \delta_{kj} + E_{kj}^{u} \right) H_{u}^{1\star} - E_{kj}^{\prime u} H_{d}^{2} \right) U_{ji}^{u \ R} u_{i \ R} - \bar{d}_{f \ L} U_{kf}^{d \ L*} \left(\left(E_{kj}^{d} + Y_{j}^{d} \delta_{kj} \right) H_{d}^{1\star} + E_{kj}^{\prime d} H_{u}^{2} \right) U_{ji}^{d \ R} d_{i \ R} - \bar{u}_{f \ L} U_{kf}^{u \ L*} \left(\left(Y_{j}^{u} \delta_{kj} + E_{kj}^{u} \right) H_{u}^{2\star} + E_{kj}^{\prime u} H_{d}^{1} \right) U_{ji}^{u \ R} u_{i \ R}$$

$$(49)$$

We now eliminate the explicit dependence on the bare Yukawa couplings Y^q by using Eq. (48) and Eq. (46):

$$L_Y^{eff} = -\bar{d}_{f\ L} \left[-\tilde{H}^0 \tan\left(\beta\right) \tilde{E}_{fi}^{\prime d} + H_d^1 \frac{m_{d_i}}{v_d} \delta_{fi} \right] d_{i\ R} - \bar{u}_{f\ L} \left[\tilde{H}^{0*} \tilde{E}_{fi}^{\prime u} + H_u^2 \frac{m_{u_i}}{v_u} \delta_{fi} \right] u_{i\ R} + \bar{u}_{f\ L} V_{fj}^{CKM} \left[-\left(\cot\left(\beta\right) + \tan\left(\beta\right)\right) \tilde{E}_{ji}^{\prime d} + \frac{m_{d_i}}{v_d} \delta_{ji} \right] \sin\left(\beta\right) H^+ d_{i\ R} + \bar{d}_{f\ L} V_{jf}^{CKM*} \left[-\left(\tan\left(\beta\right) + \cot\left(\beta\right)\right) \tilde{E}_{ji}^{\prime u} + \frac{m_{u_i}}{v_u} \delta_{ji} \right] \cos\left(\beta\right) H^- u_{i\ R}$$

$$(50)$$

with

$$\tilde{E}_{fi}^{\prime q} = U_{kf}^{q \ L*} E_{kj}^{\prime q} U_{ji}^{q \ R}, \tag{51}$$

$$\tilde{H}^{0} = \cot(\beta) H_{u}^{2*} - H_{d}^{1} = \frac{\sin(\alpha - \beta)}{\sqrt{2}\sin(\beta)} H^{0} + \frac{\cos(\alpha - \beta)}{\sqrt{2}\sin(\beta)} h^{0} - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\sin(\beta) A^{0}.$$
(52)

All FCNC interactions are contained in the first terms in the first two lines of Eq. (50) while the second terms in the first two lines are flavor-diagonal and reproduce the tree-level Higgs couplings in the absence of loop corrections. We can evaluate the terms $E_{fi}^{\prime q}$ with the help of Eq. (47). It is only assumed that the off-diagonal terms are smaller than the diagonal ones, but we treat the flavor-diagonal terms as order one corrections (which indeed can be the case for down-type quarks). However, the flavor-diagonal corrections are assumed to posses the same hierarchy as the quark masses. If we further neglect small mass rations we get:

$$\tilde{E}_{fi}^{\prime d} = E_{fi}^{\prime d} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{E_{22}^{\prime d} \left(E_{12}^{d} v_{d} + E_{12}^{\prime d} v_{u} \right)}{m_{d_{2}}} & \frac{E_{33}^{\prime d} \left(E_{13}^{d} v_{d} + E_{13}^{\prime d} v_{u} \right)}{m_{d_{3}}} \\ \frac{E_{22}^{\prime d} \left(E_{21}^{d} v_{d} + E_{21}^{\prime d} v_{u} \right)}{m_{d_{2}}} & 0 & \frac{E_{33}^{\prime d} \left(E_{23}^{d} v_{d} + E_{23}^{\prime d} v_{u} \right)}{m_{d_{3}}} \\ \frac{E_{33}^{\prime d} \left(E_{31}^{d} v_{d} + E_{31}^{\prime d} v_{u} \right)}{m_{d_{3}}} & \frac{E_{33}^{\prime d} \left(E_{31}^{d} v_{d} + E_{31}^{\prime d} v_{u} \right)}{m_{d_{3}}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{fi}$$
(53)

The expression for $E_{fi}^{\prime u}$ is obtained by simply exchanging u and d.

We now want to evaluate Eq. (50) for the special case of the MSSM where we have as discussed in the previous section:

$$m_{ij}^q = v_q Y_i^q \delta_{ij} + \sum_{ij}^{q \, LR} \tag{54}$$

The self-energy contribution $\Sigma_{ij}^{q\,LR}$ decomposes according to Eq. (15). However, since we work in the decoupling limit, the parts of the self-energy $\Sigma_{fiA}^{q\,LR}$, $\Sigma_{fiA'}^{q\,LR}$ and $\Sigma_{fiY_j}^{q\,LR}$ are now linear in A, A' and Y_j^q , respectively. This means that the combinations of rotation matrices $V_{sij}^{q\,LL,RR}$ depend only on the bilinear terms of the squark mass matrices. Therefore, the corrections E_{ij}^q , $E_{ij}'^q$ shown in Fig. 5 are

$$E_{ij}^{d} = \frac{\sum_{ijA}^{dLR}}{v_{d}}, \qquad E_{ij}^{\prime d} = \frac{\sum_{ijA'}^{dLR}}{v_{u}} + \varepsilon_{i}^{d}Y_{i}^{d}\delta_{ij},$$

$$E_{ij}^{u} = \frac{\sum_{ijA}^{uLR}}{v_{u}}, \qquad E_{ij}^{\prime u} = \frac{\sum_{ijA'}^{uLR}}{v_{d}},$$
(55)

with ε_i^d defined in Eq. (23). Therefore, Eq. (53) is simplify given by

$$\tilde{E}_{fi}^{\prime u} = \frac{1}{v_u} \tan\left(\beta\right) \tilde{\Sigma}_{fiA^\prime}^{uLR},\tag{56}$$

$$\tilde{E}_{fi}^{\prime d} = \frac{1}{v_d} \cot\left(\beta\right) \tilde{\Sigma}_{fi\,A'\mu}^{d\,LR},\tag{57}$$

with $\tilde{\Sigma}_{fi}^{q\,LR}$ defined in Eq. (38). If we plug this into Eq. (50) we receive:

$$L_{Y}^{eff} = -\bar{d}_{f L} \frac{1}{v_{d}} \left[-\tilde{H}^{0} \tilde{\Sigma}_{fi A' \mu}^{d LR} + H_{d}^{1} m_{d_{i}} \delta_{fi} \right] d_{i R} - \bar{u}_{f L} \frac{1}{v_{u}} \left[\tilde{H}^{0*} \tan\left(\beta\right) \tilde{\Sigma}_{fi A'}^{u LR} + H_{u}^{2} m_{u_{i}} \delta_{fi} \right] u_{i R} + \bar{u}_{f L} V_{fj}^{CKM} \frac{1}{v} \left[-\left(\cot\left(\beta\right) + \tan\left(\beta\right)\right) \tilde{\Sigma}_{ji A' \mu}^{d LR} + \tan\left(\beta\right) m_{d_{i}} \delta_{ji} \right] H^{+} d_{i R} + \bar{d}_{f L} V_{jf}^{CKM*} \frac{1}{v} \left[-\left(\tan\left(\beta\right) + \cot\left(\beta\right)\right) \tilde{\Sigma}_{ji A'}^{u LR} + \cot\left(\beta\right) m_{u_{i}} \delta_{ji} \right] H^{-} u_{i R}$$
(58)

If we multiply this expression by i and plug in the decomposition of the Higgs field in Eq. (44) and Eq. (52) we recover the result obtained in the decoupling limit of the full theory given in Eq. (39). Comparing our results in Eq. (58) or Eq. (39) with the literature [1, 2, 4, 11, 17] we find new contributions proportional $\Sigma_{ij}^{q\,LR} \Sigma_{\max(i,j)A'\mu}^{q\,LR} / m_{q_{\max(i,j)}}$. These terms are numerically important for down-quarks if $\tan \beta$ is large, since both $\Sigma_{ij}^{d\,LR}$ and $\Sigma_{ii}^{d\,LR}$ can be of order one compared to $V_{ij}^{\text{CKM}} / m_{d_{\max(i,j)}}$ or m_{d_i} , respectively. We obtain these new terms for two reasons: First we did not neglect the holomorphic corrections E_{ij}^q . Second, in applying the rotations in flavor-space $U^{q\,L.R}$ which diagonalize the masses, we kept terms proportional to $E_{ij}^q E'_{\max(i,j)}$ or $E'_{ij} E'_{\max(i,j)}$. This is consistent with our diagrammatic approach in Sec. III where we found that two-loop corrections were necessary in order to get the correct result in the decoupling limit.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this article we have calculated the effective quark-Higgs couplings, including the corrections from squark-gluino diagrams [41], in the most general MSSM. We have performed our calculations using an purely diagrammatic approach in a minimal renormalization scheme which simplifies the resummation of $\tan \beta$. In order to include correctly all chirally enhanced effects one is forced to consider diagrams which are formally of order α_s^2 . We confirm this statement in the effective-field-theory approach whose result is only obtained in the full theory if the flavor-changing wave-function rotation induced by the self-energies is also applied to the genuine vertex correction.

In subsection III F we have addressed the issue of decoupling corrections to the effective Higgs vertices. It turns out that the decoupling limit excellently reproduces the full result apart from possible corrections to the relation between the bottom-quark mass and the bottom Yukawa coupling Y^b which can be relevant for large negative values of μ . However, in order to include these corrections it is sufficient to use the result obtained in the decoupling limit with the value of Y^b calculated to all orders in v/M_{SUSY} .

We have found that the A'-terms induce flavor-changing neutral Higgs coupling similar the known effects stemming from nonholomorphic corrections involving $\tan \beta$, but with a generic flavor structure. Therefore, in the decoupling limit the MSSM could, in principle, lead to a general 2HDM of type III with all interesting flavor effects present in this model.

In addition, we have found new tan β enhanced corrections which were not discussed before in the literature. From Eq. (39) and Eq. (38) we see that these contributions are proportional to the product $\sum_{ij}^{qLR} \sum_{\max(i,j) A'\mu}^{qLR} / m_{d_{\max(i,j)}}$. Because of a chiral enhancement, both \sum_{ij}^{dLR} and \sum_{ii}^{dLR} can be of order one, compared to $V_{ij}^{\text{CKM}} / m_{d_{\max(i,j)}}$ or m_{d_i} , respectively. Therefore, these new contributions can be numerically highly relevant.

In Sec. IV we have calculated the effective Higgs couplings using an effective-field-theory approach. We included both holomorphic and nonholomorphic corrections to the Higgs coupling. With these corrections, the Higgs couplings are no longer diagonal in the same basis as quark mass matrices which leads to flavor-changing Higgs vertices. We then specified to the MSSM and recovered the result obtained in the decoupling limit of the full theory. This also verifies our statement that chirally enhanced self-energies are physical and cannot be renormalized away once also Higgs mediated processes are considered.

Acknowledgments.— I am grateful to Ulrich Nierste, Christoph Greub, Jernej Kamenik and Janusz Rosiek for useful discussions and proofreading the article. I also like to thank Lars Hofer for useful discussion concerning the different renormalization schemes. A.C. is supported by the Swiss National Foundation. The Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics is supported by the "Innovations- und Kooperationsprojekt C-13 of the Schweizerische Universitätskonferenz SUK/CRUS".

[3] G. Isidori and A. Retico, JHEP 11, 001 (2001), hep-ph/0110121.

^[1] C. Hamzaoui, M. Pospelov, and M. Toharia, Phys. Rev. D59, 095005 (1999), hep-ph/9807350.

^[2] K. S. Babu and C. F. Kolda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 228 (2000), hep-ph/9909476.

^[4] P. H. Chankowski and L. Slawianowska, Phys. Rev. D63, 054012 (2001), hep-ph/0008046.

^[5] A. J. Buras, P. H. Chankowski, J. Rosiek, and L. Slawianowska, Phys. Lett. B546, 96 (2002), hep-ph/0207241.

- [6] A. J. Buras, P. H. Chankowski, J. Rosiek, and L. Slawianowska, Nucl. Phys. B659, 3 (2003), hep-ph/0210145.
- [7] A. Dedes, H. K. Dreiner, and U. Nierste, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 251804 (2001), hep-ph/0108037.
- [8] C. Bobeth, T. Ewerth, F. Kruger, and J. Urban, Phys. Rev. D66, 074021 (2002), hep-ph/0204225.
- [9] S. Baek, P. Ko, and W. Y. Song, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 271801 (2002), hep-ph/0205259.
- [10] J. K. Mizukoshi, X. Tata, and Y. Wang, Phys. Rev. D66, 115003 (2002), hep-ph/0208078.
- [11] A. Dedes, J. Rosiek, and P. Tanedo, Phys. Rev. D79, 055006 (2009), 0812.4320.
- [12] A. Dedes and A. Pilaftsis, Phys. Rev. D67, 015012 (2003), hep-ph/0209306.
- [13] M. S. Carena, A. Menon, R. Noriega-Papaqui, A. Szynkman, and C. E. M. Wagner, Phys. Rev. D74, 015009 (2006), hep-ph/0603106.
- [14] L. J. Hall, R. Rattazzi, and U. Sarid, Phys. Rev. D50, 7048 (1994), hep-ph/9306309.
- [15] T. Blazek, S. Raby, and S. Pokorski, Phys. Rev. D52, 4151 (1995), hep-ph/9504364.
- [16] L. Hofer, U. Nierste, and D. Scherer, JHEP 10, 081 (2009), 0907.5408.
- [17] G. Isidori and A. Retico, JHEP 09, 063 (2002), hep-ph/0208159.
- [18] A. Crivellin and U. Nierste, Phys. Rev. D79, 035018 (2009), 0810.1613.
- [19] A. Crivellin and U. Nierste, Phys. Rev. D81, 095007 (2010), 0908.4404.
- [20] L. J. Hall and L. Randall, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 2939 (1990).
- [21] H. E. Haber and J. D. Mason, Phys. Rev. D77, 115011 (2008), 0711.2890.
- [22] J. Rosiek, Phys. Rev. D41, 3464 (1990).
- [23] J. Rosiek (1995), hep-ph/9511250.
- [24] H. E. Logan and U. Nierste, Nucl. Phys. B586, 39 (2000), hep-ph/0004139.
- [25] M. S. Carena, D. Garcia, U. Nierste, and C. E. M. Wagner, Nucl. Phys. B577, 88 (2000), hep-ph/9912516.
- [26] A. Crivellin and J. Girrbach, Phys. Rev. D81, 076001 (2010), 1002.0227.
- [27] J. F. Gunion, H. E. Haber, and M. Sher, Nucl. Phys. B306, 1 (1988).
- [28] F. Borzumati, G. R. Farrar, N. Polonsky, and S. D. Thomas, Nucl. Phys. B555, 53 (1999), hep-ph/9902443.
- [29] J.-h. Park (2010), 1011.4939.
- [30] D. Noth and M. Spira (2010), 1001.1935.
- [31] J. Guasch, P. Hafliger, and M. Spira, Phys. Rev. D68, 115001 (2003), hep-ph/0305101.
- [32] A. Crivellin, L. Hofer, and J. Rosiek (2011), 1103.4272.
- [33] Terms which are of higher orders in the external momentum are suppressed by powers of m_q^2/M_{SUSY}^2 . For very light SUSY masses these terms could be relevant (non-decoupling) corrections in the case of an external top quark.
- [34] In Ref. [25] it is also shown that one recovers the result for the $\tan \beta$ resummation of the effective-field-theory approach in the full theory using the on-shell scheme. We want to show in the following that one can obtain the same result in the full theory more directly using a minimal renormalization scheme. This shows that a minimal scheme allows for an easier and more direct comparison with the effective theory approach.
- [35] Here we just consider the finite SUSY corrections
- [36] Eq. (18) can also be solved directly in a minimal renormalization scheme by adding m_b to both sides of the equation.
- [37] The relation between the bottom Yukawa coupling and the bottom-quark mass has been computed including NNLO corrections [30, 31].
- [38] This cancellation has been already observed for the flavor-conserving case considering A^b in Ref. [31].
- [39] The flavor-changing elements in the up sector can in principle be large, however, their effect on Higgs couplings is again suppressed by $\cot \beta$ and they lead to other dominant non-Higgs-mediated top-FCNCs.
- [40] Since the rotation matrices $U^{q L,R}$ are not physical this assumption relies implicitly also on a naturalness criterion which prohibits large cancellations.
- [41] An analysis including also the electroweak contributions is forthcoming [32].