Optical counterpart of Foucault pendulum.
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The twin beam vortex interferometer with phase-conjugating mirror in rotating reference frame is analyzed. Using the concept of the ideal phase-conjugating mirror it is shown that motion of helical interference pattern of interacting vortex photons with topological charge \( \ell \) may be used for detection of the slow rotations. Circular pattern motion is due to exchange of angular momenta between photons and interferometer. The higher density of interference fringes may improve sensitivity 2 \( \ell \) times compared to conventional Michelson interferometry.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The rotation of the Earth was a one of the most controversial issues of natural philosophy during centuries in transition from Medieval period to Renaissances and afterwards. The invention of Foucault pendulum \(^1\) and mechanical gyro realized by Johann Bohnenberger in 1817 did not stopped these controversies but stimulated further studies of the Earth motion stimulated by navigation needs. The hypothesis of the “ether wind” have led to construction of the highly effective optical instruments: Michelson interferometer to detect the small displacements and star’s dimensions \(^2\) and Sagnac gyro which works due to phase lag of counter-propagating waves caused by rotation of the reference frame \(^3\). Nowadays the Maxwell electrodynamics and Einstein relativity explain well the Sagnac effect which is in the heart of the widespread rotation sensors, technically implemented as a passive fiber gyroscopes and the active laser gyros \(^4\). In LIGO project \(^5, 6\) the Michelson interferometry with ultra long arms and ultra narrow linewidth laser source is major instrument in the gravitational waves search \(^7\). These precise instruments operate without “ether” hypothesis.

In current work we analyze a new principle of the reference frame rotation detection based upon angular Doppler effect for photons \(^8\). The rotations of optical quanta are different from a classical mechanical top. In contrast to classical top the angular momentum projection of photons on given axis \( \vec{Z} \) may have only discrete values proportional to Plank’s constant \( \hbar \). The other feature of optical vortex interferometry is robustness of vortex beams \(^11, 12\) with respect to irregularities in optical path. This happens because optical vortices \(^13, 14\) with winding number \( \ell \) conserve the orbital angular momentum (OAM) projection \( \vec{L}_z = \pm \ell \hbar \) in a free space. On the other hand rotations of optical elements in interferometer affect propagating photons \(^13\). This gives experimental possibility to detect angular velocity \( \vec{\Omega}_\oplus \) of reference frame with optical interferometer and angular Doppler effect. The key feature of proposed phase-conjugating vortex interferometer (PCVI) is usage of the wavefront reversing mirror (PCM) \(^16\) which alters direction of the photon’s angular momentum \(^17\).

The angular momentum of photons is not changed when observed from reference frames rotating with different angular velocities \(^18\). But when rotating photons pass rotating medium angular momentum changes and medium should compensate it \(^8, 19\). This happens when vortex photons propagate in interferometer placed upon a surface of rotating sphere \(^20\) (fig.1). As a result of such a spin-orbital interaction the carrier frequency \( \omega \) of vortex photon is shifted as follows:

\[
\delta \omega(t) = -\vec{L}(t) \cdot \vec{\Omega}_\oplus / \hbar = -\ell \vec{Z}(t) \cdot \vec{\Omega}_\oplus. \tag{1}
\]

When interferometer is placed somewhere in equatorial plane with \( \chi = 0 \) or at the Pole with \( \pm \chi = 90^\circ \) (where \( \chi \) is geographical latitude) \( \delta \omega \) is just rotational Doppler shift which has maximal value \( \delta \omega = \pm \vec{\Omega}_\oplus \vec{L}_z / \hbar \) at \( \phi = 0 \) and \( \delta \omega = 0 \) for \( \phi = \pi / 2 \). For arbitrary location of PCVI
spin-orbit interaction leads to Coriolis frequency shift of photon \( \delta \omega(t) = -\hat{L}(t) \cdot \hat{\Omega}_{\text{eo}} / \hbar \). In the same way conventional Foucault pendulum operates due to geometric phase \( \alpha = -2 \pi \sin \chi [21] \) acquired via transport of rotating top along a closed trajectory, where \( \phi = \pi / 2 - \chi \) is angle between rotation axis \( \hat{\Omega}_{\text{eo}} \) and angular momentum \( \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{\mathrm{F}}(t) \), \( \chi \) is geographical latitude (fig.1).

Robust detection

The paper is organized as follows. In section II the geometry of PCVI is described as an extension of Beth spin angular momentum detection experiment [22]. In section III the interference patterns in both arms of vortex Michelson interferometer are analyzed taking into account the imperfect coherence \( \gamma \) of laser source, in section IV the geometric phase shift \( \alpha = \int \delta \omega d\tau \) is obtained from space-time symmetries, in section V the influence of phase noise of laser source and PCM is evaluated and in section VI the results are summarized.

II. CONFIGURATION OF VORTEX MICHELSON INTERFEROMETER

Let us begin with a classical experiment on the optical angular momentum measurement performed by Beth in 1936 [22]. The circularly polarized light with angular momentum \( \pm \hbar \) per photon had been transmitted through the \( \lambda / 2 \) plate suspended on quartz wire. Such transparent plates are made usually from anisotropic material (quartz) which changes the angular momentum of each photon to the opposite one \( \mp \hbar \) during passage through the plate. In accordance with the second Newton’s law and the angular momentum \( \hat{\mathbf{L}} \) conservation the plate experienced the torque \( \vec{T} = \Delta \hat{\mathbf{L}} / \Delta t \), where \( \Delta \hat{\mathbf{L}} \) is the angular momentum change during time interval \( \Delta t \). The electrodynamical origin of the torque is in the noncollinearity of the electric field vector of light \( \vec{E} \) and macroscopic polarization \( \vec{P} dV \) (dipole moment of the volume \( dV \)) in birefringent plate. This noncollinearity is a manifestation of anisotropy of the \( \lambda / 2 \) plate which makes vector product \( \vec{E} \times \vec{P} \) nonzero. The arising torque is \( \vec{T} = e_0 \int (\vec{P} \times \vec{E}) dV \cong 2 \cdot \hat{I} \cdot \pi D_f^2 / \omega_{f,b} \), where the 3D integral is calculated over the plate volume, \( \hat{I} \) is light intensity, \( D_f \) is diameter of plate and \( \omega_{f,b} \) are the carrier frequencies of light waves which travel in forward (f) or backward(b) direction of \( Z - \text{axis} \). Thereby the suspending wire had been twisted and a certain deflection of plate from equilibrium position had been detected. In order to enhance the torque Beth reflectively light backwardly by a traditional metallic mirror. The important feature of his setup is additional \( \lambda / 4 \) plate near mirror to alter spin angular momentum in order to double the optical torque via return passage through suspended \( \lambda / 2 \): without \( \lambda / 4 \) plate the algebraic sum of torques on suspended plate would be zero. In fact \( \lambda / 4 \) plate performed phase-conjugation of reflected wave: the spin angular momentum of photons had been reversed.

Our proposal is to replace the traditional mirror by wavefront reversing mirror [23], which alters orbital angular momentum (OAM) of photons [17], replace \( \lambda / 2 \) plate by a sequence of the \( N \) image altering elements (alike Dove prism) [13] and to use a higher-order optical vortices with angular momentum \( \pm \hbar \) per photon [10], instead of circularly polarized light whose AM is just \( \hbar \). The usage of the photorefractive crystal phase-conjugating mirrors [24] or equivalent static 3D holograms [22] for phase-conjugation looks as the most appropriate for our purpose. The other option is to use two helically twisted phase conjugating mirror by wavefront reversing mirror [23], which alters orbital angular momentum (OAM) of photons [17], replace \( \lambda / 2 \) plate by a sequence of the \( N \) image altering elements (alike Dove prism) [13] and to use a higher-order optical vortices with angular momentum \( \pm \hbar \) per photon [10], instead of circularly polarized light whose AM is just \( \hbar \).
FIG. 2: (Color online) Phase-conjugating vortex interferometer PCVI with topological charge $\ell$ \cite{28} aligned along axis $\vec{Z}$. Azimuthal interference fringes for $\ell = 3$ and for $\ell = 6$ are shown. Stable single spatial mode $TEM_{00}$ laser output is transformed by mode converter MC in optical vortex with topological charge $\ell = 1, 3, 6$. The $N$ counterrotating Dove prisms with angular velocities $\Omega_{f}$ (only one shown) and PC mirror rotating with angular velocity $\Omega_{g}$ alter the photon’s angular momentum thereby the frequency shift $\delta\omega_{\text{ref}}$ appears. Angle $\phi$ is a tilt of $\vec{Z}$ axis to frame rotation axis $\vec{Z}_{0}$. Angle $\eta$ between $\vec{Z}$ and $\vec{Z}_{0}$ axes affects interference pattern between “helical” and “toroidal” arms of Michelson PCVI. Response of interferometer becomes maximal when $\eta$ tends to zero.

In both cases random variations of the phases of vortex waves (phase diffusion) $\Theta_{f,b}(t)$ are induced by finite laser linewidth with coherence time $\tau_{\text{coh}} = L_{\text{coh}}/c$ \cite{40}. Phase diffusion $\Theta_{f,b}(t)$ leads to diminished visibility $\gamma[2(L_{\text{PCM}} - z)]$ for nonzero path difference.

We will consider the frequency splitting induced by angular momentum transfer from photon to rotating interferometer or vice versa. Due to OAM exchange photon may acquire energy from rotating interferometer components or deliver energy to interferometer.

III. SPATIAL PATTERNS DUE TO EXCHANGE OF ROTATIONS BETWEEN PHOTONS AND INTERFEROMETER

The mutual exchange of energy and angular momentum between photon and Mach-Zehnder interferometer had been reported by Dholakia group in 2002 yet \cite{34} and the interference patterns revolving with Hz-order frequencies were recorded. In essence there is no difference, whether the single element rotates (Dove-prism \cite{29} or $\lambda/2$ plate \cite{34}) or entire interferometric setup is rotated as a whole. In all these cases the rotational Doppler shift (RDS) $\delta\omega$ will occur due to the angular momentum exchange between photon and setup. The phase-conjugating mirror will substantially simplify the implementation of such sub-$Hz$ rotation sensor because of the self-adjustment property of the PCM \cite{16}. The perfect match of amplitudes and phases of forward and backward waves achieved in the Woerdemann-Alpman-Denz photorefractive interferometer setup \cite{28} have resulted in a remarkable two–spot output pattern, obtained by virtue of beamsplitter BS placed at the entrance of interferometer (fig.2). Two-spot output of this vortex phase-conjugating interferometer \cite{28} is the result of usage of the single-charged optical vortex ($LG_{01}$) laser beam. For the higher angular momenta of photons $\ell \hbar$ the output interference pattern have 2$\ell$ spots:

$$|\vec{E}|^{2} = |E_{\text{ref}} + E_{0}|^{2} \cong I(z,r,\theta,t) \sim [1 + \gamma[2L_{\text{PCM}} - 2L_{\text{tor}}] \cdot \cos[(\omega_{f} - \omega_{b})t + 2\ell \theta]] \cdot \frac{2\nu^{2}}{D_{0}^{2}(1 + z^{2}/(k_{f,b}^{2}D_{0}^{4}))},$$

where $z$ is negative provided finite optical thickness of BS is neglected (see fig.2). For the $\ell$ charged vortices \cite{9} the 2$\ell$ spot output pattern will rotate around common center with angular velocity $\delta\omega/2\ell$, provided internal PCM mechanism is static and moving internal waves are absent \cite{8,17,27}. The similar interference pattern occurs in Mach-Zehnder vortex interferometer used for excitation of coherent vortex superpositions in quantum gases \cite{35}, slow-light media and polariton condensates \cite{30}.

The formula (5) explains 2$\ell$ spot output given by the overlapping of the two aligned $LG_{0\ell}$ optical vortices with parallel linear and antiparallel angular momenta. As in conventional Michelson interferometer the visibility of interference pattern at output port of BS is maximal when both arms have equal optical length $L_{\text{PCM}} = L_{\text{tor}}$. White-light experiments of Michelson \cite{2} have shown that constructive interference at output port occurs even in the case when $L_{\text{PCM}},L_{\text{tor}} >> L_{\text{coh}}$. In our case both helical and toroidal interference patterns will also vanish in the vicinity of BS (i.e. at small positive $z, z'$). Analogously to Michelson white light interferometer the output pattern \cite{35} will not be affected by finite coherence $L_{\text{coh}}$ of the source for $L_{\text{PCM}} \sim L_{\text{tor}}$ \cite{37}.

Noteworthy the interference pattern in nonphase-conjugating arm of interferometer located between BS and reference mirror M is composed of equispaced toroids separated by interval $\lambda/2$ \cite{17}:

$$|\vec{E}|^{2} = |E_{f} + E_{\text{ref}}|^{2} \cong I(z',r,\theta,t) \sim [1 + \gamma[2(L_{\text{tor}} - z')] \cdot \cos[\delta\omega_{\text{tor}}t - (k_{f} + k_{b})z'] \cdot \frac{2\nu^{2}}{D_{0}^{2}(1 + z'^{2}/(k_{f,b}^{2}D_{0}^{4}))},$$

where $L_{\text{tor}}$ is length of toroidal arm, $z'$ coordinate originates at beamsplitter BS and terminates at mirror M. The nonzero $\delta\omega_{\text{tor}}$ frequency shift is possible in this arm.
IV. GEOMETRIC PHASE AND ANGULAR DOPPLER SHIFT ACCUMULATION

Apparently the angular momentum of photon is not changed when viewed from reference frames rotating with different angular velocities [18, 38]. But when photon passes through rotating medium the optical angular momentum changes and rotating medium experiences recoil [3, 19]. This happens due to isotropy of space [17]. Equation (7) is valid due to invariance of Lagrangian of the system photon plus rotating object with respect to infinitesimal rotations $\delta \theta$. For this reason the ideal phase-conjugating mirror will inevitably modify the carrier frequency of reflected PC photon $\omega_b$ [17] for any angular speed $\Omega_0 \parallel$ alike Earth rotation rate $\Omega_0 \sim 10^{-5}$ rad/sec and even smaller ones.

The elementary approach based upon conservation of energy and angular momentum demonstrated by Dhokalia [34] and confirmed in other works [8] gives also the exact formula for the rotational Doppler shift induced by rotation of PCM around propagation axis $\hat{Z}$:

$$\delta \omega = \omega_b - \omega_f = \pm 2\ell \Omega_0 + \frac{2\ell^2 \cdot h}{I_{zz}},$$ (7)

where $I_{zz}$ is the moment of inertia of PCM with respect to $\hat{Z}$-axis. The second term in the right-hand size of (7) is negligible for typical masses ($m \sim g$) and sizes ($r \sim cm$) of a prisms and mirrors $h/I_{zz} \sim h/(m \cdot r^2) \approx 10^{-27}$ rad/sec. The frequency shift $\delta \omega$ is due to the inversion of the angular momentum in reflection from rotating PCM (2$\ell$h) and double passage through rotating Dove prism (4$\ell$h). Using this physically transparent arguments [8] it is easy to obtain expression for the net frequency shift for the photon, which passed twice, in forward and backward directions, through N image inverting elements, say Dove prisms [14] after reflection from the phase-conjugating mirror $\delta \omega_c = 4 \Omega_0 \ell(N + 1/2)$.

A. Invariance of rotational frequency shift

In a rest (nonrotating) frame the generalization of energy and angular momentum conservation for vectorial case is as follows:

$$h \omega_f + \frac{|\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t)|^2}{2I_{zz}} = h \omega_b + \frac{|\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t)|^2}{2I_{zz}},$$

$$\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t) + \vec{\mathcal{L}} = \vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t) + \vec{\mathcal{L}},$$ (8)

where $\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t)$ and $\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t)$ are angular momenta of PCM and of photon correspondingly before $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ and after $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ photon’s reflection [8]. The important simplification is due large mass of PCM compared to photon thus tensor of inertia $I_{ij}$ is indistinguishable from those of spherical body ($I_{zz} = I_{yy} = I_{xx}$) and angular momentum $\vec{\mathcal{L}}$ with respect to arbitrarily oriented axis $\hat{Z}$ is just $\vec{\mathcal{L}} = \vec{\mathcal{L}}_{zz} = \vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t)$, hence in our case $\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc} = \vec{\mathcal{L}}_{zz}$.

The slow rotation of frame leads to perpetual adiabatic tilt of axis $\hat{Z}(t) || \vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc} || \vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}$ (fig.1). Noteworthy the accurate handling with angular momentum of photon as a classical (!) vector $\vec{\mathcal{L}}$ is compatible with exact quantum picture. To show this consider the time-dependent axis of photon propagation $\hat{Z}(t)$ as a measurement axis [18, 38].

Quantum mechanically the projection of $\vec{\mathcal{L}}$ on a measurement axis $\hat{Z}(t)$ may have discrete values only $\mathcal{L}_z = -\ell h, \ldots + \ell h$. The multiplication of both sides of second equation in (8) by $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}$ yields:

$$\vec{\mathcal{L}} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t) + |\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t)|^2 = \vec{\mathcal{L}} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t) + \vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t) \cdot \vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t),$$

$$t \mathcal{h}[\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}] |\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t)|^2 = -t \mathcal{h}[\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}] |\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t)|^2 = -t \mathcal{h}[\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}] |\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t)|^2,$$

$$\mathcal{h}[\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}] |\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t)|^2 = -t \mathcal{h}[\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}] |\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t)|^2 = -t \mathcal{h}[\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}] |\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t)|^2,$$

$$|\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t)|^2 = -t \mathcal{h}[\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}] |\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t)|^2 = -t \mathcal{h}[\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}] |\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t)|^2,$$

where quantization of photons angular momentum projection is included explicitly, while angular momentum of measurement device (PCM) remains a classical vector $\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}$. The second equation is due to reversal of OAM in reflection from PCM [17].

After careful algebra with first equation in (8) and second equation in (2):

$$\mathcal{h}[\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}] |\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t)|^2 = -t \mathcal{h}[\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}] |\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t)|^2 = -t \mathcal{h}[\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}] |\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t)|^2,$$

one may obtain the tiny shift of photons carrier frequency $\delta \omega$ for noncollinear vectors $\hat{Z}(t) \parallel \vec{\mathcal{L}}_0 = |\vec{\mathcal{L}}_0| \cos \phi(t)$ as a result of an stepwise, phase-conjugating OAM reversal from $\mathcal{L}_z = \pm \ell h$ to $\mathcal{L}_z = \mp \ell h$:

$$h \delta \omega(t) = \frac{|\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t)| - |\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t)|^2}{2I_{zz}},$$

$$h \delta \omega(t) = \frac{|\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t)| - |\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t)|^2}{2I_{zz}} = -2t \mathcal{h}[\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}] |\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}(t)|^2 + \frac{2t^2 \mathcal{h}^2 \cos^2 \phi(t)}{I_{zz}}.$$ (11)

This $\delta \omega(t)$ shift coincides exactly with (11):

$$\delta \omega(t) = -\Delta \hat{\mathcal{L}} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc} / \hbar, \ \delta \omega = -2t \hat{Z}(t) \cdot \vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}, \ |\Delta \hat{\mathcal{L}}| = 2t \mathcal{h}.$$ (12)

Hence exchange of angular momenta between photons and tilted rotating vortex interferometer results in angular Doppler shift affected by Coriolis multipliers ($\cos \phi(t)$ and $\cos \xi(t)$) in scalar product $\hat{Z}(t) \cdot \vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}$. As in a case of Foucault pendulum the 2$\ell$ spot interference pattern follows to rotation of reference frame $\vec{\mathcal{L}}_{pc}$. The angle of
rotation $\alpha(t)$ in a given moment $t$ equals to geometrical phase acquired by rotating top moving on a surface of a sphere:

$$\alpha(t) = -\int_0^t \frac{\Delta \mathbf{L}(t) \cdot \mathbf{\Omega}_\oplus}{h} dt = -2\ell \int_0^t \mathbf{Z}(t) \cdot \mathbf{\Omega}_\oplus dt.$$  \hfill (13)

In a rotating frame the energy and angular momentum conservation for vectorial case is as follows:

$$\hbar \omega_f + 0 - \ell \hbar \mathbf{\Omega}_\oplus = h \omega_b + \frac{L_{\text{pc}}(t)^2}{2I_{zz}} + \ell \hbar \mathbf{\Omega}_\oplus = \mathbf{L} + 0 = L_{\text{pc}}(t) + \mathbf{L},$$  \hfill (14)

where $\mp \ell \hbar \mathbf{\Omega}_\oplus$ is energy transformation due to frame rotation \[21\]. Noteworthy the alternation of sign of this term due to reflection from PCM \[17\]. Again after a careful algebra the frequency shift viewed in rotating frame will be identical to those in rest frame:

$$\delta \omega(t) = -2\ell \mathbf{Z}(t) \cdot \mathbf{\Omega}_\oplus + \frac{2\ell^2 h(\mathbf{Z}(t) \cdot \mathbf{\Omega}_\oplus)^2}{I_{zz}}.$$  \hfill (15)

B. Accumulation of rotational Doppler shift

To accumulate the rotational Doppler shift the adjacent image inverting elements (say Dove prisms) should rotate in opposite directions. This feature is due to vectorial nature of angular momentum exchange between photon and rotating Dove prisms and PCM. The following ”hand rule” is valid due to $\delta \omega = -\Delta \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{\Omega}_\oplus / h$: when angular momenta of photon and image inverting element are anti-parallel the energy is transferred to photon otherwise rotation of setup is accelerated at the expense of photon \[8\]. For this reason the accumulation effect is algebraical addition not multiplication. The accumulated frequency shift $\delta \omega_c(z)$ is stepwise function of $z$ (fig.2): the smallest speed of helix rotation $|\mathbf{\Omega}_\oplus| = \delta \omega / 2\ell$ is between PCM and first Dove prism, the largest one $\delta \omega_i$ is in between last Dove prism and beamsplitter BS.

In practical realization the angular speeds of rotation $\Omega_i$ of all $N$ image inverting elements cannot be equal to each other and some random spread of angular velocities is inevitable: $\Omega_i = \Omega(-1)^i + \Omega_i$. Thus generalization of $\delta \omega_c$ is required to include the random spread of rotation frequencies $\delta \Omega_i$:

$$\delta \omega_c = -\mathbf{Z} \cdot (2\ell \mathbf{\Omega}_\oplus + 4\ell \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{\Omega}_i(-1)^i + 4\ell \sum_{i=1}^N \delta \mathbf{\Omega}_i),$$  \hfill (16)

where $\Omega_i$ is angular velocity of PC mirror.

Once PC mirror with sufficient quality is constructed the 2$\ell$ spot interference pattern (fig.2) will make one revolution per 86400 / $(2N + 1)$ seconds with $z$ axis oriented parallel to Earth rotation axis (say in setup located at equator and placed on a horizontal optical table).

C. Geometric phase acquired by Foucault pendulum and vortex interferometer

Coriolis force $\mathbf{\tilde{F}}_c(t) = -2M\mathbf{\Omega}_\oplus \times \mathbf{\tilde{V}}(t)$, where $\mathbf{\tilde{V}}(t)$ is velocity of Foucault pendulum bob in rotating frame, causes slow rotation of swinging plane with angular frequency $\Omega_{bob} = -|\mathbf{\Omega}_\oplus| \cos(\phi)$, where $\phi = \pi / 2 - \phi$ is geographical latitude. This follows from Newtonian dynamics of harmonic pendulum with mass $M$ suspended in slowly rotating frame \[21\]. The equation of motion for bob in rotating frame is:

$$M \ddot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{\tilde{F}}_g + \mathbf{\tilde{F}}_c = -M \mathbf{\tilde{g}} - M^2 \mathbf{\Omega}_\oplus \times \mathbf{\tilde{V}},$$  \hfill (17)

where $M \mathbf{\tilde{g}}$ is local gravity force. For small amplitude oscillations in $(x, y)$ plane, where $y-axis$ is North directed and $x-axis$ is East directed at $\phi$ geographical latitude, the coupled equations for harmonic oscillators are:

$$\ddot{x} = -\omega^2 x + 2\Omega_\oplus \cdot \dot{y} \cdot \sin \chi,$n
$$\ddot{y} = -\omega^2 y - 2\Omega_\oplus \cdot \dot{x} \cdot \sin \chi,$$  \hfill (18)

where $\omega = \sqrt{g/L_F} = 2\pi / T_F$ is angular frequency of bob oscillations, $L_F$ is length of suspension wire. For complex vector $z = x + iy$ this system becomes:

$$\frac{d^2 z}{dt^2} + 2i\Omega_\oplus \cdot \frac{dz}{dt} \cdot \sin \chi + \omega^2 z = 0,$$  \hfill (19)

with obvious solution to the first order in $\Omega_\oplus / \omega$:

$$z = \exp[-i\Omega_\oplus \sin \chi t](c_1 \exp(i\omega t) + c_2 \exp(-i\omega t)),$$  \hfill (20)

where arbitrary constants $c_1$, $c_2$ comes from initial conditions. Noteworthy the absence of rest mass $M$ here. Thus swing plane of Foucault pendulum rotates with angular velocity $\Omega_F = -\Omega_\oplus \sin \chi$ along local gravity acceleration vector $\mathbf{\tilde{g}}$. Apparently the modulus of geometrical phase $\alpha = -2\pi \sin \chi$ acquired during one rotation reaches the maximal value at the Poles (fig.1).

For the observer in a reference frame standing on the Earth the trajectory of bob becomes curvilinear due to Coriolis force with time dependent angular momentum $L_F(t)$ directed along $-\mathbf{\tilde{g}}$:

$$\mathbf{L}_F(t) = [\tilde{r} \times \tilde{p}] = M[\tilde{z} \times \tilde{z}], \tilde{z} + \mathbf{\Omega}_\oplus \times \tilde{r} = \mathbf{\tilde{V}}.$$  \hfill (21)

After some algebra the angular momentum projection on $-\mathbf{\tilde{g}}$ as a function of time $L_{fgoc}(t)$ might be obtained under zero velocity initial condition $\tilde{z} = 0$ for $t = 0$:

$$L_F(t) = M \cdot [-2c_1c_2\Omega_\oplus \sin \chi \cos(2\omega t) + (c_1 - c_2)(c_1 + c_2) \omega \cos(\Omega_\oplus \sin \chi t)^2 \approx -M\Omega_\oplus \sin \chi \cdot 2c_1c_2 \cdot \cos(2\omega t), \quad \Omega_\oplus << \omega.$$  \hfill (22)

Hence angular momentum $L_F(t)$ oscillates with period $\pi / \omega = T_F / 2$. 
In Michelson vortex interferometer (fig.2) the photons with zero rest mass and angular momentum $L_z = \pm \ell \hbar$ are also affected by frame rotation when their angular momentum direction is changed via phase conjugation and via passage through Dove prisms [3]. The optomechanics of this spin–orbital interaction is a $\delta$-kicked one: the most of the time the photon with angular momentum $L_z = \pm \ell \hbar$ moves in free space. At the moments separated by time of flight intervals $2\Delta L/\ell c$ the $\delta$-kicks adjust the helical phase front $\exp(i\ell \theta)$ to the gradually changing orientation of interference pattern inside PCM.

The reversal of photon angular momentum in rotating PCM and Dove prism might be interpreted as effective "Coriolis" force induced by slowly moving fringes of interference pattern in PCM and tilted planes in Dove prism. This leads to rotation of helical interference pattern [3] with the similar angular velocity $\Omega_{pc} = \delta \omega / 2 \ell = -|\Omega_{\delta}| \cos \phi = \Omega_F$ as it happens with Foucault pendulum.

In both cases the initial conditions are essential. In PCVI (fig.2) the interference fringes inside PCM and vortex fringes must be adjusted when holographic plate is used as PCM, while Foucault pendulum bob ought to be gently released from maximal deflection point with zero initial velocity $\dot{z} = 0$. Then bob begins to fall towards equilibrium position but its trajectory gradually bends because of Coriolis force which is the source of periodically modulated angular momentum $L_F(t)$ [1].

D. The mutual orientation of setup rotation axis $\Omega_\delta$ and vortex propagation axes $\vec{Z}$ and $\vec{Z}'$

Consider the important issue which stems from angular momentum transformation in PCVI. Noteworthy the case when axis of rotation $\Omega_\delta$ and toroidal axis $\vec{Z}$ are mutually orthogonal the net angular Doppler shift is absent ($\delta \omega = 0$). In this case backward wave in toroidal arm acquires the additional Doppler shift $\delta \omega_{tor} = \ell \Omega_\delta$ due to angular momentum tilt at $90^\circ$ in BS after backward reflection from BS. This case is the worst suited for usage as reference wave to observe the beats [1] with angular frequency $\delta \omega$ due to superposition with backward wave from helical arm. For orthogonal helical and toroidal arms the rotational Doppler shift between waves in output port is exactly zero: this follows from hand rule used for analysis of OAM transformation in passage through BS, PCM and reflection from M. The best mutual orientation of $\vec{Z}$ and $\vec{Z}'$ is to be almost parallel ($\eta \to 0$) in order to minimize OAM change via deflection inside BS because the later rotates together with setup. For this reason the different tuning angles are selected at (fig.2): $\phi$ is the angle between rotation axis $\Omega_\delta$ (say targeted to Polar star) and helical (PCM) axis, while $\eta$ is angle between $\vec{Z}$ and $\vec{Z}'$ [1].

V. VORTEX MICHELSON INTERFEROMETER AND THE LASER PHASE NOISE

The fundamental limit on the laser phase noise is given by the Shawlow-Townes formula [39] which connects the laser linewidth $\delta \nu_{ST}$ with emitted power $P$, cavity mode bandwidth $\Delta \nu_c$ and effective temperature of the lasing medium $T$:

$$\delta \nu_{ST} \sim 4 \pi (\hbar \omega_{f,b} + k_B T) (\Delta \nu)^2 / P. \quad (23)$$

The amplitude fluctuations are assumed to form background of the narrow stimulated emission line $\delta \nu_{ST}$ which is due to the phase fluctuations diffusion with characteristic coherence time $\tau_c$.

In order to generalize the previous analysis [15] for visibility of patterns in PC vortex interferometer [17] (fig.1), let us take for definiteness the electric field envelopes in the form of Laguerre-Gaussian beam (LG) [17]:

$$E_{f,b}(\vec{r}, t) \sim \exp[ -i \omega_{f,b} t \pm ik_{f,b} z \pm i \ell \theta + i \Theta_{f,b}(t) ]$$

$$E_{f,b}^0(r/D_0) \exp[ -r^2 / D_0^2 (1 + iz/z_R) ], \quad z_R = k_{f,b} D_0^2$$

or a Bessel beam optical vortex [33]:

$$E_{f,b}(\vec{r}, t) \sim E_{f,b}^0 \cdot J_m(k \nu) \exp[ -i \omega_{f,b} t \pm ik_{f,b} z \pm i \ell \theta + i \Theta_{f,b}(t) ], \quad (25)$$

where the cylindrical coordinates $\vec{r} = (z, r, \theta)$ are used, $E_f$ stands for the forward wave (fig.1), propagating in positive Z-direction, $E_b$ stands for the wave, propagating in the opposite direction, $J_m(k \nu)$ is the m-th order Bessel function, $\Theta_{f,b}(t)$ is the random variation of the phases of partial waves, induced by both the laser linewidth and fluctuations induced by components of the interferometer [40].

The interference pattern produced by two vortices with phase noise $\Theta_f(t)$ and $\Theta_b(t)$ is as follows:

$$| \vec{E} |^2 = | E_f + E_b |^2 \approx I(z, r, \theta, t) \sim [ 1 + | \cos(\omega_f - \omega_b) t - (k_f + k_b) z + 2 \ell \theta + \Theta_f(t) - \Theta_b(t) | ] \cdot$$

$$\left[ (r/D_0)^2 \exp[ -2r^2 / D_0^2 (1 + z^2 / (k_{f,b} D_0^2) ) ] \right] \quad (26)$$

The pattern breaths due to slow random drift of phases $\Theta_f(t)$ and $\Theta_b(t)$. Taking into account that counterpropagating vortices are produced via reflection from PCM, i.e. $\Theta_f(t) = \Theta(t + T) = \Theta_b(t - T)$ where $T = 2(L_{PCM} - z) / c$ is time delay at a given point $z$ on interferometer axis, we have for statistically averaged interference pattern at
tern inside PCM looks as follows: output port (fig.2):

$$|E_f + E_0|^2 \sim \{1 + \frac{1}{2!} \left(\Theta(t) - \Theta(t - T)\right)^2\} - \cos\left(\omega_f - \omega_n) t - (k_f + k_b) z + 2f \theta\right) \cdot (r/D_0)^{2(t)} \exp\left[-\frac{2f^2}{D_0^2(1 + 2f^2/(k_f,k_b)^2 D_0^2)}\right],$$

with apparent averaging of the first term \(\left(\Theta(t) - \Theta(t - T)\right) = 0\) of this Taylor expansion justified for narrow linewidth \(\delta \nu_{ST}\). The second order correlation function \(\gamma\) or visibility of pattern is as follows:

$$\gamma[2(L_{PCM} - z)] = 1 - \frac{1}{2!} \left(\Theta(t) - \Theta(t - T)\right)^2,$$

with apparent limit \(\gamma \to 1\) as \(T \to 0\).

A. Quantum state transformation in ideal vortex phase-conjugator

Generally speaking statistics of the phase-conjugated photons changes due to mixing with amplified vacuum modes incident from open rear ports of PCM. However there exists an example of the PCM that does not change statistics of PC-reflected photons. Indeed the loop PCM proposed in [27] (fig.3) may operate without coupling of incident signal with external modes in the case of negligible absorption and amplification inside PCM. This happen in a quite realistic case of perfect 50/50 beamsplitter when each incident vortex photon in arbitrary quantum state \(|q\rangle\) moves along two paths (clockwise and counterclockwise) with identical optical lengths \(L_+\) and \(L_-\). The same happens with vacuum modes \(|0\rangle\) incident through open port of \(BS_{PCM}\) and recombining after propagation along the same equal paths \(L_+\) and \(L_-\). In Heisenberg picture the interference pattern inside PCM looks as follows:

$$\hat{E}_{ccw} = \sqrt{R} \hat{E}_v + \sqrt{T} \hat{E}_{vac},$$
$$\hat{E}_{cw} = \sqrt{T} \hat{E}_v - \sqrt{R} \hat{E}_{vac},$$

where \(\hat{E}_v\) is incident vortex field, \(\hat{E}_{vac}\) is vacuum zero-point field, \(\hat{E}_{cw}\) is clockwise field inside PCM, \(\hat{E}_{ccw}\) is counterclockwise field inside PCM. Then output annihilation (electric field) operators \(\hat{E}_{out}\) and \(\hat{E}_{noi}\) are:

$$\hat{E}_{out} = \sqrt{T} \hat{E}_{ccw} + \sqrt{R} \hat{E}_{vac},$$
$$\hat{E}_{noi} = \sqrt{T} \hat{E}_{cw} - \sqrt{R} \hat{E}_{vac},$$

or in the terms of input fields operators:

$$\hat{E}_{out} = 2\sqrt{T R} \hat{E}_v + (T - R) \hat{E}_{vac},$$
$$\hat{E}_{noi} = -2\sqrt{T R} \hat{E}_v + (T - R) \hat{E}_{vac}.$$

Thus for peculiar case \(T = R = 1/2\) the field \(\hat{E}_{out}\) is suppressed and incident vortex photon returns by constructive interference at \(BS_{PCM}\) in exactly opposite direction with inverted momentum \(-\vec{k}\) and opposite angular momentum \(-\vec{L}\) with ultimate efficiency. As a result all zero-point noise incident through the open rear port \(BS_{PCM}\) is removed outside via constructive interference at \(BS_{PCM}\).

The composite quantum state of vortex photons and vacuum fluctuations \(|\psi\rangle = |q\rangle > |0\rangle\rangle\) remains unperturbed in Heisenberg picture. Moreover for \(T = R = 1/2\) the statistics is not changed. The wavefront matching lens \(L_{pc}\) located at midway of loop (fig.3) compensates the natural beam divergence of vortex and external vacuum fields and mixing with another propagation modes, different from incident vortex.

The quantum model of vortex linewidth may use a concept of coherent state \(CS\) whose linewidth \(\delta\nu_{CS} \ll \delta\nu_{ST}\) approximately corresponds to uncertainty relation for number of photons \(n\) and phase \(\phi\):

$$\delta n \cdot \delta \phi \sim \delta \phi = \frac{1}{\delta n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar \omega_f(k_b)}{\epsilon_0 V T}},$$

where \(\epsilon_0\) is free space permittivity, \(V\) is mode volume, \(I = |E_{(f,b)}|^2\) is light intensity. One may suggest that a higher density of the interference fringes \((2\ell)^\alpha\) in helical interference pattern may improve phase sensitivity of Michelson interferometer by a factor \((2\ell)^\alpha\), where \(1 \leq \alpha \leq 2\):

$$(2\ell)^\alpha \delta n \cdot \delta \phi \sim 1; \delta \phi = \frac{1}{\delta n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} = (2\ell)^{-\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar \omega_f(k_b)}{\epsilon_0 V T}}.$$

Furthermore in a structured squeezed state limit the ultimate resolution may reach even smaller values:
(2ℓ)^n δn · δφ ∼ 1; δφ = \frac{1}{δn} = \frac{1}{n} = (2ℓ)^{−α} \frac{\hbar \omega_{(\ell, b)}}{c_0 V T} . \quad (34)

Thus with currently achievable vortex laser beams having topological charges ℓ ≈ 10^3 ÷ 10^4 \text{[45]}, the sensitivity of Michelson interferometer to displacements might be improved by 3 ÷ 4 orders of magnitude. The underlying idea is that the structured interference pattern with 2ℓ spots behind output beamsplitter (fig.2) will rotate faster when ℓ is increased. Though phase fluctuations of laser beam will mask the external perturbations of space-time metric (optical path difference in fig.2), the coarse azimuthal interference pattern structured by 2ℓ factor would facilitate the detection of tiny changes of optical paths. For this reason the already achieved sensitivity of Michelson interferometer used in LIGO detectors [7] might be improved by 2ℓ times or by 3 ÷ 4 orders of magnitude. This conjecture requires detailed quantitative study to be published elsewhere.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In summary the Michelson phase-conjugating vortex interferometer (fig.2) had been analyzed for the purpose of detection the ultraslow rotations and tiny metric disturbances using concept of an ideal PCM [27] and a fact that optical vortex propagation in free space is not affected by a choice of reference frame [8, 11]. The novel feature compared to [12] which is close to Beth’s spin of photon phase − conjugating torsion pendulum experiment [22] is an additional reference arm where nonrotating vortex beam is stored [28] (fig.2). This gives the robustness of interferometer and possibility to use broadband light source with \( L_{\text{coh}} > | L_{\text{PCM}} - L_{\text{tor}} | \) in contrast to [8, 17] where \( L_{\text{coh}} > L_{\text{PCM}} \) is a necessary condition for proper performance. The motion of interference fringes is circular thus resembling the operation of Foucault pendulum [1] which marks the points on the circle corresponding to a given rotation angle of reference frame due to changes of the optical paths difference.

The actual range of detectable frequencies of slow rotations might be affected by a number of image-inverting elements \( N \) in PCM arm of PCVI but the accumulated angular Doppler shift grows linearly with \( N \). The explicit expression have been obtained for \( \delta \omega_{\text{net}} \) with inclusion of the random spread of rotation velocities \( \Omega \).

As a well known Beth setup for optical torque measurement [22] and Mach-Zehnder vortex interferometer for rotational Doppler effect demonstration [31] our proposal (fig.2) is based entirely on Einstein relativity and Maxwell equations and no additional assumptions alike “ether theory” are needed.

The ultimate performance of Michelson vortex interferometer is limited by quantum noise of laser as in conventional Michelson interferometer. Hopefully there exists opportunity to improve sensitivity with the aid of azimuthally structured interference pattern of topologically charged laser beams [17, 28] to increase the resolution 2ℓ times. The further increase of sensitivity looks promising with interferometry using superfluids in helical traps [46–48] or exciton-polariton condensates [7].

In simplest configuration, i.e. without accumulating RDS Dove prisms (with oppositely directed \( \Omega_L \)), the proposed vortex interferometer will contain no rotating parts or lasers with unique features and rotation will be detected optomechanically. The mechanism of detection is the dragging of 2ℓ spot interference pattern by interference fringes within PCM. In this minimal configuration vortex interferometer is the optomechanical proof of the isotropy of space. From the point of view of observer located with interferometer in slowly rotating frame the 2ℓ spot pattern circulates around LG beam axis. To this rotating observer the vortex beam reflected from PCM acquires angular Doppler shift \( \delta \omega \). On the other hand from the point of view of observer placed on ”remote unmovable star” the anisotropic PC mirror drags twisted interference pattern.
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