Once again on molecular Brownian motion and related fundamental 1/f noise: a logical analysis of exact equations

Yu E Kuzovlev

Donetsk Institute for Physics and Technology of NASU, 83114 Donetsk, Ukraine E-mail: kuzovlev@fti.dn.ua

Abstract. The paper contains a simple semi-quantitative analysis of a structure of solution to the exact Bogolyubov functional equation for a particle interacting with ideal gas and driven by an external force, in comparison with solutions to model kinetic equations for the same system. It is shown that the exact equation inevitably predicts existence of significant 1/f-type fluctuations in mobility of the particle, and this result directly extends to particles in arbitrary fluid.

PACS numbers: 05.20.Dd, 05.40.Fb, 83.10.Mj

Keywords: Dynamical foundations of , of kinetics, molecular Brownian motion, 1/f-noise, Mobility 1/f fluctuations

1. Introduction

Here I return to the previously considered [1] random wandering ("Brownian motion") of a particle interacting with ideal gas. Investigation of this system, with the aim to obtain complete actual quantitative statistical characteristics of the Brownian motion, still remains an intriguing mathematical problem for the future. The principal preliminary analysis of this problem, as well as of microscopic Brownian motion in fluids in general, was undertaken in [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] and even much earlier in [8, 9] (with continuation in [11, 10]), and in respect to various non-fluid systems in [12, 13, 14, 15] and [9]. Basing on exact complete sets of evolution equations for many-particle probability distribution functions, - the Bogolyubov-Born-Green-Kirkwood-Yvon (BBGKY) equations [16], it was argued that long-scale statistics of the Brownian motion qualitatively differs from the Gaussian one, thus rejecting the "law of large umbers". This means that diffusivity and mobility of a molecular Brownian particle (BP) have no definite values but instead undero low-frequency fluctuations with some 1/f-type spectrum. Although that are natural thermal fluctuations, they were lost by conventional "Boltzmannian" approaches to fluid kinetics, since these approaches neglect many-particle statistical correlations. But the 1/f fluctuations appear as soon as one takes into account all the infinite chain of many-particle statistical correlations. Paradoxically, the latter originate from unpredictability of dynamical cause-and-consequence correlations between interparticle collisions, as it was explained first in [12, 13] and [8] and later in [9, 11, 2, 4, 5, 7]. Most mathematically rigorous results in this field are presented in [3] and [4] while most developed approximate solutions to the BBGKY equations in [8, 9, 11, 10].

Nevertheless, there is a lack of formally simple and at the same time irrefutably convincing proofs of the foregoing statements. Here I suggest such a proof. It covers not only thermodynamically equilibrium Brownian motion but also (and first of all) non-equilibrium one under a constant external force applied to the BP.

2. The equations and the problem

The BBGKY hierarchy describing BP in ideal gas were written out in various representations and discussed in [1, 3, 4]. Therefore, please, see firstly Sections 2 and 3 in [1]. Then now we can start from the evolution equation for generating functional [3, 4, 16] of the cumulant correlation functions:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial t} + V \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial R} + f \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial P} = \\
= \int \psi(x) (V - v) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \frac{\delta \mathcal{V}}{\delta \psi(x)} + \\
+ \int \psi(x) \Phi'(\rho) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial}{\partial P}\right) \frac{\delta \mathcal{V}}{\delta \psi(x)} - \\
- \frac{\partial}{\partial P} \cdot \int \Phi'(\rho) \frac{\delta \mathcal{V}}{\delta \psi(x)} + \\
+ \nu \left[\int G_m(p) E'(\rho) \psi(x)\right] \cdot \left(V + T \frac{\partial}{\partial P}\right) \mathcal{V} \equiv \\
\equiv \hat{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{V} ,$$
(1)

where P = MV and p = mv are Momentum = Mass*Velocity of the BP and gas atoms, respectively, R is BP's position (and its total path if it starts from the origin R = 0), ρ represents relative distance of atoms from BP, $x = \{\rho, p\}$, $\int \ldots = \int \ldots dx$, ν is mean gas density, $\Phi(\rho)$ is BP-atom (repulsive) interaction potential,

$$G_m(p) \equiv (2\pi Tm)^{-3/2} \exp\left(-p^2/2Tm\right) ,$$

$$E(r) \equiv \exp\left[-\Phi(r)/T\right] ,$$

$$E'(r) \equiv dE(r)/dr = -\Phi'(r) E(r)/T ,$$
(2)

and, at last, $\psi(x)$ is arbitrary bounded function, and f is the external force. In fact, presence of this force and corresponding operator $f \cdot \partial/\partial P$ (entering any equation of the BBGKY hierarchy) is the only difference of Eq.1 from equations of [1].

Notice [6] that the operator $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ can be written in a more compact and visual form,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}} = \int [1 + \psi(x)] \,\widehat{L}\left(V, \frac{\partial}{\partial P}\right) \,\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta\psi(x)} + \nu \,g(x)\right] \tag{3}$$

with

$$g(x) \equiv G_m(p) E(\rho)$$

and

$$\widehat{L}\left(V,\frac{\partial}{\partial P}\right) = (V-v)\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho} + \Phi'(\rho)\cdot\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial}{\partial P}\right)$$
(4)

Notice also that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}}G_M(P) = 0 \quad , \tag{5}$$

hence, $G_M(P)$ is equilibrium stationary solution to Eq.1 at f = 0.

As before in [1], let us assume that at initial time moment t = 0 the BP was disposed at definitely known point R = 0 while the gas was in equilibrium (that is the force f is switched on just at t = 0). Then initial condition to Eq.1 again is

$$\mathcal{V}\{t=0\} = G_M(P)\,\delta(R) \,, \tag{6}$$

Solving Eq.1 with this condition, we can find the probability density distribution of BP's variables, $W = W(t, R, P | \nu, f)$, - which eventually is most interesting for us, - from

$$W = \mathcal{V}\{\psi = 0\}$$

At $\psi \neq 0$, the functional $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}\{t, R, P, \psi | \nu, f\}$ represents full statistics of BP's motion in a "cloud" of its correlations with surrounding gas atoms.

Importantly, as it was underlined in [2, 3, 4], the virial relations [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6] remain valid in presence of the external force. Thus at any t, R, P and f we can write

$$\mathcal{V}\{\sigma + \psi \,|\, \nu\} = \mathcal{V}\{\psi/(1+\sigma) \,|\, (1+\sigma) \,\nu\} \tag{7}$$

with $\sigma = \text{const}$ being arbitrary constant from interval $-1 < \sigma < \infty$. One can easy derive this "generating virial relation" directly from Eq.1 and condition (6) by exploiting clearness of the form (3). This relation, (7), will play a crucial role below.

For further it is convenient to go from \mathcal{V} to corresponding characteristic functional $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ defined by the Fourier transform

$$\overline{\mathcal{V}} = \int \int \exp\left(i\,k\cdot R + i\,\xi\cdot V\right)\,\mathcal{V}\,dP\,dR \;\;,$$

and to the BP's characteristic function

$$\overline{W} = \int \int \exp(ik \cdot R + i\xi \cdot V) W dP dR =$$

= $\overline{\mathcal{V}} \{ \psi = 0 \} =$
= $\langle \exp[ik \cdot R(t) + i\xi \cdot V(t)] | \nu, f \rangle$,

where we introduced angle brackets $\langle \dots | \nu, f \rangle$ to denote statistical averaging at given ν and f under mentioned initial conditions.

The angle brackets' designations help to represent statistical contents of \mathcal{V} and $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ in very transparent form. Namely, in accordance with the \mathcal{V} 's definition [1] \ddagger ,

$$\overline{\mathcal{V}} = \frac{\langle \exp\left[ik \cdot R(t) + i\xi \cdot V(t) + \int \ln\left(1 + \psi(x)\right) \upsilon(t, x)\right] | \nu, f \rangle}{\langle \exp\left[\int \ln\left(1 + \psi(x)\right) \upsilon(t, x)\right] | \nu, 0 \rangle}$$
(8)

Here

$$\upsilon(t,x) = \sum_{j} \delta(\rho - (r_j(t) - R(t))) \delta(p - p_j(t))$$

is microscopic gas density in the μ -space, and

$$\langle \exp\left[\int \ln\left(1+\psi(x)\right)\upsilon(t,x)\right] |\nu,0\rangle = \exp\left[\nu\int g(x)\psi(x)\right],$$

thus expressing the Poissonian statistics of ideal gas in equilibrium.

The Eq.1 and the initial condition to it transform into

$$\frac{\partial \overline{\mathcal{V}}}{\partial t} = k \cdot \frac{\partial \overline{\mathcal{V}}}{\partial \xi} + f \cdot \frac{i\xi}{M} \overline{\mathcal{V}} + \widehat{\mathcal{L}}' \overline{\mathcal{V}} , \qquad (9)$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}}' \equiv \int [1 + \psi(x)] \,\widehat{L}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial i\xi} \,, -\frac{i\xi}{M}\right) \left[\frac{\delta}{\delta\psi(x)} + \nu \,g(x)\right] \,, \tag{10}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{V}}\{t=0\} = \exp\left[-T\xi^2/2M\right]$$
(11)

The problem is an adequate analysis of this equation from viewpoint of the characteristic function \overline{W} .

3. Cumulant representation

Next, it is reasonable to introduce cumulants and their generating functional:

$$\overline{\mathcal{V}} = \exp \mathcal{S} ,$$

$$\mathcal{S} = \sum_{a,b=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ik)^a (i\xi)^b}{a! \, b!} \mathcal{S}_{ab} \{t, \psi \,|\, \nu, f\}$$

Evidently,

(i) any of the functionals $S_{ab}\{t, \psi | \nu, f\}$, at a + b > 0, represents all mutual irreducible correlations of (a + b + n)-th order between a samples of BP's path R(t), b samples of BP's velocity V(t) and n samples, - with $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, - of instant gas state v(t, x). At n = 0, $S_{ab}\{t, 0 | \nu, f\}$ is (a + b)-th order mutual cumulant of R(t) \ddagger

See also [2, 3, 4] and, for details, the basic Bogolyubov's definition [16].

and V(t) irrespective to the instant gas state. Taking into account that under our initial condition $R(t) = \int_0^t V(t') dt'$, and denoting purely irreducible correlations (i.e. cumulants) by double angle brackets, we can write

$$\mathcal{S}_{ab}\{t, 0 | \nu, f\} = \langle \langle R^{a}(t) V^{b}(t) | \nu, f \rangle \rangle =$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t} \dots \int_{0}^{t} \langle \langle V(t_{1}) \dots V(t_{a}) V^{b}(t) | \nu, f \rangle \rangle dt_{1} \dots dt_{a} ;$$
(12)

(ii) because of the relation (7) any of the functionals $S_{ab}\{t, \psi | \nu, f\}$ possesses similar property:

$$S_{ab}\{t, \, \sigma + \psi \,|\, \nu, \, f\} = S_{ab}\{t, \, \psi/(1+\sigma) \,|\, (1+\sigma) \,\nu, \, f\}$$
(13)

with arbitrary $-1 < \sigma = \text{const} < \infty$;

(iii) in terms of the functional $S = S\{t, k, \xi, \psi | \nu, f\}$ Eq.9 takes the form of nonlinear equation with quadratic nonlinearity,

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = k \cdot \frac{\partial S}{\partial \xi} + f \cdot \frac{i\xi}{M} +$$

$$+ \int [1 + \psi(x)] \widehat{L} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial i\xi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial i\xi} , -\frac{i\xi}{M} \right) \left[\frac{\delta S}{\delta \psi(x)} + \nu g(x) \right] ,$$

$$S\{t = 0\} = -T\xi^2/2M$$
(14)

Of course, eventually we are most interested in the generating function of BP's cumulants themselves, $S\{t, k, \xi, 0 | \nu, f\}$, which gives diffusivity, mobility and other statistical characteristics of BP's motion. But anyway we should start from realizing some principal properties of the whole set of cumulants contained in $S\{t, k, \xi, \psi | \nu, f\}$.

4. Time evolution and spatial extension of BP-gas cross-correlations

Although probability distribution of the BP's path R(t) constantly evolves with time, distributions of BP's velocity V(t) and gas state v(t, x) can be expected (at least, under proper interaction potential) to tend to a stationary limit. Then

$$\overline{\mathcal{V}}\{t, \, k=0, \xi, \, \psi \, | \, \nu, f\} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{V}}_{stat}\{\xi, \, \psi \, | \, \nu, f\} = \exp \mathcal{S}_{stat}\{\xi, \, \psi \, | \, \nu, f\} ,$$

where the limit function obeys Eq.9 at k = 0 and zero time derivative:

$$0 = f \cdot \frac{i\xi}{M} \overline{\mathcal{V}}_{stat} + \widehat{\mathcal{L}}' \overline{\mathcal{V}}_{stat}$$
(16)

Let us discuss this equation.

The structure of the operator $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}'$, as well as of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$, obviously allows solution of Eq.16 be extended from any "good" $\psi(x)$ (e.g. vanishing at $x \to \infty$) to $\psi(x) + \sigma$, -with σ being a constant $(-1 < \sigma < \infty)$, - by equating

$$\mathcal{S}_{stat}\{\xi, \sigma + \psi \,|\, \nu, f\} = \mathcal{S}_{stat}\{\xi, \psi/(1+\sigma) \,|\, (1+\sigma)\nu, f\}$$
(17)

Combining this virial relation with its analogue (what directly following from (7) or (13)) for any finite t, we have

$$S_{stat}\{\xi, \sigma + \psi \,|\, \nu, f\} - S\{t, 0, \xi, \sigma + \psi \,|\, \nu, f\} =$$

$$= S_{stat}\{\xi, \psi' \,|\, \nu', f\} - S\{t, 0, \xi, \psi' \,|\, \nu', f\} \to 0 ,$$
(18)

where

$$\psi'(x) \equiv \frac{\psi(x)}{1+\sigma} , \quad \nu' \equiv (1+\sigma)\nu$$

The equality (18), in spite of its seeming triviality, says, together with (17), about several important things as follow.

Statement 1.

All stationary cross-correlation cumulants

$$S_{bn}^{stat}(x_1 \dots x_n \,|\, \nu, f) \equiv \langle \langle V^b \, \upsilon(x_1) \dots \upsilon(x_n) \,|\, \nu, f \, \rangle \rangle$$

are different from zero and (absolutely) integrable functions of x_j . Thus all they vanish when any of p_j or ρ_j goes to infinity. In other words, all the BP-gas correlations are localized in the ρ -space near BP, and corresponding characteristic "volume of correlation" [2, 3, 4] is finite.

In essence, this property of the stationary cumulants, - as well as analogous property [1, 2, 3, 4] of the arbitrary non-stationary ones,

$$S_{abn}(t, x_1 \dots x_n | \nu, f) \equiv \langle \langle R^a(t) V^b(t) v(t, x_1) \dots v(t, x_n) | \nu, f \rangle \rangle$$

- is necessary boundary condition for a correct construction of solutions to Eq.16 and the evolution equations.

Statement 2.

Choosing $\psi(x)$ in Eq.18 to be a "good" function, well localized in the ρ -space, we can conclude that local values of non-stationary cumulants and their integrals over some of x_j tend to their stationary limits with one and the same speed.

In other terms, none of the cumulant functions $S_{0bn}(t, x_1 \dots x_n | \nu, f)$ can contain such a component, $c(t, x_1 \dots x_n | \nu, f)$, that

$$\frac{c(t, x_1 \dots x_n | \nu', f)}{\int c(t, x_1 \dots x_n | \nu, f) \, dx_j} \to 0$$
(19)

In particular, for example, $S_{0b1}(t, x | \nu, f)$ can not have a part, c(t, x), behaving somehow like

$$c(t,x) \propto \frac{g(x)\theta(ut-|\rho|)}{\rho^2 t} \to 0$$
, $\int c(t,x) \to \text{const} \neq 0$, (20)

with $\theta(\cdot)$ being the Heaviside function and u some characteristic velocity. Such (contributions to) correlations can be named "phantom correlations", for they simultaneously "thaw" at infinity and stay significant, leaving nonphysical "invisibly small BP's correlations with infinitely far points of gas".

Hence, Eq.18 (and its parent, Eq7) rejects "phantom correlations", thus producing even more strong restrictions on possible evolution of the correlations than their spatial integrability, and ensuring finiteness of the "volume of correlation" during all the evolution.

Statement 3.

The two above statements naturally must be extended from $S_{0bn}(t, x_1 \dots x_n | \nu, f)$ to general cumulants $S_{abn}(t, x_1 \dots x_n | \nu, f)$ including BP's path R(t).

Indeed, firstly, the exclusion of the "phantom correlations" (possessing (19)) merely determines more rigid (and physically meaningful) boundary conditions at infinity than the spatial integrability in itself. Secondly, although some of the cumulants $S_{abn}(t, x_1 \dots x_n | \nu, f)$ at a > 0 definitely have no time limits, all they concern the same asymptotically stationary state of the system as cumulants with a = 0. Hence, they are determined by the same boundary conditions.

Notice that mathematically exclusion of the "phantom correlations" means commutativity of limits $t \to \infty$ and $\psi(x) \to \text{const}$, while physically finiteness of a number of gas atoma actually involved into BP-gas correlations.

Let us apply this to the simplest cumulants $S_{10n}(t, x_1 \dots x_n | \nu, f)$ and their generating functional $S_{10}(t, \psi | \nu, f)$, and substantiate one more principal

Statement 4.

Correlations between the total BP's path R(t) and instant gas state v(t, x) generally (at $f \neq 0$) are growing with time under the same law as the path itself.

Clearly, far enough in the stationary state, at $f \neq 0$, mean value of BP's path must grow proportionally to time, $\langle \langle R(t) \rangle \rangle = \langle \langle V(\infty) \rangle \rangle t + \text{const}$, while cross-correlation cuulants, $\langle \langle R(t) v(t, x_1) \dots v(t, x_n) \rangle \rangle$, either tend to constants or grow not faster than $\langle \langle R(t) \rangle \rangle$ (otherwise the Statement 4 is even more than true). Therefore, firstly, we can introduce limit

$$\mathcal{S}_{10}^{(1)}(\psi | \nu, f) \equiv \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\mathcal{S}_{10}(t, \psi | \nu, f)}{t}$$

Secondly, the above Statement 3 about the boundary conditions at infinity allows us to extend virial relations (13) to the limit functional, writing

$$S_{10}^{(1)}(\sigma + \psi | \nu, f) = S_{10}^{(1)}(\psi / (1 + \sigma) | (1 + \sigma)\nu, f)$$
(21)

and

$$S_{10}^{(1)}(\sigma + \psi | \nu, f) - \frac{S_{10}(t, \sigma + \psi | \nu, f)}{t} =$$

$$= S_{10}^{(1)}(\psi' | \nu', f) - \frac{S_{10}(t, \psi' | \nu', f)}{t} \to 0$$
(22)

(with above defined primed variables).

The limit virial relation (21) unambiguously claims that the limit functional $\mathcal{S}_{10}^{(1)}(\psi | \nu, f)$ is actually depending on $\psi(x)$, since otherwise (21) would claim independence of the stationary mean BP's drift velocity $\lim \langle \langle R(t) \rangle \rangle / t = \langle \langle V(\infty) \rangle \rangle$ on the gas density ν (which is obviously unacceptable). Hence, all the limits

 $\lim \langle \langle R(t) v(t, x_1) \dots v(t, x_n) \rangle \rangle / t$ are non-zero finite quantities. This is the same as the Statement 4.

Simultaneously we have came to

Statement 5.

The time-averaged drift velocity, R(t)/t, is actually random quantity regardless of duration of the averaging.

Indeed, otherwise all the limits $\lim \langle \langle R(t) v(t, x_1) \dots v(t, x_n) \rangle \rangle / t$ (n > 0) would be equal to zero.

Next, we will consider fluctuations of the drift velocity.

5. Real long-time asymptotics versus conventional model asymptotics, and BP's mobility fluctuations

Excluding somehow from the evolution equations (1) or (9) or (14) the gas related field variable $\psi(x)$ we would obtain a closed but time-nonlocal equation for BP's variables or cumulants.. Such operation can be named "exclusion of thermostat" or "derivation of a kinetic equation for BP". In fact, the "exclusion of thermostat" never was realized in a honestly correct way. Instead, it always was based on *a priory* neglect of inter-particle statistical correlations (at least, three-particle and higher-order ones). The result of this assumption can be e.g. the Boltzmann-Lorentz equation [17].

Unfortunately or fortunately \S , an unprejudiced investigation shows \parallel that in reality all the many-particle correlations always are significant. To feel once again what does it mean, we have to compare a long-time asymptotics of BP's cumulants (12) dictated by Eq.14 with the asymptotics following from model kinetic equations, e.g. the Boltzmann-Lorentz equation.

Firstly, let us recall the standard

5.1. Model asymptotics

Kinetic equation for BP in homogeneous media looks like

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} + V \cdot \frac{\partial W}{\partial R} + f \cdot \frac{\partial W}{\partial P} = \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{model} \left(V, \frac{\partial}{\partial P} \right) W ,$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{model}$ is kinetic operator, - generally integral one and certainly satisfying $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{model} G_M(P) = 0$ (analogue of our equality (5)), - for instance, the Boltzmann-Lorentz operator (i.e. linearized Boltzmann operator). Corresponding equation for

$$S(t,k,\xi \,|\, \nu,f) = \ln \overline{W}(t,k,\xi \,|\, \nu,f)$$

- or $S(t,k,\xi \mid \nu,f) = S(t,k,\xi,\psi = 0 \mid \nu,f)$ in our above designations, - is §

See e.g. [8, 4, 11, 5, 7] and especially the Krylov's prophetical book [18].

I think "fortunately" since otherwise the world would be too primitive and boring!

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = k \cdot \frac{\partial S}{\partial \xi} + f \cdot \frac{i\xi}{M} + \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{model} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial i\xi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial i\xi}, -\frac{i\xi}{M} \right) 1$$

with the same initial condition (15).

Consider asymptotic behavior of the cumulants generating function, $S(t, k, \xi | \nu, f)$, at $t/\tau_0 \to \infty$, where τ_0 is characteristic momentum relaxation time. The asymptotic has the well known and clear form,

$$S(t,k,\xi | \nu, f) = S^{(1)}(k | \nu, f) t + S^{(0)}(k,\xi | \nu, f) + \dots , \qquad (23)$$

where the dots replace remaining terms what are decaying to zero, and

$$S^{(1)} = k \cdot \frac{\partial S^{(0)}}{\partial \xi} + f \cdot \frac{i\xi}{M} + \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{model} \left(\frac{\partial S^{(0)}}{\partial i\xi} + \frac{\partial}{\partial i\xi} , -\frac{i\xi}{M} \right) 1$$

This linear asymptotics means, obviously, that second- and higher-order irreducible selfcorrelations of BP's velocity are fast decaying functions (decaying exponentially or at least in an integrable fashion) of time differences, so that all cumulants (12) with b > 0tend to finite constants, while at b = 0 correspondingly grow proportionally to time.

In other words, - borrowed from the probability theory [19, 20], - R(t) asymptotically behaves as a random process with independent increments and thus has asymptotically Gaussian probability distribution.

Now, let us return to our exact equations and perceive that

5.2. Exact equations forbid the model asymptotics

In ideal gas, regardless of its density, certainly there are no collective excitations and thus no hydrodynamical correlations. Therefore, for the first look, we can expect that the Boltzmann-Lorentz equation gives qualitatively correct description of BP's motion, moreover, even quantitatively correct description of its asymptotical statistical properties. In other words, we would like to expect that at $t/\tau_0 \to \infty$, similar to (23),

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^{(1)} t + \mathcal{S}^{(0)} + \dots \tag{24}$$

At that, $S^{(1)}$ can be more formally introduced by $S^{(1)} = \lim S/t$. Again, such the asymptotics would mean that all irreducible self-correlations of BP's velocity, as well as its mutual correlations with gas state, v(t, x), are fast enough decaying (integrable) functions of time differences.

This expectation, however, immediately meets serious objections and contradictions. We will consider them in a few steps.

Notice that the asymptotics (24) can not be literally analogous to (23) since factor $\mathcal{S}^{(1)}$ must be essentially dependent on ψ . Indeed, from the exact relation (7) or (13) it follows, - in view of arbitrariness of t and in view of results of the previou Section 4, - that $\mathcal{S}^{(1)}$ must obey similar relation,

$$\mathcal{S}^{(1)}\{k,\xi,\,\sigma+\psi\,|\,\nu,\,f\} = \mathcal{S}^{(1)}\{k,\xi,\,\psi/(1+\sigma)\,|\,(1+\sigma)\,\nu\,,f\} \quad , \tag{25}$$

with all potential arguments being written out. This relation allows $\mathcal{S}^{(1)}$ be independent on ξ , like $S^{(1)}$ in (23), but requires its actual dependence on ψ . Otherwise (excluding ψ from the list of its arguments), we inevitably would come to conclusion that $\mathcal{S}^{(1)}$ is also completely independent on ν . This, in turn, would imply that mean value, variance and higher cumulants of R(t), and hence BP's diffusivity and mobility, are completely independent on gas density !

Since the latter is certainly wrong statement (i.e. such S can not bring solution to Eq.14), we have to accept expression (24) in the form

$$S = S^{(1)}\{k, \psi | \nu, f\} t + S^{(0)}\{k, \xi, \psi | \nu, f\} + \dots$$
(26)

with $\mathcal{S}^{(1)}$ being a non-trivial functional of $\psi(x)$, so that

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{S}^{(1)}}{\delta \psi(x)} \neq 0 , \quad \frac{\delta^2 \mathcal{S}^{(1)}}{\delta \psi(x_1) \, \delta \psi(x_2)} \neq 0 , \dots$$
(27)

(the sequence is infinite, because v(t, x) by its sense is non-Gaussian random field). Thus we came to

Contradiction 1.

From (26) and (27) it follows, for large enough t, that

$$\langle \langle R(t) v(t,x) | \nu, f \rangle \rangle \propto t ,$$

$$\langle \langle R^{a}(t) v(t,x_{1}) \dots v(t,x_{n}) | \nu, f \rangle \rangle \propto t ,$$

$$(28)$$

where all (omitted) coefficients on the right-hand sides generally (at $f \neq 0$) are essentially finite, that is non-zero, non-negligible and non-vanishing with time. In particular, in respect to the first row of (28),

$$t^{-1} \left\langle \left\langle R(t) v(t, x) \, | \, \nu, f \, \right\rangle \right\rangle \to c(x|\nu, f) t \quad , \tag{29}$$

where the (restored) coefficient $c(x|\nu, f)$ is finite in the above sense, so that

$$c(x|\nu, f) \neq 0 \tag{30}$$

Hence, mutual irreducible correlations (cumulants) of BP's velocity and gas state,

$$\langle \langle V(t_1) v(t, x) | \nu, f \rangle \rangle , \langle \langle V(t_1) \dots V(t_a) v(t, x_1) \dots v(t, x_n) | \nu, f \rangle \rangle ,$$

are not fast decaying (integrable) functions of $t - t_j$. This in hard contradiction with what was assumed as a ground for (24) and (26) !

Clearly, attempt to include ξ into list of arguments of $\mathcal{S}^{(1)}$ can not improve the situation. The matter is that just emphasized non-integrability of of mutual, or cross, correlations of velocity and gas state, t.g. $\langle \langle V(t_1) v(t,x) | \nu, f \rangle \rangle$, necessarily implies non-integrability of velocity's self-correlations, e.g. $\langle \langle V(t_1) V(t) | \nu, f \rangle \rangle$, and, as the

consequence, violation of the assumed asymptotics (24). This will be seen soon in Remark 2.

Remark 1.

Considering infinitesimal form of relation (25), one can easy obtain

$$\int \langle \langle R(t) v(t,x) | \nu, f \rangle \rangle = \nu \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \langle \langle R(t) | \nu, f \rangle \rangle , \qquad (31)$$

which is example of particular virial relations [1]. Combining it with (29), we have

$$\int c(x|\nu, f) = \lim \ \nu \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left\langle \left\langle V_{drift}(t) \, | \, \nu, f \, \right\rangle \right\rangle , \qquad (32)$$

where $V_{drift}(t) \equiv R(t)/t$ is time-averaged velocity, or "drift velocity", of BP.

Remark 2.

Expressions (29)-(32) together do prompt that the "drift velocity" $V_{drift}(t)$ is essentially random quantity even at arbitrary long duration of time averaging. The word "essentially" underlines that magnitude of fluctuations of $V_{drift}(t)$ is comparable with its mean (ensemble average) value. This statement follows already from the (exact!) relation (31) if supplemented with reasonings expounded in [2, 3, 4] ¶.

We can come to the same statement merely if combine the widely known general inequality (in essence, the Cauchy-Buniakowski inequality)

$$\langle \langle A B \rangle \rangle^2 \le \langle \langle A^2 \rangle \rangle \langle \langle B^2 \rangle \rangle$$

with (29). Let A = R(t) and $B = \int v(t, x) \chi(x)$, with $\chi(x) = \ln [1 + \psi(x)]$ being some suitably fixed function (see (8)). Then

$$\left[\int \chi(x) \left\langle \left\langle R(t) \upsilon(t, x) \mid \nu, f \right\rangle \right\rangle \right]^{2} <$$

$$< \left\langle \left\langle \left[\int \chi(x) \upsilon(t, x) \right]^{2} \mid \nu, f \right\rangle \right\rangle \left\langle \left\langle R^{2}(t) \mid \nu, f \right\rangle \right\rangle$$
(33)

Applying (29), we have

$$\langle \langle R^{2}(t) | \nu, f \rangle \rangle > \frac{\left[\int c(x|\nu, f) \chi(x) \right]^{2}}{\langle \langle \left[\int \chi(x) \upsilon(t, x) \right]^{2} | \nu, f \rangle \rangle} t^{2} , \qquad (34)$$

In view of (30) and (32), evidently, $\chi(x)$ here always can be chosen such that the coefficient before t^2 is non-zero. Hence, the variance of the drift velocity, $\sqrt{\langle\langle R^2(t) | \nu, f \rangle\rangle} / t$, is bounded from below by a finite quantity independent on the observation (averaging) time!

Thus we came to

¶

A volume in the ρ -space actually contributing to left side of (31) has the order of $1/\nu$ (at least, when BP's mass is comparable with atom's mass).

Contradiction 2.

The inequality (34) says that the second row of (28) is in in contravention of the first row. Thus inequality (34) is principally incompatible with the assumed hypothetical asymptotics (24) what produces both the rows simultaneously.

Eventually, we arrive to

Conclusion.

The model asymptotics (24) is forbidden by the exact Eq.1 (or Eq.9 or Eq.14) as it was claimed in the title of the present Section.

This means that true statistics of the Brownian motion qualitatively differs from statistics implied by conventional model kinetic equations. The difference manifests that real BP has no certainly predictable drift velocity and mobility (or, in other words, its mobility possesses slow "quasi-static" fluctuations).

6. Magnitude of the mobility fluctuations

The same conclusion would appear if we tried to search for solution of Eq.14 in the form (26). But it would require much more tremendous consideration than the above one based on the virial relation (7). Nevertheless, of course, any quantitative calculations of statistical characteristics of the Brownian motion are impossible without direct investigation of the evolution equation (14) (or (1) or (9)). In particular, calculation of the important cross-correlation cumulant function $c(x|\nu, f)$, - introduced in (29), - as a functional of the BP-atom interaction potential (or corresponding "scattering matrix"). Since, however, methods for solving of such functional PDE as (1) or (9) or (14) still are not developed⁺, we are forced to confine ourselves by rough estimates.

Therefore, returning to inequality (34), let us choose $\chi(x) = \phi(\rho)$. Then (34) changes to

$$\langle \langle R^{2}(t) | \nu, f \rangle \rangle > \frac{\left[\int c'(\rho | \nu, f) \phi(\rho) \, d\rho \right]^{2}}{\langle \langle \left[\int \phi(\rho) \, \widetilde{\nu}(t, \rho) \, d\rho \right]^{2} | \nu, f \rangle \rangle} t^{2} , \qquad (35)$$

where

$$c'(\rho|\nu, f) \equiv \int c(x|\nu, f) dp$$

and

+

$$\widetilde{\nu}(t,\rho) \equiv \int \upsilon(x) \, dp = \sum_j \, \delta(\rho - (r_j(t) - R(t)))$$

is random microscopic gas density in the configurational space. According to (32),

$$\int c'(\rho|\nu, f) \, d\rho = \lim \nu \, \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left\langle \left\langle V_{drift}(t) \, | \, \nu, f \, \right\rangle \right\rangle \tag{36}$$

Although some formal approaches were suggested in [1] and approximate ones in [8, 11].

To estimate the coefficient before t^2 in (35), firstly, let us assume that the external force is sufficiently small, e.g. in the clear sense that $\zeta \equiv |f| V_0 \tau_0 / T \ll 1$ with $V_0 = \sqrt{T/M}$, while the observation time is sufficiently large, e.g. in the sense of $t \gg \tau_0 / \zeta^2$ (which means that drift component of the BP's path R(t) is mach greater than its diffusive component^{*}). Then denominator in (35) can be estimated as

$$\langle \langle \left[\int \phi(\rho) \, \widetilde{\nu}(t,\rho) \, d\rho \right]^2 | \, \nu, f \, \rangle \rangle \approx$$
$$\approx \nu \int E(\rho) \, \phi^2(\rho) \, d\rho \approx \nu \int \phi^2(\rho) \, d\rho$$

while $V_{drift}(t)$ and $c'(\rho|\nu, f)$ in (36) and R(t) in (35) represented as

$$V_{drift}(t) = \widetilde{\mu}(t) f ,$$

$$R(t) = \widetilde{\mu}(t) f t ,$$

$$c'(\rho|\nu, f) \equiv \nu \mu'(\rho|\nu) f ,$$

where $\tilde{\mu}(t)$ plays the role of random "small field" mobility. After that (35) reads

$$\langle \langle \widetilde{\mu}^{2}(t) | \nu \rangle \rangle = \langle \widetilde{\mu}^{2}(t) | \nu \rangle - \langle \widetilde{\mu}(t) | \nu \rangle^{2} >$$

$$> \frac{\nu \left[\int \mu'(\rho|\nu) \phi(\rho) d\rho \right]^{2}}{\int \phi^{2}(\rho) d\rho} ,$$
 (37)

while (36) turns into

*

$$\int \mu'(\rho|\nu) \, d\rho \,=\, \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \,\langle\, \widetilde{\mu}(t) \,|\, \nu\,\rangle \tag{38}$$

(in respect to first-order cumulants double and single brackets are equivalent).

Secondly, let us choose in (37) such $\phi(\rho)$ what maximizes the right-hand expression. The maximization yields

$$\langle \widetilde{\mu}^{2}(t) | \nu \rangle - \langle \widetilde{\mu}(t) | \nu \rangle^{2} > \nu \int \mu'^{2}(\rho | \nu) \, d\rho \tag{39}$$

The rest of estimate is less formal. Let $\Omega = \Omega(\nu)$ be a characteristic finite volume (space region) in the ρ -space, such that it produces main contributions to the integrals in (38) and (39). Then, obviously,

$$\int \mu'^2 d\rho > \int_{\Omega} \mu'^2 d\rho > \frac{\left[\int_{\Omega} \mu' d\rho\right]^2}{\Omega} \sim \frac{\left[\int \mu' d\rho\right]^2}{\Omega}$$
(40)

Besides, notice that from physical point of view the only natural measure for the characteristic volume is the specific volume $1/\nu$. Therefore we have rights to write $\Omega(\nu) \sim 1/\nu$. Adding these reasonings to (38) and (39), we find

$$\langle \widetilde{\mu}^{2}(t) | \nu \rangle - \langle \widetilde{\mu}(t) | \nu \rangle^{2} \gtrsim \left[\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \langle \widetilde{\mu}(t) | \nu \rangle \right]^{2}$$

$$(41)$$

Formulas and pictures for "molecular Brownian motion" under such regime were presented and discussed e.g. in [21, 22].

A rigorous version of this estimate can be formulated as follows. For any $0 < \alpha < 1$ let $\Omega(\alpha, \nu)$ be the minimum of all volumes (space regions) satisfying

$$\left|\int_{\Omega} \mu' d\rho - \int \mu' d\rho\right| < \alpha \left|\int \mu' d\rho\right|$$

Then one can verify that

$$\left|\int_{\Omega(\alpha,\nu)} \mu' d\rho\right| > (1-\alpha) \left|\int \mu' d\rho\right|$$

Applying this inequality in place if the last step in (40), we have

$$\int \mu'^2 d\rho > \frac{(1-\alpha)^2 \left[\int \mu' d\rho\right]^2}{\Omega(\alpha,\nu)}$$
(42)

Finally this yields, instead of (41),

$$\langle \widetilde{\mu}^{2}(t) | \nu \rangle - \langle \widetilde{\mu}(t) | \nu \rangle^{2} > \left[\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \langle \widetilde{\mu}(t) | \nu \rangle \right]^{2} \max_{\alpha} \frac{(1-\alpha)^{2}}{\nu \Omega(\alpha,\nu)}$$
(43)

This correction, however, does not cancel the estimate (41) #.

We see that magnitude of the mobility fluctuations generally can be expected on order of its mean value.

Remark 3.

Here, to keep logics, we should answer two questions as follow.

Just made estimates exploited the finiteness (expressed by (30)) of the function $c(x|\nu, f)$ which in turn had appeared as a part of the hypothetical asymptotics (26). But the latter was logically rejected! Then why we can use one of its consequences? And why one can not imagine this function to be tending to zero with time while its support, Ω , in the ρ -space growing to infinity in such way that its integral in (32) stays constant? Under such scenario the estimate (43) would become insignificant.

In fact, the answers already were done in Section 4.

Firstly, asymptotics (26), being wrong as the whole, at the same time is true in respect to the first-order terms in ik-expansion of the $S\{t, k, \xi, \psi | \nu, f\}$, more precisely, in respect to $S_{10}\{t, \psi | \nu, f\}$. Secondly, the above imaginary scenario involves nonphysical "phantom correlations" and therefore is forbidden by the virial relations. All this forms sufficient ground for our estimates.

7. Discussion and resume

To conclude, let us point out most principal aspects of our above consideration.

(i) The exact evolution equation (1) for generating functional of many-particle cumulant correlation functions of the system "molecular Brownian particle (BP) in gas"
[1, 4] produces exact "virial relations" [1, 4] connecting various statistical characteristics

About heuristic physical reasons for the estimate $\Omega(\nu) \sim 1/\nu$ see [2, 3, 4].

of the system, in particular, in the above considered non-equilibrium steady state driven by an external force applied to the BP.

(ii) The virial relations, in their turn, imply obligatory requirement to all irreducible (cumulant) correlations between BP's velocity and total path, from one hand, and current microscopic gas state, from the another hand, to be always (even in the non-equilibrium steady state) integrable functions of distances between BP and gas atoms. Besides, all these cross-correlations must be located near BP, never running away to infinity ††.

(iii) As the consequence of the locality of BP-gas correlations, magnitude of BP's path and gas state cross-correlation and variance of the path are on the same order of value as the path itself, irrespective to the time of evolution and path observation.

This means that BP's mobility has no certainly predictable value but instead undergoes slow quasi-static fluctuations whose magnitude is comparable with its ensemble-average value.

Thus, the exact evolution equation, in opposite to various model kinetic equations, predicts existence of BP's mobility 1/f noise.

(iv) The integrability of BP-gas correlations says that the latter envelope only a finite number of gas atoms (on order of unit) in BP's vicinity. Hence, neither BP nor gas remember a history of their interaction (conserved in states of far running away atoms). Consequently, the system has no possibilities to "control and regulate" a number of BP-atom collisions and thus BP's mobility.

This is just those reason of the mobility 1/f fluctuations what for the first was guessed in [12, 13, 8].

Notice, besides, that the inequality (43) can be treated as "uncertainty relation" between mean square of of the mobility fluctuations and the "correlation volume". At that, treating the latter as a measure of of the system's memory about its past, we come to statement not once pronounced in my cited works: the shorter is system's memory, the greater is its 1/f noise.

(v) Importantly, all our above consideration can be easy generalized from BP in ideal gas to BP in arbitrary fluid, if using the results of [3, 4] (then, for instance, generalization of the estimate (43) will differ from (43) by additional multiplier at its right-hand side, $T \partial \nu / \partial \mathcal{P}$, where \mathcal{P} is gas pressure).

But, besides the logical analysis of the evolution equations ("Bogolyubov equations" [4]) and principal estimates of their solutions, we are interested also in potentially exact regular methods for analytical solving of these equations (in addition to approximate

††

Such correlations may look like the "phantom correlation" (20). In correct theory, it would transform into something like $g(x) \exp(-|\rho|/\lambda)/\rho^2 \tau_0$. This subject will be considered separately.

In this respect it is interesting to notice that truncations of the BBGKY hierarchy leading to model kinetic equations (and thus losing the mobility fluctuations) simultaneously born non-local running away correlations which involve unbounded number of atoms.

methods from [8, 11, 10, 21] and formal "boson representation" from [1]), in order to calculate spectra and probability distributions of the mobility fluctuations.

This may be very difficult but intriguing adventure.

- [2] Yu. E. Kuzovlev, arXiv: 0710.3831, 0802.0288, 0803.0301.
- [3] Yu. E. Kuzovlev, arXiv: 0804.2023.
- [4] Yu. E. Kuzovlev, "Molecular random walk and a symmetry group of the Bogoliubov equation", Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, 160 (3), 1300-1314 (Sep. 2009) {DOI:10.1007/s11232-009-0117-0}.

Or see: Yu. E. Kuzovlev, arXiv: 0908.0274.

- [5] Yu. E. Kuzovlev, arXiv: 0902.2855, 0908.3007, 0911.0651.
- [6] Yu. E. Kuzovlev, arXiv: 1001.1558.
- [7] Yu. E. Kuzovlev, arXiv: 1006.1505.
- [8] Yu. E. Kuzovlev, "Bogolyubov-Born-Green-Kirkwood-Yvon equations, self-diffusion and 1/f-noise in a slightly nonideal gas", Sov.Phys.-JETP 67 (12), 2469 (1988) [in Russian: Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz., 94, No.12, 140 (1988)].

The better author's translation of this work is placed to arXiv: 0907.3475.

- [9] Yu. E. Kuzovlev, arXiv: cond-mat/9903350.
- [10] Yu. E. Kuzovlev, arXiv: 1007.1992.
- [11] Yu. E. Kuzovlev, arXiv: cond-mat/0609515.
- [12] G. N. Bochkov and Yu. E. Kuzovlev, Radiophysics and Quantum Electronics 26, No.3, 228 (1983); 27, No.9, 811 (1984).
- [13] G. N. Bochkov and Yu. E. Kuzovlev, Sov. Phys.-Uspekhi 26 (1983) 829.
- [14] Yu. E. Kuzovlev, Sov.Phys.-JETP 84, No.6 (1997) 1138
- [15] Yu. E. Kuzovlev, Yu. V. Medvedev, and A. M. Grishin, JETP Letters 72 (2000) 574; Phys. Solid State 44, No.5 (2002) 843.
- [16] N. N. Bogolyubov. Problems of dynamical theory in statistical physics. North-Holland, 1962.
- [17] P. Resibois and M. de Leener : Classical kinetic theory of fluids. Wiley, New York, 1977.
- [18] N.S. Krylov. Works on the foundations of statistical physics. Princeton, 1979.
- [19] V.Feller. "Introduction to probability theory and its applications". Mir, Moscow, 1967.
- [20] E. Lucasc. Characteristic functions. Ch.Griffin & Co., London, 1960.
- [21] Yu. E. Kuzovlev, arXiv: 0802.0288.
- [22] Yu. E. Kuzovlev, arXiv: 1008.4376.

^[1] Yu. E. Kuzovlev, arXiv: 0806.4157.