

Electroweak Theory with a Minimal Length

Martin Kober*

*Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies (FIAS),
Institut für Theoretische Physik, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität,
Ruth-Moufang-Strasse 1, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany*

(Dated: February 24, 2024)

According to the introduction of a minimal length to quantum field theory which is directly related to a generalized uncertainty principle the implementation of the gauge principle becomes much more intricated. It has been shown in another paper how gauge theories have to be extended in general, if there is assumed the existence of a minimal length. In this paper this generalization of the description of gauge theories is applied to the case of Yang-Mills theories with gauge group $SU(N)$ to consider especially the application to the electroweak theory as it appears in the standard model. The modifications of the lepton-, Higgs- and gauge field sector of the extended Lagrangian of the electroweak theory maintaining local gauge invariance under $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ transformations are investigated. There appear additional interaction terms between the leptons or the Higgs particle respectively with the photon and the W- and Z-bosons as well as additional self-interaction terms of these gauge bosons themselves. It is remarkable that in the quark sector where the full gauge group of the standard model, $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$, has to be considered there arise coupling terms between the gluons und the W- and Z-bosons which means that the electroweak theory is not separated from quantum chromodynamics anymore.

I. INTRODUCTION

Any approach to a consistent formulation of a quantum theory of gravity seems to imply the existence of a minimal length scale in nature. Through the introduction of a generalized uncertainty principle the consequence of such a minimal length to relativistic quantum field theories can be described [1],[2],[3]. The assumption of a generalized uncertainty principle corresponds to an extension of the usual Heisenbergian commutation relation between the position operator and the momentum operator in quantum mechanics. This means that the commutator between the position operator and the momentum operator is not independent of the position operator and the momentum operator anymore. If the commutator depends on a function of the momentum operator, this implies that there exists an additional minimal uncertainty of position itself and not only between position and momentum and this corresponds to the introduction of a minimal length. Of course, the extension of the commutation relation between the position operator and the momentum operator causes a modification of the expression describing the momentum operator in position space. Inserting the modified momentum operator to quantum theoretical field equations leads to a corresponding modification of the dynamics they describe and in this sense to an extended formulation of these quantum field theories. There have been explored many aspects of quantum mechanics and quantum field theory [2],[3],[4],[5],[6],[7],[8],[9],[10],[11],[12],[13],[14],[15],[16],[17],[18],[19],[20],[21],[22] as well as many issues concerning gravity [23],[24],[25],[26],[27],[28],[29],[30],[31],[32],[33],[34],[35],[36],[37],[38],[39],[40],[41],[42],[43],[44],[45],[46],[47],[48] under incorporation of a generalized uncertainty principle. Another very important question concerning the incorporation of a minimal length to quantum field theory is the formulation of realistic interaction theories as they are delivered by the standard model of particle physics. Since all realistic quantum field theories containing a description of interactions are formulated as gauge theories and the gauge principle is therefore a constitutive ingredient of these theories, it is a precondition for the treatment of the interaction theories of the standard model under incorporation of a minimal length to consider the relation between the generalized uncertainty principle on the one hand and the gauge principle on the other hand. In [8] the concern of gauge invariance in the context of quantum electrodynamics with a minimal length induced by a generalized uncertainty principle has already been treated. A general description of the incorporation of the generalized uncertainty principle to gauge theories has been given in [49] and there have been considered the special cases of quantum electrodynamics and the $SO(3,1)$ gauge description of gravity as well. It is inevitable to perform a series expansion, because the representation of the momentum operator in position space contains an infinite number of derivative terms, which all have to be replaced by covariant derivatives to maintain invariance under local gauge transformations. In [49] there has been used a series expansion in the modification param-

*Electronic address: kober@fias.uni-frankfurt.de; Electronic address: kober@th.physik.uni-frankfurt.de

eter of the generalized uncertainty principle in contrast to [8] where has been used a series expansion in the product of the gauge coupling constant and the modification parameter. A series expansion in the modification parameter implies for abelian as well as non abelian gauge theories additional interaction terms between the matter field and the gauge field as well as additional self-interaction terms of the gauge field already in a calculation to the first order. In the present paper the consideration of gauge theories under incorporation of a generalized uncertainty principle [49] shall be applied to another realistic quantum field theory, namely the electroweak theory of the standard model [50],[51]. A precondition for the formulation of the electroweak theory under incorporation of a generalized uncertainty principle is the consideration of general Yang-Mills gauge theories with gauge group $SU(N)$ [52],[53], since the electroweak theory is based on gauge group $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$. It is a very important property of the application of the gauge principle to quantum field theories under incorporation of a generalized uncertainty principle that the combination of two gauge groups leads to an intersection of the corresponding gauge potentials because of the appearing products of covariant derivatives. This has not only the consequence that the photon couples to the W- and Z-bosons in such a generalized version of the electroweak theory, but it also causes a combination of the electroweak gauge potential with the gauge potential of quantum chromodynamics in the presence of the full gauge group of the standard model, $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$, as it has to be considered in the quark sector of the standard model.

The paper is structured as follows: First there is given a short repetition of the incorporation of the generalized uncertainty principle to free field equations and the corresponding generalization of the gauge principle in general. After this there is investigated the case of general Yang-Mills theories with gauge group $SU(N)$. This is the precondition to consider the special realistic case of the electroweak interaction with gauge group $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ as it is described in the standard model. There is investigated the generalized Lagrangian for the leptons and their interaction with the gauge fields describing the photon, the Z- and the W-bosons as well as the corresponding generalized Lagrangian describing these fields themselves. The extended Lagrangians reflect a much more complex interaction structure. The same holds for the Higgs sector. Since all additional terms contain further derivative or gauge potential factors, the masses generated by spontaneous symmetry breaking remain unchanged. After this there is considered the quark sector where arises the mentioned intersection between the electroweak and the strong interaction which means that the gauge bosons of the electroweak theory are coupled to the gluons. A short consideration of possible phenomenological consequences of the presented theory is given at the end.

II. GENERALIZED UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE, MODIFIED DIRAC EQUATION AND GENERALIZED GAUGE PRINCIPLE

At the beginning there will be given a short review of the implementation of a minimal length to quantum field theory by postulating a generalized uncertainty principle between the position operator and the momentum operator and its incorporation to gauge field theories in general. If the generalization of the uncertainty principle shall lead to a minimal length but not spoil translation invariance, in the relativistic case where the time coordinate is included it has to be of the following shape:

$$[\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{p}_\nu] = i\delta_\nu^\mu [1 + \beta \hat{p}^\rho \hat{p}_\rho] + 2i\beta \hat{p}^\mu \hat{p}_\nu, \quad (1)$$

where β denotes the parameter which determines the intensity of the modification of the uncertainty principle. Usually there is assumed that β is directly related to the Planck scale. The generalized uncertainty principle leads to the following generalized uncertainty relation between position and momentum, if it is considered for the index of the position operator being equal to the index of the momentum operator:

$\Delta x^\mu \Delta p_\mu \geq \frac{1}{2} (1 + \beta \Delta p^\rho \Delta p_\rho + \beta \langle p^\rho \rangle \langle p_\rho \rangle) + i (\beta \Delta p^\mu \Delta p_\mu + \beta \langle p^\mu \rangle \langle p_\mu \rangle) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + 3\beta \Delta p^\mu \Delta p_\mu + 3\beta \langle p^\rho \rangle \langle p_\rho \rangle)$. This generalized uncertainty relation can be written as

$$\Delta p^\mu = \frac{\Delta x^\mu}{3\beta} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta x^\mu}{3\beta}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{3\beta} - \langle p^\rho \rangle \langle p_\rho \rangle}, \quad (2)$$

what leads to the minimal position uncertainty in Minkowski space: $l_s = \Delta x_{min}^\mu = \sqrt{3\beta} \sqrt{1 + 3\beta \langle p^\rho \rangle \langle p_\rho \rangle}$, from which the smallest length can be derived:

$$l_s = \sqrt{3\beta}, \quad (3)$$

if there is made the assumption that $\hbar = c = 1$ as it is done throughout this paper. To obtain the representation of the momentum operator in position space corresponding to the modified uncertainty principle (1) there has to be

performed a series expansion. A consideration to the first order yields the following representations of the position operator and the momentum operator in position space:

$$\hat{x}^\mu = x^\mu \quad , \quad \hat{p}_\mu = -i(1 - \beta \partial^\rho \partial_\rho) \partial_\mu + \mathcal{O}(\beta^2). \quad (4)$$

Inserting the expression for the momentum operator in (4) to the Lagrangian corresponding to the Dirac equation yields

$$\mathcal{L}'_D = \bar{\psi} [i(1 - \beta \partial^\rho \partial_\rho) \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m] \psi, \quad (5)$$

where the γ^μ denote the Dirac matrices and $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$. If there is postulated invariance with respect to local gauge transformations of a certain gauge group, also the additional derivatives appearing in (5) have to be replaced by covariant derivatives to maintain local gauge invariance, since they also act on the local unitary transformation operator $U(x)$:

$$(1 - \beta \partial^\rho \partial_\rho) \partial_\mu \rightarrow (1 - \beta D^\rho D_\rho) D_\mu, \quad (6)$$

implying a transition $\mathcal{L}'_D \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'_m$ to the following generalized matter Lagrangian containing the coupling of the matter field to the gauge field which exhibits a more complicated structure now:

$$\mathcal{L}'_m = \bar{\psi} [i(1 - \beta D^\rho D_\rho) \gamma^\mu D_\mu - m] \psi. \quad (7)$$

Since the additional term introduced by the generalized uncertainty principle transforms according to

$$i\beta \bar{\psi} D^\rho D_\rho \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi \rightarrow i\beta \bar{\psi} U^\dagger(x) U(x) D^\rho U^\dagger(x) U(x) D_\rho U^\dagger(x) \gamma^\mu U(x) D_\mu U^\dagger(x) U(x) \psi = i\beta \bar{\psi} D^\rho D_\rho \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi, \quad (8)$$

the Lagrangian (7) is invariant under local gauge transformations. It has been used in (8) that $U^\dagger(x)U(x) = \mathbf{1}$ and that $U(x)$ either commutes with γ^μ , if $U(x)$ does not provide a transformation referring to space-time, or γ^μ transforms according to $\gamma^\mu \rightarrow U(x)\gamma^\mu U^\dagger(x)$. Therefore a generalized covariant derivative in accordance with (6) can be introduced:

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu \equiv (1 - \beta D^\rho D_\rho) D_\mu = [1 - \beta(\partial^\rho \mathbf{1} + iA^\rho)(\partial_\rho \mathbf{1} + iA_\rho)](\partial_\mu \mathbf{1} + iA_\mu), \quad (9)$$

which behaviour under local gauge transformations equals because of (8) to the one of the usual covariant derivative meaning that

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu \rightarrow U(x) \mathcal{D}_\mu U^\dagger(x). \quad (10)$$

Accordingly, there has also to be defined a generalized field strength tensor for the gauge field, which is done by replacing the usual covariant derivative by the generalized covariant derivative (9) in the corresponding commutator:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = -i[\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu]. \quad (11)$$

This general idea of the incorporation of the gauge principle to quantum field theories with a minimal length as well as its manifestation in the special cases of electrodynamics and gravity have been considered in [49].

III. YANG-MILLS THEORY UNDER INCORPORATION OF A GENERALIZED GAUGE PRINCIPLE

The generalized appearance of gauge field theories arising from the generalization of the uncertainty principle and the corresponding modification of free field equations as it has been described above will now be regarded with respect to the special case of Yang-Mills gauge groups as they are used within the formulation of the standard model to consider specifically the electroweak theory in the next section. The Lagrangians for the gauge field and the matter

field being invariant under gauge transformations induced by the unitary operator $U(x) = \exp[i\alpha^a(x)T^a]$ will be calculated in terms of the gauge potential $A_\mu^a T^a$ to the first order in the modification parameter β . The T^a describe the generators of the group fulfilling the Lie-Algebra $[T^a, T^b] = if^{abc}T^c$, where the f^{abc} denote the structure constants of the group. In this special case of a Yang-Mills theory there can be built the following generalized Lagrangian of the gauge field by using (9) and (11) $\mathcal{L}'_{YMg} = \frac{1}{4}\text{tr}[\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}]$ and thus the complete Lagrangian of the modified Yang-Mills gauge theory reads

$$\mathcal{L}'_{YM} = \bar{\psi}(i\gamma^\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu - m)\psi - \frac{1}{4}\text{tr}[\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}], \quad (12)$$

if the gauge potential in (9) is identified with the Yang-Mills potential with respect to (12). The generalized Lagrangian (12) for a Yang-Mills gauge theory under incorporation of a generalized uncertainty principle shall now be explored explicitly. If the matter sector (7) of the generalized Lagrangian (12) is calculated to the first order in the modification parameter β for a general $SU(N)$ gauge group, one obtains the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}'_{YMm} &= \bar{\psi}(i\gamma^\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu - m)\psi = \bar{\psi}[i(1 - \beta D^\rho D_\rho)\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m]\psi \\ &= \bar{\psi}\{i\gamma^\mu [1 - \beta(\partial^\rho \mathbf{1} + iA_\rho^a T^a)(\partial_\rho \mathbf{1} + iA_\rho^a T^a)](\partial_\mu \mathbf{1} + iA_\mu^a T^a) - m\}\psi \\ &= \bar{\psi}\{i\gamma^\mu [\partial_\mu \mathbf{1} + iA_\mu^a T^a - \beta(\partial^\rho \partial_\rho \partial_\mu \mathbf{1} + i\partial^\rho A_\rho^a T^a \partial_\mu + 2iA_\rho^a T^a \partial^\rho \partial_\mu \\ &\quad - A_\rho^a A_\rho^b T^a T^b \partial_\mu + i\partial^\rho \partial_\rho A_\mu^a T^a + 2i\partial_\rho A_\mu^a T^a \partial^\rho + iA_\mu^a T^a \partial^\rho \partial_\rho - \partial^\rho A_\rho^a A_\mu^b T^a T^b \\ &\quad - 2A_\rho^a \partial^\rho A_\mu^b T^a T^b - 2A_\rho^a A_\mu^b T^a T^b \partial^\rho - iA_\rho^a A_\rho^c A_\mu^b T^a T^b T^c)] - m\}\psi. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

This Lagrangian of course describes a much more complicated interaction structure between the matter field and the Yang-Mills gauge field than the usual Lagrangian giving rise to new vertices where more than one gauge boson interact with the matter field. To determine the Lagrangian of the gauge field sector explicitly, the shape of the generalized field strength tensor $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$ defined in (11) has to be calculated first. In [49] there has been shown that the generalized field strength tensor $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$ expressed by the usual field strength tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ and the usual covariant derivative D_μ to the first order in β reads in the general case without reference to a special gauge group

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = -i[\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu] = F_{\mu\nu} - 2\beta D^\rho D_\rho F_{\mu\nu} - \beta(D^\rho F_{\mu\rho} D_\nu - D^\rho F_{\nu\rho} D_\mu) - \beta(F_{\mu\rho} D^\rho D_\nu - F_{\nu\rho} D^\rho D_\mu) + \mathcal{O}(\beta^2). \quad (14)$$

The corresponding Lagrangian of the Yang-Mills gauge field reads accordingly

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}'_{YMg} &= \frac{1}{4}\text{tr}[\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}] = \frac{1}{4}\text{tr}\{F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - \beta[2F_{\mu\nu}(D^\rho D_\rho F^{\mu\nu}) + F_{\mu\nu}(F^{\mu\rho}D_\rho D^\nu - F^{\nu\rho}D_\rho D^\mu) + F_{\mu\nu}(D_\rho F^{\mu\rho}D^\nu \\ &\quad - D_\rho F^{\nu\rho}D^\mu) + 2(D^\rho D_\rho F_{\mu\nu})F^{\mu\nu} + (F_{\mu\rho}D^\rho D_\nu - F_{\nu\rho}D^\rho D_\mu)F^{\mu\nu} + (D^\rho F_{\mu\rho}D_\nu - D^\rho F_{\nu\rho}D_\mu)F^{\mu\nu}\} + \mathcal{O}(\beta^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\text{tr}\{F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - \beta[2F_{\mu\nu}(D^\rho D_\rho F^{\mu\nu}) + 2F_{\mu\nu}(F^{\mu\rho}D_\rho D^\nu) + 2F_{\mu\nu}(D_\rho F^{\mu\rho}D^\nu) \\ &\quad + 2(D^\rho D_\rho F_{\mu\nu})F^{\mu\nu} + 2(F_{\mu\rho}D^\rho D_\nu)F^{\mu\nu} + 2(D^\rho F_{\mu\rho}D_\nu)F^{\mu\nu}\} + \mathcal{O}(\beta^2). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

In the last step it has been used the antisymmetry of the field strength tensor, $F_{\mu\nu} = -F_{\nu\mu}$, which holds since the structure constants of a Lie algebra fulfil $f^{abc} = -f^{bac}$. The special manifestation of (14) within Yang-Mills theories is more involved than the special case of electrodynamics considered in [49], since the components of the field strength tensor do not commute with each other anymore. If (15) is expressed by the potential $A_\mu^a T^a$, it reads as following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}'_{YMg} &= \mathcal{L}_{YMg} + \frac{\beta}{2}\text{tr}[(\partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a - f^{abc}A_\mu^b A_\nu^c)T^a(\partial^\rho \partial_\rho + i\partial^\rho A_\rho^d T^d + 2iA_\rho^d T^d \partial^\rho - A_\rho^e A_\rho^f T^e T^f) \\ &\quad \times (\partial^\mu A^{\nu f} - \partial^\nu A^{\mu f} - f^{fgh}A^{\mu g}A^{\nu h})T^f \\ &\quad + (\partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a - f^{abc}A_\mu^b A_\nu^c)T^a(\partial^\mu A^{\rho d} - \partial^\rho A^{\mu d} - f^{def}A^\mu A^\rho T^f)T^d(i\partial_\rho A^{\nu g}T^g - A_\rho^h A^{\nu g}T^h T^g) \\ &\quad + (\partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a - f^{abc}A_\mu^b A_\nu^c)T^a(\partial_\rho + iA_\rho^d T^d)(\partial^\mu A^{\rho e} - \partial^\rho A^{\mu e} - f^{efg}A^\mu A^\rho T^g)T^e(iA^{\nu h}T^h) \\ &\quad + (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho + i\partial^\rho A_\rho^d T^d + 2iA_\rho^d T^d \partial^\rho - A_\rho^e A_\rho^f T^e T^f)(\partial^\mu A^{\nu f} - \partial^\nu A^{\mu f} - f^{fgh}A^{\mu g}A^{\nu h})T^f \\ &\quad \times (\partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a - f^{abc}A_\mu^b A_\nu^c)T^a \\ &\quad + (\partial^\mu A^{\rho d} - \partial^\rho A^{\mu d} - f^{def}A^\mu A^\rho T^f)T^d(i\partial_\rho A^{\nu g}T^g - A_\rho^h A^{\nu g}T^h T^g)(\partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a - f^{abc}A_\mu^b A_\nu^c)T^a \\ &\quad + (\partial_\rho + iA_\rho^d T^d)(\partial^\mu A^{\rho e} - \partial^\rho A^{\mu e} - f^{efg}A^\mu A^\rho T^g)T^e(iA^{\nu h}T^h)(\partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a - f^{abc}A_\mu^b A_\nu^c)T^a], \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where \mathcal{L}_{YMg} describes the usual Yang-Mills Lagrangian of the gauge field

$$\mathcal{L}_{YMg} = \frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu A^{\nu a} (\partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a) - \frac{1}{2} f^{abc} A^{\mu b} A^{\nu c} (\partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a) + \frac{1}{4} f^{abe} f^{cde} A_\mu^a A_\nu^b A^{\mu c} A^{\nu d}. \quad (17)$$

There have to be used the relations $\text{tr}[T^a T^b] = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab}$, $\text{tr}[T^a T^b T^c] = \frac{i}{4} f^{abc}$ and $\text{tr}[T^a T^b T^c T^d] = \frac{1}{8} (\delta^{ab} \delta^{cd} - f^{abe} f^{ecd})$ to transform the explicit expression of the extended Yang-Mills Lagrangian (15), (16) to its final form which can be written as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}'_{YMg} = \mathcal{L}_{YMg} + \mathcal{L}_{YMg\beta} = \mathcal{L}_{YMg} + \mathcal{L}_{\beta A_2} + \mathcal{L}_{\beta A_3} + \mathcal{L}_{\beta A_4} + \mathcal{L}_{\beta A_5} + \mathcal{L}_{\beta A_6}, \quad (18)$$

where the additional Lagrangians $\mathcal{L}_{\beta A_2}$, $\mathcal{L}_{\beta A_3}$, $\mathcal{L}_{\beta A_4}$, $\mathcal{L}_{\beta A_5}$, $\mathcal{L}_{\beta A_6}$ are defined according to

$$\mathcal{L}_{\beta A_2} = \beta (\partial_\mu A_\nu^a \partial^\rho \partial_\rho \partial^\mu A^{\nu a} - \partial_\mu A_\nu^a \partial^\rho \partial_\rho \partial^\nu A^{\mu a}), \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\beta A_3} = & \frac{\beta}{4} [(-2f^{agh} \partial_\mu A_\nu^a \partial^\rho \partial_\rho A^{\mu g} A^{\nu h} - 4f^{agh} \partial_\mu A_\nu^a \partial^\rho A^{\mu g} \partial_\rho A^{\nu h} - 2f^{agh} \partial_\mu A_\nu^a A^{\mu g} \partial^\rho \partial_\rho A^{\nu h} + 2f^{agh} \partial_\nu A_\mu^a \partial^\rho \partial_\rho A^{\mu g} A^{\nu h} \\ & + 4f^{agh} \partial_\nu A_\mu^a \partial^\rho A^{\mu g} \partial_\rho A^{\nu h} + 2f^{agh} \partial_\nu A_\mu^a A^{\mu g} \partial^\rho \partial_\rho A^{\nu h} - 2f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\rho \partial_\rho \partial^\mu A^{\nu a} + 2f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\rho \partial_\rho \partial^\nu A^{\mu a}) \\ & + f^{adf} (-2\partial_\mu A_\nu^a \partial^\rho A_\rho^d \partial^\mu A^{\nu f} + 2\partial_\mu A_\nu^a \partial^\rho A_\rho^d \partial^\nu A^{\mu f} - 4\partial_\mu A_\nu^a A_\rho^d \partial^\rho \partial^\mu A^{\nu f} + 4\partial_\mu A_\nu^a A_\rho^d \partial^\rho \partial^\nu A^{\mu f} \\ & - 2\partial_\mu A_\nu^a \partial^\mu A^{\rho d} \partial_\rho A^{\nu f} + 2\partial_\mu A_\nu^a \partial^\rho A^{\mu d} \partial_\rho A^{\nu f} + 2\partial_\nu A_\mu^a \partial^\mu A^{\rho d} \partial_\rho A^{\nu f} - 2\partial_\nu A_\mu^a \partial^\rho A^{\mu d} \partial_\rho A^{\nu f} \\ & - \partial_\mu A_\nu^a \partial^\mu \partial_\rho A^{\rho d} A^{\nu f} + \partial_\mu A_\nu^a \partial^\rho \partial_\rho A^{\mu d} A^{\nu f} + \partial_\nu A_\mu^a \partial^\mu \partial_\rho A^{\rho d} A^{\nu f} - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a \partial^\rho \partial_\rho A^{\mu d} A^{\nu f})], \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\beta A_4} = & \frac{\beta}{4} [(2f^{abc} f^{agh} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\rho \partial_\rho A^{\mu g} A^{\nu h} + 2f^{abc} f^{agh} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A^{\mu g} \partial^\rho \partial_\rho A^{\nu h} + 4f^{abc} f^{agh} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\rho A^{\mu g} \partial_\rho A^{\nu h}) \\ & + f^{adf} (f^{fgh} \partial_\mu A_\nu^a \partial^\rho A_\rho^d A^{\mu g} A^{\nu h} + 2f^{fgh} \partial_\mu A_\nu^a A_\rho^d \partial^\rho A^{\mu g} A^{\nu h} + 2f^{fgh} \partial_\mu A_\nu^a A_\rho^d A^{\mu g} \partial^\rho A^{\nu h} - f^{fgh} \partial_\nu A_\mu^a \partial^\rho A_\rho^d A^{\mu g} A^{\nu h} \\ & - 2f^{fgh} \partial_\nu A_\mu^a A_\rho^d \partial^\rho A^{\mu g} A^{\nu h} - 2f^{fgh} \partial_\nu A_\mu^a A_\rho^d A^{\mu g} \partial^\rho A^{\nu h} + f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\rho A_\rho^d \partial^\mu A^{\nu f} - f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\rho A_\rho^d \partial^\nu A^{\mu f} \\ & + 2f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A_\rho^d \partial^\rho \partial^\mu A^{\nu f} - 2f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A_\rho^d \partial^\rho \partial^\nu A^{\mu f} + f^{deg} \partial_\mu A_\nu^a A^{\mu e} A^{\rho g} \partial_\rho A^{\nu f} - f^{deg} \partial_\nu A_\mu^a A^{\mu e} A^{\rho g} \partial_\rho A^{\nu f} \\ & + f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\mu A^{\rho d} \partial_\rho A^{\nu f} - f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\rho A^{\mu d} \partial_\rho A^{\nu f} + f^{dhg} \partial_\mu A_\nu^a \partial_\rho A^{\mu h} A^{\rho g} A^{\nu f} + f^{dhg} \partial_\mu A_\nu^a A^{\mu h} \partial_\rho A^{\rho g} A^{\nu f} \\ & + f^{dhg} \partial_\mu A_\nu^a A^{\mu h} A^{\rho g} \partial_\rho A^{\nu f} - f^{dhg} \partial_\nu A_\mu^a \partial_\rho A^{\mu h} A^{\rho g} A^{\nu f} - f^{dhg} \partial_\nu A_\mu^a A^{\mu h} \partial_\rho A^{\rho g} A^{\nu f} - f^{dhg} \partial_\nu A_\mu^a A^{\mu h} A^{\rho g} \partial_\rho A^{\nu f} \\ & + f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\mu \partial_\rho A^{\rho d} A^{\nu f} + f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\mu A^{\rho d} \partial_\rho A^{\nu f} - f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\rho \partial_\rho A^{\mu d} A^{\nu f} - f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\rho A^{\mu d} \partial_\rho A^{\nu f}) \\ & + \frac{1}{4} (-\partial_\mu A_\nu^a A^{\rho a} A_\rho^e \partial^\mu A^{\nu e} + \partial_\mu A_\nu^a A^{\rho a} A_\rho^e \partial^\nu A^{\mu e} + \partial_\nu A_\mu^a A^{\rho a} A_\rho^e \partial^\mu A^{\nu e} - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a A^{\rho a} A_\rho^e \partial^\nu A^{\mu e} \\ & - \partial_\mu A_\nu^a \partial^\mu A^{\rho a} A_\rho^e A^{\nu e} + \partial_\mu A_\nu^a \partial^\rho A^{\mu a} A_\rho^e A^{\nu e} + \partial_\nu A_\mu^a \partial^\mu A^{\rho a} A_\rho^e A^{\nu e} - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a \partial^\rho A^{\mu a} A_\rho^e A^{\nu e} \\ & - \partial_\mu A_\nu^a A_\rho^e \partial^\mu A^{\rho e} A^{\nu e} + \partial_\mu A_\nu^a A_\rho^e \partial^\rho A^{\mu e} A^{\nu e} + \partial_\nu A_\mu^a A_\rho^e \partial^\mu A^{\rho e} A^{\nu e} - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a A_\rho^e \partial^\rho A^{\mu e} A^{\nu e} \\ & - \partial_\mu A_\nu^e A^{\rho a} A_\rho^a \partial^\mu A^{\nu e} + \partial_\mu A_\nu^e A^{\rho a} A_\rho^a \partial^\nu A^{\mu e} + \partial_\nu A_\mu^e A^{\rho a} A_\rho^a \partial^\mu A^{\nu e} - \partial_\nu A_\mu^e A^{\rho a} A_\rho^a \partial^\nu A^{\mu e} \\ & - \partial_\mu A_\nu^e \partial^\mu A^{\rho a} A_\rho^a A^{\nu e} + \partial_\mu A_\nu^e \partial^\rho A^{\mu a} A_\rho^a A^{\nu e} + \partial_\nu A_\mu^e \partial^\mu A^{\rho a} A_\rho^a A^{\nu e} - \partial_\nu A_\mu^e \partial^\rho A^{\mu a} A_\rho^a A^{\nu e} \\ & - \partial_\mu A_\nu^e A_\rho^a \partial^\mu A^{\rho a} A^{\nu e} + \partial_\mu A_\nu^e A_\rho^a \partial^\rho A^{\mu a} A^{\nu e} + \partial_\nu A_\mu^e A_\rho^a \partial^\mu A^{\rho a} A^{\nu e} - \partial_\nu A_\mu^e A_\rho^a \partial^\rho A^{\mu a} A^{\nu e}) \\ & - \frac{1}{4} f^{adi} f^{ief} (-\partial_\mu A_\nu^a A^{\rho d} A_\rho^e \partial^\mu A^{\nu f} + \partial_\mu A_\nu^a A^{\rho d} A_\rho^e \partial^\nu A^{\mu f} + \partial_\nu A_\mu^a A^{\rho d} A_\rho^e \partial^\mu A^{\nu f} - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a A^{\rho d} A_\rho^e \partial^\nu A^{\mu f} \\ & - \partial_\mu A_\nu^a \partial^\mu A^{\rho d} A_\rho^e A^{\nu f} + \partial_\mu A_\nu^a \partial^\rho A^{\mu d} A_\rho^e A^{\nu f} + \partial_\nu A_\mu^a \partial^\mu A^{\rho d} A_\rho^e A^{\nu f} - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a \partial^\rho A^{\mu d} A_\rho^e A^{\nu f} \\ & - \partial_\mu A_\nu^a A_\rho^d \partial^\mu A^{\rho e} A^{\nu f} + \partial_\mu A_\nu^a A_\rho^d \partial^\rho A^{\mu e} A^{\nu f} + \partial_\nu A_\mu^a A_\rho^d \partial^\mu A^{\rho e} A^{\nu f} - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a A_\rho^d \partial^\rho A^{\mu e} A^{\nu f} \\ & - \partial_\mu A_\nu^f A^{\rho a} A_\rho^a \partial^\mu A^{\nu e} + \partial_\mu A_\nu^f A^{\rho a} A_\rho^a \partial^\nu A^{\mu e} + \partial_\nu A_\mu^f A^{\rho a} A_\rho^a \partial^\mu A^{\nu e} - \partial_\nu A_\mu^f A^{\rho a} A_\rho^a \partial^\nu A^{\mu e} \\ & - \partial_\mu A_\nu^f \partial^\mu A^{\rho a} A_\rho^a A^{\nu e} + \partial_\mu A_\nu^f \partial^\rho A^{\mu a} A_\rho^a A^{\nu e} + \partial_\nu A_\mu^f \partial^\mu A^{\rho a} A_\rho^a A^{\nu e} - \partial_\nu A_\mu^f \partial^\rho A^{\mu a} A_\rho^a A^{\nu e} \\ & - \partial_\mu A_\nu^f A_\rho^a \partial^\mu A^{\rho d} A^{\nu e} + \partial_\mu A_\nu^f A_\rho^a \partial^\rho A^{\mu d} A^{\nu e} + \partial_\nu A_\mu^f A_\rho^a \partial^\mu A^{\rho d} A^{\nu e} - \partial_\nu A_\mu^f A_\rho^a \partial^\rho A^{\mu d} A^{\nu e})], \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\beta A_5} = & \frac{\beta}{8} [f^{adf} (-2f^{abc} f f g h A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\rho A_\rho^d A^{\mu g} A^{\nu h} - 4f^{abc} f f g h A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A_\rho^d \partial^\rho A^{\mu g} A^{\nu h} - 4f^{abc} f f g h A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A_\rho^d A^{\mu g} \partial^\rho A^{\nu h} \\
& - 2f^{abc} f^{deg} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A^{\rho g} \partial_\rho A^{\nu f} - 2f^{abc} f^{dhg} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial_\rho A^{\mu h} A^{\rho g} A^{\nu f} - 2f^{abc} f^{dhg} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A^{\mu h} \partial_\rho A^{\rho g} A^{\nu f} \\
& - 2f^{abc} f^{dhg} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A^{\mu h} A^{\rho g} \partial_\rho A^{\nu f}) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} (f^{egh} \partial_\mu A_\nu^a A^{\rho a} A_\rho^e A^{\mu g} A^{\nu h} - f^{egh} \partial_\nu A_\mu^a A^{\rho a} A_\rho^e A^{\mu g} A^{\nu h} + f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A^{\rho a} A_\rho^e \partial^\mu A^{\nu e} - f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A^{\rho a} A_\rho^e \partial^\nu A^{\mu e} \\
& + f^{agh} \partial_\mu A_\nu^a A^{\mu g} A^{\rho h} A_\rho^e A^{\nu e} - f^{agh} \partial_\nu A_\mu^a A^{\mu g} A^{\rho h} A_\rho^e A^{\nu e} + f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\mu A^{\rho a} A_\rho^e A^{\nu e} - f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\rho A^{\mu a} A_\rho^e A^{\nu e} \\
& + f^{ehg} \partial_\mu A_\nu^a A^a A^{\mu h} A^{\rho g} A^{\nu e} - f^{ehg} \partial_\nu A_\mu^a A^a A^{\mu h} A^{\rho g} A^{\nu e} + f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\mu A^{\rho e} A^{\nu e} - f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\rho A^{\mu e} A^{\nu e} \\
& + f^{egh} \partial_\mu A_\nu^e A^{\rho a} A_\rho^a A^{\mu g} A^{\nu h} - f^{egh} \partial_\nu A_\mu^e A^{\rho a} A_\rho^a A^{\mu g} A^{\nu h} + f^{ebc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A^{\rho a} A_\rho^a \partial^\mu A^{\nu e} - f^{ebc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A^{\rho a} A_\rho^a \partial^\nu A^{\mu e} \\
& + f^{agh} \partial_\mu A_\nu^e A^{\mu g} A^{\rho h} A_\rho^a A^{\nu e} - f^{agh} \partial_\nu A_\mu^e A^{\mu g} A^{\rho h} A_\rho^a A^{\nu e} + f^{ebc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\mu A^{\rho a} A_\rho^d A^{\nu e} - f^{ebc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\rho A^{\mu a} A_\rho^d A^{\nu e} \\
& + f^{ahg} \partial_\mu A_\nu^e A_\rho^a A^{\mu h} A^{\rho g} A^{\nu e} - f^{ahg} \partial_\nu A_\mu^e A_\rho^a A^{\mu h} A^{\rho g} A^{\nu e} + f^{ebc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\mu A^{\rho a} A^{\nu e} - f^{ebc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\rho A^{\mu a} A^{\nu e}) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} f^{adi} f^{ief} (f f g h \partial_\mu A_\nu^a A^{\rho d} A_\rho^e A^{\mu g} A^{\nu h} - f f g h \partial_\nu A_\mu^a A^{\rho d} A_\rho^e A^{\mu g} A^{\nu h} + f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A^{\rho d} A_\rho^e \partial^\mu A^{\nu f} - f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A^{\rho d} A_\rho^e \partial^\nu A^{\mu f} \\
& + f^{dgh} \partial_\mu A_\nu^a A^{\mu g} A^{\rho h} A_\rho^e A^{\nu f} - f^{dgh} \partial_\nu A_\mu^a A^{\mu g} A^{\rho h} A_\rho^e A^{\nu f} + f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\mu A^{\rho d} A_\rho^e A^{\nu f} - f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\rho A^{\mu d} A_\rho^e A^{\nu f} \\
& + f^{ehg} \partial_\mu A_\nu^a A_\rho^d A^{\mu h} A^{\rho g} A^{\nu f} - f^{ehg} \partial_\nu A_\mu^a A_\rho^d A^{\mu h} A^{\rho g} A^{\nu f} + f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\mu A^{\rho e} A^{\nu f} - f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\rho A^{\mu e} A^{\nu f} \\
& + f^{egh} \partial_\mu A_\nu^f A^{\rho a} A_\rho^d A^{\mu g} A^{\nu h} - f^{egh} \partial_\nu A_\mu^f A^{\rho a} A_\rho^d A^{\mu g} A^{\nu h} + f^{fbc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A^{\rho a} A_\rho^d \partial^\mu A^{\nu e} - f^{fbc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A^{\rho a} A_\rho^d \partial^\nu A^{\mu e} \\
& + f^{agh} \partial_\mu A_\nu^f A^{\mu g} A^{\rho h} A_\rho^d A^{\nu e} - f^{agh} \partial_\nu A_\mu^f A^{\mu g} A^{\rho h} A_\rho^d A^{\nu e} + f^{fbc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\mu A^{\rho d} A_\rho^d A^{\nu e} - f^{fbc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\rho A^{\mu d} A_\rho^d A^{\nu e} \\
& + f^{dhg} \partial_\mu A_\nu^f A_\rho^a A^{\mu h} A^{\rho g} A^{\nu e} - f^{dhg} \partial_\nu A_\mu^f A_\rho^a A^{\mu h} A^{\rho g} A^{\nu e} + f^{fbc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\mu A^{\rho d} A^{\nu e} - f^{fbc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c \partial^\rho A^{\mu d} A^{\nu e})], \quad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\beta A_6} = & \frac{\beta}{16} [(-f^{abc} f e g h A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A^{\rho a} A_\rho^e A^{\mu g} A^{\nu h} - f^{abc} f a g h A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A^{\mu g} A^{\rho h} A_\rho^e A^{\nu e} - f^{abc} f e h g A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A^a A^{\mu h} A^{\rho g} A^{\nu e} \\
& - f^{ebc} f e g h A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A^{\rho a} A_\rho^a A^{\mu g} A^{\nu h} - f^{ebc} f a g h A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A^{\mu g} A^{\rho h} A_\rho^a A^{\nu e} - f^{ebc} f a h g A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A^a A^{\mu h} A^{\rho g} A^{\nu e}) \\
& - f^{adi} f^{ief} (-f^{abc} f f g h A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A^{\rho d} A_\rho^e A^{\mu g} A^{\nu h} - f^{abc} f d g h A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A^{\mu g} A^{\rho h} A_\rho^e A^{\nu f} - f^{abc} f e h g A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A_\rho^d A^{\mu h} A^{\rho g} A^{\nu f} \\
& - f^{fbc} f e g h A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A^{\rho a} A_\rho^d A^{\mu g} A^{\nu h} - f^{fbc} f a g h A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A^{\mu g} A^{\rho h} A_\rho^d A^{\nu e} - f^{fbc} f d h g A_\mu^b A_\nu^c A^a A^{\mu h} A^{\rho g} A^{\nu e})]. \quad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

IV. THE GENERALIZED GAUGE PRINCIPLE IN THE ELECTROWEAK THEORY

A. Lepton Sector of the Generalized Electroweak Theory

To formulate the electroweak theory of the standard model with a minimal length the general consideration of Yang-Mills theories under incorporation of a generalized uncertainty principle has to be applied to the special case of $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$, the gauge group of the electroweak theory. In the usual electroweak theory local gauge invariance under this group can be maintained in the lepton sector by introducing the following covariant derivative:

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu + iA_\mu^a \tau^a + iB_\mu y, \quad a = 1 \dots 3, \quad (24)$$

where the τ^a denote the generators of the $SU(2)_L$ and y denotes the hypercharge. Since the neutrinos only appear as left-handed neutrinos, the generators of the gauge group with respect to the neutrino-electron-dublett look as follows:

$$\tau^a = g \left(\frac{1 + \gamma_5}{4} \right) \sigma^a, \quad a = 1 \dots 3, \quad y = g' \left[\left(\frac{1 + \gamma_5}{4} \right) \mathbf{1} + \left(\frac{1 - \gamma_5}{2} \right) \right], \quad (25)$$

where the σ^a denote the Pauli matrices with respect to the weak isospin space, $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the identity matrix within the weak isospin space, γ_5 is defined as $\gamma^5 = i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$ and thus $\left(\frac{1 \pm \gamma_5}{2} \right)$ describes the projection to the left-handed or right-handed part of a Dirac spinor respectively. The hypercharge y is related to the charge q according to the Gell-Mann-Nishijima relation which reads by using the representation (25) as follows:

$$q = \frac{1}{g} \tau^3 - \frac{1}{g'} y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (26)$$

More elaborately the covariant derivative of the electroweak theory (24) can be expressed as

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} W^\mu (\tau^1 - i\tau^2) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} W^{\mu\dagger} (\tau^1 + i\tau^2) + iZ^\mu (\tau^3 \cos \theta_W + y \sin \theta_W) + iA^\mu (-\tau^3 \sin \theta_W + y \cos \theta_W), \quad (27)$$

if the gauge fields W^μ , $W^{\mu\dagger}$, Z^μ and A^μ are defined as follows:

$$W^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (A_1^\mu + iA_2^\mu), \quad W^{\mu\dagger} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (A_1^\mu - iA_2^\mu), \quad Z^\mu = \cos \theta_W A_3^\mu + \sin \theta_W B^\mu, \quad A^\mu = -\sin \theta_W A_3^\mu + \cos \theta_W B^\mu. \quad (28)$$

θ_W denotes the Weinberg angle which describes the relation between the coupling constants g and g' referring to the gauge groups $SU(2)_L$ and $U(1)_Y$ respectively and is accordingly defined by

$$\cos \theta_W = \frac{g}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}, \quad \sin \theta_W = \frac{g'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}. \quad (29)$$

The Lagrangian of the generalized electroweak theory consists of four parts, if the quark sector is omitted

$$\mathcal{L}'_{EW} = \mathcal{L}'_{lepton} + \mathcal{L}'_{gauge-field} + \mathcal{L}'_{Higgs} + \mathcal{L}'_{Yukawa}. \quad (30)$$

Since Yukawa coupling terms contain no derivative, they remain unchanged which means $\mathcal{L}'_{Yukawa} = \mathcal{L}_{Yukawa}$. The generalized covariant derivative containing the minimal length which general form is given in (9) is for the special case of the electroweak theory obtained by inserting the covariant derivative of the usual electroweak theory (27) to (9) and reads accordingly

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_\mu &= (1 - \beta D^\rho D_\rho) D_\mu = [1 - \beta (\partial^\rho + iA^{\rho a} \tau^a + iB^\rho y) (\partial_\rho + iA_\rho^b \tau^b + iB_\rho y)] (\partial_\mu + iA_\mu^c \tau^c + iB_\mu y) \\ &= \left\{ 1 - \beta \left[\partial^\rho + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} W^\rho (\tau^1 - i\tau^2) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} W^{\rho\dagger} (\tau^1 + i\tau^2) + iZ^\rho (\tau^3 \cos \theta_W + y \sin \theta_W) + iA^\rho (-\tau^3 \sin \theta_W + y \cos \theta_W) \right] \right. \\ &\quad \times \left[\partial_\rho + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} W_\rho (\tau^1 - i\tau^2) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} W_\rho^\dagger (\tau^1 + i\tau^2) + iZ_\rho (\tau^3 \cos \theta_W + y \sin \theta_W) + iA_\rho (-\tau^3 \sin \theta_W + y \cos \theta_W) \right] \Big\} \\ &\quad \times \left[\partial_\mu + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} W_\mu (\tau^1 - i\tau^2) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^\dagger (\tau^1 + i\tau^2) + iZ_\mu (\tau^3 \cos \theta_W + y \sin \theta_W) + iA_\mu (-\tau^3 \sin \theta_W + y \cos \theta_W) \right]. \quad (31) \end{aligned}$$

The expression (31) for the covariant derivative of the electroweak theory with a generalized uncertainty principle to the first order in the modification parameter can be transformed to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_\mu &= \partial_\mu + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} W_\mu (\tau^1 - i\tau^2) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^\dagger (\tau^1 + i\tau^2) + iZ_\mu (\tau^3 \cos \theta_W + y \sin \theta_W) + iA_\mu (-\tau^3 \sin \theta_W + y \cos \theta_W) \\ &\quad - \beta [\partial^\rho \partial_\rho \partial_\mu + \theta_\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) (\mathbf{1}_\tau - \tau^3) + \lambda_\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) (\mathbf{1}_\tau + \tau^3) + \chi_\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) (\tau^1 - i\tau^2) \\ &\quad + \phi_\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) (\tau^1 + i\tau^2) + \omega_\mu(A, Z)], \quad (32) \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A)$, $\lambda_\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A)$, $\chi_\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A)$, $\phi_\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A)$ and $\omega_\mu(A, Z)$ are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) &= \frac{i(g'^2 - g^2)}{2g\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho Z_\mu + 2\partial^\rho Z_\mu \partial_\rho + Z_\mu \partial^\rho \partial_\rho + \partial^\rho Z_\rho \partial_\mu + 2Z^\rho \partial_\rho \partial_\mu) \\ &\quad + \frac{ig'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho A_\mu + 2\partial^\rho A_\mu \partial_\rho + A_\mu \partial^\rho \partial_\rho + \partial^\rho A_\rho \partial_\mu + 2A^\rho \partial_\rho \partial_\mu) \\ &\quad - \frac{g}{2} (\partial^\rho W_\rho W_\mu^\dagger + 2W^\rho \partial_\rho W_\mu^\dagger) - \frac{(g'^2 - g^2)^2}{4g(g^2 + g'^2)} (\partial^\rho Z_\rho Z_\mu + 2Z^\rho \partial_\rho Z_\mu) - \frac{gg'^2}{g^2 + g'^2} (\partial^\rho A_\rho A_\mu + 2A^\rho \partial_\rho A_\mu) \\ &\quad - \frac{g'(g'^2 - g^2)}{2(g^2 + g'^2)} (\partial^\rho Z_\rho A_\mu + 2Z^\rho \partial_\rho A_\mu) - \frac{g'(g'^2 - g^2)}{2(g^2 + g'^2)} (\partial^\rho A_\rho Z_\mu + 2A^\rho \partial_\rho Z_\mu) + \frac{1}{g} \Theta(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \partial_\mu \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \Xi(W, Z, A) W_\mu^\dagger + \frac{i(g'^2 - g^2)}{2g\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \Theta(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) Z_\mu + \frac{ig'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \Theta(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) A_\mu, \quad (33) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) = & \frac{i\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2g} (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho Z_\mu + 2\partial^\rho Z_\mu \partial_\rho + Z_\mu \partial^\rho \partial_\rho + \partial^\rho Z_\rho \partial_\mu + 2Z^\rho \partial_\rho \partial_\mu) \\
& - \frac{g}{2} (\partial^\rho W_\rho^\dagger W_\mu + 2W^\dagger{}^\rho \partial_\rho W_\mu) - \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{4g} (\partial^\rho Z_\rho Z_\mu + 2Z^\rho \partial_\rho Z_\mu) + \frac{1}{g} \Lambda(W, W^\dagger, Z) \partial_\mu \\
& + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \Phi(W^\dagger, Z, A) W_\mu + \frac{i\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2g} \Lambda(W, W^\dagger, Z) Z_\mu,
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) = & \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho W_\mu + 2\partial^\rho W_\mu \partial_\rho + W_\mu \partial^\rho \partial_\rho + \partial^\rho W_\rho \partial_\mu + 2W^\rho \partial_\rho \partial_\mu) - \frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2\sqrt{2}} (\partial^\rho W_\rho Z_\mu + 2W^\rho \partial_\rho Z_\mu) \\
& - \frac{g'^2 - g^2}{2\sqrt{2}(g^2 + g'^2)} (\partial^\rho Z_\rho W_\mu + 2Z^\rho \partial_\rho W_\mu) - \frac{gg'}{\sqrt{2}(g^2 + g'^2)} (\partial^\rho A_\rho W_\mu + 2A^\rho \partial_\rho W_\mu) \\
& + \frac{1}{g} \Xi(W, Z, A) \partial_\mu + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \Theta(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) W_\mu + \frac{i\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2g} \Xi(W, Z, A) Z_\mu,
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) = & \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho W_\mu^\dagger + 2\partial^\rho W_\mu^\dagger \partial_\rho + W_\mu^\dagger \partial^\rho \partial_\rho + \partial^\rho W_\rho^\dagger \partial_\mu + 2W^\dagger{}^\rho \partial_\rho \partial_\mu) - \frac{g'^2 - g^2}{2\sqrt{2}(g^2 + g'^2)} (\partial^\rho W_\rho^\dagger Z_\mu + 2W^\dagger{}^\rho \partial_\rho Z_\mu) \\
& - \frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2\sqrt{2}} (\partial^\rho Z_\rho W_\mu^\dagger + 2Z^\rho \partial_\rho W_\mu^\dagger) - \frac{gg'}{\sqrt{2}(g^2 + g'^2)} (\partial^\rho W_\rho^\dagger A_\mu + 2W^\dagger{}^\rho \partial_\rho A_\mu) + \frac{1}{g} \Phi(W^\dagger, Z, A) \partial_\mu \\
& + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \Lambda(W, W^\dagger, Z) W_\mu^\dagger + \frac{i(g'^2 - g^2)}{2g\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \Phi(W^\dagger, Z, A) Z_\mu + \frac{ig'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \Phi(W^\dagger, Z, A) A_\mu,
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_\mu(A, Z) = & \left(\frac{1 - \gamma_5}{2} \right) \left[\frac{ig'^2}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho Z_\mu + 2\partial^\rho Z_\mu \partial_\rho + Z_\mu \partial^\rho \partial_\rho + \partial^\rho Z_\rho \partial_\mu + 2Z^\rho \partial_\rho \partial_\mu) \right. \\
& + \frac{igg'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho A_\mu + 2\partial^\rho A_\mu \partial_\rho + A_\mu \partial^\rho \partial_\rho + \partial^\rho A_\rho \partial_\mu + 2A^\rho \partial_\rho \partial_\mu) \\
& - \frac{g'^4}{g^2 + g'^2} (\partial^\rho Z_\rho Z_\mu + 2Z^\rho \partial_\rho Z_\mu) - \frac{g^2 g'^2}{g^2 + g'^2} (\partial^\rho A_\rho A_\mu + 2A^\rho \partial_\rho A_\mu) \\
& - \frac{gg'^3}{g^2 + g'^2} (\partial^\rho Z_\rho A_\mu + 2Z^\rho \partial_\rho A_\mu) - \frac{gg'^3}{g^2 + g'^2} (\partial^\rho A_\rho Z_\mu + 2A^\rho \partial_\rho Z_\mu) \\
& \left. + \frac{ig'^2}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \Omega(A, Z) Z_\mu + \frac{igg'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \Omega(A, Z) A_\mu + \Omega(A, Z) \partial_\mu \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

$\Theta(W, W^\dagger, Z, A)$, $\Lambda(W, W^\dagger, Z)$, $\Xi(W, Z, A)$, $\Phi(W^\dagger, Z, A)$ and $\Omega(A, Z)$ are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) = & - \left[\frac{g^2}{2} (W^\rho W_\rho^\dagger) + \frac{(g'^2 - g^2)^2}{4(g^2 + g'^2)} (Z^\rho Z_\rho) + \frac{g^2 g'^2}{g^2 + g'^2} (A^\rho A_\rho) + \frac{gg'(g'^2 - g^2)}{g^2 + g'^2} (Z^\rho A_\rho) \right], \\
\Lambda(W, W^\dagger, Z) = & - \left[\frac{g^2}{2} (W^\dagger{}^\rho W_\rho) + \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{4} (Z^\rho Z_\rho) \right], \\
\Xi(W, Z, A) = & - \left[\frac{g\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2\sqrt{2}} (W^\rho Z_\rho) + \frac{g(g'^2 - g^2)}{2\sqrt{2}(g^2 + g'^2)} (Z^\rho W_\rho) + \frac{g^2 g'}{\sqrt{2}(g^2 + g'^2)} (A^\rho W_\rho) \right], \\
\Phi(W^\dagger, Z, A) = & - \left[\frac{g(g'^2 - g^2)}{2\sqrt{2}(g^2 + g'^2)} (W^\dagger{}^\rho Z_\rho) + \frac{g\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2\sqrt{2}} (Z^\rho W_\rho^\dagger) + \frac{g^2 g'}{\sqrt{2}(g^2 + g'^2)} (W^\dagger{}^\rho A_\rho) \right], \\
\Omega(A, Z) = & - \left[\frac{g'^4}{g^2 + g'^2} (Z^\rho Z_\rho) + \frac{g^2 g'^2}{g^2 + g'^2} (A^\rho A_\rho) + \frac{2gg'^3}{g^2 + g'^2} (Z^\rho A_\rho) \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

and $\mathbf{1}_\tau$ is defined as

$$\mathbf{1}_\tau = g \left(\frac{1 + \gamma_5}{4} \right) \mathbf{1}. \quad (39)$$

To derive the expression (32) there have to be used the following identities:

$$\left(\frac{1 \pm \gamma_5}{2} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1 \pm \gamma_5}{2} \right) \quad , \quad \left(\frac{1 + \gamma_5}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1 - \gamma_5}{2} \right) = 0. \quad (40)$$

The usual covariant derivative of the electroweak theory (27) has to be replaced by the generalized one (32) within the lepton Lagrangian to obtain the Lagrangian of the lepton sector in the presence of the generalized uncertainty principle. This leads to the following expression for the lepton sector of the generalized Lagrangian, if the electroweak theory is just considered with respect to the doublet containing the electron-neutrino and the electron:

$$\mathcal{L}'_{lepton} = i (\bar{\nu}_{eL} \bar{e}_L) \gamma^\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{eL} \\ e_L \end{pmatrix} + i \bar{e}_R \gamma^\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu e_R, \quad (41)$$

where e denotes the state of an electron, ν_e denotes the state of an electron-neutrino and it holds that

$$e_L = \left(\frac{1 + \gamma_5}{2} \right) e \quad , \quad e_R = \left(\frac{1 - \gamma_5}{2} \right) e \quad , \quad \nu_{eL} = \gamma_5 \nu_{eL}. \quad (42)$$

The Lagrangian for the other two lepton doublets has of course completely the same structure as (41) whereas the quark sector will be considered below, since this case is more complicated because of the incorporation of the $SU(3)_c$ as gauge group of quantum chromodynamics which terms cannot be separated from the ones of the electroweak Lagrangian anymore in the presence of a generalized uncertainty principle. If one expresses the generalized lepton Lagrangian as the sum of the usual lepton Lagrangian and the modification to the first order in β

$$\mathcal{L}'_{lepton} \equiv \mathcal{L}_{lepton} + \mathcal{L}_{lepton \ \beta}, \quad (43)$$

where the usual lepton Lagrangian is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{lepton} = & i \bar{\nu}_e \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \nu_e + i \bar{e} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu e \\ & - \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\bar{e} \gamma^\mu W_\mu \left(\frac{1 + \gamma_5}{2} \right) \nu_e \right] - \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\bar{\nu}_e \gamma^\mu W_\mu^\dagger \left(\frac{1 + \gamma_5}{2} \right) e \right] - \frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2} \bar{\nu}_e \gamma^\mu Z_\mu \left(\frac{1 + \gamma_5}{2} \right) \nu_e \\ & + \frac{g^2 - g'^2}{2\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \bar{e} \gamma^\mu Z_\mu \left(\frac{1 + \gamma_5}{2} \right) e - \frac{g'^2}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \bar{e} \gamma^\mu Z_\mu \left(\frac{1 - \gamma_5}{2} \right) e + \frac{gg'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} (\bar{e} \gamma^\mu A_\mu e), \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

then inserting of the expression of the generalized covariant derivative (32) into the lepton Lagrangian (41) leads to the following expression for the extension of the lepton Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{lepton \ \beta} = & -i\beta \left[\bar{\nu}_e \gamma^\mu \partial^\rho \partial_\rho \partial_\mu \nu_e + \bar{e} \gamma^\mu \partial^\rho \partial_\rho \partial_\mu e + g \bar{e} \gamma^\mu \theta_\mu(W^\dagger, W, A, Z) \left(\frac{1 + \gamma_5}{2} \right) e + g \bar{\nu}_e \gamma^\mu \lambda_\mu(W^\dagger, W, A, Z) \left(\frac{1 + \gamma_5}{2} \right) \nu_e \right. \\ & \left. + g \bar{e} \gamma^\mu \chi_\mu(W^\dagger, W, A, Z) \left(\frac{1 + \gamma_5}{2} \right) \nu_e + g \bar{\nu}_e \gamma^\mu \phi_\mu(W^\dagger, W, A, Z) \left(\frac{1 + \gamma_5}{2} \right) e + \bar{e} \gamma^\mu \omega_\mu(A, Z) e \right], \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where $\theta_\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A)$, $\lambda_\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A)$, $\phi_\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A)$, $\chi_\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A)$ and $\omega_\mu(Z, A)$ are defined according to (33), (34), (35), (36) and (37). Thus there has been constructed a lepton Lagrangian, (43) with (44) and (45), containing the generalized uncertainty principle and being invariant under local gauge transformations of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{eL} \\ e_L \end{pmatrix} & \rightarrow \exp [i\alpha_a(x)\tau^a + i\alpha_y(x)y] \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{eL} \\ e_L \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad e_R \rightarrow \exp [i\alpha_y(x)y] e_R, \\ \mathcal{D}_\mu & \rightarrow \exp [i\alpha_a(x)\tau^a + i\alpha_y(x)y] \mathcal{D}_\mu \exp [-i\alpha_a(x)\tau^a - i\alpha_y(x)y] \quad , \quad a = 1 \dots 3. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

B. Higgs Sector of the Generalized Electroweak Theory

To formulate the extended Lagrangian of the Higgs sector there is used the following representation of the generators of the gauge group of the electroweak theory:

$$\tau_\varphi^a = \frac{g}{2}\sigma^a \quad , \quad y_\varphi = -\frac{g'}{2}\mathbf{1}. \quad (47)$$

As consequence the charge operator has the following form:

$$q_\varphi = \frac{1}{g}\tau_\varphi^3 - \frac{1}{g'}y_\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (48)$$

Of course, $\mathbf{1}_{\tau_\varphi}$ is accordingly defined as $\mathbf{1}_{\tau_\varphi} = \frac{g}{2}\mathbf{1}$. The generalized covariant derivative with respect to the generators (47) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_\mu = & \partial_\mu + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}W_\mu (\tau_\varphi^1 - i\tau_\varphi^2) + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}W_\mu^\dagger (\tau_\varphi^1 + i\tau_\varphi^2) + iZ_\mu (\tau_\varphi^3 \cos \theta_W + y_\varphi \sin \theta_W) + iA_\mu (-\tau_\varphi^3 \sin \theta_W + y_\varphi \cos \theta_W) \\ & -\beta [\partial^\rho \partial_\rho \partial_\mu + \theta_{\varphi\mu}(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) (\mathbf{1}_{\tau_\varphi} - \tau_\varphi^3) + \lambda_{\varphi\mu}(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) (\mathbf{1}_{\tau_\varphi} + \tau_\varphi^3) + \chi_{\varphi\mu}(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) (\tau_\varphi^1 - i\tau_\varphi^2) \\ & + \phi_{\varphi\mu}(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) (\tau_\varphi^1 + i\tau_\varphi^2)], \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

where $\theta_{\varphi\mu}(W, W^\dagger, Z, A)$, $\lambda_{\varphi\mu}(W, W^\dagger, Z, A)$, $\chi_{\varphi\mu}(W, W^\dagger, Z, A)$ and $\phi_{\varphi\mu}(W, W^\dagger, Z, A)$ are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{\varphi\mu}(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) = & -\frac{i\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2g} (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho Z_\mu + 2\partial^\rho Z_\mu \partial_\rho + Z_\mu \partial^\rho \partial_\rho + \partial^\rho Z_\rho \partial_\mu + 2Z^\rho \partial_\rho \partial_\mu) \\ & -\frac{g}{2} (\partial^\rho W_\rho W_\mu^\dagger + 2W^\rho \partial_\rho W_\mu^\dagger) - \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{4g} (\partial^\rho Z_\rho Z_\mu + 2Z^\rho \partial_\rho Z_\mu) + \frac{1}{g} \Theta_\varphi(W, W^\dagger, Z) \partial_\mu \\ & + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \Xi_\varphi(W, Z, A) W_\mu^\dagger - \frac{i\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2g} \Theta_\varphi(W, W^\dagger, Z) Z_\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\varphi\mu}(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) = & \frac{i(g^2 - g'^2)}{2g\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho Z_\mu + 2\partial^\rho Z_\mu \partial_\rho + Z_\mu \partial^\rho \partial_\rho + \partial^\rho Z_\rho \partial_\mu + 2Z^\rho \partial_\rho \partial_\mu) \\ & -\frac{ig'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho A_\mu + 2\partial^\rho A_\mu \partial_\rho + A_\mu \partial^\rho \partial_\rho + \partial^\rho A_\rho \partial_\mu + 2A^\rho \partial_\rho \partial_\mu) \\ & -\frac{g}{2} (\partial^\rho W_\rho^\dagger W_\mu + 2W^\rho \partial_\rho W_\mu) - \frac{(g^2 - g'^2)^2}{4g(g^2 + g'^2)} (\partial^\rho Z_\rho Z_\mu + 2Z^\rho \partial_\rho Z_\mu) - \frac{gg'^2}{g^2 + g'^2} (\partial^\rho A_\rho A_\mu + 2A^\rho \partial_\rho A_\mu) \\ & + \frac{g'(g^2 - g'^2)}{2(g^2 + g'^2)} (\partial^\rho Z_\rho A_\mu + 2Z^\rho \partial_\rho A_\mu) + \frac{g'(g^2 - g'^2)}{2(g^2 + g'^2)} (\partial^\rho A_\rho Z_\mu + 2A^\rho \partial_\rho Z_\mu) + \frac{1}{g} \Lambda_\varphi(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \partial_\mu \\ & + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \Phi_\varphi(W^\dagger, Z, A) W_\mu + \frac{i(g^2 - g'^2)}{2g\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \Lambda_\varphi(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) Z_\mu - \frac{ig'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \Lambda_\varphi(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) A_\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\varphi\mu}(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) = & \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho W_\mu + 2\partial^\rho W_\mu \partial_\rho + W_\mu \partial^\rho \partial_\rho + \partial^\rho W_\rho \partial_\mu + 2W^\rho \partial_\rho \partial_\mu) - \frac{g^2 - g'^2}{2\sqrt{2}(g^2 + g'^2)} (\partial^\rho W_\rho Z_\mu + 2W^\rho \partial_\rho Z_\mu) \\ & + \frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2\sqrt{2}} (\partial^\rho Z_\rho W_\mu + 2Z^\rho \partial_\rho W_\mu) + \frac{gg'}{\sqrt{2}(g^2 + g'^2)} (\partial^\rho W_\rho A_\mu + 2W^\rho \partial_\rho A_\mu) + \frac{1}{g} \Xi_\varphi(W, Z, A) \partial_\mu \\ & + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \Theta_\varphi(W, W^\dagger, Z) W_\mu + \frac{i(g^2 - g'^2)}{2g\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \Xi_\varphi(W, Z, A) Z_\mu - \frac{ig'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \Xi_\varphi(W^\dagger, Z, A) A_\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{\varphi\mu}(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) = & \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho W_\mu^\dagger + 2\partial^\rho W_\mu^\dagger \partial_\rho + W_\mu^\dagger \partial^\rho \partial_\rho + \partial^\rho W_\rho^\dagger \partial_\mu + 2W^{\dagger\rho} \partial_\rho \partial_\mu) + \frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2\sqrt{2}} (\partial^\rho W_\rho^\dagger Z_\mu + 2W^{\dagger\rho} \partial_\rho Z_\mu) \\
& - \frac{g^2 - g'^2}{2\sqrt{2}(g^2 + g'^2)} (\partial^\rho Z_\rho W_\mu^\dagger + 2Z^\rho \partial_\rho W_\mu^\dagger) + \frac{gg'}{\sqrt{2}(g^2 + g'^2)} (\partial^\rho A_\rho W_\mu^\dagger + 2A^\rho \partial_\rho W_\mu^\dagger) \\
& + \frac{1}{g} \Phi_\varphi(W^\dagger, Z, A) \partial_\mu + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \Lambda_\varphi(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) W_\mu^\dagger - \frac{i\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2g} \Phi_\varphi(W^\dagger, Z, A) Z_\mu
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

and $\Theta_\varphi(W, W^\dagger, Z)$, $\Lambda_\varphi(W, W^\dagger, Z, A)$, $\Xi_\varphi(W, Z, A)$ and $\Phi_\varphi(W^\dagger, Z, A)$ are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta_\varphi(W, W^\dagger, Z) &= - \left[\frac{g^2}{2} (W^\rho W_\rho^\dagger) + \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{4} (Z^\rho Z_\rho) \right], \\
\Lambda_\varphi(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) &= - \left[\frac{g^2}{2} (W^{\dagger\rho} W_\rho) + \frac{(g^2 - g'^2)^2}{4(g^2 + g'^2)} (Z^\rho Z_\rho) + \frac{g^2 g'^2}{g^2 + g'^2} (A^\rho A_\rho) - \frac{gg' (g^2 - g'^2)}{g^2 + g'^2} (Z^\rho A_\rho) \right], \\
\Xi_\varphi(W, Z, A) &= - \left[\frac{g (g^2 - g'^2)}{2\sqrt{2}(g^2 + g'^2)} (W^\rho Z_\rho) - \frac{g\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2\sqrt{2}} (Z^\rho W_\rho) - \frac{g^2 g'}{\sqrt{2}(g^2 + g'^2)} (W^\rho A_\rho) \right], \\
\Phi_\varphi(W^\dagger, Z, A) &= - \left[-\frac{g\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2\sqrt{2}} (W^{\dagger\rho} Z_\rho) + \frac{g (g^2 - g'^2)}{2\sqrt{2}(g^2 + g'^2)} (Z^\rho W_\rho^\dagger) - \frac{g^2 g'}{\sqrt{2}(g^2 + g'^2)} (A^\rho W_\rho^\dagger) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

The generalized Higgs Lagrangian is of the following shape:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}'_{Higgs} &\equiv \mathcal{L}_{Higgs} + \mathcal{L}_{Higgs\ \beta} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{D}^\mu \varphi)^\dagger \mathcal{D}_\mu \varphi - \frac{\mu^2}{2} \varphi^\dagger \varphi + \frac{\lambda}{4} (\varphi^\dagger \varphi)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (D^\mu \varphi)^\dagger D_\mu \varphi - \frac{\beta}{2} (D^\mu \varphi)^\dagger D^\rho D_\rho D_\mu \varphi - \frac{\beta}{2} (D^\rho D_\rho D^\mu \varphi)^\dagger D_\mu \varphi - \frac{\mu^2}{2} \varphi^\dagger \varphi + \frac{\lambda}{4} (\varphi^\dagger \varphi)^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

where \mathcal{D}_μ is now the generalized covariant derivative (49) as special manifestation of (9), which is based on the representation of the generators of the electroweak theory with respect to the Higgs sector according to (47). If the Higgs doublet is expressed as follows:

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^+ \\ \varphi^0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{56}$$

the usual Higgs Lagrangian reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{Higgs} = & \frac{1}{2} \left[(\partial^\mu \varphi^+)^\dagger (\partial_\mu \varphi^+) + (\partial^\mu \varphi^0)^\dagger (\partial_\mu \varphi^0) + \frac{g^2}{2} \varphi^{+\dagger} \varphi^+ W^{\mu\dagger} W_\mu + \frac{g^2}{2} \varphi^{0\dagger} \varphi^0 W^{\mu\dagger} W_\mu + \frac{(g^2 - g'^2)^2}{4(g^2 + g'^2)} \varphi^{+\dagger} \varphi^+ Z^\mu Z_\mu \right. \\
& + \frac{(g^2 + g'^2)}{4} \varphi^{0\dagger} \varphi^0 Z^\mu Z_\mu + \frac{g^2 g'^2}{g^2 + g'^2} \varphi^{+\dagger} \varphi^+ A^\mu A_\mu + \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}} (\partial^\mu \varphi^+)^\dagger \varphi^0 W_\mu^\dagger + \frac{i(g^2 - g'^2)}{2\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} (\partial^\mu \varphi^+)^\dagger \varphi^+ Z_\mu \\
& - \frac{igg'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} (\partial^\mu \varphi^+)^\dagger \varphi^+ A_\mu + \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}} (\partial^\mu \varphi^0)^\dagger \varphi^+ W_\mu - \frac{i\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2} (\partial^\mu \varphi^0)^\dagger \varphi^0 Z_\mu - \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}} \varphi^{+\dagger} (\partial^\mu \varphi^0) W_\mu^\dagger \\
& - \frac{g\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2\sqrt{2}} \varphi^{+\dagger} \varphi^0 W^{\mu\dagger} Z_\mu - \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}} \varphi^{0\dagger} (\partial^\mu \varphi^+) W_\mu + \frac{g(g^2 - g'^2)}{2\sqrt{2}(g^2 + g'^2)} \varphi^{0\dagger} \varphi^+ W^\mu Z_\mu \\
& - \frac{g^2 g'}{\sqrt{2}(g^2 + g'^2)} \varphi^{0\dagger} \varphi^+ W^\mu A_\mu - \frac{i(g^2 - g'^2)}{2\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \varphi^{+\dagger} (\partial^\mu \varphi^+) Z_\mu + \frac{g(g^2 - g'^2)}{2\sqrt{2}(g^2 + g'^2)} \varphi^{+\dagger} \varphi^0 W^{\mu\dagger} Z_\mu \\
& - \frac{gg'(g^2 - g'^2)}{2(g^2 + g'^2)} \varphi^{+\dagger} \varphi^+ Z^\mu A_\mu + \frac{i\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2} \varphi^{0\dagger} (\partial^\mu \varphi^0) Z_\mu - \frac{g\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2\sqrt{2}} \varphi^{0\dagger} \varphi^+ Z^\mu W_\mu \\
& \left. + \frac{igg'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \varphi^{+\dagger} (\partial^\mu \varphi^+) A_\mu - \frac{g^2 g'}{\sqrt{2}(g^2 + g'^2)} \varphi^{+\dagger} \varphi^0 A^\mu W_\mu^\dagger - \frac{gg'(g^2 - g'^2)}{2(g^2 + g'^2)} \varphi^{+\dagger} \varphi^+ A^\mu Z_\mu \right] - \frac{\mu^2}{2} \varphi^\dagger \varphi + \frac{\lambda}{4} (\varphi^\dagger \varphi)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

and the extension of the Higgs Lagrangian reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{Higgs\ \beta} = & -\frac{\beta}{2} \left\{ (\partial^\mu \varphi^+)^\dagger (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho \partial_\mu \varphi^+) + (\partial^\mu \varphi^0)^\dagger (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho \partial_\mu \varphi^0) - \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}} \varphi^{+\dagger} (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho \partial^\mu \varphi^0) W_\mu^\dagger - \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}} \varphi^{0\dagger} (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho \partial^\mu \varphi^+) W_\mu \right. \\
& - \frac{i(g^2 - g'^2)}{2\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \varphi^{+\dagger} (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho \partial^\mu \varphi^+) Z_\mu + \frac{i\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2} \varphi^{0\dagger} (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho \partial^\mu \varphi^0) Z_\mu + \frac{igg'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \varphi^{+\dagger} (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho \partial^\mu \varphi^0) A_\mu \\
& + (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho \partial^\mu \varphi^+)^\dagger (\partial_\mu \varphi^+) + (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho \partial^\mu \varphi^0)^\dagger (\partial_\mu \varphi^0) + \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}} (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho \partial^\mu \varphi^0)^\dagger \varphi^+ W_\mu + \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}} (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho \partial^\mu \varphi^+)^\dagger \varphi^0 W_\mu^\dagger \\
& + \frac{i(g^2 - g'^2)}{2\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho \partial^\mu \varphi^+)^\dagger \varphi^+ Z_\mu - \frac{i\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2} (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho \partial^\mu \varphi^0)^\dagger \varphi^0 Z_\mu - \frac{igg'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} (\partial^\rho \partial_\rho \partial^\mu \varphi^0)^\dagger \varphi^+ A_\mu \\
& + (\partial^\mu \varphi^+)^\dagger [\lambda_{\varphi\mu}(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^+] + (\partial^\mu \varphi^+)^\dagger [\phi_{\varphi\mu}(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^0] + (\partial^\mu \varphi^0)^\dagger [\theta_{\varphi\mu}(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^0] \\
& + (\partial^\mu \varphi^0)^\dagger [\chi_{\varphi\mu}(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^+] - \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}} \varphi^{+\dagger} [\theta_\varphi^\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^0] W_\mu^\dagger - \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}} \varphi^{+\dagger} [\chi_\varphi^\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^+] W_\mu^\dagger \\
& - \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}} \varphi^{0\dagger} [\lambda_\varphi^\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^+] W_\mu - \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}} \varphi^{0\dagger} [\phi_\varphi^\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^0] W_\mu - \frac{i(g^2 - g'^2)}{2\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \varphi^{+\dagger} [\lambda_\varphi^\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^+] Z_\mu \\
& - \frac{i(g^2 - g'^2)}{2\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \varphi^{+\dagger} [\phi_\varphi^\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^0] Z_\mu + \frac{i\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2} Z^\mu \varphi^{0\dagger} [\theta_{\varphi\mu}(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^0] \\
& + \frac{i\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2} Z^\mu \varphi^{0\dagger} [\chi_{\varphi\mu}(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^+] + \frac{igg'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \varphi^{+\dagger} [\lambda_\varphi^\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^+] A_\mu \\
& + \frac{igg'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} \varphi^{+\dagger} [\phi_\varphi^\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^0] A_\mu + [\lambda_\varphi^\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^+]^\dagger (\partial_\mu \varphi^+) + [\phi_\varphi^\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^0]^\dagger (\partial_\mu \varphi^+) \\
& + [\theta_\varphi^\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^0]^\dagger (\partial_\mu \varphi^0) + [\chi_\varphi^\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^+]^\dagger (\partial_\mu \varphi^0) + \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}} [\theta_\varphi^\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^0]^\dagger \varphi^+ W_\mu \\
& + \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}} [\chi_\varphi^\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^+]^\dagger \varphi^+ W_\mu + \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}} [\lambda_\varphi^\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^+]^\dagger \varphi^0 W_\mu^\dagger + \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}} [\phi_\varphi^\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^0]^\dagger \varphi^0 W_\mu^\dagger \\
& + \frac{i(g^2 - g'^2)}{2\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} [\lambda_\varphi^\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^+]^\dagger \varphi^+ Z_\mu + \frac{i(g^2 - g'^2)}{2\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} [\phi_\varphi^\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^0]^\dagger \varphi^+ Z_\mu \\
& - \frac{i\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2} Z^\mu [\theta_{\varphi\mu}(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^0]^\dagger \varphi^0 - \frac{i\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2} Z^\mu [\chi_{\varphi\mu}(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^+]^\dagger \varphi^0 \\
& \left. - \frac{igg'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} [\lambda_\varphi^\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^+]^\dagger \varphi^+ A_\mu - \frac{igg'}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} [\phi_\varphi^\mu(W, W^\dagger, Z, A) \varphi^0]^\dagger \varphi^+ A_\mu \right\}, \tag{58}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_{\varphi\mu}(W, W^\dagger, Z, A)$, $\lambda_{\varphi\mu}(W, W^\dagger, Z, A)$, $\chi_{\varphi\mu}(W, W^\dagger, Z, A)$ and $\phi_{\varphi\mu}(W, W^\dagger, Z, A)$ are defined according to (50), (51), (52) and (53). (55) with (57) and (58) contains the generalized uncertainty principle and is invariant under local gauge transformations of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix} \varphi^+ \\ \varphi_0 \end{pmatrix} & \rightarrow \exp[i\alpha_a(x)\tau^a + i\alpha_y(x)y] \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^+ \\ \varphi_0 \end{pmatrix} \\
\mathcal{D}_\mu & \rightarrow \exp[i\alpha_a(x)\tau^a + i\alpha_y(x)y] \mathcal{D}_\mu \exp[-i\alpha_a(x)\tau^a - i\alpha_y(x)y] \quad , \quad a = 1 \dots 3. \tag{59}
\end{aligned}$$

Because of the potential the scalar field φ has a vacuum expectation value $\langle \varphi \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\mu^2}{\lambda}} \equiv \nu$. The gauge can be chosen in such a way that the component φ^+ vanishes which means that the vacuum expectation values of the components of the Higgs field, φ^+ and φ^0 , are given by

$$\langle \varphi^+ \rangle = 0 \quad , \quad \langle \varphi^0 \rangle = \nu \tag{60}$$

and the expansion around the vacuum expectation value looks as follows:

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \nu + H \end{pmatrix}. \quad (61)$$

Since all terms of the extended Lagrangian of the Higgs field contain additional derivative or potential factors with respect to the original terms, the generated mass terms for the W and Z-bosons do not differ from the usual ones and therefore the masses of the W- and Z-bosons remain the same

$$m_W = \frac{vg}{2} \quad , \quad m_Z = \frac{\nu\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2}. \quad (62)$$

The same holds for the electron mass arising from the Yukawa coupling term

$$\mathcal{L}'_{Yukawa} = -G_e (\nu_{eL}, e_L) \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^+ \\ \varphi^0 \end{pmatrix} e_R + h.c., \quad (63)$$

which is of course not changed as already mentioned above and yields the electron mass

$$m_e = G_e \nu. \quad (64)$$

C. Gauge Field Sector of the Generalized Electroweak Theory

The Lagrangian of the gauge gauge field sector is a special manifestation of the general case of the generalized Yang-Mills Lagrangian (18) with (17),(19),(20),(21),(22) and (23). In the special case of the generalized electroweak theory there are four generators. If the three generators of the $SU(2)$ with respect to the weak isospin and the hypercharge are related to the general generators of (18) in the following way

$$T^0 = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1} \quad , \quad T^1 = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^1 \quad , \quad T^2 = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \quad , \quad T^3 = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^3, \quad (65)$$

this means for the corresponding structure constants

$$f^{ijk} = \begin{cases} \epsilon^{ijk} & \text{for } i, j, k \in \{1...3\}, \text{ where } \epsilon^{ijk} \text{ denotes the total antisymmetric tensor} \\ 0, & \text{if at least one of the indices is equal to zero,} \end{cases} \quad (66)$$

since $[T^i, T^j] = i\epsilon^{ijk}T^k$, $[T^i, T^0] = 0$ and $[T^0, T^0] = 0$ for $i, j, k \in \{1...3\}$. To determine the corresponding components of the vector field, which are assumed to contain the gauge coupling constants with respect to this consideration, there have to be used the relations (28) which define the vector fields W^μ , $W^{\dagger\mu}$, Z^μ and A^μ leading to

$$A_0^\mu = \frac{g'^2 Z^\mu}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} + \frac{gg' A_\mu}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}, \quad A_1^\mu = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} (W^\mu + W^{\dagger\mu}), \quad A_2^\mu = \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} (W^\mu - W^{\dagger\mu}), \quad A_3^\mu = \frac{g^2 Z^\mu}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} - \frac{gg' A^\mu}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}, \quad (67)$$

if the components of the gauge field are modified by the corresponding gauge coupling constants as additional factors. This means that the gauge field sector of the electroweak theory is the Lagrangian (18) with (17),(19), (20),(21),(22) and (23), if one assumes that the index runs from 0 to 3 and the structure constants and vector field components with respect to the Lie Algebra space correspond to (66) and (67). This Lagrangian contains the generalized uncertainty principle and is invariant under local gauge transformations of the form

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu \rightarrow \exp[i\alpha^a(x)T^a] \mathcal{D}_\mu \exp[-i\alpha^a(x)T^a] \quad , \quad a = 0...3. \quad (68)$$

V. RELATION TO QUANTUM CHROMODYNAMICS IN THE QUARK SECTOR

The Lagrangian of quantum chromodynamics itself under incorporation of a generalized uncertainty principle can obviously be obtained very easily, if there is known the above consideration of the general case of Yang-Mills theories. One has just to consider the special case of the $SU(3)_c$ in the above consideration of general Yang-Mills theories and then the corresponding special Lagrangians (13) and (18) describe the theory, if as generators T^a the eight Gell-Mann matrices are used and the f^{abc} are accordingly identified with their structure constants. However, things become more intricate, if there is explored the combination of the electroweak theory and quantum chromodynamics which means that there is considered the full gauge group of the standard model, $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U_Y(1)$, as it appears in the quark sector. This is the case because of the fact that a combination of various gauge groups leads to a coupling between the different potentials corresponding to these gauge groups, if there is presupposed a generalized uncertainty principle. This is already the case within the generalized lepton Lagrangian (41) and also within the extended electroweak gauge field Lagrangian, where the photon field couples to the W- and Z-bosons. But in the quark sector even appears a combination of the strong and the electroweak interaction which are completely independent of each other in the usual formulation of the standard model. The quark sector of the standard model contains three doublets with respect to the $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$

$$\left(\frac{1+\gamma_5}{2}\right)\begin{pmatrix} u \\ a_u \end{pmatrix}, \quad \left(\frac{1+\gamma_5}{2}\right)\begin{pmatrix} c \\ a_c \end{pmatrix}, \quad \left(\frac{1+\gamma_5}{2}\right)\begin{pmatrix} t \\ a_t \end{pmatrix}, \quad (69)$$

where u , c and t denote the components describing the up-,charm and top-quark and the components a_u , a_c and a_t are defined as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_u \\ a_c \\ a_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix}, \quad (70)$$

where d , s and b denote the states of the down-, strange- and bottom-quark and V denotes the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa-matrix, which generates a mixture of the quarks which third component of the weak isospin is equal to $-1/2$. The corresponding covariant derivative is

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu + iA_\mu^a \tau^a - \frac{i}{3}B_\mu y + i\mathcal{G}_C C_\mu^c G^c, \quad a = 1\dots 3, \quad c = 1\dots 8, \quad (71)$$

where τ^a and y are defined according to (25), the C_μ^a are the components of the gauge potential belonging to the $SU(3)_c$, correspondingly the G^a denote the eight Gell-Mann matrices with respect to the colour space as generators of the $SU(3)_c$ and \mathcal{G}_C describes the coupling constant of the strong interaction. The different factor of the y -term arises from the different hypercharge of the quarks leading according to (48) to the charge values of $q = +2/3$ and $q = -1/3$ respectively. Using the following definitions:

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu = \cos\theta_W A_\mu^a \left(\frac{1+\gamma_5}{4}\right) \sigma^a - \frac{\sin\theta_W}{3} B_\mu \left[\left(\frac{1+\gamma_5}{4}\right) \mathbf{1} + \left(\frac{1-\gamma_5}{2}\right)\right], \quad \mathcal{G}_E = \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}, \quad C_\mu = C_\mu^a G^a, \quad (72)$$

the covariant derivative (71) reads

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu + i\mathcal{G}_E \mathcal{A}_\mu + i\mathcal{G}_C C_\mu. \quad (73)$$

If this expression (73) of the covariant derivative is inserted into the general expression for the generalized covariant derivative (9), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_\mu &= [1 - \beta(\partial^\rho + i\mathcal{G}_E \mathcal{A}^\rho + i\mathcal{G}_C \mathcal{C}^\rho)(\partial_\rho + i\mathcal{G}_E \mathcal{A}_\rho + i\mathcal{G}_C \mathcal{C}_\rho)](\partial_\mu + i\mathcal{G}_E \mathcal{A}_\mu + i\mathcal{G}_C \mathcal{C}_\mu) \\ &= \partial_\mu + i\mathcal{G}_E \mathcal{A}_\mu + i\mathcal{G}_C \mathcal{C}_\mu - \beta(\partial^\rho \partial_\rho \partial_\mu + i\mathcal{G}_E \partial^\rho \partial_\rho \mathcal{A}_\mu + 2i\mathcal{G}_E \partial^\rho \mathcal{A}_\mu \partial_\rho + i\mathcal{G}_E \mathcal{A}_\mu \partial^\rho \partial_\rho + i\mathcal{G}_C \partial^\rho \partial_\rho \mathcal{C}_\mu + 2i\mathcal{G}_C \partial^\rho \mathcal{C}_\mu \partial_\rho \\ &\quad + i\mathcal{G}_C \mathcal{C}_\mu \partial^\rho \partial_\rho + i\mathcal{G}_E \partial^\rho \mathcal{A}_\rho \partial_\mu - \mathcal{G}_E^2 \partial^\rho \mathcal{A}_\rho \mathcal{A}_\mu - \mathcal{G}_E \mathcal{G}_C \partial^\rho \mathcal{A}_\rho \otimes \mathcal{C}_\mu + 2i\mathcal{G}_E \mathcal{A}^\rho \partial_\rho \partial_\mu - 2\mathcal{G}_E^2 \mathcal{A}^\rho \partial_\rho \mathcal{A}_\mu - 2\mathcal{G}_E^2 \mathcal{A}^\rho \mathcal{A}_\mu \partial_\rho \\ &\quad - 2\mathcal{G}_E \mathcal{G}_C \mathcal{A}^\rho \otimes \partial_\rho \mathcal{C}_\mu - 2\mathcal{G}_E \mathcal{G}_C \mathcal{A}^\rho \otimes \mathcal{C}_\mu \partial_\rho + i\mathcal{G}_C \partial^\rho \mathcal{C}_\rho \partial_\mu - \mathcal{G}_E \mathcal{G}_C \mathcal{A}_\mu \otimes \partial^\rho \mathcal{C}_\rho - \mathcal{G}_C^2 \partial^\rho \mathcal{C}_\rho \mathcal{C}_\mu + 2i\mathcal{G}_C \mathcal{C}^\rho \partial_\rho \partial_\mu \\ &\quad - 2\mathcal{G}_E \mathcal{G}_C \partial^\rho \mathcal{A}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{C}_\rho - 2\mathcal{G}_E \mathcal{G}_C \mathcal{A}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{C}^\rho \partial_\rho - 2\mathcal{G}_C^2 \mathcal{C}^\rho \partial_\rho \mathcal{C}_\mu - 2\mathcal{G}_C^2 \mathcal{C}^\rho \mathcal{C}_\mu \partial_\rho - \mathcal{G}_E^2 \mathcal{A}^\rho \mathcal{A}_\rho \partial_\mu - i\mathcal{G}_E^3 \mathcal{A}^\rho \mathcal{A}_\rho \mathcal{A}_\mu \\ &\quad - i\mathcal{G}_E^2 \mathcal{G}_C \mathcal{A}^\rho \mathcal{A}_\rho \otimes \mathcal{C}_\mu - \mathcal{G}_C^2 \mathcal{C}^\rho \mathcal{C}_\rho \partial_\mu - i\mathcal{G}_E \mathcal{G}_C^2 \mathcal{A}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{C}^\rho \mathcal{C}_\rho - i\mathcal{G}_C^3 \mathcal{C}^\rho \mathcal{C}_\rho \mathcal{C}_\mu - 2\mathcal{G}_E \mathcal{G}_C \mathcal{A}^\rho \otimes \mathcal{C}_\rho \partial_\mu \\ &\quad - 2i\mathcal{G}_E^2 \mathcal{G}_C \mathcal{A}^\rho \mathcal{A}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{C}_\rho - 2i\mathcal{G}_E \mathcal{G}_C^2 \mathcal{A}^\rho \otimes \mathcal{C}_\rho \mathcal{C}_\mu). \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

Within the covariant derivative (74) obviously appear terms which contain products of \mathcal{A}_μ and \mathcal{C}_μ , which live in the product space of the $SU(3)_c$ Lie algebra of quantum chromodynamics and the $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ Lie algebra of the electroweak theory, which generators are tensor products of the Gell-Mann matrices with the generators of the electroweak theory (25). This means nothing else than that the gluons mediating the strong interaction and the mediation particles of the electroweak interaction are coupled to each other according to this generalized version of the description of the interactions of particle physics. This holds for the quark sector as well as for the gauge field sector, which both contain the covariant derivative (74). If the three left handed quark doublets are subsumed into Q_L and the flavour triplets of the right handed quarks with charge $q = +2/3$ and $q = -1/3$ are subsumed into Q_R^+ and Q_R^-

$$Q_L = \left(\frac{1+\gamma_5}{2} \right) \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u \\ a_u \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} c \\ a_c \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} t \\ a_t \end{pmatrix} \right\} \quad , \quad Q_R^+ = \left(\frac{1-\gamma_5}{2} \right) \begin{pmatrix} u \\ c \\ t \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad Q_R^- = \left(\frac{1-\gamma_5}{2} \right) \begin{pmatrix} a_u \\ a_c \\ a_t \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad (75)$$

where Q_L , Q_R^+ and Q_R^- are of course also vectors in the colour space, then the Lagrangian of the quark sector of the standard model reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}'_{quark} &= i\bar{Q}_L \gamma^\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu Q_L + i\bar{Q}_R^+ \gamma^\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu Q_R^+ + i\bar{Q}_R^- \gamma^\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu Q_R^- \\ &\equiv \mathcal{L}_q \text{ EW} + \mathcal{L}_q \text{ EW-QCD} + \mathcal{L}_q \text{ QCD}. \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

This Lagrangian (76) contains the generalized gauge principle and is invariant under local gauge transformations of the form

$$\begin{aligned} Q_L &\rightarrow \exp [i\alpha_a(x)\tau^a + i\alpha_y(x)y + i\alpha_G^b(x)G^b] Q_L \quad , \quad Q_R^{+/-} \rightarrow \exp [i\alpha_y(x)y + i\alpha_G^b(x)G^b] Q_R^{+/-}, \\ \mathcal{D}_\mu &\rightarrow \exp [i\alpha_a(x)\tau^a + i\alpha_y(x)y + i\alpha_G^b(x)G^b] \mathcal{D}_\mu \exp [-i\alpha_a(x)\tau^a - i\alpha_y(x)y - i\alpha_G^b(x)G^b], \quad a = 1\dots 3, \quad b = 1\dots 8. \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

The interesting term in (76) is $\mathcal{L}_q \text{ EW-QCD}$ containing the intersection between the electroweak and the strong interaction which reads

$$\mathcal{L}_q \text{ EW-QCD} = i\bar{Q}_L \gamma^\mu \Delta_\mu(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}) Q_L + i\bar{Q}_R^+ \gamma^\mu \Delta_\mu(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}) Q_R^+ + i\bar{Q}_R^- \gamma^\mu \Delta_\mu(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}) Q_R^-, \quad (78)$$

where $\Delta_\mu(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\mu(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}) &= -\mathcal{G}_E \mathcal{G}_C \partial^\rho \mathcal{A}_\rho \otimes \mathcal{C}_\mu - 2\mathcal{G}_E \mathcal{G}_C \mathcal{A}^\rho \otimes \partial_\rho \mathcal{C}_\mu - 2\mathcal{G}_E \mathcal{G}_C \mathcal{A}^\rho \otimes \mathcal{C}_\mu \partial_\rho - \mathcal{G}_E \mathcal{G}_C \mathcal{A}_\mu \otimes \partial^\rho \mathcal{C}_\rho \\ &\quad - 2\mathcal{G}_E \mathcal{G}_C \partial^\rho \mathcal{A}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{C}_\rho - 2\mathcal{G}_E \mathcal{G}_C \mathcal{A}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{C}^\rho \partial_\rho - i\mathcal{G}_E^2 \mathcal{G}_C \mathcal{A}^\rho \mathcal{A}_\rho \otimes \mathcal{C}_\mu - i\mathcal{G}_E \mathcal{G}_C^2 \mathcal{A}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{C}^\rho \mathcal{C}_\rho \\ &\quad - 2\mathcal{G}_E \mathcal{G}_C \mathcal{A}^\rho \otimes \mathcal{C}_\rho \partial_\mu - 2i\mathcal{G}_E^2 \mathcal{G}_C \mathcal{A}^\rho \mathcal{A}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{C}_\rho - 2i\mathcal{G}_E \mathcal{G}_C^2 \mathcal{A}^\rho \otimes \mathcal{C}_\rho \mathcal{C}_\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$


Thus it has been emerged that the strong interaction cannot be separated from the electroweak theory anymore in a formulation of the standard model containing a generalized uncertainty principle. In such a theory there exist coupling terms and thus vertices between quarks, mediation particles of the electroweak theory and gluons and this means that the electroweak interaction is explicitly coupled to the strong interaction. This result is quite interesting because in a fundamental theory one expects that all interactions are unified. In this sense this result delivers a hint that the introduction of a generalized uncertainty principle to quantum field theory could indeed yield an appropriate description of some aspects of a fundamental theory including a quantum theoretical description of gravity as they are reflected in usual quantum field theory. This holds although these additional coupling terms represent not really a grater unification, since also gravity couples to the mediation particles of the other interactions and this is not interpreted as a kind of unified description with the other fundamental interactions. If there is incorporated the gauge group $SU(3)_c$ of quantum chromodynamics, the Lagrangian of the gauge field of course also contains these intersection between the gauge bosons of the electroweak theory and the gluons, since to built the field strength tensor of the complete standard model there has naturally to be used the covariant derivative (74) containing the gluon potential besides the electroweak potential. This would lead to a combined gauge field Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{gauge \text{ EW-QCD}} = \frac{1}{4} \text{tr} [\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}) \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})], \quad (80)$$

which combines the gauge fields of the electroweak theory and quantum chromodynamics. But this Lagrangian will not be calculated explicitly in this paper.

VI. PHENOMENOLOGICAL CONSEQUENCES


The extended electroweak theory containing a minimal length induced by a generalized uncertainty principle as it is presented in this paper could become phenomenologically very important with respect to processes at very high energies as they are reached at the LHC. Therefore in this section are discussed two classes of production respectively scattering processes being predicted by the theory, one of them refers to the Higgs sector and the other refers to the quark sector. In the Higgs sector appear new interaction terms implying additional vertices containing three and four vector bosons in the calculation to the first order in β as it is considered in this paper. This leads to new production processes of the Higgs particle. In the quark sector the completely new intersection between the electroweak and the strong interaction leads of course to completely new Feynman diagrams and corresponding scattering processes of quarks. Of course, there appear also important new interaction processes in the gauge field sector and the sector of the quark Lagrangian just containing interaction terms with the gluons which could become important as well. In the Feynman graphs drawn below plain lines denote Higgs particles, plain lines with arrows denote quarks, wiggly lines denote photons, Z -particles, W^+ -particles or W^- -particles and curly lines denote gluons. These Feynman diagrams represent classes of interactions and thus correspond to various special interactions. Because of the big number of additional terms in the generalized Lagrangians it is impossible to consider every special vertex separately. The terms in the gauge field sector of the highest order in the potential, (22) and (23), induce vertices containing five and six gauge bosons:




According to the above remark these two classes of vertices describe a big number of special interactions incorporating photons, Z -particles, W^+ -particles and W^- -particles, which are not mentioned separately here. But they can directly be obtained from (22) and (23). As all new vertices in this paper, they depend linear on the parameter β . In the gauge field sector also takes place an intersection of the electroweak and strong interaction, leading to a direct coupling of the gauge bosons of the electroweak theory and the gluons of quantum chromodynamics, which is contained in the Lagrangian (80). In the two following subsections there will be considered new processes induced by the generalization of the Higgs Lagrangian and the intersection Lagrangian of the generalized quark Lagrangian describing interactions incorporating gluons and electroweak gauge bosons.

A. New Processes Concerning the Production of Higgs Particles

Since there exists a great probability that the Higgs particle as the only constitutive ingredient of the standard model which has not been discovered so far will be discovered at the LHC, the new possible processes leading to a production of Higgs particles according to the extension of the electroweak theory presented in this paper are of particular interest. The new possible vertices can be obtained by the extended interaction terms contained in the extension term of the Lagrangian (58). The first class of new vertices describes interactions between three vector bosons and two Higgs particles and the vertices are therefore of the following shape:





The concrete mathematical expressions belonging to the very big number of special vertices which are contained in this class of vertices can directly be obtained from the Lagrangian (58), but again are not explicitly written here. These special vertices correspond to the following processes implying the production of a pair of Higgs particles: $\gamma\gamma\gamma \rightarrow HH$, $ZZZ \rightarrow HH$, $W^+W^+W^- \rightarrow HH$, $W^+W^-W^- \rightarrow HH$, $\gamma\gamma W^+ \rightarrow HH$, $\gamma\gamma W^- \rightarrow HH$, $\gamma\gamma Z \rightarrow HH$, $\gamma ZZ \rightarrow HH$, $\gamma W^+W^- \rightarrow HH$, $\gamma ZW^- \rightarrow HH$, $\gamma ZW^+ \rightarrow HH$, $ZW^+W^- \rightarrow HH$, $ZZW^+ \rightarrow HH$, $ZZW^- \rightarrow HH$. Of course, the relevance of the contribution of these processes depends on the scale of the parameter β defining the strength of the modification of the uncertainty relation and thus the value of the minimal length. The corresponding cross sections are proportional to β^2 : $\sigma \sim \beta^2$, and they are of quadratic dimension in the coupling constants of the electroweak theory, g or g' respectively. The second class of vertices describes interactions between four vector bosons and two Higgs particles. The corresponding special production processes are not considered here.



B. Combined Interaction Processes of the Electroweak and Strong Interaction in the Quark Sector


As it has been shown above in the quark sector of the extended gauge theory, given in (78) with (79), appear new interaction terms containing the gauge potential of the electroweak theory as well as the gauge potential of quantum chromodynamics. Therefore one is led to new interaction processes induced by these terms where the strong and the electroweak interaction are combined. This corresponds to the introduction of the following classes of vertices:





The first class of vertices describes the interaction of a quark with one gluon and one photon, Z -particle, W^+ -particle or W^- -particle. The second class of vertices describes the interaction of a quark with two gauge bosons of the electroweak theory and a gluon and the third class of vertices describes the interaction of a quark with one gauge boson of the electroweak theory and two gluons. The flavour and colour of the quark is not determined.

If one considers the scattering process of two quarks at the tree level for example, the Feynman graph below yields the most important contribution of the intersection term of the extended Lagrangian of the quark sector, since the two vertices are quadratic in the coupling constant of the strong interaction \mathcal{G}_C . The other two vertices are just linear in the coupling constant of the strong interaction and therefore the corresponding quark scattering processes give a contribution being much smaller.



Of course, the diagram describing the quark scattering, corresponds to various processes, since the quark colour and flavour is not determined and the gauge boson of the electroweak theory as one of the three mediation particles can be a photon, Z -particle, W^+ -particle or W^- -particle. Since the two vertices are proportional to β , \mathcal{G}_C^2 and \mathcal{G}_E , the corresponding cross sections of these processes are proportional to β^4 , \mathcal{G}_C^8 and \mathcal{G}_E^4 : $\sigma \sim \beta^2 \mathcal{G}_C^4 \mathcal{G}_E^2$, where \mathcal{G}_E is defined according to (72). The above diagram also describes an annihilation respectively production process of quarks mediated by two gluons and one electroweak gauge boson: $q\bar{q} \rightarrow gg\gamma/Z/W^+/W^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$. Depending on the special gauge boson of the electroweak theory, a quark-anti-quark pair can be converted to a quark-anti-quark pair of new flavour. It is not possible to explore the rich phenomenology of the extended electroweak theory completely in this paper. Therefore there has just been given a short discussion of possibly relevant phenomenological consequences arising from the presented theory without determining concrete expressions for cross sections. But these considerations should inspire more elaborate investigations of the mentioned phenomenology with respect to continuing research projects.

VII. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In this paper the extension of gauge theories according to the introduction of a generalized uncertainty principle to quantum field theory as it was considered in [49] with respect to electrodynamics and the $SO(3,1)$ gauge formulation of general relativity has been applied to the case of Yang-Mills theories with gauge group $SU(N)$ and especially to the electroweak theory of the standard model with gauge group $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$. There have been calculated the generalized Lagrangians of the matter field being coupled to the Yang-Mills gauge field and the corresponding Yang-Mills Lagrangian for the gauge field by presupposing the generalized field strength tensor which is built from the extended covariant derivative. To obtain the correct extension of the specific case of the electroweak theory, the corresponding covariant derivative containing the W^- , W^\dagger , Z - and A -field has to be inserted to the general shape of the generalized covariant derivative containing products of the gauge potential and maintaining gauge invariance in the presence of a generalized uncertainty principle. There have been calculated the generalized terms of the different sectors of the corresponding Lagrangian in a series expansion to the first order in the modification parameter β . This consideration leads to a much more complicated interaction structure of the electroweak theory with additional self-

interaction terms. The electromagnetic field does not only interact with itself but also with the W^- , W^+ - and Z -field. Although the dynamics of the Higgs-sector is also changed decisively, the generated masses remain unchanged, since all extension terms contain at least one additional derivative or potential factor and therefore there appear no further mass terms. The most interesting property appears in the quark sector, since the consideration of the full gauge group of the standard model, $SU(3)_c \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$, leads to a coupling between the gauge potential of the electroweak theory and the one of quantum chromodynamics. This quality has its origin in the appearing product of potentials in the generalized covariant derivative leading to intersections of the potentials, if two gauge groups are combined. Accordingly the introduction of a generalized uncertainty principle to the description of the standard model causes an interaction between the photon and the W^- and Z -particles as mediation particles of the electroweak interaction and the gluons as mediation particles of the strong interaction. This can be interpreted as a kind of consequence of the fact that on a fundamental level the interactions of the standard model are completely unified and can therefore not be separated from each other anymore. With respect to this advisement this property of the extended electroweak theory seems not to be very surprising. The presented extension of the electroweak theory yields further one of the few considerations, like [57] for example, containing an explicit relation between indirect aspects of quantum gravity and the electroweak theory. Considerations of generalizations of the standard model with respect to noncommutative geometry can be found in [54],[55],[56]. Of course, the generalization of the electroweak theory in [54] is closely related to the generalized description of the electroweak theory presented in this paper, since the concept of noncommuting coordinates is associated with the generalized uncertainty principle. Finally there have been considered some special processes which could lead to observable effects at the LHC. This depends of course on the scale defined by the parameter β within the generalized uncertainty principle. The extension of the Higgs Lagrangian is of particular interest, since the Higgs particle as a decisive ingredient of the standard model is still undiscovered and is expected to be discovered at the LHC. There appear new processes where the annihilation of three or respectively four gauge bosons leads to the production of two Higgs particles what could give a significant contribution to the production rate of Higgs particles at the LHC. One possible process would be the annihilation of three photons leading to a production of a pair of Higgs particles, $\gamma\gamma\gamma \rightarrow HH$. Besides, the intersection of the electroweak and the strong interaction in the quark sector leads to completely new vertices which could give important contributions to quark scattering processes at high energies. The calculation of concrete cross sections with respect to the Higgs as well as the quark sector would be a very interesting topic for further research projects continuing the considerations of this paper.

Acknowledgement: I would like to thank the Messer Stiftung for financial support.

-
- [1] M. Maggiore, Phys. Lett. B **319** (1993) 83 [arXiv:hep-th/9309034].
 - [2] A. Kempf, G. Mangano and R. B. Mann, Phys. Rev. D **52** (1995) 1108 [arXiv:hep-th/9412167].
 - [3] H. Hinrichsen and A. Kempf, J. Math. Phys. **37** (1996) 2121 [arXiv:hep-th/9510144].
 - [4] A. Kempf, J. Math. Phys. **38** (1997) 1347 [arXiv:hep-th/9602085].
 - [5] A. Kempf and G. Mangano, Phys. Rev. D **55** (1997) 7909 [arXiv:hep-th/9612084].
 - [6] M. Lubo, Phys. Rev. D **61** (2000) 124009 [arXiv:hep-th/9911191].
 - [7] L. N. Chang, D. Minic, N. Okamura and T. Takeuchi, Phys. Rev. D **65** (2002) 125027 [arXiv:hep-th/0111181].
 - [8] S. Hossenfelder, M. Bleicher, S. Hofmann, J. Ruppert, S. Scherer and H. Stoecker, Phys. Lett. B **575** (2003) 85 [arXiv:hep-th/0305262].
 - [9] U. Harbach, S. Hossenfelder, M. Bleicher and H. Stoecker, Phys. Lett. B **584** (2004) 109 [arXiv:hep-ph/0308138].
 - [10] U. Harbach and S. Hossenfelder, Phys. Lett. B **632** (2006) 379 [arXiv:hep-th/0502142].
 - [11] M. Bleicher, P. Nicolini, M. Sprenger, [arXiv:1011.5225 [hep-ph]].
 - [12] S. Hossenfelder, Phys. Rev. D **70** (2004) 105003 [arXiv:hep-ph/0405127].
 - [13] S. Hossenfelder, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 105013 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0603032].
 - [14] S. Hossenfelder, Class. Quant. Grav. **25** (2008) 038003 [arXiv:0712.2811 [hep-th]].
 - [15] J. Y. Bang and M. S. Berger, Phys. Rev. D **74** (2006) 125012 [arXiv:gr-qc/0610056].
 - [16] F. Buisseret, Phys. Rev. A **82** (2010) 062102. [arXiv:1011.3690 [hep-th]].
 - [17] K. Nozari, P. Pedram, Europhys. Lett. **92** (2010) 50013. [arXiv:1011.5673 [hep-th]].
 - [18] Y. Shibusa, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **22** (2007) 5279 [arXiv:0704.1545 [hep-th]].
 - [19] R. Percacci, G. P. Vacca, Class. Quant. Grav. **27** (2010) 245026. [arXiv:1008.3621 [hep-th]].
 - [20] Y. W. Kim, H. W. Lee and Y. S. Myung, Phys. Lett. B **673** (2009) 293 [arXiv:0811.2279 [gr-qc]].
 - [21] T. Matsuo, Y. Shibusa, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A21** (2006) 1285-1296. [hep-th/0511031].
 - [22] S. K. Moayed, M. R. Setare, H. Moayeri, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **49** (2010) 2080-2088. [arXiv:1004.0563 [hep-th]].
 - [23] M. Maggiore, Phys. Lett. B **304** (1993) 65 [arXiv:hep-th/9301067].
 - [24] M. Maggiore, Phys. Rev. D **49** (1994) 5182 [arXiv:hep-th/9305163].
 - [25] F. Scardigli, Phys. Lett. B **452** (1999) 39 [arXiv:hep-th/9904025].
 - [26] S. Capozziello, G. Lambiase and G. Scarpetta, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **39** (2000) 15 [arXiv:gr-qc/9910017].
 - [27] L. B. Crowell, Found. Phys. Lett. **12** (1999) 585.

- [28] L. N. Chang, D. Minic, N. Okamura and T. Takeuchi, Phys. Rev. D **65** (2002) 125028 [arXiv:hep-th/0201017].
- [29] W. Kim, Y. W. Kim and Y. J. Park, Phys. Rev. D **74** (2006) 104001 [arXiv:gr-qc/0605084].
- [30] M. I. Park, Phys. Lett. B **659** (2008) 698 [arXiv:0709.2307 [hep-th]].
- [31] F. Scardigli and R. Casadio, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **18** (2009) 319 [arXiv:0711.3661 [hep-th]].
- [32] W. Kim, E. J. Son and M. Yoon, JHEP **0801** (2008) 035 [arXiv:0711.0786 [gr-qc]].
- [33] A. Bina, K. Atazadeh and S. Jalalzadeh, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **47** (2008) 1354 [arXiv:0709.3623 [gr-qc]].
- [34] M. V. Battisti and G. Montani, Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 023518 [arXiv:0707.2726 [gr-qc]].
- [35] T. Zhu, J. R. Ren and M. F. Li, Phys. Lett. B **674** (2009) 204 [arXiv:0811.0212 [hep-th]].
- [36] M. V. Battisti and G. Montani, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **23** (2008) 1257 [arXiv:0802.0688 [gr-qc]].
- [37] B. Vakili, Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 044023 [arXiv:0801.2438 [gr-qc]].
- [38] Y. S. Myung, Phys. Lett. B **681** (2009) 81 [arXiv:0909.2075 [hep-th]].
- [39] Y. S. Myung, Phys. Lett. B **679** (2009) 491 [arXiv:0907.5256 [hep-th]].
- [40] A. F. Ali, S. Das and E. C. Vagenas, Phys. Lett. B **678** (2009) 497 [arXiv:0906.5396 [hep-th]].
- [41] A. Farmany, M. Dehghani, M. R. Setare and S. S. Mortazavi, Phys. Lett. B **682** (2009) 114.
- [42] Z. H. Li, Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 084013.
- [43] A. Bina, S. Jalalzadeh and A. Moslehi, Phys. Rev. D **81** (2010) 023528 [arXiv:1001.0861 [gr-qc]].
- [44] W. Kim, Y. J. Park and M. Yoon, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **25** (2010) 1267 [arXiv:1003.3287 [gr-qc]].
- [45] A. F. Ali, Class. Quant. Grav. **28** (2011) 065013. [arXiv:1101.4181 [hep-th]].
- [46] S. Das and E. C. Vagenas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** (2008) 221301 [arXiv:0810.5333 [hep-th]].
- [47] B. Vakili, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **D18** (2009) 1059-1071. [arXiv:0811.3481 [gr-qc]].
- [48] W. Kim, J. J. Oh, JHEP **0801** (2008) 034. [arXiv:0709.0581 [hep-th]].
- [49] M. Kober, Phys. Rev. D **82** (2010) 085017 [arXiv:1008.0154 [physics.gen-ph]].
- [50] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19** (1967) 1264.
- [51] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields, Volume II: Modern Applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1996.
- [52] C. N. Yang and R. L. Mills, Phys. Rev. **96**, 191-195 (1954).
- [53] P. Ramond, *Field Theory A modern Primer*, Westview Press 1990.
- [54] X. Calmet, B. Jurco, P. Schupp, J. Wess and M. Wohlgenannt, Eur. Phys. J. C **23** (2002) 363 [arXiv:hep-ph/0111115].
- [55] X. Calmet and M. Wohlgenannt, Phys. Rev. D **68** (2003) 025016 [arXiv:hep-ph/0305027].
- [56] X. Calmet, Eur. Phys. J. C **50** (2007) 113 [arXiv:hep-th/0604030].
- [57] M. N. Chernodub, A. J. Niemi, Phys. Rev. **D77** (2008) 127902. [arXiv:0709.0586 [hep-ph]].