arXiv:1106.4269v3 [hep-ph] 7 Jul 2011

UCRHEP-T505
June 2011

Nonzero θ_{13} for neutrino mixing in the context of A_4 symmetry

Ernest Ma and Daniel Wegman

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Riverside, California 92521, USA

Abstract

In the original 2004 paper which first derived tribimaximal mixing in the context of A_4, i.e. the non-Abelian finite symmetry group of the tetrahedron, as its simplest application, it was also pointed out how $\theta_{13} \neq 0$ may be accommodated. On the strength of the new T2K result that $0.03(0.04) \leq \sin^2 2\theta_{13} \leq 0.28(0.34)$ for $\delta_{CP} = 0$ and normal (inverted) neutrino mass hierarchy, we perform a more detailed analysis of how this original idea may be realized in the context of A_4.

Neutrino oscillations require nonzero neutrino masses as well as nonzero neutrino mixing angles. The current combined world data imply [1]

$$7.05 \times 10^{-5}\ \text{eV}^2 \leq \Delta m^2_{21} \leq 8.34 \times 10^{-5}\ \text{eV}^2, \tag{1}$$

$$2.07 \times 10^{-3}\ \text{eV}^2 \leq \Delta m^2_{32} \leq 2.75 \times 10^{-3}\ \text{eV}^2, \tag{2}$$

$$0.36 \leq \sin^2\theta_{23} \leq 0.67, \quad 0.25 \leq \sin^2\theta_{12} \leq 0.37, \tag{3}$$

$$\sin^2\theta_{13} \leq 0.035\ (90\%\ \text{CL}). \tag{4}$$

However, the T2K Collaboration recently announced that a new measurement [2] has yielded a nonzero θ_{13} at 90% confidence level, i.e.

$$0.03(0.04) \leq \sin^2 2\theta_{13} \leq 0.28(0.34) \tag{5}$$

for $\delta_{CP} = 0$ and normal (inverted) neutrino mass hierarchy.

For several years now, the mixing matrix $U_{l\nu}$ linking the charged leptons (e, μ, τ) to the neutrino mass eigenstates (ν_1, ν_2, ν_3) has often been assumed to be of tribimaximal form [3], i.e.

$$U_{TB} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2/3} & 1/\sqrt{3} & 0 \\ -1/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{3} & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ -1/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

which predicts $\theta_{13} = 0$. This is particularly appealing because it was derived in 2004 [4] from the simple application of the symmetry group A_4, first used for understanding maximal $\nu_\mu - \nu_\tau$ mixing in 2001 [5]. However, even in that original 2004 paper [4], the possibility of $\theta_{13} \neq 0$ was already anticipated. Although the new T2K result [2] is only 2.5σ away from zero, it is the most solid experimental indication to date of this possibility. Here we offer a more detailed analysis of how $\theta_{13} \neq 0$ may be realized in the context of A_4.

As is well-known, A_4 is the group of the even permutation of 4 objects. It is also the symmetry of the perfect three-dimensional tetrahedron [6]. It has 12 elements and 4 irreducible

representations: $\underline{1}$, $\underline{1}'$, $\underline{1}''$, $\underline{3}$, with the multiplication rule

$$\underline{3} \times \underline{3} = \underline{1} + \underline{1}' + \underline{1}'' + \underline{3} + \underline{3}. \tag{7}$$

The first step in understanding neutrino mixing is to show that A_4 allows the charged-lepton mass matrix to be diagonalized by the Cabibbo-Wolfenstein matrix [7, 8]

$$U_{CW} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \end{pmatrix} \tag{8}$$

where $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3} = -1/2 + i\sqrt{3}/2$, with three independent eigenvalues, i.e. m_e, m_μ, m_τ. This has been achieved in two ways. One is the original proposal of 2001 [5]. The other was discovered later in 2006 [9]. In the former, the lepton assignments are $L_i = (\nu_i, l_i) \sim \underline{3}$, $l^c_1 \sim \underline{1}$, $l^c_2 \sim \underline{1}'$, $l^c_3 \sim \underline{1}''$, with 3 Higgs doublets $\Phi_i = (\phi^0_i, \phi^-_i) \sim \underline{3}$. In the latter, they are $L_i = (\nu_i, l_i) \sim \underline{3}$, $l^c_i \sim \underline{3}$, with 4 Higgs doublets $\Phi_i = (\phi^0_i, \phi^-_i) \sim \underline{3}$, $\Phi_0 \sim \underline{1}$. Assuming $v_1 = v_2 = v_3$ for the vacuum expectation values of Φ_i, which correspond to a Z_3 residual symmetry (lepton triality) [10, 11, 12, 13], the seemingly impossible result of a diagonal charged-lepton matrix is always obtained from U_{CW} of Eq.(8), independent of the values of m_e, m_μ, m_τ. This is a highly nontrivial result, which motivates how the otherwise arbitrary 3×3 neutrino mass should be organized. It argues strongly for an underlying non-Abelian symmetry with a three-dimensional irreducible representation, the smallest of which is A_4.

We now consider the neutrino mass matrix in the original A_4 basis. Let there be 6 heavy Higgs triplets [14]:

$$\xi_1 \sim \underline{1}, \quad \xi_2 \sim \underline{1}', \quad \xi_3 \sim \underline{1}'', \quad \xi_i \sim \underline{3} \quad (i = 4, 5, 6), \tag{9}$$

where $\xi_i = (\xi^{++}_i, \xi^+_i, \xi^0_i)$. Then

$$\mathcal{M}_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} a+b+c & f & e \\ f & a+\omega b+\omega^2 c & d \\ e & d & a+\omega^2 b+\omega c \end{pmatrix}, \tag{10}$$

where a comes from $\langle \xi_1^0 \rangle$, b from $\langle \xi_2^0 \rangle$, c from $\langle \xi_3^0 \rangle$, d from $\langle \xi_4^0 \rangle$, e from $\langle \xi_5^0 \rangle$, f from $\langle \xi_6^0 \rangle$. As it stands, there is of course no prediction at all. For a pattern to emerge, the way A_4 breaks into its subgroups must be considered. For $b = c$ and $e = f = 0$, which breaks A_4 to Z_2, the neutrino mass matrix, written in the basis where the charged-lepton mass matrix is diagonal, is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_\nu^{(e,\mu,\tau)} = U_{CW}^\dagger \mathcal{M}_\nu U_{CW}^* = \begin{pmatrix} a+(2d/3) & b-(d/3) & b-(d/3) \\ b-(d/3) & b+(2d/3) & a-(d/3) \\ b-(d/3) & a-(d/3) & b+(2d/3) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{11}$$

which is indeed diagonalized by U_{TB} of Eq.(6), with eigenvalues $m_1 = a-b+d$, $m_2 = a+2b$, and $m_3 = -a+b+d$. It has been shown [15] how this pattern is obtained from A_4 alone with the help of lepton number.

Deviations from tribimaximal mixing may be obtained for $b \neq c$. This will allow ν_1 to mix with ν_3 and θ_{13} becomes nonzero. However, this same mixing will move θ_{12} to a larger value [4] so that $\tan^2\theta_{12} > 0.5$ which is not favored by current data. To allow $\tan^2\theta_{12} < 0.5$, it was proposed [4] that $e = -f \neq 0$ in Eq.(10). This is maintained by an assumed residual symmetry of the $\xi\Phi\Phi$ soft terms of the Higgs potential under which $\xi_5 \leftrightarrow -\xi_6$ and $\Phi_2 \leftrightarrow \Phi_3$. As a result, the neutrino mass matrix under U_{TB} is no longer diagonal, but is given by [4]

$$\mathcal{M}_\nu^{(1,2,3)} = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & 0 & m_4 \\ 0 & m_2 & m_5 \\ m_4 & m_5 & m_3 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{12}$$

where $m_1 = a-(b+c)/2+d$, $m_2 = a+b+c$, $m_3 = -a+(b+c)/2+d$, $m_4 = \sqrt{3}/2(c-b)$ and $m_5 = -i\sqrt{2}e$. If $m_4 = 0$, then ν_2 mixes with ν_3 and it can be shown that the allowed range of θ_{23} from Eq.(3) implies $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} \leq 0.04$ which lies on the outer edge of the allowed region of Eq.(5). In the following, we consider both m_4 and m_5 to be nonzero and study various numerical solutions to the T2K data.

The atmospheric neutrino mixing is assumed to be maximal, i.e. $\sin^2 2\theta_{23} = 1$, which is also the assumption of T2K in obtaining their new result. The solar neutrino mixing is

taken to be $\sin^2 2\theta_{12} = 0.87 \pm 0.3$ [1]. We also use $\Delta m^2_{32} = 2.40 \times 10^{-3}$ eV^2 which is the value used by T2K, and $\Delta m^2_{21} = 7.65 \times 10^{-5}$ eV^2. For the central value of $\theta_{12} = 34.43^\circ$, we have $\tan^2\theta_{12} = 0.47$ which is rather close to the tribimaximal prediction of 0.5. Using this and assuming the central value of $\sin\theta_{13} = 0.168$ ($\sin^2\theta_{13} = 0.11$), the zero entry of the neutrino mass matrix of Eq.(12) implies the condition

$$0.007655 m'_1 - 0.020990 m'_2 + 0.013342 m'_3 = 0, \tag{13}$$

where $m'_{1,2,3}$ are the mass eigenvalues of Eq.(12). Hence they are related to the measured Δm^2_{32} and Δm^2_{21} by

$$m'_2 = \pm\sqrt{{m'_1}^2 + \Delta m^2_{21}}, \tag{14}$$

$$m'_3 = \pm\sqrt{{m'_1}^2 + \Delta m^2_{21}/2 \pm \Delta m^2_{32}}. \tag{15}$$

There is only one solution to Eq.(13), i.e.

$$m'_1 = 0.0246 \text{ eV}, \quad m'_2 = -0.0261 \text{ eV}, \quad m'_3 = -0.0552 \text{ eV}, \tag{16}$$

which exhibits normal mass hierarchy. From this solution, we then obtain $m_{1,2,3,4,5}$ and the original A_4 parameters a, b, c, d, e. The ν_e mass observed in nuclear beta decay is given by $\sum_i |U_{ei}|^2 |m'_i| = 0.026$ eV. The effective mass m_{ee} for neutrinoless double beta decay is

$$m_{ee} = |a + (2/3)d| = |(2/3)m_1 + (1/3)m_2|. \tag{17}$$

We plot in Figs. 1 to 3 the solutions for $|m'_{1,2,3}|$ and m_{ee} as a function of $\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$ in the range 0.03 to 0.135 [corresponding to the upper bound given in Eq.(4)] for $\sin^2\theta_{23} = 1$ and the values $\sin^2 2\theta_{12} = 0.84, 0.87, 0.90$. Thus m_{ee} is predicted to be at most 0.04 eV. As for the ν_e mass in nuclear beta decay, it can be read off as approximately given by $(2|m'_1| + |m'_2|)/3$. We also plot in Fig. 4 the values of $m_{1,2,3,4,5}$ for $\sin^2 2\theta_{12} = 0.87$. This shows that m_4 and m_5 , i.e. the parameters of A_4 which deviate from tribimaximal mixing, are indeed small. In

terms of A_4 symmetry, the following breaking patterns are in effect: in the charged-lepton sector, A_4 breaks to Z_3 (which may be verifed experimentally from Higgs-boson decay [13]); in the neutrino sector, A_4 breaks first to Z_2 (the tribimaximal limit), and then Z_2 is also broken with the pattern $b \neq c$ and $f = -e$, which may be maintained by a suitably chosen set of soft terms.

In conclusion, on the strength of the recent observation [2] of a nonzero θ_{13} for neutrino mixing, the original A_4 proposal of 2004 [4] is updated. We find that solutions are indeed possible with the most recent data but only in a normal hierarchy of neutrino masses, i.e. $|m'_1| < |m'_2| < |m'_3|$. We confirm that the parameters of A_4 which deviate from tribimaximal mixing, i.e. m_4 and m_5, are indeed small. We also make predictions on the effective m_{ee} in neutrinoless double beta decay.

This work is supported in part by the U. S. Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-FG03-94ER40837.

References

[1] Particle Data Group: K. Nakamura *et al.*, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **37**, 075021 (2010).

[2] T2K Collaboration: K. Abe *et al.*, arXiv:1106.2822 [hep-ex].

[3] P. F. Harrison, D. H. Perkins, and W. G. Scott, Phys. Lett. **B530**, 167 (2002).

[4] E. Ma, Phys. Rev. **D70**, 031901 (2004).

[5] E. Ma and G. Rajasekaran, Phys. Rev. **D64**, 113012 (2001).

[6] E. Ma, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A17**, 289 (2002).

[7] N. Cabibbo, Phys. Lett. **72B**, 333 (1978).

[8] L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. **D18**, 958 (1978).

[9] E. Ma, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A21**, 2931 (2006).

[10] E. Ma, Phys. Lett. **B671**, 366 (2009).

[11] E. Ma, Phys. Rev. **D82**, 037301 (2010).

[12] Q.-H. Cao, S. Khalil, E. Ma, and H. Okada, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 131801 (2011).

[13] Q.-H. Cao, A. Damanik, E. Ma, and D. Wegman, Phys. Rev. **D83**, 093012 (2011).

[14] E. Ma and U. Sarkar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 5716 (1998).

[15] E. Ma, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A25**, 2215 (2010).




Figure 1: Physical neutrino masses $|m'_{1,2,3}|$ and the effective m_{ee} for neutrinoless double beta decay of this model in the range $0.03 \leq \sin^2 2\theta_{13} \leq 0.135$ for $\sin^2 2\theta_{23} = 1$ and $\sin^2 2\theta_{12} = 0.84$.




Figure 2: Physical neutrino masses $|m'_{1,2,3}|$ and the effective m_{ee} for neutrinoless double beta decay of this model in the range $0.03 \leq \sin^2 2\theta_{13} \leq 0.135$ for $\sin^2 2\theta_{23} = 1$ and $\sin^2 2\theta_{12} = 0.87$.




Figure 3: Physical neutrino masses $|m'_{1,2,3}|$ and the effective m_{ee} for neutrinoless double beta decay of this model in the range $0.03 \leq \sin^2 2\theta_{13} \leq 0.135$ for $\sin^2 2\theta_{23} = 1$ and $\sin^2 2\theta_{12} = 0.90$.




Figure 4: The A_4 parameters $m_{1,2,3,4,5}$ of this model in the range $0.03 \leq \sin^2 2\theta_{13} \leq 0.135$ for $\sin^2 2\theta_{23} = 1$ and $\sin^2 2\theta_{12} = 0.87$.