

On the Liouville type theorem for stationary compressible Navier-Stokes-Poisson equations in \mathbb{R}^N

Dongho Chae

Department of Mathematics

Sungkyunkwan University

Suwon 440-746, Korea

e-mail: *chae@skku.edu*

Abstract

In this paper we prove Liouville type result for the stationary solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes-Poisson equations(NSP) and the compressible Navier-Stokes equations(NS) in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 2$. Assuming suitable integrability and the uniform boundedness conditions for the solutions we are led to the conclusion that $v = 0$. In the case of (NS) we deduce that the similar integrability conditions imply $v = 0$ and $\rho = \text{constant}$ on \mathbb{R}^N . This shows that if we impose the non-vacuum boundary condition at spatial infinity for (NS), $v \rightarrow 0$ and $\rho \rightarrow \rho_\infty > 0$, then $v = 0$, $\rho = \rho_\infty$ are the solutions.

AMS Subject Classification Number: 76N10, 76N15, 35Q35

keywords: stationary compressible Navier-Stokes Poisson equations, stationary compressible Navier-Stokes equations, Liouville type theorem

1 Introduction

We are concerned on the stationary Navier-Stokes-Poisson equations in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 2$.

$$\operatorname{div}(\rho v) = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\rho v \otimes v) = -\nabla p + k\rho \nabla \Phi + \mu \Delta v + (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} v, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\Delta \Phi = \rho - \rho_0, \quad (1.3)$$

$$p = a\rho^\gamma, \gamma > 1. \quad (1.4)$$

The system (NSP) describes compressible gas flows, and ρ, v, Φ and p denote the density, velocity, the potential of the underlying force and the pressure respectively. The constant $\rho_0 \geq 0$ is called the background state. The viscosity constants λ, μ satisfy $\mu > 0, \lambda + \mu > 0$. Here k is also a physical constant, which signifies the property of the forcing, repulsive if $k > 0$ and attractive if $k < 0$. In particular, in the case $k = 0$ (and without (1.3)) the system reduces to the following stationary compressible Navier-Stokes equations(NS).

$$\operatorname{div}(\rho v) = 0, \quad (1.5)$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\rho v \otimes v) = -\nabla p + \mu \Delta v + (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \operatorname{div} v, \quad (1.6)$$

$$p = a\rho^\gamma, \gamma > 1. \quad (1.7)$$

For the general treatment of the system (NSP) and (NS), including the Cauchy problem of the time dependent equations we refer e.g. [2, 4, 5, 6]. Here we prove a Liouville type theorem of the stationary systems (NSP) and (NS) as follows.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $N \geq 2$.*

(i) *(Navier-Stokes Poisson equations): Suppose (ρ, v, Φ) is a smooth solution to (NSP) with $k \neq 0$, satisfying*

$$\|\rho\|_{L^\infty} + \|\Phi\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} + \|v\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} < \infty, \quad (1.8)$$

and the additional condition

$$v \in L^{\frac{3N}{N-1}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad (1.9)$$

if $N \geq 7$. Then, $v = 0$ on \mathbb{R}^N . The functions Φ and ρ are determined by solving the system:

$$\begin{cases} \rho \nabla \Phi = \frac{a}{k} \nabla \rho^\gamma, \\ \Delta \Phi = \rho - \rho_0. \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

(ii) (Navier-Stokes equations): Suppose (ρ, v) is a smooth solution to (NS) satisfying

$$\|\rho\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} + \|v\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} < \infty, \quad (1.11)$$

and the additional condition (1.9) if $N \geq 7$. Then, $v = 0$ and $\rho = \text{constant}$ on \mathbb{R}^N .

Remark 1.1 Let us compare the above theorem with the previous result in [1], which says that a solution to (NS) satisfying

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}v\|_{L^2} + \|v\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} + \|\rho^\gamma\|_{L^1} < \infty \quad (1.12)$$

is vacuum, $\rho = 0$. The main difference between (1.12) and (1.11) is that in (1.12) we are assuming the spatial infinity is vacuum,

$$\rho(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad |x| \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.13)$$

while in (1.11) it is allowed to have

$$\rho(x) \rightarrow \rho_\infty \quad \text{as} \quad |x| \rightarrow \infty \quad (1.14)$$

for a constant $\rho_\infty > 0$. Even with such non-vacuum condition at infinity the Liouville type result holds. In particular for the 2D stationary Navier-Stokes equations if $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and ρ satisfies (1.14), then $v = 0$ and $\rho = \rho_\infty$ on \mathbb{R}^2 .

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Let us consider a radial cut-off function $\sigma \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$\sigma(|x|) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x| < 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| > 2, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

and $0 \leq \sigma(x) \leq 1$ for $1 < |x| < 2$. Then, for each $R > 0$, we define

$$\sigma\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right) := \sigma_R(|x|) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (2.2)$$

We multiply (1.2) by $v\sigma_R(x)$, and integrate over \mathbb{R}^N , and integrate by part to obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 \sigma_R dx + (\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\operatorname{div} v)^2 \sigma_R dx \\ &= -\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v \cdot (\nabla \sigma_R \cdot \nabla) v dx - (\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \operatorname{div} v (v \cdot \nabla) \sigma_R dx \\ & \quad + k \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sigma_R \rho (v \cdot \nabla) \Phi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sigma_R (v \cdot \nabla) p dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sigma_R v \cdot \operatorname{div} (\rho v \otimes v) dx \\ &:= I_1 + \cdots + I_5. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Let us estimate I_1, \dots, I_5 term by term.

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq \frac{\mu}{R} \int_{\{R \leq |x| \leq 2R\}} |\nabla \sigma| |v| |\nabla v| dx \\ &\leq \frac{\mu \|\nabla \sigma\|_{L^\infty}}{R} \left(\int_{\{R \leq |x| \leq 2R\}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{N}} \|v\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(R \leq |x| \leq 2R)} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(R \leq |x| \leq 2R)} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla \sigma\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(R \leq |x| \leq 2R)} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$, where we used the Sobolev inequality, $\|v\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(R \leq |x| \leq 2R)} \leq C \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}$. Estimate of I_2 is similar to I_1 and we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq \frac{\mu + \lambda}{R} \int_{\{R \leq |x| \leq 2R\}} |\nabla \sigma| |v| |\nabla v| dx \\ &\leq C \|\nabla \sigma\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(R \leq |x| \leq 2R)} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$. In order to estimate I_3 we first integrate by part to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= -k \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sigma_R \operatorname{div} (\rho v) \Phi dx - k \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi \rho (v \cdot \nabla) \sigma_R dx \\ &= -k \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi \rho (v \cdot \nabla) \sigma_R dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (1.1). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_3| &\leq \frac{k}{R} \|\nabla \sigma\|_{L^\infty} \|\Phi\|_{L^\infty} \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\{R \leq |x| \leq 2R\}} |v| dx \\
&\leq C \|\nabla \sigma\|_{L^\infty} \|\Phi\|_{L^\infty} \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-1}}(R \leq |x| \leq 2R)} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$. In order to estimate I_4 we write the pressure term in the following form:

$$\nabla p = a \nabla \rho^\gamma = \frac{a\gamma}{\gamma-1} \rho \nabla \rho^{\gamma-1}.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 &= -\frac{a\gamma}{\gamma-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sigma_R \rho v \cdot \nabla \rho^{\gamma-1} dx \\
&= \frac{a\gamma}{\gamma-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sigma_R \operatorname{div}(\rho v) \rho^{\gamma-1} dx + \frac{a\gamma}{\gamma-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \rho^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla) \sigma_R dx \\
&= \frac{a\gamma}{\gamma-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \rho^\gamma (v \cdot \nabla) \sigma_R dx
\end{aligned}$$

thanks to (1.1). Thus, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_4| &\leq \frac{a\gamma}{(\gamma-1)R} \|\rho\|_{L^\infty}^\gamma \int_{\{R \leq |x| \leq 2R\}} |v| |\nabla \sigma| dx \\
&\leq C \|\nabla \sigma\|_{L^\infty} \|\rho\|_{L^\infty}^\gamma \|v\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-1}}(R \leq |x| \leq 2R)} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$. For the term I_5 we first compute by integration by part

$$\begin{aligned}
I_5 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sigma_R |v|^2 \operatorname{div}(\rho v) dx + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sigma_R \rho (v \cdot \nabla) |v|^2 dx \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sigma_R \operatorname{div}(\rho v) |v|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^2 (v \cdot \nabla) \sigma_R dx \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v|^2 (v \cdot \nabla) \sigma_R dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we estimate

$$|I_5| \leq \frac{1}{2R} \int_{\{R \leq |x| \leq 2R\}} |v|^3 |\nabla \sigma| dx. \tag{2.8}$$

We estimate the right hand side of (2.8), depending on N .

(i) For $N = 2$ we use the following Ladyzenskaya's inequality([3]),

$$\|v\|_{L^4} \leq 2\|v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |I_5| &\leq \frac{\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty}}{2R} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v|^4 dx \right)^{\frac{3}{4}} \left(\int_{\{R \leq |x| \leq 2R\}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^4}^3 R^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} R^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

(ii) For $N = 3$ we use the L^p -interpolation followed by the Sobolev inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |I_5| &\leq \frac{\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty}}{2R} \left(\int_{\{R \leq |x| \leq 2R\}} |v|^{\frac{9}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\int_{\{R \leq |x| \leq 2R\}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^{\frac{9}{2}}(R \leq |x| \leq 2R)}^3 \\ &\leq C\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(R \leq |x| \leq 2R)}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|v\|_{L^6}^{\frac{8}{3}} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}(R \leq |x| \leq 2R)}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{8}{3}} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

(iii) For $4 \leq N \leq 6$ we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |I_5| &\leq \frac{\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty}}{2R} \left(\int_{\{R \leq |x| \leq 2R\}} |v|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} dx \right)^{\frac{3(N-2)}{2N}} \left(\int_{\{R \leq |x| \leq 2R\}} 1 dx \right)^{\frac{6-N}{2N}} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(R \leq |x| \leq 2R)}^3 R^{2-\frac{N}{2}} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$, since $\|v\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}} \leq C\|\nabla v\|_{L^2} < \infty$.

(iv) For $N \geq 7$ we use the additional hypothesis $v \in L^{\frac{3N}{N-1}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

$$\begin{aligned} |I_5| &\leq \frac{\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty}}{2R} \left(\int_{\{R \leq |x| \leq 2R\}} |v|^{\frac{3N}{N-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{N-1}{N}} \left(\int_{\{R \leq |x| \leq 2R\}} 1 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{N}} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla\sigma\|_{L^\infty} \|v\|_{L^{\frac{3N}{N-1}}(R \leq |x| \leq 2R)}^3 \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

Thus passing $R \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.3), we find from (2.4)-(2.12) that

$$\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 dx + (\mu + \lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\operatorname{div} v)^2 dx = 0,$$

and $v = \text{constant vector in } \mathbb{R}^N$, which combined with the integrability conditions provides us with $v = 0$. Thus the equation (1.2) is reduced to the first part of (1.10). In the case of (ii) the reduced equation is $\nabla p = 0$, which implies that $\rho = \text{constant}$. \square

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Prof. Z. Xin, whose question for the validity of the Liouville type result for (NS) with the non-vacuum boundary condition at infinity motivated this work. This research was supported partially by NRF Grant no. 2006-0093854.

References

- [1] D. Chae, *On the nonexistence of global weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes-Poisson equations in \mathbb{R}^N* , Comm. PDE , **35**, no. 3, (2010), 535-557.
- [2] E. Feireisl, *Dynamics of viscous compressible Fluids*, **vol. 26**, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, Clarendon Press, Oxford, (2004).
- [3] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, *The Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flow*, Gordon and Breach, (1969).
- [4] P.-L. Lions, *Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics*, **vol. 2**, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, Clarendon Press, Oxford, (1998).
- [5] A. Novotný and I. Straškrava, *Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of Compressible Flow*, **vol. 27**, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, Clarendon Press, Oxford,(2004).

- [6] Z. Xin, *Blowup of Smooth Solutions to the Compressible Navier-Stokes Equation with Compact Density*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math, **51**, no. 3, 229-240, (1998).