

Study of $\bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \rho(\phi)$ and $\bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \bar{K}^*$

C.Q. Geng^{1,2} and Y.K. Hsiao²

¹*Department of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan 300, R.O.C.*

²*Physics Division, National Center for Theoretical Sciences, Hsinchu, Taiwan 300, R.O.C.*

(Dated: February 25, 2024)

Abstract

We examine the three-body baryonic $\bar{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{B} \bar{B}' V$ decays with V vector mesons in the standard model. We can simultaneously explain the recent experimental data on the decays of $B^- \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \rho^0$ and $\bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \bar{K}^*$ based on the QCD counting rules and $SU(3)$ flavor symmetry. We also predict that the decay branching ratios of $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \rho^+$ and $B^- \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \phi$ are 3.0 and 1.5×10^{-6} , respectively, which are promising to be observed by BELLE and BABAR at the B factories.

I. INTRODUCTION

Large decay branching ratios as well as angular distribution asymmetries have been measured in the charmless three-body baryonic $\bar{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'M$ decays, where M can be either pseudo-scalar (P) or vector (V) mesons [1–8]. In particular, the threshold effect of the sharp peak around the threshold area in the dibaryon invariant mass spectrum has been observed as a common feature in these baryonic decays [1–8], which makes the decay branching ratios reach to the magnitude of order 10^{-6} , accessible to the detection at the B factories. Furthermore, it partly gives the answer of the non-observations of the two-body baryonic \bar{B} decays [9], such as $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}, \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$, and $B^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{p}$ [10], since the dibaryon of the two-body decays can only be created at the $m_{\bar{B}}$ scale without an extra meson to release the energy, which is far from the enhancement of the dibaryon threshold area.

In this note, we consider the baryonic decays of $\bar{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'V$, which have not been fully analyzed theoretically, unlike the corresponding pseudoscalar modes of $\bar{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'P$. Currently, the decay branching ratios of the vector modes have been measured to be [4–8]

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^{*-}) &= (5.3 \pm 1.5 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-6} \text{ (BABAR)}, \\
&= (3.38_{-0.60}^{+0.73} \pm 0.39) \times 10^{-6} \text{ (BELLE)}, \\
\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}\bar{K}^{*0}) &= (1.47 \pm 0.45 \pm 0.40) \times 10^{-6} \text{ (BABAR)}, \\
&= (1.18_{-0.25}^{+0.29} \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-6} \text{ (BELLE)}, \\
\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}K^{*-}) &= (2.19_{-0.88}^{+1.13} \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-6} \text{ (BELLE)}, \\
\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\bar{K}^{*0}) &= (2.46_{-0.72}^{+0.87} \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-6} \text{ (BELLE)}, \\
\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{p}\rho^0) &= (4.78_{-0.64}^{+0.67} \pm 0.60) \times 10^{-6} \text{ (BELLE)}, \tag{1}
\end{aligned}$$

at the B factories, respectively. It is interesting to note that $B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^{*-}$ is among the first predicted baryonic modes in Ref. [11] with the predicted decay branching ratio around 2×10^{-6} . The relation of $\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^{*-}) > \mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}\bar{K}^{*0})$ can be traced to the deviation between the transition form factors of $B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}$ and $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow p\bar{p}$ in the pQCD counting rules [12]. In addition, CP violation for $B^- \rightarrow p\bar{p}K^{*-}$ [13, 14] is found to be nearly 20% of the world average [4, 5] even though it is still inconclusive experimentally due to the data errors. It is clear that a theoretical examination on $\bar{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'V$ is needed in order to fit the experimental data. In this paper, we shall develop a systematic study of the $\bar{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'V$ decays to not only explain the measured data but also explore some other vector modes to

see if they are also accessible to the B factories.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we provide the formalism, in which we show the decay amplitudes of $\bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \rho$, $B^- \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \phi$, and $\bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \bar{K}^*$. We also parameterize the matrix elements and define the timelike and $\bar{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{B} \bar{\mathbf{B}}'$ transition form factors. The numerical results are performed in Sec. III. In Sec. IV, we present the conclusions.

II. FORMALISM

In terms of the effective Hamiltonian [15, 16] at quark level, the decay amplitudes of $\bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \rho$ and $B^- \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \phi$ can be factorized as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}}(\bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \rho) &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ (V_{ub} V_{us}^* a_1 - V_{tb} V_{ts}^* a_4) \langle \Lambda \bar{p} | (\bar{s}u)_{V-A} | 0 \rangle \langle \rho | (\bar{u}b)_{V-A} | \bar{B} \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + V_{tb} V_{ts}^* 2a_6 \langle \Lambda \bar{p} | (\bar{s}u)_{S+P} | 0 \rangle \langle \rho | (\bar{u}b)_{S-P} | \bar{B} \rangle \right\}, \\ \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}}(B^- \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \phi) &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ -V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \left[(a_3 + a_4 - \frac{a_9}{2}) \langle \phi | (\bar{s}s)_{V-A} | 0 \rangle + a_5 \langle \phi | (\bar{s}s)_{V+A} | 0 \rangle \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. \langle \Lambda \bar{p} | (\bar{s}b)_{V-A} | B^- \rangle \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

with the Fermi constant G_F , the CKM matrix elements $V_{q_i q_j}$, and the various currents as $(\bar{q}_i q_j)_{V \pm A} = \bar{q}_i \gamma_\mu (1 \pm \gamma_5) q_j$ and $(\bar{q}_i q_j)_{S \pm P} = \bar{q}_i (1 \pm \gamma_5) q_j$. The subscripts of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{T} in Eq. (2) denote the quark current and the \bar{B} transition, respectively, responsible for the creation of a baryon pair in the final states. For $\bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \bar{K}^*$, its decay amplitude is separated into two parts,

$$\mathcal{A}(\bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \bar{K}^*) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}}(\bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \bar{K}^*) + \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \bar{K}^*), \quad (3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}}(\bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \bar{K}^*) &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \left[V_{ub} V_{us}^* a_2 \langle \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} | (\bar{u}u)_{V-A} | 0 \rangle \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. - V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \left(a_3 \langle \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} | (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d + \bar{s}s)_{V-A} | 0 \rangle \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. + a_4 \langle \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} | (\bar{s}s)_{V-A} | 0 \rangle + a_5 \langle \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} | (\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d + \bar{s}s)_{V+A} | 0 \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{a_9}{2} \langle \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} | (2\bar{u}u - \bar{d}d - \bar{s}s)_{V-A} | 0 \rangle \right) \right] \langle \bar{K}^* | (\bar{s}b)_{V-A} | \bar{B} \rangle \\ &\quad \left. + V_{tb} V_{ts}^* 2a_6 \langle \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} | (\bar{s}s)_{S+P} | 0 \rangle \langle \bar{K}^* | (\bar{s}b)_{S-P} | \bar{B} \rangle \right\}, \\ \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \bar{K}^*) &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \alpha_{\bar{K}^*} \langle \bar{K}^* | (\bar{s}q')_{V-A} | 0 \rangle \langle \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} | (\bar{q}'b)_{V-A} | \bar{B} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

with $q' = u(d)$, corresponding to $B^- \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} K^{*-}$ ($\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \bar{K}^{*0}$), and

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{K^{*-}} &= V_{ub} V_{us}^* a_1 - V_{tb} V_{ts}^* a_4, \\ \alpha_{\bar{K}^{*0}} &= -V_{tb} V_{ts}^* a_4.\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

In Eqs. (2)-(5), the parameters a_i are given by $a_i = c_i^{eff} + c_{i\pm 1}^{eff}/N_c$ for $i = \text{odd}$ (even) with the color number $N_c = 3$, where we adopt the values of the effective Wilson coefficients c_i^{eff} from Refs. [15, 16].

To evaluate the decay branching ratios, one requires to know the form factors and decay constants of the matrix elements in Eq. (4). In $\mathcal{A}_C(\bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \rho)$ and $\mathcal{A}_C(\bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \bar{K}^*)$, the form factors of the $\bar{B} \rightarrow \rho$ and \bar{K}^* transitions are given to incorporate with the timelike baryonic form factors in the matrix elements of $0 \rightarrow \mathbf{B} \bar{\mathbf{B}}'$ with $\mathbf{B} \bar{\mathbf{B}}' = \Lambda \bar{p}$ and $\Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$. The matrix elements of the $\bar{B} \rightarrow V$ transition are written as [17]

$$\begin{aligned}\langle V | (q_3 b)_{S-P} | \bar{B} \rangle &= 2i \frac{m_V}{m_b} A_0 \varepsilon^* \cdot p_{\bar{B}}, \\ \langle V | (q_3 b)_V | \bar{B} \rangle &= \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \varepsilon^{*\nu} p_{\bar{B}}^\alpha p_V^\beta \frac{2V_1}{m_{\bar{B}} + m_V}, \\ \langle V | (q_3 b)_A | \bar{B} \rangle &= i \left[\varepsilon_\mu^* - \frac{\varepsilon^* \cdot q}{t} q_\mu \right] (m_{\bar{B}} + m_V) A_1 + i \frac{\varepsilon^* \cdot q}{t} q_\mu (2m_V) A_0 \\ &\quad - i \left[(p_{\bar{B}} + p_V)_\mu - \frac{m_{\bar{B}}^2 - m_V^2}{t} q_\mu \right] (\varepsilon^* \cdot q) \frac{A_2}{m_{\bar{B}} + m_V},\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

where $t \equiv q^2$ with $q = p_{\bar{B}} - p_V = p_{\mathbf{B}} + p_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'}$, V_1 and $A_{0,1,2}$ are the form factors, and ε_μ^* is the polarization of $V = \rho(K^*)$ with $q_3 = u(s)$, respectively. For the dibaryon creations, we write

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathbf{B} \bar{\mathbf{B}}' | (\bar{q}_1 q_2)_V | 0 \rangle &= \bar{u}(p_{\mathbf{B}}) \left\{ F_1(t) \gamma_\mu + \frac{F_2(t)}{m_{\mathbf{B}} + m_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'}} i \sigma_{\mu\nu} (p_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'} + p_{\mathbf{B}})_\nu \right\} v(p_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'}) \\ &= \bar{u}(p_{\mathbf{B}}) \left\{ [F_1(t) + F_2(t)] \gamma_\mu + \frac{F_2(t)}{m_{\mathbf{B}} + m_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'}} (p_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'} - p_{\mathbf{B}})_\mu \right\} v(p_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'}), \\ \langle \mathbf{B} \bar{\mathbf{B}}' | (\bar{q}_1 q_2)_A | 0 \rangle &= \bar{u}(p_{\mathbf{B}}) \left\{ g_A(t) \gamma_\mu + \frac{h_A(t)}{m_{\mathbf{B}} + m_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'}} (p_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'} + p_{\mathbf{B}})_\mu \right\} \gamma_5 v(p_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'}), \\ \langle \mathbf{B} \bar{\mathbf{B}}' | (\bar{q}_1 q_2)_S | 0 \rangle &= f_S(t) \bar{u}(p_{\mathbf{B}}) v(p_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'}), \\ \langle \mathbf{B} \bar{\mathbf{B}}' | (\bar{q}_1 q_2)_P | 0 \rangle &= g_P(t) \bar{u}(p_{\mathbf{B}}) \gamma_5 v(p_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'}),\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

where $\mathbf{B} \bar{\mathbf{B}}' = \Lambda \bar{p}$ with $\bar{q}_1 q_2 = \bar{s}u$, $\mathbf{B} \bar{\mathbf{B}}' = \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ with $\bar{q}_1 q_2 = \bar{u}u$, $\bar{d}d$, or $\bar{s}s$, and F_1, F_2, g_A, h_A, f_S and g_P are the form factors. In terms of the pQCD counting rules [18–20], the momentum

dependences of the form factors $F_1(t)$ and $g_A(t)$ behave as $1/t^2$, which characterizes two hard gluon exchanges between the valence quarks. Explicitly, one obtains

$$F_1(t) = \frac{C_{F_1}}{t^2} \left[\ln \left(\frac{t}{\Lambda_0^2} \right) \right]^{-\gamma}, \quad g_A(t) = \frac{C_{g_A}}{t^2} \left[\ln \left(\frac{t}{\Lambda_0^2} \right) \right]^{-\gamma}, \quad (8)$$

where $\gamma = 2 + 4/(3\beta) = 2.148$ with β being the QCD β function and $\Lambda_0 = 0.3$ GeV. Under the $SU(3)$ flavor and $SU(2)$ spin symmetries, the constants C_{F_1} and C_{g_A} can be related by another set of constants, given by [21]

$$\begin{aligned} C_{F_1} = C_{g_A} &= -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} C_{\parallel}, & \text{for } \langle \Lambda \bar{p} | (\bar{s}u)_{V,A} | 0 \rangle, \\ C_{F_1} = C_{g_A} &= C_{\parallel}, & \text{for } \langle \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} | (\bar{s}s)_{V,A} | 0 \rangle, \\ C_{F_1} &= \frac{1}{2} C_{\parallel} + \frac{1}{2} C_{\overline{\parallel}}, \quad C_{g_A} = \frac{1}{2} C_{\parallel} - \frac{1}{2} C_{\overline{\parallel}}, & \text{for } \langle \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} | (\bar{q}_i q_i)_{V,A} | 0 \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

with $q_i = u$ and d , respectively. Similarly, one has [22]

$$g_P = f_S. \quad (10)$$

By adding

$$\begin{aligned} f_S(t) &= \frac{m_{\mathbf{B}} - m_{\mathbf{B}'}}{m_{q_1} - m_{q_2}} F_1(t), \\ h_A(t) &= -\frac{(m_{\mathbf{B}} + m_{\mathbf{B}'})^2}{t} g_A(t), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

which are derived in equation of motion, all the timelike baryonic form factors can be connected. With the inputs of the values of C_{\parallel} and $C_{\overline{\parallel}}$ in Eq. (9), we are able to estimate the values of the other timelike form factors by the relations in Eqs. (8)-(11). Note that F_2 is suppressed by $1/(\ln[t/\Lambda_0^2])$ in comparison with F_1 [23, 24] and can be safely ignored in the discussion.

For $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}}(B^- \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \phi)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \bar{K}^*)$, the $0 \rightarrow V$ ($V = \phi, \bar{K}^*$) transitions can be parameterized as

$$\langle V | \bar{q}_1 \gamma_{\mu} q_2 | 0 \rangle = m_V f_V \varepsilon_{\mu}^*, \quad (12)$$

with the decay constants f_V . The most general forms of the $\bar{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{B} \bar{\mathbf{B}}'$ transitions are expressed as [25]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{B} \bar{\mathbf{B}}' | (\bar{q}_3 b)_V | \bar{B} \rangle &= i \bar{u}(p_{\mathbf{B}}) [g_1 \gamma_{\mu} + g_2 i \sigma_{\mu\nu} p^{\nu} + g_3 p_{\mu} + g_4 q_{\mu} + g_5 (p_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'} - p_{\mathbf{B}})_{\mu}] \gamma_5 v(p_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'}), \\ \langle \mathbf{B} \bar{\mathbf{B}}' | (\bar{q}_3 b)_A | \bar{B} \rangle &= i \bar{u}(p_{\mathbf{B}}) [f_1 \gamma_{\mu} + f_2 i \sigma_{\mu\nu} p^{\nu} + f_3 p_{\mu} + f_4 q_{\mu} + f_5 (p_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'} - p_{\mathbf{B}})_{\mu}] v(p_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'}), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

with $p = p_{\bar{B}} - p_{\mathbf{B}} - p_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}'}$, and f_i and g_i the form factors. The momentum dependences of f_i and g_i are represented as [26, 27]

$$f_i = \frac{D_{f_i}}{t^n}, \quad g_i = \frac{D_{g_i}}{t^n}, \quad (14)$$

with $n = 3$, where D_{f_i} and D_{g_i} are the constants to be determined by the $\bar{B} \rightarrow p\bar{p}M$ data, while the form factors of $B^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{p}$, $\bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$, and $\bar{B} \rightarrow p\bar{p}$ are in the same forms. We note that the number $n = 3$ is set for three hard gluons as the propagators to form a baryon pair in $\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'$, while the third one kicks and speeds up the spectator quark in \bar{B} . Again, with the $SU(3)$ flavor and $SU(2)$ spin symmetries, D_{g_i} and D_{f_i} from the vector currents are related by another set of constants D_{\parallel} and D_{\perp} of the chiral currents. Explicitly, we have [21, 28]

$$D_{g_1} = D_{f_1} = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}D_{\parallel}, \quad D_{g_j} = -D_{f_j} = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}D_{\parallel}^j, \quad (15)$$

with $j = 2, 3, \dots, 5$ for $\langle \Lambda\bar{p} | (\bar{s}b)_{V(A)} | B^- \rangle$, and

$$D_{g_1} = \frac{1}{2}D_{\parallel} - \frac{1}{2}D_{\perp}, \quad D_{f_1} = \frac{1}{2}D_{\parallel} + \frac{1}{2}D_{\perp}, \quad D_{g_j} = D_{f_j} = 0, \quad (16)$$

for $\langle \Lambda\bar{\Lambda} | (\bar{d}b)_{V(A)} | \bar{B}^0 \rangle$ and $\langle \Lambda\bar{\Lambda} | (\bar{u}b)_{V(A)} | B^- \rangle$.

III. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

We now specify various input parameters for our numerical analysis. The vector decay constants in Eq. (12) are given by [29]

$$(f_{\phi}, f_{\bar{K}^*}) = (0.231, 0.217) \text{ GeV}, \quad (17)$$

and the $\bar{B} \rightarrow V$ transition form factors in Eq. (6) as the functions of t are written as [30]

$$V_1[A_0](t) = \frac{V_1[A_0](0)}{(1 - t/M_{1[2]}^2)(1 - \sigma_1 t/M_{1[2]}^2 + \sigma_2 t^2/M_{1[2]}^4)},$$

$$A_{1,2}(t) = \frac{A_{1,2}(0)}{1 - \sigma_1 t/M_2^2 + \sigma_2 t^2/M_2^4}, \quad (18)$$

where the values of $V_1(0)$, $A_{0,1,2}(0)$, $\sigma_{1,2}$ and $M_{1,2}$ are shown in Table I [30].

Based on the approach in Ref. [31], we refit the baryonic form factors with the measured branching ratios of $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow n\bar{p}D^{*+}$, $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{p}\pi^+$ and $B^- \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}K^-$, and obtain

$$C_{\parallel} = 136.4 \pm 8.2 \text{ GeV}^4, \quad C_{\perp} = 13.8 \pm 127.0 \text{ GeV}^4. \quad (19)$$

TABLE I. The form factors of $\bar{B} \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(\rho)$ at $t = 0$ in Ref. [30] with $(M_1, M_2) = (5.37, 5.42)$ and $(5.27, 5.32)$ GeV for K^* and ρ , respectively.

$B \rightarrow K^*(\rho)$	V_1	A_0	A_1	A_2
$f(0)$	0.44 (0.31)	0.45 (0.30)	0.36 (0.26)	0.32 (0.24)
σ_1	0.45 (0.59)	0.46 (0.54)	0.64 (0.73)	1.23 (1.40)
σ_2	—	—	0.36 (0.10)	0.38 (0.50)

TABLE II. Numerical results in units of 10^{-6} for the branching ratios are presented in column 2, where the theoretical errors come from the uncertainties in the form factors, in comparison with the world averaged experimental data in column 3.

decay mode	our result	data
$B^- \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \rho^0$	3.28 ± 0.31	4.78 ± 0.90
$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \rho^+$	3.01 ± 0.31	—
$B^- \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \phi$	1.51 ± 0.28	—
$B^- \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} K^{*-}$	1.91 ± 0.20	2.19 ± 1.18
$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \bar{K}^{*0}$	1.76 ± 0.18	2.46 ± 0.93

Note that since $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}}(B^- \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \phi)$, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \bar{K}^*)$, and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{T}}(\bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \bar{K})$ [32] are in association with the $\bar{B} \rightarrow p \bar{p} M$ decays with $M = D^{(*)}$, \bar{K}^* , and π , we have adopted the values of the $\bar{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{B} \bar{\mathbf{B}}'$ transition form factors in Refs. [21, 28, 33, 34], given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(D_{\parallel}, D_{\perp}) &= (67.7 \pm 16.3, -280.0 \pm 35.9) \text{ GeV}^5, \\
(D_{\parallel}^2, D_{\parallel}^3, D_{\parallel}^4, D_{\parallel}^5) &= \\
&(-187.3 \pm 26.6, -840.1 \pm 132.1, -10.1 \pm 10.8, -157.0 \pm 27.1) \text{ GeV}^4.
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

The squared amplitudes by summing over baryon spins denoted as $|\bar{\mathcal{A}}|^2$ now become available, of which the integration over the phase space in three-body decays is required. Explicitly, by using

$$d\Gamma = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{|\bar{\mathcal{A}}|^2}{32M_B^3} dm_{\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}}^2 dm_{\mathbf{B}'V}^2, \tag{21}$$

with $m_{\mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}}^2 = (P_{\mathbf{B}} + P_{\bar{\mathbf{B}}})^2$ and $m_{\mathbf{B}'V}^2 = (P_{\mathbf{B}'} + P_V)^2$, we get the numerical results for the branching ratios, summarized in Table II.

It is worth to point out that the measured values of $\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^- \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \rho^0)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \bar{K}^*)$ are not involved in the fitting. As seen from Table II, our result of $\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \rho^0) = 3.28 \times 10^{-6}$ is now able to explain the data. This is mainly due to the relation of $g_P = f_S$ in Eq. (10) to fully take into account g_P , whereas the use of equation of motion with the chiral behavior may underestimate g_P [22]. It is interesting to note that the decay of $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \pi^+$ is similar to its corresponding vector mode, which was measured to be ten times bigger than the previous calculation [11, 26]. The contributions of the scalar and pseudo-scalar currents are thus compatible, and the a_6 term in \mathcal{A}_C of Eq. (2) gives the main contribution due to the chiral enhancement. In fact, $\bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \bar{K}^*$ is also dominated by the a_6 term in \mathcal{A}_C since the contribution of $g_2(f_2)\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^\nu$ prevails over those of the other terms in the $\bar{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'$ transition form factors with $D_{g_2} = D_{f_2} = 0$ in Eq. (16) as demonstrated in the study of $\bar{B} \rightarrow p \bar{p} \bar{K}^*$. Apart from $\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow p \bar{p} \rho^-) \sim 2 \times 10^{-5}$ [12], $\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \rho^+) = 3.01 \times 10^{-6}$ and $\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \phi) = 1.51 \times 10^{-6}$ can be within reach with the data sample accumulated by BELLE and BABAR at the B factories.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have analyzed the $\bar{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}\bar{\mathbf{B}}'V$ decays based on the approach of the pQCD counting rules and $SU(3)$ flavor and $SU(2)$ spin symmetries. We have found that the decay branching ratio of $B^- \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \rho^0$ is ten times bigger than the previous theoretical prediction and agrees with the current experimental data. We have also shown that $\bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda} \bar{K}^*$ is dominated by the a_6 term in \mathcal{A}_C , and its value is comparable to the measured data as well. In addition, we predict $\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \rho^+) = 3.0 \times 10^{-6}$ and $\mathcal{B}(B^- \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{p} \phi) = 1.5 \times 10^{-6}$, which are promising to be observed by BELLE and BABAR as well as LHCb.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work was supported in part by National Center of Theoretical Science and National Science Council (NSC-98-2112-M-007-008-MY3) of R.O.C.

[1] B. Aubert *et al.* [BABAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **72**, 051101 (2005).

- [2] J. T. Wei *et al.* [BELLE Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **659**, 80 (2008).
- [3] B. Aubert *et al.* [BABAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **79**, 112009 (2009).
- [4] B. Aubert *et al.* [BABAR Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **76**, 092004 (2007).
- [5] J. H. Chen *et al.* [BELLE Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 251801 (2008).
- [6] M. Z. Wang *et al.* [BELLE Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **76**, 052004 (2007).
- [7] Y. W. Chang *et al.* [BELLE Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **79**, 052006 (2009).
- [8] P. Chen *et al.* [BELLE Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **80**, 111103 (2009).
- [9] W.S. Hou and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 4247 (2001).
- [10] Y. T. Tsai *et al.* [BELLE Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **75**, 111101 (2007).
- [11] H. Y. Cheng and K. C. Yang, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 014020 (2002).
- [12] C.Q. Geng, Y.K. Hsiao and J. N. Ng, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 094013 (2007).
- [13] C.Q. Geng, Y.K. Hsiao and J. N. Ng, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 011801 (2007).
- [14] C.Q. Geng and Y.K. Hsiao, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **23**, 3290 (2008).
- [15] Y.H. Chen *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D60**, 094014 (1999); H. Y. Cheng and K. C. Yang, *ibid*, **D62**, 054029 (2000).
- [16] A. Ali, G. Kramer, and C-D. Lu, Phys. Rev. **D58**, 094009 (1998).
- [17] M. Wirbel, B. Stech, and M. Bauer, Z. Phys. **C29**, 637 (1985); **34**, 103 (1987); M. Bauer and M. Wirbel, Z. Phys. C **42**, 671 (1989).
- [18] G. P. Lepage and S. J. Brodsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **43**, 545(1979) [Erratum-*ibid.* **43**, 1625 (1979)].
- [19] G. P. Lepage and S. J. Brodsky, Phys. Rev. **D22**, 2157 (1980).
- [20] S. J. Brodsky, G. P. Lepage and S. A. A. Zaidi, Phys. Rev. **D23**, 1152 (1981).
- [21] C.H. Chen, H.Y. Cheng, C.Q. Geng and Y. K. Hsiao, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 054016 (2008).
- [22] C. K. Chua and W. S. Hou, Eur. Phys. J. **C29**, 27 (2003).
- [23] A. V. Belitsky, X. D. Ji and F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 092003 (2003).
- [24] S. J. Brodsky, C. E. Carlson, J. R. Hiller and D. S. Hwang, Phys. Rev. **D69**, 054022 (2004).
- [25] C.Q. Geng and Y.K. Hsiao, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 094023 (2006).
- [26] C.K. Chua, W.S. Hou and S.Y. Tsai, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 054004 (2002).
- [27] C.Q. Geng and Y.K. Hsiao, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 094023 (2006).
- [28] Y.K. Hsiao, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **24**, 3638 (2009).
- [29] P. Ball and R. Zwicky, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 014029 (2005).

- [30] D. Melikhov and B. Stech, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 014006 (2000).
- [31] C.Q. Geng and Y.K. Hsiao, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 094005 (2007).
- [32] C.Q. Geng and Y.K. Hsiao, Phys. Lett. **B619**, 305 (2005).
- [33] C.Q. Geng and Y.K. Hsiao, Phys. Rev. **D72**, 037901 (2005).
- [34] C.Q. Geng and Y.K. Hsiao, arXiv:1107.0801 [hep-ph].