

Lepton mixing induced by flavour symmetry and Leptogenesis constraints

Ivo de Medeiros Varzielas^{1,2*}

¹ Fakultät für Physik, Technische Universität Dortmund, D-44221 Dortmund, Germany

² CFTP, Instituto Superior Técnico

Flavour models may display a relation between the CP-violating asymmetry for leptogenesis and low-energy parameters. If the flavour symmetry produces an exact mass independent lepton mixing scheme at leading order (with type I see-saw) the CP-violating asymmetry would vanish in the absence of corrections. We present a model displaying the link between deviations from the mixing scheme and leptogenesis.

This submission is based on [1], where a complete discussion with references is presented. Due to space constraints we focus here on the last part of the Corfu talk - proceedings covering the first part of the talk (also presented as a shorter talk in ICHEP) can be found in [2].

The small neutrino masses can be understood within the see-saw mechanism where the Standard Model (SM) is extended by adding new heavy states - right-handed (RH) neutrinos in type I. The see-saw mechanism also contains the ingredients for leptogenesis. We discuss only “unflavoured” leptogenesis, as in the framework of flavour symmetry models the heavy singlet neutrinos typically have masses above 10^{13} GeV and for $T \gtrsim 10^{12}$ GeV lepton flavours are indistinguishable. In the standard thermal leptogenesis scenario singlet neutrinos N_α are produced by scattering processes after inflation. Subsequent out-of-equilibrium decays of these heavy states generate a CP-violating asymmetry given by

$$\epsilon_{N_\alpha} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 \pi(m_D^{R\dagger} m_D^R)_{\alpha\alpha}} \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \text{Im} \left[\left((m_D^{R\dagger} m_D^R)_{\beta\alpha} \right)^2 \right] f(z_\beta), \quad (1)$$

where $z_\beta = M_\beta^2/M_\alpha^2$, $f(z_\beta)$ is the loop function, $m_D^R \equiv m_D V_R$ (i.e. in the basis where M_R is diagonal).

We consider now a supersymmetric model based on $G_f = A_4 \times Z_3 \times Z_4$ [3] where relevant next to leading order (NLO) corrections appear only in the Dirac mass and can be parametrised with only 3 complex parameters. Neutrino masses arise only through type I see-saw so the results from [1] apply.

A_4 is responsible for Tri-Bimaximal (TB) mixing, $Z_3 \times Z_4$ generates the charged fermion hierarchy and avoids large mixing between the fields of the charged leptons and neutrino sectors. The breaking sector includes scalar superfields φ_T , ξ' , φ_S , ξ and ζ . The assignments are shown in table 1.

	L	e^c	μ^c	τ^c	N^c	H^u	H^d	φ_T	ξ'	φ_S	ξ	ζ
A_4	3	1	1	1	3	1	1	3	1'	3	1	1
Z_3	1	1	1	1	ω	1	1	1	1	ω	ω	ω^2
Z_4	1	$-i$	-1	1	1	1	$-i$	i	i	1	1	1

Table 1 Matter and scalar content of the model and their transformation properties under G_f [3].

* Corresponding author E-mail: ivo.de@udo.edu,

The LO Yukawa superpotential is expressed as an expansions in the cut-off of the theory Λ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_\ell = & \frac{1}{\Lambda} y_\tau (L\varphi_T) \tau^c H^d + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} y_\mu^{(1)} (L\varphi_T)'' \xi' \mu^c H^d + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} y_\mu^{(2)} (L\varphi_T \varphi_T) \mu^c H^d + \\ & + \frac{1}{\Lambda^3} y_e^{(1)} (L\varphi_T)' (\xi')^2 e^c H^d + \frac{1}{\Lambda^3} y_e^{(2)} (L\varphi_T \varphi_T)'' \xi' e^c H^d + \frac{1}{\Lambda^3} y_e^{(3)} (L\varphi_T \varphi_T \varphi_T) e^c H^d, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$\mathcal{W}_\nu = -\frac{1}{\Lambda} y (LN^c) \zeta H^u + x_a (N^c N^c) \xi + x_b (N^c N^c \varphi_S), \quad (3)$$

where (\dots) , $(\dots)'$ and $(\dots)''$ stand for the contraction in the representations 1, $1'$ and $1''$ of A_4 , respectively.

The flavon superfields acquire the following VEVs (v_T , u' , v_S , u and w are small parameters):

$$\langle \varphi_T \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_T \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \xi' \rangle = u', \quad \langle \varphi_S \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} v_S \\ v_S \\ v_S \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \xi \rangle = u, \quad \langle \zeta \rangle = w, \quad (4)$$

and these VEVs can be aligned naturally from the scalar potential and lead to TB mixing [3]. The symmetry prevents deviations from these VEVs at NLO and allows the order of magnitude relations between parameters $v_T \sim u'$ and $v_S \sim u \sim w$, assuming at most a mild hierarchy among the two sets.

The neutrino mass matrix gets contributions from the type I see-saw. We have:

$$m_D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{y w v^u}{\Lambda}, \quad M_R = \begin{pmatrix} b+2d & -d & -d \\ -d & 2d & b-d \\ -d & b-d & 2d \end{pmatrix} u, \quad (5)$$

with $v^u = \langle H^u \rangle$, $b \equiv 2x_a$ and $d \equiv 2x_b v_S/u$. M_R and m_ν are diagonalised by the TB mixing matrix U_{TB} , giving as eigenvalues $M_1 = |b+3d|$, $M_2 = |b|$, $M_3 = |b-3d|$ and $m_i = (y w v^u)^2 / (\Lambda^2 M_i)$. To estimate ε_{N_α} , we write the Dirac mass matrix in the basis of diagonal and real RH neutrinos:

$$m_D^R = m_D U_{TB} D', \quad (6)$$

where $D' = \text{diag}(e^{i\phi_1/2}, e^{i\phi_2/2}, e^{i\phi_3/2})$ and ϕ_α are the phases of $b+3d$, b , $b-3d$ respectively (eigenvalues of M_R). $m_D^{R\dagger} m_D^R$ is diagonal and thus $\varepsilon_{N_\alpha} = 0$, in agreement with the model-independent proof in [1]. A non-vanishing asymmetry can be obtained at NLO. In this model $Z_3 \times Z_4$ only admits NLO corrections to the Dirac terms, and the ones that can not be reabsorbed in a redefinition of the LO parameters are:

$$-\mathcal{W}_\nu^{NLO} = \frac{1}{\Lambda} y_1 (LN^c)' (\varphi_S \varphi_S)'' H^u + \frac{1}{\Lambda} y_2 (LN^c)'' (\varphi_S \varphi_S)' H^u + \frac{1}{\Lambda} y_3 ((LN^c)_A \varphi_S) \xi H^u, \quad (7)$$

where $(\dots)_A$ refers to the asymmetric contraction of triplets. The deviations to m_D can be written as

$$m_D^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_1 + y_3 & y_2 - y_3 \\ y_1 - y_3 & y_2 & y_3 \\ y_2 + y_3 & -y_3 & y_1 \end{pmatrix} v^u \frac{v_S^2}{\Lambda^2}, \quad (8)$$

where y_3 accounts for the ratio u/v_S . Including (8), the TB mixing receives small perturbations according to $U_\nu = U_{TB} \delta U$, where only the element $(\delta U)_{13}$ is relevant. Parametrising this term as:

$$(\delta U)_{13} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \sin \theta_{13} e^{i\delta} \sim \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{v_S}{\Lambda}\right), \quad (9)$$

where δ is the CP-violating Dirac phase in the standard parametrisation of the mixing matrix, we get

$$\sin^2 \theta_{23} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \sqrt{2} \cos \delta \sin \theta_{13}) \quad \sin^2 \theta_{12} = \frac{1}{3} (1 + \sin^2 \theta_{13}). \quad (10)$$

We estimate NNLO perturbations of order $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ and thus $\sin^2 \theta_{12}$ will receive non-negligible corrections. We can impose an upper bound on v_S/Λ by requiring that the correction to the TB value of $\sin^2 \theta_{12}$ does not take it outside the experimental 3σ range: the maximal allowed deviation from the TB value is 0.05 and from there we impose the bound $v_S/\Lambda < \mathcal{O}(0.23)$. We consider now $m_D^{R'}$ (the NLO Dirac neutrino mass matrix in the basis of diagonal and real RH neutrinos). We can write:

$$m_D^{R'} = m_D^R + m_D^{(1)} U_{TB} D', \quad (11)$$

and calculate the relevant product for leptogenesis, $m_D^{R\dagger} m_D^{R'}$, keeping only the first terms in the expansion in the small parameter v_S^2/Λ^2 :

$$m_D^{R\dagger} m_D^{R'} = m_D^{R\dagger} m_D^R + \left(D^{*T} U_{TB}^T m_D^{(1)\dagger} m_D^R + h.c. \right), \quad (12)$$

where in the second term the only off-diagonal entries are the 13 and 31 ones. In the case of inverted hierarchy for the effective neutrinos, the lightest RH neutrino is N_2 . The summation in the numerator of eq. (1) does not contain the term 13 and therefore ε_{N_2} is vanishing also at NLO. This, however, does not mean leptogenesis can not be realized in this case. Since there is only a mild hierarchy between N_2 , N_1 and N_3 and neither ε_{N_1} nor ε_{N_3} vanish, leptogenesis will proceed through $N_{1,3}$ dynamics. In the normal hierarchy (NH), the RH neutrino mass spectrum is $M_{N_3} < M_{N_2} < M_{N_1}$. There is a mild hierarchy between N_3 and N_2 while the hierarchy between N_3 and N_1 is large (around a factor 9). Consequently, the lepton asymmetry generated in N_1 decays will be, in general, erased by the lepton number violating interactions of N_3 . Only N_3 dynamics becomes relevant for the generation of a lepton asymmetry in this case. Note that if the hierarchy between N_1 and N_3 decreases (as could be in the case of a quasi-degenerate spectrum), so it becomes mild, N_1 dynamics should be taken into account. Henceforth, for simplicity, we will consider only the NH case for which, according to eq. (1), the CP-violating parameter ε_{N_3} can be written as

$$\varepsilon_{N_3} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{1}{v_u^2 (m_D^{R\dagger} m_D^R)_{11}} \text{Im} \left[\left((m_D^{R\dagger} m_D^R)_{13} \right)^2 \right] f \left(\frac{M_1^2}{M_3^2} \right). \quad (13)$$

In the following figures we show a series of scatter plots related to the predictions of the model and the connections among low-energy observables and ε_{N_3} . The points correspond only to the NH neutrino spectrum (in which we take $v_S/\Lambda \sim w/\Lambda = 0.007 \div 0.23$, $\tan \beta = 2 \div 50$ and we treat y , y_1 , y_2 and y_3 as random numbers with modulus between 0.1 and 2).




Fig. 1 Correlation between ε_{N_3} and $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$, $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$, $\sin^2 \theta_{12}$. The horizontal line marks $\varepsilon_{N_3} \sim 10^{-6}$, the vertical lines correspond to the central values and bounds at 1 and 2 σ level of $\sin^2 \theta_{13,23,12}$.

In figure 1 we plot ε_{N_3} and $\sin^2 \theta_{13,23,12}$. As expected by comparing (13) with (10), ε_{N_3} is correlated to all low-energy mixing angles. In figure 2 we plot ε_{N_3} and the low-energy CP-Dirac and Majorana phases. It shows that the low energy CP-Dirac phase δ is not correlated to ε_{N_3} . This result is not surprising: the phases that enter in ε_{N_3} are related to the phases present in M_R , while δ arises by the phases that appear in




Fig. 2 The high energy ε_{N_3} versus low energy δ and $\Delta\phi_{12}$. The horizontal line marks to $\varepsilon_{N_3} \sim 10^{-6}$.

what we defined as $m_D^{(1)}$ in (11). In contrast the difference between the Majorana phases ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 ($\Delta\phi_{12}$) presents a correlation with ε_{N_3} : at LO the phenomenological analysis of this model shows that the NH spectrum can be reproduced only if $\Delta\phi_{12}^0$ is small. At LO, $\Delta\phi_{12}^0$ coincides with the corresponding Majorana phase difference $\Delta\phi_{12}^R$ of the right handed neutrinos. By perturbing the neutrino Dirac mass matrix we introduce new arbitrary phases that can vary in all the interval $(0, 2\pi)$. However the NLO contributions are responsible for deviating the lepton mixing angles away from TB values and also for slightly modifying the neutrino spectrum, in the range allowed by the data fit. This means that in general at NLO

$$m_i \sim m_i^0 + \delta m_i, \quad (14)$$

where δm_i are complex parameters and m_i^0 the neutrino mass eigenvalues at LO. Requiring now that Δm_{12}^2 is still in the range indicated for Δm_{sol}^2 we have that $\delta m \sim |\delta m_{1,2}| \sim 10^{-3}$ eV for $|m_1^0|, |m_2^0| \sim \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\Delta m_{sol}^2})$. A straight computation shows then that the Majorana phase $\Delta\phi_{12}$ satisfies

$$\tan \Delta\phi_{12} \sim \tan \Delta\phi_{12}^0 + \alpha \frac{\delta m}{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\Delta m_{sol}^2})}, \quad (15)$$

where $\Delta\phi_{12}^0$ is the LO phase difference and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ a parameter that takes into account that the $\delta m_{1,2}$ phases run into the interval $(0, 2\pi)$. We can estimate the maximal deviation of $\Delta\phi_{12}$ by its LO value getting

$$\Delta\phi_{12} - \Delta\phi_{12}^0 \sim \frac{\pi}{10}. \quad (16)$$

Notice that the left panel of fig. 2 shows that the majority of all the points are indeed inside the interval $(-\pi/8, \pi/8)$ in perfect agreement with our analytical results for a small LO $\Delta\phi_{12}^0 \leq 0.1$.

In conclusion, in the model considered it is possible to obtain correlations between low-energy observables and high-energy CP-violation, but it confirms that in general no correlation is present between high and low-energy CP-violating parameters. There is correlation between deviations from TB angles (e.g. non-vanishing value of θ_{13}) and the value of the CP asymmetry parameter. We note that to have viable leptogenesis the model's allowed parameter space is rather constrained.

Acknowledgements The work of IdMV was supported by FCT under the grant SFRH/BPD/35919/2007 and through the projects POCI/81919/2007, CERN/FP/83503/2008 and CFTP-FCT UNIT 777 which are partially funded through POCTI (FEDER) and by the Marie Curie RTN MRTN-CT-2006-035505.

References

- [1] D. Aristizabal Sierra, F. Bazzocchi, I. de Medeiros Varzielas, L. Merlo and S. Morisi, Nucl. Phys. B **827** 34 (2010)
- [2] I. de Medeiros Varzielas, [arXiv:1009.6232 [hep-ph]].
- [3] Y. Lin, Phys. Rev. **D80**, 076011 (2009).