

Phase-Space Noncommutativity and the Dirac Equation

Orfeu Bertolami*

Departamento de Física e Astronomia,

Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade do Porto

Rua do Campo Alegre 687, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal

Raquel Queiroz†

Instituto de Plasmas e Fusão Nuclear, Instituto Superior Técnico

Avenida Rovisco Pais 1, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

Abstract

We consider full phase-space noncommutativity in the Dirac equation, and find that in order to preserve gauge invariance, configuration space noncommutativity must be dropped. The resulting space structure gives rise to a constant magnetic field background and this effect is explicitly seen on the spectrum of the hydrogen atom. Computing this spectrum we find a bound on the momentum noncommutative parameter η , $\sqrt{\eta} \lesssim 2.26\mu\text{eV}/c$.

* Also at Instituto de Plasmas e Fusão Nuclear, Instituto Superior Técnico, Avenida Rovisco Pais 1, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal. E-mail: orfeu.bertolami@fc.up.pt

† E-mail: rpa.queiroz@gmail.com

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of noncommutative (NC) spaces and their implications for quantum field theories, noncommutative quantum field theories, is an extremely active area of research (see e.g. Refs. [1] for reviews).

A noncommutative geometry is defined by the introduction of an antisymmetric constant matrix, θ , of dimensions of $(\text{length})^2$, given by

$$[x_i, x_j] = i\theta_{ij}, \quad (1)$$

where i, j stand for spatial indices. Noncommutativity is the central mathematical concept describing uncertainty, so an immediate consequence of this geometry is that

$$\Delta x_i \Delta x_j \geq \frac{1}{2} |\theta_{ij}|, \quad (2)$$

which introduces a space cell scale, $l_{\text{NC}} = \sqrt{\theta}$ [1]. From Eq. (1), it is clear that noncommutativity leads to non-local features and the breaking of Lorentz symmetry [2] (see however Ref. [3]), which may be some of the ingredients of quantum gravity. In fact, noncommutativity is encountered in string theory induced by a non-trivial NS B-field background [4].

Noncommutative extensions of quantum mechanics have been the focus of active research [5–14], and the extensions which include noncommutativity in momenta have quite rich implications for quantum cosmology [15] and black holes [16–18].

In this work we study the phenomenology of a noncommutative version of the Dirac Hamiltonian, in which noncommutativity is considered in both coordinate and momentum spaces. The configuration space noncommutative features of this system were first addressed in Ref. [19]. The hydrogen energy spectrum is then used to constrain the momentum noncommutative parameter.

This article is organized as follows. In the first section we introduce the NC algebra and its mathematical background. In section III we compute the appropriate corrections to the Dirac Hamiltonian and explore the dynamics of a particle described by it. In section IV we find a bound on the momentum noncommutative parameter using the spectrum of the hydrogen atom. Finally, section V contains our conclusions.

II. PHASE-SPACE NONCOMMUTATIVITY

Consider a noncommutative algebra satisfying the commutation relations:

$$[x_i, x_j] = i\theta_{ij}, \quad [p_i, p_j] = i\eta_{ij}, \quad [x_i, p_j] = i\hbar\delta_{ij}, \quad (3)$$

where θ_{ij} and η_{ij} are antisymmetric constant matrices with dimensions of (length)² and (momentum)², respectively.

The product of functions of noncommuting variables, i.e., the fields in the noncommuting space, satisfy the generalized Moyal product [14], which for a vanishing η_{ij} corresponds to the usual Moyal product:

$$f(x) \star g(x) \equiv f(x) \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{2} \overleftarrow{\partial}_i \theta_{ij} \overrightarrow{\partial}_j \right\} g(x) \approx f(x)g(x) + \frac{1}{2} \theta_{ij} \partial_i f(x) \partial_j g(x) + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2). \quad (4)$$

The NC algebra can be mapped into the commutative Heisenberg-Weyl algebra, through a non-canonical linear transformation $(x, p) \mapsto (x', p')$ such that the new variables satisfy:

$$[x'_i, x'_j] = 0, \quad [p'_i, p'_j] = 0, \quad [x'_i, p'_j] = i\hbar\delta_{ij}. \quad (5)$$

We can choose for instance the following map:

$$x_i = \left(x'_i - \frac{\theta_{ij}}{2\hbar} p'_j \right), \quad p_i = \left(p'_i + \frac{\eta_{ij}}{2\hbar} x'_j \right), \quad (6)$$

so (3) becomes¹:

$$[x_i, x_j] = i\theta_{ij}, \quad [p_i, p_j] = i\eta_{ij}, \quad [x_i, p_j] = i\hbar \left(\delta_{ij} + \frac{\theta_{ia}\eta_{ja}}{4\hbar^2} \right). \quad (7)$$

Although this map is not unique, it has been proved that physical predictions, i.e. expectation values, transition rates, etc., are independent of the chosen map [14]. Since the fields do not depend on derivatives, we will only consider functions of x . Hence, in the following discussion, the dependence on the derivatives will be mapped using Eq. (6).

III. NONCOMMUTATIVE DIRAC HAMILTONIAN

The Dirac Hamiltonian is given by:

$$H = (c\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot (\mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A}) + \beta mc^2 + e\Phi), \quad (8)$$

¹ The commutator $[x, p]$ is modified for consistency, and in particular it is no longer diagonal. The non-diagonal terms cancel if one sets one of the parameters to zero.

where the momentum p is given by $p_i \equiv -i\hbar\partial_i$ and the matrices α_i and β satisfy the anti-commutation relations

$$\{\alpha_i, \alpha_j\} = 2\delta_{ij}, \quad \{\alpha_i, \beta\} = 0, \quad \alpha_i^2 = \beta^2 = \mathbb{1} \quad (9)$$

and take the explicit form

$$\alpha_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sigma_i \\ \sigma_i & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

which correspond to γ -matrices when the Dirac Hamiltonian is represented in a covariant form. The coupling with the electromagnetic potential, $A^\mu = (\frac{\Phi}{c}, \mathbf{A})$, is minimally introduced with a charge e using the gauge invariance of the equation, and we can define the canonical momentum as $\boldsymbol{\pi} \equiv \mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A}$.

To introduce noncommutativity into the Dirac Hamiltonian the map in Eq. (6) is used after substituting the product of functions by the Moyal product Eq. (4). For simplicity, θ_{ij} and η_{ij} matrices will be written as $\theta_{ij} = \theta\epsilon_{ij}$ and $\eta_{ij} = \eta\epsilon_{ij}$, where ϵ_{ij} is an antisymmetric unitary² matrix. Note that this is not uniquely defined since $i, j = 1, 2, 3$.

To first order in θ_{ab} :

$$\begin{aligned} H(x, p) \star \Psi(x) &= H(x', p)\Psi(x') + \frac{i}{2}\theta_{ab}\partial_a (c\alpha_i(p_i - eA_i(x')) + \beta mc^2 + e\Phi(x')) \partial_b \Psi(x') \\ &= H(x', p)\Psi(x') - \frac{ie}{2}\theta_{ab}\partial_a (c\alpha_i A_i(x') - \Phi(x')) \partial_b \Psi(x'). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Using Eq. (6) we find the Hamiltonian dependence on commuting coordinates to first order in θ_{ab} and η_{ab} :

$$H(x', p')\Psi(x') = \left[c\alpha_i(p'_i + \frac{1}{2\hbar}\eta_{ij}x'_j - eA_i(x')) + \beta mc^2 + e\Phi + \frac{ie}{2}\theta_{ab}\partial_a (c\alpha_i A_i(x') - \Phi(x')) \partial_b \right] \Psi(x'). \quad (12)$$

For convenience we drop the primes and rewrite the the new Hamiltonian in a more compact form³:

$$H_{NC} \equiv c\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot (\mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A}) + \beta mc^2 + e\Phi - \frac{e}{2\hbar}[\nabla(c\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{A} - \Phi) \times \mathbf{p}] \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta} + \frac{c}{2\hbar}[\boldsymbol{\alpha} \times \mathbf{r}] \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}. \quad (13)$$

² Unitary in this context means that $\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{ij}$ is a normalized vector e_k , ε_{ijk} being the Levi-Civita symbol.

³ $\theta_k \equiv \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{kij}\theta_{ij}$ and $\eta_k \equiv \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{kij}\eta_{ij}$.

A. Dynamics of a charged fermion

For a particle subject to a constant electromagnetic field, we can write the potential up to a constant, $\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{r}$, $\Phi = \mathbf{r} \cdot [\mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}]$, and using these identities we obtain the equations of motion for a charged particle: $\mathbf{v} = c\boldsymbol{\alpha}$, $\mathbf{F} = e[\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}]$.

In order to examine the effects of the noncommutative terms in Eq. (13) we first consider only configuration space NC, i.e, $\theta \neq 0$ and $\eta = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v} &= \frac{i}{\hbar}[H_{NC\theta}, \mathbf{r}] = \frac{i}{\hbar}[c\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \frac{e}{2\hbar}[\nabla(c\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{A} - \Phi) \times \boldsymbol{\theta}] \cdot \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{r}] \\ &= c\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \frac{e}{2\hbar}\nabla(c\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{A} - \Phi) \times \boldsymbol{\theta}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

from which we conclude that the velocity of the particle *is not gauge invariant* as the θ -term in Eq. (13) breaks gauge symmetry explicitly. On these grounds we discard the θ -term, taking $\theta = 0$ in order to preserve gauge symmetry. Other ways to deal with this problem are discussed in Ref. [19], where a suitable modification of the action is suggested. We do not pursue this proposal here.

Considering only momentum NC, i.e, $\eta \neq 0$ and $\theta = 0$, the velocity is not modified:

$$\mathbf{v} = \frac{i}{\hbar}[H_{NC\eta}, \mathbf{r}] = \frac{i}{\hbar}[c\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \frac{c}{2\hbar}[\boldsymbol{\alpha} \times \mathbf{r}] \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{r}] = c\boldsymbol{\alpha}. \quad (15)$$

Thus, momentum space NC preserves both gauge invariance and the noncommutative algebra, allowing for a natural generalization of the Dirac theory.

Rewriting the η -modified Hamiltonian as:

$$H_{NC\eta} = c\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot (\mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{2}[\mathbf{B} + \frac{1}{e\hbar}\boldsymbol{\eta}] \times \mathbf{r}) + \beta mc^2 + e\Phi, \quad (16)$$

it is seen explicitly that the momentum NC consists in a shift of $\frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}}{e\hbar}$ corresponding to a new electromagnetic potential given by:

$$\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{A} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\eta} \times \mathbf{r}}{2e\hbar}, \quad (17)$$

as first pointed out in Ref. [6].

Since the electric field is not affected due to the time-independence of η , the equations of motion are only affected by:

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{i}{\hbar}[H_{NC\eta}, \boldsymbol{\pi}] + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\pi}}{\partial t} = e[\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times (\mathbf{B} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}}{e\hbar})]. \quad (18)$$

B. Wave function

The eigenfunctions of the new Hamiltonian, $\Psi_{NC}(x)$, are also modified; however, since the NC contribution corresponds to a constant shift in the vector **potential**, the NC correction yields a constant phase, which commutes with H_{NC} . Writing the new wave function as:

$$\Psi_{NC}(x) = \exp \left\{ \frac{ie}{\hbar} \int_{\text{traj.}} \mathbf{A}' \cdot d\mathbf{l} \right\} \Psi(x)|_{\mathbf{A}=0}, \quad (19)$$

and hence,

$$\Psi_{NC}(x) = e^{\frac{ie}{\hbar} \int_{\text{traj.}} (\mathbf{A} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\eta} \times \mathbf{l}}{2e\hbar}) \cdot d\mathbf{l}} \Psi(x)|_{\mathbf{A}=0} = e^{\frac{i}{2\hbar^2} \int_{\text{traj.}} \boldsymbol{\eta} \times \mathbf{l} \cdot d\mathbf{l}} \Psi(x) \equiv e^{i\phi} \Psi(x). \quad (20)$$

This result will be used in the next section in order to compute the hydrogen spectrum.

Notice that unless one encounters some topological obstruction or some crossing of energy levels one expects no Berry phase either [20].

IV. HYDROGEN ATOM

The hydrogen atom can be solved in the non-relativistic limit, considering $e\Phi = -\alpha/r$, where $\alpha = e^2/\hbar c$. Without a magnetic contribution, $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$, that is:

$$H_{\text{NR hydrogen}} = (c\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \beta m - \frac{\alpha}{r}). \quad (21)$$

The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of this Hamiltonian are well known and given by (from here onwards one uses natural units, i.e. $\hbar = c = 1$):

$$E_n = -\frac{m\alpha^2}{2n^2}, \quad (22)$$

where $n = 1, 2, \dots$ is the principal quantum number.

The observed complexity of the hydrogen spectrum can be understood by relativistic corrections, derived by perturbing the classical Hamiltonian using the *Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation*. Then the Hamiltonian is decoupled into [21]:

$$\begin{aligned} H''' = & \beta \left(m + \frac{(\mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A})^2}{2m} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^4}{8m^3} \right) + e\Phi - e\frac{1}{2m} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} - \frac{ie}{8m^2} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{E} \\ & - \frac{e}{4m^2} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{8m^2} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} + \mathcal{O}(1/m^4). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The relativistic corrections can be categorized in terms of their dependence on the electric or magnetic field. The fine structure correction and Lamb shift are not affected by noncommutativity, but the *hyperfine structure correction* is. This correction is derived from the expectation value of the interaction of the proton and electron magnetic moments, $\frac{e}{2m}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}$, breaking the j -degeneracy by splitting the energy of singlet and triplet states.

Indeed, consider the perturbation:

$$H_{hfs} = +\frac{|e|}{2m}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}, \quad (24)$$

where \mathbf{B} is the magnetic field acting on the electron, given by

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{g_p e}{2m_p} \int d^3r' \rho(r') \nabla \times (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p \times \nabla) \frac{1}{4\pi|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} = \frac{g_p e}{3m_p} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p \rho(r), \quad (25)$$

where g_p is the gyromagnetic ratio, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p$ is the proton unit spin operator and $\rho(r)$, m_p are the magnetic moment density and the mass of the proton, respectively. The resulting energy correction will be given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta E_{\text{hyperfine structure}} &= \langle \psi_{ln} | H_{hfs} | \psi_{ln} \rangle = \frac{g_p e^2}{6mm_p} \langle \psi_{ln} | \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p \rho(r) | \psi_{ln} \rangle \\ &\approx \frac{g_p e^2}{6mm_p} (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p) |\psi_{ln}(0)|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where the approximation $\rho(r) \approx \delta(r)$ was made. In the case of states with $l = 0$, $|\psi_{0n}(0)|^2 = 4m^3\alpha^4/n^3e^2$, and the eigenvalues of the spin operator $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p$ are $1/2$ for triplet states (the spins are aligned) and $-3/2$ for singlet states (the spins are oppositely aligned). Therefore, the energy split between aligned and anti-aligned s -states will be

$$\delta_n = \frac{4}{3} \frac{g_p \alpha^4 m^2}{n^3 m_p}. \quad (27)$$

In particular, for the $1S_{1/2}$ state, the energy splitting is given by:

$$\delta_{1S_{1/2}} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{g_p \alpha^4 m^2}{m_p} \approx 5.88 \mu\text{eV}, \quad (28)$$

corresponding to a transition that emits a photon with a frequency of approximately 1420 MHz. Actually, the experimental accuracy of this result is remarkable, and in fact it is regarded as an established value. Its measurement yields $1420405751.7691 \pm 0.0024\text{Hz}$ [22].

A. The noncommutative hydrogen atom

The previous calculations can be adapted to the noncommutative case, since the noncommutativity affects only the hyperfine structure.

Notice that the non-relativistic treatment of the hydrogen atom does not involve the magnetic field, so the non-relativistic energy levels are unaltered. Furthermore, as seen before, the NC wave function will be modified just by a phase at all orders, and therefore also in the relativistic limit.

The noncommutative correction to the hyperfine term is given by:

$$H_{NC\text{hfs}} = +\frac{|e|}{2m}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{B} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\eta}}{e}) = H_{\text{hfs}} + \frac{1}{2m}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta}. \quad (29)$$

Hence, the expectation value of this perturbation for the eigenstates of the perturbed noncommutative Hamiltonian H_{NC} , ψ'_n , is:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta E_{\text{hyperfine structure}}^{NC} &= \langle \psi'_n | H_{NC\text{hfs}} | \psi'_n \rangle \\ &= \langle e^{i\phi} \psi_n | H_{NC\text{hfs}} | e^{i\phi} \psi_n \rangle \\ &= \langle \psi_n | H_{\text{hfs}} | \psi_n \rangle + \frac{1}{2m} \langle \psi_n | \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta} | \psi_n \rangle \\ &= \Delta E_{\text{hyperfine structure}} + \frac{1}{2m} \langle \psi_n | \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\eta} | \psi_n \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\eta} = \eta \mathbf{e}$, and \mathbf{e} is a unit vector in an arbitrary direction (it only depends on the definition of the antisymmetric matrix ϵ_{ij}). If \mathbf{e} is aligned with the proton spin ($\mathbf{e} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p$), the eigenvalues of $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p$ coincide with the eigenvalues of $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{e}$, 1/2 for singlet states and -3/2 for triplets.

Using the orthonormality of the states ψ_n , we find the noncommutative energy difference between up and down states will be independent of energy level, n :

$$\delta_{NC} = \frac{\eta}{2m} \epsilon \cos \phi, \quad (31)$$

where ϕ is the angle between $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p$ and \mathbf{e} , such that $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p \cdot \mathbf{e} = \epsilon \cos \phi$. It follows that the modified hyperfine transition energy is shifted by:

$$\delta_n^{NC} = \delta_n + \frac{\eta}{2m} \epsilon \cos \phi. \quad (32)$$

Since the value $h^{-1}\delta_n$ is known with an accuracy of 0.0024 Hz for the $1S_{1/2}$ state, it can be used to set an upper bound to the value of η , as $\frac{1}{2}\epsilon \cos \phi = \mathcal{O}(1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &\lesssim mh \times 0.0024 = 1.45 \times 10^{-66} \text{ Kg}^2 m^2 s^{-2} \\ \Rightarrow \sqrt{\eta} &\lesssim 2.26 \text{ } \mu\text{eV}/c. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

This is the main result of this work, and constitutes in a quite stringent bound on the momentum noncommutative parameter. It is as tight as the bound obtained from

the spectrum of the gravitation quantum well [11], even though that bound was obtained through an assumption for the configuration space noncommutative parameter, θ , namely that $\sqrt{\theta} \lesssim 1\text{TeV}$. Notice that the gravitational quantum well yields a bound for the product $\theta\eta/4\hbar^2 \lesssim 10^{-24}$, which can be directly compared with the one arising from entropic gravity and the Equivalence Principle considerations, namely $\theta\eta/\hbar^2 \lesssim 10^{-13}$ [23], as it involves the same combination of noncommutative parameters.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this work phase space noncommutativity effects on the Dirac equation and its impact on the dynamics of a spin-1/2 particle are studied. This allows for acquiring some new insight on the nature of the noncommutative effects.

It has been shown that, without changing the original Hamiltonian, in order to preserve gauge invariance, one should restrict the analysis to the case where the coordinates commute, i.e., $\theta = 0$, and consider only momentum noncommutativity. The introduction of momentum non-commutativity implies the appearance of a constant magnetic field-like term in the Hamiltonian, and it is shown that the resulting shift in the spectrum of the hydrogen atom has an observational signature.

The effect of momentum NC in the spectrum of the hydrogen atom is analyzed in detail and shown that it shifts the hyperfine structure split. From the available experimental accuracy for the hyperfine structure energy, one is able to set an upper bound $\sqrt{\eta} \lesssim 2.26\mu\text{eV}/c$, which is consistent with other values obtained in the literature [11] and indicates that noncommutative effects are fairly small, meaning that if relevant, they may arise in high energy phenomena. Indeed, recent studies reveal that momentum NC effects have a considerable impact in quantum cosmology [15] and black hole physics [16–18].

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Carlos Zarro for interesting comments and suggestions.

-
- [1] M.R. Douglas, N.A. Nekrasov, Rev. Mod. Phys. **73** (2001) 977; R. Szabo, Phys. Rep. **378** (2003) 207.
 - [2] S.M. Carroll, J.A. Harvey, V.A. Kostelecký, C.D. Lane, T. Okamoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87** (2001) 141601.
 - [3] O. Bertolami and L. Guisado, JHEP **0312** (2003) 013.
 - [4] A. Connes, M.R. Douglas and A. Schwarz, JHEP **9802** (1998) 003; N. Seiberg and E. Witten, JHEP **9909** (1999) 032.
 - [5] J. Gamboa, M. Loewe and J. C. Rojas, Phys. Rev. **D64** (2001) 067901.
 - [6] V. P. Nair and A. P. Polychronakos, Phys. Lett. **B505** (2001) 267.
 - [7] C. Duval and P. A. Horvathy, Journ. Phys. **A34** (2001) 10097.
 - [8] Pei-Ming Ho and Hsien-Chung Kao, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88** (2002) 151602.
 - [9] P. A. Horvathy, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) **299** (2002) 128.
 - [10] Jian-zu Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett **93** (2004) 043002; Phys. Lett. **B584** (2004) 204.
 - [11] O. Bertolami, J. G. Rosa, C. M. L. Aragão, P. Castorina and D. Zappalà, Phys. Rev. **D72** (2005) 025010.
 - [12] C. Acatrinei, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A20** (2005) 1437.
 - [13] O. Bertolami, J. G. Rosa, C. M. L. Aragão, P. Castorina and D. Zappalà, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A21** (2006) 795.
 - [14] C. Bastos, O. Bertolami, N. C. Dias and J. N. Prata, J. Math. Phys. **49** (2008) 072101.
 - [15] C. Bastos, O. Bertolami, N. C. Dias and J. N. Prata, Phys. Rev. **D78** (2008) 023516.
 - [16] C. Bastos, O. Bertolami, N. C. Dias and J. N. Prata, Phys. Rev. **D80** (2009) 124038.
 - [17] C. Bastos, O. Bertolami, N. C. Dias and J. N. Prata, Phys. Rev. **D82** (2010) 041502.
 - [18] C. Bastos, O. Bertolami, N. C. Dias and J. N. Prata, Phys. Rev. **D84** (2011) 024005.
 - [19] T. C. Adorno, M. C. Baldiotti and D. M. Gitman, arXiv:1008.4890v2 [hep-th] (2010).
 - [20] C. Bastos and O. Bertolami, Phys. Lett. **A372** (2008) 5556.
 - [21] J. D. Bjorken and S. D. Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics*, McGraw-Hill Book Company (1964).
 - [22] H. Hellwig, R. F. C. Vessot, M. W. Levine, P. W. Zitzewitz, D. W. Allan and D. J. Glaze, IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas. **IM-19** (1970), 200.
 - [23] C. Bastos, O. Bertolami, N. C. Dias and J. N. Prata, Class. Quantum Grav. **28** (2011)

125007.