

Charged Higgs boson contribution to $\bar{\nu}_e - e$ scattering from low to ultrahigh energy in Higgs Triplet model

Jian Fuh Ong, Ithnin Abdul Jalil and Wan Ahmad Tajuddin Wan Abdullah

Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

(Dated: February 24, 2024)

We study the $\bar{\nu}_e - e$ scattering from low to ultrahigh energy in the framework of Higgs Triplet Model (HTM). We add the contribution of charged Higgs boson exchange to the total cross section of the scattering. We obtain the upper bound $h_{ee}/M_{H^\pm} \lesssim 2.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{GeV}^{-1}$ in this process from low energy experiment. We show that by using the upper bound obtained, the charged Higgs contribution can give enhancements to the total cross section with respect to the SM prediction up to 5.16 % at $E \leq 10^{14}$ eV and maximum at $s \approx M_{H^\pm}^2$ and would help to determine the feasibility experiments to discriminate between SM and HTM at current available facilities.

PACS numbers: 13.15.+g, 14.80.Fd

I. INTRODUCTION

In the standard model (SM), charged leptons, quarks and gauge bosons obtained their masses through Higgs mechanism [1] after spontaneous symmetry breaking [2] while neutrinos remain massless. However, studies on solar neutrino problem [3] and neutrino oscillation [4] have led physicists to suspect that the neutrino is massive. The discovery of massive neutrino have motivated the study of neutrino to the physics beyond SM. In particular, one of the simplest extensions is the Higgs triplet model (HTM) [5]. This model provides us an alternative way to introduce and explain the smallness of the neutrinos masses through type-II seesaw mechanism and enables one to study the lepton violation processes [6]. The introduction of singly and doubly charged Higgs bosons in this model have opened many phenomenological studies in hunting for them at high energy frontier accelerators particularly at the CERN LHC and Tevatron [6, 7]. The direct search for $H^{\pm\pm}$ had been carried out at Tevatron by assuming the process $p\bar{p} \rightarrow H^{++}H^{--} \rightarrow l^+l'^++l'^--$, and the mass limit $M_{H^{\pm\pm}} > 118$ GeV were derived [8].

Neutrino-electron elastic scattering is a pure leptonic process that provide precise test to the Standard model (SM) of electroweak theory. This reaction proceeds through charge current (CC), neutral current (NC) and their interference. The neutrino-electron elastic scattering has been widely studied in experiment by using $\nu_e(\bar{\nu}_e)$ beam up to several MeV [9, 10] and consistent with the SM prediction. On the other hand, the study of these processes to higher energy ($10^{12} \text{ eV} \leq E \leq 10^{20} \text{ eV}$) have been extensively discussed by Glashow, Mikaelian and Zheleznykh [11, 12] in the framework of SM. At this range of energy the resonance production of W^- boson or Glashow resonance [11] is expected via $\bar{\nu}_e - e$ annihilation. However, the experiment evidences for Glashow resonance are not yet confirmed at present and the physics may be tested by using large scale neutrino detectors in the next few years.

Neutrino telescope such as IceCube [13] is used to de-

tect the ultrahigh energy (UHE) cosmic neutrinos from extraterrestrial sources [14]. The flux of ultrahigh energy $\bar{\nu}_e$ may get enhanced through the neutrino oscillation of $\bar{\nu}_\mu$. The study of ultrahigh energy particles interaction would be crucial to probe the feasibility of physics beyond the standard model.

In this paper, we study the $\bar{\nu}_e - e$ scattering by adding the contribution of charged Higgs boson exchange. Our interest is to study how much the charged Higgs boson exchange contributes to the total cross section from low to ultrahigh energy in HTM. In HTM, the coupling of charged leptons to neutrinos are proportional to $h_{ll'}$. Therefore, for small v_Δ and large $h_{ll'}$ while keeping the neutrino mass below the experimental upper bound the contribution of charged Higgs boson exchange can be significant. On the contrary, the couplings of charged Higgs bosons to charged leptons and neutrinos are proportional to $m_f \times \tan\beta$ in two Higgs doublet model of type II (2HDM(II)) [15]. The lower mass limits of charged Higgs boson in this model are $M_{H^\pm} > 79.3$ GeV and $M_{H^\pm} \gtrsim 1.71 \tan\beta$ GeV which imply that $\tan\beta \gtrsim 46$ where it is out of our interest at low energy regime [16, 17].

The outline of the paper is as follows: We present the the HTM briefly in Sec. II. The total cross section of $\bar{\nu}_e - e$ scattering with the contribution from charged Higgs boson exchange is presented in Sec. III. In Sec. IV, the upper bound h_{ee}/M_{H^\pm} is estimated. Result and discussion are discussed in Sec. V. Conclusions are given in Sec. VI.

II. HIGGS TRIPLET MODEL

In Higgs triplet model, a $I=1$, $Y=2$ complex $SU(2)_L$ scalar triplet is included to the SM Lagrangian to explain the smallness of neutrino mass [5] without requiring extra right-handed neutrinos. The $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ gauge invariance Yukawa Lagrangian for the interaction of leptons with scalar triplet is written as [6, 18]

$$\mathcal{L} = h_{ll'} \psi_{lL}^T C i \sigma_2 \Delta \psi_{l'L} + H.c \quad (1)$$

where $h_{ll'}(l, l' = e, \mu, \tau)$ is the coupling constant matrix, C is the charged conjugation matrix, σ_2 is a Pauli matrix, $\psi_{lL} = (\nu_l, l)_L^T$ is left-handed lepton doublet and Δ is 2×2 representation of the $Y = 2$ complex triplet field,

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^+/\sqrt{2} & \Delta^{++} \\ \Delta^0 & -\Delta^+/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

The Higgs triplet potential is

$$\begin{aligned} V(\phi, \Delta) = & -m_H^2 \phi^\dagger \phi + M_\Delta^2 \text{Tr} \Delta^\dagger \Delta + \frac{\lambda}{4} (\phi^\dagger \phi)^2 \\ & + (\mu \phi^T i \sigma_2 \Delta^\dagger \phi + H.c.) \\ & + \lambda_1 (\phi^\dagger \phi) \text{Tr} \Delta^\dagger \Delta + \lambda_2 (\text{Tr} \Delta^\dagger \Delta)^2 \\ & + \lambda_3 \text{Tr} (\Delta^\dagger \Delta)^2 + \lambda_4 \phi^\dagger \Delta \Delta^\dagger \phi \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\phi = (\phi^0, \phi^\pm)^T$ is the SM Higgs doublet. The neutrinos acquire their mass after Δ^0 gets the vacuum expectation value (vev), v_Δ

$$m_\nu = 2h_{ll} \langle \Delta^0 \rangle = \sqrt{2} h_{ll} v_\Delta \quad (4)$$

On the other hand, the neutral components of Higgs doublet and triplet are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^0 &= \frac{v_0 + h^0 + i\xi^0(x)}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \Delta^0 &= \frac{v_\Delta + \delta^0 + i\eta^0(x)}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The ground state of a system where it is at the most stable state can be obtained by minimization the potential

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi_{\phi=\langle \phi \rangle, \Delta=\langle \Delta \rangle}} &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial V}{\partial \Delta_{\phi=\langle \phi \rangle, \Delta=\langle \Delta \rangle}} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

we obtain

$$-m_H^2 + \lambda \frac{v_0^2}{4} - \sqrt{2} \mu v_\Delta + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_4) \frac{v_\Delta^2}{2} = 0 \quad (7)$$

and

$$M_\Delta^2 v_\Delta - \frac{\mu v_0^2}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_1 + \lambda_4) v_0^2 v_\Delta^2 + (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3) v_\Delta^3 = 0 \quad (8)$$

By considering the lowest order processes, the λ_i parameters are set to zero. Thus we have

$$v_\Delta = \frac{\mu v_0^2}{\sqrt{2} M_\Delta^2}, \quad m_H^2 = \left(\frac{\lambda}{4} - \frac{\mu^2}{M_\Delta^2} \right) v_0^2 \quad (9)$$

From the Higgs potential one finds the masses of two CP-even, one CP-odd and two singly charged Higgs bosons which are mixed of weak isospin doublet and triplet. Meanwhile, the doubly charged Higgs bosons are composed of triplet alone. The mass-squared matrix for CP-even states are

$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} h^0 & \delta^0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda v_0^2}{2} & -\sqrt{2} \mu v_0 \\ -\sqrt{2} \mu v_0 & M_\Delta^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h^0 \\ \delta^0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

Upon diagonalization, the two physical CP-even states are

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= \cos \theta_0 h^0 + \sin \theta_0 \delta^0 \\ H_2 &= -\sin \theta_0 h^0 + \cos \theta_0 \delta^0 \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

with the masses

$$M_{H_1}^2 \approx \frac{\lambda v_0^2}{2} - 2\sqrt{2} \mu v_\Delta, \quad M_{H_2}^2 \approx M_\Delta^2 + 2\sqrt{2} \mu v_\Delta \quad (12)$$

and mixing angle

$$\tan 2\theta_0 = -\frac{4M_\Delta^2 v_\Delta}{v_0(M_{H_1}^2 + M_{H_2}^2 - 2M_\Delta^2)} \quad (13)$$

The mass-squared matrix for CP-odd states are

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \xi^0 & \eta^0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2\sqrt{2} \mu v_\Delta & -\sqrt{2} \mu v_0 \\ -\sqrt{2} \mu v_0 & M_\Delta^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi^0 \\ \eta^0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} G^0 & A^0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M_\Delta^2 + 2\sqrt{2} \mu v_\Delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} G^0 \\ A^0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} G^0 &= \cos \alpha \xi^0 + \sin \alpha \eta^0 \\ A^0 &= -\sin \alpha \xi^0 + \cos \alpha \eta^0 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

and

$$M_{A^0}^2 = M_\Delta^2 + 2\sqrt{2} \mu v_\Delta, \quad M_{G^0}^2 = 0 \quad (16)$$

$$\cos \alpha = \frac{v_0}{\sqrt{v_0^2 + 4v_\Delta^2}}, \quad \sin \alpha = \frac{2v_\Delta}{\sqrt{v_0^2 + 4v_\Delta^2}} \quad (17)$$

Meanwhile, the mass-squared matrix of the singly charged Higgs bosons are

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} \phi^+ & \delta^+ \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} \mu v_\Delta & -\mu v_0 \\ -\mu v_0 & M_\Delta^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi^+ \\ \delta^+ \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} G^+ & H^+ \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M_\Delta^2 + \sqrt{2} \mu v_\Delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} G^+ \\ H^+ \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} G^\pm &= \cos \theta_\pm \phi^\pm + \sin \theta_\pm \delta^\pm \\ H^\pm &= -\sin \theta_\pm \phi^\pm + \cos \theta_\pm \delta^\pm \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

and

$$M_{H^\pm}^2 = M_\Delta^2 + \sqrt{2} \mu v_\Delta, \quad M_{G^\pm}^2 = 0 \quad (20)$$

$$\cos \theta_+ = \frac{v_0}{\sqrt{v_0^2 + 2v_\Delta^2}}, \quad \sin \theta_+ = \frac{\sqrt{2} v_\Delta}{\sqrt{v_0^2 + 2v_\Delta^2}} \quad (21)$$

The doubly charged Higgs bosons are

$$H^{\pm\pm} = \Delta^{\pm\pm}, \quad M_{H^{\pm\pm}}^2 = M_\Delta^2 \quad (22)$$

The Goldstone bosons G^0 and G^\pm are being absorbed by Z^0 and W^\pm to acquire mass. The upper bound of v_Δ/v_0 is set by $\rho = 1.0008^{+0.0017}_{-0.0007}$ [19] to be $v_\Delta/v_0 \lesssim 0.02$. This imply that the mixing between Higgs doublet and triplet is negligible and therefore

$$M_{H^\pm} \approx M_{H_2} \approx M_{A^0} \approx M_{H^{\pm\pm}} = M_\Delta \quad (23)$$

III. TOTAL CROSS SECTION

The $\bar{\nu}_e - e$ scattering in the frame of HTM proceeds through the exchange of W^\pm , Z^0 and H^\pm bosons. The charged current and neutral current Lagrangian are [20]

$$\mathcal{L}_{CC} = -\frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}}\bar{e}\gamma^\mu(1-\gamma_5)\nu_e W_\mu^- + H.c \quad (24)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{NC} = -\frac{g}{4\cos\theta_W}[\bar{\nu}_e\gamma^\alpha(1-\gamma_5)\nu_e - \bar{e}\gamma^\mu(1-4\sin^2\theta_W-\gamma_5)e]Z_\mu^0 + H.c \quad (25)$$

respectively where $g^2 = 8m_W^2 G_F/\sqrt{2}$. The coupling of leptons to H^\pm bosons from Eq. (1), is

$$\mathcal{L} = -h_{ll'}\sqrt{2}(l_l^T C P_L \nu_{l'} + \nu_l^T C P_L l_{l'})\cos\theta_+ H^+ + H.c \quad (26)$$

The mixing angle $\cos\theta_+$ is approximated to unity due to the suppression of $v_\Delta/v_0 \lesssim 0.02$ from the ρ parameter [see Eq. (21)]. Therefore, the contribution from H_2 exchange with the Yukawa coupling $-im_f/v_0 \sin\theta_0$ is zero. Following the convention in [12], t is the square of the momentum transferred between incoming $\bar{\nu}_e$ and outgoing singly charged particle (i.e. e^- , μ^- , Δ^-). Thus, the Z boson exchange would be denoted as u channel (Fig. 1(b)). The scattering angle θ refers to the angle between incoming $\bar{\nu}_e$ and outgoing singly charged particle in the centre-of-mass frame. The s channels come from W^- (Fig. 1(a)) and H^- bosons exchange (Fig. 1(c)). The differential scattering cross section is found to be

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \frac{G_F^2}{\pi s^2} \left\{ \frac{m_W^4}{(s-m_W^2)^2 + m_W^2 \Gamma_W^2} t^2 + \frac{m_Z^4}{4(s+t+m_Z^2)^2} [(2\sin^2\theta_W-1)^2 t^2 + (2\sin^2\theta_W)^2 s^2] + \frac{m_W^2 m_Z^2 (2\sin^2\theta_W-1)}{(s-m_W^2)(u-m_Z^2)} t^2 + \frac{h_{ee}^4}{8G_F^2(s-M_{H^\pm}^2)^2 + M_{H^\pm}^2 \Gamma_{H^\pm}^2} t^2 \right\} \quad (27)$$

where $t = -(1-\cos\theta)s/2$ and $s = 2m_e E$. The differential cross section is integrated over all angle from $\cos\theta = -1$ to $\cos\theta = 1$ correspond to $t = -s$ to $t = 0$. The total cross section is

$$\sigma_{tot} = \frac{G_F^2 s}{\pi} \left[\frac{m_W^4}{3(s-m_W^2)^2 + m_W^2 \Gamma_W^2} + \frac{h_{ee}^4}{24G_F^2(s-M_{H^\pm}^2)^2 + M_{H^\pm}^2 \Gamma_{H^\pm}^2} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{m_Z^2}{s} \right)^2 [(2\sin^2\theta_W-1)^2 \left[2 + \frac{s}{m_Z^2} - 2 \left(1 + \frac{m_Z^2}{s} \right) \ln \left(1 + \frac{s}{m_Z^2} \right) \right] + (2\sin^2\theta_W)^2 \frac{s^2}{m_Z^2(s+m_Z^2)}] + \frac{m_W^2 m_Z^2}{s(s-m_W^2)} (2\sin^2\theta_W-1) \left[\frac{3}{2} + \frac{m_Z^2}{s} - \left(1 + \frac{m_Z^2}{s} \right)^2 \ln \left(1 + \frac{m_Z^2}{s} \right) \right] \right] \quad (28)$$

where Γ_W and Γ_{H^\pm} are the total decay width of W^- and H^- boson respectively. The result in Eq. (28) agree with [12] except for the second term which comes from H^- exchange.




FIG. 1: Feynman diagrams for $\bar{\nu}_e e$ scattering.

IV. THE UPPER BOUND OF h_{ee}/M_{H^\pm}

The upper bound of h_{ee}/M_{H^\pm} can be estimated through low energy $\bar{\nu}_e e$ scattering experiment. The total cross section of Eq. (28) at low energy can be written in power series. The terms proportional to $s/m_W, s/M_{H^\pm}, s/m_Z$ are neglected due $s \ll M_W^2, M_{H^\pm}^2, M_Z^2$. The total cross section reduces to

$$\sigma_{tot} = \frac{G_F^2 s}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{1}{3} + \frac{(2 \sin^2 \theta_W - 1)^2}{12} + \frac{(2 \sin^2 \theta_W)^2}{4} + \frac{(2 \sin^2 \theta_W - 1)}{3} + \frac{h_{ee}^4}{24 G_F^2 M_{H^\pm}^4} \right\} \quad (29)$$

which is the famous neutrino electron elastic scattering at low energy except for the last term which comes from H^- exchange. The experimental result from the TEXONO collaboration [10] gives

$$\frac{\sigma_{exp}}{\sigma_{SM}} = 1.08 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.16 \quad (30)$$

By setting $\sigma_{exp} = \sigma_{tot}$, one get

$$h_{ee}/M_{H^\pm} \lesssim 2.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}^{-1} \quad (31)$$

which is close to the limit estimated in [21] by using the $\nu_e e$ scattering process. The diagonal and non-diagonal upper bound on the coupling constant matrices other than h_{ee} are [22, 23]

$$\begin{aligned} h_{e\mu} h_{ee} &< 3.2 \times 10^{-11} M_\Delta^2 \\ h_{\mu\mu}^2 &\lesssim 2.5 \times 10^{-5} M_\Delta^2 \\ h_{ee} h_{\mu\mu} &\lesssim 5.8 \times 10^{-5} M_\Delta^2 \\ h_{e\mu} h_{\mu\mu} &\lesssim 2 \times 10^{-10} M_\Delta^2 \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

However, the limit on the couplings of third generation leptons at present are poorly known.

V. RESULT

In this section, we present the numerical results of the cross section for $\bar{\nu}_e$ scattering process in the range $0 \leq E \leq 500 \text{ GeV}$, $0.5 \text{ TeV} \leq E \leq 1000 \text{ TeV}$ and $10^{15} \text{ eV} \leq E \leq 10^{20} \text{ eV}$. We take these range of energies so that the neutrinos from various possible sources are considered. We set $m_W = 80.399 \text{ GeV}$, $m_Z = 91.1876 \text{ GeV}$, $G_F = 1.166 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$, $\Gamma_W = 2.085 \text{ GeV}$ and $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.23116$ [19]. The lower bound of the charged Higgs boson mass $M_\Delta \gtrsim 110 \text{ GeV}$ from the direct search at Tevatron is used [8]. The singly charged Higgs boson, H^- decays into final state fermions and final state bosons. The total

decay width of H^- is written as [6]

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{H^\pm} &= \sum_{l, \nu'} \Gamma(H^- \rightarrow l^- \nu_l) + \sum_q \Gamma(H^- \rightarrow \bar{t} b) \\ &+ \Gamma(H^- \rightarrow W_T^- Z_T) + \Gamma(H^- \rightarrow W_L^- Z_L) \\ &+ \Gamma(H^- \rightarrow W_L^- H_1) \end{aligned}$$

where the subscript T and L stand for the transverse and longitudinal polarization of gauge bosons, respectively. Base on the discussion in Ref. [6], leptonic decays of H^- are more favorable for small value of vev. For large value of vev $v_\Delta \sim 1 \text{ GeV}$, H^- decays into bosons and quarks which is not very relevant for the process under consideration. Thus, with the choice of $v_\Delta = 1 \text{ eV}$, H^- decays predominantly into leptons and the leptonic decay width is

$$\Gamma(H^- \rightarrow l^- \nu_l) = \frac{|h_{ll}|^2}{16\pi} M_{H^-} \quad (33)$$

The value of 1 eV is the lower bound to the vev based on the naturalness consideration. In this work, we assume $h_{ee} = h_{\mu\mu} = h_{\tau\tau}$ and thus the total decay width is $\Gamma_{H^\pm} = 3 \times \Gamma(H^- \rightarrow l^- \nu_l)$. We only consider for lepton-number conserving process.

We present the ratio of $\sigma_{H^\pm}/\sigma_{SM}$ in Fig.(2) for $h_{ee} = 0.30$, $M_{H^\pm} = 120 \text{ GeV}$ and $\Gamma_{H^\pm} = 0.63 \text{ GeV}$; $h_{ee} = 0.50$, $M_{H^\pm} = 200 \text{ GeV}$ and $\Gamma_{H^\pm} = 2.97 \text{ GeV}$; $h_{ee} = 0.75$, $M_{H^\pm} = 300 \text{ GeV}$ and $\Gamma_{H^\pm} = 10.08 \text{ GeV}$; $h_{ee} = 1.00$, $M_{H^\pm} = 400 \text{ GeV}$ and $\Gamma_{H^\pm} = 23.88 \text{ GeV}$. Since in all cases $h_{ee}/M_{H^\pm} = 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$, then the condition (31) is fulfilled. For the cases considered above, the neutrino mass is $m_{\bar{\nu}_e} < 1.5 \text{ eV}$ which is below the experimental upper bound $m_{\bar{\nu}_e} < 2.3 \text{ eV}$ [24]. We take M_{H^\pm} up to 400 GeV so that $h_{ee} \leq 1$. At $E \leq 10^{14} \text{ eV}$, $\sigma_{H^\pm}/\sigma_{SM} \sim 0.0516$ for all cases. The H^- boson exchange is almost zero at 6.3 PeV but maximum at their resonance energies. In Fig. (3), we present the total cross section in the range $0 \leq E \leq 150 \text{ GeV}$ to show the difference between H^- exchange and SM for all cases. Furthermore, we also present the total cross section as a function of higher energy for $h_{ee} = 0.30$, $M_{H^\pm} = 120 \text{ GeV}$ and $\Gamma_{H^\pm} = 0.63 \text{ GeV}$; $h_{ee} = 0.50$, $M_{H^\pm} = 200 \text{ GeV}$ and $\Gamma_{H^\pm} = 2.97 \text{ GeV}$; $h_{ee} = 0.75$, $M_{H^\pm} = 300 \text{ GeV}$ and $\Gamma_{H^\pm} = 10.08 \text{ GeV}$; $h_{ee} = 1.00$, $M_{H^\pm} = 400 \text{ GeV}$ and $\Gamma_{H^\pm} = 23.88 \text{ GeV}$ in Fig. (4).

At low energies limit, the total cross section for all cases grow linearly. Despite different masses and coupling constants of charged Higgs bosons, there is no significant difference among the total cross sections. However, the charged Higgs exchange do have some contribution with respect to SM. In the range of $0.5 \text{ TeV} \leq E \leq 1000 \text{ TeV}$, the charged Higgs exchange have a small contribution to the total cross section with respect to SM as shown in Fig. 4a. The first peak in Fig. (4b) correspond to the Glashow resonance [11] and following peaks are H^- boson resonance for different cases. Clear signals of the resonance peaks can be obtained as the total cross section for the processes are greater than the background for neutrino with energies between $10^{16} \text{ eV} \leq E \leq 10^{19} \text{ eV}$.




FIG. 2: The ratio $\sigma_{H^\pm}/\sigma_{SM}$ as the function of E for $h_{ee} = 0.30$, $M_{H^\pm} = 120$ GeV and $\Gamma_{H^\pm} = 0.63$ GeV (solid line); $h_{ee} = 0.50$, $M_{H^\pm} = 200$ GeV and $\Gamma_{H^\pm} = 2.97$ GeV (dashed line); $h_{ee} = 0.75$, $M_{H^\pm} = 300$ GeV and $\Gamma_{H^\pm} = 10.08$ GeV (dotted line); $h_{ee} = 1.00$, $M_{H^\pm} = 400$ GeV and $\Gamma_{H^\pm} = 23.88$ GeV (dash-dotted line).





FIG. 3: Total cross section for $\bar{\nu}_e - e$ scattering in the range $0 \leq E \leq 150$ GeV for $h_{ee} = 0.30$, $M_{H^\pm} = 120$ GeV and $\Gamma_{H^\pm} = 0.63$ GeV; $h_{ee} = 0.50$, $M_{H^\pm} = 200$ GeV and $\Gamma_{H^\pm} = 2.97$ GeV; $h_{ee} = 0.75$, $M_{H^\pm} = 300$ GeV and $\Gamma_{H^\pm} = 10.08$ GeV; $h_{ee} = 1.00$, $M_{H^\pm} = 400$ GeV and $\Gamma_{H^\pm} = 23.88$ GeV. The lines with H^- exchange (dotted) are above the SM prediction (solid line).


VI. CONCLUSION

We have presented the $\bar{\nu}_e - e$ scattering cross section in the range $0 \leq E \leq 10^{20}$ eV for $0.30 \leq h_{ee} \leq 1$ and $120 \text{ GeV} \leq M_{H^\pm} \leq 400 \text{ GeV}$. From the low energy $\bar{\nu}_e - e$ scattering experiment, the upper bound $h_{ee}/M_{H^\pm} \lesssim 2.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ was derived. The H^- exchange can contribute up to 5.16 % to the total cross section at $E \leq 10^{14}$ eV. The contribution is maximum at $s \approx M_{H^-}^2$. We

observed that the discrimination between the SM and the



(a)



(b)

FIG. 4: Total cross section for $\bar{\nu}_e - e$ scattering in the range (a) $0.5 \text{ TeV} \leq E \leq 1000 \text{ TeV}$ for all cases. The solid line corresponds to SM. The dashed lines overlap above the SM are H^- exchange for different cases. (b) $10^{15} \text{ eV} \leq E \leq 10^{20} \text{ eV}$ with SM (solid line), $h_{ee} = 0.30$, $M_{H^\pm} = 120$ GeV and $\Gamma_{H^\pm} = 0.63$ GeV (dashed line); $h_{ee} = 0.50$, $M_{H^\pm} = 200$ GeV and $\Gamma_{H^\pm} = 2.97$ GeV (small-dashed line); $h_{ee} = 0.75$, $M_{H^\pm} = 300$ GeV and $\Gamma_{H^\pm} = 10.08$ GeV (dotted line); $h_{ee} = 1.00$, $M_{H^\pm} = 400$ GeV and $\Gamma_{H^\pm} = 23.88$ GeV (dash-dotted line). The straight line is the cross section for $\bar{\nu}_l N$ deep inelastic scattering given in Ref. [25] for energies between $10^{16} \text{ eV} \leq E \leq 10^{21} \text{ eV}$.

HTM predictions for the process under consideration can be significant in the interval $10^{16} \text{ eV} \leq E \leq 10^{19} \text{ eV}$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the Institute of Research Management and Monitoring University of Malaya, Grant No. PS310/2009C.

-
- [1] F. Englert and R. Brout, Phys. Rev. Lett., **13**, 321 (1964); P. W. Higgs, *ibid.*, **13**, 508 (1964); G. S. Guralnik, C. R. Hagen, and T. W. B. Kibble, *ibid.*, **13**, 585 (1964).
 - [2] Y. Nambu, Rev. Mod. Phys., **81**, 1015 (2009).
 - [3] J. N. Bahcall and R. Davis, Science, **191**, 264 (1976).
 - [4] S. M. Bilenky and B. Pontecorvo, Phys. Rept., **41**, 225 (1978).
 - [5] T. P. Cheng and L.-F. Li, Phys. Rev. D, **22**, 2860 (1980); J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, *ibid.*, **22**, 2227 (1980).
 - [6] P. Fileviez Pérez, T. Han, G. Huang, T. Li, and K. Wang, Phys. Rev. D, **78**, 015018 (2008).
 - [7] A. G. Akeroyd and M. Aoki, Phys. Rev. D, **72**, 035011 (2005); A. G. Akeroyd and S. Moretti, *ibid.*, **84**, 035028 (2011); A. G. Akeroyd and H. Sugiyama, *ibid.*, **84**, 035010 (2011).
 - [8] V. M. Abazov *et al.* (D0 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett., **93**, 141801 (2004); D. Acosta and others. (CDF Collaboration), *ibid.*, **93**, 221802 (2004).
 - [9] L. B. Auerbach *et al.* (LSND Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D, **63**, 112001 (2001).
 - [10] M. Deniz *et al.* (TEXONO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D, **81**, 072001 (2010).
 - [11] S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev., **118**, 316 (1960).
 - [12] K. O. Mikaelian and I. M. Zheleznykh, Phys. Rev. D, **22**, 2122 (1980).
 - [13] F. Halzen, Eur. Phys. J. C, **46**, 669 (2006).
 - [14] V. and Berezinsky, Nuclear Physics B - Proceedings Supplements, **38**, 363 (1995), [jce:titleNeutrino 94i/ce:titlei](#).
 - [15] J. Gunion, H. Haber, G. Kane, and S. Dawson, *The Higgs Hunter's Guide*, Frontiers in Physics (Perseus Publishing, 2000) ISBN 9780738203058.
 - [16] M. Krawczyk and D. Temes, Eu. Phys. J. C, **44**, 435 (2005).
 - [17] A. Heister *et al.* (ALEPH Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B, **543**, 1 (2002), ISSN 0370-2693.
 - [18] A. G. Akeroyd and C.-W. Chiang, Phys. Rev. D, **81**, 115007 (2010).
 - [19] K. Nakamura *et al.*, J. Phys. G, **37**, 075021 (2010).
 - [20] F. Mandl and G. Shaw, *Quantum Field Theory* (John Wiley & Sons Inc, 2007).
 - [21] J. A. Coarasa, A. Mendez, and J. Sola, Phys. Lett. B, **374**, 131 (1996).
 - [22] M. L. Swartz, Phys. Rev. D, **40**, 1521 (1989).
 - [23] K. Huitu, J. Maalampi, A. Pietil, and M. Raidal, Nucl. Phys. B, **487**, 27 (1997).
 - [24] K. Eitel, Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Supp.) B, **143**, 197 (2005).
 - [25] R. Gandhi, C. Quigg, M. H. Reno, and I. Sarcevic, Phys. Rev. D, **58**, 093009 (1998).