

Beta Decaying Nuclei as a Probe of Cosmic Neutrino Background

Amand Faessler,¹ Rastislav Hodák,² Sergey Kovalenko,³ and Fedor Šimkovic^{2,4}

¹*Institute für Theoretische Physik der Universität Tübingen, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany*

²*Department of Nuclear Physics and Biophysics, Comenius University,
Mlynská dolina F1, SK-842 15 Bratislava, Slovakia*

³*Departamento de Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María
and Centro Científico-Tecnológico de Valparaíso
Casilla 110-V, Valparaíso, Valparaíso, Chile*

⁴*Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR, 141980 Dubna, Moscow region, Russia*

(Dated: November 9, 2018)

We analyze the possibility of detection of cosmological relic neutrinos via neutrino capture on beta decaying nuclei. This reaction has no threshold in neutrino energy, which is crucial for searching for relic neutrinos possessing very low energies. We focus on tritium (^3H) and rhenium (^{187}Re) beta radioactive isotopes to be used in KATRIN and MARE experiments dedicated to measurement of the electron neutrino mass at sub-eV scale. We examine these experiments from the viewpoint of searching for the cosmological neutrinos via neutrino capture. We conclude that even with possible gravitational clustering of relic neutrinos the prospects for their detection in these and other similar experiments are not optimistic. Nevertheless KATRIN and MARE experiments could establish some usefull constraints on density of relic neutrinos.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Es, 23.40.Bw; 23.40.Hc

Keywords: relic neutrinos, neutrino capture, beta decay

I. INTRODUCTION

The cosmological relic neutrinos are one of the most important and abundant constituents of the Universe predicted by Big Bang cosmology. There are about 10^{87} neutrinos per flavor in the visible Universe [1], which corresponds to the number density per flavor of relic (anti-)neutrinos in average over the Universe about $\langle \eta \rangle \sim 56 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ [2]. In number, neutrinos exceed the constituents of ordinary matter (electrons, protons, neutrons) by a factor of ten billion. On the other hand their existence has not yet been confirmed by direct observations. This represents a challenging problem of modern cosmology and experimental astroparticle physics. Many proposals in the literature aim to observation of indirect astrophysical manifestations of relic neutrino sea [3–8]. However laboratory experiments would be the most robust probe of this component of the Universe. There are various strategies discussed for laboratory searches of relic neutrinos [9], [10–20]. The neutrino capture reaction, [19]

$$\nu_e + (A, Z) \rightarrow (A, Z + 1) + e^-, \quad (1)$$

is one of the most discussed possibilities in the literature [9, 17–20]. Since relic neutrinos have very low energy this reaction should have no threshold implying that the initial nucleus is β -radioactive. This idea was first proposed many years ago by Weinberg in Ref. [9], but in the context of massless neutrinos with large chemical potential. The latter proved to be inconsistent with the Big Bang cosmology. The discovery of neutrino mass m_ν revived the interest to the above reaction, since at the endpoint of the electron spectrum the energy difference between β -decay and neutrino capture electrons should be around $\sim 2m_\nu$. In principle, this effect can be observed with a detector having an energy resolution less than this difference. However, the existing experimental techniques are unable to detect relic neutrinos via neutrino capture if their number density in our vicinity does not significantly differ from the global average number density $\langle \eta \rangle \sim 56 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ [18–20]. As it was pointed out recently [18, 21, 22], the gravitational clustering of neutrinos in our galaxy or galaxy cluster may drastically enhance the relic neutrino density in comparison to the overall average $\langle \eta \rangle$ making its detection more realistic.

In our previous papers [19, 20] we studied reactions of neutrino capture on single (1) and double beta decaying nuclei as a tool for probing cosmological relic neutrinos. Here we focus on detailed calculation of the event rate of the reaction (1) and estimate typical detection rates of the relic neutrino capture taking into account possible effect of gravitational clustering. In Sec. II we present our approach to nuclear β -decay with an emphasis on tritium ^3H and rhenium ^{187}Re radioactive isotopes. In Sec. III we apply these approach to analysis of relic neutrino capture on these isotopes and discuss KATRIN ^3H [23, 24] and MARE ^{187}Re experiments [25] dedicated to measurement of electron neutrino mass at sub-eV scale. We examine prospects of these experiments for searching for the relic neutrinos via neutrino capture on the corresponding nuclei.

II. NUCLEAR β -DECAY. BASIC INGREDIENTS

Here we discuss theoretical aspects of single β -decay of nuclei with special emphasis on tritium and rhenium isotopes [26]. We introduce nuclear structure ingredients used in the subsequent sections for analysis of relic neutrino capture by these nuclei.

Let us consider general case of nuclear β -decay

$$(A, Z) \rightarrow (A, Z + 1) + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e, \quad (2)$$

driven by the standard weak β -decay Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}^\beta(x) = \frac{G_\beta}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{e}(x) \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \nu_e(x) j_\mu(x) + h.c.. \quad (3)$$

Here, $G_\beta = G_F \cos \theta_C$, where $\cos \theta_C$ is the Cabbibo angle. $e(x)$ and $\nu_e(x)$ are the electron and neutrino fields, respectively. The strangeness conserving free nucleon charged current is

$$j^\mu(x) = \bar{p}(x) \gamma^\mu (g_V - g_A \gamma_5) n(x), \quad (4)$$

where $p(x)$ and $n(x)$ are the proton and neutron fields, respectively. $g_V = 1.0$ and $g_A = 1.25$.

Single β -decay occurs in the first order in the weak interaction. The corresponding S-matrix element is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f | S^{(1)} | i \rangle &= 2\pi \delta(E_f + E_e + E_\nu - E_i) \langle f | T^{(1)} | i \rangle = \\ &= 2\pi \delta(E_f + E_e + E_\nu - E_i) (-i) \frac{G_\beta}{\sqrt{2}} \times \\ &\int \bar{\psi}_e(\mathbf{x}, E_e) \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \psi_\nu^c(\mathbf{x}, E_\nu) \langle A, Z + 1 | J_\mu(0, \mathbf{x}) | A, Z \rangle d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Here, J_μ is the nuclear weak charged current in the Heisenberg representation. In our notation E_i, E_f, E_e and E_ν are energies of initial and final nuclei, electron and antineutrino, respectively. The wave functions of outgoing electron and antineutrino are denoted as ψ_e, ψ_ν^c . We use non-relativistic impulse approximation for the nuclear current:

$$J^\mu(0, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^A \tau_n^+ [g_V g^{\mu 0} + g_A (\sigma_k)_n g^{\mu k}] \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_n). \quad (6)$$

From now on it is convenient to consider β -decay of tritium and rhenium separately.

A. β -decay of tritium

In the tritium β -decay the spin and parity of initial and final nuclei are equal:

$${}^3H((1/2)^+) \rightarrow {}^3He((1/2)^+) + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e, \quad (7)$$

In this case the dominant contribution to the decay rate is determined by $s_{1/2}$ wave-states of outgoing electron and antineutrino

$$\psi_e(\mathbf{x}, E_e) \approx \sqrt{F_0(Z+1, E_e)} u(P_e) \quad (8)$$

$$\psi_\nu^c(\mathbf{x}, E_\nu) \approx u(-P_\nu) \quad (9)$$

where \mathbf{x} is the coordinate of the lepton. F_0 is the relativistic Fermi function (for more details see Appendix) [27]. Normalization of the spinor is $u^\dagger(P)u(P) = 1$. $P_e \equiv (E_e, \mathbf{p}_e)$ and $P_\nu \equiv (E_\nu, \mathbf{p}_\nu)$ are 4-momenta of electron and antineutrino, respectively.

Substituting Eqs. (8), (9) and (6) into Eq. (5) we get for T-matrix element an expression

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f|T^{(1)}|i\rangle &= (-i) \frac{G_\beta}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{F_0(Z+1, E_e)} \times \\ &\bar{u}(P_e) \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) u(-P_\nu) [g^{\mu 0} M_F + g_A g^{\mu k} (\mathbf{M}_{GT})^k], \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where Fermi and Gamow-Teller nuclear matrix elements are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} M_F(m, m') &= {}_3He \langle (1/2)^+ m' | \sum_n \tau_n^+ | (1/2)^+ m \rangle_{{}^3H}, \\ \mathbf{M}_{GT}(m, m') &= {}_3He \langle 1/2^+ m' | \sum_n \tau_n^+ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n | (1/2)^+ m \rangle_{{}^3H}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Here, $m, m' = \pm 1/2$.

Now we sum up over the lepton polarizations, over the projection of angular momenta, m' , of final nucleus and average over projection of angular momenta, m , of initial nucleus. Evaluating the corresponding traces for the squared T-matrix we have

$$\sum |\langle f|T^{(1)}|i\rangle|^2 = 2 \left(\frac{G_\beta}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 F_0(Z+1, E_e) (B_F({}^3H) + B_{GT}({}^3H)) \quad (12)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} B_F({}^3H) &= |M_F|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m, m'} |M_F(m, m')|^2, \\ B_{GT}({}^3H) &= g_A^2 |M_{GT}|^2 = g_A^2 \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m, m'} \mathbf{M}_{GT}(m, m') \cdot \mathbf{M}_{GT}^*(m, m'). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

We neglected terms proportional to the space components of the lepton momenta as they vanish after integration over angles in calculation of the total β -decay rate.

The Fermi and Gamow-Teller beta strengths in Eq. (13) can be represented in terms of reduced nuclear matrix elements:

$$B_F({}^3H) = \frac{1}{2} \left| {}_3He \langle (1/2)^+ \parallel \sum_n \tau_n^+ \parallel (1/2)^+ \rangle_{{}^3H} \right|^2, \quad (14)$$

$$B_{GT}({}^3H) = g_A^2 \frac{1}{2} \left| {}_3He \langle (1/2)^+ \parallel \sum_n \tau_n^+ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n \parallel (1/2)^+ \rangle_{{}^3H} \right|^2. \quad (15)$$

With our normalization of the lepton wave functions (8), (9) the differential decay rate is

$$d\Gamma^\beta = \sum |\langle f|T^{(1)}|i\rangle|^2 2\pi\delta(E_\nu + E_e + E_f - E_i) \frac{d\mathbf{p}_e}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d\mathbf{p}_\nu}{(2\pi)^3}. \quad (16)$$

Inserting Eq. (12) for squared T-matrix element and integrating over the phase space we find the total β -decay rate for the reaction (7)

$$\Gamma^\beta(^3H) = \frac{1}{2\pi^3} m_e (G_\beta m_e^2)^2 (B_F(^3H) + B_{GT}(^3H)) I^\beta(^3H) \quad (17)$$

with the phase space integral

$$I^\beta(^3H) = \frac{1}{m_e^5} \int_{m_e}^{E_i - E_f} F_0(Z+1, E_e) p_e E_e (E_i - E_f - E_e)^2 dE_e. \quad (18)$$

The dependence of $I^\beta(^3H)$ on small neutrino mass is ignored. For tritium numerical integration in (18) gives

$$I^\beta(^3H) = 2.88 \times 10^{-6}. \quad (19)$$

The nuclear matrix elements for tritium are known in the literature. We take for these matrix elements the values $|M_F|^2 = 1, |M_{GT}|^2 = 3$ derived in Ref. [28]. Then we find

$$T_{1/2}^\beta(^3H) = \frac{\ln 2}{\Gamma^\beta(^3H)} = 12.32 \text{ y}, \quad (20)$$

which is very close to the measured value of tritium β -decay half-life. Vice versa, using Eqs. (17)-(19), one can extract a value of $|M_F|^2 + g_A^2 |M_{GT}|^2$ in a model independent way from the experimental value $T_{1/2}^\beta(^3H) \approx 12.33 \text{ y}$. In this way we obtain

$$|M_F|^2 + g_A^2 |M_{GT}|^2 \approx 5.645. \quad (21)$$

This model independent estimate we will use in discussion of relic neutrino capture by tritium.

B. β -decay of rhenium

Single β -decay of rhenium

$$^{187}\text{Re}((5/2)^+) \rightarrow ^{187}\text{Os}((1/2)^-) + e^- + \bar{\nu}_e. \quad (22)$$

is more complicated than the tritium β -decay mainly because of the fact that the initial and final nuclear spins and parities are different. In [29] it was shown that the dominant contribution to this decay is given by the emission of electron and antineutrino in $p_{3/2}$ and $s_{1/2}$ wave states, respectively. The $p_{3/2}$ wave function of electron has the form

$$\Psi^{p_{3/2}}(\mathbf{x}, E_e) \simeq i \sqrt{F_1(Z+1, E_e)} \left(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p}_e + \frac{1}{3} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{x} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{p}_e \right) u(P_e). \quad (23)$$

F_1 is the relativistic Fermi function (for more details see Appendix) [27].

Now, we are to analyze the squared matrix element in Eq. (5) with the electron and neutrino wave functions given in Eqs. (9), (23). Towards this end we consider the quantity

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} = & \bar{\psi}_e(\mathbf{x}, E_e) \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \psi_\nu^c(\mathbf{x}, E_\nu) \times \\ & \langle (1/2)^- m' | \sum_n \tau_n^+ (g_V g^{\mu 0} + g_A g^{\mu k} (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_n)^k) | (5/2)^+ m \rangle \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_n), \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

which is the integrand of the space integral in Eq. (5). Carrying out summation over polarizations of leptons we keep only the terms surviving after integration over phase space. Then, for the squared T-matrix element we obtain [26]

$$\sum |\langle f|T^{(1)}|i\rangle|^2 = G_\beta^2 g_A^2 \left| M_{GT}^{(2^-)} \right|^2 \frac{(p_e R)^2}{3} F_1(Z+1, E_e). \quad (25)$$

where the squared nuclear matrix element includes summation over all the spin orientations of the final nucleus m' and averaging over the spin orientations of the initial nucleus m . The β -strength for ^{187}Re takes the form

$$B_{GT}(^{187}\text{Re}) = g_A^2 \left| M_{GT}^{(2^-)} \right|^2 = \frac{1}{6 R^2} \left| \langle (1/2)^- \parallel \sum_n \tau_n^+ \{ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n \otimes \mathbf{r}_n \}_2 \parallel (5/2)^+ \rangle \right|^2. \quad (26)$$

Inserting the above expression to Eq. (16) and integrating over the phase space of final electron and neutrino we find the total β -decay rate of rhenium

$$\Gamma^\beta(^{187}\text{Re}) = m_e \frac{(G_\beta m_e^2)^2}{2\pi^3} \frac{(Rm_e)^2}{3} B_{GT}(^{187}\text{Re}) I^\beta(^{187}\text{Re}), \quad (27)$$

where the phase space integral is

$$I^\beta(^{187}\text{Re}) = \frac{1}{m_e^7} \int_{m_e}^{E_i - E_f} p_e E_e (E_i - E_f - E_e)^2 F_1(Z + 1, E_e) p_e^2 dE_e. \quad (28)$$

We neglected the effect of small neutrino mass. For rhenium numerical integration in (28) gives

$$I^\beta(^{187}\text{Re}) = 1.22 \times 10^{-7}. \quad (29)$$

With this value of the phase space integral and using the experimental half-life

$$T_{1/2}^\beta(^{187}\text{Re}) = 4.35 \times 10^{10} \text{ years} \quad (30)$$

we obtain the value of rhenium nuclear matrix element

$$g_A^2 \left| M_{GT}^{(2^-)} \right|_{^{187}\text{Re}}^2 = 3.57 \times 10^{-4}. \quad (31)$$

We will use this value in our analysis of neutrino capture on rhenium where the same quantity will appear.

III. NEUTRINO CAPTURE WITH BETA DECAYING NUCLEI

Now let us consider processes, similar to the previously considered β -decays, but with neutrino in the initial state of reaction:

$$\nu_e + (A, Z) \rightarrow (A, Z + 1) + e^-. \quad (32)$$

This neutrino can be of any origin. An interesting possibility is a neutrino from the cosmic neutrino background. Thus the above reaction may represent one of the very few possible ways to detect this important component of the Universe. Since the energy of cosmic neutrinos is negligibly small, the above neutrino capture reaction takes place only if there is no threshold. This implies that the target nucleus should be β -radioactive. In the previous sections we considered in details two candidates of this type, tritium and rhenium. Below we study capture of cosmic neutrinos with these radioactive nuclei.

The differential rate of the reaction (32) is given by

$$d\Gamma^\nu = \sum \frac{1}{V} |\langle f | T^{(1)} | i \rangle|^2 2\pi \delta(E_e + E_f - E_i - E_\nu) \frac{d\mathbf{p}_e}{(2\pi)^3} \quad (33)$$

for the normalization of incoming neutrino plane wave to be one particle per volume V .

The kinetic energy of electron produced in β -decay is continuously distributed within the interval $0 \leq E_e - m_e \leq Q_\beta - m_\nu$ while in the reaction (32) the final electron is monoenergetic with $E_e - m_e = Q_\beta + E_\nu^{rel}$, where E_ν^{rel} is the relic neutrino energy. Thus the signatures of β -decay and cosmic neutrino capture are quite different. However the energy difference between β -decay and neutrino capture emitted electrons is very small $\sim 2m_\nu$ which is challenging for energy resolution of detectors used in experiments searching for this process. From the viewpoint of matrix element calculations neutrino capture reaction (32) with very low energy neutrinos, such as the cosmic neutrinos, is very similar to β -decay of the target nucleus.

Below we consider two target nuclei tritium and rhenium which are planned to be used in the experimental setups KATRIN with ^3H and MARE with ^{187}Re aimed to measure neutrino mass in sub-eV range.

A. Neutrino capture on tritium

The cosmic neutrino capture reaction by tritium,

$$\nu_e + {}^3\text{H}((1/2)^+) \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}((1/2)^+) + e^-, \quad (34)$$

is characterized by the T-matrix element of the same form as in the case of single β -decay. Within the same approximations and summing over the polarizations we have

$$\sum |\langle f|T^{(1)}|i\rangle|^2 = G_\beta^2 F_0(Z+1, E_e) (B_F({}^3\text{H}) + B_{GT}({}^3\text{H})). \quad (35)$$

Inserting (35) to (33), for the total capture rate we find

$$\Gamma^\nu({}^3\text{H}) = \frac{1}{V} \frac{1}{\pi} G_\beta^2 F_0(Z+1, E_e) (B_F({}^3\text{H}) + B_{GT}({}^3\text{H})) p_e E_e, \quad (36)$$

where $E_e = E_i + E_\nu - E_f$. With our neutrino wave function normalization both expressions correspond to capture rate per neutrino per ${}^3\text{H}$ atom. For the number density of cosmic neutrinos η_ν we replace $1/V \rightarrow \eta_\nu$ in (36) and get the capture rate per atom

$$\Gamma^\nu({}^3\text{H}) = \frac{1}{\pi} G_\beta^2 F_0(Z+1, E_e) p_e E_e (B_F({}^3\text{H}) + B_{GT}({}^3\text{H})) \frac{\eta_\nu}{\langle \eta_\nu \rangle} \langle \eta_\nu \rangle. \quad (37)$$

Here η_ν is the local cosmic neutrino number density which could be significantly larger than the average over the universe $\langle \eta_\nu \rangle \sim 56 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ due to gravitational clustering [18, 21]

$$\frac{\eta_\nu}{\langle \eta_\nu \rangle} \sim 10^3 - 10^4 \quad (38)$$

assuming $m_\nu = 1\text{eV}$ and baryon density $\eta_b = 10^{-3} - 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ in a cluster of galaxies.

A combination of the nuclear matrix elements in Eq. (37), shown in curl brackets, we previously encountered in β -decay rate and found its numerical value from the half-life $T_{1/2}$ of ${}^3\text{H}$. The corresponding value is given in Eq. (21) which we substitute in (37) and obtain

$$\Gamma^\nu({}^3\text{H}) = 4.2 \times 10^{-25} \frac{\eta_\nu}{\langle \eta_\nu \rangle} y^{-1}. \quad (39)$$

This value is compatible with the result of Ref. [17, 18] for the case $\eta_\nu = \langle \eta_\nu \rangle$.

The KATRIN experiment, dedicated to measurement of electron neutrino mass from endpoint electron energy spectrum of β -decay of tritium, can, in principle, also search for cosmic neutrinos via neutrino capture reaction (34). The KATRIN experiment (in construction phase) aims to measure m_ν with sensitivity of 0.2 eV [24] using about 50 μg of tritium corresponding to 5×10^{18} T_2 molecules [22]. For this amount of target nuclei we find from Eq. (39) the number of neutrino capture events

$$N_{capt}^\nu(\text{KATRIN}) \approx 4.2 \times 10^{-6} \frac{\eta_\nu}{\langle \eta_\nu \rangle} y^{-1}. \quad (40)$$

Considering this estimate we conclude that observation of relic neutrino capture in KATRIN experiment looks rather unrealistic. Further comments on this subject will be given in sec. IV.

B. Neutrino capture on rhenium

The cosmic neutrino capture by rhenium

$$\nu_e + {}^{187}\text{Re}((5/2)^+) \rightarrow {}^{187}\text{Os}((1/2)^-) + e^- \quad (41)$$

we analyze in the way similar to the previous case of tritium. The T-matrix determining this process coincide with the rhenium β -decay matrix element shown in Eq. (25). After neglecting the part of the electron wave function associated with emission of the $s_{1/2}$ -electron, which is very small, the squared and summed over polarizations T-matrix takes the form

$$\sum |\langle f|T^{(1)}|i\rangle|^2 = G_\beta^2 B_{GT}({}^{187}\text{Re}) \frac{(p_e R)^2}{3} F_1(Z+1, E_e). \quad (42)$$

Inserting this expression in Eq. (33), for the total capture rate per cosmic neutrino we obtain

$$\Gamma^\nu(^{187}\text{Re}) = \frac{1}{V} \frac{1}{\pi} G_\beta^2 B_{GT}(^{187}\text{Re}) F_1(Z+1, E_e) \frac{(p_e R)^2}{3} p_e E_e \quad (43)$$

with $E_e = E_i + E_\nu - E_f$.

As in the case of tritium we replace $1/V$ with the neutrino number density η_ν and get the capture rate per atom of rhenium

$$\Gamma^\nu(^{187}\text{Re}) = \frac{1}{\pi} G_\beta^2 F_1(Z+1, E_e) \frac{(p_e R)^2}{3} B_{GT}(^{187}\text{Re}) p_e E_e \frac{\eta_\nu}{\langle \eta_\nu \rangle} \langle \eta_\nu \rangle. \quad (44)$$

Substituting to this equation numerical values of nuclear matrix element from (31) and other constants we obtain for the capture rate per atom of ^{187}Re

$$\Gamma^\nu(^{187}\text{Re}) = 2.75 \times 10^{-32} \frac{\eta_\nu}{\langle \eta_\nu \rangle} y^{-1}. \quad (45)$$

For a detector with 100 g of ^{187}Re , i.e. with 3.2×10^{23} rhenium atoms, we find the number of cosmic neutrino capture events

$$N_{capt}^\nu \simeq 8.9 \times 10^{-9} \frac{\eta_\nu}{\langle \eta_\nu \rangle} y^{-1}. \quad (46)$$

The MARE project will investigate the β -decay of ^{187}Re with absorbers of metallic rhenium or AgReO_4 . It foresees a 760 grams bolometer. For this amount of rhenium the number of neutrino capture events is

$$N_{capt}^\nu(\text{MARE}) \simeq 6.7 \times 10^{-8} \frac{\eta_\nu}{\langle \eta_\nu \rangle} y^{-1}. \quad (47)$$

The MARE detector technology can, in principle, be scaled up. With about 4 orders more rhenium the capture rate would become about two orders of magnitude larger than in case of the KATRIN experiment. Note that the KATRIN experiment can hardly be significantly scaled up due to safety limitations on the amount of highly radioactive tritium.

IV. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

As was shown in the previous sections both with ^3H and ^{187}Re target nuclei the relic neutrino capture rate Eqs. (40)-(47) event numbers are extremely small and unobservable in the present and near future experiments. The gravitational clustering of relic neutrinos at the level of $\eta_\nu/\langle \eta_\nu \rangle \simeq 10^3 - 10^4$ [18, 21] can hardly change this conclusion. On the other hand this effect could be significantly stronger leading to a clustering about $\eta_\nu/\langle \eta_\nu \rangle \simeq 10^{13}$ discussed in Ref. [30]. Then the capture rate becomes large reaching to about $N_{capt}^\nu \sim 10^7 y^{-1}$ which looks very promising. However, a key point is distinguishing the relic neutrino capture signal from the β -decay background. In this respect the main quantity to be analyzed is the ratio $\lambda_\nu/\lambda_\beta$ of the the partial rates of β -decay λ_β and relic neutrino capture λ_ν with the electrons in the energy interval around the endpoint Q_β of the β -decay electron energy spectrum. Despite the β -decay spectrum ends at $Q_\beta - m_\nu$ and the electrons from the neutrino capture locates at $Q_\beta + m_\nu$ in a realistic experiment they overlap due to finite energy resolution Δ of a detector. As it was shown in Refs. [17, 18] the neutrino capture events can be discriminated from the β -decay background if $m_\nu/\Delta \sim 2$ or smaller. This condition is very challenging for experiments and is nearly independent of target nucleus and very weakly depend on the clustering $\eta_\nu/\langle \eta_\nu \rangle$. Only a strong clustering $\eta_\nu/\langle \eta_\nu \rangle \sim 10^{13}$, considered in Ref. [30], may relax this condition and simultaneously increase the total event rate of the relic neutrino capture. We note also that the considered searches for relic neutrinos via neutrino capture on β -decaying nuclei even been unable to detect this process may set useful limits on the actual relic neutrino density $\eta_\nu/\langle \eta_\nu \rangle$ in the Earth vicinity. These possibility requires additional study. However it should be pointed out that there is a big uncertainty in this sort of studies due to unknown absolute mass scale of neutrino. If the forthcoming β -decay experiments [23, 24], [25] set the value of m_{ν_e} within their sensitivities then the energy resolution necessary for successful searches of relic neutrinos will be within reasonable values like $\Delta \sim 0.1\text{eV}$ [17], which could be achieved in future experiments.

In conclusion, we carried out a detailed analysis of nuclear physics and kinematical aspects of single nuclear β -decay and relic neutrino capture on β -radioactive nuclei. We focussed on ^3H and ^{187}Re isotopes to be used in the forthcoming KATRIN and in the planned MARE experiments, respectively.

As to the prospects of relic neutrino direct detection through neutrino capture on β -decaying nuclei we concluded that they are rather pessimistic with the present experimental methods and techniques. Even taking into account the

gravitational clustering, which greatly enhances the relic neutrino number density in galaxy clusters, does not improve this situation: the detection rate remains very small and hardly observable in the near future. However we noted that scaling MARE experiment up to several hundreds of kilograms of rhenium would offer an event rate significantly larger than in KATRIN experiment. This possibility, however, remains technically very questionable.

Despite our rather pessimistic conclusions we point out that searches for cosmic neutrino capture via beta decaying nuclei deserves to be carried out. After all this is the only known direct way to probe this component of the universe and from non-observation of the capture process to extract limits on the local neutrino number density η_ν , which could be complementary to the known cosmological and astrophysical limits. On the other hand one can never exclude that we are passing through a neutrino clump with a density much higher than predicted by the gravitational clustering [18, 21, 30]. This would eventually make observation of cosmic neutrino capture realistic in even the forthcoming experiments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Authors are grateful to V. Egorov, S. Bilenyk and Yu. Kamyshev for stimulating discussions. F.Š. and R.H. acknowledge the support of the VEGA Grant agency of the Slovak Republic under the Contract No. 1/0639/09. S.K. acknowledges the support of FONDECYT grant 1100582, and Centro Científico-Tecnológico de Valparaíso PBCCT ACT-028.

Appendix: Partial electron wave functions

Here, for convenience, we show several terms of the partial wave decomposition of the relativistic electron wave function in the Coulomb field of a uniform charge distribution of a nucleus:

$$\Psi_e(\mathbf{r}, E) = \Psi^{s_{1/2}}(\mathbf{r}, E) + \Psi^{p_{1/2}}(\mathbf{r}, E) + \Psi^{p_{3/2}}(\mathbf{r}, E) + \dots \quad (\text{A.1})$$

In our notation we follow Ref. [27]. Keeping the leading terms in r we have for the partial wave functions:

$s_{1/2}$ wave:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_s^{s_{1/2}}(\mathbf{r}, E) &= \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{g}_{-1} \chi_s \\ \tilde{f}_{+1} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} \chi_s \end{pmatrix} \\ &\simeq \sqrt{F_0(Z, E)} u_s(P). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$p_{1/2}$ wave:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_s^{p_{1/2}}(\mathbf{r}, E) &= i \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{g}_{+1} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} \chi_s \\ -\tilde{f}_{-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} \chi_s \end{pmatrix} \\ &\simeq i \frac{\alpha Z}{2} \sqrt{F_0(Z, E)} \gamma_0 \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} u_s(P). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$p_{3/2}$ wave:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_s^{p_{3/2}}(\mathbf{r}, E) &= i \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{g}_{-2} [3\hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} - \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}] \chi_s \\ \tilde{f}_{+2} [3\hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} - \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}] \chi_s \end{pmatrix} \\ &\simeq i \sqrt{F_1(Z, E)} \left(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \frac{1}{3} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{r} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{p} \right) u_s(P). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The relativistic Fermi function $F_0(Z, E_e)$ ($F_1(Z, E_e)$) takes into account the Coulomb interaction of emitted $s_{1/2}$ and $p_{1/2}$ ($p_{3/2}$) electrons with the nucleus and is given, for instance, in Ref. [27]. The Dirac spinors are normalized as $u^\dagger(P)u(P) = 1$.

[1] S. Eidelman et al. [Particle Data Group Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **592**, 1 (2004).

[2] C. Giunti, C. W. Kim, in “Fundamentals of Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics”, Oxford U. Press, (2007).

- [3] T.J. Weiler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **49**, 234 (1982).
- [4] T.J. Weiler, Astrophys. J. **285**, 495 (1984).
- [5] B. Eberle, A. Ringwald, L. Song and T. J. Weiler, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 023007 (2004).
- [6] T.J. Weiler, Astropart. Phys. **11**, 303 (1999).
- [7] D. Fargion, B. Mele and A. Salis, Astrophys. J. **517**, 725 (1999).
- [8] G. B. Gelmini, Phys. Scripta T121, 131 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0412305], and references therein.
- [9] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. **128**, 1457 (1962).
- [10] R. Orpher, Astron. Astrophys. **37**, 135 (1974).
- [11] R. R. Lewis, Phys. Rev. D **21**, 663 (1980).
- [12] N. Cabibbo and L. Maiani, Phys. Lett. B **114**, 115 (1982).
- [13] P. Langacker, J. P. Leveille and J. Sheiman, Phys. Rev. D **27**, 1228 (1983).
- [14] L. Stodolsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **34**, 110 (1975) [Erratum-ibid. **34**, 508 (1975)].
- [15] C. Hagmann, arXiv:astro-ph/9902102.
- [16] P. F. Smith and J. D. Lewin, Acta Phys. Polon. B **15** (1984) 1201; P. F. Smith, *Prepared for 4th International Workshop on the Identification of Dark Matter (IDM 2002), York, England, 2-6 Sep 2002*.
- [17] A.G. Cocco, G. Mangano, M. Messina, J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. JCAP0706, 015 (2007); J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **110**, 082014 (2008); ibid **120**, 022005 (2008).
- [18] R. Lazauskas, P. Vogel, C. Volpe, J. Phys. G **35**, 1 (2008).
- [19] R. Hodak, S. Kovalenko, F. Simkovic, AIP Conf. Proc. **1180**, 50-54 (2009).
- [20] R. Hodak, F. Simkovic, S. Kovalenko, A. Faessler, Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics (2011), doi:10.1016/j.pnpnp.2011.01.050
- [21] A. Ringwald, Y.Y.Y. Wong, JCAP 0412, 005 (2004).
- [22] A. Ringwald, Nucl. Phys. **A827**, 501C (2009). [arXiv:0901.1529 [astro-ph]].
- [23] KATRIN Collaboration, A. Osipowicz et al., hep-ex/0109033.
- [24] E.W. Otten and C. Weinheimer, Rep. Prog. Phys. **71**, 086201 (2008).
- [25] MARE Collaboration, E. Andreotti et al., Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **572**, 208 (2007).
- [26] R. Dvornický , K. Muto, F. Šimkovic, A. Faessler, e-Print: arXiv:1101.3413 [hep-ph].
- [27] M. Doi, T. Kotani and E. Takasugi, Prog. Theor. Phys. (Supp.) **83**, 1 (1985).
- [28] F. Šimkovic, R. Dvornický, A. Faessler, Phys. Rev. C **77** 055502 (2008).
- [29] R. Dvornicky, F. Šimkovic, AIP Conf.Proc. **1180**, 125 (2009); K. Muto, R. Dvornicky, F. Šimkovic, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **64**, 228 (2010).
- [30] R. Wigmans, Astropart. Phys. **19**, 379 (2003); W-Y. P. Hwang and Bo-Qiang Ma, New J. Phys. **7**, 41 (2005).