SOME PROPERTIES OF RÉNYI ENTROPY OVER COUNTABLY INFINITE ALPHABETS
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Abstract. In this paper we study certain properties of Rényi entropy functionals $H_\alpha(P)$ on the space of probability distributions over $\mathbb{Z}_+$. Primarily, continuity and convergence issues are addressed. Some properties shown parallel those known in the finite alphabet case, while others illustrate a quite different behaviour of Rényi entropy in the infinite case. In particular, it is shown that, for any distribution $P$ and any $r \in [0, \infty]$, there exists a sequence of distributions $P_n$ converging to $P$ with respect to the total variation distance, such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{\alpha \to 1+} H_\alpha(P_n) = \lim_{\alpha \to 1+} \lim_{n \to \infty} H_\alpha(P_n) + r$.

1. Introduction

Rényi entropies are an important family of functionals defined on the space of discrete or continuous probability distributions. They were introduced by A. Rényi [1, 2] on axiomatic grounds as a generalization of Shannon entropy, and have been studied extensively ever since.

For a probability distribution $P = (p_1, \ldots, p_N)$ Rényi entropy of order $\alpha$, $\alpha \geq 0$, is defined as

$$H_\alpha(P) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_n^\alpha,$$

where it is understood that [1]

$$H_1(P) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{\alpha \to 1} H_\alpha(P)$$

$$= - \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_n \log p_n$$

$$= H(P)$$

which is precisely the Shannon entropy of $P$. (When $\alpha = 0$, the convention $0^0 = 0$ is used. The base of the logarithm in (1.1), $b > 1$, is arbitrary and will not be specified.) Hence, Rényi entropy can be thought of as a more fundamental concept of which Shannon entropy is an important special case. That this is not a mere mathematical generalization has been seen afterwards when Rényi entropies found applications in many scientific disciplines such as information and coding theory [3, 4, 5, 6], statistical physics [7, 8], multifractal systems [9], etc. Related concepts of
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Rényi divergence [1] (see also, e.g., [10, 11]) and conditional Rényi entropy [12, 13], again appropriate generalizations of the corresponding Shannon measures, are also studied in a variety of contexts.

We intend here to prove some basic properties of Rényi entropies of discrete random variables with infinite alphabets. We are partly motivated by the recent similar developments in Shannon theory. Namely, in a series of papers [14, 15, 16, 17] Ho et al. have presented an extensive study of Shannon information measures over countably infinite alphabets and discussed the implications of the results regarding some other well-known information-theoretic concepts like error probability, typical sequences, etc. Research on the effects of unknown or infinite alphabets on the problems of information theory has always been quite active. Source coding is another important example (see, e.g., [18, 19] and the references therein), as are Markov chains [20] and limit theorems in probability.

Rényi entropies are also frequently used when the alphabet is countably infinite, e.g., in statistical mechanics where systems with an infinite number of particles are often considered [21], information sources with an infinite number of symbols [22], etc., but surprisingly, their basic properties over such alphabets are rarely explored in the literature. In most textbooks [23] and papers, properties of Rényi entropies for discrete probability distributions are stated and proven only in the finite case. However, the behaviour of these functions is in some aspects fundamentally different over infinite alphabets and this case needs to be treated separately. So, for a probability distribution $P = (p_1, p_2, \ldots)$ and a real parameter $\alpha \geq 0$, define [12]:

$$H_\alpha(P) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^\alpha, & \alpha \neq 1 \\ - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n \log p_n, & \alpha = 1 \end{cases}$$

(Without loss of generality we assume that the alphabet is $\mathbb{Z}_+ - \text{the set of positive integers.}$) We will discuss here mainly the (dis)continuity of $H_\alpha(P)$ with respect to $\alpha$ and $P$. The discontinuity of $H_1(P)$ (as well as other Shannon information measures) is thoroughly investigated in [14]; our findings continue this line of research and give some new insights into the general behaviour of information measures.

2. Region of convergence

For any probability distribution with a finite number of probability masses, Rényi entropy of order $\alpha$ exists for any $\alpha \geq 0$. However, in the case of distributions with an infinite number of masses the problem of divergence appears. Obviously, $H_\alpha$ is finite for any $\alpha > 1$ because $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^\alpha < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n = 1$. Also, it is easy to see that if $H_{\alpha_0}(P) < \infty$ then $H_\alpha(P) < \infty$ for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$. Call

$$\alpha_c(P) = \inf \{ \alpha \geq 0 : H_\alpha(P) < \infty \}$$

the (Rényi’s) critical exponent of the probability distribution $P$. Clearly, $\alpha_c(P) \leq 1$ and $H_\alpha(P) = \infty$ for all $\alpha < \alpha_c(P)$. It is also interesting to see what happens at $\alpha_c$. It turns out that $H_{\alpha_c}(P)$ can converge or diverge here, depending on the asymptotics (tail) of the distribution. In other words, the (Rényi’s) region of convergence of the distribution $P$, defined by

$$R(P) = \{ \alpha \geq 0 : H_\alpha(P) < \infty \}$$
is of the form $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}) = (\alpha_c(\mathcal{P}), \infty)$ or $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}) = [\alpha_c(\mathcal{P}), \infty)$. Next we give examples of distributions with both kinds of convergence regions, for any $\alpha_c \in [0,1]$. In the following, notation $x_n \sim y_n$ means $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n / y_n \in (0,\infty)$.

**Example 2.1.** Consider a distribution $\mathcal{P} = (p_1, p_2, \ldots)$ with exponentially decreasing tail $p_n \sim 2^{-n}$. Then for any $\alpha > 0$ the sum $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha n}$ converges so that $\alpha_c(\mathcal{P}) = 0$ and the region of convergence is $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}) = (0,\infty)$.

Note that any distribution with a finite number of probability masses also has $\alpha_c(\mathcal{P}) = 0$, but the convergence region is $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}) = [0,\infty)$.

**Example 2.2.** Let $\mathcal{P} = (p_1, p_2, \ldots)$ be a distribution with $p_n \sim n^{-\beta}$, $\beta > 1$. Then, since the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\beta}$ converges if and only if $\alpha > 1$, it follows that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^\alpha$ converges if and only if $\alpha \beta > 1$. So in this case $\alpha_c(\mathcal{P}) = \beta^{-1}$ and the region of convergence is $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}) = (\beta^{-1}, \infty)$.

**Example 2.3.** Consider a distribution $\mathcal{P} = (p_1, p_2, \ldots)$ with $p_n \sim n^{-\beta} \log^{-2\beta} n$, $\beta > 1$. Now for any $\alpha < \beta^{-1}$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^\alpha$ diverges because $p_n^\alpha \sim n^{-\alpha \beta} \log^{-2\alpha \beta} n$ is decreasing to zero strictly slower than $n^{-1}$. For $\alpha = \beta^{-1}$ we have $p_n^\alpha \sim n^{-1} \log^{-2} n$ and the corresponding sum converges [24, Theorem 3.29], as is easily seen from the integral criterion for the convergence of series. So in this case $\alpha_c(\mathcal{P}) = \beta^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}) = [\beta^{-1}, \infty)$.

The case $\alpha_c(\mathcal{P}) = 1$ remains.

**Example 2.4.** Consider a distribution $\mathcal{P}$ with $p_n \sim n^{-1} \log^{-2} n$. Then $-p_n \log p_n \sim n^{-1} \log^{-1} n$ and therefore (again by the integral criterion) $H(\mathcal{P}) = \infty$ so that $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}) = (1,\infty)$. ($H(\mathcal{P}) = \infty$ implies $H_\alpha(\mathcal{P}) = \infty$ for $\alpha < 1$ because $-p_n \log p_n$ is bounded from above by $p_n^\alpha$ for all $\alpha < 1$ and all sufficiently large $n$.)

**Example 2.5.** For the last remaining case, consider $\mathcal{P}$ with $p_n \sim n^{-1} \log^{-3} n$. Now $-p_n \log p_n \sim n^{-1} \log^{-2} n$ which implies $H(\mathcal{P}) < \infty$, but $H_\alpha(\mathcal{P}) = \infty$ for $\alpha < 1$ since $p_n^\alpha$ is bounded from below by $n^{-1}$. We conclude that in this case $\alpha_c(\mathcal{P}) = 1$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}) = [1, \infty)$.

These examples illustrate that the critical exponent of a distribution is determined entirely by its asymptotic behaviour. Here is a slightly more precise statement.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let $\mathcal{P} = (p_1, p_2, \ldots)$ and $\mathcal{Q} = (q_1, q_2, \ldots)$ be probability distributions. If $p_n \sim q_n$, i.e., if $\lim_{n \to \infty} p_n / q_n \in (0,\infty)$ then $\alpha_c(\mathcal{P}) = \alpha_c(\mathcal{Q})$ and $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{Q})$.

**Proof.** The statement follows immediately by considering $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^\alpha$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n^\alpha$, and by using the well-known convergence properties of number series [25].

The following theorem establishes continuity of $H_\alpha(\mathcal{P})$ with respect to $\alpha$ and characterizes its behaviour at $\alpha_c$.

**Theorem 2.7.** For any probability distribution $\mathcal{P}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_+$, $H_\alpha(\mathcal{P})$ is a continuous function in $\alpha$ in its region of convergence. Furthermore, if $\alpha_c(\mathcal{P})$ is the critical exponent of $\mathcal{P}$ and $H_{\alpha_c}(\mathcal{P}) = \infty$ then $\lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_c^+} H_\alpha(\mathcal{P}) = \infty$.

**Proof.** The case $\alpha_c = 1$, and continuity at the point $\alpha = 1$ for arbitrary $\alpha_c$ will be analyzed in Section IV. Assume $\alpha_c < 1$, and note that in $(\alpha_c, 1] \cup (1, \infty)$ it is enough to consider the function $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^\alpha$. Since all summands are continuous functions in
α, their sum will also be continuous if it converges uniformly [24, Theorem 7.11], so let us check that it does. Assume first that \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^\alpha < \infty \). For all \( \alpha \geq \alpha_c \), \( p_n^\alpha \leq p_n^{\alpha_c} \). By Weierstrass’ criterion [24, Theorem 7.10] for the uniform convergence of functional series, these are precisely the sufficient conditions for the uniform convergence of \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^\alpha \) on \( [\alpha_c, \infty) \) and therefore this is a continuous function. If \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^\alpha = \infty \) then one can apply the same reasoning with any \( \alpha > \alpha_c \) instead of \( \alpha_c \), to establish continuity in \( R(\mathcal{P}) \). In this case it is left to prove that \( H_{\alpha}(\mathcal{P}) \) has a vertical asymptote at the critical exponent. This is straightforward, since

\[
\lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_c^+} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^\alpha \geq \lim_{\alpha \to \alpha_c^+} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_n^\alpha = \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_n^{\alpha_c}, \text{ and the last term can be made arbitrarily large by letting } N \to \infty.
\]

By the same arguments as in the finite case [2], it can be shown that \( H_{\alpha}(\mathcal{P}) \) is monotonically decreasing in \( \alpha \) in its region of convergence, unless \( \mathcal{P} \) is a uniform distribution in which case \( H_{\alpha}(\mathcal{P}) \) is constant with respect to \( \alpha \).

Let us introduce one more concept related to the Rényi’s convergence region of a distribution. Let \( \Gamma \) denote the set of all probability distributions over \( \mathbb{Z}_+ \), i.e., \( \Gamma = \{ (p_1, p_2, \ldots) : p_n \geq 0, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n = 1 \} \) and let \( \Gamma(\alpha) \) be the set of all distributions with critical exponent \( \alpha_c \).

**Remark 2.8.** In the following, we will discuss some notions for which a topology on the space of all distributions \( \Gamma \) is needed. The topology understood in this paper is the one induced by the total variation (or variational) distance

\[
(2.3) \quad d_{tv}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}) = \|\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{Q}\|_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |p_n - q_n|
\]

where \( \|\cdot\|_1 \) is the familiar \( \ell^1 \) norm.

**Proposition 2.9.** \( \Gamma(\alpha_c) \) is dense in \( \Gamma \), for any \( \alpha_c \in [0, 1] \). In other words, \( \Gamma \) is the closure of \( \Gamma(\alpha_c) \), \( \Gamma = \overline{\Gamma(\alpha_c)} \).

**Proof.** Let \( \mathcal{P} = (p_1, p_2, \ldots) \) be an arbitrary distribution in \( \Gamma \). We need to show that in any neighborhood of \( \mathcal{P} \) there exist distributions with critical exponent \( \alpha_c \). Assume first that \( \mathcal{P} \) has an infinite support (i.e., infinitely many nonzero masses), and let \( n_0 \) be such that

\[
(2.4) \quad \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} p_n \leq \varepsilon / 2,
\]

where \( \varepsilon > 0 \) is an arbitrary small number. Let \( \mathcal{Q} \in \Gamma(\alpha_c) \) be a distribution with an infinite support and critical exponent \( \alpha_c \). Take \( (q_{n_0}, q_{n_0+1}, \ldots) \) and multiply it by a suitable constant to get \( (q'_{n_0}, q'_{n_0+1}, \ldots) \) such that

\[
(2.5) \quad \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} q'_n = \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} p_n.
\]

Now let \( \mathcal{S} = (s_1, s_2, \ldots) \) be a distribution defined by

\[
(2.6) \quad \mathcal{S} = (p_1, \ldots, p_{n_0-1}, q'_{n_0}, q'_{n_0+1}, \ldots).
\]

Clearly \( \mathcal{S} \in \Gamma(\alpha_c) \), because \( s_n \sim q_n \). Furthermore,

\[
(2.7) \quad \|\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{S}\|_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |p_n - s_n| \leq \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} (|p_n| + |s_n|) = \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} (p_n + q'_n) \leq \varepsilon.
\]
Therefore, in the $\epsilon$-neighborhood of $\mathcal{P}$ we have found a member of $\Gamma(\alpha_c)$. Essentially, this completes the proof of the claim, but when $\mathcal{P}$ has finite support the proof has to be slightly modified (in that case $\mathcal{P}$ has no tail and (2.5) fails). So let $\mathcal{P} = (p_1, \ldots, p_N)$ be a distribution with finitely many probability masses and $\epsilon > 0$ an arbitrary small number. Let $\mathcal{Q} \in \Gamma(\alpha_c)$ be a distribution with an infinite support and a critical exponent $\alpha_c$. Take $(q_{n_0}, q_{n_0+1}, \ldots)$, $n_0 > N$, such that

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} q_n \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \quad (2.8)$$

Now create another distribution $\mathcal{S} = (s_1, s_2, \ldots)$ as

$$s_n = \begin{cases} p_n - \delta_n, & 1 \leq n \leq N \\ 0, & N < n < n_0 \\ q_n, & n \geq n_0 \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

where $\delta_n$ are such that $p_n - \delta_n \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{N} \delta_n = \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} q_n$. Again, $\mathcal{S} \in \Gamma(\alpha_c)$ because $s_n \sim q_n$. Furthermore,

$$\|\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{S}\|_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |p_n - s_n| = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \delta_n + \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} q_n \leq \epsilon. \quad (2.10)$$

Therefore, in the $\epsilon$-neighborhood of $\mathcal{P}$ we have found a member of $\Gamma(\alpha_c)$. The proof is now complete. \qed

**Proposition 2.10.** $\Gamma(\alpha_c)$ is convex in $\Gamma$, for any $\alpha_c \in [0, 1]$. \quad Proof. We need to show that $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} \in \Gamma(\alpha_c)$ implies that $\mathcal{T} = \lambda \mathcal{P} + (1 - \lambda) \mathcal{Q} \in \Gamma(\alpha_c)$ for any $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. This is not hard: asymptotic behaviour of $\mathcal{T} = \lambda \mathcal{P} + (1 - \lambda) \mathcal{Q}$ is determined by $\mathcal{P}$ or $\mathcal{Q}$, whichever has heavier tail, so the critical exponent is unchanged. More precisely, let $t_n = \lambda p_n + (1 - \lambda) q_n$ and observe $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n^\alpha$. From the fact that $x^\alpha$ is a concave and subadditive function for $\alpha < 1$ one concludes that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n^\alpha$ is also a concave and subadditive function in $\mathcal{T}$, i.e.:

$$\lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^\alpha + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n^\alpha \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n^\alpha \leq \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^\alpha + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n^\alpha. \quad (2.11)$$

Now it follows that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n^\alpha$ converges if and only if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^\alpha$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n^\alpha$ both converge, and therefore if $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ have critical exponent $\alpha_c$, then so does $\mathcal{T}$. \qed

A more general statement can easily be proven by the same methods: For any $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$, and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, we have that $\alpha_c(\mathcal{T}) = \max\{\alpha_c(\mathcal{P}), \alpha_c(\mathcal{Q})\}$ and $\mathcal{R}_c(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{R}_c(\mathcal{P}) \cap \mathcal{R}_c(\mathcal{Q})$, where $\mathcal{T} = \lambda \mathcal{P} + (1 - \lambda) \mathcal{Q}$. Still more generally:

**Theorem 2.11.** Let $\mathcal{P}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_K$ be probability distributions over $\mathbb{Z}_+$, and let $\mathcal{T} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda_k \mathcal{P}_k$ be their mixture, where $\lambda_k > 0$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda_k = 1$. Then $\alpha_c(\mathcal{T}) = \max_{1 \leq k \leq K} \{\alpha_c(\mathcal{P}_k)\}$ and $\mathcal{R}_c(\mathcal{T}) = \bigcap_{k=1}^{K} \mathcal{R}_c(\mathcal{P}_k)$.

3. **Continuity properties of Rényi entropy**

As for the continuity in the argument $\mathcal{P}$, it turns out that Rényi entropy behaves differently when $\alpha > 1$ and when $\alpha \leq 1$, unlike its behaviour in the case of finite alphabets.
Theorem 3.1. The Rényi entropy $H_\alpha(\mathcal{P})$ is a continuous function in $\mathcal{P}$ for $\alpha > 1$ and discontinuous for $\alpha \leq 1$.

Proof. The discontinuity for $\alpha < 1$ can be established as a corollary to Proposition 2.9. Take some $\alpha_c > \alpha$. In any $\epsilon$-neighborhood of $\mathcal{P}$ there are always members of $\Gamma(\alpha_c)$ so we can find a sequence of distributions $\mathcal{P}_n \to \mathcal{P}$ with $\mathcal{P}_n \in \Gamma(\alpha_c)$, $\forall n$. In this case, $H_\alpha(\mathcal{P}_n) = \infty$ for all $n$ which clearly means that $H_\alpha$ is discontinuous. The discontinuity for $\alpha = 1$, i.e., the discontinuity of Shannon entropy [14, 27] can be proven in a similar way: One can construct a sequence of distributions whose entropies diverge by changing the asymptotics of the original distribution and staying within a small distance from it. When $\alpha > 1$, however, Rényi entropy is a continuous function. Observe that

$$H_\alpha(\mathcal{P}) = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \log \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \log \|\mathcal{P}\|_\alpha,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ is the familiar $\ell^\alpha$ norm, and hence it is enough to prove the continuity of $\|\mathcal{P}\|_\alpha$. It is well known that norm is a continuous function with respect to the metric it induces [26], i.e., for any sequence of distributions $\mathcal{P}_n$ with $\|\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}_n\|_\alpha \to 0$ we must have $\|\mathcal{P}_n\|_\alpha \to \|\mathcal{P}\|_\alpha$, which follows from the fact that

$$\|\mathcal{P}_n - \mathcal{P}\|_\alpha \geq \|\mathcal{P}_n\|_\alpha - \|\mathcal{P}\|_\alpha.$$

Now continuity with respect to the total variation distance, which we are interested in, is easily established by observing that

$$\|\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}_n\|_1 \geq \|\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}_n\|_\alpha.$$

□

The following theorem gives more insight into the discontinuity of $H_\alpha(\mathcal{P})$ for $\alpha \leq 1$. Its special case, for $\alpha = 1$, is proven in [14].

Theorem 3.2. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and let $\mathcal{P}$ be a probability distribution over $\mathbb{Z}_+$. Then there exists a sequence of distributions $\mathcal{P}_n$ converging to $\mathcal{P}$ with respect to the total variation distance, such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} H_\alpha(\mathcal{P}_n) = H_\alpha(\mathcal{P}) + r$$

for arbitrary $r \in [0, \infty]$.

Proof. The proof for $\alpha = 1$ can be found in [14], so assume that $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. The case $r = \infty$ is taken care of by taking $\mathcal{P}_n \in \Gamma(\alpha_c)$ for some $\alpha_c > \alpha$, as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. In that case $H_\alpha(\mathcal{P}_n) = \infty$, $\forall n$, and so $\lim_{n \to \infty} H_\alpha(\mathcal{P}_n) = \infty$. The case $r = 0$ is trivial, take for example $\mathcal{P}_n = \mathcal{P}$ (but nontrivial sequences with $\lim_{n \to \infty} H_\alpha(\mathcal{P}_n) = H_\alpha(\mathcal{P})$ can also be constructed). So let $r \in (0, \infty)$. We will construct a sequence of distributions $\mathcal{P}_n = (p_{1(n)}, p_{2(n)}, \ldots)$ converging to $\mathcal{P}$ and such that

$$H_\alpha(\mathcal{P}_n) = H_\alpha(\mathcal{P}) + r$$

for all $n$. If $b$ is the base of the logarithm in (1.3), this is equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i^\alpha = b^{1-\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i.$$
Since \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \) and \( r \in (0, \infty) \), we have \( b^{(1-\alpha) r} \in (1, \infty) \). It follows that the righthand side of (3.6), call it \( h \), satisfies

\[
    h > \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha i^\alpha.
\]

Therefore, we want to construct a sequence \( \mathcal{P}_n \) with \( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha i^\alpha = h \), for arbitrary given \( h \) satisfying (3.7). The construction is as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
    \mathcal{P}_n &= (p_1(n), p_2(n), \ldots) \\
    &= (p_1, \ldots, p_n, B(n), B(n)q(n), B(n)q^2(n), \ldots).
\end{align*}
\]

In other words, we keep the first \( n \) probability masses of \( \mathcal{P} \) and replace the tail of \( \mathcal{P} \) with the tail of a geometric distribution. According to (3.6) and (3.8), \( B(n) \) and \( q(n) \) should satisfy the following:

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B(n)q^i(n) \equiv B(n) \frac{1}{1-q(n)} = \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} p_i
\]

and

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B(n)q^i(n) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i + B(n) \frac{1}{1-q(n)} = h.
\]

We need to verify that such \( B(n) \) and \( q(n) \) exist, i.e., that the above two equations have non-negative solutions. Express \( B(n) \) from (3.9)

\[
B(n) = (1-q(n)) \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} p_i
\]

and insert it into (3.10) to get

\[
\frac{(1-q(n))}{1-q^2(n)} = \frac{h - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^2}{(\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} p_i)^2}.
\]

Now we need to check that the above equation has a solution for \( q(n) \in (0, 1) \) and for all \( n \geq n_0 \) for some \( n_0 \). To show this observe that the lefthand side is a continuous and monotonically increasing function in \( q(n) \) starting from 1 and going to \( \infty \) when \( q(n) \in (0, 1) \). This means that (3.12) will have a solution whenever the righthand side is greater than 1. This is indeed the case for all \( n \) large enough. Namely, the numerator on the righthand side of (3.12) tends to \( h - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i^2 \) as \( n \to \infty \) which is by (3.7) strictly positive, and the denominator tends to zero so the entire righthand side tends to \( \infty \) and is therefore greater than 1 for \( n \geq n_0 \) for some \( n_0 \). This means that, for all \( n \) (large enough), there exist \( B(n) > 0 \) and \( q(n) \in (0, 1) \) such that (3.9) and (3.10) hold. Thus we have found a sequence \( \mathcal{P}_n \) with \( H_\alpha(\mathcal{P}_n) = H_\alpha(\mathcal{P}) + r \) for arbitrary \( r \in (0, \infty) \), and, furthermore, from (3.8) and (3.9) it is easy to see that \( \mathcal{P}_n \to \mathcal{P} \) when \( n \to \infty \) with respect to the variational distance. We should mention that this proof assumes that \( \mathcal{P} \) has an infinite support and it needs to be modified when this is not true. This is not hard to do but we omit it here (see the proof of Proposition 2.9 for a similar construction). \( \square \)

Constant \( r \) in the previous theorem was taken to be non-negative. This is necessary, as the following theorem shows.
Proof. For respect to the total variation distance, then \( \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} H_\alpha(P_n) \geq H_\alpha(P) \).

Let \( P_n = (p_{1(n)}, p_{2(n)}, \ldots) \) and \( P = (p_1, p_2, \ldots) \), and let \( P_n^{(K)} = (p_{1(n)}, \ldots, p_{K(n)}) \), \( P^{(K)} = (p_1, \ldots, p_K) \). \( P_n^{(K)} \) and \( P^{(K)} \) are obviously not probability distributions but that does not affect the proof. For example, \( H_\alpha(P_n^{(K)}) \) are well-defined. Now, if \( P_n \to P \) then also \( P_n^{(K)} \to P^K \) when \( n \to \infty \). It follows that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} H_\alpha(P_n^{(K)}) = H_\alpha(P^{(K)})
\]

because Rényi entropies are continuous when the alphabet is finite. Now, since

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i^\alpha \geq \sum_{i=1}^{K} p_i^\alpha
\]

and hence (for \( \alpha < 1 \))

\[
H_\alpha(P_n) \geq H_\alpha(P_n^{(K)})
\]

it follows from (3.13) that

\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} H_\alpha(P_n) \geq H_\alpha(P^{(K)}).
\]

This is true for all \( K \) and so

\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} H_\alpha(P_n) \geq \lim_{K \to \infty} H_\alpha(P^{(K)}) = H_\alpha(P).
\]

The case \( \alpha = 1 \) is completely analogous.

The property stated in Theorem 3.3 is usually referred to as lower-semicontinuity. It is a well known property of Shannon entropy \([27, 15]\) and is now generalized to all Rényi entropies. Also, the proof is much simpler, in our opinion, than those reported before for Shannon entropy.

We mention in this context one more property of \( H_\alpha \).

**Theorem 3.4.** \( H_\alpha(P) \) is a \( \cap \)-convex function in \( P \) for \( \alpha \leq 1 \) and is neither \( \cap \)-nor \( \cup \)-convex for \( \alpha > 1 \).

This is proven in \([5]\) and those arguments easily transfer to the infinite case.

4. The limiting case \( \alpha \to 1 \)

Now let us consider the behaviour of Rényi entropy at the point \( \alpha = 1 \). For a fixed finite alphabet, Rényi entropy is defined at this point \([1, 2]\) so as to preserve continuity (in \( \alpha \)) \([1]\). There are several issues in the case of an infinite alphabet which make continuity more difficult to prove than in the finite case. First, \( H(P) \) might be infinite (see Example 2.4), and in that case it needs to be checked how \( H_\alpha(P) \) behaves as \( \alpha \to 1+ \). Next, it is possible that \( H(P) < \infty \) but \( H_\alpha(P) = \infty \) for all \( \alpha < 1 \) (see Example 2.5) in which case clearly \( \alpha \to 1 \) needs to be separated into two cases \( \alpha \to 1- \) and \( \alpha \to 1+ \). And finally, even without these two situations, one needs to be careful when interchanging limiting operations because infinite sums are involved.

**Theorem 4.1.** If \( \alpha_c(P) < 1 \) then \( \lim_{\alpha \to 1} H_\alpha(P) = H(P) \). If \( \alpha_c(P) = 1 \) then \( \lim_{\alpha \to 1+} H_\alpha(P) = H(P) \).
Proof. Assume first that \( H(\mathcal{P}) < \infty \). Then we have

\[
(4.1) \quad \lim_{\alpha \to 1^+} H_\alpha(\mathcal{P}) = \lim_{\alpha \to 1^+} \frac{\log \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^\alpha}{1 - \alpha}
\]

\[
(4.2) \quad = \lim_{\alpha \to 1^+} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^\alpha \log p_n}{1 - \alpha}
\]

\[
(4.3) \quad = \lim_{\alpha \to 1^+} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^\alpha \log p_n}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^\alpha}
\]

\[
(4.4) \quad = \lim_{\alpha \to 1^+} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^\alpha \log p_n}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^\alpha}
\]

\[
(4.5) \quad = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^\alpha \log p_n}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n}
\]

\[
(4.6) \quad = H(\mathcal{P}).
\]

Let us justify the above steps. (4.1) is by definition. (4.2) follows from L'Hôpital’s rule. A sufficient condition for its application [24, Theorem 5.13], is the existence of the limit of the ratio of the derivatives which will follow from subsequent equations and our assumption \( H(\mathcal{P}) < \infty \). The equality (4.3) is justified by the fact that the limit of the denominator is not zero. (4.4) follows from uniform convergence of the series \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^\alpha \log p_n \) and \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^\alpha \) on \([1, \infty)\). This is established easily by Weierstrass’ criterion [24] using the following facts (valid for \( \alpha > 1 \))

\[
(4.7) \quad - p_n^\alpha \log p_n < -p_n \log p_n, \quad p_n^\alpha < p_n,
\]

\[
(4.8) \quad - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n \log p_n = H(\mathcal{P}), \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n = 1.
\]

Steps (4.5) and (4.6) are obvious. If \( \alpha_c(\mathcal{P}) = 1 \) then clearly the above limit is the only one that makes sense. If \( \alpha_c(\mathcal{P}) < 1 \) then one can take any \( \alpha_0 \in (\alpha_c, 1) \) and repeat the above arguments about uniform convergence on \([\alpha_0, \infty)\) and then the claim is true when \( \alpha \to 1 \) (all the other steps are identical). It remains to be shown that \( \lim_{\alpha \to 1^+} H_\alpha(\mathcal{P}) = \infty \) when \( H(\mathcal{P}) = \infty \). To prove this we define a sequence of distributions

\[
(4.9) \quad \mathcal{Q}_n = (q_1(n), \ldots, q_n(n)) = (p_1, \ldots, p_{n-1}; \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} p_i).
\]

We have \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{Q}_n = \mathcal{P} \) in the sense that variational distance between \( \mathcal{Q}_n \) and \( \mathcal{P} \) tends to zero. Also

\[
(4.10) \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} H(\mathcal{Q}_n) = \infty = H(\mathcal{P}).
\]

This follows from the fact that Shannon entropy is lower-semicontinuous [27], namely \( \liminf_{n \to \infty} H(\mathcal{Q}_n) \geq H(\mathcal{P}) \) (in general, however, \( \mathcal{Q}_n \to \mathcal{P} \) does not imply \( H(\mathcal{Q}_n) \to H(\mathcal{P}) \)). Observe that, for \( \alpha > 1 \),

\[
(4.11) \quad \left( \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} p_i \right)^\alpha \geq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} p_i^\alpha
\]

because the function \( x^\alpha \) is superadditive for \( \alpha > 1 \). Now (4.9) and (4.11) give

\[
(4.12) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i(n) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^\alpha
\]
which implies
\begin{equation}
H_\alpha(Q_n) \leq H_\alpha(P).
\end{equation}
This is true for any \( \alpha > 1 \) and all \( n \geq 1 \). Taking limits on both sides we get
\begin{equation}
\lim_{\alpha \to 1^+} H_\alpha(Q_n) \leq \lim_{\alpha \to 1^+} H_\alpha(P)
\end{equation}
which holds for all \( n \). Now, since \( H(Q_n) < \infty \), by the first part of our proof the left-hand side is equal to \( H(Q_n) \). And since \( H(Q_n) \) is unbounded (4.10), the right-hand side must be unbounded too, i.e., \( \lim_{\alpha \to 1^+} H_\alpha(P) = \infty \). This completes the proof of the theorem.

Note that, unlike in the case of finite and fixed alphabet, one cannot claim that \( \lim_{\alpha \to 1} H_\alpha(P) = H(P) \) but only \( \lim_{\alpha \to 1^+} H_\alpha(P) = H(P) \).

Here are also two, potentially useful, restatements of the above theorem (just omit the logarithms). For any sequence \((p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n)\), \( p_n \geq 0 \), \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n = 1 \),
\begin{equation}
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^{1+\epsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^{p_n},
\end{equation}
or
\begin{equation}
\lim_{\alpha \to 1^+} \|P\|_\alpha^\alpha = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n^{p_n}.
\end{equation}

Let us exemplify one consequence of these results. Let \( P \) be some distribution over a countably infinite alphabet such that \( H(P) < \infty \). In \cite{14}, it is shown that there always exists a sequence of distributions \( P_n \) such that \( P_n \to P \), but \( H(P_n) \not\to H(P) \). Actually, it is shown \cite{14} Theorem 2, that for any \( c \geq 0 \), there is such a sequence \( P_n \) so that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} H(P_n) = H(P) + c \) (this is a special case of Theorem 4.1 above). Using this and Theorem 4.1 one concludes that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{\alpha \to 1^+} H_\alpha(P_n) = H(P) \) need not equal \( H(P) \). On the other hand, Theorems 4.1 and 4.1 guarantee that \( \lim_{\alpha \to 1^+} \lim_{n \to \infty} H(P_n) = H_\alpha(P) \) need not equal \( H(P) \) for any sequence \( P_n \to P \). We summarize this in the form of a theorem whose proof we have essentially described.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \( P = (p_1, p_2, \ldots) \) be a probability distribution. Then, for any \( r \in [0, \infty] \), there exists a sequence of distributions \( P_n \) converging to \( P \) with respect to the total variation distance, such that
\begin{equation}
\lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{\alpha \to 1^+} H_\alpha(P_n) = H(P) + r,
\end{equation}
but for any such sequence
\begin{equation}
\lim_{\alpha \to 1^+} \lim_{n \to \infty} H_\alpha(P_n) = H(P).
\end{equation}

In applied sciences one usually freely interchanges limiting operations, such as limits, sums, integrals, derivatives, etc. Such rules, however, do not always apply, and the above theorem provides an illustrative example of this, involving quantities with physical meaning.
5. The Limiting Case $\alpha \to \infty$

There is one more interesting limiting case for Rényi entropies, namely $\alpha \to \infty$. It is known \cite{23,28} that

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} H_\alpha(Q) = -\log \max_n q_n$$

when $Q$ has finite support. It is easy to prove that this remains true for any $(q_1, \ldots, q_n), q_i \geq 0$, with $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i$ not necessarily equal to 1. The same is true in the infinite case, the proof is just a little more subtle.

Let $P = (p_1, p_2, \ldots)$ be a probability distribution. First observe that

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} H_\alpha(P) = \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i^\alpha$$

$$\geq \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} -\log \max_i p_i \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i^\alpha$$

$$= -\log \max_i p_i$$

where (5.3) is by L’Hôpital’s rule and (5.4) by lower bounding $-\log p_i$. Now to prove that this is also an upper bound, write

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i^\alpha \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^\alpha$$

which is true for all $n$ and all $\alpha > 0$. Let $P_n = (p_1, \ldots, p_n)$. ($P_n$ is not a probability distribution but that does not affect the proof.) Then for $\alpha > 1$ we have

$$H_\alpha(P) \leq H_\alpha(P_n),$$

and hence

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} H_\alpha(P) \leq \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} H_\alpha(P_n) = -\log \max_{i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}} p_i.$$

Since (5.8) holds for all $n$, it follows that

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} H_\alpha(P) \leq -\log \max_i p_i.$$

Together with (5.5) this yields

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} H_\alpha(P) = -\log \max_i p_i.$$

Therefore, Rényi entropy of order $\infty$ is well-defined by $H_\infty(P) \triangleq \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} H_\alpha(P)$. It can easily be shown that $H_\infty(P)$ is continuous in $P$, thus extending Theorem 3.1.

6. Conclusions

We have established some basic properties of Rényi entropies $H_\alpha(P)$ on the space of probability distributions with countably infinite alphabets. In the first place, continuity issues with respect to $\alpha$ and $P$ were addressed. We have shown that these properties are partly similar and partly different from the finite alphabet case. The differences are perhaps best summarized in Theorem 4.2, which shows that one must be very careful when dealing with infinities and limiting operations: Even if $P_n \to P$
and $H_\alpha(P_n) < \infty$ for all $n$ and all $\alpha$, one can have $\lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{\alpha \to 1^+} H_\alpha(P_n) = \lim_{\alpha \to 1^+} \lim_{n \to \infty} H_\alpha(P_n) + r$, for arbitrary $r \in [0, \infty]$.
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