

# Relativistic description of the double P-wave charmonium production in $e^+e^-$ annihilation

A.P. Martynenko

*Samara State University, Pavlov Street 1, 443011, Samara, Russia and*

*Samara State Aerospace University named after S.P. Korolyov,  
Moskovskoye Shosse 34, 443086, Samara, Russia*

A.M. Trunin

*Samara State Aerospace University named after S.P. Korolyov,  
Moskovskoye Shosse 34, 443086, Samara, Russia*

On the basis of perturbative QCD and the relativistic quark model we calculate relativistic and bound state corrections in the production processes of a pair of  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave charmonium states. Relativistic factors in the production amplitude connected with the relative motion of heavy quarks and the transformation law of the bound state wave function to the reference frame of the moving  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave mesons are taken into account. For the gluon and quark propagators entering the production vertex function we use a truncated expansion in the ratio of the relative quark momenta to the center-of-mass energy  $\sqrt{s}$  up to the second order. Relativistic corrections to the quark bound state wave functions in the rest frame are considered by means of the Breit-like potential. It turns out that the examined effects change essentially the nonrelativistic results of the cross section for the reaction  $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow h_c + \chi_{cJ}$  at the center-of-mass energy  $\sqrt{s} = 10.6$  GeV.

PACS numbers: 13.66.Bc, 12.39.Ki, 12.38.Bx

Keywords: Hadron production in  $e^+e^-$  interactions, Relativistic quark model

## I. INTRODUCTION

The large value of the exclusive double charmonium production cross section measured at the Belle and BABAR experiments [1, 2] reveals definite problems in the theoretical description of these processes [3–5]. Many theoretical efforts were made in order to improve the calculation of the production cross section  $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\Psi + \eta_c$ . They included the analysis of other production mechanisms for the state  $J/\Psi + \eta_c$  [6, 7] and the calculation of different corrections which could change essentially the initial nonrelativistic result [8–18]. Despite the evident successes achieved on the basis of nonrelativistic quantum chromodynamics (NRQCD), the light cone method, quark potential models for correcting the discrepancy between the theory and experiment, the double charmonium production in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation remains an interesting task. On the one hand, there are other production processes of the  $\mathcal{P}$ - and  $\mathcal{D}$ -wave charmonium states which can be investigated in the same way as the production of  $\mathcal{S}$ -wave states. Recently the Belle and BABAR collaborations discovered

new charmonium-like states in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation [19, 20]. The nature of these numerous resonances remains unclear to the present. Some of them are considered as a  $\mathcal{P}$ - and  $\mathcal{D}$ -wave excitations in the system  $(c\bar{c})$ . On the other hand, the variety of the used approaches and the model parameters in this problem raises the question about the comparison of the obtained results that will lead to a better understanding of the quark-gluon dynamics and different mechanisms of the charmonium production. Two sources of the changing of the nonrelativistic cross section for the double charmonium production are revealed to the present: the radiative corrections of order  $O(\alpha_s)$  and relative motion of  $c$ -quarks forming the bound states. An actual physical processes of the charmonium production require formation of hadronic particles in final states (bound states of a charm quark  $c$  and a charm anti-quark  $\bar{c}$ ), for which quantum chromodynamics can not provide high precision description. Further investigation of charmonia production can improve our understanding of heavy quark production and the formation of quark bound states.

This work continues our study of the exclusive double charmonium production in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation in the case of a pure  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave  $(c\bar{c})$  quarkonium on the basis of a relativistic quark model (RQM) [14, 21–24]. Note that the term RQM specifies the approach in which the systematic account of corrections connected with the relative motion of heavy quarks can be performed. The relativistic quark model provides the solution in many tasks of heavy quark physics. It uses a number of perturbative and nonperturbative parameters entering in the quark interaction operator. All observables can be expressed in terms of these parameters. In this way we can check the predictions of any quark model and draw a conclusion about its successfulness. At the same time the existence of a large number of different quark models which are sometimes very complicated for the practical use put a question about the elaboration of the unified model containing generally accepted structural elements. Another approach to the heavy quark physics which does not contain the ambiguities of the quark models was formulated in [25]. As any other model of strong interactions of quarks and gluons the approach of NRQCD introduces in the theory a large number of matrix elements parameterizing nonperturbative dynamics of quarks. To a certain extent the microscopic picture of the quark-gluon interaction resident in quark models is changed by the global picture operating with the numerous nonperturbative matrix elements. The improved determination of color-singlet NRQCD matrix elements for  $S$ -wave charmonium is presented in [26]. Their study evidently shows that the account of relative order  $v^2$  corrections significantly increases the values of the matrix elements of leading order in  $v$ . The correspondence between parameters of quark models and NRQCD which can be established, opens the way for better understanding of quark-gluon interactions at small distances. In this sense both approaches complement each other and could reveal new aspects of color dynamics of quarks and gluons. Thus, the aim of this study consists in the extension of relativistic approach to the quarkonium production from Refs.[14, 21, 22] on the processes  $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow h_c + \chi_{cJ}$  and determination of the interrelationship with the predictions of NRQCD.

## II. GENERAL FORMALISM

We investigate the quarkonium production in the lowest-order perturbative quantum chromodynamics. The usual color-singlet mechanism is considered as a basic one for the pair charmonium production. We analyze the reactions  $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow h_c + \chi_{cJ}$ , where the final state consists of a pair of  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave ( $\chi_{c0}$ ,  $\chi_{c1}$ ,  $\chi_{c2}$ ) and  $h_c$  charm mesons. The diagrams that give contributions to the amplitude of these processes in leading order of the QCD coupling




FIG. 1: The production amplitude of a pair of  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave charmonium states in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation.  $\mathcal{P}_{h_c}$  denotes the  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave meson  $h_c$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{\chi_{cJ}}$  denotes the  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave meson  $\chi_{cJ}$ . The wavy line shows the virtual photon and the dashed line corresponds to the gluon.  $\Gamma$  is the production vertex function.

constant  $\alpha_s$  are presented in Fig.1. Two other diagrams can be obtained by corresponding permutations. There are two stages of the production process. In the first stage, which is described by perturbative QCD, the virtual photon  $\gamma^*$  produces four heavy  $c$ -quarks and  $\bar{c}$ -antiquarks with the following four-momenta:

$$p_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2}P \pm p, \quad (p \cdot P) = 0; \quad q_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2}Q \pm q, \quad (q \cdot Q) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $P(Q)$  are the total four-momenta,  $p = L_P(0, \mathbf{p})$ ,  $q = L_P(0, \mathbf{q})$  are the relative four-momenta obtained from the rest frame four-momenta  $(0, \mathbf{p})$  and  $(0, \mathbf{q})$  by the Lorentz transformation to the system moving with the momenta  $P$ ,  $Q$ . The momenta  $p_{1,2}$  of the heavy quark  $c$  and antiquark  $\bar{c}$  are not on the mass shell:  $p_{1,2}^2 = P^2/4 - \mathbf{p}^2 = M^2/4 - \mathbf{p}^2 \neq m^2$ . Relation (1) describes the symmetrical escape of the  $c$ -quark and  $\bar{c}$ -antiquark from the mass shell. In the second nonperturbative stage, quark-antiquark pairs form the final mesons.

Let consider the production amplitude of the  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave vector state  $h_c$  and  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave states  $\chi_{cJ}$  ( $J = 0, 1, 2$ ), which can be presented in the form [14, 22, 24]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(p_-, p_+, P, Q) &= \frac{8\pi^2 \alpha \alpha_s (4m^2) \mathcal{Q}_c}{3s} \bar{v}(p_+) \gamma^\beta u(p_-) \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^3} \times \\ &\times Sp \left\{ \Psi_{h_c}^{\mathcal{P}}(p, P) \Gamma_1^{\beta\nu}(p, q, P, Q) \Psi_{\chi_{cJ}}^{\mathcal{P}}(q, Q) \gamma_\nu + \Psi_{\chi_{cJ}}^{\mathcal{P}}(q, Q) \Gamma_2^{\beta\nu}(p, q, P, Q) \Psi_{h_c}^{\mathcal{P}}(p, P) \gamma_\nu \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where a superscript  $\mathcal{P}$  indicates the  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave meson,  $\alpha_s(4m^2)$  is the QCD coupling constant,  $\alpha$  is the fine structure constant and  $\mathcal{Q}_c$  is the  $c$ -quark electric charge,  $\Gamma_{1,2}$  are the vertex functions defined below. The production processes  $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow h_c + \chi_{cJ}$  contain the quark bound states. The transition of free quarks to the  $(c\bar{c})$  mesons is described by specific wave functions. The relativistic  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave functions of the bound quarks  $\Psi^{\mathcal{P}}$  accounting for the transformation from the rest frame to the moving one with four momenta  $P, Q$ , are

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{h_c}^{\mathcal{P}}(p, P) &= \frac{\Psi_0^{h_c}(\mathbf{p})}{\left[ \frac{\epsilon(p)}{m} \frac{(\epsilon(p)+m)}{2m} \right]} \left[ \frac{\hat{v}_1 - 1}{2} + \hat{v}_1 \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m(\epsilon(p) + m)} - \frac{\hat{p}}{2m} \right] \\ &\times \gamma_5 (1 + \hat{v}_1) \left[ \frac{\hat{v}_1 + 1}{2} + \hat{v}_1 \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m(\epsilon(p) + m)} + \frac{\hat{p}}{2m} \right], \\ \Psi_{\chi_{cJ}}^{\mathcal{P}}(q, Q) &= \frac{\Psi_0^{\chi_{cJ}}(\mathbf{q})}{\left[ \frac{\epsilon(q)}{m} \frac{(\epsilon(q)+m)}{2m} \right]} \left[ \frac{\hat{v}_2 - 1}{2} + \hat{v}_2 \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2m(\epsilon(q) + m)} + \frac{\hat{q}}{2m} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$\times \hat{\varepsilon}_{\mathcal{P}}^*(Q, S_z)(1 + \hat{v}_2) \left[ \frac{\hat{v}_2 + 1}{2} + \hat{v}_2 \frac{\mathbf{q}^2}{2m(\epsilon(q) + m)} - \frac{\hat{q}}{2m} \right], \quad (4)$$

where the hat is a notation for the contraction of the four vector with the Dirac matrices,  $v_1 = P/M_{h_c}$ ,  $v_2 = Q/M_{\chi_{cJ}}$ ;  $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{P}}(Q, S_z)$  is the polarization vector of the spin-triplet state  $\chi_{cJ}$ ,  $\epsilon(p) = \sqrt{p^2 + m^2}$  and  $m$  is the  $c$ -quark mass. The relativistic functions (3)-(4) and the vertex functions  $\Gamma_{1,2}$  do not contain the  $\delta(\mathbf{p}^2 - M^2/4 + m^2)$ . More complicated factor including the bound state wave function in the rest frame presented in Eqs.(3) and (4) plays the role of the  $\delta$ -function. This means that instead of the substitutions  $M_{h_c} = 2\epsilon(\mathbf{p})$  and  $M_{\chi_{cJ}} = 2\epsilon(\mathbf{q})$  in the production amplitude we carry out the integration over the quark relative momenta  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $\mathbf{q}$ . The amplitude (2) is projected onto a color singlet state by replacing  $v_i(0)\bar{u}_k(0)$  with a projection operator of the form  $v_i(0)\bar{u}_k(0) = \delta_{ik}/\sqrt{3}$ . The relativistic wave functions in Eqs.(3), (4) are equal to the product of the wave functions in the rest frame  $\Psi_0^{\mathcal{P}}$  and the spin projection operators that are accurate at all orders in  $|\mathbf{p}|/m$  [14, 24]. The expression of the spin projector in a slightly different form has been derived primarily in [27] in the framework of NRQCD. Our derivation of relations (3), (4) accounts for the transformation law of the bound state wave functions from the rest frame to the moving one with four momenta  $P$  and  $Q$ . This transformation law was discussed in the Bethe-Salpeter approach in [28] and in the quasipotential method in [29]. We use the last one and write the necessary transformation as follows:

$$\Psi_P^{\rho\omega}(\mathbf{p}) = D_1^{1/2, \rho\alpha}(R_{L_P}^W) D_2^{1/2, \omega\beta}(R_{L_P}^W) \Psi_0^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{p}), \quad (5)$$

$$\bar{\Psi}_P^{\lambda\sigma}(\mathbf{p}) = \bar{\Psi}_0^{\varepsilon\tau}(\mathbf{p}) D_1^{+1/2, \varepsilon\lambda}(R_{L_P}^W) D_2^{+1/2, \tau\sigma}(R_{L_P}^W),$$

where  $R^W$  is the Wigner rotation,  $L_P$  is the Lorentz boost from the meson rest frame to a moving one, and the rotation matrix  $D^{1/2}(R)$  is defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} D_{1,2}^{1/2}(R_{L_P}^W) = S^{-1}(\mathbf{p}_{1,2}) S(\mathbf{P}) S(\mathbf{p}), \quad (6)$$

where the explicit form for the Lorentz transformation matrix of the four-spinor is

$$S(\mathbf{p}) = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon(p) + m}{2m}} \left( 1 + \frac{(\boldsymbol{\alpha}\mathbf{p})}{\epsilon(p) + m} \right). \quad (7)$$

We omit here the intermediate expressions giving rise to our final relations (2)-(4) [14, 21]. The presence of the  $\delta(p \cdot P)$  function allows to make the integration over relative energy  $p^0$  if we write the initial production amplitude as a convolution of the truncated amplitude with two Bethe-Salpeter (BS) meson wave functions. In the rest frame of the bound state the condition  $p^0 = 0$  allows to eliminate the relative energy from the BS wave function. The BS wave function satisfies a two-body bound state equation which is very complicated and has no known solution. A way to deal with this problem is to find a soluble lowest-order equation containing the main physical properties of the exact equation and develop a perturbation theory. For this purpose we continue to work in three-dimensional quasipotential approach. In this framework the double charmonium production amplitude (2) can be written initially as a product of the production vertex function  $\Gamma_{1,2}$  projected onto the positive energy states by means of the Dirac bispinors (free quark wave functions) and a bound state quasipotential wave functions describing the  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave mesons in the reference frames moving

with four momenta  $P, Q$ . Further transformations use the known transformation law for the bound state wave functions to the rest frame (5). The physical interpretation of the double charmonium production amplitude is the following: we have a complicated transition of two heavy  $c$ -quark and  $\bar{c}$ -antiquark which were produced in  $e^+e^-$ -annihilation outside the mass shell and their subsequent evolution firstly on the mass shell (free Dirac bispinors) and then to the quark bound states. In the spin projectors we have  $\mathbf{p}^2 \neq M^2/4 - m^2$  just the same as in the vertex production functions  $\Gamma_{1,2}$ . We can not say exactly whether the charm quarks are on-shell or not in the spin projectors (3)-(4) because we should consider these structures as a transition form factors for the heavy quarks from the free states to the bound states.

At leading order in  $\alpha_s$  the vertex functions  $\Gamma_{1,2}^{\beta\nu}(p, P; q, Q)$  can be written as

$$\Gamma_1^{\beta\nu}(p, P; q, Q) = \gamma_\mu \frac{(\hat{l} - \hat{q}_1 + m)}{(l - q_1)^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \gamma_\beta D^{\mu\nu}(k_2) + \gamma_\beta \frac{(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{l} + m)}{(l - p_1)^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \gamma_\mu D^{\mu\nu}(k_2), \quad (8)$$

$$\Gamma_2^{\beta\nu}(p, P; q, Q) = \gamma_\beta \frac{(\hat{q}_2 - \hat{l} + m)}{(l - q_2)^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \gamma_\mu D^{\mu\nu}(k_1) + \gamma_\mu \frac{(\hat{l} - \hat{p}_2 + m)}{(l - p_2)^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \gamma_\beta D^{\mu\nu}(k_1), \quad (9)$$

where the gluon momenta are  $k_1 = p_1 + q_1$ ,  $k_2 = p_2 + q_2$  and  $l^2 = s = (P + Q)^2 = (p_- + p_+)^2$ ,  $p_-$ ,  $p_+$  are four momenta of the electron and positron. The dependence on the relative momenta of  $c$ -quarks is presented both in the gluon propagator  $D_{\mu\nu}(k)$  and quark propagator as well as in the relativistic wave functions (3), (4). Taking into account that the ratio of the relative quark momenta  $p$  and  $q$  to the energy  $\sqrt{s}$  is small, we expand the inverse denominators of quark and gluon propagators as follows:

$$\frac{1}{(l - q_{1,2})^2 - m^2} = \frac{2}{s} \left[ 1 - \frac{2M_{hc}^2 - M_{\chi_{cJ}}^2 - 4m^2}{2s} - \frac{2q^2}{s} \pm \frac{4(lq)}{s} + \frac{16(lq)^2}{s^2} + \dots \right], \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{1}{(l - p_{1,2})^2 - m^2} = \frac{2}{s} \left[ 1 - \frac{2M_{\chi_{cJ}}^2 - M_{hc}^2 - 4m^2}{2s} - \frac{2p^2}{s} \pm \frac{4(lp)}{s} + \frac{16(lp)^2}{s^2} + \dots \right], \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{1}{k_{2,1}^2} = \frac{4}{s} \left[ 1 - \frac{4(p^2 + q^2 + 2pq)}{s} \pm \frac{4(lp + lq)}{s} + \frac{16}{s^2} [(lp)^2 + (lq)^2 + 2(lp)(lq)] + \dots \right]. \quad (12)$$

In the expansions (10)-(12) we keep terms of third order in relative momenta  $p$  and  $q$ . Substituting (10)-(12), (3)-(4) in (2) we preserve relativistic factors entering the denominators of the relativistic wave functions (3)-(4), but in the numerator of the amplitude (2) we take into account corrections of third order in  $|\mathbf{p}|/m$  and  $|\mathbf{q}|/m$ . This provides the convergence of the resulting momentum integrals. Then the angular integrals are calculated using the following relations:

$$\int q_\mu \frac{\Psi_0^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{q})}{\left[ \frac{\epsilon(q)}{m} \frac{(\epsilon(q)+m)}{2m} \right]} \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^3} = -i\varepsilon_{\mathcal{P}\mu}(Q, L_z) \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{6}} \int_0^\infty q^3 \frac{R_{\mathcal{P}}(q)}{\left[ \frac{\epsilon(q)}{m} \frac{(\epsilon(q)+m)}{2m} \right]} dq, \quad (13)$$

$$\int \frac{q_\alpha q_\beta q_\gamma \Psi_0^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{q})}{\left[ \frac{\epsilon(q)}{m} \frac{(\epsilon(q)+m)}{2m} \right]} \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^3} = \frac{i}{5\pi\sqrt{6}} [\varepsilon_\gamma(Q, L_z) P_{\alpha\beta} + \varepsilon_\alpha(Q, L_z) P_{\gamma\beta} + \varepsilon_\beta(Q, L_z) P_{\alpha\gamma}] \int_0^\infty \frac{q^5 R_{\mathcal{P}}(q)}{\left[ \frac{\epsilon(q)}{m} \frac{(\epsilon(q)+m)}{2m} \right]} dq, \quad (14)$$

where  $P_{\alpha\beta} = (g_{\alpha\beta} - v_{2\alpha}v_{2\beta})$ ,  $R_{\mathcal{P}}(q)$  is the radial momentum wave function of  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave charmonium states,  $\varepsilon_\mu(Q, L_z)$  is the polarization vector in orbital space. The integrals in (13)

and (14) are convergent due to the presence of relativistic factors. In this work we do not make expansions of all relativistic factors containing the relative momenta  $\mathbf{p}$ ,  $\mathbf{q}$  as in our paper [14]. This gives us an opportunity to calculate the corrections of the second order in  $|\mathbf{p}|/m$ ,  $|\mathbf{q}|/m$  working with the convergent integrals. Both approaches of the expansion can be used. In the first one we expand all factors, immediately obtain the divergent integrals and should find additional arguments to fix its numerical value [13]. On the second way, which we take in this work, we have no the divergent integrals in the corrections of second order. Undecomposed relativistic factors in (13)-(14) can be considered as a natural cutoff of the momentum integrals. For a specific  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave state, summing over  $S_z$  and  $L_z$  in the amplitude (2) can be further simplified as [30]

$$\sum_{S_z, L_z} \langle 1, L_z; 1, S_z | J, J_z \rangle \varepsilon_{\mathcal{P}\alpha}^*(Q, L_z) \varepsilon_{\mathcal{P}\beta}^*(Q, S_z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(g_{\alpha\beta} - v_{2\alpha}v_{2\beta}), & J = 0, \\ \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\sigma\rho}v_2^\sigma \varepsilon^{*\rho}(Q, J_z), & J = 1, \\ \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^*(Q, J_z), & J = 2, \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

where  $\langle 1, L_z; 1, S_z | J, J_z \rangle$  are the Clebsch-Gordon coefficients. Calculating the trace in the amplitude (2) by means of expressions (3)-(4), (8)-(9) and the system FORM [31], we find that the tensor parts of four amplitudes describing the production of  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave charmonium states have the following structure:

$$S_{0,\beta}(h_c + \chi_{c0}) = A_0 \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} v_1^\mu v_2^\nu \varepsilon_{h_c}^{*\alpha}, \quad (16)$$

$$S_{1,\beta}(h_c + \chi_{c1}) = B_1 v_{1\beta} (v_1 \cdot \varepsilon_{\chi_{c1}}^*) (v_2 \cdot \varepsilon_{h_c}^*) + B_2 v_{2\beta} (v_1 \cdot \varepsilon_{\chi_{c1}}^*) (v_2 \cdot \varepsilon_{h_c}^*) + B_3 \varepsilon_{\chi_{c1}\beta}^* (v_2 \cdot \varepsilon_{h_c}^*) + \quad (17)$$

$$+ B_4 \varepsilon_{h_c\beta}^* (v_1 \cdot \varepsilon_{\chi_{c1}}^*) + B_5 v_{1\beta} (\varepsilon_{\chi_{c1}}^* \cdot \varepsilon_{h_c}^*) + B_6 v_{2\beta} (\varepsilon_{h_c}^* \cdot \varepsilon_{\chi_{c1}}^*),$$

$$S_{2,\beta}(h_c + \chi_{c2}) = \varepsilon_{\alpha\gamma}^* \left[ C_1 \varepsilon_{\sigma\rho\beta\gamma} v_1^\alpha v_1^\sigma v_2^\rho (v_2 \cdot \varepsilon_{h_c}^*) + C_2 \varepsilon_{\sigma\rho\beta\gamma} v_1^\alpha v_2^\sigma \varepsilon_{h_c}^{*\rho} + C_3 \varepsilon_{\sigma\rho\beta\gamma} \varepsilon_{h_c}^{*\alpha} v_1^\sigma v_2^\rho + \quad (18)$$

$$+ C_4 g_{\alpha\beta} \varepsilon_{\sigma\rho\omega\gamma} v_1^\sigma v_2^\rho \varepsilon_{h_c}^{*\omega} + C_5 \varepsilon_{\sigma\rho\lambda\beta} v_1^\alpha v_1^\gamma v_2^\sigma v_2^\rho \varepsilon_{h_c}^{*\lambda} + C_6 \varepsilon_{\sigma\rho\lambda\gamma} v_1^\alpha v_1^\sigma v_{1\beta} v_2^\rho \varepsilon_{h_c}^{*\lambda} + \right.$$

$$\left. + C_7 \varepsilon_{\sigma\rho\lambda\gamma} v_1^\alpha v_1^\sigma v_2^\rho v_{2\beta} \varepsilon_{h_c}^{*\lambda} + C_8 \varepsilon_{\sigma\lambda\beta\gamma} v_1^\alpha v_1^\sigma \varepsilon_{h_c}^{*\lambda} \right],$$

where the coefficients  $A_i$ ,  $B_i$ ,  $C_i$  can be presented as sums of terms containing the factors  $u = M_{\chi_{cJ}}/(M_{h_c} + M_{\chi_{cJ}})$ ,  $\kappa = m/(M_{h_c} + M_{\chi_{cJ}})$  and  $C_{ij} = c^i(p)c^j(q) = [(m - \epsilon(p))/(m + \epsilon(p))]^i [(m - \epsilon(q))/(m + \epsilon(q))]^j$ , preserving terms with  $i + j \leq 2$ , and  $r^2 = (M_{h_c} + M_{\chi_{cJ}})^2/s$ . Exact analytical expressions for these coefficients are sufficiently lengthy (compare with the results written in Appendix A of our previous paper [21]), so we present them in Appendix A of this work only in approximate numerical form using the observed meson masses and the  $c$ -quark mass  $m = 1.55$  GeV.

Introducing the scattering angle  $\theta$  between the electron momentum  $\mathbf{p}_e$  and the momentum  $\mathbf{P}$  of the  $h_c$  meson, we can calculate the differential cross section  $d\sigma/d\cos\theta$  and then the total cross section  $\sigma$  as a function of  $r^2$ . We find it useful to present the charmonium production cross sections in the following form ( $k = 0, 1, 2$  corresponds to  $\chi_{c0}$ ,  $\chi_{c1}$  and  $\chi_{c2}$ ):

$$\sigma(h_c + \chi_{cJ}) = \frac{2\alpha^2 \alpha_s^2 (4m^2) \mathcal{Q}_c^2 \pi r^6 \sqrt{1-r^2} \sqrt{1-r^2(2u-1)^2}}{9\kappa^4 u^{11} (1-u)^{11}} \frac{|\tilde{R}'_{h_c}(0)|^2 |\tilde{R}'_{\chi_{cJ}}(0)|^2}{s(M_{\chi_{cJ}} + M_{h_c})^{10}} \sum_{i=0}^7 g^{(k)} F_i^{(k)}(r^2) \omega_i, \quad (19)$$

where the functions  $F_i^{(k)}$  ( $k = 0, 1, 2$ ) are written explicitly in Appendix B. The factors  $g^{(0)} = u^4(1-u)^2$ ,  $g^{(1)} = \kappa^2/r^2$ ,  $g^{(2)} = 1/16$  are introduced for the convenience,

$$\tilde{R}'_{\mathcal{P}}(0) = \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^\infty q^3 R_{\mathcal{P}}(q) \frac{(\epsilon(q) + m)}{2\epsilon(q)} dq. \quad (20)$$

The parameters  $\omega_i$  can be expressed in terms of momentum integrals  $J_n$  for the states  $h_c$  and  $\chi_{cJ}$  as follows:

$$J_n = \int_0^\infty q^3 R_{\mathcal{P}}(q) \frac{(\epsilon(q) + m)}{2\epsilon(q)} \left( \frac{m - \epsilon(q)}{m + \epsilon(q)} \right)^n dq, \quad (21)$$

$$\omega_0 = 1, \quad \omega_1 = \frac{J_1(h_c)}{J_0(h_c)}, \quad \omega_2 = \frac{J_2(h_c)}{J_0(h_c)}, \quad \omega_3 = \omega_1^2, \quad (22)$$

$$\omega_4 = \frac{J_1(\chi_{cJ})}{J_0(\chi_{cJ})}, \quad \omega_5 = \frac{J_2(\chi_{cJ})}{J_0(\chi_{cJ})}, \quad \omega_6 = \omega_4^2, \quad \omega_7 = \omega_1 \omega_4.$$

On the one side, in the potential quark model the relativistic corrections, connected with the relative motion of heavy  $c$ -quarks, enter the production amplitude (2) and the cross section (19) through the different relativistic factors. They are determined in the final expression (19) by the specific parameters  $\omega_i$ . The momentum integrals which determine the parameters  $\omega_i$  are convergent and we calculate them numerically, using the wave functions obtained by the numerical solution of the Schrödinger equation. The exact form of the wave functions  $\Psi_0^{h_c}(\mathbf{p})$  and  $\Psi_0^{\chi_{cJ}}(\mathbf{q})$  is important for improving the accuracy of the calculation of the relativistic effects. It is sufficient to note that the double charmonium production cross section  $\sigma(s)$  in the nonrelativistic approximation contains the factor  $|R'_{h_c}(0)|^2 |R'_{\chi_{cJ}}(0)|^2$ . Small changes of the numerical values of the bound state wave functions at the origin lead to substantial changes of the final results. In the framework of NRQCD this problem is closely related to the determination of the color-singlet matrix elements for the charmonium [25]. Thus, on the other side, there are relativistic corrections to the bound state wave functions  $\Psi_0^{h_c}(\mathbf{p})$ ,  $\Psi_0^{\chi_{cJ}}(\mathbf{q})$ . In order to take them into account, we suppose that the dynamics of a  $c\bar{c}$ -pair is determined by the QCD generalization of the standard Breit Hamiltonian in the center-of-mass reference frame [32–34]:

$$H = H_0 + \Delta U_1 + \Delta U_2, \quad H_0 = 2\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2} - 2m - \frac{C_F \tilde{\alpha}_s}{r} + Ar + B, \quad (23)$$

$$\Delta U_1(r) = -\frac{C_F \alpha_s^2}{4\pi r} [2\beta_0 \ln(\mu r) + a_1 + 2\gamma_E \beta_0], \quad a_1 = \frac{31}{3} - \frac{10}{9} n_f, \quad \beta_0 = 11 - \frac{2}{3} n_f, \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta U_2(r) = & -\frac{C_F \alpha_s}{2m^2 r} \left[ \mathbf{p}^2 + \frac{\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{p})\mathbf{p}}{r^2} \right] + \frac{\pi C_F \alpha_s}{m^2} \delta(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{3C_F \alpha_s}{2m^2 r^3} (\mathbf{S}\mathbf{L}) - \\ & - \frac{C_F \alpha_s}{2m^2} \left[ \frac{\mathbf{S}^2}{r^3} - 3 \frac{(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{r})^2}{r^5} - \frac{4\pi}{3} (2\mathbf{S}^2 - 3) \delta(\mathbf{r}) \right] - \frac{C_A C_F \alpha_s^2}{2mr^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where  $\mathbf{L} = [\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}]$ ,  $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2$ ,  $n_f$  is the number of flavors,  $C_A = 3$  and  $C_F = 4/3$  are the color factors of the SU(3) color group,  $\gamma_E \approx 0.577216$  is the Euler constant. To describe

TABLE I: Numerical values of the relativistic parameters (20), (21), (22) in the double charmonium production cross section (19).

Meson ( $c\bar{c}$ )	$n^{2S+1}L_J$	$J^{PC}$	$M^{exp}$ , GeV	$\tilde{R}'_{\mathcal{P}}(0)$ , GeV $^{5/2}$	$\omega_1$ or $\omega_4$	$\omega_2$ or $\omega_5$
$\chi_{c0}$	$1^3P_0$	$0^{++}$	3.415	0.33	-0.28	0.13
$\chi_{c1}$	$1^3P_1$	$1^{++}$	3.511	0.20	-0.18	0.07
$\chi_{c2}$	$1^3P_2$	$2^{++}$	3.556	0.13	-0.08	0.01
$h_c$	$1^1P_1$	$1^{+-}$	3.525	0.17	-0.14	0.04

the hyperfine splittings in  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave charmonium we add to the standard Breit potential the scalar-exchange and vector-exchange confining potentials obtained in [35–37]:

$$\Delta V_{conf}^{hfs}(r) = f_V \left[ \frac{A}{2m^2r} \left( 1 + \frac{8}{3} \mathbf{S}_1 \mathbf{S}_2 \right) + \frac{3A}{2m^2r} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{S} + \frac{A}{3m^2r} \left( \frac{3}{r^2} (\mathbf{S}_1 \mathbf{r})(\mathbf{S}_2 \mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{S}_1 \mathbf{S}_2 \right) \right] - \quad (26)$$

$$-(1 - f_V) \frac{A}{2m^2r} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{S},$$

where we take the parameter  $f_V = 0.7$  for optimal agreement with the experiment. For the dependence of the QCD coupling constant  $\tilde{\alpha}_s(\mu^2)$  on the renormalization point  $\mu^2$  in the pure Coulomb term in (23) we use the three-loop result [38]

$$\tilde{\alpha}_s(\mu^2) = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0 L} - \frac{16\pi b_1 \ln L}{(\beta_0 L)^2} + \frac{64\pi}{(\beta_0 L)^3} [b_1^2 (\ln^2 L - \ln L - 1) + b_2], \quad L = \ln(\mu^2/\Lambda^2), \quad (27)$$

whereas in other terms of the Hamiltonians (24) and (25) we take the leading order approximation. The typical momentum transfer scale in a quarkonium is of order of the quark mass, so we set the renormalization scale  $\mu = m$  and  $\Lambda = 0.168$  GeV, which gives  $\alpha_s = 0.314$  for the charmonium states. The coefficients  $b_i$  are written explicitly in [38]. The parameters of the linear potential  $A = 0.18$  GeV $^2$  and  $B = -0.16$  GeV have the usual values of quark models. Starting with the Hamiltonian (23) we construct the effective potential model based on the Schrödinger equation and find its numerical solutions in the case of  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave charmonium [39]. The details of the used model are presented in Appendix C. Then we calculate the matrix elements entering in the expressions for the parameters  $\omega_i$  (22) and obtain the value of the production cross sections at  $\sqrt{s} = 10.6$  GeV. Basic parameters which determine our numerical results are collected in Table I. The comparison of the obtained results with the previous calculations [3, 4, 40, 41] and experimental data [1, 2] is presented in Table II.

### III. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this paper we have investigated the role of relativistic effects in the production processes of  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave mesons ( $c\bar{c}$ ) in the quark model. At the calculation of the production amplitude (2) we keep relativistic corrections of two types. The first type is determined by several functions depending on the relative quark momenta  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $\mathbf{q}$  arising from the gluon propagator, the quark propagator and the relativistic meson wave functions. The second type of corrections originates from the perturbative and nonperturbative treatment of the



quark-antiquark interaction operator which leads to the different wave functions  $\Psi_0^{h_c}(\mathbf{p})$  and  $\Psi_0^{\chi_{cJ}}(\mathbf{q})$  for the  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave charmonium states. In addition, we systematically accounted for the bound state corrections working with the observed masses of  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave mesons ( $\chi_{cJ}$ ,  $h_c$ ). The calculated masses of  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave charmonium states agree well with experimental values [42] (see Table III). Note that the basic parameters of the model are kept fixed from the previous calculations of the meson mass spectra and decay widths [20, 24, 43, 44]. The strong coupling constant entering the production amplitude (2) is taken to be  $\alpha_s = 0.24$  in accordance with the leading order QCD relation at  $\mu = 2m$ .

Numerical results and their comparison with the previous calculation in NRQCD are presented in Table II. We have included in it also new numerical results (several numerical mistakes contained in [22] were corrected) obtained on the basis of quark model (23)-(26) for the production cross sections of a pair of  $S$ - and  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave charmonium states. The exclusive double charmonium production cross section presented in the form (19) is convenient for a comparison with the results of NRQCD. Indeed, in the nonrelativistic limit, when  $u = 1/2$ ,  $\kappa = 1/4$ ,  $\omega_i = 0$  ( $i \geq 1$ ),  $r^2 = 16m^2/s$ , the cross section (19) coincides with the calculation in [3]. In this limit the functions  $F_0^{(k)}(r^2)$  transform into corresponding functions  $F_k$  from [3]. When we take into account bound state corrections working with observed meson masses, we get  $u = M_{\chi_{cJ}}/(M_{h_c} + M_{\chi_{cJ}}) \neq 1/2$ ,  $\kappa = m/(M_{h_c} + M_{\chi_{cJ}}) \neq 1/4$ . This leads to the modification of the general factor in (19) and the form of the functions  $F_0^{(k)}$  in comparison with the nonrelativistic theory (see [3]). It follows from the numerical values of the parameters  $\omega_i$ , presented in Table I, that the relativistic corrections could amount to  $10 \div 30\%$  in the production amplitude. In fact their influence on the value of the production cross sections become considerably larger in the case of reactions  $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow h_c + \chi_{c1,c2}$ . Only due to relativistic contributions to the production amplitude the cross section  $\sigma(e^+ + e^- \rightarrow h_c + \chi_{c1})$  increases in two times and  $\sigma(e^+ + e^- \rightarrow h_c + \chi_{c2})$  in four times in comparison with the nonrelativistic calculation. Opposite influence on the value of the cross sections is determined by relativistic corrections to the bound state wave functions in the rest frame. Indeed, relativistic effects change considerably the values of the nonrelativistic parameters  $R'_\mathcal{P}(0)$ , which transform into  $\tilde{R}'_\mathcal{P}(0)$  (20). Different values of the mass of  $c$ -quark and nonperturbative parameters  $R'_\mathcal{P}(0)$  make difficult the direct comparison of our numerical results with predictions of NRQCD. Note that nonrelativistic results obtained in our quark model are the following:  $\sigma(\chi_{c0} + h_c) = 0.101$  fb,  $\sigma(\chi_{c1} + h_c) = 0.417$  fb,  $\sigma(\chi_{c2} + h_c) = 0.026$  fb (compare with predictions of NRQCD in fourth column of Table II). Nevertheless, we can state that in all considered reactions  $e^+ + e^- \rightarrow h_c + \chi_{cJ}$  the account of all relativistic effects leads to the decrease of the nonrelativistic cross section obtained in our model. It is necessary to point out once again that the essential effect on the value of the production cross sections  $h_c + \chi_{cJ}$  belongs to the parameters  $\tilde{R}'_\mathcal{P}(0)$  (20),  $\alpha_s$ ,  $m$ . Small changes in their values can lead to significant changes in the production cross sections. In our model the nonrelativistic value  $R'_\mathcal{P}(0) = 0.24$  GeV $^{5/2}$ . Accounting for the potentials (23)-(26) which give the good mass splitting for  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave charmonium states, we observe simultaneously the decreasing and splitting in the parameter  $\tilde{R}'_\mathcal{P}(0)$  (see Table I). As a result the nonrelativistic cross sections  $\sigma(e^+ + e^- \rightarrow h_c + \chi_{c1})$  and  $\sigma(e^+ + e^- \rightarrow h_c + \chi_{c2})$  decrease in three and six times correspondingly and  $\sigma(e^+ + e^- \rightarrow h_c + \chi_{c0})$  reduces approximately on 25%.

We presented a systematic treatment of relativistic effects in the  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave double charmonium production in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation. We separated two different types of relativistic contributions to the production amplitudes. The first type includes the relativistic  $v/c$  corrections to the wave functions and their relativistic transformations. The second type

includes the relativistic  $p/\sqrt{s}$  corrections appearing from the expansion of the quark and gluon propagators. The latter corrections were taken into account up to the second order. It is important to note that the expansion parameter  $p/\sqrt{s}$  is very small. In our analysis of the production amplitudes we correctly take into account relativistic contributions of order  $O(v^2/c^2)$  for the  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave mesons. Therefore the first basic theoretical uncertainty of our calculation is connected with the omitted terms of order  $O(\mathbf{p}^4/m^4)$ . Since the calculation of the masses of  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave charmonium states is sufficiently accurate in our model (the error is less than 1 %), we suppose that the uncertainty in the cross section calculation due to the omitted relativistic corrections of order  $O(\mathbf{p}^4/m^4)$  in the quark interaction operator (the Breit Hamiltonian) is also very small. Taking into account that the average value of the heavy quark velocity squared in the charmonium is  $\langle v^2 \rangle = 0.3$ , we expect that relativistic corrections of order  $O(\mathbf{p}^4/m^4)$  should not exceed 30% of the obtained relativistic contribution. Strictly speaking in the quasipotential approach we can not find precisely the bound state wave functions in the region of the relativistic momenta  $p \geq m$  which gives near 30% of the total value  $\sigma$  (19). Using indirect arguments related with the mass spectrum calculation we estimate in 10% the uncertainty in the wave function determination. Larger value of the error will lead to the essential discrepancy between the experiment and theory in the calculation of the charmonium mass spectrum. Then the corresponding error in the cross section (19) is not exceeding 15%. The significant improvement in the calculation of the relativistic corrections to the double charmonium cross section  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\Psi + \eta_c)$  was obtained in [45] in the nonrelativistic QCD factorization formalism. The essential refinement was connected with many factors including the resummation of a class of relativistic corrections and the contribution that arises from the interference between the relativistic corrections and the corrections of the next to leading order in  $\alpha_s$ . In our work the appearance of divergent integrals over  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $\mathbf{q}$  for the corrections of order  $O(\mathbf{p}^4/m^4)$  and  $O(\mathbf{q}^4/m^4)$  is the consequence of expansions (10)-(12) used by us in order to perform analytically the angular integration in (2). The omitted corrections can be included and the obtained results can be improved if we calculate all integrals over the relative momenta  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $\mathbf{q}$  in (2) without any expansions. Another important part of the total theoretical error is related with radiative corrections of order  $\alpha_s$  which were omitted in our analysis. Our approach to the calculation of the amplitude of the double charmonium production can be extended beyond the leading order in the strong coupling constant. Then the vertex functions in (2) will have more complicated structure including the integration over the loop momenta. Our calculation of the cross sections accounts for effectively only some part of one loop corrections by means of the Breit Hamiltonian. So, we assume that the radiative corrections of order  $O(\alpha_s)$  can cause 20% modification of the production cross sections. We have neglected the terms in the cross section (19) containing the product of  $J_n$  with summary index  $> 2$  because their contribution has been found negligibly small. There are no other comparable uncertainties related to the other parameters of the model, since their values were fixed from our previous consideration of meson and baryon properties [24, 43]. Our total theoretical errors are written explicitly in Table II. To obtain this estimate we add the above mentioned uncertainties in quadrature.

### Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to D. Ebert, R.N. Faustov and V.O. Galkin for useful discussions. The work is performed under the financial support of the Federal Program "Scientific and

TABLE II: Comparison of the obtained results with previous theoretical predictions and experimental data.

State $H_1 H_2$	$\sigma_{BABAR} \times$ $Br_{H_2 \rightarrow charged \geq 2}$ (fb) [2]	$\sigma_{Belle} \times$ $Br_{H_2 \rightarrow charged \geq 2}$ (fb) [1]	$\sigma_{NRQCD}$ (fb) [3]	$\sigma$ (fb) [4]	$\sigma$ (fb) [40]	$\sigma$ (fb) [41]	Our result (fb)
$J/\Psi + \chi_{c0}$	$10.3 \pm 2.5^{+1.4}_{-1.8}$	$6.4 \pm 1.7 \pm 1.0$	$2.40 \pm 1.02$	6.7	14.4	17.9(6.35)	$14.47 \pm 5.64$
$J/\Psi + \chi_{c1}$			$0.38 \pm 0.12$	1.1			$1.78 \pm 0.69$
$J/\Psi + \chi_{c2}$			$0.69 \pm 0.13$	1.6			$0.44 \pm 0.17$
$\eta_c + h_c$			$0.308 \pm 0.017$				$0.25 \pm 0.10$
$h_c + \chi_{c0}$			$0.053 \pm 0.019$				$0.075 \pm 0.029$
$h_c + \chi_{c1}$			$0.258 \pm 0.064$				$0.132 \pm 0.051$
$h_c + \chi_{c2}$			$0.017 \pm 0.002$				$0.004 \pm 0.002$

pedagogical personnel of innovative Russia” (grant No. NK-20P/1).

#### Appendix A: The coefficients $A_i$ , $B_i$ and $C_i$ entering in the production amplitudes (16)-(18)

These coefficients are the sums of the terms containing the parameters  $u = M_{\chi_{cJ}}/(M_{h_c} + M_{\chi_{cJ}})$  and  $\kappa = m/(M_{h_c} + M_{\chi_{cJ}})$ . We present  $A_i$ ,  $B_i$  and  $C_i$  in numerical form using the observed meson masses and the mass of  $c$ -quark  $m = 1.55$  GeV.

$$\underline{e^+ + e^- \rightarrow h_c + \chi_{c0}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 = & 47.20 - 6.63r^2 + C_{01}(-4.66 + 37.70r^2 - 8.05r^4 + 0.01r^6) + \\ & + C_{10}(-40.36 + 55.54r^2 - 6.32r^4 + 0.01r^6) + 6.63r^2 C_{02} + C_{20}(3.24 + 5.00r^2) + \\ & + C_{11}(3.98 - 48.83r^2 + 53.37r^4 - 7.63r^6 + 0.02r^8). \end{aligned} \quad (A1)$$

$$\underline{e^+ + e^- \rightarrow h_c + \chi_{c1}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 = & -4r^2 + 2.73r^4 + C_{10}(3.42r^2 - 14.22r^4 + 3.68r^6) + C_{20}(4.44r^2 - 2.73r^4) + \\ & + C_{01}(-2.75r^2 - 11.24r^4 + 3.89r^6) + C_{11}(2.35r^2 + 25.47r^4 - 26.77r^6 + 5.06r^8) - 2.73r^4 C_{02}, \\ B_2 = & -7.50r^2 + 2.73r^4 + C_{10}(24.13r^2 - 19.67r^4 + 3.68r^6) + C_{20}(7.50r^2 - 2.73r^4) + \\ & + C_{01}(16.45r^2 - 17.04r^4 + 3.89r^6) + C_{02}(4.51r^2 - 2.73r^4) + C_{11}(-44.63r^2 + 65.23r^4 - 34.83r^6 + 5.06r^8), \\ B_3 = & 18.99 - 9.19r^2 + C_{10}(-51.66 + 58.38r^2 - 13.28r^4) + C_{20}(-18.99 + 8.75r^2) + \\ & + C_{01}(-28.91 + 49.12r^2 - 13.49r^4) + C_{02}(-9.03 + 8.21r^2) + \\ & + C_{11}(85.84 - 164.44r^2 + 109.27r^4 - 17.99r^6), \end{aligned} \quad (A2)$$

$$B_2 = -7.50r^2 + 2.73r^4 + C_{10}(24.13r^2 - 19.67r^4 + 3.68r^6) + C_{20}(7.50r^2 - 2.73r^4) + \quad (A3)$$

$$+ C_{01}(16.45r^2 - 17.04r^4 + 3.89r^6) + C_{02}(4.51r^2 - 2.73r^4) + C_{11}(-44.63r^2 + 65.23r^4 - 34.83r^6 + 5.06r^8),$$

$$B_3 = 18.99 - 9.19r^2 + C_{10}(-51.66 + 58.38r^2 - 13.28r^4) + C_{20}(-18.99 + 8.75r^2) + \quad (A4)$$

$$+ C_{01}(-28.91 + 49.12r^2 - 13.49r^4) + C_{02}(-9.03 + 8.21r^2) +$$

$$+ C_{11}(85.84 - 164.44r^2 + 109.27r^4 - 17.99r^6),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_4 &= 4 - 1.82r^2 + C_{10}(-3.42 + 9.48r^2 - 1.84r^4) + C_{20}(-2 + 1.82r^2) + \quad (A5) \\
&+ C_{01}(1.51 + 7.53r^2 - 1.95r^4) + 1.82r^2C_{02} + C_{11}(-1.29 - 17.07r^2 + 13.40r^4 - 2.03r^6), \\
B_5 &= C_{01}(2.50 - 0.12r^2 + 0.02r^4) + C_{20}(-2.88 + 0.44r^2) + C_{11}(-2.13 + 0.28r^2 - 0.08r^4 - 0.05r^6), \quad (A6) \\
B_6 &= C_{01}(-2.48 + 0.06r^2 + 0.02r^4) + 0.44r^2C_{20} + C_{11}(2.12 - 0.22r^2 + 0.14r^4 - 0.05r^6). \quad (A7)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\underline{e^+ + e^- \rightarrow h_c + \chi_{c2}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 &= -2.36r^4 + C_{10}(8.74r^4 - 3.28r^6) + 2.36r^4C_{20} + C_{01}(0.35r^2 + 10.22r^4 - 3.22r^6) + \quad (A8) \\
&+ C_{11}(-0.42r^2 - 31.78r^4 + 24.24r^6 - 4.33r^8) + 2.36r^4C_{02}, \\
C_2 &= -1.21 + C_{10}(1.67 - 0.78r^2) + 0.42C_{20} + 0.82C_{02} + \quad (A9) \\
&+ C_{01}(1.16 + 0.46r^2 + 0.41r^4) + C_{11}(-1.16 - 1.50r^2 - 0.71r^4 + 0.66r^6), \\
C_3 &= -1.21 + 1.58r^2 + C_{10}(1.67 - 6.60r^2 + 1.64r^4) + C_{20}(0.42 - 1.58r^2) + C_{02}(0.82 - 1.58r^2) + \quad (A10) \\
&+ C_{01}(0.46 - 6.65r^2 + 1.61r^4) + C_{11}(-0.33 + 19.72r^2 - 11.80r^4 + 1.73r^6), \\
C_4 &= 1.21 + C_{10}(-1.67 + 0.78r^2) - 0.42C_{20} + C_{11}(0.33 + 1.70r^2 - 0.60r^4) + \quad (A11) \\
&+ C_{01}(-0.46 - 0.38r^2) - 0.82C_{02}, \\
C_5 &= C_{11}(-0.58r^4 + 0.89r^6) + 0.81r^4C_{01}, \quad (A12) \\
C_6 &= -0.12r^4C_{01} + C_{11}(-0.01r^4 - 0.18r^6), \quad (A13) \\
C_7 &= C_{01}(-0.35r^2 + 0.33r^4) + C_{11}(0.42r^2 - 0.35r^4 + 0.55r^6), \quad (A14) \\
C_8 &= C_{01}(0.47r^2 + 0.40r^4) + C_{11}(-0.51r^2 - 0.57r^4 + 0.73r^6) - 0.38r^2C_{20}. \quad (A15)
\end{aligned}$$

**Appendix B: The functions  $F_i^{(k)}(r^2)$  ( $k = 0, 1, 2$ ) entering in the production cross section (19)**

$$\underline{e^+ + e^- \rightarrow h_c + \chi_{c0}}$$

$$F_0^{(0)} = 2.25 - 2.88r^2 + 0.68r^4 - 0.04r^6, \quad (B1)$$

$$F_1^{(0)} = -3.84 + 9.67r^2 - 7.17r^4 + 1.43r^6 - 0.09r^8, \quad (B2)$$

$$F_2^{(0)} = 0.31 + 0.12r^2 - 0.50r^4 + 0.07r^6, \quad (B3)$$

$$F_3^{(0)} = 1.64 - 6.16r^2 + 8.14r^4 - 4.33r^6 + 0.75r^8, \quad (B4)$$

$$F_4^{(0)} = -0.44 + 4.09r^2 - 4.92r^4 + 1.38r^6 - 0.11r^8, \quad (B5)$$

$$F_5^{(0)} = 0.63r^2 - 0.72r^4 + 0.09r^6, \quad (B6)$$

$$F_6^{(0)} = 0.02 - 0.38r^2 + 1.86r^4 - 2.12r^6 + 0.68r^8, \quad (B7)$$

$$F_7^{(0)} = 0.76 - 9.05r^2 + 18.96r^4 - 13.49r^6 + 3.03r^8. \quad (B8)$$

$$\underline{e^+ + e^- \rightarrow h_c + \chi_{c1}}$$

$$F_0^{(1)} = 0.19 + 2.00r^2 - 4.32r^4 + 2.64r^6 - 0.51r^8, \quad (\text{B9})$$

$$F_1^{(1)} = -0.32 - 11.48r^2 + 30.85r^4 - 28.58r^6 + 11.00r^8 - 1.47r^{10}, \quad (\text{B10})$$

$$F_2^{(1)} = -0.37 - 3.96r^2 + 8.45r^4 - 5.10r^6 + 0.98r^8, \quad (\text{B11})$$

$$F_3^{(1)} = 0.14 + 15.71r^2 - 51.51r^4 + 64.29r^6 - 37.91r^8 + 10.34r^{10}, \quad (\text{B12})$$

$$F_4^{(1)} = 0.14 - 6.78r^2 + 21.01r^4 - 22.91r^6 + 10.03r^8 - 1.49r^{10}, \quad (\text{B13})$$

$$F_5^{(1)} = -2.13r^2 + 5.02r^4 - 3.81r^6 + 0.92r^8, \quad (\text{B14})$$

$$F_6^{(1)} = 0.03 + 4.78r^2 - 21.19r^4 + 35.38r^6 - 26.94r^8 + 9.03r^{10}, \quad (\text{B15})$$

$$F_7^{(1)} = -0.24 + 38.00r^2 - 133.92r^4 + 186.34r^6 - 123.58r^8 + 37.52r^{10}. \quad (\text{B16})$$

$e^+ + e^- \rightarrow h_c + \chi_{c2}$

$$F_0^{(2)} = 0.23 - 0.81r^2 + 0.98r^4 - 0.40r^6, \quad (\text{B17})$$

$$F_1^{(2)} = -1.72 + 6.75r^2 - 9.73r^4 + 5.83r^6 - 1.13r^8, \quad (\text{B18})$$

$$F_2^{(2)} = -0.47 + 1.61r^2 - 1.91r^4 + 0.76r^6, \quad (\text{B19})$$

$$F_3^{(2)} = 3.18 - 13.83r^2 + 23.15r^4 - 18.01r^6 + 6.32r^8, \quad (\text{B20})$$

$$F_4^{(2)} = -1.92 + 7.56r^2 - 10.88r^4 + 6.34r^6 - 1.11r^8, \quad (\text{B21})$$

$$F_5^{(2)} = -0.47 + 1.63r^2 - 1.96r^4 + 0.80r^6, \quad (\text{B22})$$

$$F_6^{(2)} = 4.14 - 17.68r^2 + 29.23r^4 - 21.88r^6 + 6.98r^8, \quad (\text{B23})$$

$$F_7^{(2)} = 13.29 - 58.23r^2 + 97.89r^4 - 75.59r^6 + 25.74r^8. \quad (\text{B24})$$

### Appendix C: Effective relativistic Hamiltonian

For the calculation of the relativistic corrections in the bound state wave functions  $\Psi_0^{\mathcal{P}}$  we consider the Breit potential (23). It contains a number of terms which should be transformed in order to use the program of numerical solution of the Schrödinger equation [39]. The rationalization of the kinetic energy operator can be done in the following form [46]:

$$T = 2\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2} = 2\frac{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}{\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}} \approx \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{\tilde{m}} + \frac{2m^2}{\tilde{E}}, \quad (\text{C1})$$

where  $\tilde{m}$  is the effective mass of heavy quarks,

$$\tilde{m} = \frac{\tilde{E}}{2} = \frac{\sqrt{\mathbf{p}_{eff}^2 + m^2}}{2}. \quad (\text{C2})$$

$\mathbf{p}_{eff}^2$  should be considered as a new parameter which effectively accounts for relativistic corrections in (C1). We take numerical value of  $\mathbf{p}_{eff}^2 = 0.54 \text{ GeV}^2$  for  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave charmonium

TABLE III: The parameters of the effective relativistic Hamiltonian and masses of  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave charmonium states.

Meson ( $c\bar{c}$ )	$n^{2S+1}L_J$	$\mathbf{p}_{eff}^2$ , GeV <sup>2</sup>	$\tilde{m}$ , GeV	$M^{th}$ , GeV	$M^{exp}$ , GeV [42]
$\chi_{c0}$	$1^3P_0$	0.54	0.857	3.418	3.415
$\chi_{c1}$	$1^3P_1$	0.54	0.857	3.493	3.511
$\chi_{c2}$	$1^3P_2$	0.54	0.857	3.557	3.556
$h_c$	$1^1P_1$	0.54	0.857	3.499	3.525

states (see Table III). The second term in the Breit potential (23), which also has to be transformed, takes the form:

$$\Delta\tilde{U} = -\frac{2\alpha_s}{3m^2r} \left[ \mathbf{p}^2 - \frac{d^2}{dr^2} \right]. \quad (C3)$$

It has the similar structure as the operator of effective kinetic energy from the Hamiltonian  $H_0$ . So, we change slightly the code of the Mathematica programm in [39] in order to include the correction  $\Delta\tilde{U}$  directly in the initial Hamiltonian.

At last, there is a need to transform the spin-spin and spin-orbit interactions in (25) which have the  $1/r^3$  behavior at small  $r$ . For the purpose of solving the Schrödinger equation we consider the regularization of such terms due to the account of the relative motion of heavy quarks which was discussed many times in [32, 36, 37]. The nonsingular potentials in both cases have the following structure at small  $r$ :  $(1 - f_i)/r^3$  with  $f_1 = (1 + 2mr)e^{-2mr}$  and  $f_2 = (1 + 2mr + 4m^2r^2/3)e^{-2mr}$  for spin-orbit and spin-spin interactions correspondingly. In Table III we present the results of the calculation of the  $\mathcal{P}$ -wave charmonium mass spectrum and a comparison with the existing experimental data. The obtained masses agree with the experimental ones within an accuracy 1%. So we can suppose that the obtained effective Hamiltonian allows to account relativistic corrections in the bound state wave functions with sufficiently good accuracy.

- 
- [1] K. Abe (Belle Collaboration) et al., Phys. Rev. D **70**, 071102 (2004).
  - [2] B. Aubert (BABAR Collaboration) et al., Phys. Rev. D **72**, 031101 (2005).
  - [3] E. Braaten and J. Lee, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 054007 (2003); Phys. Rev. D **72**, 099901(E) (2005).
  - [4] K.-Y. Liu, Z.-G. He and K.-T. Chao, Phys. Lett. B **557**, 45 (2003).
  - [5] K. Hagiwara, E. Kou and C.-F. Qiao, Phys. Lett. B **570**, 39 (2003).
  - [6] G.T. Bodwin, J. Lee and E. Braaten, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 162001 (2003).
  - [7] S.J. Brodsky, A.S. Goldhaber and J. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 112001 (2003).
  - [8] K.-Y. Liu, Z.-G. He and K.-T. Chao, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 014002 (2008).
  - [9] J.P. Ma and Z.G. Si, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 074007 (2004).
  - [10] A.E. Bondar and V.L. Chernyak, Phys. Lett. B **612**, 215 (2005).
  - [11] V.V. Braguta, A.K. Likhoded and A.V. Luchinsky, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 074019 (2005).
  - [12] Y.-J. Zhang, Y.-J. Gao and K.-T. Chao, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 092001 (2006).
  - [13] G.T. Bodwin, D. Kang and J. Lee, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 114028 (2006).

- [14] D. Ebert and A.P. Martynenko, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 054008 (2006).
- [15] H.-M. Choi and Ch.-R. Ji, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 094010, (2007).
- [16] Z.-G. He, Y. Fan and K.-T. Chao, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 074011 (2007).
- [17] A.V. Berezhnuy, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **71**, 1803 (2007).
- [18] G.T. Bodwin, J. Lee and Ch. Yu, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 094018 (2008).
- [19] G.V. Pakhlova, P.N. Pakhlov and S.I. Eidel'man, Physics-Uspekhi **53**, 219 (2010).
- [20] N. Brambilla, S. Eidelman, B.K. Heltsley et al. Eur. Phys. J. C **71**, 1534 (2011).
- [21] D. Ebert, R.N. Faustov, V.O. Galkin and A.P. Martynenko, Phys. Lett. B **672**, 264 (2009).
- [22] E.N. Elekina and A.P. Martynenko, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 054006 (2010); Phys. Atom. Nucl. **74**, 130 (2011).
- [23] A.P. Martynenko, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 074022 (2005); Phys. Atom. Nucl. **70**, 1305 (2007).
- [24] D. Ebert, R.N. Faustov, V.O. Galkin and A.P. Martynenko, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 014018 (2004).
- [25] G.T. Bodwin, E. Braaten and G.P. Lepage, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 1125 (1995).
- [26] G.T. Bodwin, H.S. Chung, D. Kang, J. Lee and Ch. Yu, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 094017 (2008).
- [27] G.T. Bodwin and A. Petrelli, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 094011 (2002).
- [28] S.J. Brodsky and J.R. Primack, Ann. Phys. **52**, 315 (1969).
- [29] R.N. Faustov, Ann. Phys. **78**, 176 (1973).
- [30] J.H. Kühn, J. Kaplan and El G.O. Safiani, Nucl. Phys. B **157**, 125 (1979).
- [31] J.A.M. Vermaseren, FORM, arXiv:math-ph/0010025.
- [32] S.N. Gupta, S.F. Radford and W.W. Repko, Phys. Rev. D **26**, 3305 (1982).
- [33] N. Brambilla, A. Pineda, J. Soto and A. Vairo, Rev. Mod. Phys. **77**, 1423 (2005).
- [34] K. Melnikov and A. Yelkhovsky, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 114009 (1999).
- [35] S.F. Radford and W.W. Repko, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 074031 (2007).
- [36] S.N. Gupta, Phys. Rev. D **35**, 1736 (1987).
- [37] S.N. Gupta, J.M. Johnson, W.W. Repko and C.J. Suchyta, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 1551 (1994).
- [38] K.G. Chetyrkin, B.A. Kniehl and M. Steinhauser, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 2184 (1997).
- [39] W. Lucha, F.F. Schöberl, Int. J. Mod. Phys. C **10**, 607 (1999); P. Falkensteiner, H. Grosse, F.F. Schöberl, P. Hertel, Comp. Phys. Comm. **34**, 287 (1985).
- [40] V.V. Braguta, A.K. Likhoded and A.V. Luchinsky, Phys. Lett. B **635**, 299 (2006).
- [41] Y.-J. Zhang, Y.-Q. Ma and K.-T. Chao, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 054006 (2008).
- [42] K. Nakamura et al. (Particle Data Group), J. Phys. G **37**, 075021 (2010).
- [43] D. Ebert, R.N. Faustov and V.O. Galkin, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 014027 (2003).
- [44] N. Brambilla et al. Heavy Quarkonium Physics, FERMILAB Report, Report No. FERMILAB-FN-0779, CERN Yellow Report, Report No. CERN-2005-005.
- [45] G.T. Bodwin, J. Lee and Ch. Yu, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 094018 (2008).
- [46] W. Lucha, F.F. Schöberl and M. Moser, Preprint HEPHY-PUB 594/93.