# Electrodynamics in a Background Chiral Field 

F.T. Brandt ${ }^{a}, *$ D.G.C. McKeon ${ }^{b, c}, \ddagger$ and A. Patrushev ${ }^{6}$ 团<br>${ }^{a}$ Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP 05315-970 Brazil<br>${ }^{b}$ Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Western Ontario, London Canada N6A 5B7 and<br>${ }^{c}$ Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Algoma University, Sault St.Marie Canada P6A 2G4, Canada

## I. INTRODUCTION

Parity violating interactions with a spinor field yield several interesting consequences, among them an anomalous divergence in the axial current [1 3] and the absence of bound states in a "Coulomb" axial potential [4, 5]. In this paper we consider the one loop effective action for a spinor field in the presence of a constant background chiral vector field. The analogous situation in which the interaction is parity conserving is well known [1, 6] 8].

## II. EFFECTIVE ACTION

If a spinor $\psi$ is in the presence of a background vector field $V^{\mu}$ and a background axial field $A^{\mu}$ in four dimensional Euclidean space we have the Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\psi^{\dagger}\left[\left(\not p-W_{+} P_{+}-W_{-} P_{-}\right)-m\right] \psi \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p=-i \partial$ and $W_{ \pm}=V \pm A$ are chiral fields. (The notation used is in the appendix.) The effective action is then given by the one loop expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{4}=\ln \operatorname{det}\left(\not p-W_{+} P_{+}-W_{-} P_{-}-m\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now rewrite Eq. (2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{4}=\left[\ln \operatorname{det}\left(\not p-W_{+} P_{+}-W_{-} P_{-}\right)+\ln \operatorname{det}\left(1-\frac{m}{\not p-W_{+} P_{+}-W_{-} P_{-}}\right)\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]and then expand the second term in Eq. (3) so that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \operatorname{det}\left(1-\frac{m}{\not p-W_{+} P_{+}-W_{-} P_{-}}\right)=-\operatorname{tr} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{m}{\not p-W_{+} P_{+}-W_{-} P_{-}}\right)^{n} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

We now rewrite

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\not p-W_{+} P_{+}-W_{-} P_{-}} & =\frac{1}{\not p} \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{\not p}\left(W_{+} P_{+}+W_{-} P_{-}\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{\not p} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1}{\not p}\left(W_{+} P_{+}+W_{-} P_{-}\right)\right]^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

which by the properties of the projection operators $P_{ \pm}$becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{\not p} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[\left(\frac{1}{\not p} W_{+}\right)^{n} P_{+}+\left(\frac{1}{\not p} W_{-}\right)^{n} P_{-}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\not p-W_{+}} P_{+}+\frac{1}{\not p-W_{-}} P_{-} . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we have for the first term in Eq. (3)

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln \operatorname{det}\left(\not p-W_{+} P_{+}-W_{-} P_{-}\right) & =\operatorname{tr}\left[\ln \not p-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{1}{\not p} W_{+} P_{+}+\frac{1}{\not p} W_{-} P_{-}\right)^{n}\right] \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\ln \left(\not p-W_{+}\right)\right) P_{+}+\left(\ln \left(\not p-W_{-}\right)\right) P_{-}\right] . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Together, Eqs. (3), (4), (5) and (6) show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma_{4}=\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\ln \Pi_{+}\right) P_{+}+\left(\ln \not \Pi_{-}\right) P_{-}-\frac{m}{1}\left(\frac{1}{\Pi_{+}} P_{+}+\frac{1}{\Pi_{-}} P_{-}\right)\right. \\
& -\frac{m^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\Pi}_{-}} \frac{1}{\bar{\Pi}_{+}} P_{+}+\frac{1}{\bar{\Pi}_{+}} \frac{1}{\overline{\Pi_{-}}} P_{-}\right) \\
& -\frac{m^{3}}{3}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\Pi}_{+}} \frac{1}{\overline{\Pi_{-}}} \frac{1}{\bar{\Pi}_{+}} P_{+}+\frac{1}{\bar{\Pi}_{-}} \frac{1}{\overline{\Pi_{+}}} \frac{1}{\bar{\Pi}_{-}} P_{-}\right) \\
& -\ldots] \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Pi_{ \pm} \equiv p-W_{ \pm}$.
If we now use the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} X=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left[X+\gamma^{5} X \gamma^{5}\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we see that terms in Eq. (7) with odd powers of $m$ vanish. This reduces Eq. (77) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{4}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left\{\left[\ln \left(\Pi_{+}^{2}\left(1-\frac{m^{2}}{\not \Pi_{-} \Pi_{+}}\right)\right)\right] P_{+}+\left[\ln \left(\not \Pi_{-}^{2}\left(1-\frac{m^{2}}{\not \Pi_{+} \not \Pi_{-}}\right)\right)\right] P_{-}\right\} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under "charge conjugation" we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& C^{-1}\left(\not p-W_{+} P_{+}-W_{-} P_{-}-m\right) C \\
& \quad=\left[\not p+W_{+} P_{-}+W_{-} P_{+}-m\right]^{T} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

and so Eq. (21) is symmetric under the replacement $W_{ \pm} \rightarrow-W_{\mp}$. (In ref. [9] the fact that $p^{\mu T}=-p^{\mu}$ was ignored.)

## III. EXPLICIT EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTIVE ACTION

Evaluation of $\Gamma$ in Eq. (9) in closed form when $m^{2} \neq 0$ involves having to determine $\operatorname{tr} \ln \left({ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I}_{ \pm} \not \mathrm{I}_{\mp}-m^{2}\right)$. If $W_{ \pm} \neq W_{\mp}$ this is prohibitively difficult, even if $W_{ \pm}= \pm A$. In this case we must consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} \ln \left[(\not p \pm \not A)(\not p \mp \not A)-m^{2}\right]=\operatorname{tr} \ln \left[\left(p^{\mu} \mp i \sigma^{\mu \nu} A^{\nu}\right)^{2}+2 A^{2} \pm i A_{, \lambda}^{\lambda}-m^{2}\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, though it is well suited for a perturbative expansion in powers of $A^{\mu}$ [10, 11], does not lend itself to being evaluated even when $A^{\mu}$ corresponds to there being a constant field strength.

However, if $m^{2}=0$, or if Eq. (9) were expanded to some finite order in powers of $m^{2}$, then one is faced with evaluation of only $\frac{1}{2}\left(\Lambda_{+}+\Lambda_{-}\right)$where $\Lambda_{ \pm}=\operatorname{tr}\left[\ln \Pi_{ \pm}^{2}\right] P_{ \pm}$. In refs. [1, 6, 6], it is shown that since $(\not p-\not V)^{2}=\left(p^{\mu}-V^{\mu}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}(F=\partial \wedge V)$ the gamma matrix trace occurring in $\Lambda_{ \pm}$involves

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{tr} e^{\frac{1}{2} F^{\mu \nu} \sigma^{\mu \nu} t} P_{ \pm} & =\operatorname{tr}\left\{\cosh K_{-} P_{+}+\cosh K_{+} P_{-}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{t}{2} \sigma^{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}\left(\frac{\sinh K_{-}}{K_{-}} P_{+}+\frac{\sinh K_{+}}{K_{+}} P_{-}\right)\right\} P_{ \pm} \\
& =4 \cosh K_{\mp} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K_{ \pm}^{2}=\frac{t^{2}}{2}\left[F^{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} \pm F^{\mu \nu} F^{* \mu \nu}\right]$. We thus see that the presence of the chiral projection operator $P_{ \pm}$in Eq. (9) serves to eliminate the contribution of $\cosh K_{ \pm}$as well as $\sinh K_{+}$ and $\sinh K_{-}$, leaving only $4 \cosh K_{\mp}$.

The background field strength $W_{ \pm}$in the gauge $x \cdot W_{ \pm}=0$ can be expanded in powers of the field strength $F_{ \pm}[12-14]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{ \pm}^{\mu}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{-1}{n!(n+2)} x^{\nu} x^{\lambda_{1}} \ldots x^{\lambda_{n}} F_{ \pm}^{\mu \nu, \lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{n}}(0) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first term in Eq. (13) corresponds to a constant background field as discussed in refs. [1, 6, 7]; higher contributions are dealt with in refs. [8, 15, 17]. Other special background field configurations have been considered [1, 8, 18 20].

If $m^{2}=0$ and $W_{ \pm}= \pm A$, then we have a purely axial coupling and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{A}^{(0)}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\ln (\not p-\not \not)^{2}\right) P_{+}+\left(\ln (\not p+\not \not A)^{2}\right) P_{-}\right] . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $A^{\mu}$ is in the gauge $x \cdot A=0$ so that it is expressed in the form of Eq. (13) then gauge invariance is manifestly preserved since $A^{\mu}$ is expressed in terms of the field strength. If we then expand $\Gamma_{A}^{(0)}$ with this background field using the Schwinger expansion as in ref. [1, 21], then the three point function $\langle A A A\rangle$ vanishes. However, again computing $\langle A A A\rangle$ but with plane wave background axial fields, the three point function is consistent with the axial anomaly [1-3].

If $m^{2} \neq 0$ when $W_{ \pm}= \pm A$ then Eq. (9) reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{A}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} & \left\{\left[\ln \left((\not p+\not A)(\not p-\not A)-m^{2}\right)\right] P_{+}+\left[\ln \left((\not p-\not A)(\not p+\not A)-m^{2}\right)\right] P_{-}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2}\left[\ln (\not p-\not A)^{2}-\ln (\not p+\not A)^{2}\right] \gamma_{5}\right\} . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

There doesn't appear to be a way of evaluating this in closed form when even $A^{\mu}=-\frac{1}{2} F^{\mu \nu} x^{\nu}$ if $m^{2} \neq 0$, though with this background field $\langle A A A\rangle=0$. With a plane wave background field the axial anomaly can however be recovered [21] when $\langle A A A\rangle$ is computed by applying the Schwinger expansion [1] to Eq. (15).

Although it doesn't appear to be feasible to compute $\Gamma_{4}$ when there is a constant strength $\partial^{\mu} A^{\nu}-\partial^{\nu} A^{\mu}$ in Eq. (1), we can consider the case in which $\Gamma_{4}$ is restricted to being linear in the external axial field and the vector field is taken to be constant. In this case we begin by using Eq. (8) to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{4}=\frac{1}{2} \ln \operatorname{det}\left[\left(\not p-V-\not A \gamma^{5}\right)^{2}-m^{2}\right] . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dropping those terms in Eq. (12) that cannot contribute to the contribution to $\Gamma_{4}$ that are linear in $A_{\mu}$, we see that upon letting $m^{2} \rightarrow-m^{2}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma_{4} \approx \frac{1}{2} \ln \operatorname{det}\left[(p-V)^{2}+m^{2}-\frac{1}{2} F^{\mu \nu} \sigma^{\mu \nu}+i A^{\mu, \mu} \gamma^{5}\right.  \tag{17}\\
&\left.+i \sigma^{\mu \nu}\left(2 A^{\mu} p^{\nu}+\frac{i}{2} G^{\mu \nu}-2 A^{\mu} V^{\nu}\right) \gamma^{5}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $F^{\mu \nu}=\partial^{\mu} V^{\nu}-\partial^{\nu} V^{\mu}$ and $G^{\mu \nu}=\partial^{\mu} A^{\nu}-\partial^{\nu} A^{\mu}$. If we now employ operator regularization to expand $\Gamma_{4}$ in Eq. (17) to the term linear in $A_{\mu}$, we need the equations [21]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \ln \operatorname{det}\left(H_{0}+H_{1}\right)=-\left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} \operatorname{tr} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t t^{s-1} e^{-\left(H_{0}+H_{1}\right) t} \\
&=-\left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t t^{s-1} \operatorname{tr}\left[e^{-H_{0} t}+\frac{(-t)}{1} e^{-H_{0} t} H_{1}\right.  \tag{18}\\
&\left.+\frac{(-t)^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{1} d u e^{-(1-u) H_{0} t} H_{1} e^{-u H_{0} t} H_{1}+\ldots\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Upon using Eq. (18), Eq. (17) reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left.\Gamma_{4} \approx \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t t^{s} \operatorname{tr} e^{-\left[(p-V)^{2}+m^{2}-\frac{1}{2} F^{\mu \nu} \sigma^{\mu \nu}\right] t}\left[i A_{, \mu}^{\mu}\right. \\
&\left.+i \sigma^{\lambda \sigma}\left(2 A^{\lambda} p^{\sigma}+\frac{i}{2} G^{\lambda \sigma}-2 A^{\lambda} V^{\sigma}\right)\right] \gamma^{5} . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

If $F^{\mu \nu}$ is constant, then by Eqs. (A.1) and (A.2) this becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
=\left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} & \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t t^{s} \operatorname{tr} e^{\left[(p-V)^{2}+m^{2}\right] t}\left[\left(\cosh K_{-}\right) P_{+}+\left(\cosh K_{+}\right) P_{-}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{\sinh K_{-}}{K_{-}} P_{+}+\frac{\sinh K_{+}}{K_{+}} P_{-}\right) w^{\mu \nu} \sigma^{\mu \nu}\right] \\
& {\left[i A_{, \lambda}^{\lambda}+i \sigma^{\lambda \sigma}\left(2 A^{\lambda} p^{\sigma}+\frac{i}{2} G^{\lambda \sigma}-2 A^{\lambda} V^{\sigma}\right)\right] \gamma_{5} } \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $w^{\mu \nu}=\frac{1}{2} F^{\mu \nu} t$ and $K_{ \pm}^{2}=2\left(w^{\alpha \beta} w^{\alpha \beta} \pm w^{* \alpha \beta} w^{\alpha \beta}\right)$.
Evaluating the $\gamma$-matrix traces in Eq. (20) leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
=\frac{d}{d s} & \left.\right|_{0} \frac{i}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t t^{s} \operatorname{tr} e^{-\left[(p-V)^{2}+m^{2}\right] t}\left\{\left(\cosh K_{-}-\cosh K_{+}\right) A_{, \lambda}^{\lambda}\right. \\
& +2\left[\left(\frac{\sinh K_{-}}{K_{-}}-\frac{\sinh K_{+}}{K_{+}}\right) w^{\lambda \sigma}-2\left(\frac{\sinh K_{-}}{K_{-}}+\frac{\sinh K_{+}}{K_{+}}\right) w^{* \lambda \sigma}\right] \\
& {\left.\left[2 A^{\lambda} p^{\sigma}+\frac{i}{2} G^{\lambda \sigma}-2 A^{\lambda} V^{\sigma}\right]\right\} . } \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

When $V^{\mu}=-\frac{1}{2} F^{\mu \nu} x^{\nu}$, then the result of Schwinger [1]

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle x| e^{-(p-V)^{2} t}|y\rangle & =\frac{i}{(4 \pi t)^{2}} \exp \left(i \int_{y}^{x} d z \cdot V(z)\right) e^{-L(t)}  \tag{22}\\
& \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4}(x-y) \cdot F \cdot \cot (F t) \cdot(x-y)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

can be used to compute the functional trace in Eq. (21). (Here we have $L(t)=$ $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \ln \left((F t)^{-1} \sin (F t)\right)$.) In particular, it follows from Eq. (22) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{tr} e^{-(p-V)^{2} t} A^{\lambda} p^{\sigma}= & \operatorname{tr} \int d z\langle x| e^{-(p-V)^{2} t}|z\rangle i \partial_{y}^{\sigma}\langle z| A^{\sigma}|y\rangle \\
= & \int d x \int d y \delta(x-y) i \partial_{y}^{\sigma}\left[\frac{i}{(4 \pi t)^{2}} \exp \left(i \int_{y}^{x} d z V(z)\right) e^{-L(t)}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \exp \left(-\frac{1}{4}(x-y) \cdot F \cdot \cot (F t) \cdot(x-y)\right) A^{\lambda}(y)\right] \\
= & \frac{i}{(4 \pi t)^{2}} e^{-L(t)} \int d x\left[V^{\sigma}(x) A^{\lambda}(x)+i \partial_{x}^{\sigma} A^{\lambda}(x)\right] \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Substitution Eqs. (221) and (23) into Eq. (21) leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{4} & \left.\approx \frac{-1}{(4 \pi)^{2}} \frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t t^{s-2} e^{-L(t)-m^{2} t} \int d x\left\{\left(\cosh K_{-}-\cosh K_{+}\right) A^{\mu, \mu}(x)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{i}{2} G^{\lambda \sigma}(x) t\left[\left(\frac{\sinh K_{-}}{K_{-}}-\frac{\sinh K_{+}}{K_{+}}\right) F^{\lambda \sigma}-\left(\frac{\sinh K_{-}}{K_{-}}+\frac{\sinh K_{+}}{K_{+}}\right) F^{* \lambda \sigma}\right]\right\} . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Expanding Eq. (24) to lowest order in $F^{\lambda \sigma}$ results in

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{4} \approx \frac{1}{(4 \pi)^{2}} & \left.\frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} d t t^{s-2} e^{-m^{2} t} \int d x\left[\frac{1}{2} t^{2} F^{\lambda \sigma} F^{* \lambda \sigma} A^{\mu, \mu}(x)\right. \\
& \left.-i t G^{\lambda \sigma}(x) F^{* \lambda \sigma}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{(4 \pi)^{2}} \int d x\left[\frac{1}{m^{2}} F^{\lambda \sigma} F^{* \lambda \sigma} A^{\mu, \mu}+i\left(\ln m^{2}\right) G^{\lambda \sigma} F^{* \lambda \sigma}\right] \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Neither term in Eq. (25) would arise from the calculation of one-loop Feynman diagrams with plane wave external fields. For $F_{\mu \nu}$ being a constant field, the first term in Eq. (25) is a total derivative. When either $F$ or $G$ (or both) are non-constant the second term is also a total derivative.

## IV. THE TWO-DIMENSIONAL LIMIT

The two dimensional limit of massive electrodynamics has been considered in refs. [22, 23]. If there is an axial coupling between the spinor and an external axial field, this leads to the one-loop effective action

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{2}=\ln \operatorname{det}\left(\not p-\not A \sigma^{3}-m\right) \quad(p \equiv-i \partial) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, as $\gamma^{\mu} \sigma^{3}=\epsilon^{\mu \nu} \gamma_{\nu}$, this becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{2}=\ln \operatorname{det}\left(\not p-A_{\mu} \epsilon^{\mu \nu} \gamma_{\nu}-m\right) . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, if the background field $A_{\mu}$ corresponds to a constant field strength $A_{\mu}=$ $-\frac{1}{2} F_{\mu \nu} x^{\nu}=-\frac{f}{2} \epsilon_{\mu \nu} x^{\nu}$, then Eq. (27) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{2}=\ln \operatorname{det}\left(\not p-\frac{f}{2} \not x-m\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is what would be obtained if there were a parity conserving coupling with an external vector field $V_{\mu}=\frac{1}{4} f \partial_{\mu}\left(x^{2}\right)$ which corresponds to a pure gauge field. This effective action should thus be independent of $f$, which we will show explicitly by using Schwinger's technique [1].

If now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{\mu}=p_{\mu}-\frac{f}{2} x_{\mu} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

then Eq. (28) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{2}=\ln \operatorname{det}^{1 / 2}(\not \Pi+m)(\not \Pi-m)=\frac{1}{2} \ln \operatorname{det}\left(\Pi^{2}-m^{2}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

upon using the two dimensional analogue of Eq. (8) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\Pi_{\mu}, \Pi_{\nu}\right]=0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Regulating $\Gamma_{2}$ using the $\zeta$-function [24, 25] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{2}=-\left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d s}\right|_{0} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \operatorname{tr} \int_{0}^{\infty} d i t(i t)^{s-1} e^{i\left(m^{2}-\Pi^{2}\right) t} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

To evaluate the functional trace in Eq. (32), we use the Hamiltonian approach of ref. [1], defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle x(t) \mid y(0)\rangle=\langle x| e^{-i H t}|y\rangle \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-\Pi^{2} . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations

$$
\begin{align*}
i \frac{\partial \Pi^{\mu}(t)}{\partial t} & =\left[\Pi^{\mu}(t), H\right]  \tag{35a}\\
i \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial t} & =\left[x^{\mu}(t), H\right] \tag{35b}
\end{align*}
$$

can be integrated to give

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi^{\mu}(t) & =\Pi^{\mu}(0)  \tag{36a}\\
x^{\mu}(t) & =-2 \Pi_{\mu}(0) . \tag{36b}
\end{align*}
$$

Since Eq. (36) is identical to the equations that arise if $f=0$, we see that the effective action in two dimensions for a spinor in the presence of a constant background axial field is just that of a free field.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

We thus see that the one-loop effective action for a spinor in the presence of a constant background chiral field is closely related to that of considered in refs. [1, 6-8] provided $m^{2}=0$. The case in which $m^{2} \neq 0$ in four dimensions has not as yet been given in closed form. Higher order calculations, or those involving non-constant background fields are currently being considered, as is that all-orders approach in the presence of a weak background field [26, 27].

We note the use of projection operators in conjunction with background gauge fields in ref. [28].
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## Appendix

In four dimensional Euclidean space we have the conventions

$$
\left\{\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\right\}=2 \delta^{\mu \nu}, \quad\left[\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\right]=2 i \sigma^{\mu \nu}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\sigma^{\mu \nu}, \sigma^{\lambda \sigma}\right]=2 i\left(\delta^{\mu \lambda} \sigma^{\nu \sigma}-\delta^{\mu \sigma} \sigma^{\nu \lambda}+\delta^{\nu \sigma} \sigma^{\mu \lambda}-\delta^{\nu \lambda} \sigma^{\mu \sigma}\right)} \\
\left\{\sigma^{\mu \nu}, \sigma^{\lambda \sigma}\right\}=2\left(\delta^{\mu \lambda} \delta^{\nu \sigma}-\delta^{\mu \sigma} \delta^{\nu \lambda}\right)-2 \epsilon^{\mu \nu \lambda \sigma} \gamma^{5} \\
\gamma^{\alpha} \gamma^{\beta} \gamma^{\lambda}=\delta^{\alpha \beta} \gamma^{\lambda}-\delta^{\alpha \lambda} \gamma^{\beta}+\delta^{\beta \lambda} \gamma^{\alpha}-\epsilon^{\alpha \beta \lambda \rho} \gamma^{\rho} \gamma^{5} \\
\epsilon^{1234}=1, \quad \gamma^{5}=\gamma^{1} \gamma^{2} \gamma^{3} \gamma^{4}, \quad \operatorname{tr} \gamma^{5}=0 \\
\sigma^{\mu \nu} \gamma^{5}=\epsilon^{\mu \nu \lambda \sigma} \sigma^{\lambda \sigma} . \\
P_{ \pm}=\frac{1 \pm \gamma^{5}}{2} \quad,\left(P_{ \pm}\right)^{2}=P_{ \pm} \quad, \quad P_{ \pm} P_{\mp}=0 \\
P_{ \pm} \gamma^{\mu}=\gamma^{\mu} P_{\mp} \quad, \quad P_{ \pm} \gamma^{5}=\gamma^{5} P_{ \pm} .
\end{gathered}
$$

These show that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\lambda w^{\mu \nu} \sigma^{\mu \nu}}=\left(A_{+}(\lambda) P_{+}+A_{-}(\lambda) P_{-}\right)+\left(B_{+}(\lambda) P_{+}+B_{-}(\lambda) P_{-}\right) w^{\mu \nu} \sigma^{\mu \nu} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the differential equation

$$
\frac{d}{d \lambda} e^{\lambda w^{\mu \nu} \sigma^{\mu \nu}}=w^{\mu \nu} \sigma^{\mu \nu} e^{\lambda \sigma^{\mu \nu} w^{\mu \nu}}
$$

leads to

$$
\dot{A}_{ \pm}=K_{\mp}^{2} B_{ \pm}, \quad \dot{B}_{ \pm}=A_{ \pm} \quad\left(A_{ \pm}(0)=1, B_{ \pm}(0)=0\right)
$$

where $K_{ \pm}^{2}=2\left(w^{\mu \nu} w^{\mu \nu} \pm w^{\mu \nu} w^{* \mu \nu}\right)$ and $w^{* \mu \nu}=\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu \nu \lambda \sigma} w^{\lambda \sigma}$. These have the solution when $\lambda=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{ \pm}=\cosh K_{\mp} \quad B_{ \pm}=\frac{\sinh K_{\mp}}{K_{\mp}} \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The "charge conjugation" matrix $C$ satisfies $C^{-1} \gamma^{\mu} C=-\gamma^{\mu T}, C^{-1} \gamma^{5} C=\gamma^{5 T}$.
In two dimensional Minkowski space, we take

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g^{00}=1=-g^{11} \text { and } \gamma^{0}=\sigma^{1}, \gamma^{1}=i \sigma^{2} \quad \text { so that } \\
& \text { if } \quad \epsilon^{01}=1=\epsilon_{10} \text {, then } \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu}=g^{\mu \nu}-\epsilon^{\mu \nu} \sigma^{3} \text { and } \gamma^{\mu} \sigma^{3}=\epsilon^{\mu \nu} \gamma_{\nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

(where $\sigma^{i}$ is a Pauli spin matrix).
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