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#### Abstract

Some recent analyses of single-spin and azimuthal asymmetries in SIDIS and Drell-Yan processes, focusing in particular on Collins, Sivers and Boer-Mulders effects, are briefly reviewed. The perspectives for future phenomenological studies are also outlined.
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## INTRODUCTION

Transverse spin and transverse momentum of quarks and/or hadrons correlate with each other in various ways, giving rise to a number of transverse-momentum dependent distributions (TMD's), some of which are leading-twist quantities. TMD's manifest themselves in single-spin and azimuthal asymmetries in partially inclusive hard processes [1]. In the last decade, semiinclusive deep inelastic scattering (SIDIS) experiments (HERMES, COMPASS, CLAS) have investigated these observables and shown that they are non vanishing and relatively sizable.

## STATE OF THE ART

Most of the phenomenological work focuses on three distributions functions: the transversity distribution $h_{1}(x)$, the Boer-Mulders function $h_{1}^{\perp}\left(x, k_{T}^{2}\right)$ (spin asymmetry of transversely polarized quarks inside an unpolarized target) and the Sivers function $f_{1 T}^{\perp}\left(x, k_{T}^{2}\right)$ (azimuthal asymmetry of unpolarized quarks inside a transversely polarized nucleon). The first two combine in SIDIS with the Collins fragmentation function $H_{1}^{\perp}$, which describes the fragmentation of transversely polarized quarks into an unpolarized hadron. The processes considered by present phenomenological analyses are: $e p^{\uparrow} \rightarrow e^{\prime} \pi X$ (Collins and Sivers effects with different angular distributions), $e p \rightarrow e^{\prime} \pi X, p p \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-} X$ (Boer-Mulders effect), $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \pi \pi X$ (Collins effect).

The parton-model expressions of the SIDIS structure functions involving the three TMD's mentioned above are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Collins } \quad F_{U T}^{\sin \left(\phi_{h}+\phi_{S}\right)}=\mathscr{C}\left[-\frac{\hat{h} \cdot \vec{\kappa}_{T}}{M_{h}} h_{1} H_{1}^{\perp}\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { Sivers } & F_{U T, T}^{\sin \left(\phi_{h}-\phi_{S}\right)}=\mathscr{C}\left[-\frac{\hat{h} \cdot \vec{k}_{T}}{M} f_{1 T}^{\perp} D_{1}\right]  \tag{2}\\
\text { Boer - Mulders } & F_{U U}^{\cos 2 \phi_{h}}=\mathscr{C}\left[-\frac{2\left(\hat{h} \cdot \vec{k}_{T}\right)\left(\hat{h} \cdot \vec{\kappa}_{T}\right)-\vec{k}_{T} \cdot \vec{\kappa}_{T}}{M M_{h}} h_{1}^{\perp} H_{1}^{\perp}\right] \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\vec{k}_{T}\left(\vec{\kappa}_{T}\right)$ is the transverse momentum of the incoming (fragmenting) quark, the apices indicate the azimuthal modulation ( $\phi_{h}$ and $\phi_{S}$ being the azimuthal angles of the final hadron and of the target spin, respectively) and $\mathscr{C}$ is a convolution in the transverse momentum space.

TMD's are usually written as factorized functions of $x$ and $k_{T}$, and their transversemomentum dependence is often assumed to have a Gaussian form. A typical parametrization for the Sivers and the Boer-Mulders functions is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1 T}^{\perp}\left(x, k_{T}^{2}\right), h_{1}^{\perp}\left(x, k_{T}^{2}\right) \sim x^{\alpha}(1-x)^{\beta} \mathrm{e}^{-k_{T}^{2} /\left\langle k_{T}^{2}\right\rangle} f_{1}(x) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{1}(x)$ is the ordinary number density. Due to the kinematics of current experiments and to the structure of SIDIS observables, high- $x$ tails and antiquark distributions are at present largely unconstrained.

A combined analysis of the SIDIS data on the Collins asymmetry from HERMES and COMPASS, and of the $e^{+} e^{-}$Belle data, was performed by Anselmino et al. [2, 3] and led to the first extraction of the $u$ and $d$ transversity distributions, which turned out to have opposite signs, with $\left|h_{1}^{d}\right|$ smaller than $\left|h_{1}^{u}\right|$.

The Sivers asymmetry has been measured by HERMES and COMPASS and phenomenologically studied in [4] and in [5]. The resulting Sivers functions for $u$ and $d$ have comparable magnitudes and opposite signs ( $f_{1 T}^{\perp u}<0, f_{1 T}^{\perp d}>0$ ).

The $\cos 2 \phi_{h}$ asymmetry in unpolarized SIDIS at small transverse momentum provides information on the Boer-Mulders function. In [6] it was predicted that the $\pi^{-}$asymmetry should be larger than the $\pi^{+}$asymmetry, as a consequence of the Boer-Mulders effect. This prediction has been substantially confirmed by the experimental results. A fit to the HERMES [7] and COMPASS [8] preliminary data has been performed in [9]. It assumes that $A_{U U}^{\cos 2 \phi_{h}}$ can be described by the leading-twist Boer-Mulders component and by the so-called Cahn term [10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{U U, \mathrm{Cahn}}^{\cos 2 \phi_{h}}=\frac{M^{2}}{Q^{2}} \mathscr{C}\left[\frac{\left(2\left(\hat{h} \cdot \vec{k}_{T}\right)^{2}-k_{T}^{2}\right)}{M^{2}} f_{1} D_{1}\right] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is however only part of the full twist- 4 contribution, still unknown. As the available data do not allow a complete determination of the $x$ and $k_{T}$ dependence of $h_{1}^{\perp}$, the Boer-Mulders functions are simply taken to be proportional to the Sivers functions of [4], $h_{1}^{\perp q}=\lambda_{q} f_{1 T}^{\perp q}$, and the parameters $\lambda_{q}$ are obtained from the fit. The result, $h_{1}^{\perp u} \simeq 2 f_{1 T}^{\perp u}, h_{1}^{\perp d} \simeq-f_{1 T}^{\perp d}$, is consistent with expectations from the impact-parameter picture and lattice QCD. The comparison with the data is shown in Fig. 1.

The $\cos 2 \phi$ asymmetry has been measured also in unpolarized Drell-Yan (DY) production, where it is represented by the so-called $v$ parameter. At small $Q_{T}$ this quantity


FIGURE 1. The preliminary results for the $\cos 2 \phi$ spin-independent azimuthal asymmetries for deuteron from HERMES [7] (left) and COMPASS [8] (right) as functions of $x, z$ and $P_{h \perp}$ compared with the fit of [9].


FIGURE 2. The $v$ parameter in $p D$ Drell-Yan production: the fit of [13] vs. the E866/NuSea data [11].
is dominated by the Boer-Mulders contribution and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{2 W_{U U}^{\cos 2 \phi}}{W_{U U}^{1}+W_{U U}^{2}}, \quad W_{U U}^{\cos 2 \phi}=\frac{1}{3} \mathscr{C}\left[\frac{2\left(\hat{h} \cdot \vec{k}_{1 T}\right)\left(\hat{h} \cdot \vec{k}_{2 T}\right)-\vec{k}_{1 T} \cdot \vec{k}_{2 T}}{M_{1} M_{2}} h_{1}^{\perp} \bar{h}_{1}^{\perp}\right] . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The E866/NuSea Collaboration at FNAL has presented results for the $v$ asymmetry in $p D$ [11] and $p p$ [12] collisions, from which one can get some information on the antiquark Boer-Mulders distributions. The analysis of the SIDIS $\cos 2 \phi$ distribution has been extended to the corresponding DY observable in [13]. The quality of the fit is shown in Fig. 2. The combined SIDIS and DY analysis allows determining both the magnitude and the sign of the quark and antiquark distributions.

## PERSPECTIVES

In spite of its important achievements, the phenomenology of TMD's is still in its infancy. The mature stage will be represented by truly global analyses. These should: $i$ ) incorporate the exact evolution of TMD's; ii) take all perturbative and non-perturbative effects into account and fit simultaneously polarized and unpolarized cross sections; iii)
use datasets with larger statistics and wider kinematics. More SIDIS data are expected from JLab and from future facilities (EIC), but in the short-to-medium term the main improvement will come from polarized DY measurements: COMPASS ( $\pi^{ \pm} p^{\uparrow}$ ), PANDA ( $\bar{p} p^{\uparrow}$ ), PAX $\left(\bar{p}^{\uparrow} p^{\uparrow}\right)$, J-PARC, NICA, RHIC ( $p^{\uparrow} p$ ). A list of DY observables is ( $\phi$ is the azimuthal angle of dileptons in the Collins-Soper frame)

- $\pi^{ \pm} p: \quad \bar{h}_{1}^{\perp \pi} \otimes h_{1}^{\perp p} \cos 2 \phi$

Driven by valence. Expected to be large (10-15\%), but involves the Boer-Mulders function of the pion.

- $\pi^{ \pm} p^{\uparrow}: \quad \bar{f}_{1}^{\pi} \otimes f_{1 T}^{\perp p} \sin \left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)+\bar{h}_{1}^{\perp \pi} \otimes h_{1}^{p} \sin \left(\phi+\phi_{S}\right)$

Driven by valence. The $\sin \left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)$ asymmetry probes the Sivers function of the proton (the unpolarized distribution of the pion is fairly well known).

- pp: $\quad \bar{h}_{1}^{\perp p} \otimes h_{1}^{\perp p} \cos 2 \phi$

Involves the sea. Known to be small (few percent).

- $p p^{\uparrow}: \quad \bar{f}_{1}^{p} \otimes f_{1 T}^{\perp p} \sin \left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)+\bar{h}_{1}^{\perp p} \otimes h_{1}^{p} \sin \left(\phi+\phi_{S}\right)$

Involves the sea, but is useful to extract the Sivers function.

- $\bar{p} p: \quad h_{1}^{\perp p} \otimes h_{1}^{\perp p} \cos 2 \phi$

Driven by valence. Expected to be large. Ideal to extract the Boer-Mulders function.

- $\bar{p} p^{\uparrow}: \quad f_{1}^{p} \otimes f_{1 T}^{\perp p} \sin \left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)+h_{1}^{\perp p} \otimes h_{1}^{p} \sin \left(\phi+\phi_{S}\right)$

Driven by valence. The $\sin \left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)$ asymmetry ideal to extract the Sivers function.

- $\bar{p}^{\uparrow} p^{\uparrow}: \quad h_{1}^{p} \otimes h_{1}^{p} \cos \left(2 \phi-\phi_{S_{1}}-\phi_{S_{2}}\right)$

Driven by valence. Ideal to extract the transversity.
As one can see, polarized DY processes probe various combinations of TMD's and promise to become a fundamental ingredient of future phenomenological analyses.
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