

Holographic mesons in D4/D6 model revisited

Kwanghyun Jo

Department of Physics Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, Korea

E-mail: jkh38@gmail.com

Youngman Kim

Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics and Department of Physics, Pohang

University of Science and Technology, Pohang, Gyeongbuk 790-784, Korea

E-mail: ykim@apctp.org

Sang-Jin Sin

Department of Physics Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, Korea

E-mail: sjsin@hanyang.ac.kr

ABSTRACT: We revisit holographic mesons in the D4/D6 model to study holographic light vector mesons and the properties of heavy quarkonium in confining and deconfining phases. To treat the light mesons and heavy quarkonium on the same footing, we use the same compactification scale M_{KK} in both systems. We observe that like scalar and pseudo-scalar mesons the vector meson mass is linearly proportional to the square root of the quark mass, when the quark mass is large. With a M_{KK} fixed by light meson masses, we calculate the mass of heavy quarkonium in confining and deconfining phases. We also obtain the in-medium dispersion relation for heavy quarkonium, which is important to understand the dissociation and the screening mass of heavy quarkonium in quark-gluon plasma.

KEYWORDS: Gauge/gravity duality, heavy quarkonium.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. D4/D6 model	2
3. Meson spectroscopy	3
3.1 Embedding	4
3.2 Scalar and pseudo-scalar fluctuations	4
3.3 Gauge field fluctuations	5
3.4 Numerical results	7
4. Heavy quarkonium in deconfined phase	9
4.1 Embedding	10
4.2 Fluctuating fields as mesons	11
4.2.1 Scalar and pseudo-scalar fluctuations	11
4.2.2 Gauge field fluctuations	12
4.3 Remarks	15
5. Summary	15
References	16

1. Introduction

The properties of the heavy quarkonium both at zero and at finite temperature have been intensively studied, see [1] for a review. At zero temperature, the charmonium spectrum reflects detailed information about confinement and quark-antiquark potentials in QCD [2]. At finite temperature, melting of heavy quarkonia could be a signal of the formation of the quark-gluon plasma (QGP) in a relativistic heavy ion collision [3]. Moreover, lattice calculations suggest that the charmonium states will survive at finite temperature up to about 1.6 to 2 times the critical temperature T_c [4, 5]. This suggests that analyzing the charmonium data from heavy ion collision inevitably requires detailed information about the properties of charmonium states in QGP. Therefore, it is very important theoretical challenge to develop a consistent non-perturbative QCD picture for the heavy quark system both below and above the QCD phase transition temperature. In this respect, a promising attempt would be the holographic QCD (via AdS/CFT [6]).

In a stringy D4/D6 model, scalar and pseudo-scalar bound states have been studied at zero and finite temperature in [7, 8]. In a more phenomenological approach, bottom-up

model, the mass spectrum of a charmonium and its dissociation temperature have been investigated [9, 10, 11]. However, we note that in the bottom-up model, different infrared scales are introduced to describe light mesons and heavy quarkonia. For example, in the hard wall model, the location of the infrared cutoff z_m varies from light mesons to heavy quarkonia: $1/z_m \simeq 320$ MeV [12, 13] for the light meson and $1/z_m \simeq 1315$ MeV [9] for the charmonium.

In this paper we study the spectrum of the light vector meson and heavy quarkonium using the D4/D6 model [7] in high-temperature deconfining phase as well as in confining phase. To treat the light mesons and heavy quarkonium on the same footing, we use the same compactification scale M_{KK} in both systems. For the light vector meson, the spectrum was discussed in the D4/D8/ $\bar{D}8$ model [14] where the chiral symmetry and its breaking are realized geometrically. However, in the Sakai-Sugimoto model [14] it is quite difficult to include the quark mass. Therefore it is still of worth to study the spectrum of the vector mesons in the D4/D6 model and study the effect of the quark mass. We observe that like scalar and pseudo-scalar mesons [7] the vector meson mass is proportional to a square root of the quark mass $M_v^2 \sim m_q$ for large quark masses. We also obtain the in-medium dispersion relation for heavy quarkonium, which is important to understand the dissociation and the screening mass of heavy quarkonium [15].

2. D4/D6 model

We briefly summarize a pioneering holographic QCD model, the D4/D6 system [7]. The model contains N_c number of D4 branes and N_f flavor D6 branes whose configuration is given in Table 1. In the probe limit, the N_c D4 branes are replaced by their supergravity

	Boundary				S^1	$r(S^2)$	S^2		$D6_\perp$	
	0	1	2	3	τ	λ	ψ_1	ψ_2	r	ϕ
D4	•	•	•	•	•					
D6	•	•	•	•		•	•	•		

Table 1: The brane configuration : the background D4 and the probe D6

background, and N_f D6 branes are treated as probes. In this model mesons of a QCD-like gauge theory are described by the fluctuations of the D6 brane in the D4 background. The geometry of confining D4 brane reads

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= \left(\frac{U}{L}\right)^{3/2} (\eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + f(U) d\tau^2) + \left(\frac{L}{U}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{dU^2}{f(U)} + U^2 d\Omega_4^2\right) \\
e^\phi &= g_s \left(\frac{U}{L}\right)^{3/4}, \quad F_4 = dC_3 = \frac{2\pi N_c}{V_4} \epsilon_4, \quad f(U) = 1 - \frac{U_K^3}{U^3},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where string coupling constant g_s and the period of τ are given by

$$g_s = \frac{g_{YM}^2}{2\pi M_{KK} l_s}, \quad \delta\tau \equiv \frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{L^{3/2}}{U_K^{1/2}}. \tag{2.2}$$

The parameter L is given by the string coupling constant g_s and the string length l_s , $L^3 = \pi g_s N_c l_s^3$, and the compactification scale M_{KK} reads

$$M_{KK} = \frac{2\pi}{\delta\tau} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{U_K^{1/2}}{L^{3/2}}. \quad (2.3)$$

By introducing $K(\rho)$, the metric is simplified to be

$$ds^2 = \left(\frac{U}{L}\right)^{3/2} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + K(\rho) [d\lambda^2 + \lambda^2 d\Omega_2^2 + dr^2 + r^2 d\phi^2], \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$K(\rho) \equiv L^{3/2} \frac{U^{1/2}}{\rho^2}, \quad U(\rho) = \rho \left(1 + \frac{U_K^3}{4\rho^3}\right)^{2/3} \quad \text{where } \rho^2 = \lambda^2 + r^2. \quad (2.5)$$

The position of the D6 brane is described by $r(\lambda)$ with $\phi=0$ and $\tau=\text{constant}$. Then the induced metric on D6 is

$$ds_{D6}^2 = \left(\frac{U}{L}\right)^{3/2} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + K(\rho) [(1 + \dot{r}^2) d\lambda^2 + \lambda^2 d\Omega_2^2]. \quad (2.6)$$

Now the action for D6 brane becomes

$$S_{D6} = -T_6 \int d^7\sigma e^{-\phi} \sqrt{-\det(g + 2\pi\alpha' F)}, \quad \text{where } T_{D6} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6 l_s^7}. \quad (2.7)$$

By using the well-known identity

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \det A \cdot \det(D - CA^{-1}B) \quad (2.8)$$

up to quadratic order in fields, we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -\frac{T_6}{g_s} \sqrt{h} \left(1 + \frac{U_K^3}{4\rho^3}\right)^2 \lambda^2 \sqrt{1 + \dot{r}^2} \left[1 + \frac{1}{4} (F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + 2F_{\lambda\mu} F^{\lambda\mu})\right] \quad (2.9)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$.

3. Meson spectroscopy

In this section we are to compute the vector meson masses by considering gauge field fluctuations on the D6 brane. Although we have two different scale of meson masses, light mesons and heavy quarkonia, the origin of the difference is that of the quark mass rather than the interaction. So we need to introduce only a single interaction scale M_{KK} . This scale is to be matched with the gauge theory scale Λ_{QCD} , hence it is encoded in the background geometry while the quark masses are encoded in the geometry of the probe branes.

3.1 Embedding

We first find a D6 embedding geometry by solving the equation of motion for $r(\lambda)$. From the DBI action (2.9), we obtain the equation of motion for $r(\lambda)$ to be

$$\partial_\lambda \left[\left(1 + \frac{U_K^3}{4\rho^3} \right)^2 \lambda^2 \frac{\dot{r}}{\sqrt{1 + \dot{r}^2}} \right] = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{U_K^3}{\rho^5} \left(1 + \frac{U_K^3}{4\rho^3} \right) \lambda^2 r \sqrt{1 + \dot{r}^2}. \quad (3.1)$$

With the following dimensionless variables

$$\lambda \rightarrow U_K \lambda, \quad r \rightarrow U_K r, \quad \rho \rightarrow U_K \rho, \quad (3.2)$$

we rewrite it as

$$\partial_\lambda \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{4\rho^3} \right)^2 \lambda^2 \frac{\dot{r}}{\sqrt{1 + \dot{r}^2}} \right] = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\rho^5} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4\rho^3} \right) \lambda^2 r \sqrt{1 + \dot{r}^2}. \quad (3.3)$$

For large λ , we can solve the equation of motion for $r(\lambda)$ to obtain the asymptotic solution as

$$r(\lambda) \sim r_\infty + \frac{c}{\lambda} \quad (3.4)$$

where r_∞, c are related to the quark mass and the chiral condensate, see [7] for details.

3.2 Scalar and pseudo-scalar fluctuations

We start with scalar and pseudo-scalar fluctuations. Though these were extensively studied in [7], we include them for completeness, not to improve the results in [7]. The transverse fluctuation of the D6 brane is given by

$$r(x^\mu, \lambda) = r_v(\lambda) + \delta r(x^\mu), \quad \phi(x^\mu, \lambda) = \delta \phi(x^\mu, \lambda), \quad (3.5)$$

where $r_v(\lambda)$ is the solution of the embedding equation. Inserting (3.5) into the induced metric (2.6) and the DBI action (2.9), we obtain the induced metric

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & \left(\frac{U}{L} \right)^{3/2} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + K[(1 + \dot{r}_v^2) d\lambda^2 + \lambda^2 d\Omega_2^2] + 2K\dot{r}_v \partial_a \delta r d\lambda dx^a \\ & + K[\partial_a \delta r \partial_b \delta r + r_v^2 \partial_a \delta \phi \partial_b \delta \phi] dx^a dx^b, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where a and b run over 0 to λ and the DBI action, up to quadratic order,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 - \frac{1}{2} T_{D6} U_K^3 \lambda^2 \sqrt{h} \sqrt{1 + \dot{r}_v^2} & \left[\frac{U^3}{\rho_v^3 (1 + \dot{r}_v^2)} \left(\frac{(\partial_\lambda \delta r)^2}{1 + \dot{r}_v^2} + r_v^2 (\partial_\lambda \delta \phi)^2 \right) + \frac{L^3 U^2}{U_K \rho_v^5} \left(\frac{\partial_\mu \delta r \partial^\mu \delta r}{1 + \dot{r}_v^2} + r_v^2 \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi \right) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{3}{2\rho_v^7} \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{4\rho_v^3} \right) (\lambda^2 - 4r_v^2) - \frac{3r_v^2}{4\rho_v^3} \right) (\delta r)^2 - \frac{3r_v \dot{r}_v}{2\rho_v^5} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4\rho_v^3} \right) \frac{\partial_\lambda (\delta r^2)}{1 + \dot{r}_v^2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Now we arrive at the linearized equation of motion for pseudo-scalar,

$$0 = \partial_\lambda \left(\frac{\lambda^2 r_v^2}{\sqrt{1 + \dot{r}_v^2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4\rho^3} \right)^2 \partial_\lambda \phi \right) + \frac{r_v^2 \lambda^2 \sqrt{1 + \dot{r}_v^2}}{\rho_v^5} U^2 \frac{9M_\phi^2}{4M_K^2} \phi(\lambda) \quad (3.8)$$

and for scalar,

$$0 = \partial_\lambda \left[\frac{\lambda^2}{(1 + \dot{r}_v^2)^{3/2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4\rho^3} \right)^2 \partial_\lambda \delta r \right] + \frac{\lambda^2 U^2}{\rho_v^5 \sqrt{1 + \dot{r}_v^2}} \frac{9M_K^2}{4M_K^2} \delta r \quad (3.9)$$

$$+ \frac{3\lambda^2 \sqrt{1 + \dot{r}_v^2}}{2\rho_v^7} \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{4\rho^3} \right) (\lambda^2 - 4r_v^2) - \frac{3r_v^2}{4\rho_v^3} \right) \delta r - \partial_\lambda \left(\frac{3\lambda^2}{2\rho_v^5} \frac{r_v \dot{r}_v}{\sqrt{1 + \dot{r}_v^2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4\rho_v^3} \right) \right) \delta r.$$

These equations are numerically solved to get meson masses with proper boundary conditions [7].

3.3 Gauge field fluctuations

Now we move on to the gauge field fluctuation. The relevant part of the Lagrangian density for the gauge field is given by

$$\mathcal{L} \sim -\frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \frac{U_K^3}{4\rho^3} \right)^2 \lambda^2 \sqrt{1 + \dot{r}_v^2} \left(\frac{L}{U} \right)^{3/2} \left[\left(\frac{L}{U} \right)^{3/2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \eta^{\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\rho} F_{\nu\sigma} + 2 \frac{\eta^{\mu\nu} F_{\lambda\mu} F_{\nu\lambda}}{K(\rho)(1 + \dot{r}_v^2)} \right] \quad (3.10)$$

where r_v is the embedding solution. We decompose the gauge fields in terms of the orthonormal basis ψ_n, ϕ_n as

$$A_\mu(x^\mu, \lambda) = \sum_n B_\mu^{(n)}(x^\mu) \psi_n(\lambda), \quad A_\lambda(x^\mu, \lambda) = \sum_n \varphi^{(n)}(x^\mu) \phi_n(\lambda). \quad (3.11)$$

Then, the field strength takes the following form

$$F_{\mu\nu}(x^\mu, \lambda) = \sum_n F_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}(x^\mu) \psi_n(\lambda), \quad F_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}(x^\mu) = \partial_\mu B_\nu^{(n)}(x^\mu) - \partial_\nu B_\mu^{(n)}(x^\mu),$$

$$F_{\mu\lambda}(x^\mu, \lambda) = \sum_n \left(\partial_\mu \varphi^{(n)}(x) \phi_n(\lambda) - \partial_\lambda \psi_n(\lambda) B_\mu^{(n)} \right). \quad (3.12)$$

With the decomposition, the quadratic part of the Lagrangian for the B_μ field reads

$$\mathcal{L}_B \sim -\frac{\sqrt{g_0}}{4} \sum_{m,n} \left[\left(\frac{R}{U} \right)^{3/2} F_{\mu\nu}^{(n)} F^{(n)\mu\nu} \psi_m \psi_n + \frac{2}{K(\rho)(1 + \dot{r}_v^2)} \dot{\psi}_m \dot{\psi}_n B_\mu B^\mu \right], \quad (3.13)$$

where $\sqrt{g_0} = \left(1 + \frac{U_K^3}{4\rho^3} \right)^2 \lambda^2 \sqrt{1 + \dot{r}_v^2} \left(\frac{L}{U} \right)^{3/2}$. To recover the canonical kinetic term of the gauge field in 4D, we impose the normalization condition for the wave function $\psi(\lambda)$ as

$$(2\pi\alpha')^2 \tilde{T}_6 \int d\lambda \sqrt{g_0} \left(\frac{R}{U} \right)^{3/2} \psi_m \psi_n = \delta_{mn} \quad (3.14)$$

where $\tilde{T}_6 = -T_6 V_2 / g_s$ and $V_2 = \int d\Omega_2 \sqrt{g_{66} g_{77}}$. We will impose a similar condition for $\phi(\lambda)$. The wave function $\psi(\lambda)$ satisfies the following mode equation derived from the quadratic action

$$\partial_\lambda \left(\sqrt{g_0} \frac{\partial_\lambda \psi_n}{K(\rho)(1 + \dot{r}_v^2)} \right) = -\sqrt{g_0} \left(\frac{L}{U} \right)^{3/2} m_n^2 \psi_n \quad (3.15)$$

where $m_n^2 = -q^2$. Then, we obtain

$$(2\pi\alpha')^2 \tilde{T}_6 \int d\lambda \sqrt{g_0} \frac{1}{K(\rho)(1 + \dot{r}_v^2)} \dot{\psi}_m \dot{\psi}_n = m_n^2 \delta_{mn} \quad (3.16)$$

where m_n is the eigenvalue. From (3.14) and (3.16), we have now

$$S_{D6} = -N \int d^4x \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^{(m)} F^{(n)\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m_n^2 B_\mu^{(n)} B^{(n)\mu} \right). \quad (3.17)$$

We rescale the coordinate by U_K to obtain

$$\partial_\lambda \left(\sqrt{g_0} \frac{\partial_\lambda \psi_n}{K(\rho)(1 + \dot{r}_v^2)} \right) = -\frac{\sqrt{g_0}}{U^{3/2}} \frac{9}{4} \frac{m_n^2}{M_{KK}^2} \psi_n. \quad (3.18)$$

To solve this equation, we impose two boundary conditions: at the IR, either $\psi_n(0)$ or $\dot{\psi}_n(0) = 0$, and at the UV, $\psi_n \sim \lambda^\alpha$ with $\alpha \leq 1/2$ from the normalizability condition in (3.14).

Now we consider ϕ_n . The normalization of ϕ_n is similar to (3.16)

$$(2\pi\alpha')^2 \tilde{T}_6 \int d\lambda \sqrt{g_0} \frac{1}{K(\rho)(1 + \dot{r}_v^2)} \phi_m \phi_n = \delta_{mn}. \quad (3.19)$$

As explained below and also in [14], once we set the field as $\phi_n = \dot{\psi}_n/m_n$ for $n \geq 1$, it can be gauged away as a part of B_μ field. However, zero mode is exceptional, which is orthogonal to the other modes

$$(\phi_0, \phi_n) = \frac{(2\pi\alpha')^2}{m_n} \tilde{T}_6 \int d\lambda \sqrt{g_0} \frac{1}{K(\rho)(1 + \dot{r}_v^2)} \phi_0 \dot{\psi}_n = 0 \quad (\text{for } n \geq 1). \quad (3.20)$$

If we take $\phi_0 = CK(\rho)(1 + \dot{r}_v^2)/\sqrt{g_0}$,

$$(\phi_0, \phi_n) = \int_0^\infty d\lambda \dot{\psi}_n = \psi_n(\infty) - \psi_n(0) = 0 \quad (\text{for } n \geq 1). \quad (3.21)$$

Then, the constant C is given by

$$1 = (\phi_0, \phi_0) \rightarrow C = \left((2\pi\alpha')^2 \tilde{T}_6 \int d\lambda \frac{K(\rho)(1 + \dot{r}_v^2)}{\sqrt{g_0}} \right)^{-1/2}. \quad (3.22)$$

The field strength is written as

$$F_{\mu\lambda}(x^\mu, \lambda) = \partial_\mu \varphi^0(x) \phi_0(\lambda) + \sum_n \left(m_n^{-1} \partial_\mu \varphi^{(n)}(x) - B_\mu^{(n)} \right) \dot{\psi}_n(\lambda). \quad (3.23)$$

By gauge transformation, B_μ absorbs $\partial_\mu \varphi^{(n)}$,

$$B_\mu^{(n)} \rightarrow B_\mu^{(n)} + m_n^{-1} \partial_\mu \varphi^{(n)}(x), \quad (3.24)$$

and therefore the action (3.13) becomes

$$S_{D6} = \int d^4x \left[\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \varphi^0 \partial^\mu \varphi^0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^{(m)} F^{(n)\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m_n^2 B_\mu^{(n)} B^{(n)\mu} \right) \right]. \quad (3.25)$$

Note that for the heavy quarkonium system,

$$\begin{aligned}
C &= \left((2\pi\alpha')^2 \tilde{T}_6 \int_0^\infty d\lambda \frac{\sqrt{1 + \dot{r}_v^2}}{\lambda^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4\rho^3} \right)^{-2/3} \right)^{-1/2} \\
&= \left((2\pi\alpha')^2 \tilde{T}_6 \int_0^\infty d\lambda \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right)^{-1/2} = \left((2\pi\alpha')^2 \tilde{T}_6 \frac{1}{\lambda} \Big|_\infty^0 \right)^{-1/2} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

and so $\varphi_0 = 0$ due to (3.22). To impose the UV boundary condition for ψ_n more precisely, we consider the mode equation (3.18) at large λ ,

$$\partial_\lambda(\lambda^2 \partial_\lambda \psi_n) = -\frac{m_n^2}{\lambda} \psi_n. \tag{3.27}$$

With $\psi_n \sim \lambda^\alpha$, we obtain $\alpha(\alpha - 3) = 0$. Since the normalizability condition dictates $\alpha \leq 1/2$, we should choose $\alpha = 0$.

3.4 Numerical results

We solve the mode equations for scalar, pseudo-scalar, and gauge field fluctuations numerically. We first compute the mass of light mesons to fix the model parameter r_∞^l and M_{KK} . Since D4/D6 model has no non-Abelian chiral symmetry but for $U(1)_A$, the pseudo-scalar meson in this model corresponds to η' in QCD [7]. In QCD, however, $U(1)_A$ symmetry is explicitly broken by the axial anomaly, and the observed mass of η' , $m_{\eta'} = 958$ MeV, is much larger compared to the pion or kaon mass. Note that some portion of the η' mass comes from the anomaly effect which scales as N_f/N_c . Since we are working in the large N_c limit, we may use the mass of η' with the anomaly contribution turned-off. So we use non-anomalous η' mass to obtain a rough number for the model input. To this end, we use the mass relation for Goldstone boson obtained in chiral perturbation theory at large N_c [16]:

$$m_\pi^2 = \frac{2m_q \Sigma}{f_\pi^2}, \quad m_{\eta'}^2 = \frac{2\Sigma(2m_q + m_s)}{3f_\pi^2} + \frac{6\tau}{f_\pi^2}, \tag{3.28}$$

where $m_u = m_d \equiv m_q$. The term with τ is from the axial anomaly. Now we take $\tau = 0$ to estimate the η' mass from non-anomalous contribution. With $m_q = 7$ MeV, $m_s = 150$ MeV, $f_\pi = 93$ MeV, and $\Sigma = (230 \text{ MeV})^3$, we obtain $m_\pi \sim 140$ MeV and $m_{\eta'}' \sim 390$ MeV. Note that the mass of $q\bar{q}$ bound state such as ρ meson mass stays almost constant as we increase N_c : for instance the light meson mass at large N_c is extensively studied in a unitarized chiral perturbation theory [17] and also in lattice QCD [18].

We use ρ -meson mass and η' mass in large N_c limit as inputs to fix $r_\infty = 0.191$ and $M_{KK} = 1.039$. Our fitting results are summarized in Table 2. In Fig. 1, we plot the masses of scalar, pseudo-scalar and vector as a function of r_∞ . As observed in [7], for large r_∞ the meson mass becomes degenerate and increases monotonically with r_∞ . This is simply because the equation of motion for scalar, pseudo-scalar and vector for heavy quark system, $r_\infty \gg U_K$, are degenerated.

$$\frac{L^3}{r_\infty} \frac{M^2}{(1 + y^2)^{3/2}} \Psi + \frac{1}{y^2} \partial_y (y^2 \partial_y \Psi) = 0, \tag{3.29}$$




Figure 1: Meson masses for scalar (blue), pseudo-scalar (red), vector mode (dashed). The pseudo-scalar meson mass vanishes when current quark mass goes zero, see red line. For the high enough quark mass, all the meson masses are degenerated because of supersymmetry restoration [7].

where Ψ can be real scalar δr , pseudo-scalar ϕ , or vector ψ , and y is a rescaled coordinate $y = \lambda/r_\infty$. In the equation (3.29), the only scale is

$$M^2 \sim \frac{L^3}{r_\infty} \sim \frac{m_q M_K}{\lambda_t}. \quad (3.30)$$

This means that for the heavy quark system, the fluctuating field has mass being proportional to $\sqrt{\frac{m_q M_K}{\lambda_t}}$. As discussed in [7], the reason for the degeneracy is supersymmetry restoration. They are all in the same supermultiplet and for small m_q limit supersymmetry is broken so their masses split. However, for the large quark mass or large separation between D4 and D6, the embedding is nearly flat, and so D6 brane restores supersymmetry.

Mode	Input (MeV)	M/ M_{KK}	M (MeV)
Ps (η')	390	0.375	390
Rs (σ)		0.918	954
V (ρ)	770	0.741	770

Table 2: Light meson masses to fix free parameters, M_{KK} and r_∞ , in the model.

Now we move on to the heavy quarkonium. To describe the light meson and heavy quarkonium systems on the same footing, we use the value of M_{KK} determined in the light quark sector to calculate the mass of heavy quarkonium. We choose J/ψ mass as an input to fix r_∞ . In Table 3, we list our results on the charmonium with $r_\infty^c = 11.92$, and in Table 4 we show bottomonium masses $r_\infty^b = 108.15$. Note that r_∞ is related to the quark mass parameter of D4/D6 model as¹

$$m_q = \frac{U_K r_\infty}{2\pi l_s^2} = \frac{r_\infty}{9\pi} g_{YM}^2 N_c M_K = \frac{r_\infty}{9\pi} M_K \lambda_t. \quad (3.31)$$

¹As well-known, the quark mass in D4/D6 model could be different from that in QCD by a constant factor. To obtain the constant we need to compare the scalar two point function obtained in D4/D6 model with that in the operator product expansion of QCD, for example see [19].

Mode	Particle data	M/M _{KK}	M(GeV)	Error
Ps (η_c)	2.980 GeV	2.978	3.095	3.72 %
Re (χ)	3.414 GeV	2.979	3.096	9.31 %
V (J/ψ)	3.096 GeV	2.978	3.095	(\star)

Table 3: Charmonium mass.

So far we have tried to study light mesons and heavy quarkonia on the same footing, *i.e.*

Mode	Particle data	M/M _{KK}	M(GeV)	Error
Ps (η_b)	9.389 GeV	9.105	9.46	0.75 %
Rs (χ)	9.859 GeV	9.105	9.46	4.05 %
V (Υ)	9.460 GeV	9.105	9.46	(\star)

Table 4: Bottomonium mass.

using the same value of M_{KK} for both cases. As long as the lowest lying KK mode masses are concerned, this unified approach seems working apart from the axial-vector meson. Note that this defect of degenerate vector and axial-vector mesons is the feature of D4/D6 model itself not that of unified approach. However, the way this attempted unified approach works seems almost guaranteed in the following sense. The light meson masses are mostly inputs to fix the r_∞^l and M_{KK} , while for heavy quarkonia r_∞^l will be fixed to reproduce a heavy quarkonium mass. As in Fig. (1), the masses of heavy quarkonium ($r_\infty^h = 10.72$) are almost degenerate regardless of their quantum numbers, while experimentally those masses are not very different from each other. Therefore, our results on the heavy quarkonium will be within experiments by roughly 10% deviations.

4. Heavy quarkonium in deconfined phase

We consider the meson spectroscopy in deconfining phase. By double Wick rotation, we get the black D4 background which is dual to the deconfining phase,

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= \left(\frac{U}{L}\right)^{3/2} (-f(U)dt^2 + d\vec{x}^2 + d\tau^2) + \left(\frac{R}{L}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{dU^2}{f(U)} + U^2 d\Omega_4^2\right) \\
e^\phi &= g_s \left(\frac{U}{L}\right)^{3/4}, \quad F_4 = dC_3 = \frac{2\pi N_c}{V_4} \epsilon_4, \quad f(U) = 1 - \frac{U_T^3}{U^3}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Here the temperature of the black D4 brane is identified with the temperature of a dual gauge theory

$$\delta t_E = \frac{1}{T}, \quad \delta \tau = \frac{2\pi}{M_{KK}}. \tag{4.2}$$

In terms of these periods, the metric parameters are given by

$$U_K = \left(\frac{4\pi}{3\delta\tau}\right)^2 L^3, \quad U_T = \left(\frac{4\pi}{3\delta t_E}\right)^2 L^3. \tag{4.3}$$

As discussed in [7], there exists first order phase transition between confining and black D4 brane backgrounds; this phase transition is a deconfinement phase transition in field theory side. The critical temperature of the transition is fixed by the condition $\delta\tau = \delta t_E$

$$T_{dec} = \frac{M_K}{2\pi} = \frac{3}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{U_K}{L^3}}. \quad (4.4)$$

Note that the temperature of the gauge theory is given as

$$T = \frac{3}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{U_T}{L^3}} = \frac{\bar{M}}{\sqrt{r_\infty}}, \quad \text{where } \bar{M} = \sqrt{\frac{9}{4\pi} \frac{m_q M_{KK}}{\lambda_t}}. \quad (4.5)$$

4.1 Embedding

The D6 brane embedding in the black D4 background is described by $r(\lambda)$

$$ds^2 = \left(\frac{U}{L}\right)^{3/2} \left[-f(U)dt^2 + d\vec{x}^2 \right] + K(\rho) \left[(1 + \dot{r}^2)d\lambda^2 + \lambda^2 d\Omega_2^2 \right] \quad (4.6)$$

The DBI action for $r(\lambda)$ is then

$$\begin{aligned} S_{D6} &= -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^6 l_s^7} \int d^7\sigma e^{-\phi} \sqrt{\det g} \\ &= -T_{D6} \int d^7\sigma \sqrt{h} \lambda^2 \sqrt{f(U)(1 + \dot{r}^2)} \left(1 + \frac{U_T^3}{4\rho^3}\right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

From this, we obtain the equation of motion for the embedding function $r(\lambda)$

$$\partial_\lambda \left[\left(1 - \frac{U_T^6}{16\rho^6}\right) \frac{\lambda^2 \dot{r}}{\sqrt{1 + \dot{r}^2}} \right] - \frac{3U_T^6}{8\rho^8} \sqrt{1 + \dot{r}^2} \lambda^2 r = 0. \quad (4.8)$$

The solution of the embedding equation was extensively studied in [7]. By comparing the energy density of various embedding solutions, the authors of [7] found that there is a first order phase transition at $r_\infty \sim U_K$. This transition, from Minkowski to black hole embedding, occurs when the D6 brane touches the black hole horizon at sufficiently large temperature. The transition temperature for charm quark is given by $T_{fund} \sim 1.0202 \bar{M}_c$, where $\bar{M}_c^2 = \frac{r_\infty^5}{4\pi^2} M_{KK}^2 = (0.571 \text{ GeV})^2$. With the parameters fixed in confining phase, we obtain $T_{dec} \sim 165$ MeV and $T_{fund} \sim 582$ MeV. We summarize the different embedding solutions below.

T	Embedding	Energy spectrum
$T < T_{dec}$	Confining emb.	discrete
$T_{dec} < T < T_{fund}$	Minkowski emb.	discrete
$T_{fund} < T$	Blackhole emb.	continuous

Table 5: Classification of embeddings

We note, however, that there is no priori reason for $T_{dec} < T_{fund}$, which is satisfied only when

$$m_q^{f.s.} > \frac{0.98}{9\pi} \lambda_t M_{KK} \simeq 36 \lambda_t \text{ MeV}. \quad (4.9)$$

As a consequence, there is no Minkowski embedding for the light quark system, meaning that light mesons will be melted away immediately when the temperature exceeds the deconfinement temperature $T > T_{dec}$. For the heavy quark system we expect that there are discrete meson spectra even in the deconfining phase since m_q^h is big enough to satisfy the condition.

4.2 Fluctuating fields as mesons

In this section we study temperature dependent meson masses. The temperature dependence of scalar and pseudo-scalar heavy quarkonia are already considered in [8], and now we extend the work by including vector mesons. In the high-temperature deconfining phase, there is only one relevant scale, T/M , which is nothing but the separation between D4 and D6 branes. Therefore varying temperature is equivalent to varying the D4-D6 separation with a fixed quark mass.

4.2.1 Scalar and pseudo-scalar fluctuations

Scalar and pseudo-scalar mesons are described by the D6 brane fluctuating on the transverse direction, $r(\lambda) = r_v(\lambda) + \delta r(t, \lambda)$, $\phi(t, \lambda)$. The quadratic part of Lagrangian after rescaling by U_T is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{sc} \sim & \frac{\sqrt{h}}{2\sqrt{1+\dot{r}_v^2}} \lambda^2 \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{16\rho_v^6}\right) \frac{(\partial_\lambda \delta r)^2}{1+\dot{r}_v^2} + \frac{3r_v \dot{r}_v}{8\rho_v^8} \partial_\lambda (\delta r^2) + \left\{ \frac{3(1+\dot{r}_v^2)(\lambda^2 - 7r_v^2)}{8\rho_v^{10}} \right. \right. \\ & - \left(1 + \frac{1}{4\rho_v^3}\right)^{1/3} \frac{4}{1-4\rho_v^3} \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{4\rho_v^3}\right)^2 \tilde{w}_r^2 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{4\rho_v^3}\right)^2 \tilde{k}_r^2 \right) \Big\} \delta r^2 + r_v^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{16\rho_v^6}\right) (\partial_\lambda \phi)^2 \\ & \left. - \left(1 + \frac{1}{4\rho_v^3}\right)^{1/3} \frac{4(1+\dot{r}_v^2)r_v^2}{1-4\rho_v^3} \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{4\rho_v^3}\right)^2 \tilde{w}_\phi^2 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{4\rho_v^3}\right)^2 \tilde{k}_\phi^2 \right) \phi^2 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

The equation of motion for the bulk scalar field (δr) and for pseudo-scalar (ϕ),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \partial_\lambda \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{16\rho_v^6}\right) \frac{\lambda^2 \partial_\lambda \delta r}{(1+\dot{r}_v^2)^{3/2}} \right] - \left[\frac{3\lambda^2(\lambda^2 - 7r_v^2)\sqrt{1+\dot{r}_v^2}}{8\rho_v^{10}} - \frac{3}{8} \partial_\lambda \left(\frac{\lambda^2}{\rho_v^8} \frac{r_v \dot{r}_v}{\sqrt{1+\dot{r}_v^2}} \right) \right] \delta r \\ + \left(1 + \frac{1}{4\rho_v^3}\right)^{1/3} \frac{4\lambda^2}{\sqrt{1+\dot{r}_v^2}(1-4\rho_v^3)} \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{4\rho_v^3}\right)^2 \tilde{w}_r^2 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{4\rho_v^3}\right)^2 \tilde{k}_r^2 \right) \delta r \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

where $\tilde{w}^2 = \frac{L^3}{U_T} w$, $\tilde{k}^2 = \frac{L^3}{U_T} k^2$, and the equation of motion for the pseudo-scalar is

$$0 = \partial_\lambda \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{16\rho_v^6}\right) \frac{\lambda^2 r_v^2}{\sqrt{1+\dot{r}_v^2}} \partial_\lambda \phi \right] + \left(1 + \frac{1}{4\rho_v^3}\right)^{1/3} \frac{4\lambda^2 \sqrt{1+\dot{r}_v^2} r_v^2}{1-4\rho_v^3} \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{4\rho_v^3}\right)^2 \tilde{w}_\phi^2 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{4\rho_v^3}\right)^2 \tilde{k}_\phi^2 \right) \phi. \quad (4.12)$$

Since there is no Lorentz symmetry due to the temperature, we define the thermal meson mass as $\partial_t^2 \phi = m_\phi^2 \phi$, *i.e.*, at vanishing spatial momentum. The fields $\phi, \delta r$ have two linearly independent solutions at $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ as λ^0, λ^{-1} . Here we choose the asymptotic solution as




Figure 2: The temperature dependent meson masses. Real scalar (left) and pseudo-scalar (right) masses in GeV unit. In confined phase the temperature dependence is trivially constant which is smooth at the deconfinement transition temperature, $T_{dec} \sim 160$ MeV. Note that the disjoint at T_{dec} denotes that we use different backgrounds below and above T_{dec} . Above the melting temperature, $T_{fund} \sim 571$ MeV, there is no discrete spectrum for the meson.

$1/\lambda$ to have a normalizable mode. Note that

$$M_\phi^2 = \frac{L^3}{U_T} m_\phi^2 = \left(\frac{3}{4\pi}\right)^2 \frac{m_\phi^2}{T^2} = \left(\frac{3}{4\pi}\right)^2 \frac{m_\phi^2}{\bar{M}^2 r_\infty}$$

$$m_\phi = \frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{\bar{M}}{\sqrt{r_\infty}} M_\phi. \quad (4.13)$$

The temperature dependent masses are shown in Fig. 2. Now we calculate the the disper-




Figure 3: Left : Dispersion relation for the real scalar with various temperature, $T = (1.15, 2.6, 3, 3.24) T_{dec}$ from top to bottom. Middle: $\frac{dw}{dk}$ as a function of k . Right: The group velocity $v_g = \frac{dw}{dk}|_{k \rightarrow \infty}$ as a function of temperature.

sion relation of heavy quarkonia, which is important to understand the dissociation and the screening mass of heavy quarkonium. The result in Fig. 3 shows that for sufficiently low temperature but larger than T_{dec} , the group velocity v_g is approaching one, and it is decreasing with increasing temperature. Note that in D3/D7 model the dispersion relations of mesons was studied [20].

4.2.2 Gauge field fluctuations

Now we move on to the gauge field to study vector mass in deconfined phase. The relevant

Lagrangian is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_G &= \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \sqrt{1 + \dot{r}^2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{U_T^3}{4\rho_v^3} \right)^2 \right) \left(F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + 2F_{\lambda\mu} F^{\lambda\mu} \right) \\ &= \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \sqrt{1 + \dot{r}^2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{U_T^3}{4\rho_v^3} \right)^2 \right) \left(g^{\nu\beta} g^{\mu\alpha} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta} + 2g^{\lambda\lambda} g^{\mu\alpha} F_{\lambda\mu} F_{\lambda\alpha} \right). \quad (4.14)\end{aligned}$$

Transverse vector

The vector fluctuations at finite temperature are classified into transverse and longitudinal modes due to lack of Lorentz invariance. We first consider the transverse part. Assuming that the boundary gauge field propagates only in x direction, we obtain the Lagrangian for transverse vector fluctuations as

$$\mathcal{L}_G^T = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g_0} \left[\left(\frac{L}{U} \right)^{3/2} \left(-\frac{1}{f(U)} (\partial_t A_y)^2 + (\partial_x A_y)^2 \right) + \frac{1}{K(1 + \dot{r}_v)} (\partial_\lambda A_y)^2 \right]. \quad (4.15)$$

The equation of motion for the transverse vector is

$$\partial_\lambda \left(\frac{\sqrt{g_0}}{K(1 + \dot{r}_v)} \partial_\lambda A_y \right) + \sqrt{g_0} \left(\frac{L}{U} \right)^{3/2} \left(-\frac{\partial_t^2}{f} + \partial_x^2 \right) A_y = 0, \quad (4.16)$$

where $\sqrt{g_0} = \lambda^2 \sqrt{1 + \dot{r}^2} \left[1 - \left(\frac{U_T^3}{4\rho_v^3} \right)^2 \right] \left(\frac{L}{U} \right)^{3/2}$. We calculate the dispersion relation and




Figure 4: Dispersion relation for the transverse vector with $T = 1.04, 2.91, 3.37 T_{dec}$ from bottom.

group velocity to obtain Fig. 4. Note that $w \sim k^2$ for small k and $w \sim k$ in large k region.

Longitudinal vector

We decompose the gauge field into the ortho-normal basis

$$A_i = \sum_n B_i^{(n)} \psi_n, \quad A_\lambda = \sum_n \varphi^{(n)} \phi_n \quad (4.17)$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_G &= -\frac{\sqrt{g_0}}{4} \left[\left(\frac{L}{U} \right)^{3/2} \frac{F_{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta} \eta^{\mu\alpha} \eta^{\nu\beta}}{f} + 2 \frac{(\partial_\lambda A_i)^2}{K(1 + \dot{r}^2)} \right] \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{g_0}}{4} \left[\left(\frac{L}{U} \right)^{3/2} \frac{\psi_m \psi_n}{f} F_{\mu\nu}^{(m)} F^{(n)\mu\nu} + 2 \frac{\dot{\psi}_m \dot{\psi}_n}{K(1 + \dot{r}^2)} B_i^{(m)} B^{(n)i} \right]. \quad (4.18)\end{aligned}$$




Figure 5: The temperature dependent vector meson masses in GeV unit. Note that the disjoint at T_{dec} denotes that we use different backgrounds below and above T_{dec} .

Again, we define the thermal meson mass at zero momentum, $\partial_i = 0$ or $k_i = 0$. Then the wave-function of the gauge field satisfies

$$\int d\lambda \, 2\lambda^2 \left[1 - \left(\frac{U_T^3}{4\rho_v^3} \right)^2 \right] \left(\frac{L}{U} \right)^{3/2} \frac{\dot{\psi}_m \dot{\psi}_n}{K \sqrt{1 + \dot{r}^2}} = m_n^2 \delta_{mn} \quad (4.19)$$

with the normalization condition

$$\int d\lambda \frac{\lambda^2}{f} \sqrt{1 + \dot{r}^2} \left[1 - \left(\frac{U_T^3}{4\rho_v^3} \right)^2 \right] \left(\frac{L}{U} \right)^3 \psi_m \psi_n = \delta_{mn}. \quad (4.20)$$

From these, we obtain the equation of motion for the vector mesons

$$\partial_\lambda \left[\left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{4\rho_v^3} \right)^2 \right) \left(\frac{L}{U} \right)^{3/2} \frac{\lambda^2}{K \sqrt{1 + \dot{r}^2}} \partial_\lambda \psi_m \right] + \frac{\lambda^2 \sqrt{1 + \dot{r}^2}}{U^3} \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{4\rho_v^3} \right)^2 \right) \frac{M_V^2}{f} \psi_m = 0, \quad (4.21)$$

where $M_V^2 = \frac{L^3}{U_T} m_V^2$ is dimensionless. The meson mass is given by

$$m_V = \sqrt{\frac{U_T}{L^3}} M_V. \quad (4.22)$$

We solve the mode equation numerically and show the result in Fig. 5. We impose $\psi_m \sim 1/\lambda$ at large λ due to the normalizability.

As shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 5, the mass changes smoothly from confining to deconfining phase. This may be different from previous studies based on a bottom-up AdS/QCD model [9], QCD sum rule approach [21], and holographic heavy potential [22]. Note, however, that the maximum shift of charmonium mass at $T = 1.05T_c$ is about 200 MeV [21], which is roughly 6.7% of the mass of J/ψ and may be too small to be explained by our approach based on large N_c approximation.

4.3 Remarks

Now, we discuss the relevance of the D4/D6 model for light mesons and heavy quarkonia. One of our basic goals is to study both light mesons and heavy quarkonia on the same footing based on a single D-brane model with a common energy scale M_{KK} . Another one is to study heavy quarkonium properties in the high-temperature deconfining phase. Apart from non-Abelian chiral symmetry, the D4/D6 model might be a good candidate for these purposes since it has both confining and deconfining phases and includes quark mass through embeddings naturally. Especially for a heavy quark system, the D4/D6 model shows some similarity with heavy quarkonium physics. First, the non-Abelian chiral symmetry is not an issue for heavy quarks. Second, the D4/D6 model has one more transition at $T = T_{fund}$ other than the deconfinement transition, which may be associated with the dissociation of heavy quarkonia at high temperature. A positive clue for this is that T_{fund} is proportional to the square root of a quark, meaning that the charmonium melts relatively at low temperature compared to the bottomonium. For instance, the dissociation temperature for a bottomonium Υ is $\sim 2.7T_c$, while that for a charmonium J/ψ is $\sim 1.3T_c$ [23].

We finish this section with a summary of the discussion in [8] on the usefulness of Dq/Dp systems in studying meson bound states. A Dq/Dp system may be good for $s\bar{s}$ bound states at high temperature since the mesons in the Dq/Dp system are deeply bounded. Even above T_{fund} , there still exists some broad peak in the spectral function of two-point correlators of mesons. Therefore T_{fund} is slightly smaller than the dissociation temperature of the heavy quarkonium. The D4/D6 model may describe some exotic gauge theories. However, there exist certain properties of heavy quarkonia in the quark-gluon plasma that could be understood in the D4/D6 model.

5. Summary

We re-analyzed the D4/D6 model to study holographic light vector mesons and the properties of heavy quarkonium in confining and deconfining phases. To treat the light mesons and heavy quarkonium on the same footing, we used the same compactification scale M_{KK} in both systems. In confined phase, we observed that the meson spectroscopy of the light meson and heavy quarkonium could be described with a single M_{KK} . We found that like scalar and pseudo-scalar mesons the vector meson mass is linearly proportional to the square root of the quark mass, when the quark mass is large. With a M_{KK} fixed by light meson masses, we calculated the mass of heavy quarkonium in confining and deconfining phases. Unlike previous studies based on a bottom-up AdS/QCD model [9], QCD sum rule approach [21], and holographic heavy potential [22], we found that the mass of heavy quarkonium changes smoothly from confining to deconfining phase. However, since the maximum shift of charmonium mass at $T = 1.05T_c$ is about 200 MeV [21], which is roughly 6.7% of the mass of J/ψ , it is not conclusive if our results are really different from a previous study. We also obtained the in-medium dispersion relation for heavy quarkonium, which is important to understand the dissociation and the screening mass of heavy quarkonium.

Certainly there are many things to be improved in our study to be more close to QCD. We list some of them here. Surely chiral symmetry should be the first one. As well known, non-Abelian chiral symmetry is essential to understand light mesons, and it is also important for heavy-light system due to the light quark. Second thing is how to include heavy-light meson in this picture with correct chiral symmetry and heavy quark spin symmetry.

Acknowledgments

Y.K. acknowledges the Max Planck Society(MPG), the Korea Ministry of Education, Science and Technology(MEST), Gyeongsangbuk-Do and Pohang City for the support of the Independent Junior Research Group at the Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics(APCTP). The work of SJS is supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MEST) (R11-2005-021). SJS is also supported by the WCU project (R33-2008-000-10087-0) and by NRF Grant R01-2007-000-10214-0.

References

- [1] N. Brambilla *et al.* [Quarkonium Working Group], Eur. Phys. J. **C71**, 1534 (2011); F. Fleuret, Nucl. Phys. A **827**, 386C (2009); H. Satz, J. Phys. G **36**, 064011 (2009) [arXiv:0812.3829 [hep-ph]]; P. P. Bhaduri, P. Hegde, H. Satz and P. Tribedy, Lect. Notes Phys. **785**, 179 (2010) [arXiv:0812.3856 [hep-ph]].
- [2] E. Eichten, K. Gottfried, T. Kinoshita, K. D. Lane and T. M. Yan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **36**, 500 (1976) ; E. Eichten, K. Gottfried, T. Kinoshita, K. D. Lane and T. M. Yan, Phys. Rev. D **21**, 203 (1980).
- [3] T. Matsui and H. Satz, Phys. Lett. B **178**, 416 (1986).
- [4] M. Asakawa and T. Hatsuda, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 012001 (2004) [arXiv:hep-lat/0308034].
- [5] S. Datta, F. Karsch, P. Petreczky and I. Wetzorke, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 094507 (2004) [arXiv:hep-lat/0312037].
- [6] J. M. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998) [Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38**, 1113 (1999)] [arXiv:hep-th/9711200].
- [7] M. Kruczenski, D. Mateos, R. C. Myers and D. J. Winters, JHEP **0405**, 041 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0311270].
- [8] D. Mateos, R. C. Myers and R. M. Thomson, JHEP **0705**, 067 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0701132].
- [9] Y. Kim, J. P. Lee and S. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 114008 (2007) [arXiv:hep-ph/0703172].
- [10] A. Karch, E. Katz, D. T. Son and M. A. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 015005 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0602229].
- [11] M. Fujita, K. Fukushima, T. Misumi and M. Murata, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 035001 (2009) [arXiv:0903.2316 [hep-ph]]; M. Fujita, T. Kikuchi, K. Fukushima, T. Misumi and M. Murata, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 065024 (2010) [arXiv:0911.2298 [hep-ph]]; H. R. Grigoryan, P. M. Hohler, and M. A. Stephanov, e-Print: arXiv:1003.1138 [hep-ph]

- [12] J. Erlich, E. Katz, D. T. Son, M. A. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 261602 (2005).
[arXiv:hep-ph/0501128].
- [13] L. Da Rold and A. Pomarol, Nucl. Phys. B **721**, 79 (2005)
- [14] T. Sakai and S. Sugimoto, Prog. Theor. Phys. **113**, 843 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0412141];
T. Sakai and S. Sugimoto, Prog. Theor. Phys. **114**, 1083 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0507073].
- [15] S. Datta, F. Karsch, P. Petreczky, and I. Wetzorke, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 094507 (2004).
- [16] N. J. Evans, S. D. H. Hsu and M. Schwetz, Phys. Lett. B **382**, 138 (1996)
[arXiv:hep-ph/9605267].
- [17] J. R. Pelaez, J. R. Pelaez, AIP Conf. Proc. **688**, 45 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0307018].
- [18] L. Del Debbio, B. Lucini, A. Patella and C. Pica, JHEP **0803**, 062 (2008) [arXiv:0712.3036
[hep-th]]; G. S. Bali and F. Bursa, JHEP **0809**, 110 (2008) [arXiv:0806.2278 [hep-lat]].
- [19] L. Da Rold and A. Pomarol, JHEP **0601**, 157 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0510268].
- [20] Q. J. Ejaz, T. Faulkner, H. Liu, K. Rajagopal, and U. A. Wiedemann, JHEP **0804**, 089
(2008).
- [21] K. Morita and S. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 022301 (2008) [arXiv:0704.2021 [nucl-th]].
- [22] Y. Kim, B. H. Lee, C. Park and S. J. Sin, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 105016 (2009) [arXiv:0808.1143
[hep-th]].
- [23] P. Bicudo, J. Seixas and M. Cardoso, arXiv:0906.2676 [hep-ph].