

# A note on logistic regression and logistic kernel machine models

Ru Wang<sup>1</sup>, Jie Peng<sup>1</sup>, Pei Wang<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Statistics, University of California, Davis, CA, 95616

<sup>2</sup> Division of Public Health Sciences, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, 98109.

This is a note on logistic regression models and logistic kernel machine models. It contains derivations to some of the expressions in [1].

## Logistic regression models and score tests

For the  $i$ th individual ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), let response  $y_i$  be 0 if unaffected, and 1 if affected. Let  $X_i$  be a  $q \times 1$  covariates vector (including an intercept term),  $z_i$  be a  $p \times 1$  vector of SNP genotypes (or summary scores) for a given gene (SNP set) under testing, and  $s_i$  be the environment covariate which is also included in  $X_i$ . We consider the logistic regression model with gene-environment interactions,

$$\text{logit}(p_i) = X_i^T \beta + \mathbf{a}^T z_i + s_i \cdot \mathbf{b}^T z_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (1)$$

where  $p_i = \text{Pr}(y_i = 1 | X_i, z_i)$ . The goal is to test the null hypothesis  $H_0 : \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$ . Consider the score statistic,

$$SS = ((Y - \mu^0)^T Z^T, (S \cdot Y - S \cdot \mu^0)^T Z^T) I^{22} \begin{pmatrix} Z(Y - \mu^0) \\ Z(S \cdot Y - S \cdot \mu^0) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where  $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T$ ,  $S = (s_1, \dots, s_n)^T$ ,  $Z^T = (z_1^T, \dots, z_n^T)$ , and “ $\cdot$ ” stands for the element-wise multiplication. In addition,

$$\mu^0 = (p_1^0, \dots, p_n^0)^T,$$

where  $p_i^0$ 's are the fitted values of  $p_i$ 's under  $H_0$ . The information matrix

$$I^{22} = (I_{22} - I_{21} I_{11}^{-1} I_{12})^{-1},$$

where

$$I_{11} = X D_1 X^T, \quad I_{12} = (Z D_1 X^T, Z D_2 X^T) = I_{21}^T, \quad I_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} Z D_1 Z^T & Z D_2 Z^T \\ Z D_2 Z^T & Z D_2 Z^T \end{pmatrix},$$

and  $D_1 = \text{diag}(p_i^0(1 - p_i^0))$ ,  $D_2 = \text{diag}(s_i \cdot p_i^0(1 - p_i^0))$ . Under  $H_0$ ,  $SS \sim \chi_{(n-\nu)}^2$ , where  $\nu$  is the rank of the matrix  $(Z, S \cdot Z)$ , where  $S \cdot Z := (s_1 \cdot z_1^T, \dots, s_n \cdot z_n^T)^T$ .

## Logistic kernel machine models

Following [2, 3], we now extend (1) to a semiparametric logistic regression model

$$\text{logit}(p_i) = X_i^T \beta + h(z_i) + s_i \cdot g(z_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (3)$$

where  $h(\cdot)$  and  $g(\cdot)$  belong to *reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces*  $\mathbf{H}_K$  and  $\mathbf{H}_{\tilde{K}}$  generated by kernels  $\mathbf{K}(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ , respectively. Considering penalized likelihood,  $h(\cdot)$  and  $g(\cdot)$  can be estimated by

$$(\hat{h}, \hat{g}) = \text{argmax}_{h \in \mathbf{H}_K, g \in \mathbf{H}_{\tilde{K}}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i \log(\frac{p_i}{1 - p_i}) + \log(1 - p_i)) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \|h\|_{\mathbf{H}_K}^2 - \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}} \|g\|_{\mathbf{H}_{\tilde{K}}}^2 \right\}. \quad (4)$$

Following [2], the above solutions have the same form as the Penalized Quasi-Likelihood estimators from the logistic mixed model:

$$\text{logit}(p_i) = X_i^T \beta + h_i + s_i \cdot g_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (5)$$

where  $h_i \sim_{i.i.d.} N_n(0, \frac{1}{\lambda}K)$ ,  $g_i \sim_{i.i.d.} N_n(0, \frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}}\tilde{K})$ , and  $h_i$ 's and  $g_i$ 's are independent. Denote  $\tau = 1/\lambda$  and  $\tilde{\tau} = 1/\tilde{\lambda}$ . Now, testing the null hypothesis of no genetic effects  $H_0 : h(\cdot) = g(\cdot) = 0$  in (3) can be reformulated as testing the absence of the variance components  $H_0 : \tau = \tilde{\tau} = 0$  in model (5). As in [2, 3], we consider the following test statistic based on the score statistic of  $(\tau, \tilde{\tau})$ :

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} Q_\tau \\ Q_{\tilde{\tau}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(Y - \mu^0)^T K (Y - \mu^0) \\ \frac{1}{2}(Y - \mu^0)^T (s\tilde{K})(Y - \mu^0) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

where the  $n \times n$  matrices  $K := (K(z_i, z_j))$ ,  $\tilde{K} := (\tilde{K}(z_i, z_j))$ ,  $s\tilde{K} := (s_i s_j \tilde{K}_{ij})$ . Under  $H_0$ , the mean and covariance of  $Q$  are,

$$\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_\tau \\ \mu_{\tilde{\tau}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}tr(P_0 K) \\ \frac{1}{2}tr(P_0 s\tilde{K}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad I_Q = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_\tau^2 & \sigma_{\tau\tilde{\tau}} \\ \sigma_{\tilde{\tau}\tau} & \sigma_{\tilde{\tau}}^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}tr(P_0 K P_0 K) & \frac{1}{2}tr(P_0 K P_0 s\tilde{K}) \\ \frac{1}{2}tr(P_0 s\tilde{K} P_0 K) & \frac{1}{2}tr(P_0 s\tilde{K} P_0 s\tilde{K}) \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$P_0 := W_0 - W_0 X (X^T W_0 X)^{-1} X^T W_0,$$

and  $W_0 = diag(p_i^0(1 - p_i^0))$ . We then linearly transform  $Q$  to make its two components uncorrelated:

$$Q^* = \begin{pmatrix} Q_\tau^* \\ Q_{\tilde{\tau}}^* \end{pmatrix} = I_Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q$$

and  $\mu^* = I_Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mu$ . Since the components of  $Q^*$  are quadratic forms, they can be approximated by scaled chiquare distributions  $\kappa_\tau^* \chi_{(\nu_\tau^*)}^2$ ,  $\kappa_{\tilde{\tau}}^* \chi_{(\nu_{\tilde{\tau}}^*)}^2$ , respectively [2]. Through matching the means and variances, we have  $k_\tau^* = 1/(2\mu_\tau^*)$ ,  $k_{\tilde{\tau}}^* = 1/(2\mu_{\tilde{\tau}}^*)$  and  $\nu_\tau^* = 2\mu_\tau^{*2}$ ,  $\nu_{\tilde{\tau}}^* = 2\mu_{\tilde{\tau}}^{*2}$ . Finally, we construct a combined test statistic

$$Q_{max}^* = max\left(\frac{Q_\tau^*}{k_\tau^*}, \frac{Q_{\tilde{\tau}}^*}{k_{\tilde{\tau}}^*}\right) \quad (7)$$

The corresponding p-value is then

$$\text{p-value} = 1 - F_{\chi^2}(Q_{max}^*, \nu_\tau^*) \cdot F_{\chi^2}(Q_{max}^*, \nu_{\tilde{\tau}}^*),$$

where  $F_{\chi^2}(\cdot, \nu)$  is the cumulative distribution function of a chisquare distribution with  $\nu$  degrees of freedom. Note, when both  $K$  and  $\tilde{K}$  are linear kernels, i.e.,  $K(z_i, z_j) = \tilde{K}(z_i, z_j) = z_i^T z_j$ , models (1) and (3) have the same form. However, they are treated differently and consequently the corresponding test statistics are different.

## References

- [1] R Wang, J Peng, P Wang: SNP Set Analysis for Detecting Disease Association Using Exon Sequence Data. submitted to *BMC proceedings* (2011).
- [2] D Liu, D Ghosh, X Lin: Estimation and Testing for the Effect of a Genetic Parthway on a Disease Outcome using Logistic Kernel Machine Regression via Logistic Mixed Models. *BMC Bioinformatics* (2008), **9**: 292.
- [3] M Wu, P Kraft, M Epstein, D Taylor, S Chanock, D Hunter, X Lin: Powerful SNP-Set Analysis for Case-Control Genome-wide Association Studies. *The American Journal of Human Genetics* (2010), **86**:929-942.