

Duality violations in hadronic τ decays and the value of α_s

D. Boito,^{a,b} O. Catà,^c M. Golterman,^{d1} M. Jamin,^{e,b} K. Maltman,^f J. Osborne,^d S. Peris^b

^eICREA, ^aIFAE, ^bUAB, Barcelona, Spain, ^cIFIC, Valencia, Spain, ^dSFSU, San Francisco, CA, USA, ^fYork Univ., York, Canada

Current estimates of the size of nonperturbative effects on the precision determination of α_s from hadronic τ decays are incomplete for at least three reasons: (i) In none of the existing determinations has the effect of duality violations (DVs) been estimated quantitatively. We demonstrated in previous work [1, 2] and in this talk that effects from DVs may turn out to be numerically significant, and that the use of only doubly-pinched moments of the τ spectral functions in order to suppress DVs is not reliable. (ii) While the moments used by ALEPH[4] and OPAL[5] in principle probe the OPE up to dimension $D=16$, the analyses retain contributions only up to $D=8$. This was shown to be not self-consistent [3]. (iii) The most precise quoted values for α_s are based on ALEPH data. However, it is now known that correlations due to the unfolding of spectral functions were omitted in ALEPH's latest data analysis [2]. Our preliminary explorations indicate that the impact of this on the error in α_s is not necessarily negligible.

The physical reason for the appearance of DVs is the presence of resonances in the vector (V) and axial (A) τ spectral functions, which neither QCD perturbation theory nor the OPE describe adequately. As demonstrated in Ref. [1], it is possible that the pattern of resonances is rather different in vector and axial channels, and this could lead to a significant effect on the value of α_s even if the sum of V and A spectral functions ($V + A$) looks relatively flat in the region between $s \approx 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $s = m_\tau^2$. In other words, the assumption that DVs can be safely neglected in $V + A$ may turn out not to be justified. Because of this and point (ii) above, the estimates of the nonperturbative part of R_τ (and other moments) used in the literature need to be revisited.

There is no quantitative theory of DVs in QCD, and in order to investigate this issue in more detail, one has to resort to a (physically reasonable) model [1]. This makes it possible to explore finite-energy sum rules with simple, nonpinched weights. Figure 1 shows a fit to the nonstrange integrated vector spectral function using the sum rule

$$\int_0^{s_0} ds \rho_{exp}(s) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|s|=s_0} ds \Pi_{OPE}(s) - \int_{s_0}^{\infty} ds \rho_{DV}(s) . \quad (1)$$

Here $\rho_{exp}(s)$ is the experimental spectral function, available up to $s_0 = m_\tau^2$, $\Pi_{OPE}(s)$ the OPE expression for the vacuum polarization, and $\rho_{DV}(s)$ the “duality-violating” part of the spectral function, *i.e.*, the part not present in $\text{Im } \Pi_{OPE}(s + i\epsilon)$. The contour integral over $\Pi_{OPE}(s)$ is parametrized by $\alpha_s(m_\tau^2)$ and the OPE condensates. The functional form of $\rho_{DV}(s)$ is not known from first principles. Following Ref. [1], we model it as

$$\rho_{DV}(s) = \kappa e^{-\gamma s} \sin(\alpha + \beta s) , \quad (2)$$

¹Speaker at workshop




Figure 1: *Fit to Eq. (1), with the ansatz (2) (red curve) and without the ansatz (green curve).*

which introduces four new parameters into the fits to experimental data. We restrict s_0 to an interval $s_0 \in [s_{min}, m_\tau^2]$, and we vary s_{min} in order to check for stability. For the physical motivation of the model of Eq. (2), see Ref. [1]. The fit in Fig. 1 was done with OPAL data [5], and $s_{min} = 1.5 \text{ GeV}^2$. The result for $\alpha_s(m_\tau^2)$ is

$$\alpha_s(m_\tau^2) = 0.307(18)(4)(5) \quad (\text{FOPT}) , \quad \alpha_s(m_\tau^2) = 0.322(25)(7)(4) \quad (\text{CIPT}) , \quad (3)$$

where errors are the χ^2 error from the fit, from varying $s_{min} = 1.5 \pm 0.1 \text{ GeV}^2$, and from varying the estimated coefficient of $(\alpha_s/\pi)^5$ in the Adler function in the range 0 to 566.

Fig. 1 demonstrates that DVs cannot, in general, be neglected in analyzing hadronic τ decay data, even integrated versions thereof. This is confirmed by explorations which also include the axial channel in the fits. Essentially all existing τ -based extractions of α_s neglect DVs, and thus assume model (2) with κ set to zero by hand. Such an assumption is necessarily accompanied by an uncertainty not accounted for in currently quoted errors for α_s . Fig. 1 shows that it is dangerous to assume, *a priori*, that this uncertainty can be neglected for analyses involving doubly-pinched weights. We are presently studying different combinations of V and A spectral-function moments with the goal of quantifying this uncertainty. This may help reducing the fitting errors on α_s , but at present, if one wishes to be conservative, and stick only to results not subject to additional, currently unquantified uncertainties, one should treat α_s from τ decays as being known to at best the accuracy given in Eq. (3).

References

- [1] O. Catà *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 093006 (2008); Phys. Rev. D **79**, 053002 (2009).
- [2] D. R. Boito *et al.*, arXiv:1011.4426 [hep-ph]; M. Davier *et al.*, private communication.
- [3] K. Maltman and T. Yavin, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 094020 (2008).
- [4] S. Schael *et al.* [ALEPH Collaboration], Phys. Rept. **421** (2005) 191.
- [5] K. Ackerstaff *et al.* [OPAL Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C **7** (1999) 571.