

# Comments on the recent velocity measurement of the muon neutrinos by the OPERA Collaboration

Jacek Ciborowski<sup>1</sup>, Jakub Rembieliński<sup>2</sup>

April 3, 2024

<sup>1</sup> University of Warsaw, Department of Physics, Hoża 69, 00-681 Warszawa, Poland, cib@fuw.edu.pl

<sup>2</sup> University of Lodz, Department of Theoretical Physics, Pomorska 149/153, 90-236 Lodz, Poland, jaremb@uni.lodz.pl

**Abstract:** We argue that the result quoted by the OPERA Collaboration cannot be interpreted as simply related to the muon neutrino moving at a superluminal velocity from the point of creation at CERN to the point of interaction at LNGS.

The OPERA Collaboration have conducted time-of-flight measurements of the muon neutrino velocity and found it exceeding the velocity of light in vacuum,  $c$  [1]. The neutrinos were apparently found travelling the distance of 730 km in time shorter by 60 ns than light would do. While the detailed examination of their experimental procedure is ongoing in the community, we wish to present comments regarding the physical interpretation of the above result.

Hypothetical particles that would move with velocities higher than  $c$  have been named tachyons. The Einstein special relativity theory (SR) is not the proper framework for describing tachyons. Direct application of the SR formulae to space-like trajectories leads to negative energies as well as causality violation. As was mentioned many years ago by Sudarshan [2], a causal description of superluminal particles demands absolute simultaneity for space-time events or, equivalently, a preferred frame of reference. Consequently, such a description must

necessarily break the fundamental paradigm of the special relativity, namely the relativity principle. In common opinion, this must leads to breaking of the Lorentz invariance, which is the fundamental symmetry in physics. However it was shown by one of us [3] that it is possible to preserve Lorentz covariance in a theory with a preferred frame. This is achieved by choosing the absolute synchronisation procedure instead that of Einstein's and allows tachyons to be incorporated in that framework. The freedom in the use of different synchronisation schemes in SR follows from the fact that only the velocity of light over closed paths can be measured without any assumed synchronisation conventions for clocks. The theory [3] enables quantisation of tachyon fields free of causal paradoxes as well as vacuum instability (i.e. spontaneous particle creation from the vacuum). This formalism applied to light or slower than light particles is completely equivalent to that of SR with the Einstein clock synchronisation procedure. It should be stressed then that a discovery of a tachyon would not invalidate nor even modify the Einstein's theory in the subluminal sector, as is notoriously claimed. The velocity of light in vacuum, the limiting velocity in Nature, remains such for both types of particles: massive particles cannot be accelerated above it and tachyons cannot be decelerated below it. In the context of the OPERA measurement it has to be noted that the theoretical framework for describing tachyons [3] can be applied to a direct measurement of superluminal velocities on the classical level in the Einstein synchronisation scheme too. This is relevant for further discussion since the GPS system used in the OPERA experiment works exactly in this scheme of clock synchronisation.

Having set the theoretical background, we now turn to discuss the quantitative result of the OPERA Collaboration. The measurement for both neutrinos and light involves two space points in the reference frame of the Earth: the point of creation of the neutrino at CERN and its interaction point at LNGS. Even if the Earth was in motion with respect to the hypothetical preferred frame, this fact would not influence the following considerations. As was mentioned above, the time-of-flight of the neutrino from the point of production to the point of interaction has been measured using the Einstein synchronisation procedure assuming the isotropy of propagation of light. The length measurement,  $L = 730$  km, is synchronisation independent. The velocity of the neutrino (below we put  $c = 1$ ), computed by the time-of-flight method, has also been calculated in the Einstein synchronisation:  $v = 1 + 2.48 \times 10^{-5}$  since in that convention the time interval was determined; the average energy of the neutrino beam was 17 GeV [1]. The energy,  $E$ , of the tachyonic neutrino is related to its (tachyonic) mass,  $\kappa$ , and velocity,  $v$ , through:

$$E = \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{v^2 - 1}} \approx \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{2\Delta v}}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\Delta v = v - 1$ . The above formula is the consequence of the Lorentz covariant definition of the four-momentum,  $p_\mu = \kappa w_\mu$ , where  $w_\mu$  is the space-like four-velocity of a tachyon, normalised as:  $w_\mu w^\mu = -1$ . The dispersion relation for tachyons has the following form:  $E^2 - p^2 = -\kappa^2$ . Formula (1) leads to the following result for the tachyonic mass of the muon neutrino:  $\kappa \approx 120$  MeV. Neither the beam energy (0-th component of the covariant energy-momentum four-vector) nor the tachyonic mass (a Lorentz invariant) would depend on the synchronisation procedure. On the other hand, the muon neutrino mass squared has been determined from the kinematics of the pion decay at rest:  $\pi \rightarrow \mu \nu_\mu$ . The last quoted measurement is that of Assamagan *et al.* (1996) which yielded  $m_\nu^2 = -0.016 \pm 0.023$  MeV<sup>2</sup> [4].

This value muon neutrino mass squared was determined from the formula:

$$m_\nu^2 = m_\pi^2 + m_\mu^2 - 2m_\pi \sqrt{m_\mu^2 + k_\mu^2}, \quad (2)$$

where  $k_\mu = 29.79200 \pm 0.00011$  MeV is the muon momentum measured in the spectrometer. Thus the result of the OPERA Collaboration,  $\kappa^2 \approx 0.014$  GeV<sup>2</sup>, is entirely incompatible with the measurement of Assamagan *et al.*. We are thus led to a conclusion, with the reservation following below, that the effect seen by the OPERA Collaboration cannot be interpreted in terms of the muon neutrino moving faster than light from the point of creation to the point of interaction. Assuming the tachyonic mass according to the result of Assamagan *et al.* one obtains an illustrative result that a neutrino with such a mass should arrive  $7 \times 10^{-14}$  s earlier than light after a distance of 730 km, far beyond any possibility of measurement. The above statement is true assuming that the OPERA Collaboration detects muon neutrinos that move directly from the point of creation at CERN to the point of detection at LNGS without undergoing any processes in flight. A tachyon  $t$ , however, may decay in number of exotic channels, for example:  $t \rightarrow t\gamma$  and  $t \rightarrow t t_1 \bar{t}_1$ , where  $\gamma$  denotes the photon and  $t_1 \bar{t}_1$  – a tachyon-antitachyon pair (including the case  $t \equiv t_1$ ) [5]. It is up to a detailed analysis whether the latter complex process might deliver a clue to the observed effect with  $t_1$  being a tachyonic neutrino with a large tachyonic mass (i.e. higher velocity at a given energy), subsequently decaying into the muon neutrino that is detected at the end.

Last but not least let us mention that we have considered the hypothesis of the tachyonic electron neutrinos in the context of the mass measurement using the tritium decay [6]. In the quoted paper we presented calculations of the shape of the electron energy spectrum that explained the observed excess of counts near the end-point. For that reason this hypothesis will be confronted with the new measurement which is in preparation by the KATRIN Collaboration [7].

## References

- [1] The OPERA Collaboration, T. Adam *et al.*, arXiv:1109.4897.
- [2] E. C. G. Sudarshan, in "Tachyons, Monopoles and Related Topics", p.43, E. Recami ed., North-Holland Pub.Co., (1978).
- [3] J. Rembieliński, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A12** (1997) 1677; arXiv:hep-th/9607232.
- [4] K. A. Assamagan et al., Phys.Rev. **D53** (1996) 6065.
- [5] P. Caban, J. Rembieliński and K. Smoliński, arXiv:hep-ph/9707391; J. Ciborowski and J. Rembieliński, talk given at 28th International Conference on High-energy Physics (ICHEP 96), Warsaw, Poland, 25-31 Jul 1996, published in ICHEP 96:1247-1250 (QCD161:H51:1996), arXiv: hep-ph/9607477.
- [6] J. Ciborowski and J. Rembieliński, Eur. Phys. J. **C8** (1999) 157; arXiv: hep-ph/9810355;
- [7] KATRIN Design Report 2004 (12 Jan 2005).