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#### Abstract

We address the properties of self-gravitating domain walls arising from the breaking of an $S U(N) \times$ $Z_{2}$ - symmetric theory. In the particular case of $N=5$, we find that the two classes of stable nonabelian kinks possible in flat space have an analogue in the gravitational case, and construct the analytical solutions. Localization of fermion fields in different representations of the gauge group in these branes is investigated. It is also shown that non-abelian gauge fields localization cannot be achieved through interactions with the brane, but that in one of the two classes of kinks this localization can be implemented via the Dvali-Shifman mechanism.


PACS numbers: 04.50-h,11.27+d,11.30.Ly

## I. INTRODUCTION

Domain wall solutions of the Einstein-scalar field equations as a regularization of the infinitely thin RandallSundrum brane [1] have been extensively considered in the literature. While preserving the crucial property of localizing gravity [2-5], these thick walls have also some additional potentially useful properties, arising from the interaction of the scalar field with other fields in the theory [6-11], or simply from a non trivial internal structure [12-15]. The best known example is the addition of a Yukawa coupling to the wall's field [6] that enables fermions of one chirality to localize on the brane, compensating for the gravitational effect that repels them. Gauge field localization is more difficult [16-20], as the scalar field is taken invariant under any gauge symmetry, in order to ensure the stability of the wall through topological arguments.

However, as pointed out in a series of papers [21-24], it is perfectly possible to build a stable domain wall in flat space out of a scalar field transforming non trivially under a non abelian group. In particular, it is shown in [23], that a quartic field theory with a $S U(N) \times Z_{2}$ symmetry broken down by the vev of an scalar transforming under the adjoint of $S U(N)$ has perturbatively stable domain wall solutions for odd $N>3$.

It is only natural to ask if similar solutions can be found for the gravitating case. Such a thick brane would have interesting properties, as the scalar field will interact directly with the gauge sector, opening up the possibility of localizing the gauge fields on the brane. Inside the wall, a subgroup of the original symmetry would be preserved, allowing for localization of multiplets of fermions that could be of phenomenological interest.

In order to investigate this possibility, in section II we have taken a toy example with the minimal choice $N=5$, and solved the Einstein-scalar field equations for a scalar field transforming in the adjoint, with a sixth-order potential. Two symmetry breaking patterns are considered, $S U(5) \times Z_{2} \longrightarrow S U(3) \times S U(2) \times U(1) /\left(Z_{3} \times Z_{2}\right)$ and $S U(5) \times Z_{2} \longrightarrow S U(4) \times U(1) / Z_{4}$, finding in both cases
analytical domain wall solutions. We then study fermion localization on these solutions in section III, by considering its Yukawa interactions with fermion fields in the fundamental representation of $S U(5)$, and showing how the zero modes of some of the fermion components are localized.

Next, we turned to localization of gauge fields in section IV. First, we address the issue of whether the gauge interactions of the scalar field suffice to do that. We conclude that although a subset of the gauge fields have a localized massless mode on the brane, they necessarily interact with gauge fields that propagate in the bulk unveiling the presence of the extra dimension. This is true for any non-abelian wall arising from the breakdown of $S U(N) \times Z_{2}$ by a field in the adjoint representation. We then discuss the possibility of gauge field localization via the Dvali-Shifman (DS) mechanism [25] as a result of the so-called "clash of symmetries" (see e.g. [26] and references therein). We find that for the brane with symmetry breaking $S U(5) \times Z_{2} \longrightarrow S U(4) \times U(1) / Z_{4}$ this mechanism leads to such localization.

## II. SELFGRAVITATING THICK BRANES IN

## $S U(5) \times Z_{2}$

Let us consider the $(4+1)$-dimensional theory given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int d^{4} x d y \sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{R}{2}-\operatorname{Tr}\left(\partial_{m} \Phi \partial^{m} \Phi\right)-V(\Phi)\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is the scalar curvature, $g$ is the determinant of the metric, $\Phi$ is a field that transform in the adjoint representation of $S U(5)$ and $V(\Phi)$ is a potential invariant under the action of this group. ${ }^{1}$

Now, since the elements of the center of $S U(N)$ transform $\Phi$ by multiplicative factors of $\exp (2 \pi i / N)$, for even

[^0]$N$ the transformation $\Phi \rightarrow-\Phi$ is included in the center, while for odd values of $N$ it is not [23]. It follows that for $N=5$, in order to generate topological kink solutions that break the discrete $Z_{2}$ symmetry, the symmetry of (1) must be chosen as $S U(5) \times Z_{2}$.

It has been shown in [23] that in flat space and for a $S U(5)$-invariant fourth-order potential, the vev of $\Phi$ will form perturbatively stable domain walls when the symmetry breaking pattern is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S U(5) \times Z_{2} \longrightarrow \frac{S U(3) \times S U(2) \times U(1)}{Z_{3} \times Z_{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that the vevs of $\Phi$ at spatial infinity are related both by a $Z_{2}$ transformation and an $S U(5)$ one, i.e

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(y=-\infty)=-U \Phi(y=+\infty) U^{-1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U$ is an element of $S U(5)$ and $\Phi$ depends only on the coordinate $y$.

On the other hand, for a fourth-order potential with a $Z_{2}$ symmetry, it is well known that there is only one other possible minimum, giving rise to the symmetry-breaking pattern

$$
\begin{equation*}
S U(5) \times Z_{2} \longrightarrow \frac{S U(4) \times U(1)}{Z_{4}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Along the lines of [23], one can find a fourth-order potential for $\Phi$ such that imposing boundary conditions as in (3) domain walls can be formed in this case also. It is straightforward to prove that these walls are stable under small perturbations.

Each of these two patterns of symmetry breaking provides only one class of stable kinks, other solutions being either unstable or equivalent.

Having thus identified the only two possibilities of constructing a stable kink from an $S U(5) \times Z_{2}$ theory, we turn now to the issue of incorporating gravity. In order to obtain analytical kink solutions, the potential must be chosen as a sixth-order one. Kink solutions for a sixthorder potential for a single scalar field coupled to gravity have been found in [2]. For the $S U(5) \times Z_{2}$ case we begin by writing the most general potential

$$
\begin{align*}
V(\Phi) & =-m^{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\Phi^{2}\right]+h\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[\Phi^{2}\right]\right)^{2}+\lambda \operatorname{Tr}\left[\Phi^{4}\right]+\alpha\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[\Phi^{2}\right]\right)^{3} \\
& +\beta\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[\Phi^{3}\right]\right)^{2}+\gamma\left(\operatorname{Tr} \Phi^{4}\right)\left(\operatorname{Tr} \Phi^{2}\right)+V_{0} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $V_{0}$ is a constant to be fixed.
Next, we follow a similar strategy as in [23], factorizing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\phi_{M}(y) \mathbf{M}+\phi_{P}(y) \mathbf{P} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where M and P are two orthogonal diagonal generators of $S U(5)$. We demand that they satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{M}^{2}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right) & =1 / 2 \\
\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{M P})=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{M}^{3} \mathbf{P}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3} \mathbf{M}\right) & =0 \\
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{M} \mathbf{P}^{2}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{M}^{3}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{M}^{2} \mathbf{P}\right) & =0 \\
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{M} \mathbf{P}^{2}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{P} \mathbf{M}^{2}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{M}^{3}\right) & =0 \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Analytic solutions to the Einstein-scalar field system can be found, as in the case without gravity, if the crossterms in (5) containing both $\phi_{M}$ and $\phi_{P}$ vanish. This requires the couplings to be related by

$$
\begin{align*}
h & =-12 \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2} \mathbf{M}^{2}\right) \lambda \\
\alpha & =\frac{4}{3}\left[\frac{\Delta_{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{P}^{4}\right)-\Delta_{1} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{M}^{4}\right)}{\Delta_{1}-\Delta_{2}}-6 \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2} \mathbf{M}^{2}\right)\right] \gamma \\
\beta & =\frac{1}{6}\left[\frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{M}^{4}\right)-\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{P}^{4}\right)}{\Delta_{1}-\Delta_{2}}\right] \gamma \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{1} & \equiv 3\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2} \mathbf{M}\right)\right]^{2}+2 \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{M}^{2} \mathbf{P}\right) \\
\Delta_{2} & \equiv 3\left[\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{P M}^{2}\right)\right]^{2}+2 \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{M}^{3}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2} \mathbf{M}\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}$ are required not to be zero simultaneously. With $\Phi$ of the form given in (6) and the space-time metric ansatz

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=e^{2 A(y)} \eta_{\mu \nu} d x^{\mu} d x^{\nu}+d y^{2} ; \quad \mu, \nu=0,1,2,3 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{\mu \nu}$ is the four-dimensional Minkowski metric, the Einstein-scalar field equations for this system are

$$
\begin{align*}
& 3 A^{\prime \prime}=-\left(\phi_{M}^{\prime 2}+\phi_{P}^{\prime 2}\right) \\
& \frac{3}{2} A^{\prime \prime}+6 A^{\prime 2}=-V\left(\Phi_{k}\right) \\
& \phi_{M}^{\prime \prime}+4 A^{\prime} \phi_{M}^{\prime}=-\frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi_{M}} \\
& \phi_{P}^{\prime \prime}+4 A^{\prime} \phi_{P}^{\prime}=-\frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi_{P}} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where the primes indicate differentiation with respect the additional coordinate.

Now we impose trivial and non-trivial boundary conditions for $\phi_{P}$ and $\phi_{M}$ respectively

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{P}(+\infty)=\phi_{P}(-\infty)  \tag{12}\\
& \phi_{M}(+\infty)=-\phi_{M}(-\infty) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

It is now possible to find solutions to (11), provided there is still one more relation between the parameters in the potential and the constant $V_{0}$ is appropriately chosen. Let us write the potential as

$$
\begin{align*}
V= & -\frac{m^{2}}{2} \phi_{M}^{2}+\frac{\lambda_{M}}{4} \phi_{M}^{4}+\frac{\gamma_{M}}{6} \phi_{M}^{6} \\
& -\frac{m^{2}}{2} \phi_{P}^{2}+\frac{\lambda_{P}}{4} \phi_{P}^{4}+\frac{\gamma_{P}}{6} \phi_{P}^{6}+V_{0} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where the couplings $\lambda_{M}, \lambda_{P}, \gamma_{M}$ and $\gamma_{P}$ are calculated after imposing the decoupling conditions (8). Then solutions are found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(y)=v[\tanh (b y) \mathbf{M}+\kappa \mathbf{P}] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a warp factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(y)=-\frac{v^{2}}{9}\left[2 \ln (\cosh (b y))+\frac{1}{2} \tanh ^{2}(b y)\right] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\lambda_{M}}{\gamma_{M}}-\frac{9}{2}\right) ; b^{2}=\frac{9}{4} v^{2} \gamma_{M} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that

$$
\begin{align*}
m^{2} & =2 b^{2}\left(1+\frac{2}{3} v^{2}\right)  \tag{18}\\
V_{0} & =-v^{4} b^{2} \frac{8}{27}+\kappa^{4} v^{4}\left(\frac{\lambda_{P}}{4}+\frac{\gamma_{P}}{3} \kappa^{2} v^{2}\right) \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Here a crucial role is played by the constant $\kappa$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa^{2}=\frac{\lambda_{P}}{\lambda_{M}} \frac{\gamma_{M}}{\gamma_{P}} \frac{1+\sqrt{1+4 m^{2} \gamma_{P} / \lambda_{P}^{2}}}{1+\sqrt{1+4 m^{2} \gamma_{M} / \lambda_{M}^{2}}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order for the solutions to exist, $\kappa$ must be a numerical factor, and this depends on the choice of $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{P}$.

The potential $V(\Phi)$ takes the asymptotic values

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\Phi)_{y=-\infty}=V(\Phi)_{y=+\infty}=-\frac{8}{27} b^{2} v^{4} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the space-time is asymptotically $A d S_{5}$.

> A. A thick brane with symmetry breaking $S U(5) \times Z_{2} \longrightarrow S U(3) \times S U(2) \times U(1) /\left(Z_{3} \times Z_{2}\right)$

In order to satisfy the boundary conditions (3) and ensure stability, the first class of kinks has $\Phi$ taking the asymptotic values

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{\mathbf{A}}(+\infty) \sim v \operatorname{diag}(3,3,-2,-2,-2) \\
& \Phi_{\mathbf{A}}(-\infty) \sim v \operatorname{diag}(2,2,-3,-3, \quad 2) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

We take $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{A}} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{40}} \operatorname{diag}(1,1,1,1,-4) \\
\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}} & =\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} \operatorname{diag}(1,1,-1,-1,0) \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Then solutions of the form (15-19) exist and have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{\mathbf{A}}=\sqrt{5} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that they satisfy the boundary conditions (22). This solution is the gravitational analogue of the wall found in [23].

Let us briefly review the symmetry breaking induced by this wall. At spatial infinity along the bulk coordinate, the remaining gauge symmetries $H$ are the same, but correspond to different embeddings in $S U(5)$ as $y \rightarrow-\infty$ or $y \rightarrow \infty$. We have at $y \rightarrow \pm \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{ \pm}^{\mathbf{A}}=\frac{S U(3)_{ \pm} \times S U(2)_{ \pm} \times U(1)_{ \pm}}{Z_{2} \times Z_{3}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inside the wall where the vev in the $\mathbf{M}$ direction vanishes, the unbroken group $H_{0}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}^{\mathbf{A}}=\frac{S U(2)_{+} \times S U(2)_{-} \times U(1)_{M} \times U(1)_{P}}{Z_{2} \times Z_{2}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have named the $U(1)$ factors according to their generators. The embedding is such that $S U(2)_{ \pm} \subset$ $S U(3)_{\mp}$.

## B. A thick brane with symmetry breaking <br> $$
S U(5) \times Z_{2} \longrightarrow S U(4) \times U(1) / Z_{4}
$$

A brane with $\Phi$ taking the asymptotic values

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{\mathbf{B}}(+\infty) \sim v \operatorname{diag}(1,1,1,1,-4) \\
& \Phi_{\mathbf{B}}(-\infty) \sim v \operatorname{diag}(-1,-1,-1,4,-1) \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

can be found by choosing

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{B}} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{60}} \operatorname{diag}(-2,-2,-2,3,3) \\
\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{B}} & =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{diag}(0,0,0,1,-1) \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{\mathrm{B}}=\sqrt{\frac{5}{3}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

An analogue of this wall for the flat space case (with a fourth-order potential) exists, although it has not been reported to our knowledge. It is not difficult however to show that it is perturbatively stable in the flat space case. It is also straightforward to show that walls built by choosing boundary conditions with different embeddings of the $S U(4) \times U(1)$ group (i.e., interchanging the position of the elements on the diagonal of, say, $\Phi_{\mathbf{B}}(-\infty)$ ) are equivalent to this one, corresponding to the same class of kinks.

In this case, we have at spatial infinity

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{ \pm}^{\mathrm{B}}=\frac{S U(4)_{ \pm} \times U(1)_{ \pm}}{Z_{4}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and inside the wall

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}^{\mathrm{B}}=\frac{S U(3) \times U(1)_{M} \times U(1)_{P}}{Z_{3}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $S U(3)$ group in $H_{0}^{\mathbf{B}}$ is embedded in distinct manners in the $S U(4)$ groups of $H_{+}^{\mathrm{B}}$ and $H_{-}^{\mathrm{B}}$.

## III. FERMION LOCALIZATION

As is well known [6], fermions in a warped geometry are not localized on the brane, unless a Yukawa coupling with the scalar field that generates the brane forces them. In order to see what happens in the non-abelian case, we
take as an example fermions in the fundamental representation of $S U(5)$.

Consider the 5 -dimensional action in a warped spacetime with metric (10), for a massless spinor field $\Psi$ in the fundamental representation of $S U(5)$ coupled to a scalar field $\Phi$ in the adjoint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{\Psi}=\int d^{4} x d y \sqrt{-g} \bar{\Psi}^{I}\left[i \Gamma^{m} \delta^{I J} \nabla_{m}+\eta \Phi^{I J}\right] \Psi^{J} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I, J$ are $S U(5)$ indices, $m, n, \ldots$ are fivedimensional Lorentz indices, $g$ is the determinant of the metric, $\nabla_{m}$ is the covariant derivative and the $\Gamma^{m}$ satisfy the Clifford algebra. Dirac's equation reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(i \Gamma^{\mu} e^{-A} \partial_{\mu}+i \Gamma^{y}\left(2 A+\partial_{y}\right)\right) \delta^{I J}-\eta \Phi^{I J}\right] \Psi^{J}=0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now factorize the fermion field as usual

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi^{I}(x, y)=[\psi(x) f(y)]^{I} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and require that the four dimensional part $\psi^{I}$ satisfies the Dirac equation for a massless spinor, $\gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi^{I}=0$. For $\Phi$ given by (15), the solution for the chiral modes is straightforward
$f_{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}}^{I} \propto \exp \left[-2 A(y) \mp \eta v\left(Q_{M}^{I} \ln (\cosh b y) / b+\kappa Q_{P}^{I} y\right)\right]$
where $Q_{M}^{I}, Q_{P}^{I}$ are the charges of the $I$ component of the fermion multiplet under $M$ and $P$ respectively.

Notice that the term proportional to $\kappa$ can spoil localization as it diverges in either positive or negative infinity. An asymptotic analysis reveals that localization takes place only for components satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|Q_{M}^{I}\right|>\kappa\left|Q_{P}^{I}\right| \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once this equation is satisfied, the sign of $Q_{M}\left(\Psi^{a}\right)$ will determine which chiral mode is localized for a given choice of the sign of the Yukawa coupling, provided it is large enough.

Take as an example solution A. Under the brane's symmetry group $H_{0}^{\mathbf{A}}$, the fermion field decomposes into a $S U(2)_{+}$doublet $f^{+}$, a $S U(2)_{-}$doublet $f^{-}$, and a singlet $f^{0}$ under both. Only (one chiral mode of) the singlet component $f^{0}$ is localized on the brane, provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\eta|>\frac{\sqrt{10}}{9} v b \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

As another example, consider a fermion field transforming under the the $\mathbf{1 0}$-dimensional representation of $S U(5)$ : it will have one chiral mode of four of its components confined in case $\mathbf{A}$, corresponding to the bidoublet under the two $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ factors, which is not charged under $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}}$. In case $\mathbf{B}$, the $S U(3)$ triplet in the fundamental gets confined.

## IV. GAUGE FIELD LOCALIZATION

Now, let us consider the gauge field sector in the background of a selfgravitating non-Abelian domain wall generated by $\Phi$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{A}=\int d x^{4} d y \sqrt{-g}\left(-\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left(F_{m n}\right)^{2}-\mathrm{g}^{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left[A_{m}, \Phi\right]\right)^{2}\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{m n}=\partial_{m} A_{n}-\partial_{n} A_{m}+\left[A_{m}, A_{n}\right], A_{m}$ are the gauge fields and $g$ is the gauge coupling constant. We want to assess whether the interactions with the scalar field $\Phi$ can help to localize on the brane the massless zero modes of 5-dimensional gauge fields $A_{m}$. Since we are interested in the gauge field propagation in the additional dimension, we need to consider only the quadratic terms in the action. The effect of the terms of third and fourth order in the field $A_{m}$ will be discussed later.

The linear part of the equation of motion for the gauge fields $A_{m}$ is
$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_{m}\left(\sqrt{-g} g^{m p} g^{n q}\left(\partial_{q} A_{p}^{a}-\partial_{p} A_{q}^{a}\right)\right)-\left(M^{2}\right)^{a b} g^{n p} A_{p}^{b}=0$
where the mass matrix $M^{2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M^{2}\right)^{a b}=-\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left[T^{a}, \Phi\right]\left[T^{b}, \Phi\right]\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the symmetry breaking induced by $\Phi$ resembles the proposal of Ref.[16] (see also [19]) to localize zero mode gauge fields.

From (39), in the axial gauge $A_{y}=0$, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
-e^{-2 A} \square A_{\mu}^{a}+e^{-2 A} & \eta^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\beta} \partial_{\mu} A_{\alpha}^{a}-2 A^{\prime} \partial_{y} A_{\mu}^{a} \\
& -\partial_{y} \partial_{y} A_{\mu}^{a}-\left(M^{2}\right)^{a b} A_{\mu}^{b}=0 \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\nu} \partial_{y} A_{\mu}^{a}=0 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now factorize $A_{\mu}^{a}=\left(A_{\mu}(x) f(y)\right)^{a}$, and require that the four-dimensional part $A_{\mu}^{a}$ satisfies a massless Proca equation, i.e. $\square A_{\mu}^{a}=0$ and $\eta^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}^{a}=0$ [16]. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(-\partial_{y} \partial_{y}-2 A^{\prime} \partial_{y}\right) \delta^{a b}-\left(M^{2}\right)^{a b}\right] f(y)^{b}=0 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (40), it follows that the mass terms depends on the direction in $S U(5)$ space.

Let $\left(M^{2}\right)^{(n)}$ be the restriction of $M^{2}$ along a subset of generators. Along the $n_{\mathrm{br}}$ generators $\left\{T_{\mathrm{br}}\right\}$ that satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[T_{\mathrm{br}}, \mathbf{M}\right]=0, \quad\left[T_{\mathrm{br}}, \mathbf{P}\right] \neq 0 \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

the mass term is constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M^{2}\right)^{(\mathrm{br})}=c_{1} \mathrm{~g}^{2} v^{2} 1_{n_{\mathrm{br}} \times n_{\mathrm{br}}} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

These generators are broken everywhere, and we have $n_{\mathrm{br}}=8$ for solution $\mathbf{A}, n_{\mathrm{br}}=2$ for solution $\mathbf{B}$.

Along the $n_{K}$ generators $\left\{K_{ \pm}\right\}$defined as those satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[K_{ \pm}, \mathbf{M}\right]=\mp \kappa\left[K_{ \pm}, \mathbf{P}\right] \neq 0 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M^{2}\right)^{( \pm)}=c_{2} \mathrm{~g}^{2} v^{2}(\tanh (b y) \pm 1)^{2} 1_{n_{K} \times n_{K}} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $n_{K}=8$ for solution $\mathbf{A}$ and $n_{K}=12$ for solution B. Here $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are numerical factors. For all other generators in the solutions found, $M^{2}$ is zero.

Localization of gauge fields on this brane can be readily established employing the asymptotic values for the warp factor $A$ and the mass matrix $M^{2}$ as $y \rightarrow \pm \infty$. For a constant mass, as given by (45), we find that a normalizable solution exists which asimptotically behaves as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(y)^{\mathrm{br}} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} e^{-\alpha|y|}, \quad y \rightarrow \pm \infty \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{2}{9} v^{2} b\left(1+\sqrt{1-\frac{81 c_{1}}{4 b} \mathrm{~g}^{2}}\right) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for mass terms as given by (47) there are no normalizable solutions.

Thus, only vector fields with a constant mass in five dimensions, i.e. vector fields along the broken generators $T_{\mathrm{br}}$, can have a normalizable zero mode on the brane. But the set $\left\{T_{\mathrm{br}}\right\}$ is not an algebra. Hence, we have that all these normalizable zero modes, although localized on the brane, interact with fields propagating in the bulk via third and fourth order terms in (38).

There is however another possibility. The solutions A and $\mathbf{B}$ give non-trivial examples of the so-called "clash of symmetries' idea [26] to implement the DS mechanism [25] for gauge field localization. There are two different embeddings of non-abelian, confining groups outside the brane, so that the abelian gauge fields inside the brane would need to form massive glueballs in order to escape into the bulk. Non-abelian fields inside the brane would in principle have to form glueballs of a different group, so they can also become localized.

However, it is the specific nature of the breaking of $S U(5)$ that determines the possibility of obtaining localization via this mechanism. In case $\mathbf{A}$, the solution that breaks $S U(5) \times Z_{2}$ to $S U(3) \times S U(2) \times U(1) /\left(Z_{3} \times Z_{2}\right)$ asymptotically, the $S U(2)_{ \pm}$factors of $H_{0}$ are embedded in the $S U(3)_{\mp}$ part of $H_{\mp}$. Hence, $S U(2)$ glueballs inside the wall can freely escape to positive or negative infinity. The $U(1)_{M}$ and $U(1)_{P}$ gauge fields also have components in the direction of the $U(1) \pm$ generators. This renders the DS mechanism useless here.

Case B in turn has an $S U(3)$ group inside the wall, embedded (in a different manner) in the two $S U(4)$ groups outside it. It is an ideal framework for the DS mechanism of gauge localization. In this respect it is similar
to the example given in [26], where a non-abelian brane in flat space breaks $E_{6}$ to $S U(5) \times U(1) \times U(1)$ within the wall. However the (perturbative) stability in this $E_{6}$ brane is rather difficult to ascertain, and its extension to the gravitational case an open question. The breaking $S U(5) \times Z_{2} \longrightarrow S U(4) \times U(1) / Z_{4}$ gives a simpler example of a stable solution allowing for implementation of the DS idea, and as we have shown, its extension to the case including gravity is straightforward.

## V. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

We have shown that it is possible to find analytical solutions to the Einstein-scalar field equations representing a domain wall in five dimensions generated by the vev of a scalar field transforming non trivially under a non-abelian symmetry. We have found such solutions in the toy model case of the scalar being in the adjoint of $S U(5)$, with a sixth-order potential that has also a $Z_{2}$ symmetry, for the symmetry breakings $S U(5) \times Z_{2} \longrightarrow S U(3) \times S U(2) \times U(1) /\left(Z_{3} \times Z_{2}\right)$ and $S U(5) \times Z_{2} \longrightarrow S U(4) \times U(1) / Z_{4}$.

These walls are capable of confining the zero mode of some components of fermions transforming as $S U(5)$ multiplets, through a Yukawa term. We have determined how localization depends on the charge of these components under the generators that define the wall.

Non-abelian gauge fields do not seem to localize on this brane through interactions with the symmetry breaking non-abelian domain wall. We have shown that although a normalizable zero mode exists for some of these vector fields, these correspond to the generators of the broken symmetries in all space. Furthermore, they necessarily interact with the remaining vector fields that propagate in the bulk, unveiling the existence of the extra dimension. It remains to be seen the effect of brane localized kinetic terms for the gauge fields, as in [17] (see also [20]).

Finally, we have shown that the nature of the breaking of $S U(5)$ determines the possibility of obtaining gauge field localization via the DS mechanism. We find that in the brane with symmetry breaking $S U(5) \times Z_{2} \longrightarrow$ $S U(4) \times U(1) / Z_{4}$ this mechanism leads to such localization, while in the one which breaks $S U(5) \times Z_{2}$ to $S U(3) \times S U(2) \times U(1) /\left(Z_{3} \times Z_{2}\right)$ asymptotically, this mechanism cannot be realized.

Several important issues need further investigation. The first is naturally the stability of these solutions. Since to our knowledge, the definition of a topological charge in the non-abelian case is an unsolved question, their perturbative stability (in which the form of the potential plays a key role) should be proved. Stability under small perturbations of various $S U(5)$ kinks in flat space has been analyzed in [23, 24], we will address the much more involved case including gravity in a forthcoming publication.

One can also worry as to what extent these toy models can be useful in a more realistic case. Namely, if one
wishes to construct a non-abelian braneworld, the symmetry group of the gauge fields localized on the brane should be more akin to the group of the standard model. This issue is also under investigation.

## VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to acknowledge discussions with Francisco J. Rodríguez, who joined us in the beginnings of this research. This paper is dedicated to his memory.
[1] L. Randall, R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 4690-4693. [hep-th/9906064].
[2] O. DeWolfe, D. Z. Freedman, S. S. Gubser, A. Karch, Phys. Rev. D62 (2000) 046008. [hep-th/9909134].
[3] M. Gremm, Phys. Lett. B478 (2000) 434-438. [hepth/9912060].
[4] Z. Kakushadze, P. Langfelder, Mod. Phys. Lett. A15 (2000) 2265-2280. [hep-th/0011245].
[5] O. Castillo-Felisola, A. Melfo, N. Pantoja, A. Ramirez, Phys. Rev. D70 (2004) 104029. [hep-th/0404083].
[6] B. Bajc, G. Gabadadze, Phys. Lett. B474 (2000) 282291. [hep-th/9912232].
[7] A. Kehagias, K. Tamvakis, Phys. Lett. B504 (2001) 3846. [hep-th/0010112].
[8] C. Ringeval, P. Peter, J. -P. Uzan, Phys. Rev. D65 (2002) 044016. [hep-th/0109194].
[9] R. Koley, S. Kar, Class. Quant. Grav. 22 (2005) 753-768. [hep-th/0407158].
[10] A. Melfo, N. Pantoja, J. D. Tempo, Phys. Rev. D73 (2006) 044033. [hep-th/0601161].
[11] Y. -X. Liu, C. -EFu, L. Zhao, Y. -S. Duan, Phys. Rev. D80 (2009) 065020. [arXiv:0907.0910 [hep-th]].
[12] A. Melfo, N. Pantoja, A. Skirzewski, Phys. Rev. D67 (2003) 105003. [gr-qc/0211081].
[13] D. Bazeia, C. Furtado, A. R. Gomes, JCAP 0402 (2004) 002. [hep-th/0308034].
[14] R. Guerrero, R. O. Rodriguez, R. S. Torrealba, Phys. Rev. D72 (2005) 124012. [hep-th/0510023].
[15] R. Guerrero, A. Melfo, N. Pantoja, R. O. Rodriguez, Phys. Rev. D74 (2006) 084025. [hep-th/0605160].
[16] S. J. Huber and Q. Shafi, Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001) 045010 [arXiv:hep-ph/0005286].
[17] G. R. Dvali, G. Gabadadze and M. A. Shifman, Phys. Lett. B 497, 271 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0010071].
[18] S. L. Dubovsky, V. A. Rubakov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A16 (2001) 4331-4350. [hep-th/0105243].
[19] B. Batell, T. Gherghetta, Phys. Rev. D75 (2007) 025022. [hep-th/0611305].
[20] R. Guerrero, A. Melfo, N. Pantoja, R. O. Rodriguez, Phys. Rev. D81 (2010) 086004. [arXiv:0912.0463 [hepth]].
[21] G. R. Dvali, H. Liu, T. Vachaspati, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998) 2281-2284. [hep-ph/9710301].
[22] L. Pogosian, T. Vachaspati, Phys. Rev. D62 (2000) 123506. [hep-ph/0007045].
[23] T. Vachaspati, Phys. Rev. D63 (2001) 105010. [hepth/0102047].
[24] L. Pogosian, T. Vachaspati, Phys. Rev. D64 (2001) 105023. [hep-th/0105128].
[25] G. R. Dvali, M. A. Shifman, Phys. Lett. B396 (1997) 64-69. [hep-th/9612128].
[26] A. Davidson, D. P. George, A. Kobakhidze, R. R. Volkas and K. C. Wali, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 085031 [arXiv:0710.3432 [hep-ph]].


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ We use units were $\hbar=G=c=1$

