Zero Textures of the Neutrino Mass Matrix from Cyclic Family Symmetry

S. Dev, Shivani Gupta[†]and Radha Raman Gautam[‡]

Department of Physics, Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla 171005, India.

Abstract

We present the symmetry realization of the phenomenologically viable Frampton-Glashow-Marfatia (FGM) two zero texture neutrino mass matrices in the flavor basis within the framework of the type (I+II) seesaw mechanism natural to SO(10) grand unification. A small Abelian cyclic symmetry group Z_3 is used to realize these textures except for class C for which the symmetry is enlarged to Z_4 . The scalar sector is restricted to the Standard Model (SM) Higgs doublet to suppress the flavor changing neutral currents. Other scalar fields used for symmetry realization are at the most two scalar triplets and, in some cases, a complex scalar singlet. Symmetry realization of one zero textures has, also, been presented.

1 Introduction

Understanding the pattern of neutrino masses and mixings constitutes a major challenge for elementary particle physics. This pattern seems to be entirely different from the observed hierarchical pattern of quark masses and mixings. In the absence

^{*}dev5703@yahoo.com

[†]shiroberts_1980@yahoo.co.in

[‡]gautamrrg@gmail.com

of flavor symmetries, fermion masses and mixings are, in general, undetermined in gauge theories. All information about fermion masses and mixings is encoded in the relevant fermion mass matrices which are important tools for the investigation of the underlying symmetries and the resulting dynamics. The important first step in this direction is the reconstruction of the neutrino mass matrix in the flavor basis. However, this reconstruction results in a large variety of possible structures of the neutrino mass matrix depending strongly on the neutrino mass scale, mass hierarchy and the CP violating phases. In fact, no presently conceivable set of feasible experiments can determine the neutrino mass matrix completely. Therefore, in the absence of sufficient data on neutrino masses and mixings, all possible mass matrix structures need to be carefully scrutinized to find viable structures compatible with the presently available data. Several interesting proposals have been made in the literature to restrict the form of the neutrino mass matrix and, thus, reduce the number of free parameters. These include the presence of zero textures [1, 2, 3], vanishing minors [4] and hybrid textures [5] to name just a few. Zero textures in the neutrino mass matrix, in particular, have been extensively studied in the literature. The main reason for such interest in zero textures is their implications for the possible existence of family symmetries which require certain entries of the mass matrix to vanish. It has been noted earlier [6] that the zeros of the Dirac neutrino mass matrix (M_D) and the heavy right-handed Majorana neutrino mass matrix (M_R) may propagate as zeros in the effective low energy neutrino mass matrix (M_{ν}) through the seesaw mechanism [7]. Zero textures in the neutrino mass matrix, in general, may be obtained by imposing certain Abelian family symmetries [8] at the expense of an extended scalar sector. Abelian family symmetries have been investigated systematically in [9] for extremal mixings. General guidelines for the symmetry realization of zero textures in both the quark and the lepton mass matrices have been propounded in [10] which outline general methods for enforcing zero entries in the fermion mass matrices by imposing Abelian family symmetries. However, specific guidelines for a simple and minimal realization of each texture are still lacking.

Two zero texture Ansätz is especially important since it can successfully describe both the quark and lepton sectors including the charged lepton and the neutrino masses. The two zero textures are, also, compatible with specific GUT models [11]. Furthermore, these mass matrices can accommodate the present values of $\sin 2\beta$ [12]. In view of the phenomenological success of the two zero texture Ansätz, it would be interesting to examine the symmetry realization of two zero texture neutrino mass matrices in the larger context of SO(10) GUT which includes both the type I and type II contributions in the seesaw mechanism. Out of the seven phenomenologically viable classes of two zero texture neutrino mass matrices (FGM), two classes have been obtained in [13] from A_4 or its Z_3 subgroup in the context of type (I+II) seesaw [15]. Symmetry realization of all the phenomenologically viable classes of two zero texture neutrino mass matrices was presented in [14] in the context of type II seesaw mechanism. In the present work, we present the symmetry realization of all the phenomenologically viable classes of two zero texture neutrino mass matrices in the context of type (I+II) seesaw [15] without assuming the dominance of either type of contributions. We keep just the Standard Model (SM) scalar doublet which transforms trivially under the new family symmetry (Z_3/Z_4) thus, in effect, suppressing the undesired flavor changing neutral currents. An additional advantage of a single scalar doublet is the stability of zero textures in the neutrino mass matrix under renormalization group evolution. The neutrino mass matrices at any two scales μ_1 and μ_2 are related by [14, 16] $M_{\nu}(\mu_1) = I M_{\nu}(\mu_2) I$, where I is diagonal and positive leading to zeros in M_{ν} at any other renormalizable scale. These zero textures are enforced by extending the SM with at the most two scalar SU(2) triplets. However, in some cases we are forced to introduce a complex scalar singlet which transforms nontrivially under the family symmetry. The effective neutrino mass matrix contains both type (I+II) seesaw contributions which is natural in SO(10) GUTs as

$$M_{\nu} = M_{\nu}^{I} + M_{\nu}^{II} = M_{L} - M_{D}M_{R}^{-1}M_{D}^{T}$$
(1)

where M_L is the left-handed Majorana mass matrix. Symmetry realization of one zero texture neutrino mass matrices has, also, been discussed briefly.

2 Symmetry Realization of Two Zero Textures

The phenomenologically allowed two zero texture neutrino mass matrices are given in Table 1. The cyclic symmetry Z_3 can be used to realize class A_1 when the leptonic fields transform as

$$D_{L_e} \to \omega^2 D_{L_e}, \quad e_R \to \omega^2 e_R, \quad \nu_{R_1} \to \omega \nu_{R_1},$$

$$D_{L_{\mu}} \to D_{L_{\mu}}, \quad \mu_R \to \mu_R, \quad \nu_{R_2} \to \nu_{R_2},$$

$$D_{L_{\tau}} \to \omega D_{L_{\tau}}, \quad \tau_R \to \omega \tau_R, \quad \nu_{R_3} \to \nu_{R_3},$$
(2)

A_1	A_2	B_1	B_2
$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 & 0 & X \\ 0 & X & X \\ X & X & X \end{array}\right)$	$\left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 & X & 0 \\ X & X & X \\ 0 & X & X \end{array}\right)$	$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} X & X & 0 \\ X & 0 & X \\ 0 & X & X \end{array}\right)$	$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} X & 0 & X \\ 0 & X & X \\ X & X & 0 \end{array}\right)$
B_3	B_4	C	-
$ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} X & 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 & X \\ X & X & X \end{array}\right) $	$ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} X & X & 0 \\ X & X & X \\ 0 & X & 0 \end{array}\right) $	$ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} X & X & X \\ X & 0 & X \\ X & X & 0 \end{array}\right) $	-

Table 1: Viable two zero texture neutrino mass matrices. X denote the non zero elements.

where $\omega = \exp(2i\pi/3)$ is the generator of Z_3 . These assigned transformations generate the diagonal charged lepton mass matrix M_l . The bilinears $\overline{D}_{L_j}\nu_{R_k}$ and $\nu_{R_j}\nu_{R_k}$ relevant for M_D and M_R transform as

$$\overline{D}_{L_j}\nu_{R_k} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \omega^2 & \omega & \omega \\ \omega & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix}, \nu_{R_j}\nu_{R_k} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \omega^2 & \omega & \omega \\ \omega & 1 & 1 \\ \omega & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since, the SM Higgs doublet transforms trivially under Z_3 , the form of M_D becomes

$$M_D = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X & X \\ X & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Assuming a complex scalar singlet χ that transforms under Z_3 as $\chi \to \omega^2 \chi$, the form of M_R becomes

$$M_R = \left(\begin{array}{rrr} 0 & X & X \\ X & X & X \\ X & X & X \end{array}\right).$$

After the type I seesaw we get

$$M_{\nu}^{I} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X & X \\ 0 & X & X \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (3)

The bilinear $D_{L_j}^T C^{-1} D_{L_k}$ relevant for M_L transforms under this symmetry as

$$D_{L_j}^T C^{-1} D_{L_k} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \omega & \omega^2 & 1 \\ \omega^2 & 1 & \omega \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We introduce a scalar SU(2) triplet Δ written in 2 × 2 matrix notation as

$$\Delta = \begin{pmatrix} H^+ & \sqrt{2}H^{++} \\ \sqrt{2}H^0 & -H^+ \end{pmatrix}$$
(4)

which remains invariant under Z_3 . The vacuum expectation value (VEV) of Higgs triplet is

$$\langle \Delta \rangle_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ v_t & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5}$$

where $\langle H^0 \rangle_0 = \frac{v_t}{\sqrt{2}}$. This induced VEV in the scalar potential is suppressed by the high mass of the scalar triplet [14, 17]. Thus, the type II seesaw contribution is given by

$$M_{\nu}^{II} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & X \\ 0 & X & 0 \\ X & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (6)

The effective neutrino mass matrix M_{ν} after type (I+II) seesaw mechanism becomes

$$M_{\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & X \\ 0 & X & X \\ X & X & X \end{pmatrix}$$
(7)

which is the class A_1 of FGM [1] two zero textures.

For class A_2 , the leptonic fields under Z_3 transform as

$$D_{L_e} \to \omega^2 D_{L_e}, \quad e_R \to \omega^2 e_R, \quad \nu_{R_1} \to \omega \nu_{R_1},$$

$$D_{L_{\mu}} \to \omega D_{L_{\mu}}, \quad \mu_R \to \omega \mu_R, \quad \nu_{R_2} \to \nu_{R_2},$$

$$D_{L_{\tau}} \to D_{L_{\tau}}, \quad \tau_R \to \tau_R, \quad \nu_{R_3} \to \nu_{R_3}.$$
(8)

In this case, the complex scalar singlet χ is assumed to transform as $\chi \to \omega^2 \chi$ under Z_3 resulting in the same structure of the right-handed Majorana mass matrix as of A_1 and we obtain the same contribution from type I seesaw as in Eqn. (3). Assuming

the scalar triplet to remain invariant under Z_3 , the above given transformations of the left-handed fields yield the type II seesaw contribution given by

$$M_{\nu}^{II} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X & 0 \\ X & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & X \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (9)

Thus, type (I+II) seesaw results in class A_2 of FGM two zero textures. This Fritzschtype neutrino mass matrix with a nearly diagonal charged lepton mass matrix has been obtained recently in [18] using $S_3 \times Z_5 \times Z_2$ symmetry and an extended Higgs sector.

The requisite transformations of the leptonic fields for class B_3 are given by

$$D_{L_e} \to D_{L_e}, \quad e_R \to e_R, \quad \nu_{R_1} \to \omega \nu_{R_1},$$

$$D_{L_{\mu}} \to \omega^2 D_{L_{\mu}}, \quad \mu_R \to \omega^2 \mu_R, \quad \nu_{R_2} \to \nu_{R_2},$$

$$D_{L_{\tau}} \to \omega D_{L_{\tau}}, \quad \tau_R \to \omega \tau_R, \quad \nu_{R_3} \to \nu_{R_3}.$$
(10)

With the complex scalar singlet χ transforming as $\chi \to \omega^2 \chi$ under Z_3 , the type I seesaw contribution is given by

$$M_{\nu}^{I} = \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ X & 0 & X \end{pmatrix}$$
(11)

and Z_3 invariant scalar triplet leads to the type II contribution given by

$$M_{\nu}^{II} = \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & X \\ 0 & X & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
 (12)

thus, yielding class B_3 of the FGM two zero texture neutrino mass matrices. The required leptonic field transformations under Z_3 for class B_4 are given by

$$D_{L_e} \to D_{L_e}, \quad e_R \to e_R, \quad \nu_{R_1} \to \omega \nu_{R_1},$$

$$D_{L_{\mu}} \to \omega D_{L_{\mu}}, \quad \mu_R \to \omega \mu_R, \quad \nu_{R_2} \to \nu_{R_2},$$

$$D_{L_{\tau}} \to \omega^2 D_{L_{\tau}}, \quad \tau_R \to \omega^2 \tau_R, \quad \nu_{R_3} \to \nu_{R_3}.$$
(13)

The complex scalar singlet χ is required to transform under Z_3 as $\chi \to \omega^2 \chi$ and the resulting type I contribution for this case is given by

$$M_{\nu}^{I} = \begin{pmatrix} X & X & 0 \\ X & X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (14)

The Z_3 invariant scalar triplet leads to the type II contribution of the form

$$M_{\nu}^{II} = \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & X \\ 0 & X & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
 (15)

leading to class B_4 of the FGM neutrino mass matrices.

For classes B_1 and B_2 , the leptonic fields are required to transform under Z_3 as

$$D_{L_e} \to D_{L_e}, \quad e_R \to e_R, \quad \nu_{R_1} \to \nu_{R_1},$$

$$D_{L_{\mu}} \to \omega D_{L_{\mu}}, \quad \mu_R \to \omega \mu_R, \quad \nu_{R_2} \to \omega \nu_{R_2},$$

$$D_{L_{\tau}} \to \omega^2 D_{L_{\tau}}, \quad \tau_R \to \omega^2 \tau_R, \quad \nu_{R_3} \to \omega^2 \nu_{R_3},$$
(16)

resulting in a diagonal Dirac neutrino mass matrix M_D . We do not require any scalar singlet to realize M_R . The resulting type I contribution becomes

$$M_{\nu}^{I} = \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & X \\ 0 & X & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (17)

However, in these cases the scalar triplet Δ transforms under Z_3 as

$$\Delta \to \omega^2 \Delta (forclassB_1) \tag{18}$$

$$\Delta \to \omega \Delta (forclassB_2) \tag{19}$$

resulting in type II contribution of the form

$$M_{\nu}^{II} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X & 0 \\ X & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & X \end{pmatrix}$$
(20)

for class B_1 and

$$M_{\nu}^{II} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & X \\ 0 & X & 0 \\ X & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(21)

for class B_2 . Type (I+II) seesaw yields classes B_1 and B_2 of the FGM two zero neutrino mass matrices. The scalar potential for these two cases is given by

$$V(\phi, \Delta) = m^2 \phi^{\dagger} \phi + M^2 tr(\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta) + (\mu \phi^{\dagger} \Delta \tilde{\phi} + h.c.) + ...,$$
(22)

where $\tilde{\phi} = i\tau_2 \phi^*$ and the dots indicate quartic terms which respect Z_3 . The dimension three term $\phi^{\dagger} \Delta \tilde{\phi}$ is not allowed in the scalar potential under Z_3 . However, to obtain a small non-zero VEV of the scalar triplet [14] we softly break the Z_3 symmetry by including this term in the scalar potential.

Class C of FGM two zero textures cannot be realized by Z_3 symmetry, and the next higher order group Z_4 is used to realize this texture. The leptonic fields are required to transform under Z_4 as

$$D_{L_e} \to D_{L_e}, \quad e_R \to e_R, \quad \nu_{R_1} \to \nu_{R_1},$$

$$D_{L_{\mu}} \to i D_{L_{\mu}}, \quad \mu_R \to i \mu_R, \quad \nu_{R_2} \to i \nu_{R_2},$$

$$D_{L_{\tau}} \to -i D_{L_{\tau}}, \quad \tau_R \to -i \tau_R, \quad \nu_{R_3} \to -i \nu_{R_3},$$
(23)

leading to a diagonal charged lepton mass matrix, a diagonal Dirac neutrino mass matrix M_D and non diagonal right-handed Majorana mass matrix M_R . The type I contribution in this case is given by

$$M_{\nu}^{I} = \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & X \\ 0 & X & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (24)

However, for symmetry realization of class C we are constrained to introduce two scalar triplets which transform as

$$\Delta_1 \to i \Delta_1 \tag{25}$$

$$\Delta_2 \to -i\Delta_2,\tag{26}$$

under Z_4 leading to the type II contribution of the form

$$M_{\nu}^{II} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X & X \\ X & 0 & 0 \\ X & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(27)

resulting in class C of FGM neutrino mass matrices. Again, we must break Z_4 softly in the scalar potential to obtain small non-zero VEV of the scalar triplets. A detailed phenomenological analysis of the FGM two zero textures has been presented in [3]. Class C of FGM textures was obtained from a horizontal symmetry Z_4 with a scalar sector comprising of SM Higgs doublet and three scalar triplets [14]. Class C has, earlier [19], been obtained in a model based on non-Abelian group Q_8 with a much more cumbersome scalar sector with two doublets and four triplets. Both these scenarios have been discussed in the context of type-II seesaw.

Zero Texture	$D_{L_e}(e_r), D_{L_{\mu}}(\mu_r), D_{L_{\tau}}(\tau_r)$	Δ_2
$M_{\nu_{11}} = 0$	$\omega^2 \;, \omega \;, 1$	ω
$M_{\nu_{12}} = 0$	ω^2 , 1, ω	ω^2
$M_{\nu_{13}} = 0$	$\omega^2 \;, \omega \;, 1$	ω^2
$M_{\nu_{22}} = 0$	$1,\omega^2,\!\omega$	ω
$M_{\nu_{23}} = 0$	ω , 1, ω^2	ω^2
$M_{\nu_{33}} = 0$	$1,\omega,\omega^2$	ω

3 Symmetry Realization of One Zero Textures

Table 2: Leptonic field transformations under Z_3 for all six possible one zero textures.

One zero texture neutrino mass matrices can be realized from the cyclic Z_3 symmetry by extending the Higgs sector. These textures are realized by extending SM with two scalar triplets, three right-handed neutrino singlets and a complex scalar singlet. Under Z_3 the complex scalar singlet transforms as $\chi \to \omega^2 \chi$, whereas one of the scalar triplets (Δ_1) remains invariant. The right-handed neutrino fields transform as

$$\begin{array}{l}
\nu_{R_1} \to \omega \nu_{R_1}, \\
\nu_{R_2} \to \nu_{R_2}, \\
\nu_{R_3} \to \nu_{R_3}.
\end{array}$$
(28)

The transformations of other fields for all classes of one zero textures in M_{ν} are given in Table (2). The scalar potential for the one zero textures is given by

$$V(\phi, \Delta, \chi) = m^2 \phi^{\dagger} \phi + \sum_{j,k=1}^2 (M^2)_{jk} tr(\Delta_j^{\dagger} \Delta_k) + \lambda \chi^* \chi + (\mu \phi^{\dagger} \Delta_1 \tilde{\phi} + h.c.) + \dots, \quad (29)$$

To obtain a small non-zero VEV of the scalar triplet Δ_2 we softly break the Z_3 symmetry by including dimension two terms in the scalar potential where $j \neq k$. A detailed phenomenological analysis of one zero textures can be found in [2].

4 Conclusions

In the flavor basis, the zero textures of the neutrino mass matrix are realized using the small Abelian cyclic symmetry group Z_3/Z_4 . All the zero textures are realized within the context of type (I+II) seesaw mechanism which is natural to SO(10) GUTs. Since, only one Higgs doublet is used in the realization of these zero textures, the flavor changing neutral current interactions are naturally suppressed. We introduced three right-handed neutrino singlets and at the most two scalar triplets which acquire small nonzero VEVs. However, in some cases we, introduced an additional complex scalar singlet which transforms nontrivially under Z_3 symmetry. For one zero textures and some of the two zero textures we softly break the relevant symmetry to obtain a small non-zero VEV of scalar triplet. The zero textures in the neutrino mass matrix remain stable under RG evolution as the symmetry realization involves only the SM Higgs doublet.

A cknowledgements

The research work of S. D. is supported by the University Grants Commission, Government of India *vide* Grant No. 34-32/2008 (SR). R. R. G. acknowledge the financial support provided by the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), Government of India.

References

- Paul H. Frampton, Sheldon L. Glashow and Danny Marfatia, *Phys. Lett.* B 536, 79 (2002); Bipin R. Desai, D. P. Roy and Alexander R. Vaucher, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* A 18, 1355 (2003).
- [2] Alexander Merle and Werner Rodejohann, Phys. Rev. D 73, 073012 (2006)
- [3] S. Dev, Sanjeev Kumar, Surender Verma and Shivani Gupta, Nucl. Phys. B 784, 103-117 (2007), hep-ph/0611313; S. Dev, Sanjeev Kumar, Surender Verma and Shivani Gupta, Phys. Rev. D 76, 013002 (2007), hep-ph/0612102; S. Dev, Sanjeev Kumar, Surender Verma and Shivani Gupta Phys. Lett. B 656, 79-82 (2007), hep-ph/0708.3321
- [4] S. Dev, Surender Verma, Shivani Gupta and R. R. Gautam, *Phys. Rev.* D 81, 053010 (2010), hep-ph/1003.1006; E. I. Lashin and N. Chamoun, *Phys. Rev.* D 78, 073002 (2008); S. Dev, Shivani Gupta and Radha Raman Gautam, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* A 26, 501-514, hep-ph/1011.5587

- [5] S. Dev, Surender Verma and Shivani Gupta, *Phys. Lett.* B 687, 53-56 (2010), hep-ph/0909.3182; S. Dev, Shivani Gupta and Radha Raman Gautam, *Phys. Rev.* D 82, 073015 (2010) arXiv: hep-ph/1009.5501
- [6] Atsushi Kageyama, Satoru Kaneko, Noriyuki Shimoyama and Morimitsu Tanimoto, *Phys. Lett.* B 538, 96- 106 (2002), hep-ph/0204291; L. Lavoura *Phys. Lett.* B 609, 317-322 (2005), hep-ph/0411232, E. Ma. *Phys. Rev.* D 71, 111301 (2005), hep-ph/0501056.
- [7] P. Minkowski, Phys. Lett. B 67, 421 (1977); T. Yanagida, Proceedings of the Workshop on the Unified Theory and the Baryon Number in the Universe (O. Sawada and A. Sugamoto, eds.), KEK, Tsukuba, Japan, 1979, p. 95: M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond, and R. Slansky, Complex spinors and unified theories in supergravity (P. Van Nieuwenhuizen and D. Z. Freedman, eds.), North Holland, Amsterdam, 1979, p.315; R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 44, 912 (1980).
- [8] W. Grimus, A. S. Joshipura, L.Lavoura and M. Tanimoto, *Eur. Phys. J.* C 36, 227 (2004), hep-ph/0405016.
- [9] Catherine I. Low, *Phys. Rev.* D **71**, 073007 (2005).
- [10] W. Grimus, Proc. Sci., HEP 186 (2005), hep-ph/0511078.
- [11] H. Fritzsch and Z. Z. Xing, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 45, 1 (2000) and references therein.
- [12] K. Matsuda and H. Nishiura, Phys. Rev. D 74, 033014 (2006) and references therein.
- [13] M. Hirsch, A. S. Joshipura, S. Kaneko and J. W. F. Valle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 99, 151802 (2007).
- [14] Walter Grimus, Luis Lavoura, J. Phys. G 31, 693-702 (2005) hep-ph/0412283.
- [15] W. Konetschny and W. Kummer, *Phys. Lett.* B 70, 433 (1977); T. P. Cheng and L. F. Li, *Phys. Rev.* D 22, 2860 (1980); J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle, *Phys. Rev.* D 22, 2227 (1980); G. Lazarides Q. Shafi and C. Wetterich, *Nucl. Phys.* B 181, 287 (1981); R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, *Phys. Rev.* D 23, 165 (1981).

- [16] P. H. Chankowski, Z. Pluciennik, *Phys. Lett.* B 316, 312 (1993); K. S. Babu, C. N. Leung, J. Pantaleone, *Phys. Lett.* B 319, 191(1993); S. Antush, M. Dress, J. Kersten, M. Lindner, M. Ratz, *Phys. Lett.* B 519, 238 (2001); P. H. Chankowski, S. Pokorski, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* A 17, 575 (2002).
- [17] E. Ma, Utpal Sarkar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 26 (1998).
- [18] D. Meloni, S. Morisi, E. Peinado, J. Phys. G 38, 015003 (2011).
- [19] M. Frigerio, S. Kaneko, E. Ma and M. Tanimoto , Phys. Rev. D 71, 011901 (2005).