

Fredholm Solvability of Periodic Neumann Problem for a Linear Telegraph Equation

I. Kmit*

Institute of Mathematics, Humboldt University of Berlin,
Rudower Chaussee 25, D-12489 Berlin, Germany
and Institute for Applied Problems of Mechanics and Mathematics,
Ukrainian Academy of Sciences, Naukova St. 3b, 79060 Lviv, Ukraine
E-mail: kmit@informatik.hu-berlin.de

February 17, 2022

Abstract

We investigate the linear telegraph equation

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} + 2\mu u_t = f(x, t)$$

with periodic Neumann boundary conditions. We prove that the operator of the problem is modeled as a Fredholm operator of index zero in the scale of Sobolev-type spaces of periodic functions. This result extends to small perturbations of the equation where μ becomes variable and discontinuous or an additional zero-order term appears. We also show that the solutions to the problem are smoothing.

Key words: telegraph equation, correlated random walk system, periodic problems, Neumann boundary conditions, Fredholm solvability, smoothing solutions

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35L20, 35B10

1 Introduction

The *telegraph equation*

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} + 2\mu u_t + F(x, t, u) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R} \quad (1)$$

combines features of diffusion and wave equations. It describes dissipative wave processes, e.g., in transmission and propagation of electrical signals, dynamical processes in biological populations, economical and ecological systems etc. (see [10, 20] and references therein).

*Supported by a Humboldt Research Fellowship.

Hillen [8] discusses the appearance of Hopf bifurcations for (1) with Neumann boundary conditions in the case when μ is a negative constant. An important step towards a rigorous bifurcation analysis (via the Implicit Function Theorem and the Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction [4, 12]) is to establish the Fredholm solvability of a linearized problem. Note in this respect that the Fredholm property for hyperbolic PDEs is much less studied than for ODEs and parabolic PDEs.

We will investigate a linearization of (1) known as the *damped wave equation*:

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} + 2\mu u_t = f(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (2)$$

where μ is a constant. This equation describes a correlated random walk under the assumption that particles with density u have a constant speed and a constant turning rate μ . Furthermore, we impose time-periodicity conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u\left(x, t + \frac{2\pi}{\omega}\right) &= u(x, t), & (x, t) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \\ u_t\left(x, t + \frac{2\pi}{\omega}\right) &= u_t(x, t), & (x, t) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\omega > 0$ is a particle speed, and Neumann boundary conditions

$$u_x(0, t) = u_x(1, t) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4)$$

Solvability of periodic problems for the telegraph equation (2) is investigated, in particular, in [3, 5, 8, 13, 14, 21]. Our main result (Theorem 4.4) is the Fredholm Alternative for the problem (2)–(4). Previously, the Fredholm zero index property was known only in the double-periodic case [13, 14]. We prove our result by reduction to a related periodic Neumann problem for a hyperbolic system. When splitting $u = v + w$ into the density v of particles moving right and the density w of particles moving left, (2)–(4) is brought into the form:

$$\begin{aligned} v_t + v_x &= g(x, t) + \mu(w - v), & (x, t) \in (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R} \\ w_t - w_x &= g(x, t) + \mu(v - w), & (x, t) \in (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} v\left(x, t + \frac{2\pi}{\omega}\right) &= v(x, t), & (x, t) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \\ w\left(x, t + \frac{2\pi}{\omega}\right) &= w(x, t), & (x, t) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} v(0, t) &= w(0, t), & t \in \mathbb{R} \\ v(1, t) &= w(1, t), & t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

System (5) describes a random walk process introduced by Taylor [22], where a particle moving right dies with rate μ and is reborn as a particle moving left with the same rate. Homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions (7) describe reflection of particles from the boundary.

For (5)–(7) we proved a Fredholm Alternative in [16]. Now, we show the equivalence between the models (2)–(4) and (5)–(7) in a certain functional analytic sense, which allows

us to derive the Fredholm property for (2)–(4) from the result about (5)–(7) obtained in [16].

By a perturbation argument, our results extend to the equation

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} + \nu(x, t)u_t + \alpha(x, t)u = f(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (8)$$

where $\nu(x, t) - 2\mu$ and $\alpha(x, t)$ are sufficiently small in appropriate function spaces (see Remark 4.6).

In Section 2 we introduce function spaces of solutions and give account of their useful properties. Equivalence of the models (2)–(4) and (5)–(7) is proved in Section 3. Finally, in Section 4 we prove our main result (Theorem 4.4).

2 Function spaces and operators

We will use yet another representation of the problem (5)–(7), namely,

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + z_x &= g(x, t), & (x, t) \in (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R} \\ z_t + u_x &= -2\mu z, & (x, t) \in (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} u \left(x, t + \frac{2\pi}{\omega} \right) &= u(x, t), & (x, t) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \\ z \left(x, t + \frac{2\pi}{\omega} \right) &= z(x, t), & (x, t) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$z(0, t) = z(1, t) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (11)$$

where

$$u = \frac{v + w}{2}, \quad z = \frac{v - w}{2}. \quad (12)$$

Here $2u$ stands for the total particle density, while $2z$ for particle flux. The relationship between the models (2)–(4), (5)–(7), and (9)–(11) is discussed in Section 3. We will show that they are equivalent in a certain sense.

For solutions and right hand sides of the problems (2)–(4), (5)–(7), and (9)–(11) we now introduce pairs of spaces $(U_b^\gamma, H^{0, \gamma-1})$, (V_b^γ, W_d^γ) , and (Z_b^γ, W_0^γ) , respectively. Here $\gamma \geq 1$ denotes a real scaling parameter. The subscript b indicates that we construct spaces constrained by boundary conditions, d stands for the diagonal subspace of pairs (u, u) , and 0 for the subspace of pairs $(u, 0)$.

Given $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\gamma \geq 0$, we first introduce the space $H^{l, \gamma}$ of all measurable functions $u : (0, 1) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$u(x, t) = u \left(x, t + \frac{2\pi}{\omega} \right) \text{ for a.a. } (x, t) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$\|u\|_{H^{l, \gamma}}^2 := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + k^2)^\gamma \sum_{m=0}^l \int_0^1 \left| \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} \partial_x^m u(x, t) e^{-ik\omega t} dt \right|^2 dx < \infty. \quad (13)$$

It is well-known (see, e.g., [23, Chapter 2.4]) that $H^{l,\gamma}$ is a Banach space. In fact, this is the space of all $\frac{2\pi}{\omega}$ -periodic maps $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow H^l(0,1)$ that are locally L^2 -Bochner integrable together with their generalized derivatives up to the (possibly noninteger) order γ . Furthermore, we define

$$\begin{aligned} W^\gamma &= H^{0,\gamma} \times H^{0,\gamma}, \\ W_d^\gamma &= \{(g, f) \in W^\gamma : g = f\}, \\ W_0^\gamma &= \{(g, f) \in W^\gamma : f = 0\}, \\ V^\gamma &= \{(v, w) \in W^\gamma : (v_t + v_x, w_t - w_x) \in W^\gamma\}, \\ Z^\gamma &= \{(u, z) \in W^\gamma : (u_t + z_x, z_t + u_x) \in W^\gamma\}, \\ U^\gamma &= \{u \in H^{0,\gamma} : u_x \in H^{0,\gamma-1}, u_{tt} - u_{xx} \in H^{0,\gamma-1}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $u_t, u_x, u_{tt}, u_{xx}, v_t, v_x, z_t$, and z_x are understood in the sense of generalized derivatives. The function spaces $W^\gamma, V^\gamma, Z^\gamma$, and U^γ will be endowed with the norms

$$\begin{aligned} \|(g, f)\|_{W^\gamma}^2 &= \|g\|_{H^{0,\gamma}}^2 + \|f\|_{H^{0,\gamma}}^2, \\ \|(v, w)\|_{V^\gamma}^2 &= \|(v, w)\|_{W^\gamma}^2 + \|(v_t + v_x, w_t - w_x)\|_{W^\gamma}^2, \\ \|(u, z)\|_{Z^\gamma}^2 &= \|(u, z)\|_{W^\gamma}^2 + \|(u_t + z_x, z_t + u_x)\|_{W^\gamma}^2, \\ \|u\|_{U^\gamma}^2 &= \|u\|_{H^{0,\gamma}}^2 + \|u_x\|_{H^{0,\gamma-1}}^2 + \|u_{tt} - u_{xx}\|_{H^{0,\gamma-1}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

In the following two lemmas we collect some useful properties of the function spaces V^γ and U^γ , respectively.

Lemma 2.1 [16, Section 2]

- (i) The space V^γ is complete.
- (ii) If $\gamma \geq 1$, then V^γ is continuously embedded into $(H^{1,\gamma-1})^2$.
- (iii) For any $x \in [0, 1]$ there exists a continuous trace map

$$(v, w) \in V^\gamma \mapsto \left(v(x, \cdot), w(x, \cdot)\right) \in \left(L^2\left(0, \frac{2\pi}{\omega}\right)\right)^2.$$

Similar properties are encountered in the function spaces U^γ .

Lemma 2.2 (i) The space U^γ is complete.

- (ii) If $\gamma \geq 2$, then U^γ is continuously embedded into $H^{2,\gamma-2}$.
- (iii) If $\gamma \geq 1$, then for any $x \in [0, 1]$ there exists a continuous trace map

$$u \in U^\gamma \mapsto u_x(x, \cdot) \in L^2\left(0, \frac{2\pi}{\omega}\right).$$

Proof. (i) Let (u_j) be a fundamental sequence in U^γ . Then (u_j) is fundamental in $H^{0,\gamma}$ and $(\partial_x u_j)$ and $(\partial_t^2 u_j - \partial_x^2 u_j)$ are fundamental in $H^{0,\gamma-1}$. Since $H^{0,\gamma}$ is complete, there exist $u \in H^{0,\gamma}$ and $v, w \in H^{0,\gamma-1}$ such that

$$u_j \rightarrow u \text{ in } H^{0,\gamma}, \quad \partial_x u_j \rightarrow v \text{ in } H^{0,\gamma-1}, \text{ and } \quad \partial_t^2 u_j - \partial_x^2 u_j \rightarrow w \text{ in } H^{0,\gamma-1}$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$. It remains to show that $\partial_x u = v$ and $\partial_t^2 u - \partial_x^2 u = w$ in the sense of generalized derivatives. For this purpose take a smooth function $\varphi : (0, 1) \times (0, \frac{2\pi}{\omega}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with compact support and note that

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} \int_0^1 u \partial_x \varphi \, dx \, dt &= - \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} \int_0^1 u_j \partial_x \varphi \, dx \, dt \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} \int_0^1 \partial_x u_j \varphi \, dx \, dt = \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} \int_0^1 v \varphi \, dx \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} \int_0^1 u (\partial_t^2 - \partial_x^2) \varphi \, dx \, dt &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} \int_0^1 u_j (\partial_t^2 - \partial_x^2) \varphi \, dx \, dt \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} \int_0^1 (\partial_t^2 - \partial_x^2) u_j \varphi \, dx \, dt = \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} \int_0^1 w \varphi \, dx \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Take $u \in U^\gamma$. Then $u \in H^{0,\gamma}$ and, hence, $\partial_t^2 u \in H^{0,\gamma-2}$. By the definition of the space U^γ , we have $\partial_x^2 u \in H^{0,\gamma-2}$ as well. Therefore, $u \in H^{2,\gamma-2}$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H^{2,\gamma-2}}^2 &= \|u\|_{H^{0,\gamma-2}}^2 + \|\partial_x u\|_{H^{0,\gamma-2}}^2 + \|\partial_x^2 u\|_{H^{0,\gamma-2}}^2 \\ &\leq \|u\|_{H^{0,\gamma-2}}^2 + c \|\partial_x u\|_{H^{0,\gamma-1}}^2 + c \|\partial_x^2 u\|_{H^{0,\gamma-2}}^2 \\ &\leq \|u\|_{H^{0,\gamma-2}}^2 + c \|\partial_x u\|_{H^{0,\gamma-1}}^2 + c \|\partial_x^2 u - \partial_t^2 u\|_{H^{0,\gamma-2}}^2 + c \|\partial_t^2 u\|_{H^{0,\gamma-2}}^2 \leq C \|u\|_{U^\gamma}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the constants c and C do not depend on u .

Claim (iii) follows from the definition of U^γ . □

Remark 2.3 By (12) and Lemma 2.1 (iii), for any $x \in [0, 1]$ there exists a continuous trace map

$$(u, z) \in Z^\gamma \mapsto \left(u(x, \cdot), z(x, \cdot) \right) \in \left(L^2 \left(0, \frac{2\pi}{\omega} \right) \right)^2.$$

Lemmas 2.1 (iii) and 2.2 (iii) and Remark 2.3 motivate consideration of the following closed subspaces in V^γ , Z^γ , and U^γ :

$$\begin{aligned} V_b^\gamma &= \{(v, w) \in V^\gamma : (7) \text{ is fulfilled for a.a. } t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \\ Z_b^\gamma &= \{(u, z) \in Z^\gamma : (11) \text{ is fulfilled for a.a. } t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \\ U_b^\gamma &= \{u \in U^\gamma : (4) \text{ is fulfilled for a.a. } t \in \mathbb{R}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we introduce linear operators $L_{WS}, \tilde{L}_{WS} \in \mathcal{L}(V_b^\gamma; W_d^\gamma)$ by

$$L_{WS} \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_t + v_x - \mu(w - v) \\ w_t - w_x - \mu(v - w) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{L}_{WS} \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -v_t - v_x - \mu(w - v) \\ -w_t + w_x - \mu(v - w) \end{bmatrix},$$

a linear operator $L'_{WS} \in \mathcal{L}(Z_b^\gamma; W_0^\gamma)$ by

$$L'_{WS} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_t + z_x \\ z_t + u_x + 2\mu z \end{bmatrix},$$

and linear operators $L_{TE}, \tilde{L}_{TE} \in \mathcal{L}(U_b^\gamma; H^{0,\gamma-1})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} L_{TE}(u) &= u_{tt} - u_{xx} + 2\mu u_t, \\ \tilde{L}_{TE}(u) &= u_{tt} - u_{xx} - 2\mu u_t. \end{aligned}$$

3 Equivalence of the models

We first describe the reduction of the problem (5)–(7) to (2)–(4) that was suggested in [11], see also [9]. Recall that the simple change of variables (12) transforms the system (5)–(7) to the form (9)–(11). Now, assuming two-times differentiability of z and w , we differentiate the first equation in (9) with respect to t and the second equation with respect to x . After a simple calculation we come to the problem (2)–(4) with $f = g_t + 2\mu g$.

Formally, we will show that the two problems (2)–(4) and (5)–(7) are equivalent in the following sense: there exist isomorphisms $\alpha_1 : V_b^\gamma \rightarrow Z_b^\gamma$, $\alpha_2 : Z_b^\gamma \rightarrow U_b^\gamma$ and $\beta_1 : W_d^\gamma \rightarrow W_0^\gamma$, $\beta_2 : W_0^\gamma \rightarrow H^{0,\gamma-1}$ between the respective linear spaces such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_b^\gamma & \xrightarrow{L_{WS}} & W_d^\gamma \\ \alpha_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_1 \\ Z_b^\gamma & \xrightarrow{L'_{WS}} & W_0^\gamma \\ \alpha_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_2 \\ U_b^\gamma & \xrightarrow{L_{TE}} & H^{0,\gamma-1} \end{array} \quad (14)$$

is commutative, that is,

$$\beta_1 \circ L_{WS} = L'_{WS} \circ \alpha_1, \quad \beta_2 \circ L'_{WS} = L_{TE} \circ \alpha_2. \quad (15)$$

Specifically, we define $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2$, and β_2 by

$$\alpha_1(v, w) = \left(\frac{v+w}{2}, \frac{v-w}{2} \right), \quad \beta_1(g, g) = (g, 0), \quad (16)$$

$$\alpha_2(u, z) = u, \quad \beta_2(g, 0) = g_t + 2\mu g. \quad (17)$$

Furthermore, let

$$\alpha = \alpha_2 \circ \alpha_1, \quad \beta = \beta_2 \circ \beta_1. \quad (18)$$

The following result shows the commutativity of the upper part of the diagram (14) or, the same, the equivalence of the problems (5)–(7) and (9)–(11). Its proof is straightforward.

Lemma 3.1 *Suppose $\gamma \geq 2$. Then*

- (i) *The maps $\alpha_1 : V_b^\gamma \rightarrow Z_b^\gamma$ and $\beta_1 : W_d^\gamma \rightarrow W_0^\gamma$ defined by (16) are isomorphisms.*
- (ii) *$\beta_1 \circ L_{WS} = L'_{WS} \circ \alpha_1$.*

To prove the commutativity of the lower part of the diagram (14), i.e., the equivalence of the problems (2)–(4) and (9)–(11), we will need the following simple lemma.

Lemma 3.2 *If $\mu \neq 0$, then the map $f \in H^{0,\gamma-1} \mapsto g \in H^{0,\gamma}$ where*

$$\begin{aligned} g_t + 2\mu g &= f \\ g\left(x, t + \frac{2\pi}{\omega}\right) &= g(x, t) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

is bijective.

Proof. The problem (19) has a unique solution given by the formula

$$g(x, t) = \frac{e^{-2\mu(t+\frac{2\pi}{\omega})}}{1 - e^{-2\mu\frac{2\pi}{\omega}}} \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} e^{2\mu\tau} f(x, \tau) d\tau - \int_0^t e^{2\mu(\tau-t)} f(x, \tau) d\tau, \quad (20)$$

which gives us the lemma. \square

Corollary 3.3 *If $\mu \neq 0$, then the map $u_x \in H^{0,\gamma-1} \mapsto z \in H^{0,\gamma}$ where*

$$\begin{aligned} z_t + 2\mu z &= u_x \\ z\left(x, t + \frac{2\pi}{\omega}\right) &= z(x, t) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

is bijective. Furthermore,

$$z(x, t) = \frac{e^{-2\mu(t+\frac{2\pi}{\omega})}}{1 - e^{-2\mu\frac{2\pi}{\omega}}} \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} e^{2\mu\tau} u_x(x, \tau) d\tau - \int_0^t e^{2\mu(\tau-t)} u_x(x, \tau) d\tau \quad (22)$$

Now we state the desired equivalence result.

Lemma 3.4 *Suppose $\gamma \geq 2$. Then*

- (i) *The maps $\alpha_2 : Z_b^\gamma \rightarrow U_b^\gamma$ and $\beta_2 : W_0^\gamma \rightarrow H^{0,\gamma-1}$ defined by (17) are isomorphisms.*
- (ii) *$\beta_2 \circ L'_{WS} = L_{TE} \circ \alpha_2$.*

Proof. (i) We first show that α_2 maps Z_b^γ to U_b^γ and β_2 maps W_0^γ to $H^{0,\gamma-1}$. The latter is obvious. To show the former, suppose that $(u, z) \in Z_b^\gamma$ solves (9)–(11). By the definition of Z^γ , $z_t \in H^{0,\gamma-1}$ and $z_t + u_x \in H^{0,\gamma-1}$, hence $u_x \in H^{0,\gamma-1}$. Since $z \in H^{1,\gamma-1}$, we have $z_t \in H^{1,\gamma-2}$. Now, as $z_t + u_x \in H^{0,\gamma}$, we have $u_x \in H^{1,\gamma-2}$ and, hence, $u_{xx} \in H^{0,\gamma-2}$. Therefore $z_{tx} + u_{xx} \in H^{0,\gamma-2}$ and $u_{tt} + z_{xt} \in H^{0,\gamma-2}$. Consequently, $u_{tt} - u_{xx} \in H^{0,\gamma-2}$ as well. This implies that $u \in U^\gamma$. To check the boundary conditions (3) and (4), we take

into account part (iii) of Lemma 2.2 about the traces of u . Now, conditions (4) follow from (11) and the second equation of (9). Conditions (3) are a straightforward consequence of (10).

Further set

$$\alpha_2^{-1}(u) = (u, z) \text{ where } z \text{ is given by (22)}$$

and

$$\beta_2^{-1}(f) = (g, 0) \text{ where } g \text{ is given by (20).}$$

We are done if we show that

$$\alpha_2^{-1} \text{ maps } U_b^\gamma \text{ into } Z_b^\gamma \text{ and } \beta_2^{-1} \text{ maps } H^{0,\gamma-1} \text{ into } W_0^\gamma \quad (23)$$

and that

$$\beta_2^{-1} \circ \beta_2 = I_{W_0^\gamma}, \quad \beta_2 \circ \beta_2^{-1} = I_{H^{0,\gamma-1}}, \quad \alpha_2 \circ \alpha_2^{-1} = I_{U_b^\gamma}, \quad \alpha_2^{-1} \circ \alpha_2 = I_{Z_b^\gamma}. \quad (24)$$

We start with proving (23). Since the right-hand side of the representation (22) belongs to $H^{0,\gamma}$, we have $\partial_t z \in H^{0,\gamma-1}$. Differentiating (22) with respect to t , we easily arrive at the second equality of (9). To meet the first equality, we start from the weak formulation of (2)–(4): By Lemma 3.2, any function $f \in H^{0,\gamma-1}$ admits a unique representation $f = g_t + 2\mu g$ where $g \in H^{0,\gamma}$. On the account of this fact, for any $\varphi \in C^1([0, 1] \times [0, \frac{2\pi}{\omega}])$ with $\varphi(x, t + \frac{2\pi}{\omega}) = \varphi(x, t)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} \int_0^1 [-u_{tt}\varphi - u_x\varphi_x - 2\mu u_t\varphi + (g_t + 2\mu g)\varphi] \, dxdt \\ &= \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} \int_0^1 [u_t\varphi_t - g\varphi_t + [z_t + 2\mu z]\varphi_x - 2\mu u_t\varphi + 2\mu g\varphi] \, dxdt \\ &= \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} \int_0^1 [u_t\varphi_t - g\varphi_t + z_x\varphi_t - 2\mu z_x\varphi - 2\mu u_t\varphi + 2\mu g\varphi] \, dxdt \\ &= \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} \int_0^1 [(u_t + z_x - g)\varphi_t - 2\mu(z_x + u_t - g)\varphi] \, dxdt \\ &= \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} \int_0^1 [(u_t + z_x - g)(\varphi_t - 2\mu\varphi)] \, dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

Taking a constant φ , we get

$$\int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} \int_0^1 (u_t + z_x - g) \, dxdt = 0. \quad (25)$$

It follows that

$$u_t + z_x - g = 0 \quad \text{a.e. on} \quad (0, 1) \times \left(0, \frac{2\pi}{\omega}\right).$$

The first equality of (9) is therefore fulfilled. Furthermore, the system (9) implies that $(u, z) \in Z^\gamma$. The boundary conditions (10) and (11) follow from (3), (4), and (9).

To finish this part of the proof, it remains to note that the first two equalities in (24) follow by Lemma 3.2, the third equality is straightforward, and the last one follows from (22) and the second equality in (9).

(ii) Differentiating now the first equality of (9) with respect to t and the second with respect to x , subtracting the resulting equations and then substituting z_x from the first equation of (9), we come to (2) with $f = g_t + 2\mu g$. Hence $(L_{TE} \circ \alpha_2)(u, z) = g_t + 2\mu g$. Moreover, by definitions of L'_{WS} and β_2 , we have $L'_{WS}(u, z) = (g, 0)$ and $\beta_2(g, 0) = g_t + 2\mu g$. The desired assertion follows.

The proof is complete. \square

We are prepared to formulate the main result of this section about the equivalence of the random walk problem (5)–(7) and the telegraph problem (2)–(4).

Theorem 3.5 *Suppose $\gamma \geq 2$. Then*

- (i) *The maps $\alpha : V_b^\gamma \rightarrow U_b^\gamma$ and $\beta : W_d^\gamma \rightarrow H^{0, \gamma-1}$ defined by (18) are isomorphisms.*
- (ii) *$\beta \circ L_{WS} = L_{TE} \circ \alpha$.*

The theorem follows directly from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.4.

4 Fredholm Alternative

Here we prove the Fredholm Alternative for the problem (2)–(4). From Section 3 we know how the Fredholm and the index properties of the operators of the problems (2)–(4) and (5)–(7) are related to each other. More precisely, the following lemma is true.

Lemma 4.1 *Suppose $\gamma \geq 1$ and $\mu \neq 0$. Then*

- (i) *$\dim \ker(L_{WS}) = \dim \ker(L_{TE})$.*
- (ii) *$\dim \ker(L_{WS}^*) = \dim \ker(L_{TE}^*)$.*

The Fredholm solvability for the periodic-Neumann problem for the telegraph equation is now a straightforward consequence of our Fredholm result for the corresponding correlated random walk problem.

Theorem 4.2 [16, Theorem 1] *Suppose $\gamma \geq 1$ and $\mu \neq 0$. Then we have:*

- (i) *L_{WS} is a Fredholm operator of index zero from V_b^γ into W_d^γ .*
- (ii) *The image of L_{WS} is the set of all $(g, g) \in W^\gamma$ such that*

$$\int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} \int_0^1 g(x, t)(\tilde{v}(x, t) + \tilde{w}(x, t)) dx dt = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad (\tilde{v}, \tilde{w}) \in \ker(\tilde{L}_{WS}). \quad (26)$$

Remark 4.3 As it follows from the proof of [16, Theorem 1], the kernel of the problem (5)–(7) does not depend on $\gamma \geq 1$ and, given $\gamma > 1$, all V_b^1 -solutions to the problem (5)–(7) with $f \in W_d^\gamma$ necessarily belong to V_b^γ (a smoothing effect). This implies, in particular, that, if we have (26) for $f \in W_d^\gamma$ with $\gamma \geq 2$, then we automatically have

$$\int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} \int_0^1 \partial_t^s g(x, t) (\tilde{v}(x, t) + \tilde{w}(x, t)) dx dt = 0 \text{ for all } (\tilde{v}, \tilde{w}) \in \ker(\tilde{L}_{WS}) \text{ and } 0 \leq s \leq \gamma. \quad (27)$$

Note that the smoothing effect does not occur for the corresponding initial-boundary problem with Neumann boundary conditions (see [9, 15, 19]).

We are prepared to formulate our main result.

Theorem 4.4 *Suppose $\gamma \geq 2$ and $\mu \neq 0$. Then we have:*

- (i) L_{TE} is a Fredholm operator of index zero from U_b^γ into $H^{0, \gamma-1}$.
- (ii) The image of L_{TE} is the set of all $f \in H^{0, \gamma-1}$ such that

$$\int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} \int_0^1 f(x, t) u(x, t) dx dt = 0 \text{ for all } u \in \ker(\tilde{L}_{TE}). \quad (28)$$

Theorem 4.4 follows directly from Theorems 3.5 and 4.2 and Lemma 4.1 (see also Remark 4.3).

Remark 4.5 Like the problem (5)–(7), one can observe a similar smoothing effect for the problem (2)–(4): The kernel of the problem does not depend γ and, given $\gamma > 2$, all U_b^2 -solutions to problem (2)–(4) with $f \in H^{0, \gamma-1}$, necessarily belong to U_b^γ .

Remark 4.6 Since the set of Fredholm operators is open, the conclusion of Theorem 4.4 survives under sufficiently small perturbations of the operator $L_{TE} \in \mathcal{L}(U^\gamma; H^{0, \gamma-1})$. The theorem remains true, if, instead of L_{TE} , we consider the operator of the problem (8), (3), (4) with ν and α such that $\nu(x, t)u_t \in H^{0, \gamma}$, $\nu(x, t)$ is sufficiently small perturbation of a nonzero constant, $\alpha(x, t)u \in H^{0, \gamma}$, and $\alpha(x, t)$ is sufficiently small. The Fredholm property of the slightly perturbed problem is fulfilled independently of whether or not the perturbed problems (2)–(4) and (5)–(7) remain equivalent.

Acknowledgments

I thank Lutz Recke for bringing the paper [9] to my attention.

References

- [1] E. Ahmed, S.Z. Hassan, On diffusion in some biological and economic systems. *Z. Naturforsch* 2000, 55a: 669.
- [2] E. Ahmed, H:A: Abdusalam, E:S.Fahmy, On Telegraph reaction diffusion and coupled map lattice in some biological system. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. C*, 2001, 12:717.
- [3] Jose Miguel Alonso, Jean Mawhin, R. Ortega, Bounded solutions of second order semilinear evolution equations and applications to the telegraph equation. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **IX. Sr. 78** (1999), No.1, 49-63.
- [4] S.-N. Chow, J. K. Hale, *Methods of Bifurcation Theory*, Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften **251**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [5] S. Fucik, J. Mawhin, Generalized periodic solutions of nonlinear telegraph equations. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory, Methods Appl.* **2** (1978), 609-617.
- [6] S. Goldshtein, On diffusion by discontinuous movements and the telegraph equation. *J. Mech. Appl. Math.* **4** (1951), 129-156.
- [7] K.P. Hadeler, Reaction transport systems. In V. Capasso and O. Diekmann, editors, *Mathematics inspired by biology*, p. 95-150, CIME Lectures 1997, Florence, Springer, 1998.
- [8] T. Hillen, A Turing model with correlated random walk. *J. Math. Biol.* **35** (1996), No.1, 49-72.
- [9] T. Hillen, Existence theory for correlated random walks on bounded domains. *Can. Appl. Math. Q.* 18, No. 1, 1-40 (2010).
- [10] W. Horsthemke: "Spatial Instabilities in Reaction Random Walks with Direction-Independent Kinetics," *Phys. Rev. E* 60, 2651 - 2663 (1999).
- [11] M. Kac, A stochastic model related to the telegrapher's equation. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 4, 497-509 (1956).
- [12] H. Kielhöfer, *Bifurcation Theory. An Introduction with Applications to PDEs*, Appl. Math. Sciences **156**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 2004.
- [13] Wan Se, Kim, Double-periodic boundary value problem for nonlinear dissipative hyperbolic equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **145** (1990), No.1, 1-16.
- [14] Wan Se, Kim, Multiplicity results for periodic solutions of semilinear dissipative hyperbolic equations with coercive nonlinear term. *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **38** (2001), No.4, 853-881.
- [15] I. Kmit, Smoothing solutions to initial-boundary problems for first-order hyperbolic systems, *Applicable Analysis* 2011 (in print). <http://arxiv.org/pdf/0908.2189v4>

- [16] I. Kmit and L. Recke, Fredholm Alternative for periodic-Dirichlet problems for linear hyperbolic systems. *J. Math. Anal. and Appl.* **335** (2007), 355–370.
- [17] I. Kmit and L. Recke, Fredholmness and smooth dependence for linear hyperbolic periodic-Dirichlet problems. Preprint **701**, DFG Research Center Matheon, 2010. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1005.0689v4>
- [18] Kmit, I., Recke, L. (2011). Hopf bifurcation for semilinear hyperbolic Dirichlet problems. In preparation.
- [19] Lyulko N.A. The increasing smoothness properties of solutions to some hyperbolic problems in two independent variables. *Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports* 7 (2010), 413–424.
- [20] P.A. Markovich, C.A. Ringhofer, C. Schmeister, *Semiconductor Equations*. Springer, New York, 1990.
- [21] J. Mawhin, R. Ortega, Aureliano M. Robles-Prez, A maximum principle for bounded solutions of the telegraph equations and applications to nonlinear forcings. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **251** (2000), No.2, 695–709.
- [22] G. I. Taylor, Diffusion by discontinuous movements. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 25–70 (1920).
- [23] O. Vejvoda et al., *Partial Differential Equations: Time-Periodic Solutions*, Sijthoff Noordhoff, 1981.