

Estimating strong decays of $X(3915)$ and $X(4350)$

Zi-Jia Zhao^{1*}, Dong-Mei Pan¹

¹*Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China
Hefei, Anhui 230026, China*

Abstract

Strong decays of $X(3915)$ and $X(4350)$ have been studied by assuming them as P-wave charmonium states. We estimate the $\Gamma(X(3915) \rightarrow J/\psi\omega)$ and $\Gamma(X(4350) \rightarrow J/\psi\phi)$ via $D\bar{D}^{(*)}$ open-charm intermediate states. Our calculation supports that the assignment of $X(4350)$ to the charmonium state while the assignment of $X(3915)$ is disfavored.

* E-mail: sszdzl1@mail.ustc.edu.cn

1 Introduction

Two new charmoniumlike resonances named $X(3915)$ and $X(4350)$ were observed recently by Belle Collaboration [1, 2] in the processes $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow J/\psi\omega$ and $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$, respectively. For $X(3915)$, $M = 3915 \pm 3(\text{stat}) \pm 2(\text{syst})$ MeV and $\Gamma = 17 \pm 10(\text{stat}) \pm 3(\text{syst})$ MeV; for $X(4350)$, $M = 4350.6^{+4.6}_{-5.1}(\text{stat}) \pm 0.7(\text{syst})$ MeV and $\Gamma = 13^{+18}_{-9}(\text{stat}) \pm 4(\text{syst})$ MeV. The structure of these states has been studied extensively in the literature, the P -wave charmonium states [3, 4], the D_s^* and D_{s0}^* molecule state [5], The $c\bar{c}s\bar{s}$ tetraquark state [6], and the scalar $c\bar{c}$ and $D_s^*\bar{D}_s^*$ mixing state [7] and so on.

The purpose of the present paper is to further study strong decays $X(3915) \rightarrow J/\psi\omega$ and $X(4350) \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$, in order to increase our understanding in the structure of these new resonances. We will treat them as P -wave charmonium states, following Ref. [3], and the J^{PC} quantum numbers of theirs are 0^{++} for $X(3915)$ and 2^{++} for $X(4350)$. Thus their open-charm decay amplitudes, such as $X(3915) \rightarrow D\bar{D}$ and $X(4350) \rightarrow D_s^{(*)}\bar{D}_s^{(*)}$ transitions, can be easily obtained using the 3p0 model [8]. It is obvious that these open-charm intermediate states may rescatter into $J/\psi\omega$ or $J/\psi\phi$ final states, and this rescattering effects can be captured using the method [9] used in [10, 11].

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. 2 and Sec. 3, we present the formalism used in our study, and give explicit calculations for $X(3915)$ and $X(4350)$. We summarize our results in Sec. 4. The open-charm decay amplitudes of $X(3915)$ and $X(4350)$ are fixed in the Appendix by 3p0 model. This will help us to determine the coupling constants of $X(3915) \rightarrow D\bar{D}$ and $X(4350) \rightarrow D_s^{(*)}\bar{D}_s^{(*)}$, which will be used in the calculations of Sec. 2 and Sec. 3.

2 Calculation for $X(3915)$

The process $X(3915) \rightarrow J/\psi\omega$ is OZI rule suppressed, so the final state interaction (FSI) effects may play the central role. We will study if the hidden charm decay $X(3915) \rightarrow J/\psi\omega$ mainly arises from the FSI effect of $X(3915) \rightarrow D^0\bar{D}^0$ and $X(3915) \rightarrow D^+D^-$ rather than $X(3915) \rightarrow D^{*0}\bar{D}^{*0}$ or $X(3915) \rightarrow D^{*+}\bar{D}^{*-}$ because D^{*} 's are too heavy.

The strong interactions between $X(3915)$ and D 's can be described by the following phenomenological Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{0+DD} = g_{0+DD}(XD^0\bar{D}^0 + XD^+D^-) \quad (1)$$

The strong coupling constants g can be calculated by some physical models, for example, the 3p0 model, which will be shown in the Appendix, the numeral result is $|g_{0+DD}| = 2760 \text{ MeV}$.

The Feynman diagrams for $X(3915) \rightarrow J/\psi\omega$ through D^+ and D^- is depicted in Figure 1.

Based on the heavy quark symmetry and chiral symmetry, the effective Lagrangian was constructed as[12][13][14]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{J/\psi DD} = ig_{J/\psi DD}\psi_\mu(\partial^\mu DD^\dagger - D\partial^\mu D^\dagger) \quad (2)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{J/\psi DD^*} = -g_{J/\psi DD^*}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu\psi_\nu(\partial_\alpha D_\beta^*D^\dagger + D\partial_\alpha D_\beta^{*\dagger}) \quad (3)$$




Figure 1: The diagrams for $X(3915) \rightarrow J/\psi + \omega$ via D^+D^- and $D^0\bar{D}^0$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{J/\psi D^* D^*} = & -ig_{J/\psi D^* D^*} \{ \psi^\mu (\partial_\mu D^{*\nu} D_\nu^{*\dagger} - D^{*\nu} \partial_\mu D_\nu^{*\dagger}) + (\partial_\mu \psi_\nu D^{*\nu} - \psi_\nu \partial_\mu D^{*\nu}) D^{*\mu\dagger} \\ & + D^{*\mu} (\psi^\nu \partial_\mu D_\nu^{*\dagger} - \partial_\mu \psi_\nu D^{*\nu\dagger}) \} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{DDV} = -ig_{DDV} D_i^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu D^j (V^\mu)_j^i \quad (5)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{D^* DV} = -2f_{D^* DV} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} (\partial V^\nu)_j^i (D_i^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^\alpha D^{*\beta j} - D_i^{*\beta\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^\alpha D^j) \quad (6)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{D^* D^* V} = ig_{D^* D^* V} D_i^{*\nu\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu D_\nu^{*j} (V^\mu)_j^i + 4if_{D^* D^* V} D_{i\mu}^{*\dagger} (\partial^\mu V^\nu - \partial^\nu V^\mu)_j^i D_\nu^{*j} \quad (7)$$

V denote the nonet vector meson matrices

$$\mathbf{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\rho^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2}} & \rho^+ & K^{*+} \\ \rho^- & -\frac{\rho^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2}} & K^{*0} \\ K^{*-} & \bar{K}^{*0} & \phi \end{pmatrix}$$

The value of the coupling constants are [14] $g_{DDV} = g_{D^* D^* V} = \beta g_V / \sqrt{2}$, $g_V = m_\rho / f_\pi$, $f_\pi = 132 MeV$, $f_{D^* DV} = f_{D^* D^* V} / m_{D^*} = \lambda g_V / \sqrt{2}$, we take $\beta = 0.9$, and $\lambda = 0.56 GeV^{-1}$ from [15]. $g_{J/\psi DD} = \sqrt{20}\pi$, $g_{J/\psi D^* D^*} = g_{J/\psi DD} = m_D g_{J/\psi DD^*}$ which was determined in [14] from the chiral and heavy quark limit.

By the Cutkosky cutting rule, the absorptive part of Fig.1 is written as

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1-a} = & \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 p_1}{(2\pi)^3 2E_1} \frac{d^3 p_2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_2} \delta^4(m_X - p_1 - p_2) (2\pi)^4 i g_{0+DD} (-i) g_{J/\psi DD} p_1 \cdot \varepsilon_\psi \\ & (-\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}) g_{DDV} \varepsilon_\omega \cdot p_2 \frac{i}{q^2 - m_D^2} \mathcal{F}^2(M_{D^-}, q) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$A_{1-c} = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 p_1}{(2\pi)^3 2E_1} \frac{d^3 p_2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_2} \delta^4(m_X - p_1 - p_2) (2\pi)^4 i g_{0+DD}(-i) g_{J/\psi DD^*} p_\psi^\mu \varepsilon_\psi^\nu q_\alpha \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \\ (-\sqrt{2}i) f_{D^*DV} \epsilon_{\rho\sigma\kappa\eta} (-ip_\omega^\rho) \varepsilon_\omega^\sigma (-i) (-g^{\beta\eta} + \frac{q^\beta q^\eta}{m_{D^*}^2}) (q^\kappa + p_2^\kappa) \frac{i}{q^2 - m_{D^*}^2} \mathcal{F}^2(M_{D^{*-},q}) \quad (9)$$

$$A_{1-e} = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 p_1}{(2\pi)^3 2E_1} \frac{d^3 p_2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_2} \delta^4(m_X - p_1 - p_2) (2\pi)^4 i f_{0+DD}(-i) g_{J/\psi DD} p_1 \cdot \varepsilon_\psi \\ (-\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}) g_{DDV} \varepsilon_\omega \cdot p_2 \frac{i}{q^2 - m_D^2} \mathcal{F}^2(M_{\bar{D}^0}, q) \quad (10)$$

$$A_{1-g} = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 p_1}{(2\pi)^3 2E_1} \frac{d^3 p_2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_2} \delta^4(m_X - p_1 - p_2) (2\pi)^4 i f_{0+DD}(-i) g_{J/\psi DD^*} p_\psi^\mu \varepsilon_\psi^\nu q_\alpha \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \\ (-\sqrt{2}i) f_{D^*DV} \epsilon_{\rho\sigma\kappa\eta} (-ip_\omega^\rho) \varepsilon_\omega^\sigma (-i) (-g^{\beta\eta} + \frac{q^\beta q^\eta}{m_{D^*}^2}) (q^\kappa + p_2^\kappa) \frac{i}{q^2 - m_{D^*}^2} \mathcal{F}^2(M_{\bar{D}^0}, q) \quad (11)$$

where $\mathcal{F}^2(m_i, q) = (\frac{\Lambda^2 - m_i^2}{\Lambda^2 - q^2})^2$, is a form factor which compensate the off-shell effects of mesons at the vertices and are normalized at $q^2 = m_i^2$. $q = p_\psi - p_1 = p_2 - p_\omega$, $\Lambda(m_i) = m_i + \alpha \Lambda_{QCD}$, m_i denote the mass of the exchanged particle and we choose $\Lambda_{QCD} = 220 MeV$ here. α should not be far from 1. So the total decay amplitude of $X(3915) \rightarrow J/\psi \omega$ is:

$$\mathcal{M}(X(3915) \rightarrow D^+ D^- \rightarrow J/\psi \omega) = 2(A_{1-a} + A_{1-c} + A_{1-e} + A_{1-g}) \quad (12)$$

The factor 2 comes from the fact that the amplitudes of Figure 1-b, 1-d, 1-f, 1-h are the same with Figure 1-a, 1-c, 1-e, 1-g. The relation between decay width of $X(3915)$ and α is shown in Figure.2.




Figure 2: The decay rate for $X(3915) \rightarrow J/\psi + \omega$ via $D^+ D^-$ and $D^0 \bar{D}^0$

3 Calculation for $X(4350)$

Similarly, the hidden charm decay $X(4350) \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$, which is OZI rule suppressed, may occur through D_s^+ , D_s^- and D_s^{+*} , D_s^{+*} rescattering.

The strong interaction between $X(4350)$ and D_s , D_s^* can be described in a phenomenological way:

$$\mathcal{L}_{2+DD} = g_{2+DD} X^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu D_s^+ \partial_\nu D_s^- \quad (13)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{2+D^*D} = ig_{2+D^*D} \partial^\mu X^{\nu\alpha} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\beta\rho} (\partial^\beta D_s^{*+\rho} \partial_\alpha D_s^- + \partial^\beta D_s^{*- \rho} \partial_\alpha D_s^+) \quad (14)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{2+D^*D^*} = g_{2+D^*D^*} X^{\mu\nu} D_{s\mu}^{*+} D_{s\nu}^{*-} \quad (15)$$

The absolute value of g_{2+DD} , g_{2+D^*D} , $g_{2+D^*D^*}$ can be decided by 3p0 model which will be shown in Appendix, the numeral result is $|g_{2+DD}| = 0.002 MeV^{-1}$, $|g_{2+D^*D}| = 3.30 \times 10^{-7} MeV^{-2}$, $|g_{2+D^*D^*}| = 700 MeV$. The Feynman diagrams for $X(4350) \rightarrow J/\psi + \phi$ are shown in Figure.3




Figure 3: The diagrams for $X(4350) \rightarrow J/\psi + \phi$ via D_s and D_s^* assuming $X(4350)$ is χ_{c2}''

The absorptive part of Fig.2 is written as

$$A_{2-a} = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 p_1}{(2\pi)^3 2E_1} \frac{d^3 p_2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_2} \delta^4(m_X - p_1 - p_2) (2\pi)^4 (-i) g_{2+DD} p_{1\mu} p_{2\nu} \varepsilon_X^{\mu\nu} \\ (-ig_{J/\psi DD}) \varepsilon_\alpha^*(\psi) (p_1^\alpha - q^\alpha) (-i) g_{DDV} (q_\beta + p_{2\beta}) \xi_\phi^* \frac{i}{q^2 - m_{D_s}^2} \mathcal{F}^2(M_{D_s^-}, q) \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{2-c} = & \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 p_1}{(2\pi)^3 2E_1} \frac{d^3 p_2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_2} \delta^4(m_X - p_1 - p_2) (2\pi)^4 i g_{2+DD} \xi_X^{\kappa\varphi} p_{1\kappa} p_{2\varphi} \\
& g_{J/\psi DD^*} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} p_\psi^\mu \xi_\psi^{*\nu} p_1^\alpha (-g^{\beta\eta} + \frac{q^\beta q^\eta}{m_{D_s^*}^2}) f_{D^*DV} \epsilon_{\rho\sigma\tau\eta} p_\phi^\rho \varepsilon_\phi^{*\sigma} 2(p_2^\tau + q^\tau) \\
& \frac{i}{q^2 - m_{D_s^*}^2} \mathcal{F}^2(M_{D_s^{*-}}, q)
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{2-e} = & \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 p_1}{(2\pi)^3 2E_1} \frac{d^3 p_2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_2} \delta^4(m_X - p_1 - p_2) (2\pi)^4 (-i) g_{2+D^*D} \xi_X^{\kappa\eta} p_X^\rho \epsilon_{\rho\kappa\tau\sigma} p_2^\tau p_{1\eta} \\
& i g_{J/\psi DD^*} \xi_\psi^* \cdot (p_1 - q) (-2i) f_{D^*DV} \xi_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \xi_\phi^{\nu*} p_\phi^\mu (p_2^\alpha + q^\alpha) (-g^{\sigma\beta} + \frac{p_2^\sigma p_2^\beta}{m_{D_s^*}^2}) \\
& \frac{i}{q^2 - m_{D_s^*}^2} \mathcal{F}^2(M_{D_s^-}, q)
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{2-g} = & \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 p_1}{(2\pi)^3 2E_1} \frac{d^3 p_2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_2} \delta^4(m_X - p_1 - p_2) (2\pi)^4 (-i) g_{2+D^*D} p_X^\eta \varepsilon_X^{\rho\varphi} \epsilon_{\eta\rho\sigma\tau} p_2^\sigma p_{1\varphi} \\
& i g_{J/\psi DD^*} \epsilon^{\tau\kappa\gamma\beta} p_{\psi\tau} \varepsilon_{\psi\kappa}^* p_{1\gamma} [-g_{D^*D^*V} (ip_{2\mu} + iq_\mu) \varepsilon_\phi^{*\mu} g^{\alpha\nu} - 4f_{D^*D^*V} (-ip_\phi^\alpha \varepsilon_\phi^{*\nu} + ip_\phi^\nu \varepsilon_\phi^{*\alpha})] \\
& (-g_\nu^\sigma + \frac{p_2^\sigma p_{2\nu}}{m_{D_s^*}^2}) (-g_{\beta\alpha} + \frac{q_\beta q_\alpha}{m_{D_s^*}^2}) \frac{i}{q^2 - m_{D_s^*}^2} \mathcal{F}^2(M_{D_s^{*-}}, q)
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{2-j} = & \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 p_1}{(2\pi)^3 2E_1} \frac{d^3 p_2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_2} \delta^4(m_X - p_1 - p_2) (2\pi)^4 (-i) g_{2+D^*D} p_X^\eta \varepsilon_X^{\rho\sigma} \epsilon_{\eta\rho\kappa\sigma} p_1^\kappa p_{2\sigma} \\
& (-i) g_{J/\psi DD^*} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} (-i) p_{\psi\mu} \varepsilon_{\psi\nu}^* (ip_{1\alpha}) 2i g_{DDV} p_{2\tau} \varepsilon_\phi^{*\tau} (-g_\beta^\sigma + \frac{p_1^\sigma p_{1\beta}}{m_{D_s^*}^2}) \\
& \frac{i}{q^2 - m_{D_s^*}^2} \mathcal{F}^2(M_{D_s^+}, q)
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{2-l} = & \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 p_1}{(2\pi)^3 2E_1} \frac{d^3 p_2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_2} \delta^4(m_X - p_1 - p_2) (2\pi)^4 (-i) g_{2+D^*D} p_X^\gamma \varepsilon_X^{\rho\eta} \epsilon_{\gamma\rho\sigma\tau} p_1^\sigma p_{2\eta} i g_{J/\psi D^*D^*} \varepsilon_\psi^{*\kappa} \\
& [(q_\kappa - p_{1\kappa}) (-g^{\sigma\tau} + \frac{p_1^\sigma p_1^\tau}{m_{D_s^*}^2}) (-g_{\tau\beta} + \frac{q_\tau q_\beta}{m_{D_s^*}^2}) + \\
& (-p_\psi^\tau - q^\tau) (-g_{\beta\kappa} + \frac{q_\beta q_\kappa}{m_{D_s^*}^2}) (-g_\tau^\sigma + \frac{p_1^\sigma p_{1\tau}}{m_{D_s^*}^2}) + \\
& (p_\psi^\tau + p_1^\tau) (-g_{\tau\beta} + \frac{q_\tau q_\beta}{m_{D_s^*}^2}) (-g_\kappa^\sigma + \frac{p_1^\sigma p_{1\kappa}}{m_{D_s^*}^2})] \\
& (-2i) f_{D^*DV} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \varepsilon_{\phi\nu}^* (-i) p_{\phi\mu} (-ip_{2\alpha} - iq_\alpha) \frac{i}{q^2 - m_{D_s^*}^2} \mathcal{F}^2(M_{D_s^{*+}}, q)
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{2-m} = & \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 p_1}{(2\pi)^3 2E_1} \frac{d^3 p_2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_2} \delta^4(m_X - p_1 - p_2) (2\pi)^4 i g_{2+D^*D^*} \varepsilon_X^{\kappa\lambda} (-i) g_{J/\psi DD^*} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} p_{\psi\mu} \varepsilon_{\psi\nu}^* p_{1\alpha} \\
& (-i) 2f_{D^*DV} \epsilon^{\rho\sigma\tau\eta} (q_\tau + p_{2\tau}) \varepsilon_{\phi\sigma}^* p_{\phi\rho} (-g_{\kappa\beta} + \frac{p_{1\kappa} p_{1\beta}}{m_{D_s^*}^2}) (-g_{\lambda\eta} + \frac{p_{2\lambda} p_{2\eta}}{m_{D_s^*}^2}) \\
& \frac{i}{q^2 - m_{D_s}^2} \mathcal{F}^2(M_{D_s^-}, q)
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{2-o} = & \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 p_1}{(2\pi)^3 2E_1} \frac{d^3 p_2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_2} \delta^4(m_X - p_1 - p_2) (2\pi)^4 i g_{2+D^*D^*} \varepsilon_X^{\rho\sigma} g_{J/\psi D^*D^*} \varepsilon_\psi^{*\nu} \\
& [(ip_{1\nu} - iq_\nu)(-g_{\mu\rho} + \frac{p_{1\mu} p_{1\rho}}{m_{D_s^*}^2})(-g_{\alpha\mu} + \frac{q_\alpha q_\mu}{m_{D_s^*}^2}) + \\
& (-ip_{\psi\mu} - ip_{1\mu})(-g_{\rho\nu} + \frac{p_{1\rho} p_{1\nu}}{m_{D_s^*}^2})(-g_{\alpha\mu} + \frac{q_\alpha q_\mu}{m_{D_s^*}^2}) \\
& + (-g_{\mu\rho} + \frac{p_{1\mu} p_{1\rho}}{m_{D_s^*}^2})(-g_{\nu\alpha} + \frac{q_\alpha q_\nu}{m_{D_s^*}^2})(iq_\mu + ip_{\psi\mu})] \\
& [-g_{D^*D^*V} \varepsilon_\phi^{*\beta} (-iq_\beta - ip_{2\beta}(-g_\sigma^\alpha + \frac{p_{2\sigma} p_2^\alpha}{m_{D_s^*}^2})) \\
& - 4f_{D^*D^*V} (-ip_\phi^\beta \varepsilon_\phi^{\alpha*} + ip_\phi^\alpha \varepsilon_\phi^{\beta*})(-g^{\sigma\beta} + \frac{p_2^\sigma p_2^\beta}{m_{D_s^*}^2})] \frac{i}{q^2 - m_{D_s^*}^2} \mathcal{F}^2(M_{D_s^{*-}}, q)
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

ε_X denote the polarization tensors of $X(4350)$ which can be constructed from the polarization vector of massive vector bosons as follows:

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \{\sqrt{2}\varepsilon_\mu^+ \varepsilon_\nu^+, (\varepsilon_\mu^+ \varepsilon_\nu^0 + \varepsilon_\mu^0 \varepsilon_\nu^+), \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(\varepsilon_\mu^+ \varepsilon_\nu^- + \varepsilon_\mu^- \varepsilon_\nu^+ - 2\varepsilon_\mu^0 \varepsilon_\nu^0), (\varepsilon_\mu^- \varepsilon_\nu^0 + \varepsilon_\mu^0 \varepsilon_\nu^-), \sqrt{2}\varepsilon_\mu^- \varepsilon_\nu^-\} \tag{24}$$

The polarization tensor is traceless, transverse and orthogonal: $(\varepsilon^\lambda)_\mu = 0$, $k^\mu \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = 0$, $\varepsilon^{\lambda,\mu\nu} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda'*} = 2\delta^{\lambda\lambda'}$, λ could be 2 or 1 or 0 or -1 or -2. And

$$\sum_{\lambda=1}^5 \varepsilon_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{\lambda*} = B_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}(k). \tag{25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{\mu\nu,\alpha\beta}(k) = & (g_{\mu\alpha} - \frac{k_\mu k_\alpha}{m^2})(g_{\nu\beta} - \frac{k_\nu k_\beta}{m^2}) + (g_{\mu\beta} - \frac{k_\mu k_\beta}{m^2})(g_{\nu\alpha} - \frac{k_\nu k_\alpha}{m^2}) \\
& - \frac{2}{3}(g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{m^2})(g_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{k_\alpha k_\beta}{m^2})
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

It is obvious that $k^\mu B_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = 0$, $B_{\mu,\alpha\beta}^\mu = 0$, for details, see [16](The Appendix part)
Similar with $X(3915)$, $A_{2-b} = A_{2-a}$, $A_{2-c} = A_{2-d}$, $A_{2-f} = A_{2-e}$, $A_{2-h} = A_{2-g}$, $A_{2-j} = A_{2-i}$,
 $A_{2-l} = A_{2-k}$, $A_{2-n} = A_{2-m}$, $A_{2-p} = A_{2-o}$, so:

$$M(4350) = 2(A_{2-a} + A_{2-c} + A_{2-e} + A_{2-g} + A_{2-j} + A_{2-l} + A_{2-m} + A_{2-o}) \tag{27}$$

We are unable to calculate the width of $X(4350) \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ since we can't decide the relative phase between g_{2+DD} , g_{2+D^*D} and $g_{2+D^*D^*}$. But we can check which channel is dominance by assuming $X(4350)$ decay to J/ψ and ϕ in one of the three way. We show the result in Figure 4. It is clear that $D_s^{*+}D_s^{*-}$ is the dominance channel.




Figure 4: (Color on line) The decay rate for $X(4350) \rightarrow J/\psi + \phi$ via $D_s^+ D_s^-$ (green line), $D_s^* D_s$ (blue line), and $D_s^{*+} D_s^{*-}$ (red line).

4 Summary

Using 3p0 model to fix the coupling constants, we studied the hidden charm decays of $X(3915) \rightarrow J/\psi\omega$ and $X(4350) \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ by assuming $X(3915)$ and $X(4350)$ are P-wave charmonium states. It seems that this assumption works well for $X(4350) \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$. While things are not very good for $X(3915)$ since the experimental results implied that $\Gamma(X(3915) \rightarrow J/\psi\omega)$ should be around 1 MeV [1]. Thus $X(3915)$ may not be regarded as a pure charmonium state. This has been also pointed out [17] and [4].

Appendix: The coupling constant

The 3p0 model use to do a good job in calculating the OZI allowed decay width in meson's strong decay. So we can use it to study the amplitude of $X(3915) \rightarrow D^+ D^-$ and $X(4350) \rightarrow D_s$ and D_s^* , then decide the coupling constants g_{0+DD} , g_{2+DD} , g_{2+D^*D} and $g_{2+D^*D^*}$. When a heavy




Figure 5: The diagram for meson A decay into meson B and C

quark meson like $X(4350)$ or $X(3915)$ decays, a pair of light quark-antiquark created from the vacuum with the vacuum's quantum number 0^{++} . The pair should be singlet in color, flavor, of zero momentum and zero total angular momentum. The parity should be positive. So $L = 1$, $S = 1$. Then the quark-antiquark separate and enter into different mesons. See Figure 5. The transition matrix T is defined as:

$$S = 1 - 2\pi i \delta(E_f - E_i) T \quad (\text{A.1})$$

and express T in the form of nonrelativistic limit:

$$T = - \int d^3 p_3 d^3 p_4 [3\gamma \delta(p_3 + p_4) \sum_m \langle 1, m; 1, -m | 0, 0 \rangle |k| Y_{1m}(k) (\chi_{1,-m} \varphi_0 \omega_0)] b^\dagger(p_3) d^\dagger(p_4) \quad (\text{A.2})$$

φ_0 is for $SU(3)_F$ singlet ($\varphi_0 = -(u\bar{u} + d\bar{d} + s\bar{s})/\sqrt{3}$), ω_0 for color-singlet and $\chi_{1,-m}$ for triplet state of spin [8]. $Y_{1,m}$ is the spheric harmonic function, reflecting the $L = 1$ orbital angular momentum of the pair. The quark-antiquark pair is created by $b^\dagger(p_3)$ and $d^\dagger(p_4)$. $k = (m_i k_j - m_j k_i)/(m_i + m_j)$ is the relative momentum between the quark and the antiquark within a meson. γ is a dimensionless constant that correspond to the strength of the transition. The state of the meson A can be written as:

$$|A(J, M_J, L, S) \rangle = \sqrt{2E_A} \sum_{M_L, M_S} \langle L M_L S M_S | J M_J \rangle \int d^3 p_1 d^3 p_2 \delta(k_A - p_1 - p_2) \Psi_{nLM_L}(k) \chi_{SM_S} \varphi_A \omega_A b_{p1}^\dagger d_{p2}^\dagger |0 \rangle \quad (\text{A.3})$$

The states of B and C are similar. $\Psi_{nLM_L}(k)$ is the spatial part of a meson's function. In this work, we use the simple harmonic oscillator (SHO) potential as the interaction potential function inside a meson. So $\Psi_{nLM_L}(k)$ could be expressed as [18]:

$$\Psi_{nLM_L}(k) = (-1)^n (-i)^L R^{3/2} \sqrt{\frac{2n!}{\Gamma(n + L + 3/2)}} (kR)^L \exp(-2k^2 R^2) L_n^{L+1/2}(k^2 R^2) Y_{LM_L} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$L_n^{L+1/2}(k^2 R^2)$ is the Laguerre polynomial. R is a parameter comes from the potential function. If we take the center of mass frame of meson A , the element of the T matrix can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle BC|T|A \rangle = & \sqrt{8E_A E_B E_C} \gamma \sum_{\substack{M_{L_A}, M_{S_A}, \\ M_{L_B}, M_{S_B}, \\ M_{L_C}, M_{S_C}, m}} \langle L_A M_{L_A} S_A M_{S_A} | J_A M_{J_A} \rangle \\
& \langle L_B M_{L_B} S_B M_{S_B} | J_B M_{J_B} \rangle \langle L_C M_{L_C} S_C M_{S_C} | J_C M_{J_C} \rangle \langle 1 m; 1 - m | 0 0 \rangle \\
& \langle \varphi_B \varphi_C | \varphi_A \varphi_0 \rangle \langle \chi_{S_B M_{S_B}} \chi_{S_C M_{S_C}} | \chi_{S_A M_{S_A}} \chi_{1-m} \rangle \\
& \langle \omega_B(1, 3) \omega_C(2, 4) | \omega_A(1, 2) P(3, 4) \rangle I_{M_{L_B}, M_{L_C}}^{M_{L_A}, m}(k)
\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

The spatial integral is:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{M_{L_B}, M_{L_C}}^{M_{L_A}, m}(k) = & \int d^3 p_1 d^3 p_2 d^3 p_3 d^3 p_4 \delta(p_1 + p_2) \delta(p_3 + p_4) \delta(k_B - p_1 - p_3) \delta(k_C - p_2 - p_4) \\
& \times \Psi_{n_B L_B M_{L_B}}^*(p_1, p_3) \Psi_{n_C L_C M_{L_C}}^*(p_2, p_4) \Psi_{n_A L_A M_{L_A}}(p_1, p_2) \left| \frac{p_3 - p_4}{2} \right| Y_{1m} \tag{A.6}
\end{aligned}$$

In this way, the amplitudes of $X(3915) \rightarrow D^+ D^-$ or $D^0 \bar{D}^0$ and $X(4350) \rightarrow D_s D_s^*$ can be expressed like:

$$\mathcal{M}(X(3915) \rightarrow D^+ D^-) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3\sqrt{3}} \sqrt{E_A E_B E_C} \gamma [2I_{0,0}^{1,-1} - I_{0,0}^{0,0}] \tag{A.7}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(X(3915) \rightarrow D^0 \bar{D}^0) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3\sqrt{3}} \sqrt{E_A E_B E_C} \gamma [2I_{0,0}^{1,-1} - I_{0,0}^{0,0}] \tag{A.8}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(X(4350) \rightarrow D_s D_s) = \frac{2}{3\sqrt{15}} \sqrt{E_A E_B E_C} \gamma [I_{0,0}^{1,-1} + I_{0,0}^{0,0}] \tag{A.9}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(X(4350) \rightarrow D_s D_s^*) = \frac{2}{3\sqrt{10}} \sqrt{E_A E_B E_C} \gamma [I_{0,0}^{1,-1} + I_{0,0}^{0,0}] \tag{A.10}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(X(4350) \rightarrow D_s^* D_s^*) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{9} \sqrt{E_A E_B E_C} \gamma [2I_{0,0}^{1,-1} - I_{0,0}^{0,0}] \tag{A.11}$$

$\gamma = 6.3$ [19] for the quark-antiquark pair creation of $u\bar{u}$ and $d\bar{d}$, $\gamma = 6.3/\sqrt{3}$ for $s\bar{s}$ [20]. We take $m_c = 1.6 \text{ GeV}$, $m_u = m_d = 0.22 \text{ GeV}$, $m_s = 0.419 \text{ GeV}$. According to [19], $R_D = 1.52 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$, $R_{D_s} = 1.41 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$, $R_{D_s^*} = 1.69 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$. It was decided in [3] that $R_{X(3915)} = 1.80 \sim 1.99 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$, 1.92 GeV^{-1} for the central value of decay width and $R_{X(4350)} = 1.8 \sim 3.0 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$, central value is not available. We will choose $R_{X(3915)} = 1.92 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$, $R_{X(4350)} = 1.90 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ in this work. The decay rates could be calculated in this way: $\Gamma(X(3915) \rightarrow D^+ D^-) = 8.446 \text{ MeV}$, $\Gamma(X(4350) \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^-) = 0.518 \text{ MeV}$, $\Gamma(X(4350) \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^-) = 2.915 \text{ MeV}$, $\Gamma(X(4350) \rightarrow D_s^{*+} D_s^{*-}) = 1.282 \text{ MeV}$. Then we find the numerical result for the coupling constant is

$$\begin{cases} |g_{0^+ DD}| = 2370 \text{ MeV} \\ |g_{2^+ DD}| = 0.002 \text{ MeV}^{-1} \\ |g_{2^+ D^* D}| = 3.30 \times 10^{-7} \text{ MeV}^{-2} \\ |g_{2^+ D^* D^*}| = 700 \text{ MeV} \end{cases} \tag{A.12}$$

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Dao-Neng Gao, Gui-Jun Ding and Jia-Feng Liu for helpful discussions. This work is support in part by the NSF of China under grant No. 10775124 and 11075149.

References

- [1] S. Uehara *et al.* [BABAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 092001 (2010) [arXiv:hep-ex/0902.4451].
- [2] C.P. Shen *et al.* [BABAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 112004 (2010) [arXiv:hep-ex/0912.2383].
- [3] Xiang Liu , Zhi-Gang Luo , Zhi-Feng Sun, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 122001 (2010) [arXiv:hep-ph/0911.3694].
- [4] You-chang Yang, Zurong Xia and Jialun Ping, Phys. Rev. D **81**,094003 (2009) [hep-ph:0912.5061v1]
- [5] J.R. Zhang and M.Q. Huang, arXiv:0905.4672; Yong-Liang Ma Phys. Rev. D**82**, 015013 (2010) [arXiv:hep-ph/1006.1276].
- [6] F. Stancu, J. Phys. G **37**, 075017 (2010).
- [7] Z. G. Wang, Phys. Lett. B **690**, 403 (2010).
- [8] A. Le Yaouanc, LL. Oliver, O. Pene and J. C.Ranynal, NEW, YORK, USA,;Hadron transition in the quark model, GORDON AND BREACH SCIENCE PUBLISHERS(1988)118p
- [9] Hai-Yang Cheng, Chun-Khiang Chua, and Amarjit Soni, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 014006 (2005).
- [10] Xiang Liu Phys. Lett. B**680**, 137 (2009) [hep-ph:0904.0135v3]
- [11] Xiang Liu, Bo Zhang, Shi-Lin Zhu Phys. Lett. B**645**, 185 (2007) [hep-ph:0610278v3]
- [12] Yongseok Oh, Taesoo Song and Su Hounng Lee, Phys. Lett. C **63**, 034901 (2001) [arXiv:nucl-th/0010064].
- [13] Tung-Mow Yan, Hai-Yang Cheng, Chi-Yee Cheung, Guey-Lin Lin, Y. C. Lin and Hoi-Lai Yu, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 1148 (1992).
- [14] R. Casalbuoni, A. Deandrea, N. Di Bartolomeo, R. Gatto, F. Feruglio and G. Nardulli, Phys. Rev. **287**, 145 (1997) [arXiv:hep-ph/9605342].
- [15] Claudia Isola, Massimo Ladisa, Giuseppe Nardulli and Pietro Santorelli, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 114001 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ph/0307367].
- [16] Tao Han, Joseph D. Lykken, Ren-Jie Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 105006 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9811350]

- [17] Chang-Zheng Yuan,*et al.* [Belle collaborations](2009) [arXiv hep-ex:0910.3138]
- [18] Jia-Feng Liu, Gui-Jun Ding and Mu-Lin Yan, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 074026 (2010) [arXiv:1008.0246v2]
- [19] Stephen Godfrey and Richard Kokoski, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 1679 (1991)
- [20] A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene and J. C. Raynal, Phys. Lett. B 72, 57 (1977).