

A Primary Study of Heavy Baryons Λ_Q , Σ_Q , Ξ_Q and Ω_Q

ZHAO Qiao-Yan^{1,*}, ZHANG Dan^{1,†} and ZHANG Qiu-Yang²

¹*School of Physical Science and Technology, Inner Mongolia University, Hohhot 010021, P.R. China*

²*Graduate School of Zhejiang Gongshang University, Hangzhou 310018, P.R. China*

We perform a preliminary study of the $\frac{1}{2}^+$ and $\frac{3}{2}^+$ ground-state baryons containing a heavy quark in the framework of the chiral SU(3) quark model. By using the calculus of variations, masses of Λ_Q , Σ_Q , Ξ_Q , Ω_Q , Σ_Q^* , Ξ_Q^* and Ω_Q^* , where Q means c or b quark, are calculated. With taking reasonable model parameters, the numerical results of established heavy baryons are generally in agreement with the available experimental data, except that those of Ξ_Q are somewhat heavier. For Ω_b with undetermined experimental mass and unobserved Ξ_b^* , Ω_b^* , reasonable theoretical predictions are obtained. Interactions inside baryons are also discussed.

PACS numbers: 12.39.-x, 14.20.Lq, 14.20.Mr

Baryons containing heavy quarks have always been interesting. In the last decade a significant progress was achieved in the experimental and theoretical studies of heavy hadrons. In particular, the spectroscopy of baryons containing a singly heavy quark has obtained special attention, mainly due to the recent experimental discoveries [1]. In these baryons, a heavy quark can be used as a 'flavor tag' to help us to go further in understanding the nonperturbative QCD rather than doing the light baryons [2]. On the other hand, heavy baryons provide a laboratory to study the dynamics of light quarks in the environment of heavy quarks, such as their chiral symmetry [3].

Up to date, the $\frac{1}{2}^+$ antitriplet charmed baryon states (Λ_c^+ [4], Ξ_c^+ , Ξ_c^0 [5]), the $\frac{1}{2}^+$ and $\frac{3}{2}^+$ sextet charmed baryon states [(Ω_c [6], Σ_c [7], Ξ_c' [8]) and (Ω_c^* [9], Σ_c^* [10], Ξ_c^* [11])] have been established, while for S -wave bottom baryons, only the Λ_b [12], Σ_b , Σ_b^* [13], Ξ_b [14] and Ω_b [15, 16] have been observed [17]. Accordingly, a large number of theoretical investigations have been carried out by kinds of QCD-inspired models or methods to study masses of the observed and expected heavy baryons. Such as various quark models [2, 18–20], QCD sum rules [21–23], lattice QCD [24, 25], bag model [26] and so on.

The chiral SU(3) quark model is a useful non-perturbative theoretical tool in studying the light hadron physics. It has been quite successful in reproducing the energies of the baryon ground states, the binding energies of the deuteron, the nucleon-nucleon (NN), hyperon-nucleon (YN), kaon-nucleon (KN) and anti-kaon-nucleon ($\bar{K}N$) scattering processes [27, 28]. The valuable information has also been obtained from many works on strong interactions and multiquark clusters in this model [29]. Recently it has been extended to study the states including heavy quarks [30–32], and provided interesting results. All these successes inspire us to in-

vestigate masses of baryons with one heavy quark following the above approaches. First, we briefly introduce the framework of the chiral SU(3) quark model, which includes the Hamiltonian and model parameters. Then the calculated masses of $\frac{1}{2}^+$ and $\frac{3}{2}^+$ ground-state heavy baryons involving a heavy quark are shown and discussed.

The chiral SU(3) quark model has been widely described in the literature [27–32] and we recommend the reader to obtain details from those references. Here we just give the salient feature of this model. The total Hamiltonian of the heavy baryon containing one heavy quark (Qqq) can be written as

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^3 T_i - T_G + V_{qq} + \sum V_{Qq}, \quad (1)$$

where T_i is the kinetic energy operator for a single quark, and T_G is that for the center-of-mass motion. V_{qq} represents the interaction between two light quarks (qq).

$$V_{qq} = V_{qq}^{OGE} + V_{qq}^{conf} + V_{qq}^{ch}, \quad (2)$$

where V_{qq}^{OGE} is the one-gluon-exchange (OGE) interaction, which governs the short-range perturbative QCD behavior. V_{qq}^{conf} is the confinement potential, which provides the non-perturbative QCD effect in the long distance, taken as the linear form in this work. V_{qq}^{ch} represents the chiral fields induced effective quark-quark potential, and describes the non-perturbative QCD effect of the low-momentum medium-distance range. In the chiral SU(3) quark model, it includes the scalar boson and the pseudoscalar boson exchanges,

$$V_{qq}^{ch} = \sum_{a=0}^8 V_{\sigma_a} + \sum_{a=0}^8 V_{\pi_a}. \quad (3)$$

Here $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_8$ are the scalar nonet fields, and π_0, \dots, π_8 are the pseudoscalar nonet fields. The detailed expressions of every parts can be found in Refs. [27–32].

V_{Qq} in Eq.(1) is the interaction between heavy and light quark pairs (Qq),

$$V_{Qq} = V_{Qq}^{OGE} + V_{Qq}^{conf}. \quad (4)$$

*E-mail: 15904816097@163.com

†E-mail: zhangdan77325@163.com

V_{Qq}^{OGE} and V_{Qq}^{conf} have the same forms as those of light quark pairs. Note that following the previous works [30–

32], for Qq pairs, the Goldstone boson exchanges will not be considered in a primary study.

TABLE I: Model parameters for light quarks. The meson masses and the cutoff masses: $m_{\sigma'} = 980$ MeV, $m_{\kappa} = 980$ MeV, $m_{\epsilon} = 980$ MeV, $m_{\pi} = 138$ MeV, $m_K = 495$ MeV, $m_{\eta} = 549$ MeV, $m_{\eta'} = 957$ MeV, and $\Lambda = 1100$ MeV for all mesons.

m_u (MeV)	m_s (MeV)	m_{σ} (MeV)	g_u	g_s	g_{ch}	a_{uu} (MeV/fm)	a_{us} (MeV/fm)	a_{ss} (MeV/fm)	a_{uu}^0 (MeV)	a_{us}^0 (MeV)	a_{ss}^0 (MeV)
313	470	595	0.886	0.917	2.621	90.4	104.2	155.3	-79.6	-76.1	-87.6

TABLE II: Model parameters for heavy quarks.

g_c	m_c (MeV)	a_{cu} (MeV/fm)	a_{cs} (MeV/fm)	a_{cu}^0 (MeV)	a_{cs}^0 (MeV)	g_b	m_b (MeV)	a_{bu} (MeV/fm)	a_{bs} (MeV/fm)	a_{bu}^0 (MeV)	a_{bs}^0 (MeV)
0.53	1430	310.5	278.3	-186.0	-137.9	0.50	4720	352.6	310.5	-190.0	-134.0
	1550	339.4	301.5	-223.5	-170.2		5100	391.2	339.9	-281.0	-218.0
	1870	420.6	368.8	-324.2	-258.2		5259	402.4	352.0	-316.4	-253.0
0.58	1430	276.0	240.7	-163.0	-114.3	0.52	4720	338.0	292.9	-181.0	-124.0
	1550	303.0	262.7	-200.0	-146.5		5100	370.3	323.9	-269.0	-209.0
	1870	376.9	325.0	-298.3	-233.3		5259	390.2	332.6	-309.0	-242.5
0.60	1430	264.5	288.0	-155.0	-105.9	0.60	4720	286.5	236.9	-148.0	-90.0
	1550	290.8	248.9	-191.8	-137.7		5100	313.9	260.1	-234.1	-172.0
	1870	362.2	308.5	-289.2	-223.7		5259	325.2	273.6	-270.0	-208.0

The model parameters for light quarks are taken from the previous work [27], which can give a satisfactory description of the energies of the baryon ground states, the binding energy of deuteron, the NN scattering phase shifts, and the NY cross sections. As shown in Table I, the up (down) quark mass $m_{u(d)}$ and the strange quark mass m_s are taken to be the usual values: $m_{u(d)} = 313$ MeV and $m_s = 470$ MeV. The coupling constant for scalar and pseudoscalar chiral field coupling (g_{ch}) is determined according to the relation

$$\frac{g_{ch}^2}{4\pi} = \frac{9}{25} \frac{g_{NN\pi}^2}{4\pi} \frac{m_u^2}{M_N^2}, \quad (5)$$

with empirical value $g_{NN\pi}^2/4\pi = 13.67$. The masses of mesons are taken to be the experimental values, except the σ meson. The m_{σ} is adjusted to fit the binding energy of the deuteron. The cutoff radius Λ^{-1} is taken to be the value close to the chiral symmetry breaking scale [33]. The OGE coupling constants g_u , g_s and the confinement strengths $a_{qq'}$, $a_{qq'}^0$ can be derived from the masses of ground state baryons.

To investigate the heavy quark mass dependence, the mass of charm quark m_c is taken as several typical values 1430 MeV [30], 1550 MeV [34], 1870 MeV [35]. The mass

of bottom quark m_b is taken as 4720 MeV [30], 5100 MeV [36], 5259 MeV [35].

TABLE III: Masses (MeV) of mesons with a heavy quark. $g_c = 0.58$, $m_c = 1550$ MeV, and $g_b = 0.52$, $m_b = 5100$ MeV. Experimental data are taken from PDG [17].

	D	D^*	D_s	D_s^*	B	B^*	B_s	B_s^*
Exp.	1869.6	2007.0	1968.5	2112.3	5279.2	5325.1	5366.3	5415.4
Thoe.	1869.8	2007.1	1968.6	2112.3	5279.3	5325.1	5366.0	5415.3

To test their effects on other parameters and on the spectrum, the OGE coupling constants for heavy quarks are taken as three values in an estimated range [30], i.e. $g_c = 0.53, 0.58, 0.60$ and $g_b = 0.50, 0.52, 0.60$. The confinement strengths including a heavy quark (a_{Qq} , a_{Qq}^0) are determined by fitting the masses of heavy mesons \bar{D} , D^* , D_s , D_s^* and B , B^* , B_s , B_s^* , respectively. The parameters about heavy quarks are tabulated in Table II. The corresponding numerical masses of heavy mesons are exactly consistent with the experimental values. As an example, the results with $g_c = 0.58$, $m_c = 1550$ MeV and $g_b = 0.52$, $m_b = 5100$ MeV are listed in Table III.

With all parameters determined, masses of the $\frac{1}{2}^+$ lowest lying ground-state Λ_Q , Σ_Q , Ξ_Q , Ω_Q and $\frac{3}{2}^+$ S -wave Σ_Q^* , Ξ_Q^* , Ω_Q^* , where Q means c or b quark, can be calculated by the calculus of variations. The harmonic-

oscillator width b_u is taken as the variational parameter. Compared to the experimental data, the numerical results can be found in Table IV, and some other theoretical predictions are illustrated as well.

TABLE IV: Masses (MeV) of baryons with a heavy quark, accompanied by some other theoretical predictions. Experimental data are taken from PDG [17].

g_c	m_c	Λ_c	Σ_c	Ξ_c	Ω_c	Σ_c^*	Ξ_c^*	Ω_c^*	g_b	m_b	Λ_b	Σ_b	Ξ_b	Ω_b	Σ_b^*	Ξ_b^*	Ω_b^*
0.53	1430	2307.7	2473.7	2541.9	2692.5	2536.7	2653.3	2766.7	0.50	4720	5644.2	5843.2	5879.7	6037.0	5865.6	5971.8	6083.4
	1550	2306.9	2485.5	2546.5	2697.7	2548.0	2662.5	2782.2		5100	5643.8	5858.8	5885.7	6043.6	5880.9	5984.2	6090.7
	1870	2305.9	2518.2	2559.4	2713.4	2580.1	2689.4	2799.5		5259	5643.6	5863.2	5887.5	6046.1	5885.2	5987.9	6093.8
0.58	1430	2308.8	2457.9	2535.9	2684.1	2521.5	2640.1	2767.6	0.52	4720	5644.7	5837.0	5877.2	6031.1	5859.5	5966.4	6079.6
	1550	2307.9	2468.6	2539.8	2688.5	2532.0	2648.6	2772.5		5100	5644.1	5849.8	5882.1	6039.7	5867.7	5975.1	6086.8
	1870	2306.0	2497.8	2551.2	2702.4	2560.6	2672.5	2787.9		5259	5643.9	5857.7	5885.1	6041.9	5880.0	5982.8	6088.9
0.60	1430	2309.3	2452.3	2533.8	2681.3	2516.8	2635.8	2765.0	0.60	4720	5646.2	5815.0	5868.8	6023.3	5838.3	5948.5	6068.1
	1550	2308.4	2462.6	2537.6	2685.6	2526.7	2643.9	2769.6		5100	5645.4	5858.8	5872.6	6027.4	5848.7	5956.8	6072.8
	1870	2306.5	2490.8	2548.2	2698.4	2554.2	2666.9	2783.7		5259	5645.4	5858.8	5872.6	6030.0	5852.9	5960.8	6075.8
Exp.		2286.5	2453.8	2471.0	2697.5	2518.0	2646.6	2768.3			5620.2	5807.8	5792.4		5829.0		
Ref. [19]		2297	2439	2481	2698	2518	2654	2768			5622	5805	5812	6065	5834	5963	6088
Ref. [21]		2271	2411	2432	2657	2534	2634	2790			5637	5809	5780	6036	5835	5929	6063
Ref. [22] (GeV)	2.26	2.40	2.44	2.70	2.48	2.65	2.79				5.65	5.80	5.73	6.11	5.85	6.02	6.17
Ref. [23] (GeV)	2.31	2.40	2.48	2.62	2.56	2.64	2.74				5.69	5.73	5.75	5.89	5.81	5.94	6.00
Ref. [24]		2290	2452	2473	2678	2538	2680	2752			5672	5847	5788	6040	5871	5959	6060
\diamond 0.58	1550	2295.1	2452.8	2525.4	2672.8	2503.6	2629.8	2752.5	\diamond 0.52	5100	5651.0	5806.8	5868.3	6021.1	5823.9	5933.1	6054.4

Note that J^P of baryons with '*' are $\frac{3}{2}^+$, and others are $\frac{1}{2}^+$. In addition, the last line ' \diamond ' lists the masses (MeV) of heavy hadrons with $g_c = 0.58$, $m_c = 1550$ MeV, and $g_b = 0.52$, $m_b = 5100$ MeV after varying the confinement strengths.

From Table IV, we can see that for $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$, the numerical values of Λ_c are generally about 20 MeV higher than the experimental one. For Σ_c , the largest difference is 64 MeV (2518.2 MeV–2453.8 MeV), while the closest mass (2452.3 MeV) is obtained with $g_c = 0.6$ and $m_c = 1430$. The results of Ξ_c are somewhat poor, which are about 62 ~ 88 MeV higher. The results of Ω_c are at most 16 MeV far from the observed value, but the exact mass (2697.7 MeV) appears when $g_c = 0.5$ and $m_c = 1550$. Predictions of baryons with b quark are about 25 MeV heavier for Λ_b , and 76 ~ 95 MeV higher for Ξ_b . The nearest value of Σ_b (5815.0 MeV) can be found when $g_b = 0.6$ and $m_b = 4720$, and others are 29 ~ 55 MeV heavier. For Ω_b with uncertain experimental data, our average results are compatible with the observed value (6054.4 MeV) from Ref. [16] and the theoretical predictions from Refs. [21, 24]. When $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^+$ the situation has been improved. The calculated values which are consistent with the experimental ones are obtained by $g_c = 0.6$ and $m_c = 1430$ for Σ_c^* (2516.6 MeV), $g_c = 0.58$ and $m_c = 1550$ for Ξ_c^* (2648.6 MeV), $g_c = 0.6$ and $m_c = 1550$ for Ω_c^* (2769.6 MeV), $g_b = 0.6$ and $m_b = 4720$ for Σ_b^* (5838.3 MeV). For unobserved Ξ_b^* and Ω_b^* , our predictions are similar to those from Ref. [19]. It is worth noting that Ref. [32] give predictions of Λ_c ($M_{\Lambda_c} = 2269$ MeV) and Σ_c ($M_{\Sigma_c} = 2436$

MeV) using the same model as ours by taking $g_c = 0.53$ and $m_c = 1550$ MeV. While compared with our present results, their corresponding meson masses $m_D = 1883$ MeV, $m_{D^*} = 1947$ MeV become far away from the experimental values.

To lower the calculated values, we vary the confinement parameters in a reasonable range, which means that masses of heavy mesons are roughly consistent with the experimental data. When confinement strengths are changed, the results of Σ_Q are in good agreement with the observed ones, and masses of Ξ_Q are decreased by 10 ~ 20 MeV. Most of Λ_c masses are also decreased, and the smallest one (2292.6 MeV) is only 6 MeV higher. However, little action is played for the calculation of Λ_b . In general, the numerical values are reduced less than 20 MeV for Ω_Q , 60 MeV for Σ_Q^* , 50 MeV for Ξ_Q^* , and 30 MeV for Ω_Q^* , which leads to the predictions being 10 ~ 30 MeV lower than the available observed ones. It should be noted that after changing the parameters, compared with the experimental data, the corresponding calculated masses of some heavy mesons are about 1% shifted. This relatively small difference can be acceptable in theory. As an example, with $g_c = 0.58$, $m_c = 1550$ MeV, and $g_b = 0.52$, $m_b = 5100$ MeV, the changed confinement parameters and corresponding masses of mesons are listed in Table V, and those of baryons are illustrated in the

last line with ' \diamond ' of Table IV.

TABLE V: Masses (MeV) of heavy mesons after varying confinement strengths with $g_c = 0.58$, $m_c = 1550$ MeV, and $g_b = 0.52$, $m_b = 5100$ MeV. Same units of a_{Qq} , a_{Qq}^0 as in Table II.

a_{cu}	a_{cs}	a_{cu}^0	a_{cs}^0	a_{bu}	a_{bs}	a_{bu}^0	a_{bs}^0
295.3	238.7	-198.0	-136.5	247.6	164.5	-200.8	-130.0
D	D^*	D_s	D_s^*	B	B^*	B_s	B_s^*
1859.1	1993.7	1964.8	2098.1	5292.1	5325.1	5386.0	5415.3

TABLE VI: The effects of meson exchanges between light quark pairs.

J^P	Baryons	Attractions	Repulsions	No effects
$\frac{1}{2}^+$	Λ_Q	π, ϵ, σ	η, η', σ'	K, κ
	Σ_Q	$\pi, \eta, \eta', \sigma', \epsilon, \sigma$	—	K, κ
	Ξ_Q	K, η, σ	η', κ, ϵ	π, σ'
	Ω_Q	ϵ, σ	—	$\pi, K, \eta, \eta', \sigma', \kappa$
$\frac{3}{2}^+$	Σ_Q^*	$\pi, \eta, \eta', \sigma', \epsilon, \sigma$	—	K, κ
	Ξ_Q^*	K, η', κ, σ	η, ϵ	π, σ'
	Ω_Q^*	$\eta, \eta', \epsilon, \sigma$	—	π, K, σ', κ

Next, let us turn to interactions in these heavy baryons. The effects of meson exchanges between light quarks are shown in Table VI, which are only related to g_{ch} , the masses and the cutoff masses of mesons. For all baryons considered here, OGE interactions are attractive, while actions of the confinement potentials depend on the confinement strengths. When we keep m_Q unchanged, with g_Q increasing, the OGE attractions will increase, too, and accordingly the confinement attractions decrease (or repulsions increase). This is obvious. Here unchanged m_Q indicates that the total force for a heavy baryon is changeless. The attraction of OGE grows, which certainly accompanies by that of confinement reducing with other conditions fixed. On the other

hand, when g_Q does not change, the forces of OGE almost keep invariable. With m_Q increasing, confinement attractions will increase (or repulsions will decrease). Similarly, m_Q growing implies that the total force becomes larger for the baryon cluster, the confinements need more attractive when other factors remain unchanged.

In summary, we have performed a primary study on $\frac{1}{2}^+$ and $\frac{3}{2}^+$ ground-state baryons with one heavy quark (c or b) in the chiral SU(3) quark model. The calculated masses of established heavy baryons are generally in agreement with the available experimental data, except that those of Ξ_Q are somewhat heavier. Reasonable theoretical predictions of Ω_b with uncertain experimental mass and unobserved Ξ_b^* , Ω_b^* are presented. Meanwhile, interactions inside baryons are analyzed, too. It is suggested that our predictions could serve as a useful complementary tool for the interpretation of heavy hadron spectra. However, there are several problems in our present study deserving further discussions, for example, the effects of vector meson exchanges. Furthermore, we hope that the same approach is applied to explore more properties of heavy baryons (such as the spectra of baryons with two or three heavy quarks, or strong interactions including heavy baryons), and test the model parameters compared to the experimental data. All these topics will be researched in future.

Acknowledgments

Authors would like to thank Professor Zhang Zong-Ye and Post-doctor Wang Wen-Ling for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation Funded Project (20100471491), the Natural Science Foundation of Inner Mongolia (2010MS0101), the Inner Mongolia Educational Foundation (NJzy08006), SPH-IMU (Z20090143), and the Graduate Program of Inner Mongolia University.

-
- [1] (For review see e.g.)
Rosner J L 2007 *J. Phys. G* **34** s127, and references therein
- [2] Roberts W, and Pervin Muslema 2008 *Int. J. Phys. A* **23** 2817, and references therein
- [3] Cheng H Y 2007 *ECONFC* **070805** 35
Cheng H Y and Chua C K 2007 *Phys. Rev. D* **75** 014006
- [4] Aubert B *et al.* [BABAR Collaboration] 2005 *Phys. Rev. D* **72** 052006
- [5] Lesiak T *et al.* [BELLE Collaboration] 2005 *Phys. Lett. B* **605** 237
- [6] Aubert B *et al.* [BABAR Collaboration] 2007 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99** 062001
- [7] Artuso M *et al.* [CLEO Collaboration] 2002 *Phys. Rev. D* **65** 071101
- [8] Jessop C P *et al.* [CLEO Collaboration] 1999 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82** 492
- [9] Aubert B *et al.* [BABAR Collaboration] 2006 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97** 232001
- [10] Athar S B *et al.* [CLEO Collaboration] 2005 *Phys. Rev. D* **71** 051101
- [11] Aubert B *et al.* [BABAR Collaboration] 2008 *Phys. Rev. D* **77** 012002
- [12] Acosta D *et al.* [CDF Collaboration] 2006 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** 202001
- [13] Aaltonen T *et al.* [CDF Collaboration] 2007 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99** 202001
- [14] Abazov V *et al.* [D0 Collaboration] 2007 *Phys. Rev. Lett.*

- 99 052001
Aaltonen T *et al.* [CDF Collaboration] 2007 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99** 052002
- [15] Abazov V *et al.* [D0 Collaboration] 2008 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** 232002
- [16] Aaltonen T *et al.* [CDF Collaboration] 2009 *Phys. Rev. D* **80** 072003
- [17] (for review see)
Nakamura K *et al.* [Particle Data Group] 2010 *J. Phys. G* **37** 075021
- [18] Capstick S and Isgur N 1986 *Phys. Rev. D* **34** 2809
- [19] Ebert D, Faustov R N, and Galkin V O 2005 *Phys. Rev. D* **72** 034026; 2008 *Phys. Lett. B* **659** 612
- [20] Valcarce A, Garcilazo H, and Vijande J 2008 *Eur. Phys. J. A* **37** 217
- [21] LIU Xiang, Chen Hua-Xing, LIU Yan-Rui, Hosaka Atsushi, and ZHU Shi-Lin 2008 *Phys. Rev. D* **77** 014031
- [22] WANG Zhi-Gang 2010 *Eur. Phys. J. C* **68** 459; 2010 *Eur. Phys. J. C* **68** 479; 2010 *Phys. Lett. B* **685** 59
- [23] ZHANG Jian-Rong and HUANG Ming-Qiu 2008 *Phys. Rev. D* **77** 094002; 2008 *Phys. Rev. D* **78** 094015; 2009 *Chin. Phys. C* **33** 1385
- [24] Mathur N, Lewis R, and Woloshyn R M 2002 *Phys. Rev. D* **66** 014502
- [25] Liu Liuming, Liu Huey-Wen, Orginos Kostas, and Walker-Loud Andre 2010 *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 094505
- [26] Andrius Bernotas, Vytautas Simonis 2009 *Lith. J. Phys.* **49** 19
- [27] ZHANG Z Y, YU Y W, SHEN P N, DAI L R, Faessler A, and Straub U 1997 *Nucl. Phys. A* **625** 59
- [28] HUANG F, ZHANG Z Y, and YU Y W 2004 *Phys. Rev. C* **70** 044004; 2004 *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **42** 577
HUANG F, and ZHANG Z Y 2004 *Phys. Rev. C* **70** 064004
HUANG F, WANG W L, and ZHANG Z Y 2008 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **23** 3057
- [29] ZHANG D, HUANG F, ZHANG Z Y, and YU Y W 2005 *Nucl. Phys. A* **756** 215
WANG W L, HUANG F, ZHANG Z Y, YU Y W, and LIU F 2007 *J. Phys. G* **34** 1771; 2007 *Eur. Phys. J. A* **32** 293; 2007 *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **48** 695
FHUANG F, ZHANG Z Y, and YU Y W 2006 *Phys. Rev. C* **73** 025207; 2005 *Phys. Rev. C* **71** 064001
ZHANG D, HUANG F, DAI L R, YU Y W, and ZHANG Z Y 2007 *Phys. Rev. C* **75** 024001
WANG W L, HUANG F, ZHANG Z Y, and LIU F 2008 *J. Phys. G* **35** 085003; 2010 *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **25** 1325
- [30] ZHANG H X, ZHANG M, and ZHANG Z Y 2007 *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **24** 2533
ZHANG H X, WANG W L, DAI Y -B, and ZHANG Z Y 2008 *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **49** 414
ZHANG M, ZHANG H X, and ZHANG Z Y 2007 *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **50** 437
- [31] LIU Y R, and ZHANG Z Y 2009 *Phys. Rev. C* **79** 035206; 2009 *Phys. Rev. C* **80** 015208
- [32] WANG W L, HUANG F, ZHANG Z Y, and ZOU B S arXiv:1101.0453 [nucl-th]
- [33] Obukhovskiy I T and Kusainov A M 1990 *Phys. Lett. B* **238** 142
Kusainov A M, Neudatchin V G, and Obukhovskiy I T 1991 *Phys. Rev. C* **44** 2343
Buchmann A, Fernandez E, and Yazaki K 1991 *Phys. Lett. B* **269** 35
Henley E M and Miller G A 1991 *Phys. Lett. B* **251** 453
- [34] Vijande J, Garcilazo H, Valcarce A, and Fernandez F 2004 *Phys. Rev. D* **70** 054022
- [35] Silvestre-Brac B and Semay C 1993 *Z Phys. C* **57** 273
- [36] Vijande J, Fernandez F and Valcarce A 2005 *J. Phys. G* **31** 481