

Differential cross sections and spin density matrix elements for $\gamma p \rightarrow \phi p$ from CLAS

Biplab Dey and Curtis A. Meyer
(representing the CLAS Collaboration)

Department of Physics, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA

Abstract. Preliminary differential cross-sections and the $\rho_{MM'}^0$ spin density matrix elements (SDME) for the reaction $\gamma p \rightarrow \phi p$ for both charged- ($\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-$) and neutral-mode ($\phi \rightarrow K_L^0 K_S^0$) topologies obtained from CLAS are presented. Our kinematic coverage is from near production threshold ($\sqrt{s} \sim 1.97$ GeV) to $\sqrt{s} = 2.84$ GeV, with a wide coverage in the production angle. As seen in previous LEPS results, the differential cross-sections show a localized “bump” between $\sqrt{s} \sim 2$ and 2.2 GeV that is not expected from a simple Pomeron exchange picture. Comparisons between the charged- and neutral-mode results and possible effects from the $K^+ \Lambda(1520)$ channel are discussed. Our SDME results confirm the well-known deviations from t-channel helicity conservation (TCHC) for Pomeron exchange, but s-channel helicity conservation (SCHC) is also seen to be broken.

Keywords: Vector meson, Phi, Diffraction, Pomeron, Photoproduction

PACS: 14.20.-c, 25.20.Lj, 11.55.Jy

Vector meson electro- and photoproduction have played an important role in our understanding of photon-hadron interactions in QCD. In the so-called vector meson dominance (VMD) model for photoproduction, a real photon can fluctuate into a virtual vector meson, which subsequently scatters off the target proton. For the $\phi(1020)$, an additional attraction is that since this is an almost pure $s\bar{s}$ state, the OZI rule suppresses quark exchanges during interactions with ordinary nucleonic matter, and is therefore considered to be a “clean” system to study gluonic exchanges. Recently, the CLAS Collaboration has published detailed cross-section and the unpolarized $\rho_{MM'}^0$ spin density matrix element (SDME) data for the ω channel [1]. In this article, we report the corresponding preliminary results for the ϕ channel.

EXPERIMENTAL SETUP, EVENT SELECTION, AND BACKGROUND REMOVAL

The data for this analysis used a tagged photon beam produced via bremsstrahlung, a liquid hydrogen cryotarget, and the CLAS detector system, during the “g11a” experimental run-period [1, 2] at Jefferson Lab. The reaction of interest was $\gamma p \rightarrow \phi p$, where the ϕ subsequently decays via $\phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-$ (charged-mode, 49.2%) and $\phi \rightarrow K_S^0 K_L^0$ (neutral-mode, 34%). For the charged-mode, the outgoing proton and K^+ are detected and the K^- is re-constructed as the total missing momenta. For the neutral-mode, the K_S^0 is re-constructed from its decay into a (π^+, π^-) pair, and the K_L^0 is reconstructed as the total




FIGURE 1. (Color online) Preliminary ϕ differential cross sections in a forward-angle bin shown for both the charged- and neutral-mode topologies. The “bump” around $\sqrt{s} \sim 2.1$ GeV, seen in previous LEPS data [3] is clearly visible here.

missing momenta. Therefore the two reaction topologies can be summed up as:

$$\gamma p \rightarrow pK^+(K^-) \text{ (charged-mode); } \gamma p \rightarrow pK_S^0(K_L^0) \rightarrow p\pi^+\pi^-(K_L^0) \text{ (neutral-mode), (1)}$$

where the undetected final-state particles are denoted within parentheses. For background removal, a confidence level cut from a kinematic fit to the above reaction topologies, followed by standard timing cuts, were applied. For the neutral-topology, a $0.488 \text{ GeV} \leq M(\pi^+, \pi^-) \leq 0.508 \text{ GeV}$ cut was placed for K_S^0 identification. For the charged-mode, between $\sqrt{s} \sim 2$ and 2.2 GeV, kinematic overlap occurs with the $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+\Lambda(1520) \rightarrow K^+pK^-$ reaction so that a $|M(p, K^-) - 1.520 \text{ GeV}| \leq 15 \text{ MeV}$ cut was placed for $K^+\Lambda(1520)$ removal. Next, independent signal-background separation fits were performed in localized regions of phase-space [1, 2] that gave a quality-factor (Q -value) for each event, representing the probability of that event being a good signal. To take the finite width of the ϕ into account, the signal function was chosen as a Voigtian with a mass-dependent decay width $\Gamma(m)$, while the background shape was kept to be as general as possible. Our final Q -value weighted ϕ data yields were ~ 0.475 million and ~ 0.101 million for the charged- and neutral-mode, respectively.

ACCEPTANCE CALCULATION AND DIFFERENTIAL CROSS SECTIONS

To calculate detector acceptance, 100 million “raw” Monte Carlo $\gamma p \rightarrow \phi p$ events were generated flat in phase-space and passed through gsim, a GEANT based detector simulator. To incorporate the effect of any physics in the acceptance calculation, next, the production amplitude was expanded in an over-complete basis of s -channel J^P waves and an event-based partial wave analysis (PWA) fit was performed employing the extended maximum likelihood method [1, 2]. Based on the results of this PWA fit, a *physics-weighted* acceptance was calculated subsequently.

Our kinematic coverage is from near production threshold $\sqrt{s} \sim 1.97$ GeV to 2.84 GeV, with 10-MeV and 30-MeV-wide \sqrt{s} bins for the charged- and the neutral-modes, respectively, and a 0.1 binning in $\cos \theta_{c.m.}^\phi$. Fig. 1 shows our preliminary




FIGURE 2. (Color online) Comparison between the present CLAS and 1984 Daresbury data [6] at $E_\gamma = 3.3$ GeV.




FIGURE 3. (Color online) The slope parameter B_ϕ extracted from a fit to $d\sigma/dt \propto \exp(-B_\phi|t - t_0|)$.

differential cross sections in a forward angle bin for both the charged- (red circles) and neutral-mode (blue triangles). The $\sqrt{s} \sim 2.1$ GeV “bump” seen in the previous LEPS data [3] is prominent. It is interesting to note that this structure appears in both the charged- *and* the neutral-mode signifying that an interference between the $K^+\Lambda(1520)$ and ϕp channels, as in the case of the charged-mode [4], can not be the predominant cause behind this. It is possible, however, that the two channels couple (rescattering effect) and it does not matter whether the ϕ subsequently decays via the charged- or the neutral-mode. We also note here that Ref. [5] ascribes this structure to an s -channel resonance. Previous world data for the the ϕ is generally very scarce and the few data that exist have wide energy bins and limited statistics. Fig. 2 shows a comparison with the 1984 Daresbury data [6] at $E_\gamma = 3.3$ GeV. Within the error bars, the agreement is generally fair. Our extracted slope parameter B_ϕ from a fit to $d\sigma/dt \propto \exp(-B_\phi|t - t_0|)$ in the range $0.45 \leq \cos \theta_{\text{c.m.}}^\phi \leq 0.95$, is shown in Fig. 3. Below $\sqrt{s} \sim 2.2$ GeV, the slope deviates from the smooth Pomeron behavior and the charged- and neutral-modes show some differences as well,




FIGURE 4. (Color online) Preliminary ρ_{00}^0 results for the charged-mode in a forward-angle bin.

SPIN DENSITY MATRIX ELEMENTS

For a vector meson decaying into spin-0 pseudoscalar mesons, the polarization content is given in terms of four spin density matrices ρ^i , $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ [7]. With an unpolarized beam, as in the current experiment, only the $\rho_{MM'}^0$ elements can be measured. A long puzzle in vector meson photoproduction has been that while the Pomeron exchange process occurs in the t -channel, helicity conservation seems to occur in the s -channel instead [8]. In the Donnachie-Landshoff (DL) model where the Pomeron has a γ^μ coupling, s -channel helicity can be explained somewhat in the limit of massless quarks, but there is no *fundamental* reason to expect either t - or s -channel helicity conservation (TCHC and SCHC, respectively). For SCHC (TCHC), the ρ_{00}^0 element has to be zero in the Helicity (Gottfried-Jackson) frame, so any deviation from this indicates helicity non-conservation. The recent CLAS ω data [1] shows that both TCHC and SCHC are broken and the present results indicate the same trend for the ϕ . Fig. 4 shows the charged-mode ρ_{00}^0 element in all three frames, Adair, Gottfried-Jackson and Helicity, in a forward-angle bin. Clearly, $\rho_{00}^0 \neq 0$ in all three frames. Since the ϕ production amplitude is dominated by the Pomeron, this signifies that the DL Pomeron model might have to be revisited to better fit the data.

REFERENCES

1. M. Williams *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **80**, 065208 (2009).
2. M. Williams *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **80**, 045213 (2009); M. E. McCracken *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **81**, 025201 (2010); B. Dey *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **82**, 025202 (2010).
3. T. Mibe *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, **182001** (2005).
4. S. Ozaki, A. Hosaka, H. Nagahiro, and O. Scholten, Phys. Rev. C **80**, 035201 (2009).
5. A. Kiswandhi, J. J. Xie, and S. N. Yang, Phys. Lett. B **691**, 214 (2010).
6. D. P. Barber *et al.*, Z. Phys. C **12**, 1 (1982).
7. K. Schilling, P. Seyboth, and G. Wolf, Nucl. Phys. B **15**, 397 (1970).
8. F. J. Gilman, J. Pumplin, A. Schwimmer and L. Stodolsky, Phys. Lett. B **31**, 387 (1970).