

CCTP-11-02  
 UFIFT-QG-11-01  
 March 26, 2011

## A Gravitational Mechanism for Cosmological Screening

*Essay written for the Gravity Research Foundation 2011 Awards  
 for Essays on Gravitation*

N. C. Tsamis<sup>†</sup>

*Department of Physics, University of Crete  
 GR-710 03 Heraklion, HELLAS.*

R. P. Woodard\*

*Department of Physics, University of Florida  
 Gainesville, FL 32611, UNITED STATES.*

### ABSTRACT

Infrared gravitons are continually produced during inflation. Like all particles, their contribution to the vacuum energy comes not only from their bare kinetic energy but also from the interactions they have with other gravitons. These interactions can be substantial – despite the particles being highly infrared – because they occur over the enormous spatial volume of the universe. Furthermore, the interactions grow with time evolution because more and more such gravitons come into causal contact with one another. Since gravity is universally attractive, these interactions can act to slow and eventually stop accelerated expansion.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq, 04.60.-m

<sup>†</sup> *e-mail:* tsamis@physics.uoc.gr

\* *e-mail:* woodard@phys.ufl.edu

The case for a phase of accelerated expansion (*inflation*) during the very early universe is strong. One reason is that we can observe widely separated parts of the early universe which seem to be in thermal equilibrium with one another [1]. If one assumes the universe never underwent a period of inflation, there would not have been time for this thermal equilibrium to be established by causal processes. Without primordial inflation the number of causally distinct regions in our past light-cone at the time of recombination is over  $10^3$ , and it would be  $10^9$  at the time of nucleosynthesis. It strains credulity to believe that this was an accident, and an early epoch of accelerated expansion avoids the need to suppose such an accident.

There is no strong indication for what caused primordial inflation. The potential energy of a minimally coupled scalar field can do the job, but this mechanism involves assumptions which seem unlikely:

- That the universe began with the scalar field approximately spatially homogeneous over more than a Hubble volume.
- That the scalar field potential is very flat.
- That the minimum of the scalar field potential has just the right value to leave the post-inflationary universe with almost zero vacuum energy.
- That the scalar field couples strongly enough to ordinary matter to allow its kinetic energy to reheat the post-inflationary universe, but not so strongly that loop corrections from ordinary matter to the effective potential endanger its flatness and nearly zero minimum.

A more natural mechanism for inflation can be found within gravitation – which, after all, plays the dominant role in shaping cosmological evolution – by supposing that the bare cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  is not unnaturally small but rather large and positive.<sup>1</sup> Because  $\Lambda$  is constant in *space*, no special initial condition is needed to start inflation. We also dispense with the need to employ a new, otherwise undetected scalar field. However,  $\Lambda$  is constant in *time* as well, and classical physics can offer no natural mechanism for stopping inflation once it has begun [2]. Quantum physics can: accelerated expansion continually rips virtual infrared gravitons out of the vacuum [3] and these gravitons attract one another, thereby slowing inflation [4]. This is a very weak effect for  $\hbar c^{-3} G \Lambda \ll 1$ , but a cumulative one, so inflation lasts a long time for no other reason than that gravity is a weak interaction [4].

---

<sup>1</sup>Here “large” means a  $\Lambda$  induced by a matter scale which can be as high as  $10^{18} \text{ GeV}$ . Then, the value of the dimensionless coupling constant can be as high as  $\hbar c^{-3} G \Lambda \sim 10^{-4}$  rather than the putative value of  $10^{-122}$ .

The small particle production of generic expansion [5] becomes copious during inflation for very special particles, such as the graviton, which are both massless and not classically conformally invariant [3]. This is thought to have caused the primordial density perturbations [6] we observe [7]. A simple computation shows that the number of wave vector  $\mathbf{k}$  gravitons produced after  $N$  e-foldings of inflation is  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\Lambda}{6k^2} e^{2N}$  [8]. Because  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{k})$  only reaches unity after the physical wavelength  $\lambda_{\text{phys}} = \frac{2\pi}{k} e^N$  has redshifted to horizon scale, we see that these particles are very infrared. The bare kinetic energy of a single graviton is  $\hbar c k e^{-N}$ , and the 3-volume grows like  $e^{3N}$ , so the total kinetic energy density in these particles is:

$$\rho_{\text{IR}} = e^{-3N} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \theta(\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{k}) - 1) \times \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{k}) \times \hbar c k e^{-N} = \frac{\hbar c \Lambda^2}{144\pi^2} . \quad (1)$$

This kinetic energy sources a gravitational field. As each newly-created pair of gravitons recedes, the intervening space is filled by their long-range gravitational potentials. These potentials persist *even after* the gravitons that caused them have reached cosmological separations. As more pairs are ripped apart, their potentials add to those already present. The structure of perturbation theory [9] suggests that the potential grows like  $N$ , which leads to an increasingly negative interaction energy:

$$\Phi \approx -\hbar c^{-3} G \Lambda N \implies \rho_{\text{int}} \sim \rho_{\text{IR}} \times \Phi . \quad (2)$$

The screening mechanism we just described is clear enough on the perturbative level but it has two frustrating features. The first is that, because inflationary particle production is a 1-loop effect, the gravitational response to it is delayed until 2-loop order. The second frustration is that the 2-loop effect becomes unreliable just when it starts to get interesting. The effective coupling constant is the potential  $\Phi$  and higher loops are insignificant as long as it is small. But *all* loops become comparable when  $\Phi$  becomes of order one, and the correct conclusion then is that perturbation theory breaks down. The breakdown occurs not because any single graviton-graviton interaction gets strong but rather because there are so many of them.

What is the physical picture in the late-time regime after perturbation theory has broken down? In one sentence, the bare cosmological constant is suppressed by the gravitational interaction energy of the vast numbers of super-horizon gravitons which were created during a very long period of primordial inflation. Four principles are crucial to a proper understanding of

the phenomenon:

- *Small is not the same thing as zero.*

Super-horizon gravitons are sometimes dismissed because the kinetic energy  $\hbar c k e^{-N}$  of any one is small, and redshifts to zero as the universe expands. However, this is balanced by the fact that a *lot* of them are produced:

$$N_{\text{tot}} = \left(\frac{3}{\Lambda}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \theta(\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{k}) - 1) \times \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{e^{3N}}{2^{\frac{5}{2}} \pi^2} . \quad (3)$$

For  $N \sim \frac{c^3}{\hbar G \Lambda} \gtrsim 10^4$  this number is staggering. Furthermore, experience from flat space quantum field theory suggests that massless particles with a dimension three coupling – such as  $\Lambda \neq 0$  provides – can experience very strong infrared effects [10].

- *Gravitational interactions act to screen their sources.*

For example, the mass of the Earth is a little less than the sum of the masses of its constituents  $M_{\text{bare}}$  owing to their negative gravitational interaction energy. If we assume the constituents are distributed uniformly through a sphere of radius  $R$ , the actual mass  $M_{\text{tot}}$  is approximately:

$$M_{\text{tot}} = M_{\text{bare}} + M_{\text{int}} \approx M_{\text{bare}} - \frac{3GM_{\text{bare}}^2}{5c^2 R} . \quad (4)$$

This represents a fractional decrease of about 4 parts in 10 billion for the Earth, which works out to over  $2 \times 10^{15}$  kilograms.

- *Big volume can beat small density.*

Even a small energy density can experience significant screening if it interacts over a sufficiently large volume. Consider the total energy density  $\rho_{\text{tot}}$  produced by a static energy density  $\rho_{\text{bare}}$  distributed throughout a sphere of radius  $R$ . For simplicity, we follow ADM [11] in using the Newtonian formula assuming it is the total mass  $\frac{4}{3}\pi\rho_{\text{tot}} c^{-2}R^3$  that gravitates:

$$\rho_{\text{tot}} \approx \rho_{\text{bare}} - \frac{4\pi G \rho_{\text{tot}}^2 R^2}{5c^4} \implies \rho_{\text{tot}} \approx \frac{5c^4}{8\pi G R^2} \left[ \sqrt{1 + \frac{16\pi G \rho_{\text{bare}} R^2}{5c^4}} - 1 \right] \quad (5)$$

As  $R$  goes to infinity the screening becomes total – i.e.,  $\rho_{\text{tot}}$  goes to zero – independent of how small  $\rho_{\text{bare}}$  is.

- *Late potentials are sourced at early times when screening was negligible.*

In a static system such as (5), the gravitationally induced interaction energy can – at most – cancel its own source, the bare energy density. For  $\Lambda$ -driven inflation that would amount to screening only  $\rho_{\text{IR}}$ , not the vastly larger vacuum energy density  $\rho_{\Lambda} = \frac{c^4 \Lambda}{8\pi G}$  which drives inflation. The physical reason the gravitational interaction energy sourced by  $\rho_{\text{IR}}$  can screen  $\rho_{\Lambda}$  derives from the fact that  $\Lambda$ -driven inflation is *not* static. A static system is constructed by holding it together as the various components come into causal contact. Screening is limited because gravitational interaction energy is sourced by the constant total energy density. That is not at all what goes on during  $\Lambda$ -driven inflation. If we continue to think in terms of the left-hand relation (5), one sees that moving out in the radius  $R$  means *moving back in time*. Thus  $\rho_{\text{tot}}$  at late times can become *negative* by means of gravitational potentials which were sourced far back in the past light-cone, when screening was still insignificant. Instead of the effect being too weak, it is actually prone to grow too strong because the past light-cone opens up as the expansion rate slows down. One can see this by comparing the volume of the past light-cone – in synchronous gauge – for inflation and for flat space:

$$V_{\text{infl}} = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{3}\Lambda^3} ct + O(1) \quad , \quad V_{\text{flat}} = \frac{\pi}{3} (ct)^4 \quad . \quad (6)$$

To recapitulate,  $\Lambda$ -driven inflation would offer many advantages over scalar-driven inflation if only some mechanism could be found to eventually halt it. Quantum gravity provides such a mechanism in the form of the back-reaction to infrared virtual gravitons which are continually ripped out of the vacuum during inflation. These gravitons have negative gravitational potential energy in addition to their positive kinetic energy. Both kinetic and potential energies contribute to the total vacuum energy, however, the kinetic energy is present immediately whereas the potential energy must build up causally as more and more infrared gravitons come into contact with one another. Although the kinetic energy density is small, the potential energy can be large because it derives from interactions over the enormous volume of the past light-cone. Because screening was small in the distant past, the negative potential energy can vastly exceed the positive kinetic energy which sourced it. Thus, the apparently small cosmological constant of today is the result of a large bare  $\Lambda$  being screened by the vacuum polarization of a sea of infrared gravitons produced during primordial inflation.

### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Stanley Deser for decades of guidance and inspiration. This work was partially supported by the European Union grant FP-7-REGPOT-2008-1-CreteHEPCosmo-228644, by NSF grant PHY-0855021, and by the Institute for Fundamental Theory at the University of Florida.

### References

- [1] Steven Weinberg, *Cosmology*, Oxford University Press, United Kingdom, 2008.
- [2] L. F. Abbott and S. Deser, Nucl. Phys. **B195** (1982) 76;  
P. H. Ginsparg and M. J. Perry, Nucl. Phys. **B222** (1983) 245.
- [3] L. P. Grishchuck, Sov. Phys. JETP **40** (1975) 409;  
L. H. Ford and L. Parker, Phys. Rev. **D16** (1977) 1601.
- [4] N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard,  
Nucl. Phys. **B474** (1996) 235, [arXiv:hep-ph/9602315](#);  
Annals Phys. **253** (1997) 1, [arXiv:hep-ph/9602316](#).
- [5] E. Schrödinger, Physica **6** (1939) 899;  
T. Imamura, Phys. Rev. **118** (1960) 1430;  
L. Parker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **21** (1968) 562.
- [6] A. A. Starobinskiĭ, JETP Lett. **30** (1979) 682;  
V. F. Mukhanov and G. V. Chibisov, JETP Lett. **33** (1981) 532.
- [7] E. Komatsu et al., Astrophys. J. Suppl. **192** (2011) 18, [arXiv:1001.4538](#)
- [8] N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard,  
Annals Phys. **267** (1998) 145, [arXiv:hep-ph/9712331](#).
- [9] N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard,  
Nucl. Phys. **B724** (2005) 295, [arXiv:gr-qc/0505115](#).
- [10] A. M. Polyakov, Sov. Phys. **25** (1982) 187.
- [11] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser and C. W. Misner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **4** (1960) 375.