

Predictions for proton-proton interaction cross-sections at LHC

A.B. Kaidalov¹, *M.G. Poghosyan*²

¹ Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, 117526 Moscow, Russia

² Università di Torino and INFN, 10125 Torino, Italy

Abstract

This is a short communication with a summary of results obtained with a model based on Gribov's reggeon calculus, which was proposed and applied to processes of soft diffraction at high energies. We present a brief description of the model and its predictions for various LHC energies.

Regge theory [1] is the main method for describing high-energy soft processes. The asymptotic behavior of the cross-sections of elastic scattering and multiple productions of hadrons is determined by the properties of the Pomeron, the right-most pole singularity of the elastic amplitude in the complex-momentum plane (j -plane). The experimentally observed increase of the total cross-section with increasing energy makes it necessary to consider the Pomeron with intercept $\alpha_P = 1 + \Delta > 1$ ($\sigma_{tot} \sim s^\Delta$). The inclusion of only the Pomeron pole contribution in the elastic scattering amplitude leads to violation of the unitarity. This difficulty is removed by taking into account the contribution of branch points of the amplitude in the j -plane, which corresponds to the multi-Reggeon exchange in the t -channel. A Regge-pole exchange can be interpreted as a single scattering while branch points correspond to multiple scatterings on the constituents of hadrons.

The contribution of the branch points involved in the exchange of several Reggeons associated with ρ, f, ω , etc. decreases very quickly with increasing collision energy and the contribution of such branch points can be neglected with respect to the branch points due to the exchange of one of them and any number of Pomerons. In the eikonal approximation, where the interaction between Pomerons is neglected, the elastic scattering amplitude can be parametrized by the sum of diagrams shown in Fig. 1.

In these graphs, the wavy lines labeled with R correspond to Pomeron and Reggeon exchanges, whereas




Figure 1: Single Regge-pole and multi-Pomeron exchange diagrams. The inclusion of the multi-Pomeron exchange (eikonalisation) suppresses the fast growth of the total cross-section which is expected from Pomeron pole exchange, and restores unitarity.

the wavy lines labeled with P correspond only to Pomeron exchange. The account of the multi-Pomeron exchange restores unitarity of the scattering amplitude, which leads to a behavior of the total cross-section

for $s \gg m_N^2$: $\sigma_{tot} \sim \ln^2 s$, which satisfies the Froissart bound.

For the analysis of pp and $p\bar{p}$ total and elastic cross-section data we saturate the matrix elements by the contribution of f - and ω - Reggeons. Thus, we assume $M = M_P + M_f - M_\omega$ for pp collisions and $M = M_P + M_f + M_\omega$ for $p\bar{p}$ ones. The values of parameters found from a fit to data can be found in [2]. The fit result is compared with data in Fig. 2.

The processes of single- and double- diffraction dissociation are closely related to small angle elastic




Figure 2: Comparison of the fit result with data on pp and $p\bar{p}$ total and elastic cross-section [3].

scattering in which each of the incoming hadrons may become a system, which will then decay into a number of stable final state particles. In high energy physics, diffraction is usually defined as any process involving Pomeron exchange. Experimentally, there is no possibility to distinguish processes that are caused by Pomeron exchange from those that are caused by Reggeon (e.g. f -trajectory) exchange. Therefore, we define diffraction dissociation as the exchange of both Pomeron and Reggeon. In [2] it is proposed to describe data on soft diffraction dissociation in pp and $p\bar{p}$ interactions by taking into account all possible non-enhanced absorptive corrections to triple-Regge vertices and loop diagrams (see Fig. 3). The values of triple-Reggeon coupling constants were found from fit to data on double differential single-diffractive cross-section versus diffracted mass and transferred momentum. The predictions for integrated single- and double- diffractive cross-sections are compared with data in Fig. 4

Predictions of the model on total, elastic, single- and double- diffractive cross-sections and on the elastic scattering slope ($B = -[d(\ln \sigma_{el})/dt]_{t=0}$) at various LHC energies are presented in Table 1. The uncertainty of the predictions are expected to be 3% for total and elastic cross-sections and 10% for single- and double-




Figure 3: Eikonalised triple-Reggeon and loop diagrams used for describing the single-diffraction dissociation process in hadronic collisions. The solid lines correspond to Pomeron and Reggeon exchanges and the dotted lines correspond to any number Pomeron exchange.




Figure 4: Integrated single- (right) and double- (left) diffractive cross-section as a function of $\sqrt{s}$. The integrations are done in accordance with corresponding measurement as they are indicated in the plots. Data are taken from [4].

diffraction ones.

Table 1: Predictions for LHC.

$\sqrt{s}$ TeV	σ_{tot} mb	σ_{el} mb	B GeV^{-2}	$\sigma_{SD}(M^2 < 0.05s)$ mb	$\sigma_{DD}(\Delta\eta > 3)$ mb
0.9	66.8	14.6	15.4	9.3	5.7
2.76	81.8	19.6	17.3	11.2	5.9
7	96.4	24.8	19	12.9	6.1
10	102	27	19.8	13.6	6.2
14	108	29.5	20.5	14.3	6.4

Acknowledgments

M.G.P. thanks Jean-Pierre Revol for useful discussions and for suggesting to write this note.

References

- [1] P.D.B. Collins, *An Introduction to Regge Theory and High-Energy Physics*. Cambridge University Press (1977).
- [2] A.B. Kaidalov and M.G. Poghosyan, in Proceedings of the 13th International Conference On Elastic and Diffractive Scattering (blois Workshop) 09. arXiv:0909.5156 [hep-ph]
- [3] S. Eidelman et al., Phys. Lett. **B592**, 1 (2004); N.Kwak et al., Phys. Lett. **B58**, 233 (1975); F. Abe et al., Phys. Rev. **D50**, 5518 (1994); N.A. Amos et al., FERMILAB-FN-0562, Feb 1991.
- [4] R.E. Ansorge et al., Z. Phys. **C33** 175 (1986); G.J. Alner et al., Phys. Rept. **154** 247 (1987); F. Abe et al., Phys. Rev. **D50** 5535 (1994); N. Amos et al., Phys. Lett. **B301** 313 (1993); T. Affolder et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **87** 141802-1 (2001); G. Alberi and G. Goggi, Phys. Rept. **74** 1 (1981).