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#### Abstract

Semileptonic B decays into excited charmed mesons $D_{0}^{\prime}$ and $D_{1}^{\prime}$ are studied in the framework of heavy quark effective field theory (HQEFT) up to order $1 / m_{Q}$. They are characterized by a single leading Isgur-Wise function $\tau$ and several wave functions arising at $1 / m_{Q}$ order. $\tau$ and the $1 / m_{Q}$ order functions $\chi_{0}^{b}$, $\chi_{0}^{c}$ related to the kinetic energy operators are evaluated through QCD sum rule approach; zero recoil values of the $1 / m_{Q}$ order functions $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}, \kappa_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\kappa_{2}^{\prime}$ are extracted from the meson masses; and the branching ratios are found to be suppressed by the $1 / m_{Q}$ corrections. It is concluded that the next leading order wave functions can be significant. However it does not change the previous prediction that the production rate of $j_{l}^{P}=\frac{3}{2}^{+}$charmed mesons dominates over that of $\frac{1}{2}^{+}$doublets.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Semileptonic B decays are important in extracting the CKM matrix elements and exploring CP violation. Presently the most promising approach to determine $\left|V_{c b}\right|$ is to study either the inclusive semileptonic B decays or the exclusive decays to the ground state charmed mesons, $B \rightarrow D\left(D^{*}\right) \ell \bar{\nu}$. However the precision of these study depends on both the experimental measurements and the theoretical methods probing the nonperturbative effects of strong interaction. Semileptonic B decays into excited charmed mesons contain the main background for measuring the decays into $D$ and $D^{*}$, and they are important in relating the inclusive B decays to the sum of exclusive channels. To get precision knowledge on B physics it needs to study the decays into excited mesons from both experimental and theoretical aspects.

For a hadron containing a single heavy quark Q (b or c) and any number of light quarks $(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{s})$, the heavy quark spin $s_{Q}$ is decoupled from the total angular momentum of the light degrees of freedom $j_{l}$ in the heavy quark limit $m_{Q} \rightarrow \infty$. So $j_{l}$ becomes a good quantum number in this limit. Consequently, charmed mesons are usually classified by $j_{l}$ and parity. The ground state pseudoscalar and vector mesons $\left(D, D^{*}\right)$ have $j_{l}^{P}=\frac{1^{-}}{2} . D_{1}$ and $D_{2}^{*}$ belong to the $j_{l}^{P}=\frac{3}{2}^{+}$doublet, while $D_{0}^{\prime}$ (or written as $D_{0}^{*}$ in some references) and $D_{1}^{\prime}$ are the $\frac{1}{2}^{+}$ one.

In the recent years experiments made rapid progress on charmed meson spectroscopy, especially for the four lightest excited charmed mesons $\left(D_{1}, D_{2}^{*}, D_{0}^{\prime}\right.$ and $\left.D_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ as well as their counterparts of $c \bar{s}$ states. The $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$doublet mesons have narrow widths and their masses are known precisely: $m_{D_{1}}=2420 \mathrm{MeV}$ and $m_{D_{2}^{*}}=2460 \mathrm{MeV}$ [1]. Broad charmed resonances are observed in $D \pi$ and $D^{*} \pi$ systems by BELLE [2], FOCUS [3] and CLEO [4] Collaborations. The masses and widths of the $\frac{1}{2}^{+}$doublets can be obtained from these measurements, nevertheless they still suffer from large uncertainties[5]. The branching ratios for semileptonic decays $B \rightarrow D_{1}\left(D_{2}^{*}\right) \ell \bar{\nu}$ are reported by CLEO [6], ALEPH [7], D0 [8] and BELLE [9] Collaborations. Though not being confirmed, $B \rightarrow D_{0}^{\prime} \ell \bar{\nu}$ decay ratio is obtained recently by BELLE [9]. On the other hand these decays are studied by theorists via different approaches, among which are the operator product expansion (OPE) [10, 11], Lattice QCD [12] and quark models [13-15]. Note the $1 / m_{Q}$ order corrections in the usual heavy quark expansion has been considered in the early work [14, 15]. QCD sum rule method is also applied to calculate the form factors. Refs. [16, 17] studied the semileptonic B decays into excited charmed mesons at the leading order of heavy quark expansion (HQE), and the $1 / m_{Q}$ order contributions for $B \rightarrow D_{1}\left(D_{2}^{*}\right) \ell \bar{\nu}$ are considered in Refs. [18] and [19] using different framework of HQE.

Generally, the theoretical calculations in the $m_{Q} \rightarrow \infty$ limit predict that the production of $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$doublets dominates over that of $\frac{1}{2}^{+}$doublets in semileptonic B decays. As illustrated in Ref.[11], for reasonable values of the Isgur-Wise function, the rate $\Gamma\left(B \rightarrow D_{0}^{\prime}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right) \ell \bar{\nu}\right)$ falls far below $\Gamma\left(B \rightarrow D_{1}\left(D_{2}^{*}\right) \ell \bar{\nu}\right)$. However, BELLE indicates in Ref.[9] a large branching ratio for B decay to the wide $D_{0}^{\prime}$ state. If this result is confirmed, the previous throries or models need to be improved or corrected to explain it. Generally speaking, the predictions derived in the $m_{Q} \rightarrow \infty$ limit should always be supported by the estimation of $1 / m_{Q}$ corrections, which turn out to be sizable in some specific situations. For example, it is known that the leptonic decay constants of heavy mesons receive considerable $1 / m_{Q}$ corrections [20, 21]. Calculations in different approaches also indicate large $1 / m_{Q}$ corrections to the $B \rightarrow D_{1} \ell \bar{\nu}$ decay rate [15, 18, 19]. In the case of $B \rightarrow D_{0}^{\prime}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right) \ell \bar{\nu}$ transitions, one may ask whether the
great enhancement of the production rate for $\frac{1}{2}^{+}$states is due to the finite mass corrections in the HQE.

In this paper, the semileptonic B decays into the $\frac{1}{2}^{+}$charmed meson doublet $\left(D_{0}^{\prime}, D_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ are studied in the framework of HQEFT[22-25] that performs a complete decomposition of quantum fields and therefore includes the heavy quark-antiquark coupling effects in the finite mass corrections. In Sec.II we present the formulation of HQE to the decays $B \rightarrow D_{0}^{\prime}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right) \ell \bar{\nu}$. Up to the order of $1 / m_{Q}$, the relevant form factors are given by universal wave functions that are heavy flavor independent. In Sec III QCD sum rule approach is used to evaluate the leading Isgur-Wise function $\xi$ and the next leading order wave functions $\chi_{0}^{b}, \chi_{0}^{c}$ relevant to the kinetic energy operator. The QCD sum rule for leptonic decay constant of $\frac{1}{2}^{+}$mesons is also derived. Sec IV analyzes the sum rules and gives numerical results. Finally a brief summary is given in $\operatorname{Sec}, \bar{Z}$.

## II. $B \rightarrow D_{0}^{\prime}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right) \ell \nu$ WAVE FUNCTIONS IN HQEFT

The weak matrix elements relevant to $B \rightarrow D_{0}^{\prime}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right) \ell \bar{\nu}$ decays can be characterized by form factors as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle D_{0}^{\prime}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right| \bar{c} \gamma^{\mu} b|B(v)\rangle & =0, \\
\left\langle D_{0}^{\prime}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right| \bar{c} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{5} b|B(v)\rangle & =\sqrt{m_{D_{0}^{\prime}} m_{B}}\left(g_{+}\left(v^{\mu}+v^{\prime \mu}\right)+g_{-}\left(v^{\mu}-v^{\prime \mu}\right)\right), \\
\left\langle D_{1}^{\prime}\left(v^{\prime}, \epsilon^{*}\right)\right| \bar{c} \gamma^{\mu} b|B(v)\rangle & =\sqrt{m_{D_{1}^{\prime}} m_{B}} g_{V_{1}} \epsilon^{* \mu}+\left(g_{V_{2}} v^{\mu}+g_{V_{3}} v^{\prime \mu}\right)\left(\epsilon^{*} \cdot v\right), \\
\left\langle D_{1}^{\prime}\left(v^{\prime}, \epsilon^{*}\right)\right| \bar{c} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{5} b|B(v)\rangle & =\sqrt{m_{D_{1}^{\prime}} m_{B}} i g_{A} \epsilon^{\mu \alpha \beta \gamma} \epsilon_{\alpha}^{*} v_{\beta} v_{\gamma}^{\prime} . \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

The initial and final states $B$ and $D_{0(1)}^{\prime}$ are treated as heavy hadrons with the momentum $m_{B} v$ and $m_{D_{0(1)}^{\prime}} v^{\prime}$, respectively. The form factors $g_{i}$ are dimensionless functions of the product of velocities, $y=v \cdot v^{\prime}$, and $\epsilon^{*}$ is the polarization vector of the axial vector meson $D_{1}^{\prime}$. The differential decay rates are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \Gamma\left(B \rightarrow D_{0}^{\prime} \ell \bar{\nu}\right)}{d y}= & \frac{G_{F}^{2}\left|V_{c b}\right|^{2} m_{B}^{5}}{48 \pi^{3}} r_{0}^{\prime 3}\left(y^{2}-1\right)^{3 / 2}\left[\left(1+r_{0}^{\prime}\right) g_{+}-\left(1-r_{0}^{\prime}\right) g_{-}\right]^{2}  \tag{2}\\
\frac{d \Gamma\left(B \rightarrow D_{1}^{\prime} \ell \bar{\nu}\right)}{d y}= & \frac{G_{F}^{2}\left|V_{c b}\right|^{2} m_{B}^{5}}{48 \pi^{3}} r_{1}^{\prime 3}\left(y^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}\left\{2\left(1-2 r_{1}^{\prime} y+r_{1}^{\prime 2}\right)\left[g_{V_{1}}^{2}+\left(y^{2}-1\right) g_{A}^{2}\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\left(y-r_{1}^{\prime}\right) g_{V_{1}}+\left(y^{2}-1\right)\left(g_{V_{3}}+r_{1}^{\prime} g_{V_{2}}\right)\right]^{2}\right\} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

with $r_{0}^{\prime}=\frac{m_{D_{0}^{\prime}}}{m_{B}}$ and $r_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{m_{D_{1}^{\prime}}}{m_{B}}$.
In the framework of HQEFT the matrix elements in QCD can be expanded in powers of $1 / m_{Q}$. Generally, the HQE of the matrix elements responsible for heavy meson leptonic decays and for transitions between heavy mesons can be written as [23, 24]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sqrt{\frac{\bar{\Lambda}_{M}}{m_{M}}}\langle 0| \bar{q} \Gamma Q|M\rangle \rightarrow\langle 0| \bar{q} \Gamma Q_{v}^{+}\left|M_{v}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2 m_{Q}}\langle 0| \bar{q} \Gamma \frac{1}{i \not D_{\|}}\left(i \not D_{\perp}\right)^{2} Q_{v}^{+}\left|M_{v}\right\rangle+O\left(1 / m_{Q}^{2}\right),  \tag{4}\\
& \sqrt{\frac{\bar{\Lambda}_{M^{\prime}} \bar{\Lambda}_{M}}{m_{M^{\prime}} m_{M}}}\left\langle M^{\prime}\right| \bar{Q}^{\prime} \Gamma Q|M\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle M_{v^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right| \bar{Q}_{v^{\prime}}^{+} \Gamma Q_{v}^{+}\left|M_{v}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2 m_{Q}}\left\langle M_{v^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right| \bar{Q}_{v^{\prime}}^{+} \Gamma \frac{1}{i D_{\|}}\left(i \not D_{\perp}\right)^{2} Q_{v}^{+}\left|M_{v}\right\rangle \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{2 m_{Q^{\prime}}}\left\langle M_{v^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right| \bar{Q}_{v^{\prime}}^{+}\left(-i \overleftarrow{D}_{\perp}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{-i \overleftarrow{D}_{\|}} \Gamma Q_{v}^{+}\left|M_{v}\right\rangle+O\left(1 / m_{Q}^{2}\right) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

with the definition

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{\|}^{\mu}=v^{\mu} v \cdot D  \tag{6}\\
& D_{\perp}^{\mu}=D^{\mu}-v^{\mu} v \cdot D  \tag{7}\\
& \int \kappa \overleftarrow{D}^{\mu} \varphi=-\int \kappa D^{\mu} \varphi \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

$M\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ can be any ground or excited heavy meson containing a single heavy quark. $Q$ is the field in the full QCD Lagrangian, and $Q_{v}^{+}$is the effective heavy quark field in HQEFT, carrying only the residual momentum $k=p_{Q}-m_{Q} v .|M\rangle$ is the meson state in the full theory, while $\left|M_{v}\right\rangle$ is an effective state defined in the HQEFT so as to display the heavy quark spin-flavor symmetry. They are normalized as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle M| \bar{Q} \gamma^{\mu} Q|M\rangle=2 m_{M} v^{\mu}  \tag{9}\\
& \left\langle M_{v}\right| \bar{Q}_{v}^{+} \gamma^{\mu} Q_{v}^{+}\left|M_{v}\right\rangle=2 \bar{\Lambda} v^{\mu}, \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{\Lambda}_{M} \equiv m_{M}-m_{Q}$ is the mass difference between the heavy meson and heavy quark, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\Lambda}=\lim _{m_{Q} \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\Lambda}_{H}=\lim _{m_{Q} \rightarrow \infty}\left(m_{M}-m_{Q}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the heavy flavor independent binding energy. The state $\left|M_{v}\right\rangle$ defined in this way is irrelevant to the heavy quark mass and related to $|M\rangle$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{M^{\prime}} m_{M}}}\left\langle M^{\prime}\right| \bar{Q}^{\prime} \Gamma Q|M\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{\Lambda}_{M^{\prime}} \bar{\Lambda}_{M}}}\left\langle M_{v^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right| J_{e f f} e^{i \int d^{4} x \mathcal{L}_{e f f}}\left|M_{v}\right\rangle, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\text {eff }}$ is the HQEFT Lagrangian and $J_{\text {eff }}$ is the effective current for $\bar{Q} \Gamma Q$, generally also written as an expansion in $1 / m_{Q}$. For more details of HQEFT we refer to Refs. [22 25].

Due to the heavy quark symmetry, form factors for heavy-to-heavy transition matrix elements can be parameterized by a set of wave functions, which are universal in that they are heavy flavor and spin independent. To define these wave functions one may use the following spin wave functions for the ground $\left(\frac{1}{2}^{-}\right)$and excited $\left(\frac{1}{2}^{+}\right)$states:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{M}_{v}=\sqrt{\bar{\Lambda}} P_{+}\left\{\begin{aligned}
-\gamma^{5}, & \text { for } B \\
\phi, & \text { for } B^{*},
\end{aligned}\right.  \tag{13}\\
\mathcal{K}_{v}=\sqrt{\bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}} P_{+}\left\{\begin{aligned}
1, & \text { for } D_{0}^{\prime} \\
-\nless \gamma^{5}, & \text { for } D_{1}^{\prime},
\end{aligned}\right. \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\bar{\Lambda}=\bar{\Lambda}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}=\bar{\Lambda}_{\frac{1}{2}}+$ are the binding energies of the $\frac{1}{2}^{-}$and $\frac{1}{2}^{+}$doublets, respectively, and $P_{ \pm}^{(\prime)} \equiv\left(1 \pm \psi^{(\prime)}\right) / 2$. Then in HQEFT the matrix elements between $\frac{1}{2}^{+}$states can be parameterized as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle K_{v^{\prime}}\right| \bar{Q}_{v^{\prime}}^{+} \gamma^{\mu} Q_{v}^{+}\left|K_{v}\right\rangle & =\xi^{\prime}(y) \operatorname{Tr}\left[\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{v^{\prime}} \gamma^{\mu} \mathcal{K}_{v}\right], \\
\left\langle K_{v^{\prime}}\right| \bar{Q}_{v^{\prime}}^{+} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{P_{+}}{i v \cdot D} D_{\perp}^{2} Q_{v}^{+}\left|K_{v}\right\rangle & =-\kappa_{1}^{\prime}(y) \frac{1}{\bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{v^{\prime}} \gamma^{\mu} \mathcal{K}_{v}\right], \\
\left\langle K_{v^{\prime}}\right| \bar{Q}_{v^{\prime}}^{+} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{P_{+}}{i v \cdot D} \frac{i}{2} \sigma_{\alpha \beta} F^{\alpha \beta} Q_{v}^{+}\left|K_{v}\right\rangle & =\frac{1}{\bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\kappa^{\prime \alpha \beta}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \overline{\mathcal{K}}_{v^{\prime}} \gamma^{\mu} P_{+} \frac{i}{2} \sigma_{\alpha \beta} \mathcal{K}_{v}\right] \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{v^{\prime}} \equiv \gamma^{0} \mathcal{K}_{v^{\prime}}^{\dagger} \gamma^{0}$. The states $K_{v}$ and $K_{v^{\prime}}$ can be either of the two mesons belonging to the $\frac{1}{2}^{+}$doublet. The tensor $\kappa^{\prime \alpha \beta}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$ are decomposed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa^{\prime \alpha \beta}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=i \kappa_{2}^{\prime} \sigma^{\alpha \beta}-\kappa_{3}^{\prime}\left(\gamma^{\alpha} v^{\prime \beta}-\gamma^{\beta} v^{\prime \alpha}\right)+\kappa_{4}^{\prime}\left(\gamma^{\alpha} v^{\beta}-\gamma^{\beta} v^{\alpha}\right)+\kappa_{5}^{\prime}\left(v^{\alpha} v^{\prime \beta}-v^{\beta} v^{\prime \alpha}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\xi^{\prime}$ and $\kappa_{i}^{\prime}$ being scalar functions of $y=v \cdot v^{\prime}$. Carrying out the trace calculation in (15) and setting $v^{\prime}=v$, one gets from Eqs.(5), (9), (10), (12) and (15)

$$
\begin{align*}
2 m_{D_{0}^{\prime}} v^{\mu} & =\frac{m_{D_{0}^{\prime}}}{\bar{\Lambda}_{D_{0}^{\prime}}}\left\{2 \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime} \xi^{\prime}(1)-\frac{2}{m_{c}}\left(\kappa_{1}^{\prime}(1)+3 \kappa_{2}^{\prime}(1)\right)\right\} v^{\mu}  \tag{17}\\
2 m_{D_{1}^{\prime}} v^{\mu} & =\frac{m_{D_{1}^{\prime}}}{\bar{\Lambda}_{D_{1}^{\prime}}}\left\{-2 \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime} \xi^{\prime}(1)+\frac{2}{m_{c}}\left(\kappa_{1}^{\prime}(1)-\kappa_{2}^{\prime}(1)\right)\right\}\left(\epsilon^{*} \cdot \epsilon\right) v^{\mu} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the Isgur-Wise function $\xi^{\prime}(1)$ satisfies the normalization condition $\xi^{\prime}(1)=1$, the above equations yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\Lambda}_{D_{0}^{\prime}}=\bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{m_{c}}\left(\kappa_{1}^{\prime}(1)+3 \kappa_{2}^{\prime}(1)\right),  \tag{19}\\
& \bar{\Lambda}_{D_{1}^{\prime}}=\bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{m_{c}}\left(\kappa_{1}^{\prime}(1)-\kappa_{2}^{\prime}(1)\right), \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

which are quite similar to those relations for the $j_{l}^{P}=\frac{1}{2}^{-}$ground state bottom mesons [23, 24]:

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\Lambda}_{B} & =\bar{\Lambda}-\frac{1}{m_{b}}\left(\kappa_{1}(1)+3 \kappa_{2}(1)\right),  \tag{21}\\
\bar{\Lambda}_{B^{*}} & =\bar{\Lambda}-\frac{1}{m_{b}}\left(\kappa_{1}(1)-\kappa_{2}(1)\right) . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

For $B \rightarrow D_{0}^{\prime}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ transitions, the relevant matrix elements can be parameterized in HQEFT as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle K_{v^{\prime}}\right| \bar{Q}_{v^{\prime}}^{+} \Gamma Q_{v}^{+}\left|B_{v}\right\rangle & =\tau(y) \operatorname{Tr}\left[\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{v^{\prime}} \Gamma \mathcal{M}_{v}\right], \\
\left\langle K_{v^{\prime}}\right| \bar{Q}_{v^{\prime}}^{+} \Gamma \frac{P_{+}}{i v \cdot D} D_{\perp}^{2} Q_{v}^{+}\left|B_{v}\right\rangle & =-\chi_{0}^{b}(y) \frac{1}{\bar{\Lambda}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{v^{\prime}} \Gamma \mathcal{M}_{v}\right], \\
\left\langle K_{v^{\prime}}\right| \bar{Q}_{v^{\prime}}^{+} \stackrel{\overleftarrow{D}}{\perp} \frac{P_{+}^{\prime}}{-i v^{\prime} \cdot \stackrel{\overleftarrow{D}}{D}} \Gamma Q_{v}^{+}\left|B_{v}\right\rangle & =-\chi_{0}^{c}(y) \frac{1}{\bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\overline{\mathcal{K}}_{v^{\prime}} \Gamma \mathcal{M}_{v}\right], \\
\left\langle K_{v^{\prime}}\right| \bar{Q}_{v^{\prime}}^{+} \Gamma \frac{P_{+}}{i v \cdot D} \frac{i}{2} \sigma_{\alpha \beta} F^{\alpha \beta} Q_{v}^{+}\left|B_{v}\right\rangle & =-\frac{1}{\bar{\Lambda}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[R_{\alpha \beta}^{b}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \overline{\mathcal{K}}_{v^{\prime}} \Gamma P_{+} i \sigma_{\alpha \beta} \mathcal{M}_{v}\right], \\
\left\langle K_{v^{\prime}}\right| \bar{Q}_{v^{\prime}}^{+} \frac{i}{2} \sigma_{\alpha \beta} F^{\alpha \beta} \frac{P_{+}^{\prime}}{-i v^{\prime} \cdot \stackrel{\leftarrow}{D}} \Gamma Q_{v}^{+}\left|B_{v}\right\rangle & =-\frac{1}{\bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[R_{\alpha \beta}^{c}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \overline{\mathcal{K}}_{v^{\prime}} i \sigma^{\alpha \beta} P_{+}^{\prime} \Gamma \mathcal{M}_{v}\right], \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

where the Lorentz tensors $R_{\alpha \beta}^{b(c)}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$ can be decomposed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{\alpha \beta}^{b}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=\chi_{1}^{b} \gamma_{\alpha} \gamma_{\beta}+\chi_{2}^{b} v_{\alpha}^{\prime} \gamma_{\beta}  \tag{24}\\
& R_{\alpha \beta}^{c}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)=\chi_{1}^{c} \gamma_{\alpha} \gamma_{\beta}+\chi_{2}^{c} v_{\alpha} \gamma_{\beta} . \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

The wave functions $\tau$ and $\chi_{i}^{b(c)}(i=0,1,2)$ depend on y. $\tau$ is dimensionless, while $\chi_{i}^{b(c)}$ has mass dimension two.

HQE for the form factors $g_{i}$ is then obtained from (11), (5) and (23). Up to the order of $1 / m_{Q}$ one has

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{+}= & 0 \\
g_{-}= & \tilde{\tau}+\frac{\tau}{2 m_{b} \bar{\Lambda}}\left(\kappa_{1}(1)+3 \kappa_{2}(1)\right)+\frac{\tau}{2 m_{c} \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}}\left(\kappa_{1}^{\prime}(1)+3 \kappa_{2}^{\prime}(1)\right)-\frac{1}{m_{b} \bar{\Lambda}} \chi^{b} \\
& -\frac{1}{m_{c} \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}}\left[3 \chi_{1}^{c}-\chi_{2}^{c}(1+y)\right], \\
g_{V_{1}}= & {\left[\tilde{\tau}+\frac{\tau}{2 m_{b} \bar{\Lambda}}\left(\kappa_{1}(1)+3 \kappa_{2}(1)\right)+\frac{\tau}{2 m_{c} \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}}\left(\kappa_{1}^{\prime}(1)-\kappa_{2}^{\prime}(1)\right)-\frac{1}{m_{b} \bar{\Lambda}} \chi^{b}\right.} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{m_{c} \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}} \chi_{1}^{c}\right](y-1), \\
g_{V_{2}}= & \frac{\chi_{2}^{c}}{m_{c} \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}}, \\
g_{V_{3}=}= & -\tilde{\tau}-\frac{\tau}{2 m_{b} \bar{\Lambda}}\left(\kappa_{1}(1)+3 \kappa_{2}(1)\right)-\frac{\tau}{2 m_{c} \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}}\left(\kappa_{1}^{\prime}(1)-\kappa_{2}^{\prime}(1)\right)+\frac{1}{m_{b} \bar{\Lambda}} \chi^{b} \\
& -\frac{1}{m_{c} \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}}\left(\chi_{1}^{c}-\chi_{2}^{c}\right), \\
g_{A}= & \tilde{\tau}+\frac{\tau}{2 m_{b} \bar{\Lambda}}\left(\kappa_{1}(1)+3 \kappa_{2}(1)\right)+\frac{\tau}{2 m_{c} \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}}\left(\kappa_{1}^{\prime}(1)-\kappa_{2}^{\prime}(1)\right)-\frac{1}{m_{b} \bar{\Lambda}} \chi^{b} \\
& +\frac{1}{m_{c} \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}} \chi_{1}^{c} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\tau} & =\tau-\frac{\chi_{0}^{b}}{2 m_{b} \bar{\Lambda}}-\frac{\chi_{0}^{c}}{2 m_{c} \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}}  \tag{27}\\
\chi^{b} & =3 \chi_{1}^{b}-(1+y) \chi_{2}^{b} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\kappa_{i}^{(\prime)}(1)(i=1,2)$ are the zero recoil values of $\kappa_{i}^{(\prime)}$, whereas other wave functions and form factors depend on the variable $y=v \cdot v^{\prime}$.

## III. QCD SUM RULES FOR WAVE FUNCTIONS

As can be seen in (26), in the heavy quark limit all form factors simply reduce to the Isgur-Wise function $\tau$. Among the 6 functions $\chi_{i}^{b(c)}(i=0,1,2)$ of order $1 / m_{Q}, \chi_{1(2)}^{b(c)}$ are defined in (23) by the chromomagnetic operators. Contributions from such operators are generally expected to be very small, which is supported by the relativistic quark model [26] and QCD sum rule study [27]. Here we mainly focus on the functions $\chi_{0}^{b}$ and $\chi_{0}^{c}$, which are defined by the matrix elements of the kinetic energy operators. Since the kinetic operators preserve heavy quark spin symmetry, $\chi_{0}^{b(c)}$ simply correct the leading Isgur-Wise function $\tau$ in the way of Eq.(27).

In order to calculate $\tau$ and $\chi_{0}^{b}$, $\chi_{0}^{c}$, we study the following three-point correlation functions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Xi^{\tau}=i^{2} \int d^{4} x d^{4} z e^{i\left(k^{\prime} \cdot x-k \cdot z\right)}\langle 0| T\left\{J_{0,+, 1 / 2}(x),\left(\bar{Q}_{v^{\prime}}^{+} \Gamma Q_{v}^{+}\right)(0), J_{0,-, 1 / 2}^{\dagger}(z)\right\}|0\rangle,  \tag{29}\\
& \Xi^{\chi_{0}^{b}}=i^{2} \int d^{4} x d^{4} z e^{i\left(k^{\prime} \cdot x-k \cdot z\right)}\langle 0| T\left\{J_{0,+, 1 / 2}(x),\left(\bar{Q}_{v^{\prime}}^{+} \Gamma \frac{P_{+}}{i v \cdot D} D_{\perp}^{2} Q_{v}^{+}\right)(0), J_{0,-, 1 / 2}^{\dagger}(z)\right\}|0\rangle,  \tag{30}\\
& \Xi^{\chi_{0}^{c}}=i^{2} \int d^{4} x d^{4} z e^{i\left(k^{\prime} \cdot x-k \cdot z\right)}\langle 0| T\left\{J_{0,+, 1 / 2}(x),\left(\bar{Q}_{v^{\prime}}^{+} \stackrel{\leftarrow}{D}{ }_{\perp}^{2} \frac{P_{+}^{\prime}}{-i v^{\prime} \cdot \stackrel{\leftarrow}{D}} \Gamma Q_{v}^{+}\right)(0), J_{0,-, 1 / 2}^{\dagger}(z)\right\}|0\rangle \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k$ and $k^{\prime}$ are the residual momenta of the heavy quarks. $\Gamma$ should be $\gamma^{\mu}$ and $\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{5}$ for vector and axial vector heavy quark currents respectively. $J_{j, P, j_{l}}$ with $j$ the total spin of the meson should be proper interpolating currents for the heavy-light mesons. One set of such currents are proposed in Ref.[28]. One can use

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{0,-, 1 / 2}^{\dagger} & =\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{Q}_{v}^{+} \gamma^{5} q  \tag{32}\\
J_{1,-, 1 / 2}^{\dagger} & =\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{Q}_{v}^{+} \gamma_{\perp}^{\alpha} q \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

for the $\frac{1}{2}^{-}$ground state doublet, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{0,+, 1 / 2}^{\dagger}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{Q}_{v^{\prime}}^{+} q  \tag{34}\\
& J_{1,+, 1 / 2}^{\dagger}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{Q}_{v^{\prime}}^{+} \gamma^{5} \gamma_{\perp}^{\alpha} q \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{0,+, 1 / 2}^{\dagger}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{Q}_{v^{\prime}}^{+}(-i) \not D_{\perp} q  \tag{36}\\
& J_{1,+, 1 / 2}^{\dagger}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{Q}_{v^{\prime}}^{+} \gamma^{5} \gamma_{\perp}^{\alpha}(-i) \not D_{\perp} q \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

for the $\frac{1}{2}^{+}$doublet. $\gamma_{\perp}^{\alpha}$ is defined as $\gamma_{\perp}^{\alpha}=\gamma^{\alpha}-v^{\alpha} \not 0$. In Eqs.(29)-(31) $J_{0,+, 1 / 2}$ is used in the three-point functions. Of course one can substitute $J_{1,+, 1 / 2}$ for $J_{0,+, 1 / 2}$ in the evaluation, which does not make difference to the results for wave functions $\tau$ and $\chi_{0}^{b(c)}$, as required by the heavy quark symmetry.

The formulae in (29)-(31) are analytic functions of the variables $\omega=2 v \cdot k$ and $\omega^{\prime}=2 v^{\prime} \cdot k^{\prime}$ with discontinuities for their positive values. The phenomenological representation for these correlators can be obtained by inserting the complete set of intermediate states with the same quantum numbers as the currents $J_{0,+, 1 / 2}$ and $J_{0,-, 1 / 2}$. Isolating the pole terms of the lowest states we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Xi_{\text {phen }}^{\tau}=\frac{\langle 0| J_{0,+, 1 / 2}\left|K_{v^{\prime}}\right\rangle\left\langle K_{v^{\prime}}\right| \bar{Q}_{v^{\prime}}^{+} \Gamma Q_{v}^{+}\left|B_{v}\right\rangle\left\langle B_{v}\right| J_{0,-, 1 / 2}^{\dagger}|0\rangle}{(2 \bar{\Lambda}-\omega-i \epsilon)\left(2 \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}-\omega^{\prime}-i \epsilon\right) \bar{\Lambda} \overline{\Lambda^{\prime}}}+\text { higher resonances, }  \tag{38}\\
& \Xi_{p h e n}^{\chi_{0}^{b}}=\frac{\langle 0| J_{0,+, 1 / 2}\left|K_{v^{\prime}}\right\rangle\left\langle K_{v^{\prime}}\right| \bar{Q}_{v^{\prime}}^{+} \Gamma \frac{P_{+}}{i v \cdot D} D_{\perp}^{2} Q_{v}^{+}\left|B_{v}\right\rangle\left\langle B_{v}\right| J_{0,-, 1 / 2}^{\dagger}|0\rangle}{(2 \bar{\Lambda}-\omega-i \epsilon)\left(2 \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}-\omega^{\prime}-i \epsilon\right) \bar{\Lambda} \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}}+\text { higher resonances, (39) }  \tag{39}\\
& \Xi_{\text {phen }}^{\chi_{0}^{c}}=\frac{\langle 0| J_{0,+, 1 / 2}\left|K_{v^{\prime}}\right\rangle\left\langle K_{v^{\prime}}\right| \bar{Q}_{v^{\prime}}^{+} \overleftarrow{D_{\perp}^{2}} \frac{P_{+}^{\prime}}{-i v^{\prime} \cdot D} \Gamma Q_{v}^{+}\left|B_{v}\right\rangle\left\langle B_{v}\right| J_{0,-, 1 / 2}^{\dagger}|0\rangle}{(2 \bar{\Lambda}-\omega-i \epsilon)\left(2 \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}-\omega^{\prime}-i \epsilon\right) \bar{\Lambda} \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}}+\text { higher resonance } \text {,40) }
\end{align*}
$$

where the first term in each equation is a double-pole contribution, and the second term takes into account higher states and continuum contributions. Using $\Gamma=\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{5}$ and the definition in (23), one gets the pole terms:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Xi_{\text {pole }}^{\tau}=\frac{f_{\frac{1}{2}+} f_{\frac{1}{2}}-(-\tau)}{(2 \bar{\Lambda}-\omega-i \epsilon)\left(2 \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}-\omega^{\prime}-i \epsilon\right)}\left(v-v^{\prime}\right)^{\mu},  \tag{41}\\
& \Xi_{\text {pole }}^{x_{0}^{b}}=\frac{f_{\frac{1}{2}}+f_{\frac{1}{2}}}{(2 \bar{\Lambda}-\omega-i \epsilon)\left(2 \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}-\omega^{\prime}-i \epsilon\right)} \frac{\chi_{0}^{b}}{\bar{\Lambda}}\left(v-v^{\prime}\right)^{\mu},  \tag{42}\\
& \Xi_{\text {pole }}^{\chi_{0}^{c}}=\frac{f_{\frac{1}{2}}+f_{\frac{1}{2}}-}{(2 \bar{\Lambda}-\omega-i \epsilon)\left(2 \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}-\omega^{\prime}-i \epsilon\right)} \frac{\chi_{0}^{c}}{\bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}}\left(v-v^{\prime}\right)^{\mu} . \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

$f_{\frac{1}{2}^{+}}$and $f_{\frac{1}{2}^{-}}$are the leptonic decay constants of relevant mesons at leading order approximation:

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle 0| J_{0,+, 1 / 2}\left|D_{0 v^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right\rangle & =\sqrt{\bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}} f_{+, 1 / 2}  \tag{44}\\
\langle 0| J_{0,-, 1 / 2}\left|B_{v}\right\rangle & =\sqrt{\bar{\Lambda}} f_{-, 1 / 2} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

In sum rule approach, the theoretical representation for the correlation functions can be calculated from QCD or effective theories in the deep Euclidean region, and in performing the operator product expansion the nonperturbative effects are incorporated via the inclusion of nonzero vacuum condensate values. Formally the theoretical sides of the sum rules can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{\text {theo }}^{\tau}\left(\Xi_{\text {theo }}^{\Xi_{0}^{b(c)}}\right)=\int d \nu d \nu^{\prime} \frac{\rho_{\text {pert }}^{\tau}\left(\rho_{\text {pert }}^{b(c)}\right)}{(\nu-\omega-i \epsilon)\left(\nu^{\prime}-\omega^{\prime}-i \epsilon\right)}+\Xi_{N P}+\text { subtraction terms } \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\Xi_{N P}$ being the nonperturbative terms. QCD sum rules are obtained by equating the phenomenological and theoretical representations of the correlators. In doing this the perturbative contribution above some threshold energy is assumed to simulate the higher resonance contribution. To suppress the higher resonance contribution and at the same time enhance the importance of low dimension condensates, Borel transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{B}_{T}^{(\omega)} \equiv T \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty,-\omega \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\omega^{n}}{\Gamma(n)}\left(-\frac{d}{d \omega}\right)^{n} \quad \text { with } T=\frac{-\omega}{n} \text { fixed } \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

should be performed to both sides of sum rules. Since there are two variables $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ for the correlation functions (29)-(31), we shall perform a double Borel transformation $\hat{B}_{t}^{(\omega)} \hat{B}_{t^{\prime}}^{\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)}$, which then introduces two Borel parameters $t$ and $t^{\prime}$ in the sum rules. In studying B decays into ground state charmed mesons, it is argued [29-31] that the hadronic and perturbative spectral densities can not be locally dual to each other, but the quark-hadron duality is restored in the "diagonal" variable $\nu_{+}=\frac{\nu+\nu^{\prime}}{2}$. Here we shall follow this prescription. That is, we integrate the spectral densities over the "off-diagonal" variable $\nu_{-}=\frac{\nu-\nu^{\prime}}{2}$, and assume the quark-hadron duality in $\nu_{+}$for the integrated spectral densities. This can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\Xi}_{\text {pole }}=2 \int_{0}^{s_{0}} d \nu_{+} e^{-\nu_{+} / T} \tilde{\rho}_{\text {pert }}\left(\nu_{+}\right)+\tilde{\Xi}_{N P} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the two Borel parameters are set equal, $t=t^{\prime}=2 T$. $\tilde{\Xi}$ is obtained by applying double Borel operators to $\Xi$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\rho}_{p e r t}\left(\nu_{+}\right)=\int_{-\nu_{+}}^{\nu_{+}} d \nu_{-} \rho_{\text {pert }}\left(\nu_{+}, \nu_{-}\right) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the OPE we consider condensates with dimension no higher than 5 , and the light quark mass and higher radiative corrections are neglected. Then the Feynman diagrams presented in Fig. 1 should be calculated. The resulting sum rules turn out to be

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{\frac{1}{2}}+f_{\frac{1}{2}}-\tau e^{-\left(\bar{\Lambda}+\bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}\right) / T}= & \frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}(1+y)^{2}} \int_{0}^{s_{0}^{\tau}} d \nu_{+} \nu_{+}^{3} e^{-\nu_{+} / T}-\frac{2 T \alpha_{s}}{3 \pi}\langle\bar{q} q\rangle+\frac{1}{96 \pi^{2} T}\left[6 \pi^{2}(y+2)\right. \\
& \left.-4 \pi(y+1) \alpha_{s}\right] i\left\langle\bar{q} \sigma_{\alpha \beta} F^{\alpha \beta} q\right\rangle+\frac{(y-1)}{192 \pi(y+1)} \alpha_{s}\left\langle F_{\alpha \beta}^{a} F^{a \alpha \beta}\right\rangle  \tag{50}\\
f_{\frac{1}{2}}+f_{\frac{1}{2}}-\frac{\chi_{0}^{b}}{\bar{\Lambda}} e^{-\left(\bar{\Lambda}+\bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}\right) / T}= & -\frac{y+4}{16 \pi^{2}(1+y)^{3}} \int_{0}^{s_{0}^{b}} d \nu_{+} \nu_{+}^{4} e^{-\nu_{+} / T}-\frac{5 T^{2} \alpha_{s}}{3 \pi(y+1)}\langle\bar{q} q\rangle \\
& +\frac{(y+2) T}{96 \pi(1+y)^{2}} \alpha_{s}\left\langle F_{\alpha \beta}^{a} F^{a \alpha \beta}\right\rangle  \tag{51}\\
f_{\frac{1}{2}}+f_{\frac{1}{2}}-\frac{\chi_{0}^{c}}{\bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}} e^{-\left(\bar{\Lambda}+\bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}\right) / T}= & \frac{3(3 y+2)}{16 \pi^{2}(1+y)^{3}} \int_{0}^{s_{0}^{c}} d \nu_{+} \nu_{+}^{4} e^{-\nu_{+} / T}-\frac{(4 y+3) T^{2} \alpha_{s}}{3 \pi(y+1)}\langle\bar{q} q\rangle \\
& -\frac{(y+8) T}{96 \pi(y+1)} \alpha_{s}\left\langle F_{\alpha \beta}^{a} F^{a \alpha \beta}\right\rangle \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

where the threshold values should be determined by the principle of minimal sensitivity in the numerical analysis of sum rules. The condensates have the typical values:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle\bar{q} q\rangle \approx-(0.23 \mathrm{GeV})^{3}, \\
& i\left\langle\bar{q} \sigma_{\alpha \beta} F^{\alpha \beta} q\right\rangle \approx-m_{0}^{2}\langle\bar{q} q\rangle \text { with } m_{0}^{2}=0.8 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}, \\
& \alpha_{s}\left\langle F_{\alpha \beta}^{a} F^{a \alpha \beta}\right\rangle \approx 0.04 \mathrm{GeV}^{4} . \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

Eqs.(32) and (36) are used as interpolating currents in deriving the sum rules (50)-(52). We have also considered the current (34) but we find that using such current results in zero contribution of the perturbative diagram (the first diagram in Fig. 1), which makes the resulting sum rule equation not reliable. This has been noted in Ref.[17] and we just further checked it.

To derive the wave functions from these sum rules one needs to know the leptonic decay constants $f_{\frac{1}{2}^{-}}$and $f_{\frac{1}{2}^{+}}$. They can also be evaluated in the same framework through QCD sum rule approach. The sum rule for $f_{\frac{1}{2}^{-}}$has been analyzed by previous work [20, 24, 31] and our result is [24]

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{\frac{1}{2}-}^{2} e^{-2 \bar{\Lambda} / T}= & \frac{3}{16 \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{s_{0}^{-}} d \nu \nu^{2} e^{-\nu / T}-\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{4 \alpha_{s}}{3 \pi}\right)\langle\bar{q} q\rangle-\frac{1}{8 T^{2}}\left(1+\frac{4 \alpha_{s}}{\pi}\right) i\left\langle\bar{q} \sigma_{\alpha \beta} F^{\alpha \beta} q\right\rangle \\
& -\frac{1}{48 \pi T} \alpha_{s}\left\langle F_{\alpha \beta}^{a} F^{a \alpha \beta}\right\rangle, \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

where the relation between $f_{\frac{1}{2}^{-}}$and $F$ in Ref. [24] is $F=\sqrt{2} f_{\frac{1}{2}-}$.

For $f_{\frac{1}{2}}+$, we consider the two-point correlation function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi=i \int d^{4} x e^{i k \cdot x}\langle 0| T\left\{J_{0,+, 1 / 2}(x), J_{0,+, 1 / 2}^{\dagger}(0)\right\}|0\rangle \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting a complete set of intermediate states and assuming the quark-hadron duality, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f_{\frac{1^{+}}{}}^{2}}{2 \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}-2 v \cdot k-i \epsilon}=\int_{0}^{s_{0}^{+}} d \nu \frac{\rho_{\text {pert }}(\nu)}{\nu-\omega-i \epsilon}+\Pi_{N P}+\text { subtraction terms } \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

After Borel transformation we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\frac{1}{2}}^{2}+e^{-2 \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime} / T}=\frac{3}{64 \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{s_{0}^{+}} d \nu \nu^{4} e^{-\nu / T}+\left(\frac{3}{16}-\frac{\alpha_{s}}{32 \pi}\right) i\left\langle\bar{q} \sigma_{\alpha \beta} F^{\alpha \beta} q\right\rangle \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

$f_{\frac{1}{2}+}$ has also been studied in the usual HQET [28]. We note that the perturbation term in Eq.(57) is same as that in Ref.[28]. Our calculation includes contributions from all diagrams in Fig.2, and the nonperturbative terms have some difference to that reference.

Sum rules in Eqs.(50)-(52), (54) and (57) constitute the main results that we will use to discuss the $B \rightarrow D_{0}^{\prime}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right) \ell \bar{\nu}$ decays. The constants $f_{\frac{1}{2}^{-}}$and $f_{\frac{1}{2}^{+}}$as well as the binding energy $\bar{\Lambda}$ and $\bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}$ can be estimated from sum rules (54) and (57). And the wave functions $\tau(y)$, $\chi_{0}^{b}(y)$ and $\chi_{0}^{c}(y)$ can be derived by studying the ratios of Eqs. (50) -(52) to (54) and (57).

QCD higher order corrections are not included in our calculation. They affect both the three-point and two-point correlation functions and deserve further study in future work. As far as the determination of transition wave functions is concerned in this paper, the effects of radiative corrections are expected to be partly cancelled in the ratios of three-point to two-point correlators, and therefore not influence the final results significantly. This has been proved to be true in the study of Refs. 16, 32, 33]. In those references the two-loop corrections to the Isgur-Wise functions are found to be small and well under control for the B decays into both ground state [32, 33] and excited state [16] charmed mesons, although the corrections to decay constants are sizable.

## IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

We get from Eq.(54) the appropriate binding energy and decay constant as [24]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\Lambda}_{\frac{1}{2}^{-}}=0.53 \pm 0.08 \mathrm{GeV} \\
& f_{\frac{1}{2}^{-}}=0.21 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{GeV}^{3 / 2} \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

For $f_{\frac{1}{2}^{+}}$one should study Eq.(57). $\bar{\Lambda}_{\frac{1}{2}+}$ and $f_{\frac{1}{2}^{+}}$as functions of the Borel parameter $T$ is presented in Fig.3. $\bar{\Lambda}_{\frac{1}{2}}+$ and $f_{\frac{1}{2}+}$ have acceptable stability when setting the threshold $s_{0}^{+}=2.6-3.0 \mathrm{GeV}$. The curves in Fig. 3 become rather stable when $T>1 \mathrm{GeV}$. However, the criterion of sum rule analysis is that both contributions from the higher resonances and from the higher order power corrections in OPE should not be very large, say not much higher than
$30 \%$. According to this criterion the proper window for Eq. (57) is $0.6 \mathrm{GeV}<T<0.8 \mathrm{GeV}$. As a result we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\Lambda}_{\frac{1_{2}^{+}}{}}=0.81 \pm 0.12 \mathrm{GeV}, \\
& f_{\frac{1}{2}^{+}}=0.30 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{GeV}^{5 / 2}, \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

where the central values are obtained using $s_{0}^{+}=2.8 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $T=0.7 \mathrm{GeV}$, and the errors are attributed to the variation of the threshold and Borel parameter.

Now we can study the sum rules for $B \rightarrow D_{0}^{\prime}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right) \ell \nu$ wave functions. The leading function $\tau$ depends on the recoil variable $y$ and can be estimated from the sum rule (50). Fig. 4 displays $\tau$ as a function of the Borel parameter at the fixed point $y=1$. Applying the sum rule criterion the appropriate region for analyzing the stability is $0.8 \mathrm{GeV}<T<1.0 \mathrm{GeV}$. As can be seen in the figure, $2.7 \mathrm{GeV}<s_{0}^{\tau}<3.3 \mathrm{GeV}$ is favorable. Therefore we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(1)=0.57 \pm 0.06 \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the central value corresponds to $s_{0}^{\tau}=3.0 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $T=0.9 \mathrm{GeV}$.
Following the same procedure the subleading order wave functions $\chi_{0}^{b}$ and $\chi_{0}^{c}$ can be derived from Eqs.(51) and (52). The results at zero recoil are shown in Fig. 5 and Fig.6, respectively. In the appropriate window $\chi_{0}^{b}(1)$ is not sensitive to the Borel parameter when $s_{0}^{b} \sim 2.1 \mathrm{GeV}$, while $\chi_{0}^{c}(1)$ becomes stable around a smaller threshold value $s_{0}^{c} \sim 1.2 \mathrm{GeV}$. Setting $T \sim 0.9 \mathrm{GeV}$ we then obtain the following zero recoil values for the $1 / m_{Q}$ order wave functions

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{\chi_{0}^{b}(1)}{\bar{\Lambda}} & =0.27 \pm 0.12 \mathrm{GeV}  \tag{61}\\
-\frac{\chi_{0}^{c}(1)}{\bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}} & =-0.20 \pm 0.12 \mathrm{GeV} \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

where the errors mainly arise from the thresholds. So $\chi_{0}^{b}$ and $\chi_{0}^{c}$ have opposite signs. As $m_{b}>m_{c}, \chi_{0}^{c}$ may yield a relatively larger contribution to the $B \rightarrow D_{0}^{\prime}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ form factors. $\chi_{0}^{b}$ can only weakly counteract the contribution of $\chi_{0}^{c}$, which makes $\tilde{\tau}$ in Eq.(27) suppressed with respect to $\tau$.

If one fix the values of the thresholds and the parameter $\mathrm{T}, \tau$ and $\chi_{0}^{b(c)}$ as functions of the recoil value can be evaluated from the sum rule equations. The results are shown in Fig.7, where $T=0.9 \mathrm{GeV}$ is used. We find these functions can be expanded near $y=1$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau(y) & =\tau(1)\left[1-0.56(y-1)+0.35(y-1)^{2}\right]  \tag{63}\\
\chi_{0}^{b}(y) & =\chi_{0}^{b}(1)\left[1-1.45(y-1)+0.98(y-1)^{2}\right]  \tag{64}\\
\chi_{0}^{c}(y) & =\chi_{0}^{c}(1)\left[1-0.52(y-1)+0.28(y-1)^{2}\right] \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

When $\chi_{1(2)}^{b(c)}$ are neglected, the form factors in (26) can be simply written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{+}=0, \quad g_{-}=\hat{\tau}_{D_{0}^{\prime}}, \quad g_{V_{1}}=(y-1) \hat{\tau}_{D_{1}^{\prime}}, \\
& g_{V_{2}}=0, \quad g_{V_{3}}=-\hat{\tau}_{D_{1}^{\prime}}, \quad g_{A}=\hat{\tau}_{D_{1}^{\prime}} \tag{66}
\end{align*}
$$

with the definition

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\tau}_{D_{0}^{\prime}}=\tilde{\tau}+\frac{\tau}{2 m_{b} \bar{\Lambda}}\left(\kappa_{1}(1)+3 \kappa_{2}(1)\right)+\frac{\tau}{2 m_{c} \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}}\left(\kappa_{1}^{\prime}(1)+3 \kappa_{2}^{\prime}(1)\right),  \tag{67}\\
& \hat{\tau}_{D_{1}^{\prime}}=\tilde{\tau}+\frac{\tau}{2 m_{b} \bar{\Lambda}}\left(\kappa_{1}(1)+3 \kappa_{2}(1)\right)+\frac{\tau}{2 m_{c} \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}}\left(\kappa_{1}^{\prime}(1)-\kappa_{2}^{\prime}(1)\right) . \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently the differential decay rates turn into

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d \Gamma\left(B \rightarrow D_{0}^{\prime} \ell \bar{\nu}\right)}{d y}= & \frac{G_{F}^{2}\left|V_{c b}\right|^{2} m_{B}^{5}}{48 \pi^{3}} r_{0}^{\prime 3}\left(1-r_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(y^{2}-1\right)^{3 / 2} \hat{\tau}_{D_{0}^{\prime}}^{2} \\
\frac{d \Gamma\left(B \rightarrow D_{1}^{\prime} \ell \bar{\nu}\right)}{d y}= & \frac{G_{F}^{2}\left|V_{c b}\right|^{2} m_{B}^{5}}{48 \pi^{3}} r_{1}^{\prime 3}\left(y^{2}-1\right)^{1 / 2}\left[\left(1+r_{1}^{\prime 2}\right)\left(5 y^{2}-6 y+1\right)\right. \\
& \left.-r_{1}^{\prime}\left(8 y^{3}-10 y^{2}+4 y-2\right)\right] \hat{\tau}_{D_{1}^{\prime}}^{2} \tag{69}
\end{align*}
$$

$\kappa_{i}^{(\prime)}(1)(i=1,2)$ are parameters related to meson masses. Taking $m_{b}=4.67 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{GeV}$, $m_{c}=1.35 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{GeV}, m_{B}=5.279 \mathrm{GeV}, m_{B^{*}}=5.325 \mathrm{GeV}$ and the averaged masses for the $\frac{1}{2}^{+}$doublet, $m_{D_{0}^{\prime}}=2.351 \pm 0.027 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $m_{D_{1}^{\prime}}=2.438 \pm 0.030 \mathrm{GeV}$ [5], we get from Eqs. (19)- (221)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\kappa_{1}(1)=-0.53 \pm 0.23 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}, & \kappa_{2}(1)=0.05 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \\
\kappa_{1}^{\prime}(1)=-0.35 \pm 0.17 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}, & \kappa_{2}^{\prime}(1)=0.03 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \tag{70}
\end{array}
$$

where we include uncertainties from the binding energies and the quark and meson masses. The values of $\kappa_{1}(1)$ and $\kappa_{2}(1)$ in (70) are consistent with the results of Ref.[24] in which these two parameters are evaluated through QCD sum rule equations that are independent of the heavy quark and meson masses. $\kappa_{2}^{(\prime)}(1)$ characterize the mass splittings of two mesons belonging to a $j_{l}^{P}$ doublet, and the absolute values of them are much smaller than those of $\kappa_{1}^{(\prime)}(1)$. Therefore one gets from Eqs. (67) and (68) $\hat{\tau}_{D_{0}^{\prime}} \approx \hat{\tau}_{D_{1}^{\prime}}$. It is also clear from (70) that $\hat{\tau}_{D_{0}^{\prime}}$ and $\hat{\tau}_{D_{1}^{\prime}}$ may be further suppressed by $\kappa_{1}^{(\prime)}$ with respect to $\tilde{\tau}$ and $\tau$.

With the obtained values of wave functions, we get the decay rates and branching ratios in Table 1. In the calculation the B meson life time $\tau(B)=1.542 \mathrm{ps}$ and $\left|V_{c b}\right|=0.041$ are used, and the uncertainties arise from the quark and meson masses as well as the variation of the thresholds and the Borel parameter. It is shown that the branching ratios in the $m_{Q} \rightarrow \infty$ limit can be about $1 \times 10^{-3}$. However $\chi_{0}^{b}$ and $\chi_{0}^{c}$ may lead to nearly $20 \%$ suppression. When the contribution of $\kappa_{i}^{(\prime)}(1)$ is included, the branching ratios can even be significantly reduced. We note that the masses of $\frac{1}{2}^{+}$charmed doublets have not been determined precisely. Consequently the values of $\kappa_{i}^{\prime}(1)$ may suffer from larger uncertainty. So do the data in the last column of Table 1. Nevertheless the substantial suppression effect of the $1 / m_{Q}$ contribution to the decay rates is evident.

|  |  | $m_{Q} \rightarrow \infty$ limit | with $1 / m_{Q}$ correction <br> from $\chi_{0}^{Q}$ | with $1 / m_{Q}$ correction <br> from $\chi_{0}^{Q}$ and $\kappa_{i}^{(\prime)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B \rightarrow D_{0}^{\prime} \ell \bar{\nu}$ | $\Gamma$ | $4.14 \pm 1.20$ | $3.45 \pm 1.09$ | $2.13 \pm 0.69$ |
|  | Br | $0.97 \pm 0.28$ | $0.81 \pm 0.26$ | $0.50 \pm 0.16$ |
| $B \rightarrow D_{1}^{\prime} \ell \bar{\nu}$ | $\Gamma$ | $4.65 \pm 1.32$ | $3.89 \pm 1.20$ | $2.05 \pm 0.66$ |
|  | Br | $1.09 \pm 0.31$ | $0.92 \pm 0.28$ | $0.48 \pm 0.16$ |

Table 1. Rates $\Gamma$ (in units of $\left|V_{c b} / 0.041\right|^{2} \times 10^{-16} \mathrm{GeV}$ ) and branching ratios (in $10^{-3}$ ) of $B \rightarrow D_{0}^{\prime}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right) \ell \bar{\nu}$ decays in the $m_{Q} \rightarrow \infty$ limit as well as when taking account of $1 / m_{Q}$ order corrections from $\chi_{0}^{Q}$ and $\kappa_{i}^{(1)}(1)$.

## V. SUMMARY

Semileptonic B decays into $j_{l}^{P}=\frac{1}{2}^{+}$doublet excited charmed mesons are studied in the framework of heavy quark effective field theory with inclusion of the heavy quark-antiquark coupling effects in the finite mass corrections. We present the heavy quark expansion for $B \rightarrow$ $D_{0}^{\prime}\left(D_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ transition matrix elements. At the leading order of HQE all form factors reduce to the leading Isgur-Wise function $\tau$, and at $1 / m_{Q}$ order there are six wave functions $\chi_{i}^{b(c)}(i=$ $0,1,2$ ). Among them $\chi_{0}^{b(c)}$ characterize the contribution from the kinetic energy operators and are expected to be much larger than $\chi_{1(2)}^{b(c)}$ that are related to the chromomagnetic operators. Beside these functions characterizing transitions between $\frac{1}{2}^{-}$and $\frac{1}{2}^{+}$doublets, we present also the functions $\kappa_{i}^{\prime}(i=1,5)$ for transitions between $\frac{1}{2}^{+}$mesons. The zero recoil values of $\kappa_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\kappa_{2}^{\prime}$ are extracted from the excited meson masses.

QCD sum rule method is applied to evaluate the functions $\tau, \chi_{0}^{b}$ and $\chi_{0}^{c}$, and the decay rates are predicted. The Isgur-Wise function $\tau$ gives the branching ratios of the magnitude $10^{-3}$. However, the ratios are suppressed rather than enhanced by the $1 / m_{Q}$ corrections. Though we have not calculated all $1 / m_{Q}$ order wave functions, our results imply that the finite mass corrections would not likely change the dominance of the semileptonic B decay rates to $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$states over the rates to $\frac{1}{2}^{+}$states. As a result, the production of $\frac{1}{2}^{-}\left(D, D^{*}\right)$, $\frac{3}{2}^{+}\left(D_{1}, D_{2}^{*}\right)$ and $\frac{1}{2}^{+}\left(D_{0}^{\prime}, D_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ charmed mesons do not saturate the total semileptonic decay rate $\Gamma_{S L}(B)$, and the configuration for the "missing rate" remains an interesting question. This is in agreement with the conclusion of the early work [15] that adopted some model dependent assumptions.

As for the recent report of BELLE [9] there is no indication of a broad $D_{1}^{\prime}$ in the $B \rightarrow D^{*} \pi \ell \bar{\nu}$ channel, but the measurements indicate for $B \rightarrow D_{0}^{\prime} \ell \bar{\nu}$ a large rate of similar magnitude to the $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$production rates. If that result is confirmed, the framework to predict $\frac{1}{2}^{+}$production should be improved to connect the theories and measurements. Anyway, according to the calculations those rates might be in the reach of B facilities. Measurements on such processes will test the theories and shed light on the nature of excited states.
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Fig.1. Feynman diagrams contributing to the sum rules for $\tau, \chi_{0}^{b}$ and $\chi_{0}^{c}$. The thick lines represent heavy quarks; the light lines are light quarks; the curves are gluon fields; the black dots represent condensates; and the external lines represent the currents in Eqs.(29)-(31).


Fig.2. Feynman diagrams contributing to the sum rule for $f_{\frac{1}{2}}$. The external dashed lines represent the interpolating currents in Eq.(55).

(a)

(b)

Fig.3. $\bar{\Lambda}_{\frac{1}{2}}{ }^{+}$(figure (a)) and $f_{\frac{1}{2}}{ }^{+}$(figure (b)) as functions of Borel parameter T . The dashed, solid and dotted curves correspond to $s_{0}^{+}=2.6$, 2.8 and 3.0 GeV , respectively.


Fig.4. $\tau(1)$ as a function of the Borel parameter T. The dashed, solid and dotted curves correspond to $s_{0}^{\tau}=2.7,3.0$ and 3.3 GeV , respectively.


Fig.5. $-\chi_{0}^{b}(1) / \bar{\Lambda}$ as a function of the Borel parameter T. The dashed, solid and dotted curves correspond to $s_{0}^{b}=1.9,2.1$ and 2.3 GeV , respectively.


Fig.6. $-\chi_{0}^{c}(1) / \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}$ as a function of the Borel parameter T. The dashed, solid and dotted curves correspond to $s_{0}^{c}=0.9,1.2$ and 1.4 GeV , respectively.

(a)

(b)


Fig.7. $\tau,-\chi_{0}^{b} / \bar{\Lambda}$ and $-\chi_{0}^{c} / \bar{\Lambda}^{\prime}$ as functions of the variable y. The dashed, solid and dotted curves correspond to $s_{0}^{\tau}=2.7,3.0$ and 3.3 GeV in (a); $s_{0}^{b}=1.9,2.1$ and 2.3 GeV in (b); and $s_{0}^{c}=0.9,1.2$ and 1.4 GeV in (c). In the evaluation the Borel parameter T is set to 0.9 GeV .
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