arXiv:1106.5580v1 [hep-ph] 28 Jun 2011

Two scales in neutrino physics

V.V. Khruschov

NRC "Kurchatov Institute", 1 Kurchatov Sq., 123182 Moscow, Russia

The problem of neutrino mass origin is considered on the phenomenological level. The assumption is made that the neutrino mass values depend on two dominant contributions with their characteristic scales. The neutrino mass values as well as the values of the neutrino mass observables m_C, m_β, $m_{2\beta}$ and the $CP-$phase δ are estimated.

Keywords: neutrino oscillations; neutrino mass; neutrino mass observable; neutrino mixing; mixing angle; $CP-$phase; characteristic scale

PACS: 14.60.Pq; 14.60.Lm; 14.60.St

The problem of experimental measurement of neutrino masses and determination of their origin remains among important problems of neutrino physics. It is known that neutrinos are considered as massless particles in the framework of the Standard Model (SM) and this fact was in a accordance with the experimental data in the past. But recently the contradictions had been arised between on the one hand the atmospheric and solar neutrino data and on the other hand the theoretical calculations. These contradictions have been removed after discovering of neutrino oscillations and introducing of Mikheev-Smirnov-Wolfenstein (MSW) resonances in the processes of neutrino interactions with matter.

At present the oscillations of flavor neutrinos are confirmed by solid evidence from experiments with atmospheric, solar, reactor and accelerator neutrinos [1, 2]. The oscillations of flavor neutrinos can be became clear with mixing of neutrinos of different masses. Measuring of differences of mass squares $\Delta m^2_{ij} = m^2_i - m^2_j$ and neutrino mixing angles is performed in the oscillation experiments. However the neutrino mass values cannot be determinated in these experiments as well as the Majorana or Dirac nature of neutrinos.

Three types of experiments are sensitive to the absolute mass scale of neutrinos, namely: beta decay experiments, neutrinoless double beta decay experiments, and some cosmological and astrophysical experiments. In each type of these experiments a specific neutrino mass observable is measured. These observables are the mean neutrino mass m_C, the kinematical neutrino mass m_β, and the effective neutrino mass $m_{2\beta}$, which will be defined below.

Mixing of three types of light neutrino is given with the help of the Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagava-Sakata matrix:

$$\psi^\alpha = U^\alpha_i \psi^i, \tag{1}$$

where $\psi^{\alpha,i}$ are left chiral fields of flavor or massive neutrinos, $\alpha = \{e, \mu, \tau\}$, $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$. U is the Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagava-Sakata matrix $U_{PMNS} = CP$, C can be written in the standard parametrization [3]

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

where $c_{ij} \equiv cos\theta_{ij}, s_{ij} \equiv sin\theta_{ij}$, $P = diag\{1, \exp i\alpha, \exp i(\beta + \delta)\}$, δ is the phase connected with the Dirac CP-nonconservation in the leptonic sector, while α, β are the phases connected with the Majorana CP-nonconservation.

The currently available parameters [4] on 1σ level, which determine three flavor oscillations of light neutrino, are as follows

$$\sin^2\theta_{12} = 0.312^{+0.017}_{-0.015},$$

$$\sin^2\theta_{23} = \begin{cases} NH : 0.51 \pm 0.06 \\ IH : 0.52 \pm 0.06 \end{cases},$$

$$\sin^2\theta_{13} = \begin{cases} NH : 0.010^{+0.009}_{-0.006} \\ IH : 0.013^{+0.009}_{-0.007} \end{cases},$$

$$\Delta m^2_{21}/10^{-5}eV^2 = 7.59^{+0.20}_{-0.18},$$

$$\Delta m^2_{31}/10^{-3}eV^2 = \begin{cases} NH : 2.45 \pm 0.09 \\ IH : -(2.34^{+0.10}_{-0.09}) \end{cases}. \tag{3}$$

The phases α, β, and δ are not available from the data at the moment as well as the absolute scale of neutrino masses, for example, the mass value m_1.

Since there is only the absolute value of Δm^2_{31}, then the neutrino mass values can be arranged in two ways

$$m_1 < m_2 < m_3, \tag{4}$$

$$m_3 < m_1 < m_2. \tag{5}$$

The first case of arrangement of the neutrino masses (4) is named by the normal hierarchy of the neutrino mass spectrum (NH), while the second one (5) is named by the inverted hierarchy (IH).

It is needed to determine experimentally as a minimum one value among neutrino mass observables m_C, m_β or $m_{2\beta}$, in order to know the absolute neutrino mass scale.

$$m_C = \frac{1}{3}\sum_{i=1,2,3}|m_i|, \tag{6}$$

$$m_\beta = \left(\sum_{i=1,2,3}|U_{ei}|^2 m_i^2\right)^{1/2}, \tag{7}$$

$$m_{2\beta} = |\sum_{i=1,2,3}U_{ei}^2 m_i|. \tag{8}$$

The effective neutrino mass $m_{2\beta}$ is the upper diagonal matrix element of the mass matrix for the Majorana neutrinos

$$M = U m^d U^T, \tag{9}$$

where $m^d = diag\{m_1, m_2, m_3\}$.

The following experimental limits for neutrino mass observables are obtained: $m_C < 0.2eV$ [5], $m_\beta < 2.2eV$ [6], $m_{2\beta} < 0.34eV$ [7, 8], where the last limit should be increased up to 1 eV in order to include the uncertainty of nuclear matrix element values.

The important question is to find out a mechanism of generation of neutrino masses. Lacking a satisfactory theory of this phenomenon, the question can be treated on the phenomenological level. Let us suppose that there are a few different contributions in a neutrino mass, and two of them are most important. It may be assumed that the first contribution is connected with the Majorana mass of the left light neutrino. This mass can arise on some characteristic scale due to the presence in a lagrangian an effective Majorana mass term when the Higgs sector of SM is modified.

$$L'_m = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{\nu_L} M_\nu \nu_L^c + h.c. \tag{10}$$

The contribution in a neutrino mass value, that is connected with L'_m, will be taken into account through the phenomenological parameter ξ. The second contribution can be connected with the *seesaw* mechanism, that takes place when one adds heavy right neutrinos $N_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ in this scheme. This mass contribution can be written in the form:

$$M''_\nu = -M_D^T M_R^{-1} M_D, \tag{11}$$

where M_D is the matrix of Dirac neutrino masses, M_R is the characteristic mass of the right neutrinos.

Thus, the new scale connected with the masses of right neutrinos appears. Let us assume that M_D is proportional to the mass matrix for charged leptons:

$$M_D = \sigma M_l, \tag{12}$$

where σ is of the order of unity, $M_l = diag\{m_e, m_\mu, m_\tau\}$, $M_R = \sigma^2 M$. So the following phenomenological formula will be used for estimations of neutrino masses:

$$m_{\nu i} = \pm\xi - \frac{m_{li}^2}{M}. \tag{13}$$

Taking into account the data (3) the absolute values of neutrino masses μ_i and characteristic scales ξ and M in eV have been obtained in the NH- and IH-cases.

$$NH : \mu_1 \approx 0.06926, \mu_2 \approx 0.06981, \mu_3 \approx 0.08513, \xi \approx 0.069259, M \approx 2.0454 \cdot 10^{19}, \tag{14}$$

$$IH : \mu_1 \approx 0.07751, \mu_2 \approx 0.078, \mu_3 \approx 0.06056, \xi \approx 0.07751, M \approx 2.2872 \cdot 10^{19}, \tag{15}$$

The upper diagonal matrix element of the mass matrix for the Majorana neutrinos $m_{2\beta}$ is connected with the propability of the neutrinoless double beta decay, while absolute values of two additional diagonal matrix elements $m_{\mu\mu}$ and $m_{\tau\tau}$ are most likely equal each other. This supposition does not contradict to different models for the neutrino mass matrix [9, 10]. However without additional input one cannot define the CP-phases δ, α, and β, so $m_{2\beta}$ estimations cannot be obtained with account of CP violating terms. In order for such estimations to be made, we are going to use some approximation. Let us consider the case of *schizophrenic* neutrinos [11]. In particular we will use the case when one neutrino is the Majorana neutrino, while two other neutrino are the quasi-Dirac ones [12]. In this case the following equality holds, if one takes into account the relation between $m_{\mu\mu}$ and $m_{\tau\tau}$:

$$|s_{12}c_{23} + c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta}| = |s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta}| \tag{16}$$

The equation (16) gives us the possibility to determine the CP-phase δ, whereas the khowledge of the CP-phases α and β are nonessential for obtaining of $m_{2\beta}$ estimations in this case. Thus

$$2\tan 2\theta_{23} \sin\theta_{13} \cos\delta + \tan\theta_{12} = \cot\theta_{12} \sin^2\theta_{13}. \tag{17}$$

Taking into account the data (3) we obtain $\delta \approx 90^\circ$ as distinguished from $\delta = 0^\circ$ frequently used.

Now we can estimate the neutrino mass observables m_C, m_β, and $m_{2\beta}$ in eV.

$$NH : m_C \approx 0.07473, \quad m_\beta \approx 0.0696, \quad m_{2\beta} \approx 0.04717. \tag{18}$$

$$IH : m_C \approx 0.07202, \quad m_\beta \approx 0.07747, \quad m_{2\beta} \approx 0.05263. \tag{19}$$

Note that the $m_{2\beta}$ value in the IH-case does not contradict to the limit obtained previously [13].

In summary we proposed a simple formula (13) for estimations of neutrino masses which depends on two characteristic scales ξ and M. Calculated neutrino mass values μ_i ((14) and (15)) along with the δ, m_C, m_β, $m_{2\beta}$ estimations ((17), (18) and (19)) can be used for predictions and interpretations of neutrino data.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the RFBR under grant 11-02-00882-a.

References

[1] M. Maltoni, T. Schwetz, M. A. Tortola and J. W. F. Valle, New J. Phys. 6 (2004) 122 [arXiv:hep-ph/0405172].

[2] M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni and J. Salvado, JHEP 1004 (2010) 056 [arXiv:1001.4524[hep-ph]].

[3] K. Nakamura *et al.*, (Particle Data Group), J. Phys. G 37 (2010) 075021.

[4] T. Schwetz, M. Tortola and J. W. F. Valle, arXiv:1103.0734[hep-ph].

[5] E. Komatsu *et al.* [WMAP Collab.], Astrophys. J. Suppl. 192 (2011) 18 [arXiv:1001.4538[astro-ph.CO]].

[6] E. W. Otten, C. Weinheimer, Rept. Prog. Phys. 71 (2008) 086201 .

[7] H. V. Klapdor-Kleingrothaus *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 100 (2001) 309.

[8] C. Aalseth *et al.*, arXiv:hep-ph/0412300.

[9] H. Fritzsch and Z. Z. Xing, Phys. Rev. D 61 (2000) 073016 [arXiv:hep-ph/9909304].

[10] R. Jora, J. Schechter and M. N. Shahid, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 053006 [arXiv:1006.3307[hep-ph]].

[11] J. Barry, R. N. Mohapatra and W. Rodejohann, arXiv:1012.1761[hep-th].

[12] J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D 27 (1983) 1672.

[13] S. Pascoli and S. T. Petcov, Phys. Let. B 544 (2002) 239; *ibid.* 580 (2004) 280.