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#### Abstract

We present a supersymmetric $T_{13}$ model for the tri-bimaximal neutrino mixing, and the complete flavor group is $T_{13} \times Z_{4} \times Z_{2}$. At leading order, the residual symmetry of the charged lepton sector is $Z_{3}$, and the $T_{13}$ symmetry is broken completely in the neutrino sector. The charged lepton mass hierarchies are determined by the spontaneous breaking of the flavor symmetry, both the type I see-saw mechanism and the Weinberg operator contribute to generating the light neutrino masses. Tri-bimaximal mixing is exact at leading order while subleading contributions introduce corrections of order $\lambda_{c}^{2}$ to the three lepton mixing angles. The vacuum alignment and subleading corrections are studied in detail, a moderate hierarchy of order $\lambda_{c}$ between the vacuum expectation values of the flavon fields in the charged lepton and neutrino sectors can be accommodated.
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## 1 Introduction

The presence of two large and one small mixing angles in the lepton sector [1] 3,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0.27<\sin ^{2} \theta_{12}<0.37, \quad 0.39<\sin ^{2} \theta_{23}<0.64, \quad \sin ^{2} \theta_{13}<0.040(0.044) \quad \text { at } 3 \sigma \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

suggests that the observed neutrino mixing matrix is remarkably compatible with the so called tri-bimaximal (TB) structure [4] within measurement errors. The simple form of the TB mixing matrix implies an underlying family symmetry between the three generations of leptons. It has been realized that the TB mixing can naturally arise as the result of a particular vacuum alignment of scalars that break spontaneously certain flavor symmetries. In the past years, much effort has been devoted to produce TB mixing based on some family symmetry. It has been shown that TB mixing can be understood with the help of discrete flavor symmetries, such as $A_{4}$ [5-10], $T_{7}$ [11], $T^{\prime}$ [12], $S_{4}$ [13,14] and $\Delta(27)$ [15], or continuous flavor symmetry $S O(3)$ [16] and $S U(3)$ [17]. Discrete non-abelian groups appear to be particularly suitable to reproduce the TB mixing pattern, some higher order discrete groups such as $A_{5}$ [18], $\Delta(54)$ [19], $\Sigma(81)$ [20] and $P S L_{2}(7)$ 21] are also considered for neutrino mixing besides the above mentioned simple groups, the extension of the discrete flavor symmetry to the quark sector and grand unification theory (GUT) have been investigated as well [7, 8], please see Refs. [22, 23] for a review. In this work, we shall study another 39 element simple discrete group $T_{13}$ in flavor model building, which has gained much less attention.

Recently 76 discrete groups with 3 -dimensional representation were scanned, it is suggested that $T_{13}$ is the group with the largest fraction of TB mixing models [24]. But the authors set all the couplings to be equal to 1 , the vacuum expectation values are chosen to be 0 or 1 , and the vacuum alignment is not considered dynamically in Ref. [24]. It is very interesting to investigate the possible consistent realizations of TB mixing based on $T_{13}$ group from this point of view. As far as we know, the $T_{13}$ group as a discrete flavor symmetry has not been discussed extensively. We note that a $T_{13}$ flavor model was put forward in Ref. [25], and its implication in the indirect detection of dark matter was studied. However, the motivation is not to produce the TB mixing 2 . We have tried many possible assignments for the involved fields, we find the TB mixing can be produced exactly at leading order (LO) in some scenarios, but meanwhile we face the difficulties that the first and third light neutrino are degenerate or the corresponding vacuum alignment is very difficult to be realized or some other problems. In particularly, the realizations of TB mixing based on $T_{13}$ symmetry are drastically constrained after taking into account the vacuum alignment issue. After lots of trial and error, we construct a $T_{13}$ flavor model described in this work, where TB mixing is obtained exactly at LO. It is well-known that discrete group $Z_{N}$ or continuous one like $U(1)$ are usually introduced to eliminate unwanted couplings, to ensure the need vacuum alignment and to reproduce the observed charged charged lepton mass hierarchies. In the present work, the auxiliary symmetry $Z_{4} \times Z_{2}$ is introduced for this purpose. It is notable that the charged lepton mass hierarchies are determined by the $T_{13} \times Z_{4} \times Z_{2}$ flavor symmetry itself without invoking a Froggatt-Nielsen $U(1)$ symmetry.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 , we discuss the relevant features of $T_{13}$ group. In section 3, the structure of the model is described, the LO results for neutrino as well

[^1]as charged lepton mass matrices are presented. In section 4, we show how to get in a natural way the required vacuum alignment used throughout the paper. In section 5, we present the study on the corrections introduced by the higher order terms, which is responsible for the deviation from TB mixing. Finally section 6 is devoted to our conclusion. We give the explicit representation matrices and the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients of $T_{13}$ group in Appendix A. The analysis of the subleading corrections to the vacuum alignment is presented in Appendix B.

## 2 The discrete group $T_{13}$

The discrete group $T_{13}$ is a subgroup of $S U(3)$, and it is smallest discrete group with two complex irreducible three-dimensional representations. $T_{13}$ is isomorphic to $Z_{13} \rtimes Z_{3}$ [26, [27], consequently it has 39 group elements. $T_{13}$ can be generated by two elements $S$ and $T$ obeying the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{13}=T^{3}=1, \quad S T=T S^{3} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The 39 elements of the group belong to 7 conjugate classes and are generated from $S$ and $T$ as follows,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{C}_{1}: e \\
& \mathcal{C}_{2}: T, T S, T S^{2}, T S^{3}, T S^{4}, T S^{5}, T S^{6}, T S^{7}, T S^{8}, T S^{9}, T S^{10}, T S^{11}, T S^{12} \\
& \mathcal{C}_{3}: T^{2}, T^{2} S, T^{2} S^{2}, T^{2} S^{3}, T^{2} S^{4}, T^{2} S^{5}, T^{2} S^{6}, T^{2} S^{7}, T^{2} S^{8}, T^{2} S^{9}, T^{2} S^{10}, T^{2} S^{11}, T^{2} S^{12} \\
& \mathcal{C}_{4}: S, S^{3}, S^{9} \\
& \mathcal{C}_{5}: S^{4}, S^{10}, S^{12} \\
& \mathcal{C}_{6}: S^{2}, S^{5}, S^{6} \\
& \mathcal{C}_{7}: S^{7}, S^{8}, S^{11} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The $T_{13}$ group has 7 inequivalent irreducible representations $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}}, \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}$. It is easy to see that the one-dimensional representations are given by

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{1}_{1}: & S=1, & T=1 \\
\mathbf{1}_{2}: & S=1, & T=\omega \\
\mathbf{1}_{3}: & S=1, & T=\omega^{2} \tag{4}
\end{array}
$$

where $\omega=e^{2 i \pi / 3}$. The three-dimensional representations are given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}}: & S=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{9}
\end{array}\right), \quad T=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}: & S=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho^{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{6} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{5}
\end{array}\right), \quad T=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \tag{5}
\end{array}
$$

where $\rho=e^{2 i \pi / 13}$, the $\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}$ representations can be obtained by performing the complex conjugation of $\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}$ respectively. We can straightforwardly calculate the character

|  | classes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ | $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ | $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ | $\mathcal{C}_{4}$ | $\mathcal{C}_{5}$ | $\mathcal{C}_{6}$ | $\mathcal{C}_{7}$ |
| $n_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}$ | 1 | 13 | 13 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| $h_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}$ | 1 | 3 | 3 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1 | $\omega$ | $\omega^{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | 1 | $\omega^{2}$ | $\omega$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | $\xi_{1}$ | $\xi_{1}^{*}$ | $\xi_{2}$ | $\xi_{2}^{*}$ |
| $\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | $\xi_{1}^{*}$ | $\xi_{1}$ | $\xi_{2}^{*}$ | $\xi_{2}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | $\xi_{2}$ | $\xi_{2}^{*}$ | $\xi_{1}^{*}$ | $\xi_{1}$ |
| $\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | $\xi_{2}^{*}$ | $\xi_{2}$ | $\xi_{1}$ | $\xi_{1}^{*}$ |

Table 1: Character table of the $T_{13}$ group, where $\xi_{1}=\rho+\rho^{3}+\rho^{9}, \xi_{2}=\rho^{2}+\rho^{5}+\rho^{6}, \rho=e^{2 i \pi / 13}$ and $\omega=e^{2 i \pi / 3} . n_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}$ denotes the number of the elements contained in the class $\mathcal{C}_{i}$, and $h_{\mathcal{C}_{i}}$ is the order of the elements of $\mathcal{C}_{i}$.
table of $T_{13}$, which is shown in Table 1. Then the multiplication rules between various representations follow immediately,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes R=R \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}=R, \quad \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}}, \quad \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}}=\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}, \quad \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}}=\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}, \\
& \mathbf{1}_{i} \otimes 3_{1}=3_{1}, \quad 1_{i} \otimes \overline{3}_{1}=\overline{3}_{1}, \quad 1_{i} \otimes 3_{2}=3_{2}, \quad \mathbf{1}_{i} \otimes \overline{3}_{2}=\overline{3}_{2}, \\
& \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}}=\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1 S} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1 A} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}, \quad \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{1}}=\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}} \text {, } \\
& 3_{1} \otimes \mathbf{3}_{2}=3_{\mathbf{1}} \oplus 3_{\mathbf{2}} \oplus \overline{3}_{2}, \quad \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes \overline{3}_{2}=\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \overline{3}_{1} \oplus \overline{3}_{2}, \\
& \overline{3}_{1} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}=\mathbf{3}_{1 S} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{1 A} \oplus \overline{3}_{2}, \quad \overline{3}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}, \\
& \overline{3}_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}=\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}, \quad \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}} \otimes \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}=\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2 S} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2 A}, \\
& \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{1}}, \quad \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{2 S} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{2 A} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where the indices $i=2,3, R$ indicates any $T_{13}$ irreducible representation, and the subscript $S$ and $A$ denote symmetric and anti-symmetric products respectively. The explicit representation matrices of the group elements for the three dimensional irreducible representations are listed in Appendix A. From these representation matrices, one can directly calculate the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for the decomposition of the product representations, which are given in Appendix A as well.

## 3 The structure of the model

The model is supersymmetric and based on the discrete symmetry $T_{13} \times Z_{4} \times Z_{2}$. Supersymmetry (SUSY) is introduced in order to simplify the discussion of the vacuum alignment. All the fields of the model, together with their transformation properties under the flavor group, are listed in Table 2. We assign the 3 generation of left-handed lepton doublets $\ell$ to be the $\mathbf{3}_{1}$ representation, while the right-handed charged lepton $e^{c}, \mu^{c}$ and $\tau^{c}$ transform as $\mathbf{1}_{1}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}}$ respectively. It is notable that the three right-handed neutrinos $\nu_{1}^{c}, \nu_{2}^{c}$ and $\nu_{3}^{c}$ are assigned as $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}}$ as well, they transform in the same way as the right-handed charged lepton fields. This is an interesting feature of the model. We note that in popular

| Fields | $\ell$ | $e^{c}$ | $\mu^{c}$ | $\tau^{c}$ | $\nu_{1}^{c}$ | $\nu_{2}^{c}$ | $\nu_{3}^{c}$ | $h_{u, d}$ | $\chi$ | $\xi$ | $\phi$ | $\eta$ | $\chi^{0}$ | $\rho^{0}$ | $\theta^{0}$ | $\eta^{0}$ | $\xi^{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T_{13}$ | $\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}$ |
| $Z_{4}$ | 1 | i | -1 | -i | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | i | i | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | -i |
| $Z_{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 |
| $U(1)_{R}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

Table 2: The transformation properties of the matter fields, the electroweak Higgs doublets, the flavon fields and the driving fields under the flavor symmetry $T_{13} \times Z_{4} \times Z_{2}$.
$A_{4}$ and $S_{4}$ models, the right-handed neutrinos are frequently treated to be a triplet [5, 13]. Lepton masses and mixing arise from the spontaneous breaking of the flavor symmetry by means of the flavon fields, they are neutral under the standard model gauge group and are divided into two sets $\Phi_{\ell}=\{\chi, \xi\}$ and $\Phi_{\nu}=\{\phi, \eta\}$. We note that all the flavon fields are triplets under $T_{13}$ in this work, $\Phi_{\ell}$ is responsible for the charged lepton masses and $\Phi_{\nu}$ for the neutrino masses at LO. In the following, we shall discuss the LO predictions for fermion masses and flavor mixings. For the time being we assume that the scalar components of the flavon fields acquire vacuum expectation values (VEV) according to the following scheme,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\langle\chi\rangle=v_{\chi}\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right), & \langle\xi\rangle=v_{\xi}\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right) \\
\langle\phi\rangle=v_{\phi}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right), & \langle\eta\rangle=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
v_{\eta} \\
0
\end{array}\right) \tag{7}
\end{array}
$$

In section 4 we shall show that the above alignment is indeed naturally realized at LO from the most general superpotential allowed by the symmetry of the model.

### 3.1 Charged leptons

The charged lepton masses are described by the following superpotential

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\ell}=\sum_{i=1}^{5} \frac{y_{e_{i}}}{\Lambda^{3}} e^{c}\left(\ell \mathcal{O}_{i}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}} h_{d}+\frac{y_{\mu}^{\prime}}{\Lambda^{2}} \mu^{c}\left(\ell(\xi \xi)_{\overline{\mathbf{1}}_{1 S}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}}} h_{d}+\frac{y_{\tau}}{\Lambda} \tau^{c}(\ell \chi)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}} h_{d}+\ldots \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}=\left\{\left(\chi(\chi \chi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}},\left((\chi \chi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}} \xi\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1 S}},\left((\chi \chi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}} \xi\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1 A}},\left((\chi \chi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}} \xi\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}},\left(\chi(\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{2}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}}\right\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that the subscripts $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}}, \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{1}}$ etc denote the $T_{13}$ contractions. In the above superpotential $w_{\ell}$, for each charged lepton, only the lowest order operators in the expansion in powers of $1 / \Lambda$ are displayed explicitly. Dots stand for higher dimensional operators which will be discussed later. It is remarkable that the $Z_{4}$ symmetry imposes different powers of $\chi$ and $\xi$ for the electron, muon and tauon terms, i.e., only the tau mass is generated at LO, the muon and the electron masses are generated by high order contributions. After electroweak
and flavor symmetry breaking, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{\ell} & =\left[y_{e_{1}} \frac{v_{\chi}^{3}}{\Lambda^{3}}+4 y_{e_{2}} \frac{v_{\chi}^{2} v_{\xi}}{\Lambda^{3}}+y_{e_{4}} \frac{v_{\chi}^{2} v_{\xi}}{\Lambda^{3}}+y_{e 5} \frac{v_{\chi} v_{\xi}^{2}}{\Lambda^{3}}\right] v_{d} e^{c}(e+\mu+\tau)+2 y_{\mu}^{\prime} \frac{v_{\xi}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} v_{d} \mu^{c}\left(e+\omega^{2} \mu+\omega \tau\right) \\
& +y_{\tau} \frac{v_{\chi}}{\Lambda} v_{d} \tau^{c}\left(e+\omega \mu+\omega^{2} \tau\right) \\
& \equiv y_{e} \frac{v_{\chi}^{3}}{\Lambda^{3}} v_{d} e^{c}(e+\mu+\tau)+y_{\mu} \frac{v_{\xi}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} v_{d} \mu^{c}\left(e+\omega^{2} \mu+\omega \tau\right)+y_{\tau} \frac{v_{\chi}}{\Lambda} v_{d} \tau^{c}\left(e+\omega \mu+\omega^{2} \tau\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $v_{d}=\left\langle h_{d}\right\rangle$, the parameters $y_{e}$ and $y_{\mu}$ are parameterized as $y_{e}=y_{e_{1}}+\left(4 y_{e_{2}}+y_{e_{4}}\right) v_{\xi} / v_{\chi}+$ $y_{e_{5}} v_{\xi}^{2} / v_{\chi}^{2}$ and $y_{\mu}=2 y_{\mu}^{\prime}$. As a result, the charged lepton mass matrix has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{\ell} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
y_{e} \frac{v_{X}^{3}}{\Lambda^{3}} & y_{e} \frac{v_{X}^{3}}{\Lambda^{3}} & y_{e} \frac{v_{X}^{3}}{\Lambda^{3}} \\
y_{\mu} \frac{v_{\xi}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} & \omega^{2} y_{\mu} \frac{v_{\xi}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} & \omega y_{\mu} \frac{v_{\xi}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} \\
y_{\tau} \frac{v_{X}}{\Lambda} & \omega y_{\tau} \frac{v_{X}}{\Lambda} & \omega^{2} y_{\tau} \frac{v_{\chi}}{\Lambda}
\end{array}\right) v_{d} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
y_{e} \frac{v_{X}^{3}}{\Lambda^{3}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & y_{\mu} \frac{v_{\xi}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & y_{\tau} \frac{v_{X}}{\Lambda}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & \omega^{2} & \omega \\
1 & \omega & \omega^{2}
\end{array}\right) v_{d} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Obviously the charged lepton mass matrix is diagonalized by performing the transformation $\ell \rightarrow U_{\ell} \ell$, where $U_{\ell}$ is

$$
U_{\ell}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1  \tag{12}\\
1 & \omega & \omega^{2} \\
1 & \omega^{2} & \omega
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the charged lepton masses are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{e}=\sqrt{3}\left|y_{e} \frac{v_{\chi}^{3}}{\Lambda^{3}} v_{d}\right|, \quad m_{\mu}=\sqrt{3}\left|y_{\mu} \frac{v_{\xi}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} v_{d}\right|, \quad m_{\tau}=\sqrt{3}\left|y_{\tau} \frac{v_{\chi}}{\Lambda} v_{d}\right| \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that the charged lepton mass hierarchies are generate by the spontaneous breaking of the flavor symmetry. To estimate the order of magnitudes of $v_{\chi}$ and $v_{\xi}$, we can use the experimental data on the ratios of charged lepton masses. Assuming that the coefficients $y_{e}$, $y_{\mu}$ and $y_{\tau}$ are of $\mathcal{O}(1)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{m_{e}}{m_{\tau}} \sim \frac{v_{\chi}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} \simeq 0.0003 \\
& \frac{m_{\mu}}{m_{\tau}} \sim \frac{v_{\xi}^{2}}{v_{\chi} \Lambda} \simeq 0.06 \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

These relations are satisfied for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{v_{\chi}}{\Lambda}, \frac{v_{\xi}}{\Lambda}\right) \sim(0.017, \pm 0.032) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that the amplitudes of both $v_{\chi} / \Lambda$ and $v_{\xi} / \Lambda$ are roughly of the same order about $\lambda_{c}^{2}$, where $\lambda_{c}$ is the Cabibbo angle. It is interesting to investigate the flavor symmetry breaking pattern in the charged lepton sector, it is induced by the VEVs of $\chi$ and $\xi$ at LO. Given the explicit representation matrices listed in Appendix A, it is obvious that the VEVs of $\chi$ and $\xi$ are invariant under the action of $T$ and $T^{2}$. Furthermore, we can check that the hermitian matrix $m_{\ell}^{\dagger} m_{\ell}$ is invariant under both $T$ and $T^{2}$ as well. Therefore we conclude that the $T_{13}$ flavor symmetry is broken down to the $Z_{3}$ subgroup generated by the element $T$ in the charged lepton sector at LO.

### 3.2 Neutrinos

The superpotential for the neutrino sector can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{\nu}^{S S}=\frac{y_{\nu 1}}{\Lambda} \nu_{1}^{c}(\ell \phi)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}} h_{u}+\frac{y_{\nu 2}}{\Lambda} \nu_{2}^{c}(\ell \phi)_{\mathbf{1}_{3}} h_{u}+\frac{y_{\nu 3}}{\Lambda} \nu_{3}^{c}(\ell \phi)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}} h_{u}+\frac{1}{2} M_{1} \nu_{1}^{c} \nu_{1}^{c}+\frac{1}{2} M_{2}\left(\nu_{2}^{c} \nu_{3}^{c}+\nu_{3}^{c} \nu_{2}^{c}\right)+\ldots \\
& w_{\nu}^{e f f}=\frac{x_{\nu 1}}{\Lambda^{3}}\left(\left(\ell h_{u} \ell h_{u}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1 S}}(\phi \phi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}}+\frac{x_{\nu 2}}{\Lambda^{3}}\left(\left(\ell h_{u} \ell h_{u}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1 S}}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}}+\frac{x_{\nu 3}}{\Lambda^{3}}\left(\left(\ell h_{u} \ell h_{u}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \phi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}} \\
& \quad+\frac{x_{\nu 4}}{\Lambda^{3}}\left(\left(\ell h_{u} \ell h_{u}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}}+\ldots \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are constants with dimension of mass, they are naturally of the same order as the cutoff scale $\Lambda$, and the factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ is a normalization factor for convenience. We note that $w_{\nu}^{S S}$ denotes the lagrangian of the type I see-saw mechanism, and $w_{\nu}^{\text {eff }}$ is the collection of higher dimensional Weinberg operators. Taking into account the vacuum alignment shown in Eq.(77), we can read the Dirac and Majorana mass matrices immediately from $w_{\nu}^{S S}$ as follows

$$
m_{D}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & y_{\nu 1} & -y_{\nu 1}  \tag{17}\\
0 & \omega^{2} y_{\nu 2} & -\omega y_{\nu 2} \\
0 & \omega y_{\nu 3} & -\omega^{2} y_{\nu 3}
\end{array}\right) \frac{v_{\phi}}{\Lambda} v_{u}, \quad m_{M}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
M_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & M_{2} \\
0 & M_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $v_{u}$ is the vacuum expectation value of the Higgs field $h_{u}$. It is remarkable that the eigenvalues of the Majorana mass matrix $m_{M}$ are $M_{1}, M_{2}$ and $-M_{2}$, two of the right handed neutrinos are degenerate at LO. This is a distinguished feature of our model from the previous flavor models in which the right-handed neutrinos are usually treated to form a triplet. It is very interesting to discuss the assignment of right-handed neutrinos as singlets and the corresponding phenomenological implications in flavor models based on $A_{4}, \Delta(27), S_{4}$ and so on. The light neutrino mass matrix from see-saw mechanism is given by the well-known see-saw formula

$$
m_{\nu}^{S S}=-m_{D}^{T} m_{M}^{-1} m_{D}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0  \tag{18}\\
0 & -a-2 b & a-b \\
0 & a-b & -a-2 b
\end{array}\right) \frac{v_{u}^{2}}{\Lambda}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=y_{\nu 1}^{2} v_{\phi}^{2} /\left(\Lambda M_{1}\right), \quad b=y_{\nu 2} y_{\nu 3} v_{\phi}^{2} /\left(\Lambda M_{2}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The superpotential $w_{\nu}^{e f f}$ leads to the following effective light neutrino mass matrix

$$
m_{\nu}^{e f f}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
r & 0 & 0  \tag{20}\\
0 & s & t \\
0 & t & s
\end{array}\right) \frac{v_{u}^{2}}{\Lambda}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
r & =-2 x_{\nu 4} v_{\eta} v_{\phi} / \Lambda^{2} \\
s & =2 x_{\nu 3} v_{\phi}^{2} / \Lambda^{2} \\
t & =-4 x_{\nu 1} v_{\phi}^{2} / \Lambda^{2}+2 x_{\nu 2} v_{\eta}^{2} / \Lambda^{2} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore in the flavor basis where the charged lepton mass matrix is diagonal, the light neutrino mass matrices read

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{\nu}^{S S} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-2 b & b & b \\
b & a & -a-b \\
b & -a-b & a
\end{array}\right) \frac{v_{u}^{2}}{\Lambda} \\
m_{\nu}^{e f f} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
r+2 s+2 t & r-s-t & r-s-t \\
r-s-t & r-s+2 t & r+2 s-t \\
r-s-t & r+2 s-t & r-s+2 t
\end{array}\right) \frac{v_{u}^{2}}{3 \Lambda} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Both the light neutrino mass matrices $m_{\nu}^{S S}$ and $m_{\nu}^{e f f}$ are $2 \leftrightarrow 3$ invariant, and they satisfy the magic symmetry $\left(m_{\nu}^{S S(e f f)}\right)_{11}+\left(m_{\nu}^{S S(e f f)}\right)_{13}=\left(m_{\nu}^{S S(e f f)}\right)_{22}+\left(m_{\nu}^{S S(e f f)}\right)_{32}$. Therefore they are exactly diagonalized by the TB mixing matrix

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{T B} m_{\nu}^{S S} U_{T B}=\operatorname{diag}(-3 b, 0,2 a+b) \frac{v_{u}^{2}}{\Lambda} \\
& U_{T B} m_{\nu}^{e f f} U_{T B}=\operatorname{diag}(s+t, r,-s+t) \frac{v_{u}^{2}}{\Lambda} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that the contribution $m_{\nu}^{S S}$ from the see-saw mechanism is of the same order as $m_{\nu}^{e f f}$ coming from the Weinberg operators, consequently both contributions should be included. The light neutrino mass matrix is the sum of $m_{\nu}^{S S}$ and $m_{\nu}^{e f f}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\nu}=m_{\nu}^{S S}+m_{\nu}^{e f f} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously $m_{\nu}$ is still diagonalized by the TB mixing matrix, and the light neutrino masses are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{\nu 1}=(s+t-3 b) \frac{v_{u}^{2}}{\Lambda} \\
& m_{\nu 2}=r \frac{v_{u}^{2}}{\Lambda} \\
& m_{\nu 3}=(-s+t+2 a+b) \frac{v_{u}^{2}}{\Lambda} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

where $U_{T B}$ is the well-known TB mixing matrix

$$
U_{T B}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0  \tag{26}\\
-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\
-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We note that the contributions proportional to $a$ and $b$ can be absorbed into $s$ and $t$ by redefinition $s \rightarrow s-a-2 b$ and $t \rightarrow t+a-b$, therefore the light neutrino masses depend on three unrelated complex parameters. There are more freedoms to tune the mass differences and then satisfy the constraints associated to neutrino oscillation, the neutrino mass spectrum can be normal hierarchy or inverted hierarchy. In contrast with some "constrained" flavor models, no neutrino mass sum-rules [28] can be found in this model. We could certainly remove the right-handed neutrinos from our model, then the neutrino masses are described by the Weinberg operators $w_{\nu}^{e f f}$, the above conclusions remain invariant. However, if we only
concentrate on the see-saw realization $w_{\nu}^{S S}$, the second neutrino would be massless although the lepton mixing is of TB form, this scenario is ruled out by the experimental observations.

It is notable that the VEVs of $\phi$ and $\eta$ are always changed under the action of any $T_{13}$ group element except unit element, consequently the flavor symmetry $T_{13}$ is broken down to nothing in the neutrino sector at LO. Reminding that ones usually break the flavor symmetry into the low energy neutrino symmetry group Klein four [29] 31] or $Z_{2}$ [32, 33] to guarantee TB mixing for neutrinos, it is really amazing we can still obtain TB mixing even if the flavor symmetry is broken completely in the neutrino sector at LO.

In short summary, at the LO the $T_{13}$ flavor symmetry is broken down to $Z_{3}$ subgroup and nothing in the charged lepton and neutrino sectors respectively. This breaking chain lets us to find the TB scheme at LO as the lepton mixing matrix. However, the mixing angles generally deviate from the TB values after the corrections of the higher order terms are included. It is remarkable that this symmetry breaking pattern of our model has not been studied, as far as we know. It is attractive to investigate whether we can still reproduce TB mixing in models with $A_{4}$ or $S_{4}$ symmetry, if the flavor symmetry is broken completely in the neutrino sector at LO.

## 4 Vacuum alignment

The vacuum alignment problem of the model can be solved by the supersymmetric driving fields method introduced in Ref. [33]. This approach exploits a continuous $U(1)_{R}$ symmetry under which matter fields have $R=+1$, while Higgses and flavon fields have $R=0$. Such a symmetry will be eventually broken down to the R-parity by small SUSY breaking effects which can be neglected in the first approximation in our analysis. The spontaneous breaking of $T_{13}$ can be employed by introducing the so-called driving fields with $R=2$, which enter linearly into the superpotential. Five driving fields $\chi^{0}, \rho^{0}, \theta^{0}, \eta^{0}$ and $\xi^{0}$ are introduced in our model, their transformation rules under the flavor symmetry are shown in Table 2, We note that the driving fields $\rho^{0}$ and $\theta^{0}$ are necessary to stabilize the vacuum alignment under subleading corrections. At LO, the most general superpotential dependent on the driving fields, which is invariant under the flavor symmetry group $T_{13} \times Z_{4} \times Z_{2}$, is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{v} & =f_{1}\left(\chi^{0}(\chi \chi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}}+f_{2}\left(\chi^{0}(\chi \xi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}}+f_{3} \rho^{0}(\chi \xi)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}}}+f_{4} \theta^{0}(\chi \xi)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}}+g_{1}\left(\eta^{0}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{2 S}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}} \\
& +g_{2}\left(\eta^{0}(\phi \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{1}}+h \xi^{0}(\xi \phi)_{\mathbf{1}_{1}} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

In the SUSY limit, the vacuum configuration is determined by the vanishing of the derivative of $w_{v}$ with respect to each component of the driving fields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial w_{v}}{\partial \chi_{1}^{0}}=f_{1} \chi_{1}^{2}+f_{2} \chi_{2} \xi_{1}=0  \tag{28a}\\
& \frac{\partial w_{v}}{\partial \chi_{2}^{0}}=f_{1} \chi_{2}^{2}+f_{2} \chi_{3} \xi_{2}=0  \tag{28b}\\
& \frac{\partial w_{v}}{\partial \chi_{3}^{0}}=f_{1} \chi_{3}^{2}+f_{2} \chi_{1} \xi_{3}=0  \tag{28c}\\
& \frac{\partial w_{v}}{\partial \rho^{0}}=f_{3}\left(\chi_{1} \xi_{1}+\omega^{2} \chi_{2} \xi_{2}+\omega \chi_{3} \xi_{3}\right)=0  \tag{29}\\
& \frac{\partial w_{v}}{\partial \theta^{0}}=f_{4}\left(\chi_{1} \xi_{1}+\omega \chi_{2} \xi_{2}+\omega^{2} \chi_{3} \xi_{3}\right)=0 \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

The above equations are satisfied by the alignment

$$
\langle\chi\rangle=v_{\chi}\left(\begin{array}{l}
1  \tag{31}\\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right), \quad\langle\xi\rangle=v_{\xi}\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right)
$$

with the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\chi}=-\frac{f_{2}}{f_{1}} v_{\xi}, \quad v_{\xi} \text { undetermined } \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without assuming any fine-tuning among the parameters $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$, the VEVs $v_{\chi}$ and $v_{\xi}$ are expected to be of the same order of magnitude, this is consistent with the conclusion drew from the charged lepton mass hierarchies. We note that if one component of $\chi$ or $\xi$ has vanishing VEV, e.g. $\left\langle\xi_{1}\right\rangle=0$, Eqs.(28a)-(28c) imply $\left\langle\chi_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle\chi_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle\chi_{3}\right\rangle=0$. This means that the VEV of any component of the flavons $\chi$ or $\xi$ should be non-zero in order to obtain a non-trivial vacuum configuration. As has been shown in the previous section, at LO the $T_{13}$ flavor symmetry is spontaneously broken by the VEVs of $\phi$ and $\eta$ in the neutrino sector, their vacuum configurations are determined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial w_{v}}{\partial \eta_{1}^{0}}=2 g_{1} \eta_{2} \eta_{3}+g_{2} \phi_{3} \eta_{1}=0  \tag{33a}\\
& \frac{\partial w_{v}}{\partial \eta_{2}^{0}}=2 g_{1} \eta_{1} \eta_{3}+g_{2} \phi_{1} \eta_{2}=0  \tag{33b}\\
& \frac{\partial w_{v}}{\partial \eta_{3}^{0}}=2 g_{1} \eta_{1} \eta_{2}+g_{2} \phi_{2} \eta_{3}=0  \tag{33c}\\
& \frac{\partial w_{v}}{\partial \xi^{0}}=h\left(\xi_{1} \phi_{1}+\xi_{2} \phi_{2}+\xi_{3} \phi_{3}\right)=0 \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

The first three equations Eq.(33a)-(33c) lead to two un-equivalent vacuum configurations 3 , the first is

$$
\langle\phi\rangle=v_{\phi}\left(\begin{array}{l}
1  \tag{37}\\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right), \quad\langle\eta\rangle=v_{\eta}\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\eta}=-\frac{g_{2}}{2 g_{1}} v_{\phi}, \quad v_{\phi} \text { undetermined } \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second solution is

$$
\langle\phi\rangle=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0  \tag{39}\\
v_{\phi_{2}} \\
v_{\phi_{3}}
\end{array}\right), \quad\langle\eta\rangle=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
v_{\eta} \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

${ }^{3}$ We note that the equations can be satisfied by two additional solutions as well. One is

$$
\langle\phi\rangle=\left(\begin{array}{c}
v_{\phi_{1}}  \tag{35}\\
0 \\
v_{\phi_{3}}
\end{array}\right), \quad\langle\eta\rangle=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
v_{\eta}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Another one is

$$
\langle\phi\rangle=\left(\begin{array}{c}
v_{\phi_{1}}  \tag{36}\\
v_{\phi_{2}} \\
0
\end{array}\right), \quad\langle\eta\rangle=\left(\begin{array}{c}
v_{\eta} \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $v_{\eta}, v_{\phi_{1}}, v_{\phi_{2}}$ and $v_{\phi_{3}}$ are undetermined. However, the above two solutions can be obtained by acting on the vacuum Eq.(39) with the elements $T$ and $T^{2}$ respectively. Therefore these two solutions are equivalent to the configuration in Eq.(39).
where $v_{\eta}, v_{\phi_{2}}$ and $v_{\phi_{3}}$ are constrained. Using the alignment of $\chi$ in Eq.(31), for the first solution shown in Eq.(37), we can immediately infer from Eq.(34)

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\xi} v_{\phi}=0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are led to the trivial solutions $v_{\chi}=v_{\xi}=0$ or $v_{\phi}=v_{\eta}=0$, which can be removed by the interplay of radiative corrections to the scalar potential and soft SUSY breaking terms for the flavon fields. Therefore we choose the second solution in this work, this vacuum configuration can produce the results in the previous section. Then the minimization equation Eq.(34) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\phi_{2}}+v_{\phi_{3}}=0 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

This indicates that $\left\langle\phi_{2}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\phi_{3}\right\rangle$ have to be equal up to a relative sign, thus $\phi$ is fully aligned as

$$
\langle\phi\rangle=v_{\phi}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0  \tag{42}\\
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Starting from the vacuum configurations given in Eq.(31), Eq.(39) and Eq.(42) and acting on them with the elements of the flavor symmetry group $T_{13}$, we can generate other minima of the scalar potential. However, these new minima are physically equivalent to the original one, it is not restrictive to analyze the model by choosing the vacuum in Eqs. (31]39,42) as local minimum. It is important to check the stability of the LO vacuum configuration, if we introduce small perturbations to the VEVs of the flavon fields as follows,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle\chi\rangle=v_{\chi}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1+x_{1} \\
1+x_{2} \\
1+x_{3}
\end{array}\right), \quad\langle\xi\rangle=v_{\xi}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
1+y_{2} \\
1+y_{3}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \langle\phi\rangle=v_{\phi}\left(\begin{array}{c}
z_{1} \\
1 \\
-1+z_{3}
\end{array}\right), \quad\langle\eta\rangle=v_{\eta}\left(\begin{array}{c}
w_{1} \\
1 \\
w_{3}
\end{array}\right) \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

After some straightforward algebra, we find that the only solution to the minimization equations is

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}=x_{2}=x_{3}=0, \quad y_{2}=y_{3}=0 \\
& z_{1}=z_{3}=0, \quad w_{1}=w_{3}=0 \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore the LO vacuum alignment is stable, then we turn to consider the magnitudes of flavon VEVs. Since the VEVs of $\phi$ and $\eta$ are closely related with each other through the equations Eqs.(33a)-(33c), and they have the same charges under the auxiliary symmetry $Z_{4} \times Z_{2}$, we expect a common order of magnitude for the VEVs $v_{\chi}$ and $v_{\eta}$. However, the VEVs of $\Phi_{\ell}=\{\chi, \xi\}$ and $\Phi_{\nu}=\{\phi, \eta\}$ can be in principle different and they are subject to phenomenological constraints. As we have shown in section 3.1, $\left\langle\Phi_{\ell}\right\rangle$ is responsible for the charged lepton mass hierarchies, and it is required to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon \equiv \frac{v_{\chi}}{\Lambda} \sim \frac{v_{\xi}}{\Lambda} \sim \lambda_{c}^{2} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Among the three neutrino mixing angles, the solar neutrino mixing angle $\theta_{12}$ is measured most precisely so far, the experimentally allowed departures of $\theta_{12}$ from its TB value $\sin ^{2} \theta_{12}=$
$1 / 3$ are at most of order $\lambda_{c}^{2}[1]$. It is well-known that the superpotentials $w_{\ell}, w_{\nu}^{S S}, w_{\nu}^{e f f}$ and $w_{v}$ are corrected by higher dimensional operators in the expansion (please see section 5 and Appendix B for detail), which mostly can be constructed by including the combination $\Phi_{\nu} \Phi_{\nu}$ on top of each LO term, thus all the three mixing angles receive corrections of order $\left\langle\Phi_{\nu}\right\rangle^{2} / \Lambda^{2}$ (please see section 5 for detail). Requiring that the mixing angles particular $\theta_{12}$ lie in the ranges allowed by neutrino oscillation data, we obtain the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{\prime} \equiv \frac{v_{\phi}}{\Lambda} \sim \frac{v_{\eta}}{\Lambda} \leq \lambda_{c} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same condition follows from the requirement that the generated charged lepton mass hierarchies should be stable under subleading corrections. As a result, we can tolerate a moderate hierarchy between $\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ because of the strong constraint of the auxiliary symmetry $Z_{4} \times Z_{2}$. It is a general conclusion that a hierarchy between the VEVs of the flavon fields can be accommodated in a "fully" separated scalar potential. This type of vacuum alignment is usually constructed to generate a large reactor angle [34, 35], i.e. $\theta_{13} \sim \lambda_{c}$, although it is predicted to be exactly zero at LO. However, the subleading corrections to $\theta_{13}$ turn out to be of order $\lambda_{c}^{2}$ in our model, as we shall demonstrate in next section.

## 5 Subleading corrections

It is crucial to guarantee that the successful LO predictions are not spoiled by subleading corrections, we will discuss this important issue in detail. The superpotentials $w_{\ell}, w_{\nu}^{S S}, w_{\nu}^{e f f}$ and $w_{v}$ are corrected by higher dimensional operators, which arise from adding the products $\Phi_{\nu} \Phi_{\nu}$, invariant combination under $Z_{4} \times Z_{2}$, on top of the LO terms. Then the residual $Z_{3}$ symmetry in the charged lepton sector would be broken completely by the subleading contributions. The lepton masses and mixing matrices are corrected by both the shift of the vacuum configuration and the higher dimensional operators in the Yukawa superpotentials. As a result, the mass matrices with subleading corrections can be obtained by inserting the modified vacuum alignment into the LO Yukawa operators plus the contributions of the new higher dimensional operators evaluated with the unperturbed VEVs.

The subleading corrections to the vacuum alignment are discussed in Appendix B in detail. The inclusion of the higher dimensional operators in the driving superpotential $w_{v}$ results in a shift of the VEVs of the flavon fields, the vacuum configuration is modified into

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\langle\chi\rangle=\left(\begin{array}{c}
v_{\chi}+\delta v_{\chi_{1}} \\
v_{\chi}+\delta v_{\chi_{2}} \\
v_{\chi}+\delta v_{\chi_{3}}
\end{array}\right), & \langle\xi\rangle=\left(\begin{array}{c}
v_{\xi}+\delta v_{\xi_{1}} \\
v_{\xi}+\delta v_{\xi_{2}} \\
v_{\xi}
\end{array}\right) \\
\langle\phi\rangle=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\delta v_{\phi_{1}} \\
v_{\phi}+\delta v_{\phi_{2}} \\
-v_{\phi}
\end{array}\right), & \langle\eta\rangle=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\delta v_{\eta_{1}} \\
v_{\eta} \\
\delta v_{\eta_{3}}
\end{array}\right) \tag{47}
\end{array}
$$

where $v_{\xi}, v_{\phi}$ and $v_{\eta}$ remain undetermined, and all the shifts are of order $\varepsilon^{\prime 2}$ with respect to the LO VEVs, as is shown in Appendix B. Moreover, all components of $\langle\chi\rangle,\langle\xi\rangle,\langle\phi\rangle$ and $\langle\eta\rangle$ receive different corrections so that the LO alignment is tilted.

### 5.1 Corrections to the charged lepton mass matrix

In the charged lepton sector, $w_{\ell}$ is corrected by the following operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{c} \ell \Phi_{\ell}^{3} \Phi_{\nu}^{2} h_{d} / \Lambda^{5}, \quad \mu^{c} \ell \Phi_{\ell}^{2} \Phi_{\nu}^{2} h_{d} / \Lambda^{4}, \quad \tau^{c} \ell \Phi_{\ell} \Phi_{\nu}^{2} h_{d} / \Lambda^{3} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where all possible contractions among fields are understood. After lengthy and tedious calculations, we find that each element of charged lepton mass matrix gets a small correction. Concretely the corrections to the $e$ row, $\mu$ row and $\tau$ row are of order $\varepsilon^{3} \varepsilon^{\prime 2} v_{d}, \varepsilon^{2} \varepsilon^{\prime 2} v_{d}$ and $\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime 2} v_{d}$ respectively. As a result, the charged lepton mass matrix with subleading corrections can be parameterized as

$$
m_{\ell}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
y_{e} \varepsilon^{2} & y_{e} \varepsilon^{2} & y_{e} \varepsilon^{2}  \tag{49}\\
y_{\mu} \varepsilon & \omega^{2} y_{\mu} \varepsilon & \omega y_{\mu} \varepsilon \\
y_{\tau} & \omega y_{\tau} & \omega^{2} y_{\tau}
\end{array}\right) \varepsilon v_{d}+\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{11}^{\ell} \varepsilon^{2} & a_{11}^{\ell} \varepsilon^{2} & a_{13}^{\ell} \varepsilon^{2} \\
a_{21}^{\ell} \varepsilon & \omega^{2} a_{22}^{\ell} \varepsilon & \omega a_{23}^{\ell} \varepsilon \\
a_{31}^{\ell} & \omega a_{32}^{\ell} & \omega^{2} a_{33}^{\ell}
\end{array}\right) \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime 2} v_{d}
$$

where the first term denotes the LO contributions, and the second term represents the corrections induced by the higher dimensional operators in Eq.(48). The coefficients $a_{i j}^{\ell}(i, j=$ $1,2,3)$ are complex numbers with absolute value of order one, their specific values are not determined by the flavor symmetry. Furthermore, we have to consider the corrections from the shifted vacuum alignment. Since the shifts $\delta v_{\chi_{i}}$ and $\delta v_{\xi_{i}}$ are of order $\varepsilon^{\prime 2} v_{\chi}$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime 2} v_{\xi}$ respectively, and the corrections to each matrix element contain one additional power of $\delta v_{\chi_{i}} / v_{\chi}$ or $\delta v_{\xi_{i}} / v_{\xi}$. Consequently, including these corrections only amounts to a redefinition of the $a_{i j}^{\ell}$ parameter in Eq.(49). As a result, the unitary matrix $U_{\ell}{ }^{4}$, which corresponds to the transformation of the charged leptons used to diagonalized $m_{\ell}$, is modified into

$$
U_{\ell}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1  \tag{50}\\
1 & \omega & \omega^{2} \\
1 & \omega^{2} & \omega
\end{array}\right) U_{\ell}^{\prime}
$$

where $U_{\ell}^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
U_{\ell}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \left(A_{\ell} \varepsilon^{2}\right)^{*} & \left(B_{\ell} \varepsilon^{\prime 2}\right)^{*}  \tag{51}\\
-A_{\ell} \varepsilon^{\prime 2} & 1 & \left(C_{\ell} \varepsilon^{\prime 2}\right)^{*} \\
-B_{\ell} \varepsilon^{\prime 2} & -C_{\ell} \varepsilon^{\prime 2} & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{\ell}=\left(a_{21}^{\ell}+\omega^{2} a_{22}^{\ell}+\omega a_{23}^{\ell}\right) /\left(3 y_{\mu}\right) \\
& B_{\ell}=\left(a_{31}^{\ell}+\omega a_{32}^{\ell}+\omega^{2} a_{33}^{\ell}\right) /\left(3 y_{\tau}\right) \\
& C_{\ell}=\left(a_{31}^{\ell}+\omega^{2} a_{32}^{\ell}+\omega a_{33}^{\ell}\right) /\left(3 y_{\tau}\right) \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

The charged lepton masses are corrected by terms of relative order $\varepsilon^{\prime 2}$ with respect to LO result, therefore the charged lepton mass hierarchies predicted at LO are not spoiled by subleading corrections.

[^2]
### 5.2 Corrections to the neutrino mass matrix

First of all we focus on the corrections to the right-handed Majorana neutrino mass. We note that the modified vacuum alignment doesn't affect the Majorana mass at all, since flavon fields are not involved in the LO Majorana mass terms. The subleading corrections from higher dimensional operators are of the form $\nu_{i}^{c} \nu_{j}^{c} \Phi_{\nu}^{4} / \Lambda^{3}$, thus every entry of right-handed Majorana neutrino mass matrix receives corrections of order $\varepsilon^{\prime 4} \Lambda$ instead of $\varepsilon^{\prime 2} \Lambda$, which can be safely neglected. Then we move to consider the corrections to the neutrino Dirac mass. Among the independent terms of the type $\nu_{i}^{c} \ell \Phi_{\nu}^{3} h_{u} / \Lambda^{3}$, only the operators $\left(\nu_{i}^{c} \ell \phi^{3} h_{u}\right)_{1_{1}} / \Lambda^{3}$ give non-zero contributions. As a consequence, the first and the third columns of Dirac mass matrix receive corrections of order $\varepsilon^{\prime 3} v_{u}$. In addition to this correction, inserting the VEV shifts in the LO operators introduces independent corrections of order $\varepsilon^{\prime 3} v_{u}$ to the first and second column elements of the Dirac mass matrix. Including the above two kinds of corrections mentioned, we conclude that all the elements of Dirac mass matrix are corrected by terms of $\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime 3} v_{u}\right)$. With these results, we find that each entry of $m_{\nu}^{S S}$ except the (11) element receives corrections of order $\varepsilon^{\prime 4} v_{u}^{2} / \Lambda$. Now we discuss the corrections to the Weinberg operators. The superpotential $w_{\nu}^{\text {eff }}$ is corrected by the contraction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\ell h_{u} \ell h_{u} \Phi_{\nu}^{4}\right)_{1_{1}} / \Lambda^{5} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account the contributions of the modified vacuum alignment in addition, we find all the elements of $m_{\nu}^{e f f}$ receive corrections of order $\varepsilon^{\prime 4} v_{u} / \Lambda$. As a result, the overall correction to the light neutrino mass matrix is a most general symmetric matrix of order $\varepsilon^{\prime 4} v_{u} / \Lambda$. The neutrino mass matrix including subleading corrections can be written as

$$
m_{\nu}=\varepsilon^{\prime 2}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
x & 0 & 0  \tag{54}\\
0 & y & z \\
0 & z & y
\end{array}\right) \frac{v_{u}^{2}}{\Lambda}+\varepsilon^{4}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a_{11}^{\nu} & a_{12}^{\nu} & a_{13}^{\nu} \\
a_{12}^{\nu} & a_{22}^{\nu} & a_{23}^{\nu} \\
a_{13}^{\nu} & a_{23}^{\nu} & a_{33}^{\nu}
\end{array}\right) \frac{v_{u}^{2}}{\Lambda}
$$

where the parameters $x, y$ and $z$ can be easily reconstructed from the LO couplings in Eq.(16), and the coefficients $a_{i j}^{\nu}$ are $\mathcal{O}(1)$ unspecified constants. The matrix $m_{\nu}$ is diagonalized by the unitary transformation

$$
U_{\nu}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0  \tag{55}\\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2}}
\end{array}\right) U_{\nu}^{\prime}
$$

where $U_{\nu}^{\prime}$ is close to an identity matrix with small corrections on off-diagonal elements, it is given by

$$
U_{\nu}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & A_{\nu} \varepsilon^{\prime 2} & B_{\nu} \varepsilon^{\prime 2}  \tag{56}\\
-\left(A_{\nu} \varepsilon^{\prime 2}\right)^{*} & 1 & C_{\nu} \varepsilon^{\prime 2} \\
-\left(B_{\nu} \varepsilon^{\prime 2}\right)^{*} & -\left(C_{\nu} \varepsilon^{\prime 2}\right)^{*} & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{\nu} & =\frac{\left(y^{*}+z^{*}\right)\left(a_{12}^{\nu}+a_{13}^{\nu}\right)+x\left(a_{12}^{\nu *}+a_{13}^{\nu *}\right)}{\sqrt{2}\left[|x|^{2}-|y+z|^{2}\right]} \\
B_{\nu} & =\frac{i\left(y^{*}+z^{*}\right)\left(a_{22}^{\nu}-a_{33}^{\nu}\right)+i(y-z)\left(a_{22}^{\nu *}-a_{33}^{\nu *}\right)}{-4\left(y z^{*}+y^{*} z\right)} \\
C_{\nu} & =\frac{i x^{*}\left(a_{12}^{\nu}-a_{13}^{\nu}\right)+i(y-z)\left(a_{12}^{\nu *}-a_{13}^{\nu *}\right)}{\sqrt{2}\left[|y-z|^{2}-|x|^{2}\right]} \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

The PMNS matrix is $U_{P M N S}=U_{\ell}^{\dagger} U_{\nu}$, then the parameters of the lepton mixing matrix are modified as

$$
\begin{align*}
\sin \theta_{13} & =\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\sqrt{2} B_{\nu}+C_{\nu}\right) \varepsilon^{\prime 2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(A_{\ell}^{*}-B_{\ell}^{*}\right)\left(\varepsilon^{\prime 2}\right)^{*}\right| \\
\sin ^{2} \theta_{12} & =\frac{1}{3}+\left[\frac{1}{3}\left(\sqrt{2} A_{\nu}-A_{\ell}-B_{\ell}\right) \varepsilon^{\prime 2}+c . c .\right] \\
\sin ^{2} \theta_{23} & =\frac{1}{2}+\left[\left(-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}} B_{\nu}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} C_{\nu}+\frac{1}{2} C_{\ell}\right) \varepsilon^{\prime 2}+c . c .\right] \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

We see that all the three mixing angles receive corrections of order $\varepsilon^{\prime 2}$ from both the neutrino and the charged lepton sectors. As is pointed out in section 4, the data on solar neutrino mixing angle $\theta_{12}$ constrain $\varepsilon^{\prime} \leq \lambda_{c}$. Then the reactor angle $\theta_{13}$ is of order $\lambda_{c}^{2}$, it is within the sensitivity of the experiments which are now in preparation and will take data in the near future [36, 37]. Since three complex parameters which are related with three light neutrino masses are involved at LO, the light neutrino mass spectrum can be normal hierarchy or inverted hierarchy, and the phenomenological predictions of the model are just the generic results of neutrino mass matrix with TB mixing, e.g., degenerate neutrino mass spectrum is disfavored since strong fine-tuning is required to produce the observed mass squared differences $\Delta m_{\text {sol }}^{2}$ and $\Delta m_{\text {atm }}^{2}$, and the $0 \nu 2 \beta$-decay mass $\left|m_{e e}\right|$ in inverted hierarchy is generally larger than that in normal hierarchy.

## 6 Phenomenological implications

In the following, we shall investigate the physical consequences of our model, and the corresponding predictions are presented. We perform a numerical analysis by treating all the LO and NLO coefficients as random complex numbers with absolute value between $1 / 3$ and 3 , the expansion parameter $\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ are set to the indicative values 0.05 and 0.22 respectively. In Fig.[1, we plot the effective $0 \nu 2 \beta$-decay mass $\left|m_{e e}\right|$ as a function of the lightest neutrino mass. The constraints which have been imposed to draw the points are the experimental values at $3 \sigma$ for the neutrino oscillation parameters $\Delta m_{\text {atm }}^{2}, \Delta m_{\text {sol }}^{2}, \sin ^{2} \theta_{12}, \sin ^{2} \theta_{23}$ and $\sin ^{2} \theta_{13}[1]$. We also show the future sensitivity on the lightest neutrino mass of 0.2 eV from the KATRIN experiment [38], and the horizontal lines represent the sensitivities of the future $0 \nu 2 \beta$-decay experiments CUORE [39] and Majorana 40]/GERDA III [41], which are 15 meV and 20 meV respectively. It is obvious that the effective mass $\left|m_{e e}\right|$ of inverted hierarchy $(\mathrm{IH})$ is generally larger than that of normal hierarchy (NH). Since the bulk of data are predicted to be above the sensitivity of CUORE experiment for $I H$, the rare process $0 \nu 2 \beta$-decay should be observable in future, if the neutrino spectrum is IH . We note that most of the points fall into the region where the lightest neutrino mass is smaller than 0.02 eV for NH spectrum, and a large set of points lie in the region of the lightest neutrino mass between 0.01 eV and 0.04 eV for IH case. The values beyond these regions, in particular the region of degenerate spectrum, are strongly disfavored.

Finally, we show the sum of light neutrino mass as a function of the lightest neutrino mass in Fig.2. The vertical line denotes the future sensitivity of KATRIN experiment, and the horizontal lines are the cosmological bounds [42. The first one is at 0.60 eV , which is obtained by combining the data in Ref. 43, and the second one at 0.19 eV corresponds to all the previous data combined to the small scale primordial spectrum from Lyman-alpha


Figure 1: The effective mass $\left|m_{e e}\right|$ as a function of the lightest neutrino mass. The red corresponds to the inverted hierarchy neutrino mass spectrum, and the black corresponds to the normal hierarchy case. The future sensitivity of 0.2 eV of KATRIN experiment is shown by the vertical solid line, the future expected bounds on $\left|m_{e e}\right|$ from CUORE and Majorana/GERDA III experiments are represented by horizontal lines.
(Ly $\alpha$ ) forest clouds 44]. We see that the current cosmological information on the sum of the neutrino masses can hardly distinguish the NH spectrum from the IH spectrum. However, such a discrimination could be possible if these bounds are improved in the near future.

## 7 Conclusions and discussions

In this work, we have presented a $T_{13}$ model for TB mixing based on the flavor symmetry $T_{13} \times Z_{4} \times Z_{2}$. Both the charged lepton singlets $e^{c}, \mu^{c}, \tau^{c}$ and the right-handed neutrinos $\nu_{i}^{c}$ are assigned as $T_{13}$ singlets in this work. The light neutrino masses are generated as a combination of type I see-saw mechanism and Weinberg operators, and neutrino mass spectrum can be normal hierarchy or inverted hierarchy. In the charged lepton sector, the flavon fields $\Phi_{\ell}=\{\chi, \xi\}$ break the $T_{13}$ group into the $Z_{3}$ subgroup at LO, and the symmetry breaking parameter $\varepsilon \equiv\langle\chi\rangle / \Lambda \sim\langle\xi\rangle / \Lambda$ controls the charged lepton mass hierarchies without invoking a Froggatt-Nielsen $U(1)$ symmetry. In the neutrino sector, the $T_{13}$ group is entirely broken by the flavon fields $\Phi_{\nu}=\{\phi, \eta\}$ at LO, the symmetry breaking parameter $\varepsilon^{\prime} \equiv$ $\langle\phi\rangle / \Lambda \sim\langle\eta\rangle / \Lambda$ can be chosen to be of the order of Cabibbo angle $\lambda_{c}$ without spoiling the LO predictions and vacuum alignment. It is a noticeable feature that we can still reproduce the TB mixing even if the flavor symmetry is broken to nothing in the neutrino sector at LO.

The subleading corrections are discussed in detail. The subleading operators contributing to lepton mass and vacuum alignment are obtained by inserting $\Phi_{\nu}^{2}$ into the LO operators


Figure 2: The effective mass $\left|m_{e e}\right|$ as a function of the lightest neutrino mass. The red corresponds to the inverted hierarchy neutrino mass spectrum, and the black corresponds to the normal hierarchy case. The vertical solid line represents the future sensitivity of 0.2 eV from the KATRIN experiment, and the horizontal lines refer to the cosmological bounds.
in all possible ways and by extracting the $T_{13} \times Z_{4} \times Z_{2}$ invariants. We have showed that all the mixing angles receive corrections at the level of $\mathcal{O}\left(\lambda_{c}^{2}\right)$, in particular, the reactor angle $\theta_{13}$ is predicted to be within the reach of next generation neutrino oscillation experiments, although it is small. Furthermore, since the neutrino mass matrix depends on three unrelated complex parameters at LO, the phenomenological consequences of the model are the general results of neutrino mass matrix with TB mixing, there are no model-dependent peculiar predictions.

In the end, we discuss whether we can extend the $T_{13}$ flavor symmetry from the neutrino sector to the quark sector. The most naive way is to adopt for quarks the same classification scheme under $T_{13} \times Z_{4} \times Z_{2}$ that we have used for leptons. With such an assignment, both up and down type quark mass matrices are diagonalized by the same unitary matrix $U_{\ell}$ shown in Eq.(12), as a consequence the CKM matrix is a unit matrix at LO, this is a good first order approximation. The off-diagonal elements of the CKM matrix arise when the subleading contributions are taken into account. As has been showed in section 5, the subleading corrections to the three quark mixing angles are of order $\lambda_{c}^{2}$, the resulting CKM matrix should have the same form of the unitary matrix $U_{\ell}^{\prime}$ given in Eq. (51). Therefore it seems difficult to reproduce the quark mixing without introducing new ingredients in the symmetry breaking sector. Furthermore, there is another lack if we adopt for quark the same structure as that in the lepton sector, the resulting mass hierarchies among the up type quarks are not realistic, although it is a satisfactory result that the mass spectrums of down type quarks and charged leptons are predicted to have the same pattern. Since the top quark mass is of order of the electroweak symmetry breaking scale, it is much heavier
than the remaining quarks, it is natural to assign quarks as $2+1$ representation instead of a triplet. In the context of $\mathrm{U}(2)$ flavor group, this assignment has been known to give realistic quark mixing matrix and mass hierarchies [45]. Inspired by this assignment, it is usually suggested to extend the flavor symmetry group, which can produce the neutrino TB mixing, to its double covering in order to give a coherent description of all fermion masses and flavor mixings. The flavor models based on $T^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{I}^{\prime}$ groups [12,46], which are the double covering groups of $A_{4}$ and $A_{5}$ respectively, have been studied extensively. These models can really lead to a good description of the observed pattern of quark masses and mixing besides reproducing TB mixing (or the gold ratio mixing pattern) for neutrinos. Following the same logic, we expect the double covering group of $T_{13}$ can simultaneously describe the lepton and quark sector very well.
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## Appendix A: Representation matrices and Clebsch-Gordan coefficients of $T_{13}$

The $T_{13}$ group has 7 inequivalent irreducible representations $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}}, \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}$. The representation matrices of the generators $S$ and $T$ in these representations are given in Eq.(4) and Eq.(5). In the following, we present the representation matrices of all the group elements for the three dimensional representations. The explicit expressions of the elements in the $3_{1}$ representation are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{C}_{1}: \quad e=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& \mathcal{C}_{2}: \quad T=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T S=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \rho^{9} \\
\rho & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{3} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T S^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \rho^{5} \\
\rho^{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{6} & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& T S^{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \rho \\
\rho^{3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{9} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T S^{4}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \rho^{10} \\
\rho^{4} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{12} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T S^{5}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \rho^{6} \\
\rho^{5} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{2} & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& T S^{6}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \rho^{2} \\
\rho^{6} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{5} & 0
\end{array}\right), T S^{7}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \rho^{11} \\
\rho^{7} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{8} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T S^{8}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \rho^{7} \\
\rho^{8} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{11} & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& T S^{9}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \rho^{3} \\
\rho^{9} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T S^{10}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \rho^{12} \\
\rho^{10} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{4} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T S^{11}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \rho^{8} \\
\rho^{11} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{7} & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& T S^{12}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \rho^{4} \\
\rho^{12} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{10} & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& \mathcal{C}_{3}: \quad T^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T^{2} S=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rho^{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{9} \\
\rho & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T^{2} S^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rho^{6} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{5} \\
\rho^{2} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& T^{2} S^{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rho^{9} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho \\
\rho^{3} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T^{2} S^{4}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rho^{12} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{10} \\
\rho^{4} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T^{2} S^{5}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rho^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{6} \\
\rho^{5} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& T^{2} S^{6}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rho^{5} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{2} \\
\rho^{6} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T^{2} S^{7}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rho^{8} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{11} \\
\rho^{7} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T^{2} S^{8}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rho^{11} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{7} \\
\rho^{8} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& T^{2} S^{9}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rho & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{3} \\
\rho^{9} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T^{2} S^{10}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rho^{4} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{12} \\
\rho^{10} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T^{2} S^{11}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rho^{7} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{8} \\
\rho^{11} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& T^{2} S^{12}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rho^{10} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{4} \\
\rho^{12} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{C}_{4}: & S=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{9}
\end{array}\right), \quad S^{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho^{3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{9} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho
\end{array}\right), S^{9}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho^{9} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{3}
\end{array}\right) \\
\mathcal{C}_{5}: & S^{4}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho^{4} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{12} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{10}
\end{array}\right), S^{10}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho^{10} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{4} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{12}
\end{array}\right), S^{12}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho^{12} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{10} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{4}
\end{array}\right) \\
\mathcal{C}_{6}: & S^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho^{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{6} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{5}
\end{array}\right), \quad S^{5}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho^{5} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{6}
\end{array}\right), S^{6}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho^{6} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{5} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
\mathcal{C}_{7}: & S^{7}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho^{7} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{8} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{11}
\end{array}\right), \quad S^{8}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho^{8} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{11} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{7}
\end{array}\right), \quad S^{11}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho^{11} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{7} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{8}
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}
$$

while for the 3 -dimensional representation $\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}$ the elements are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_{1}: \quad e & =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
\mathcal{C}_{2}: \quad T & =\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right), T S=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \rho^{5} \\
\rho^{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{6} & 0
\end{array}\right), T S^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \rho^{10} \\
\rho^{4} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{12} & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
T S^{3} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \rho^{2} \\
\rho^{6} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{5} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T S^{4}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \rho^{7} \\
\rho^{8} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{11} & 0
\end{array}\right), T S^{5}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \rho^{12} \\
\rho^{10} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{4} & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
T S^{6} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \rho^{4} \\
\rho^{12} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{10} & 0
\end{array}\right), T S^{7}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \rho^{9} \\
\rho & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{3} & 0
\end{array}\right), T S^{8}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \rho \\
\rho^{3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{9} & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
T S^{9} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \rho^{6} \\
\rho^{5} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{2} & 0
\end{array}\right), T S^{10}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \rho^{3} \\
\rho^{9} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho & \rho^{11} \\
\rho^{7} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{8} & 0
\end{array}\right), T S^{11}= \\
T S^{12} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & \rho^{8} \\
\rho^{11} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{7} & 0
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{C}_{3}: \quad T^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T^{2} S=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rho^{6} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{5} \\
\rho^{2} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T^{2} S^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rho^{12} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{10} \\
\rho^{4} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& T^{2} S^{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rho^{5} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{2} \\
\rho^{6} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T^{2} S^{4}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rho^{11} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{7} \\
\rho^{8} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T^{2} S^{5}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rho^{4} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{12} \\
\rho^{10} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& T^{2} S^{6}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rho^{10} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{4} \\
\rho^{12} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T^{2} S^{7}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rho^{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{9} \\
\rho & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T^{2} S^{8}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rho^{9} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho \\
\rho^{3} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& T^{2} S^{9}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rho^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{6} \\
\rho^{5} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T^{2} S^{10}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rho^{8} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{11} \\
\rho^{7} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad T^{2} S^{11}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rho & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{3} \\
\rho^{9} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& T^{2} S^{12}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rho^{7} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{8} \\
\rho^{11} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& \mathcal{C}_{4}: \quad S=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho^{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{6} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{5}
\end{array}\right), \quad S^{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho^{6} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{5} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{2}
\end{array}\right), \quad S^{9}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho^{5} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{6}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \mathcal{C}_{5}: \quad S^{4}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho^{8} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{11} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{7}
\end{array}\right), \quad S^{10}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho^{7} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{8} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{11}
\end{array}\right), \quad S^{12}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho^{11} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{7} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{8}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \mathcal{C}_{6}: \quad S^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho^{4} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{12} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{10}
\end{array}\right), \quad S^{5}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho^{10} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{4} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{12}
\end{array}\right), \quad S^{6}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho^{12} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{10} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{4}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \mathcal{C}_{7}: \quad S^{7}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{9}
\end{array}\right), \quad S^{8}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho^{3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{9} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho
\end{array}\right), \quad S^{11}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\rho^{9} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{3}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For the remaining 3-dimensional representations $\overline{3}_{1}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}$, the matrices representing the elements of the group can be found from those just listed for the representations $\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}$ by performing complex conjugation. The above representation matrices can help us to see clearly how the $T_{13}$ flavor symmetry is broken in model building. Starting from the above explicit representation matrices, we can straightforwardly get the product decomposition rules of the $T_{13}$ group. In the following we use $\alpha_{i}$ to denote the elements of the first representation of the product and $\beta_{i}$ to indicate those of the second representation.

- $1_{2} \otimes 3_{1}=3_{1}$

$$
\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}} \sim\left(\begin{array}{c}
\alpha \beta_{1}  \tag{59}\\
\omega^{2} \alpha \beta_{2} \\
\omega \alpha \beta_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- $\mathbf{1}_{2} \otimes \overline{3}_{1}=\overline{3}_{1}$

$$
\overline{3}_{1} \sim\left(\begin{array}{c}
\alpha \beta_{1}  \tag{60}\\
\omega^{2} \alpha \beta_{2} \\
\omega \alpha \beta_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- $\mathbf{1}_{2} \otimes \mathbf{3}_{2}=\mathbf{3}_{2}$

$$
3_{2} \sim\left(\begin{array}{c}
\alpha \beta_{1}  \tag{61}\\
\omega^{2} \alpha \beta_{2} \\
\omega \alpha \beta_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- $\mathbf{1}_{2} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}=\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}$

$$
\overline{3}_{2} \sim\left(\begin{array}{c}
\alpha \beta_{1}  \tag{62}\\
\omega^{2} \alpha \beta_{2} \\
\omega \alpha \beta_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- $1_{3} \otimes 3_{1}=3_{1}$

$$
\mathbf{3}_{1} \sim\left(\begin{array}{c}
\alpha \beta_{1}  \tag{63}\\
\omega \alpha \beta_{2} \\
\omega^{2} \alpha \beta_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- $\mathbf{1}_{3} \otimes \overline{3}_{1}=\overline{3}_{1}$

$$
\overline{3}_{1} \sim\left(\begin{array}{c}
\alpha \beta_{1}  \tag{64}\\
\omega \alpha \beta_{2} \\
\omega^{2} \alpha \beta_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- $1_{3} \otimes 3_{2}=3_{2}$

$$
\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}} \sim\left(\begin{array}{c}
\alpha \beta_{1}  \tag{65}\\
\omega \alpha \beta_{2} \\
\omega^{2} \alpha \beta_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- $\mathbf{1}_{3} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}=\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}$

$$
\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2} \sim\left(\begin{array}{c}
\alpha \beta_{1}  \tag{66}\\
\omega \alpha \beta_{2} \\
\omega^{2} \alpha \beta_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

- $\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}}=\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1 S} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1 A} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\overline{3}_{1 S} \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{2} \beta_{3}+\alpha_{3} \beta_{2} \\
\alpha_{3} \beta_{1}+\alpha_{1} \beta_{3} \\
\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{67}\\
\overline{3}_{1 A} \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{2} \beta_{3}-\alpha_{3} \beta_{2} \\
\alpha_{3} \beta_{1}-\alpha_{1} \beta_{3} \\
\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{68}\\
3_{2} \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{1} \beta_{1} \\
\alpha_{2} \beta_{2} \\
\alpha_{3} \beta_{3}
\end{array}\right) \tag{69}
\end{gather*}
$$

- $\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{1}}=\mathbf{1}_{1} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{2} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{3} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{2} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{1}_{1} \sim \alpha_{1} \beta_{1}+\alpha_{2} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{3} \beta_{3}  \tag{70}\\
\mathbf{1}_{2} \sim \alpha_{1} \beta_{1}+\omega \alpha_{2} \beta_{2}+\omega^{2} \alpha_{3} \beta_{3}  \tag{71}\\
\mathbf{1}_{3} \sim \alpha_{1} \beta_{1}+\omega^{2} \alpha_{2} \beta_{2}+\omega \alpha_{3} \beta_{3} \tag{72}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{3}_{2} \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{2} \beta_{1} \\
\alpha_{3} \beta_{2} \\
\alpha_{1} \beta_{3}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{73}\\
& \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2} \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{1} \beta_{2} \\
\alpha_{2} \beta_{3} \\
\alpha_{3} \beta_{1}
\end{array}\right) \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

- $\mathbf{3}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{3}_{2}=\mathbf{3}_{1} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{2} \oplus \overline{3}_{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{3}_{1} \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{3} \beta_{3} \\
\alpha_{1} \beta_{1} \\
\alpha_{2} \beta_{2}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{75}\\
& \mathbf{3}_{2} \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{3} \beta_{2} \\
\alpha_{1} \beta_{3} \\
\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{76}\\
& \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2} \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{3} \beta_{1} \\
\alpha_{1} \beta_{2} \\
\alpha_{2} \beta_{3}
\end{array}\right) \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

- $\mathbf{3}_{1} \otimes \overline{3}_{2}=3_{1} \oplus \overline{3}_{1} \oplus \overline{3}_{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}} \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{2} \beta_{1} \\
\alpha_{3} \beta_{2} \\
\alpha_{1} \beta_{3}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{78}\\
& \overline{3}_{\mathbf{1}} \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{1} \beta_{1} \\
\alpha_{2} \beta_{2} \\
\alpha_{3} \beta_{3}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{79}\\
& \overline{3}_{2} \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{2} \beta_{3} \\
\alpha_{3} \beta_{1} \\
\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}
\end{array}\right) \tag{80}
\end{align*}
$$

- $\overline{3}_{1} \otimes \overline{3}_{1}=3_{1 S} \oplus 3_{1 A} \oplus \overline{3}_{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{3}_{1 S} & \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{2} \beta_{3}+\alpha_{3} \beta_{2} \\
\alpha_{3} \beta_{1}+\alpha_{1} \beta_{3} \\
\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{81}\\
\mathbf{3}_{1 A} & \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{2} \beta_{3}-\alpha_{3} \beta_{2} \\
\alpha_{3} \beta_{1}-\alpha_{1} \beta_{3} \\
\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{82}\\
\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}} & \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{1} \beta_{1} \\
\alpha_{2} \beta_{2} \\
\alpha_{3} \beta_{3}
\end{array}\right) \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

- $\overline{3}_{1} \otimes \mathbf{3}_{2}=\mathbf{3}_{1} \oplus \overline{3}_{1} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{2}$

$$
\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}} \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}  \tag{84}\\
\alpha_{2} \beta_{2} \\
\alpha_{3} \beta_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{1}} \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{2} \beta_{1} \\
\alpha_{3} \beta_{2} \\
\alpha_{1} \beta_{3}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{85}\\
& \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}} \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{2} \beta_{3} \\
\alpha_{3} \beta_{1} \\
\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}
\end{array}\right) \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$

- $\overline{3}_{1} \otimes \overline{3}_{2}=\overline{3}_{1} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{2} \oplus \overline{3}_{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{1}} \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{3} \beta_{3} \\
\alpha_{1} \beta_{1} \\
\alpha_{2} \beta_{2}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{87}\\
& \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}} \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{3} \beta_{1} \\
\alpha_{1} \beta_{2} \\
\alpha_{2} \beta_{3}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{88}\\
& \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}} \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{3} \beta_{2} \\
\alpha_{1} \beta_{3} \\
\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}
\end{array}\right) \tag{89}
\end{align*}
$$

- $\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}} \otimes \mathbf{3}_{2}=\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2 S} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2 A}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1} \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{2} \beta_{2} \\
\alpha_{3} \beta_{3} \\
\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{90}\\
\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2 S} \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{2} \beta_{3}+\alpha_{3} \beta_{2} \\
\alpha_{3} \beta_{1}+\alpha_{1} \beta_{3} \\
\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{91}\\
\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2 A} \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{2} \beta_{3}-\alpha_{3} \beta_{2} \\
\alpha_{3} \beta_{1}-\alpha_{1} \beta_{3} \\
\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}
\end{array}\right) \tag{92}
\end{gather*}
$$

- $\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{1}}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}} \sim \alpha_{1} \beta_{1}+\alpha_{2} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{3} \beta_{3}  \tag{93}\\
\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}} \sim \alpha_{1} \beta_{1}+\omega \alpha_{2} \beta_{2}+\omega^{2} \alpha_{3} \beta_{3}  \tag{94}\\
\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}} \sim \alpha_{1} \beta_{1}+\omega^{2} \alpha_{2} \beta_{2}+\omega \alpha_{3} \beta_{3}  \tag{95}\\
\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}} \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{2} \beta_{3} \\
\alpha_{3} \beta_{1} \\
\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{96}\\
\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{1}} \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{3} \beta_{2} \\
\alpha_{1} \beta_{3} \\
\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}
\end{array}\right) \tag{97}
\end{gather*}
$$

- $\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{2 S} \oplus \mathbf{3}_{2 A}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}} \sim\left(\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{2} \beta_{2} \\
\alpha_{3} \beta_{3} \\
\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{98}\\
\mathbf{3}_{2 S} \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{2} \beta_{3}+\alpha_{3} \beta_{2} \\
\alpha_{3} \beta_{1}+\alpha_{1} \beta_{3} \\
\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{99}\\
\mathbf{3}_{2 A} \sim\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{2} \beta_{3}-\alpha_{3} \beta_{2} \\
\alpha_{3} \beta_{1}-\alpha_{1} \beta_{3} \\
\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}
\end{array}\right) \tag{100}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Appendix B: Vacuum alignment beyond leading order

In this appendix, we shall discuss the subleading corrections to the vacuum alignment induced by the higher dimensional operators. At the next level of approximation, the driving superpotential $w_{v}$ in Eq.(27) is modified into $w_{v}+\delta w_{v}$. Due to the constraint imposed by $Z_{4} \times Z_{2}$ symmetry, the correction terms are suppressed by $1 / \Lambda^{2}$. Concretely $\delta w_{v}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta w_{v}=\frac{1}{\Lambda^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{34} c_{i} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{\chi^{0}}+\frac{1}{\Lambda^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{11} r_{i} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{\rho^{0}}+\frac{1}{\Lambda^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{11} t_{i} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{\theta^{0}}+\frac{1}{\Lambda^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{28} e_{i} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{\eta^{0}}+\frac{1}{\Lambda^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{19} k_{i} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{\xi^{0}} \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i}, r_{i}, t_{i}, e_{i}$ and $k_{i}$ are order one coefficients, $\left\{\mathcal{O}_{i}^{\chi^{0}}, \mathcal{O}_{i}^{\rho^{0}}, \mathcal{O}_{i}^{\theta^{0}}, \mathcal{O}_{i}^{\eta^{0}}, \mathcal{O}_{i}^{\xi^{0}}\right\}$ denote the complete set of subleading contractions invariant under $T_{13} \times Z_{4} \times Z_{2}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{O}_{1}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\chi \chi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}(\phi \phi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{2}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\chi \chi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{2 S}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{3}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\chi \chi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}(\phi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}} \\
& \mathcal{O}_{4}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\chi \chi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}(\phi \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{2}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{5}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\chi \chi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}(\phi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{6}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\chi \chi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \phi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}, \\
& \mathcal{O}_{7}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\chi \chi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{1}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{8}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\chi \chi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{9}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\xi \xi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1 S}}(\phi \phi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}, \\
& \mathcal{O}_{10}^{\chi_{10}^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\xi \xi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1 S}}(\phi \phi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{11}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\xi \xi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1 S}}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{1}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{12}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\xi \xi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1 S}}(\phi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}, \\
& \mathcal{O}_{13}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\xi \xi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1 S}}(\phi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{14}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \phi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{15}^{\chi_{15}^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}, \\
& \mathcal{O}_{16}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{2 S}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2 S}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{17}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{2 S}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2 A}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{18}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2 S}}, \\
& \mathcal{O}_{19}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2 A}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{20}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}(\chi \xi)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}}(\phi \phi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{21}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}(\chi \xi)_{\mathbf{1}_{1}}(\phi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}, \\
& \mathcal{O}_{22}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}(\chi \xi)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \phi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{23}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}(\chi \xi)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{24}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}(\chi \xi)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}}}(\phi \phi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}, \\
& \mathcal{O}_{25}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}(\chi \xi)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}}}(\phi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{26}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\chi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \phi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{27}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\chi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{1}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}, \\
& \mathcal{O}_{28}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\chi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{2 S}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2 S}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{29}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\chi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{2 S}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2 A}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{30}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\chi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2 S}}, \\
& \mathcal{O}_{31}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\chi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2 A}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{32}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\chi \xi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \phi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{33}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\chi \xi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}} \\
& \mathcal{O}_{34}^{\chi^{0}}=\chi^{0}\left((\chi \xi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}(\phi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}}\right)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}  \tag{102}\\
& \mathcal{O}_{1}^{\rho^{0}}=\rho^{0}\left((\chi \chi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}(\phi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{3}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{2}^{\rho^{0}}=\rho^{0}\left((\chi \chi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{2 S}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{3}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{3}^{\rho^{0}}=\rho^{0}\left((\chi \chi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{3}}, \\
& \mathcal{O}_{4}^{\rho^{0}}=\rho^{0}\left((\xi \xi) \overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1 S}(\phi \phi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{3}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{5}^{\rho^{0}}=\rho^{0}\left((\xi \xi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1 S}}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{3}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{6}^{\rho^{0}}=\rho^{0}\left((\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \phi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}}}, \\
& \mathcal{O}_{7}^{\rho^{0}}=\rho^{0}\left((\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{3}}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{8}^{\rho^{0}}=\rho^{0}\left((\chi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \phi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{3}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{9}^{\rho^{0}}=\rho^{0}\left((\chi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{3}}, \\
& \mathcal{O}_{10}^{\rho^{0}}=\rho^{0}\left((\chi \xi)_{\overline{\boldsymbol{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\eta \eta)_{\boldsymbol{3}_{2 S}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{3}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{11}^{\rho^{0}}=\rho^{0}\left((\chi \xi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \eta)_{\boldsymbol{3}_{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{3}} \tag{103}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\mathcal{O}_{1}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}\left((\phi \phi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}(\phi \phi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{2}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}\left((\phi \phi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}(\phi \phi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{2 S}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{3}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}\left((\phi \phi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}(\phi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}
$$

$$
\mathcal{O}_{4}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}\left((\phi \phi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}(\phi \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{5}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}\left((\phi \phi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{2}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{6}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}\left((\phi \phi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{2 S}}
$$

$$
\mathcal{O}_{7}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}\left((\phi \phi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}(\phi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{2 A}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{8}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}\left((\phi \phi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{1}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{2}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{9}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}\left((\phi \phi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{2 S}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}
$$

$$
\mathcal{O}_{10}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}\left((\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{1}}(\phi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{11}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}\left((\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}}}(\phi \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{2}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{12}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}\left((\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{2 S}}(\phi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}
$$

$$
\mathcal{O}_{13}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}\left((\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{1}}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{1}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{14}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}\left((\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}}}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{2 S}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{15}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}\left((\chi \chi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}(\chi \chi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{2}}
$$

$$
\mathcal{O}_{16}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}\left((\chi \chi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}(\chi \chi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{2 S}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{17}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}\left((\chi \chi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}(\chi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{2}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{18}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}\left((\chi \chi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\chi \xi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{2 S}}
$$

$$
\mathcal{O}_{19}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}\left((\chi \chi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}(\chi \xi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{2 A}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{20}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}\left((\chi \chi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}(\xi \xi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1 S}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{2}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{21}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}\left((\chi \chi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}(\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}
$$

$$
\mathcal{O}_{22}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}\left((\chi \chi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\xi \xi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1 S}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{23}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}\left((\xi \xi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1 S}}(\chi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{24}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}\left((\xi \xi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1 S}}(\chi \xi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}
$$

$$
\mathcal{O}_{25}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}(\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\chi \xi)_{1_{1}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{26}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}(\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\chi \xi)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{27}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}(\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\chi \xi)_{\mathbf{1}_{3}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}_{28}^{\eta^{0}}=\eta^{0}\left((\xi \xi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1 S}}(\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}} \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

The subleading contribution $\delta w_{v}$ modifies the LO VEVs, then the new vacuum configuration can be parameterized as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\langle\chi\rangle=\left(\begin{array}{c}
v_{\chi}+\delta v_{\chi_{1}} \\
v_{\chi}+\delta v_{\chi_{2}} \\
v_{\chi}+\delta v_{\chi_{3}}
\end{array}\right), & \langle\xi\rangle=\left(\begin{array}{c}
v_{\xi}+\delta v_{\xi_{1}} \\
v_{\xi}+\delta v_{\xi_{2}} \\
v_{\xi}
\end{array}\right), \\
\langle\phi\rangle=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\delta v_{\phi_{1}} \\
v_{\phi}+\delta v_{\phi_{2}} \\
-v_{\phi}
\end{array}\right), & \langle\eta\rangle=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\delta v_{\eta_{1}} \\
v_{\eta} \\
\delta v_{\eta_{3}}
\end{array}\right) \tag{107}
\end{array}
$$

where the shifts $\delta v_{\xi_{3}}, \delta v_{\phi_{3}}$ and $\delta v_{\eta_{2}}$ have been absorbed into the undetermined parameters $v_{\xi}, v_{\phi}$ and $v_{\eta}$. Similar to section 4 , the new vacua is obtained by searching for the zeros of the F-terms, i.e. the first derivative of $w_{v}+\delta w_{v}$ with respect to the driving fields $\chi^{0}, \rho^{0}$, $\theta^{0}, \eta^{0}$ and $\xi^{0}$. By keeping only the terms linear in the shift $\delta v$ and neglecting the terms proportional to $\delta v / \Lambda$, the minimization equations become

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 f_{1} v_{\chi} \delta v_{\chi_{1}}+f_{2} v_{\xi} \delta v_{\chi_{2}}+f_{2} v_{\chi} \delta v_{\xi_{1}}+a_{1} v_{\chi} v_{\xi} v_{\phi}^{2} / \Lambda^{2}=0 \\
& 2 f_{1} v_{\chi} \delta v_{\chi_{2}}+f_{2} v_{\xi} \delta v_{\chi_{3}}+f_{2} v_{\chi} \delta v_{\xi_{2}}+a_{2} v_{\chi} v_{\xi} v_{\phi}^{2} / \Lambda^{2}=0 \\
& 2 f_{1} v_{\chi} \delta v_{\chi_{3}}+f_{2} v_{\xi} \delta v_{\chi_{1}}+a_{3} v_{\chi} v_{\xi} v_{\phi}^{2} / \Lambda^{2}=0 \\
& f_{3}\left[v_{\xi}\left(\delta v_{\chi_{1}}+\omega^{2} \delta v_{\chi_{2}}+\omega \delta v_{\chi_{3}}\right)+v_{\chi}\left(\delta v_{\xi_{1}}+\omega^{2} \delta v_{\xi_{2}}\right)\right]+a_{4} v_{\chi} v_{\xi} v_{\phi}^{2} / \Lambda^{2}=0 \\
& f_{4}\left[v_{\xi}\left(\delta v_{\chi_{1}}+\omega \delta v_{\chi_{2}}+\omega^{2} \delta v_{\chi_{3}}\right)+v_{\chi}\left(\delta v_{\xi_{1}}+\omega \delta v_{\xi_{2}}\right)\right]+a_{5} v_{\chi} v_{\xi} v_{\phi}^{2} / \Lambda^{2}=0 \tag{108}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{O}_{1}^{\xi^{0}}=\xi^{0}\left((\chi \phi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}(\phi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{1}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{2}^{\xi^{0}}=\xi^{0}\left((\chi \phi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 A}}(\phi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{1}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{3}^{\xi^{0}}=\xi^{0}\left((\chi \phi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{2 S}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{1}}, \\
& \mathcal{O}_{4}^{\xi^{0}}=\xi^{0}\left((\chi \phi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{5}^{\xi^{0}}=\xi^{0}\left((\chi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{1}}}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{6}^{\xi^{0}}=\xi^{0}\left((\chi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{2 S}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}}, \\
& \mathcal{O}_{7}^{\xi^{0}}=\xi^{0}\left((\xi \phi)_{\mathbf{3}_{2}}(\phi \phi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{8}^{\xi^{0}}=\xi^{0}\left((\xi \phi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{1}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{9}^{\xi^{0}}=\xi^{0}\left((\xi \phi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{2 S}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}}, \\
& \mathcal{O}_{10}^{\xi^{0}}=\xi^{0}\left((\xi \phi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{11}^{\xi^{0}}=\xi^{0}\left((\xi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{1}}}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{1}}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{1}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{12}^{\xi^{0}}=\xi^{0}\left((\xi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{2 S}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}} \text { (106) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{O}_{1}^{\theta^{0}}=\theta^{0}\left((\chi \chi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}(\phi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{2}^{\theta^{0}}=\theta^{0}\left((\chi \chi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{2 S}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{3}^{\theta^{0}}=\theta^{0}\left((\chi \chi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}}, \\
& \mathcal{O}_{4}^{\theta^{0}}=\theta^{0}\left((\xi \xi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1 S}}(\phi \phi)_{\mathbf{3}_{1 S}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{5}^{\theta^{0}}=\theta^{0}\left((\xi \xi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{1 S}}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{1}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{6}^{\theta^{0}}=\theta^{0}\left((\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \phi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}}, \\
& \mathcal{O}_{7}^{\theta^{0}}=\theta^{0}\left((\xi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{8}^{\theta^{0}}=\theta^{0}\left((\chi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \phi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{9}^{\theta^{0}}=\theta^{0}\left((\chi \xi)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \eta)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}}, \\
& \mathcal{O}_{10}^{\theta^{0}}=\theta^{0}\left((\chi \xi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\eta \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{2 S}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_{11}^{\theta^{0}}=\theta^{0}\left((\chi \xi)_{\overline{\mathbf{3}}_{\mathbf{2}}}(\phi \eta)_{\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{2}}}\right)_{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the coefficients $a_{i}(i=1-5)$ are linear combinations of the subleading coefficients

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=2 c_{1} v_{\chi} / v_{\xi}+c_{8} v_{\chi} v_{\eta} /\left(v_{\xi} v_{\phi}\right)+\left(-4 c_{9}+2 c_{10}\right) v_{\xi} / v_{\chi}+2 c_{11} v_{\xi} v_{\eta}^{2} /\left(v_{\chi} v_{\phi}^{2}\right)+\left(-3 c_{21}+c_{34}\right) v_{\eta} / v_{\phi} \\
& a_{2}=2\left(c_{3}-c_{5}\right) v_{\chi} v_{\eta} /\left(v_{\xi} v_{\phi}\right)+2\left(c_{10}-c_{14}\right) v_{\xi} / v_{\phi}+c_{15} v_{\xi} v_{\eta}^{2} /\left(v_{\chi} v_{\phi}^{2}\right)+3\left(c_{20}-c_{26}\right)+c_{27} v_{\eta}^{2} / v_{\phi}^{2} \\
& a_{3}=2\left(c_{1}-c_{6}\right) v_{\chi} / v_{\xi}+c_{7} v_{\chi} v_{\eta}^{2} /\left(v_{\xi} v_{\phi}^{2}\right)+2\left(c_{12}-c_{13}\right) v_{\xi} v_{\eta} /\left(v_{\chi} v_{\phi}\right)+3 c_{20}-2 c_{32}+c_{33} v_{\eta}^{2} / v_{\phi}^{2} \\
& a_{4}=2 \omega r_{1} v_{\chi} v_{\eta} /\left(v_{\xi} v_{\phi}\right)-\left(4 r_{4}+r_{6}\right) v_{\xi} / v_{\chi}+2 r_{5} v_{\xi} v_{\eta}^{2} /\left(v_{\chi} v_{\phi}^{2}\right)-r_{7} v_{\xi} v_{\eta} /\left(v_{\chi} v_{\phi}\right)-r_{8}-r_{9} v_{\eta} / v_{\phi} \\
& \left.a_{5}=2 \omega^{2} t_{1} v_{\chi} v_{\eta} /\left(v_{\xi} v_{\phi}\right)-\left(4 t_{4}+t_{6}\right) v_{\xi} / v_{\chi}+2 t_{5} v_{\xi} v_{\eta}^{2} /\left(v_{\chi} v_{\phi}^{2}\right)-t_{7} v_{\xi} v_{\eta} /\left(v_{\chi} v_{\phi}\right)-t_{8}-t_{9} v_{\eta} / \psi_{\phi} 09\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The equations Eq. (108) are linear in $\delta v_{\chi_{i}}(i=1,2,3)$ and $\delta v_{\xi_{i}}(i=1,2)$, and can be solved straightforwardly by

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\delta v_{\chi_{1}}}{v_{\chi}} & =\left(6 a_{1}+2 a_{2}+5 a_{3}\right) \frac{v_{\phi}^{2}}{13 f_{2} \Lambda^{2}}-2(7+5 \omega) a_{4} \frac{v_{\phi}^{2}}{39 f_{3} \Lambda^{2}}-2(2-5 \omega) a_{5} \frac{v_{\phi}^{2}}{39 f_{4} \Lambda^{2}} \\
\frac{\delta v_{\chi_{2}}}{v_{\chi}} & =\left(2 a_{1}+5 a_{2}+6 a_{3}\right) \frac{v_{\phi}^{2}}{13 f_{2} \Lambda^{2}}-(3+4 \omega) a_{4} \frac{v_{\phi}^{2}}{13 f_{3} \Lambda^{2}}+(1+4 \omega) a_{5} \frac{v_{\phi}^{2}}{13 f_{4} \Lambda^{2}} \\
\frac{\delta v_{\chi_{3}}}{v_{\chi}} & =\left(3 a_{1}+a_{2}+9 a_{3}\right) \frac{v_{\phi}^{2}}{13 f_{2} \Lambda^{2}}-(7+5 \omega) a_{4} \frac{v_{\phi}^{2}}{39 f_{3} \Lambda^{2}}-(2-5 \omega) a_{5} \frac{v_{\phi}^{2}}{39 f_{4} \Lambda^{2}} \\
\frac{\delta v_{\xi_{1}}}{v_{\xi}} & =-\left(3 a_{1}+a_{2}-4 a_{3}\right) \frac{v_{\phi}^{2}}{13 f_{2} \Lambda^{2}}-(19+8 \omega) a_{4} \frac{v_{\phi}^{2}}{39 f_{3} \Lambda^{2}}-(11-8 \omega) a_{5} \frac{v_{\phi}^{2}}{39 f_{4} \Lambda^{2}} \\
\frac{\delta v_{\xi_{2}}}{v_{\xi}} & =\left(a_{1}-4 a_{2}+3 a_{3}\right) \frac{v_{\phi}^{2}}{13 f_{2} \Lambda^{2}}-(11+19 \omega) a_{4} \frac{v_{\phi}^{2}}{39 f_{3} \Lambda^{2}}+(8+19 \omega) a_{5} \frac{v_{\phi}^{2}}{39 f_{4} \Lambda^{2}} \tag{110}
\end{align*}
$$

From the above equations, we clearly see that all the shifts $\delta v_{\chi_{1}} / v_{\chi}, \delta v_{\chi_{2}} / v_{\chi}, \delta v_{\chi_{3}} / v_{\chi}, \delta v_{\xi_{1}} / v_{\xi}$ and $\delta v_{\xi_{2}} / v_{\xi}$ are of order $\varepsilon^{\prime 2}$. The minimization equations for $\delta v_{\phi_{1}}, \delta v_{\phi_{2}}, \delta v_{\eta_{1}}$ and $\delta v_{\eta_{3}}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 g_{1} v_{\eta} \delta v_{\eta_{3}}-g_{2} v_{\phi} \delta v_{\eta_{1}}+b_{1} v_{\eta} v_{\phi}^{3} / \Lambda^{2}=0 \\
& g_{2} v_{\eta} \delta v_{\phi_{1}}+b_{2} v_{\eta} v_{\phi}^{3} / \Lambda^{2}=0 \\
& 2 g_{1} v_{\eta} \delta v_{\eta_{1}}+g_{2} v_{\phi} \delta v_{\eta_{3}}+b_{3} v_{\eta} v_{\phi}^{3} / \Lambda^{2}=0 \\
& h\left(v_{\xi} \delta v_{\phi_{1}}+v_{\xi} \delta v_{\phi_{2}}+v_{\phi} \delta v_{\xi_{2}}\right)+b_{4} v_{\xi} v_{\phi}^{3} / \Lambda^{2}=0 \tag{111}
\end{align*}
$$

where the coefficients $b_{i}(i=1-4)$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
d & =\left[2\left(2 e_{15}+e_{16}\right) v_{\chi}^{4}+2\left(e_{17}+e_{18}\right) v_{\chi}^{3} v_{\xi}+2\left(2 e_{20}+e_{21}+e_{22}\right) v_{\chi}^{2} v_{\xi}^{2}+\left(2 e_{23}+2 e_{24}+3 e_{25}\right) v_{\chi} v_{\xi}^{3}\right. \\
& \left.+2 e_{28} v_{\xi}^{4}\right] /\left(v_{\eta} v_{\phi}^{3}\right) \\
b_{1} & =\left(4 e_{1}+2 e_{2}\right) v_{\phi} / v_{\eta}-2 e_{8} v_{\eta} / v_{\phi}+e_{13} v_{\eta}^{3} / v_{\phi}^{3}+d \\
b_{2} & =-e_{6}-e_{7}+d \\
b_{3} & =-2 e_{3}-e_{6}+e_{7}+e_{10} v_{\eta}^{2} / v_{\phi}^{2}+d \\
b_{4} & =\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right) v_{\chi} v_{\eta} /\left(v_{\xi} v_{\phi}\right) \tag{112}
\end{align*}
$$

The solutions to Eq.(111) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\delta v_{\phi_{1}}}{v_{\phi}}=-\frac{b_{2}}{g_{2}} \frac{v_{\phi}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} \\
& \frac{\delta v_{\phi_{2}}}{v_{\phi}}=\left(\frac{b_{2}}{g_{2}}-\frac{b_{4}}{h}\right) \frac{v_{\phi}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}}-\frac{\delta v_{\xi_{2}}}{v_{\xi}} \\
& \frac{\delta v_{\eta_{1}}}{v_{\eta}}=\frac{\left(b_{1} g_{2} v_{\phi}-2 b_{3} g_{1} v_{\eta}\right) v_{\phi}}{4 g_{1}^{2} v_{\eta}^{2}+g_{2}^{2} v_{\phi}^{2}} \frac{v_{\phi}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} \\
& \frac{\delta v_{\eta_{3}}}{v_{\eta}}=-\frac{\left(2 b_{1} g_{1} v_{\eta}+b_{3} g_{2} v_{\phi}\right) v_{\phi}}{4 g_{1}^{2} v_{\eta}^{2}+g_{2}^{2} v_{\phi}^{2}} \frac{v_{\phi}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} \tag{113}
\end{align*}
$$

Obviously $\delta v_{\phi_{1}} / v_{\phi}, \delta v_{\phi_{2}} / v_{\phi}, \delta v_{\eta_{1}} / v_{\eta}$ and $\delta v_{\eta_{3}} / v_{\eta}$ are of order $\varepsilon^{\prime 2}$ as well. As is shown in Eq.(105), the subleading terms proportional to $\eta^{0}$ are of the structures $\eta^{0} \Phi_{\nu}^{4}$ or $\eta^{0} \Phi_{\ell}^{4}$, the contributions of the latter operator to the vacuum alignment are parameterized in terms of the parameter $d$ in Eq. (112). If we have a large VEV of $\Phi_{\nu}$ with $\left\langle\Phi_{\nu}\right\rangle / \Lambda \sim \lambda_{c}$, then the structure $\eta^{0} \Phi_{\nu}^{4}$ is dominant. On the other hand, if the VEVs of $\Phi_{\nu}$ and $\Phi_{\ell}$ are of the same order of magnitude, the contributions of the two type of operators are comparable.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Email: dinggj@ustc.edu.cn

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The vacuum alignment and the next leading order correction are not discussed in Ref. [25], a set of numerical values are chosen by hand for the model parameters so that the resulting lepton masses and flavor mixing are consistent with experimental data.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4} U_{\ell}$ is the unitary matrix which diagonalizes the hermitian matrix $m_{\ell}^{\dagger} m_{\ell}$.

