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Abstract

The influence of disorder on the transmission through periodic waveguides is studied. Using a canonical form of the transfer matrix we investigate dependence of the Lyapunov exponent $\gamma$ on the frequency $\nu$ and magnitude of the disorder $\sigma$. It is shown that in the bulk of the bands $\gamma \sim \sigma^2$, while near the band edges it has the order $\gamma \sim \sigma^{2/3}$. This dependence is illustrated by numerical simulations.

1 Introduction

Propagation of time-harmonic electromagnetic waves in one-dimensional periodic optical waveguides can often be reduced to the eigenvalue problem

$$-\frac{1}{n^2(x)} \frac{d^2 \psi}{dx^2} = \nu^2 \psi(x), \quad (1)$$

where $\psi(x)$ is a Cartesian component of electric or magnetic field, $n(x) = n(x + \ell)$ is the index of refraction which we suppose to be periodic. A way to find the frequencies $\nu$ for which waves can propagate is by computing the so-called characteristic or transfer matrix $M$ that maps two-dimensional vector with components $\psi$ and $\psi'$ at the beginning of the period to the value of that vector at the end of the period

$$M \begin{bmatrix} \psi(0) \\ \psi'(0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \psi(\ell) \\ \psi'(-\ell) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

Matrix $M$ is an analytic function of the frequency $\nu$ and $\det M = 1$. Propagation frequencies $\nu$ of the problem (1) satisfy the condition

$$|\text{tr} M| < 2. \quad (3)$$

If (3) does not hold for some frequency $\nu$ then the corresponding wave cannot propagate. The spectrum of operator (1) (which is the closure of the set $\{\nu^2\}$ for all propagating frequencies) has a bandgap structure with the bands defined by $|\text{tr} M| \leq 2$. 

In reality, however, periodic waveguides contain finite number of periods \( N \). Equation (1) in this case becomes

\[
-\frac{1}{n_N^2(x)} \frac{d^2 \psi}{dx^2} = \nu^2 \psi(x),
\]

where

\[
n_N(x) = \begin{cases} 
1, & x \notin [0,N\ell], \\
n(x), & x \in [0,N\ell].
\end{cases}
\]

Such waveguide supports any frequency \( \nu \geq 0 \), but transmission for different frequencies varies. The transmission and reflection coefficients \( t_N(\nu) \) and \( r_N(\nu) \) are determined by solving the scattering problem

\[
\frac{d^2 \psi}{dx^2} + \nu^2 n_N^2(x) \psi(x) = 0
\]

with

\[
\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 
e^{i\nu x} + r_N e^{-i\nu x}, & x < 0, \\
t_N e^{i\nu x}, & x > N\ell.
\end{cases}
\]

The transfer matrix over the interval \((0,N\ell)\) is \( M(N\ell) = M^N \). The transfer matrix \( M_P \) in the Prüfer form maps two-dimensional vector with components \( \psi \) and \( \psi'/\nu \) at the beginning of the interval to the value of that vector at the end of the interval, i.e.

\[
M_P(N\ell) = T^{-1} M^N T, \quad T = \begin{bmatrix} |\nu|^{-1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & |\nu|^{1/2} \end{bmatrix}.
\]

This matrix defines the transmission coefficient \([1,2]\)

\[
|t_N|^2 = \frac{4}{\|M^N_P(N\ell)\|_{\text{hs}}^2 + 2} = \frac{4}{\|T^{-1} M^N T\|_{\text{hs}}^2 + 2},
\]

where \( \|M\|_{\text{hs}} \) is the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of the matrix \( M = [M_{i,j}] \)

\[
\|M\|_{\text{hs}}^2 = \text{tr} MM^* = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} M_{i,j}^2.
\]

Random imperfections in periodic waveguides can significantly affect their properties, especially near degenerate band edge, see \([3,4]\). This paper deals with the influence of disorder on the propagation properties of the waveguide. Let \( \tilde{M}_k, k = 1, 2, \ldots, N \) be transfer matrices of the disordered waveguide on the periods \( [(k-1)\ell,k\ell] \). Then formula (8) becomes

\[
|t_N|^2 = \frac{4}{\|T^{-1} \tilde{M}_N \tilde{M}_{N-1} \ldots \tilde{M}_1 T\|_{\text{hs}}^2 + 2}.
\]

The norm of the product of random matrices is related to the Lyapunov exponent

\[
\gamma = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\ln \|\tilde{M}_N \tilde{M}_{N-1} \ldots \tilde{M}_1\|_{\text{hs}}}{N}.
\]
If this limit exists then
\[ |t_N| \sim e^{-\gamma N}, \quad N \to \infty. \] (11)

Thus, the Lyapunov exponent allows one to estimate the energy transmitted through \(N\) periods of the waveguide. In the paper we study \(\gamma\) as a function of disorder strength \(\sigma\) and show that it has different behavior depending on the location of frequency \(\nu\) in the band.

We will consider two types of disorder. Disorder of the first type concerns perturbation of physical properties of a periodic waveguide and is described by the equation
\[- \frac{d^2 \psi}{dx^2} + \left[ \nu^2 A(x) + B(x) \right] \psi + \sigma \frac{\xi_\Delta(x)}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \psi = 0, \quad A(x+\ell) = A(x), \quad B(x+\ell) = B(x),\] (12)
where \(\xi_\Delta\) is a stationary random noise with correlation length \(\Delta \ll 1\), \(E\xi_\Delta = 0\), \(|\xi_\Delta| < 1\), and \(\sigma \ll \sqrt{\Delta}\), i.e. \(\xi_\Delta(x)\) converges in law to the white noise as \(\Delta \to 0\). Disorder of the second type is related to perturbation of the length of the period.

We shall show that in both cases matrices \(\tilde{M}_k\) can be represented (c.f. [5]) as a multiplicative perturbation of \(M\)
\[ \tilde{M}_k = M \left( I + \sigma V_k + O(\sigma^2) \right), \] (13)
where \(\text{tr} V_k = 0\):
\[ V_k = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_k & \eta_k \\ \zeta_k & -\xi_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad k \geq 1, \] (14)
and entries \(\xi_k, \eta_k, \zeta_k\) of \(V_k\) in the limit as \(\Delta \to 0\) form Gaussian random vectors independent for different \(k\). The covariance matrix
\[ B_\Delta = E \begin{bmatrix} \xi_k^2 & \xi_k \eta_k & \xi_k \zeta_k \\ \xi_k \eta_k & \eta_k^2 & \eta_k \zeta_k \\ \xi_k \zeta_k & \eta_k \zeta_k & \zeta_k^2 \end{bmatrix} \] (15)
of elements \(\xi_k, \eta_k, \zeta_k\) is non-degenerate for small \(\Delta > 0\); moreover, the limit \(B_0 = \lim_{\Delta \to 0} B_\Delta\) exists and \(\det B_0 > 0\). Later we will refer mostly to (13) rather than a specific form of the equation. Thus our results can be applied to more general periodic media with small and short correlated disorder.

The paper contains the following results. Section 2 concerns the deterministic problem. We discuss bandgap structure of the spectrum of the periodic problem (1) and properties of the transfer matrix \(M\) near the fixed band edge. We provide an example showing that unlike the Schrödinger equation, the gaps of the optical equation (1) are located irregularly and their length does not go to zero. After that throughout the paper we will consider \(\nu\) only in a fixed band. Then we show that the transfer matrix in a neighborhood of the band edge can be reduced to a simple canonical form defined by equation (1) with \(n = 1\). In Section 3 we show that the canonical representation of the transfer matrix holds for two major cases: the disorder of the refraction index and length of the period. Then in Section 4 we estimate the Lyapunov exponent of randomly perturbed periodic waveguide by the Lyapunov exponent of the simplest model – the Schrödinger equation with white noise potential. Section 5 contains asymptotic analysis of the white noise model for the Schrödinger equation.
and derivation of expression for the Lyapunov exponent. We show that $\gamma \sim \sigma^2$ in the bulk of the band and $\gamma \sim \sigma^{2/3}$ near non-degenerate band edges, and present results of numerical simulations. This asymptotics for the Schrödinger equation leads to a similar estimate for the optical problem due to results of Section 4.

2 Spectral properties of periodic optical waveguides

We start with an example showing the main difference between the optical and Schrödinger equations. Let the index of refraction $n(x)$ be $\ell$-periodic, piecewise constant with two different values $n_1, n_2$ on two successive subintervals of length $l_1, l_2$ on the interval of periodicity $\ell = l_1 + l_2$. Then

$$M = M_2 M_1,$$

(16)

where

$$M_i = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(n_i l_i \nu) & \sin(n_i l_i \nu) \\ -n_i \nu \sin(n_i l_i \nu) & \cos(n_i l_i \nu) \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$  

(17)

Hence

$$\text{tr} M = 2 \cos(n_1 l_1 \nu) \cos(n_2 l_2 \nu)$$

$$- \left( \frac{n_1}{n_2} + \frac{n_2}{n_1} \right) \sin(n_1 l_1 \nu) \sin(n_2 l_2 \nu)$$

$$= A \cos(a \nu) - B \cos(b \nu),$$

(18)

with $A = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{n_1}{n_2} + \frac{n_2}{n_1} \right) + 1$, $B = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{n_1}{n_2} + \frac{n_2}{n_1} \right) - 1$, $a = n_1 l_1 + n_2 l_2$, $b = n_1 l_1 - n_2 l_2$. If numbers $n_1 l_1$ and $n_2 l_2$ are rationally independent then almost periodic function $f(\nu) = \text{tr} M$ oscillates along the $\nu$ axis between $A + B = \frac{n_1}{n_2} + \frac{n_2}{n_1} > 2$ and $-(A + B) < -2$.

In this case there are infinitely many gaps in the spectrum and their length does not vanish as $\nu \to \infty$. In fact, there are values $\nu = \nu_k \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$, such that $\cos a \nu_k = 1$, $\cos b \nu_k \approx -1$, $f(\nu_k) > \frac{1}{2}(A + B + 2) > 2$ and $f_\nu$ is bounded uniformly in $\nu$. At the same time the gaps can be arbitrary small, see Fig. 1.

We remind that in the case of periodic Schrödinger equation

$$-\frac{d^2 \psi}{dx^2} + V(x) \psi(x) = \nu^2 \psi(x), \quad V(x) = V(x + \ell),$$

(19)

the gaps are located periodically (see Fig. 2) and asymptotically vanishing [6]. For the $n$-th gap $g_n$ one has

$$g_n = \left( \frac{\pi n}{\ell} + \epsilon_n, \frac{\pi n}{\ell} + \delta_n, \right), \quad \epsilon_n, \delta_n \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$  

(20)

Asymptotic behavior of $\epsilon_n, \delta_n$, depends on the smoothness of potential $V(x)$ (see [6]).

Our next result concerns the structure of the transfer matrix near the band edges. Let us consider one of the spectral bands $\beta = [\nu_0, \nu_1]$ and analyze the transfer matrix
Figure 1: Graph of the discriminant curve of the periodic optical waveguide with $l_1 = 2$, $l_2 = 0.2$, $n_1 = \sqrt{2}$, $n_2 = 6$ oscillates between $A + B = \frac{n_1}{n_2} + \frac{n_2}{n_1}$ and $-(A + B)$ exhibiting infinite number of bands and gaps as $\nu \to \infty$.

$M$ as a function of $\nu$ when $\nu \to \nu_0$. The case $\nu \to \nu_1$ can be considered similarly. Inside the band $\beta$ we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}M(\nu) \right| < 1.$$  \hfill (21)

The eigenvalues of $M$ have the form $e^{\pm \omega}$, $\omega = \omega(\nu)$, and hence

$$\cos \omega = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}M(\nu).$$  \hfill (22)

This matrix can be reduced to the diagonal form for any $\nu$ strictly inside the band. At the band edges, however, both eigenvalues of $M$ equal either $+1$ or $-1$. Throughout the paper we consider only non-degenerated band edges, i.e., we assume that the derivative of the function $\text{tr}M(\nu)$ is not zero at the band edge (where $|\text{tr}M(\nu)| = 2$). It means that we do not consider points like $\nu = 7.755$ in Fig. 1. Equation (22) immediately implies that $\omega$ is an analytic function of $\sqrt{\nu - \nu_0}$ in a neighborhood of a non-degenerate band edge $\nu = \nu_0$. It is also important that the transfer matrix cannot be diagonalized at a non-degenerate band edge, but rather reduced to the Jordan form.

The simplest example of the discussed situation is given by the equation $\psi'' + \omega^2 \psi = 0$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$. The transfer matrix for that equation is

$$M(\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \omega & \sin \omega \\ -\omega \sin \omega & \cos \omega \end{bmatrix},$$  \hfill (23)

and it turns into the Jordan block at the non-degenerate band edge $\omega = 0$. The next Proposition shows that arbitrary transfer matrix in a neighborhood of a non-degenerate band edge can be reduced to that simple form uniformly in $\omega$. 
Figure 2: Graph of the discriminant curve of the periodic Schrödinger equation (solid line) with $l_1 = 2$, $l_2 = 0.2$, $n_1 = 4$, $n_2 = 9$. Dashed line corresponds to $2 \cos \nu \ell$.

Proposition 1. Let $M = M(\nu)$ be a transfer matrix of equation (1) in a neighborhood of a non-degenerate band edge $\nu = \nu_0$, and let $e^{\pm i\omega}$ be the eigenvalues of $M(\nu)$, $\omega = \omega(\nu)$, $\omega(\nu_0) = 0$. Then there exists an analytic matrix-valued function $D(\omega)$, $\det D \neq 0$, such that

$$\hat{M} = D^{-1} M(\nu) D = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \omega & \sin \omega \\ -\omega \sin \omega & \cos \omega \end{bmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

Remark. This proposition is valid for general matrices with the same properties as those mentioned above for $M(\nu)$.

Proof. Let

$$M(\nu) = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}, \hspace{1cm} \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} M(\nu) = \frac{a + d}{2} = \cos \omega,$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

where $\omega = \omega(\nu)$ is real for $\nu > \nu_0$ (in the band) and $\omega = \omega(\nu)$ is complex for $\nu < \nu_0$ (in the gap). We have that $|b| + |c| > 0$ for $\nu = \nu_0$, since $M(\nu)$ is not diagonalizable. We may assume that $b(\nu_0) \neq 0$, and therefore $|b(\nu)| \geq 0$ for $|\nu - \nu_0| \leq \epsilon$.

The eigenvectors of matrix $M$ corresponding to the eigenvalues $e^{\pm i\omega}$ have the form

$$v_{1,2}(\nu) = \begin{bmatrix} -b \\ a - e^{\pm i\omega} \end{bmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

Vectors $v_{1,2}(\nu)$ form a basis for any $\omega > 0$. However, $v_1 = v_2$ when $\omega = 0$, and the basis degenerates.

In order to avoid the degeneracy, we introduce new basis

$$u_1 = \frac{1}{2}(v_1 + v_2), \hspace{1cm} u_2 = \frac{1}{2i\omega}(v_1 - v_2).$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)
The new basis is uniformly non-degenerate, and matrix $\mathbf{M}$ in this basis has the form
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\cos \omega & \sin \omega \\
-\omega \sin \omega & \cos \omega
\end{bmatrix},
\]
(28)
i.e. (24) holds with
\[
\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix}
-b & 0 \\
a - \cos \omega & -\sin \omega \\
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
(29)

3 Transfer matrix for disordered wave guide

Here we will show that our main assumption (13) on the form of the transfer matrix holds for typical random perturbations of deterministic equations. Two classes of problems will be considered. The first one concerns the equation
\[
-\frac{d^2 \psi}{dx^2} + \left[ \nu^2 A(x) + B(x) \right] \psi = 0, \quad A(x + \ell) = A(x), \quad B(x + \ell) = B(x),
\]
(30)
and its random perturbation
\[
-\frac{d^2 \psi}{dx^2} + \left[ \nu^2 A(x) + B(x) \right] \psi + \sigma \xi(x) \sqrt{\Delta} \psi = 0.
\]
(31)
Here $\xi(x)$ is a stationary random process with short correlation length $\Delta \ll \ell$, $\mathbb{E} \xi = 0$, which converges to the white noise as $\Delta \to 0$, and $\sigma \ll \sqrt{\Delta}$ is a small parameter (strength of disorder).

Let $\psi_1(x)$, $\psi_2(x)$ be the fundamental solutions of equation (30) with $\psi_1(0) = 1$, $\psi_1'(0) = 0$, $\psi_2(0) = 0$, $\psi_2'(0) = 1$, and let $\tilde{\psi}_1(x)$, $\tilde{\psi}_2(x)$ be similar fundamental solutions of the perturbed equation (31). The transfer matrices on the interval $[0, \ell]$ for (30), (31) are given by
\[
\mathbf{M}(\nu) = \begin{bmatrix}
\psi_1(\ell) & \psi_2(\ell) \\
\psi_1'(\ell) & \psi_2'(\ell)
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{M}}(\nu) = \begin{bmatrix}
\tilde{\psi}_1(\ell) & \tilde{\psi}_2(\ell) \\
\tilde{\psi}_1'(\ell) & \tilde{\psi}_2'(\ell)
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
(32)
Transfer matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_k(\nu)$ on the interval $[k\ell, (k+1)\ell]$ is defined similarly (matrix $\mathbf{M}(\nu)$ does not depend on $k$).

**Lemma 1.** The perturbed transfer matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_k(\nu)$ of equation (31) on the interval $[k\ell, (k+1)\ell]$ has the form
\[
\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_k = \mathbf{M} \left( \mathbf{I} + \sigma \mathbf{V}_k + O(\sigma^2) \right).
\]
(33)
Here matrix $\mathbf{V}_k$ has the form (14), $\mathbf{tr} \mathbf{V}_k = 0$, and the covariance matrix $\mathbf{B}_\Delta$ (15) has a limit as $\Delta \to 0$, $\mathbf{B}_\Delta = \mathbf{B} + O(\Delta)$, where $\mathbf{B}$ (and therefore $\mathbf{B}_\Delta$ for small $\Delta$) is non-degenerate.
Proof. Without loss of generality we assume that \( k = 0 \) and omit subindex \( k \) in the proof. We compute \( \tilde{M}(\nu) \) using first order perturbation theory. Solutions \( \psi_{1,2} \) for the disordered waveguide can be found from the integral equation

\[
\tilde{\psi}_i(x) = \psi_i(x) + \sigma \int_0^x G(x, s) \frac{\xi_\Delta(s)}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \tilde{\psi}_i(s) \, ds,
\]

where

\[
G(x, s) = \begin{cases} 
\psi_1(s)\psi_2(x) - \psi_1(x)\psi_2(s), & s < x; \\
0, & s > x.
\end{cases}
\]

Solution of (34) in the linear approximation has the form

\[
\tilde{\psi}_i(x) = \psi_i(x) + \sigma \int_0^x G(x, s) \frac{\xi_\Delta(s)}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \psi_i(s) \, ds.
\]

If we put

\[
\eta_{ij} = \int_0^\ell \psi_i(s)\psi_j(s) \frac{\xi_\Delta(s)}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \, ds, \quad i, j = 1, 2,
\]

then the transfer matrix of the disordered waveguide becomes

\[
\tilde{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 
\tilde{\psi}_1(\ell) & \tilde{\psi}_2(\ell) \\
\tilde{\psi}_1'(\ell) & \tilde{\psi}_2'(\ell)
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 
\psi_1(\ell) & \psi_2(\ell) \\
\psi_1'(\ell) & \psi_2'(\ell)
\end{bmatrix}
+ \sigma \begin{bmatrix} 
\eta_{11}\psi_2'(\ell) - \eta_{12}\psi_1'(\ell) & \eta_{12}\psi_2'(\ell) - \eta_{22}\psi_1'(\ell) \\
\eta_{11}\psi_2(\ell) - \eta_{12}\psi_1(\ell) & \eta_{12}\psi_2(\ell) - \eta_{22}\psi_1(\ell)
\end{bmatrix} + O(\sigma^2)
\]

\[
= M + \sigma M \begin{bmatrix} 
-\eta_{12} & -\eta_{22} \\
\eta_{11} & \eta_{12}
\end{bmatrix} + O(\sigma^2) = M \left( I + \sigma V + O(\sigma^2) \right),
\]

where \( M \) is the unperturbed transfer matrix and \( V = \begin{bmatrix} 
-\eta_{12} & -\eta_{22} \\
\eta_{11} & \eta_{12}
\end{bmatrix} \). Random variables \( \eta_{ij} \) are asymptotically Gaussian when \( \Delta \to 0 \) as it follow from the central limit theorem. Their covariance matrix \( B_\Delta \) (15) has a limit as \( \Delta \to 0 \), \( B_\Delta = B + O(\Delta) \), where \( B \) is expressed in terms of the fundamental solution as follows

\[
B = \begin{bmatrix} 
\int_0^\ell \psi_1^2(s)\psi_2^2(s) \, ds & \int_0^\ell \psi_1(s)\psi_2^3(s) \, ds - \int_0^\ell \psi_1^3(s)\psi_2(s) \, ds \\
\int_0^\ell \psi_1(s)\psi_2^2(s) \, ds & \int_0^\ell \psi_1^2(s)\psi_2^2(s) \, ds - \int_0^\ell \psi_1(s)\psi_2^3(s) \, ds \\
- \int_0^\ell \psi_1^3(s)\psi_2(s) \, ds - \int_0^\ell \psi_1(s)\psi_3^2(s) \, ds & \int_0^\ell \psi_1^3(s) \, ds \end{bmatrix}.
\]

Observe that \( B \) is the Gram matrix for the system of functions \( -\psi_1^2(s), \psi_1(s)\psi_2(s), \psi_2^2(s) \) on \([0, \ell] \). The degeneracy of \( B \) would imply that this system of functions is linearly dependent, i.e.,

\[
c_{11}\psi_1^2(s) + c_{12}\psi_1(s)\psi_2(s) + c_{22}\psi_2^2(s) = 0, \quad s \in [0, \ell],
\]

for appropriate constants \( c_{11}, c_{12}, c_{22} \). The latter is possible only if \( \psi_1 \) and \( \psi_2 \) are proportional, which contradicts to linear independence of \( \psi_1 \) and \( \psi_2 \). Thus, the correlation matrix \( B \) is non-degenerate and so does \( B_\Delta \) for sufficiently small \( \Delta \). \( \square \)
Let us show that (13)-(15) holds for another important class of problems related to the wave propagation in a layered medium with layer thickness disorder. Consider a periodic waveguide described by (1) with \( \ell \)-periodic piecewise-constant function \( n(x) \). Each period \([k\ell, (k+1)\ell]\) consists of \( m \) subintervals of the length \( l_i, \ell = l_1 + l_2 + \ldots + l_m \), where \( n(x) \) is equal to \( n_i \) on \( i \)-th subinterval. Suppose that each subinterval changes randomly to \( l_i(1 + \sigma \xi_i) \), where \( |\xi_i| < 1 \) are independent random variables with densities (for example, \( \xi_i \) are uniformly distributed on the interval \((-1,1)\)) and \( \sigma \) is a small parameter. We assume that distributions of \( \xi_i \) do not depend on \( k \) and omit index \( k \) below. Then the transfer matrix of \( i \)-th subinterval becomes

\[
\widetilde{M}_i = \begin{bmatrix} \cos n_i \nu l_i (1 + \sigma \xi_i) & \sin n_i \nu l_i (1 + \sigma \xi_i) \\ -n_i \nu \sin n_i \nu l_i (1 + \sigma \xi_i) & \cos n_i \nu l_i (1 + \sigma \xi_i) \end{bmatrix} = M_i (I + \sigma V_i + O(\sigma^2)),
\]

where \( M_i = \widetilde{M}_i \big|_{\sigma = 0} \) is the unperturbed transfer matrix of \( i \)-th subinterval, and \( V_i \) has the form

\[
V_i = l_i \xi_i \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\nu^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{tr} \ V_i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m.
\]

The transfer matrix for the period (consider for simplicity the case \( m = 3 \)) is

\[
\widetilde{M} = \widetilde{M}_3 \widetilde{M}_2 \widetilde{M}_1 = M_3 (I + \sigma V_3) M_2 (I + \sigma V_2) M_1 (I + \sigma V_1) + O(\sigma^2)
\]

\[
= M_3 M_2 M_1 \left[ I + \sigma \left( V_1 + M_1^{-1} V_2 M_1 + M_1^{-1} M_2^{-1} V_3 M_2 M_1 \right) + O(\sigma^2) \right].
\]

The last two terms in the parentheses are similar to the matrices \( V_2 \) and \( V_3 \), respectively, and therefore by (42)

\[
\text{tr} \ V_1 = \text{tr} M_1^{-1} V_2 M_1 = \text{tr} M_1^{-1} M_2^{-1} V_3 M_2 M_1 = 0.
\]

Hence,

\[
\widetilde{M} = M (I + \sigma V + O(\sigma^2)),
\]

where \( \text{tr} \ V = 0:\)

\[
V = \begin{bmatrix} \xi & \eta \\ \zeta & -\xi \end{bmatrix}.
\]

A similar result is valid for arbitrary number \( m \) of subintervals on a single period. The entries \( \xi, \eta, \zeta \) are a linear combination of random variables \( \xi_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \).

Let us show that the covariance matrix \( B \) of the vector \( \rho = [\xi, \eta, \zeta] \) is non-degenerate. Each matrix \( V_i \) contains only one random variable \( \xi_i \), and \( \rho \) has the form \( \rho = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \xi_i \), where \( a_i \) are three-dimensional vectors defined by nonrandom matrices.

Without loss of generality we may assume that there are at least three linearly independent vectors among \( \{a_i\} \). If \( m \geq 3 \) one needs only to note that two adjacent subintervals have different refraction indices \( n_i \neq n_{i+1} \). Also, we double the period if \( m = 2 \). Now

\[
B = E \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \xi_i \otimes \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j \xi_j \right) = E \left( \xi_i^2 \right) \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \otimes a_i, \quad \det B \neq 0.
\]

We cannot expect that the law of \( \rho \) is close to the Gaussian. However, if we choose \( N\ell \) as a new period with \( N \gg 1 \) and assume that \( N\sigma^2 \ll 1 \) then the distribution of \( \sum_{i=1}^{N\ell} a_i \xi_i \) will be close to the Gaussian. Thus, an analog of Lemma 1 holds for the case of layer thickness disorder.
4 Comparison theorems for the Lyapunov exponents

The goal of this section is to compare the Lyapunov exponents $\gamma$ for the optical waveguide (31) and for the Schrödinger equation with the white noise potential. The latter equation can be obtained from (31) with $A = -1$, $B = 0$ when $\Delta \to 0$, and it has the form

$$-\psi''(x) + \sigma \psi(x) = \omega^2 \psi(x).$$

(47)

Here we use different notation for the spectral parameter in order to compare these two problems with $\omega = \omega(\nu)$.

**Proposition 2.** Lyapunov exponent $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1(\sigma, \nu)$ for optical equation (31) with $\nu$ in a neighborhood of a band edge $\nu_0$ and Lyapunov exponent $\gamma_2 = \gamma_2(\sigma, \omega)$ for the Schrödinger equation (47) with $\omega = \omega(\nu)$ defined in (22) and (24) estimate each other uniformly with respect to $\sigma$ and $\nu$, i.e. there exist constants $c_1, c_2$ such that $c_1 \gamma_2 \leq \gamma_1 \leq c_2 \gamma_2$ for all $0 < \sigma < \sigma_0$, $|\nu - \nu_0| < \mu_0$ for some $\sigma_0, \mu_0$.

The transfer matrices $\tilde{M}_k$ for the optical problem have the form (13), where matrix $M$ is similar to $\tilde{M}$ in (24):

$$D^{-1} \tilde{M}_k(\nu)D = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \omega & \sin \omega \\ -\omega \sin \omega & \cos \omega \end{bmatrix} (I + \sigma D^{-1} V_k D + O(\sigma^2)), \quad \omega = \omega(\nu), \quad (48)$$

with $V_k$ satisfying (14),(15).

The transfer matrices $\tilde{M}_k(\nu)$ for the Schrödinger equation (47) also satisfy (13)-(15) with $M = \tilde{M}$, since $A = -1$ and $B = 0$:

$$\tilde{M}_k(\nu) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \omega & \sin \omega \\ -\omega \sin \omega & \cos \omega \end{bmatrix} (I + \sigma V_k + O(\sigma^2)). \quad (49)$$

Here $V_k$ are different from those in (48), but both satisfy (14),(15). Now, to justify the proposition one needs only to note that the similarity transformation of all matrices $\tilde{M}_k$ (with the same matrix $D$) does not change $\gamma$ (see section 2) and apply the following

**Lemma 2.** Consider two sequences of independent identically distributed random Gaussian matrices

$$\tilde{M}_k^{(i)} = M_0 \left[ I + \sigma V_k^{(i)} + O(\sigma^2) \right], \quad \text{where}$$

$$V_k^{(i)} = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_k^{(i)} & \eta_k^{(i)} \\ \zeta_k^{(i)} & -\xi_k^{(i)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \xi_k^{(i)} \\ \eta_k^{(i)} \\ \zeta_k^{(i)} \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, B^{(i)}), \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (50)$$

**Correlation matrices** $B^{(1)}$ and $B^{(2)}$ in both sequences are strictly positively definite matrices. Denote by $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ the Lyapunov exponents for the sequences $\tilde{M}_k^{(1)}$ and $\tilde{M}_k^{(2)}$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots$. Let $\det M_0 \neq 0$, $0 < \sigma \leq \sigma_0$, and $\lambda_1 I \leq B^{(1)}, B^{(2)} \leq \lambda_2 I$ with $\lambda_{1,2} > 0$. Then there exist constants $c_1, c_2$ depending on $M_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2$, and $\sigma_0$ such that $c_1 \gamma_2 \leq \gamma_1 \leq c_2 \gamma_2$ uniformly with respect to $M_0, B^{(1,2)}$, and $\sigma$.

The lemma has obvious physical meaning: the stronger the disorder in the waveguide, the greater is its Lyapunov exponent. However, mathematical proof of the lemma is quite tedious and will be published elsewhere.
5 The white noise model

In the previous section we reduced calculation of the Lyapunov exponent to the product of random matrices

$$\tilde{M}(\omega) = M_0 \left[ I + \sigma V_k + O(\sigma^2) \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (51)

where

$$M_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \omega \ell & \frac{\sin \omega \ell}{\omega} \\ -\omega \sin \omega \ell & \cos \omega \ell \end{bmatrix},$$ \hspace{1cm} (52)

and $V_k, k = 1, 2, \ldots$, are independent identically distributed Gaussian matrices. The exact calculation of the Lyapunov exponent for the product of random matrices is, unfortunately, quite a challenging problem. However, it can be calculated for the Schrödinger equation with the white noise potential using the Ito calculus. To this end, we consider the model equation

$$-\psi''(x) + \sigma \dot{w}(x) \psi = \omega^2 \psi(x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$ \hspace{1cm} (53)

where $\dot{w}(x)$ is the standard white noise. Since $\dot{w}(x)$ is a generalized function, we have to define solution of the last equation. We approximate $\dot{w}(x)$ by a piecewise-constant process

$$\xi_\Delta(x) = \frac{\sigma \xi_n}{\sqrt{\Delta}}, \quad x \in [n\Delta, (n+1)\Delta], \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots,$$ \hspace{1cm} (54)

where $\xi_n$ are independent normal random variables with $E\xi = 0$, $\text{Var} \xi = 1$. The transfer matrix over the interval $n\Delta$ has the form

$$M(n\Delta) = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} \omega \sin \left( \sqrt{\omega^2 - \frac{\sigma^2 k}{\sqrt{\Delta}}} \Delta \right) \\ -\omega \Delta + \frac{\sigma \xi_k \sqrt{\Delta}}{\omega} \end{bmatrix} + O(\Delta^{3/2}).$$ \hspace{1cm} (55)

If we introduce the polar coordinates

$$\psi((k+1)\Delta) = r((k+1)\Delta) \sin \theta((k+1)\Delta),$$
$$\psi'((k+1)\Delta) = \omega r((k+1)\Delta) \cos \theta((k+1)\Delta),$$ \hspace{1cm} (56)

then the values of $\psi((k+1)\Delta)$ and $\omega^{-1}\psi'((k+1)\Delta)$ are related through the transfer matrix (55) as follows

$$r((k+1)\Delta) \begin{bmatrix} \sin \theta((k+1)\Delta) \\ \cos \theta((k+1)\Delta) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \omega \Delta \\ -\omega \Delta + \frac{\sigma \xi_k \sqrt{\Delta}}{\omega} & 1 \end{bmatrix} r(k\Delta) \begin{bmatrix} \sin \theta(k\Delta) \\ \cos \theta(k\Delta) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$= r(k\Delta) \begin{bmatrix} \sin \theta(k\Delta) + \omega \Delta \cos \theta(k\Delta) \\ \cos \theta(k\Delta) + \left(-\omega \Delta + \frac{\sigma \xi_k \sqrt{\Delta}}{\omega}\right) \sin \theta(k\Delta) \end{bmatrix}. \hspace{1cm} (57)$$
Denoting
\[ z(k\Delta) = \cot(\theta(k\Delta)) \] (58)
we derive from (57)
\[
z((k+1)\Delta) = \frac{z(k\Delta) - \omega \Delta + \frac{\sigma \xi_k \sqrt{\Delta}}{\omega}}{1 + \omega \Delta z(k\Delta)} \\
= \left[ z(k\Delta) - \omega \Delta + \frac{\sigma \xi_k \sqrt{\Delta}}{\omega} \right] \left[ 1 - \omega \Delta z(k\Delta) + O(\Delta^2) \right] \\
= z(k\Delta) - \omega \Delta + \frac{\sigma \xi_k \sqrt{\Delta}}{\omega} - \omega \Delta z^2(k\Delta) + O(\Delta^{3/2}). \tag{59}
\]

Taking in (59) the limit \( \Delta \to 0 \) we obtain a stochastic differential equation for the limiting phase \( \theta(x) \) corresponding to the white noise potential
\[
\frac{dz(x)}{dx} = \frac{\sigma}{\omega} \dot{w}(x) - \omega(1 + z^2(x)). \tag{60}
\]

Similarly, for the amplitude \( r(x) \) we obtain from (57)
\[
r^2((k+1)\Delta) = r^2(k\Delta) \left[ (\sin(\theta(k\Delta)) + \omega \Delta \cos(\theta(k\Delta)))^2 \right. \\
+ \left. \left( \cos(\theta(k\Delta)) + \left( -\omega \Delta + \frac{\sigma \xi_k \sqrt{\Delta}}{\omega} \right) \sin(\theta(k\Delta)) \right)^2 \right] \\
= r^2(k\Delta) \left[ 1 + \frac{2\sigma \xi_k \sqrt{\Delta}}{\omega} \sin(\theta(k\Delta)) \cos(\theta(k\Delta)) + \frac{\sigma^2 \xi_k^2 \Delta}{\omega^2} \sin^2(\theta(k\Delta)) + O(\Delta^{3/2}) \right]. \tag{61}
\]

Taking the logarithm of both sides of (61) and sending \( \Delta \to 0 \) we obtain a stochastic differential equation for the amplitude \( r(x) \)
\[
\frac{d \ln r(x)}{dx} = \frac{1}{2\omega} \sin 2\theta(x) \dot{w}(x) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2\omega^2} \sin^2(\theta(x)) \cos 2\theta(x). \tag{62}
\]

Equation (60) defines generator \( \mathcal{L} \) of the diffusion process \( z(x) \)
\[
\mathcal{L} = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\omega^2} \frac{d^2}{dz^2} - \omega(1 + z^2) \frac{d}{dz}. \tag{63}
\]

The distribution density \( P(t, z_1, z_2) \) of the diffusion process satisfies the equation
\[
\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \mathcal{L} P \quad \text{with} \quad P|_{t=0} = \delta(z_1 - z_2). \tag{64}
\]

The limiting distribution density \( p \) is then given by
\[
\mathcal{L}^* p = 0 \tag{65}
\]
\[
\frac{\sigma^2}{2\omega^3} \frac{d^2 p}{dz^2} + \frac{d}{dz} \left( (1 + z^2) p \right) = 0.
\]

(66)

Solution of this equation has the form

\[
p(z) = C e^{-\Phi(z)} \int_{-\infty}^{z} e^{\Phi(t)} \, dt, \quad \Phi(z) = \lambda \left( z + \frac{z^3}{3} \right), \quad \lambda = \frac{2\omega^3}{\sigma^2},
\]

(67)

where \( C \) is a normalizing constant

\[
\frac{1}{C} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\Phi(z)} \, dz \int_{-\infty}^{z} e^{\Phi(t)} \, dt = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-2\lambda \left( x + \frac{x^3}{3} \right)} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x}}.
\]

(68)

Now we can calculate the Lyapunov exponent

\[
\gamma = \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{E \ln(r(L))}{L} = - \frac{\sigma^2}{2\omega^2} \int_{0}^{\pi} p(\theta) \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\theta \, d\theta
\]

\[
= \frac{\sigma^2}{2\omega^2} C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1-x^2}{(1+x^2)^2} e^{-\Phi(x)} \, dx \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{\Phi(y)} \, dy.
\]

(69)

Rigorous mathematical analysis of dependence of \( \gamma \) on \( \omega \) and \( \sigma \) is quite cumbersome and will be published elsewhere. Below we give the summary of the result.

### 5.1 Frequency near the band edge

Let \( \omega_0 \neq 0 \) be a band edge that separates a gap and a non-degenerate band (i.e. point A in Fig. 3), and let frequency \( \omega \) be in the band and close to the band edge \( \omega_0 \) such that \( |\omega - \omega_0| = \omega_\Delta \ll 1 \). Then for small \( \sigma \) and \( \omega_\Delta \)

\[
\gamma = C_1 \sigma^{\frac{4}{3}} \quad \text{provided} \quad \omega_\Delta^{\frac{3}{2}} \ll \sigma^2,
\]

(70)

where \( C_1 \) is a constant. We illustrate this dependence numerically when a small disorder is introduced in the lengths \( l_1 \) and \( l_2 \) of the waveguide in Section 3. For propagation frequency \( \omega = 5.6288 \) corresponding to the left edge of the band (point A in Fig. 3) we calculated the transmission coefficient after 1000 periods and then using (11) we obtained the Lyapunov exponent \( \gamma \) averaging the last 500 periods. Results of the numerical simulation are shown in Fig. 4 by circles. The approximating line has equation

\[
\lg \gamma = \frac{2}{3} \lg \sigma + 0.15
\]

(71)

which is in excellent agreement with the asymptotics (70). The same dependence was confirmed numerically in [7].

### 5.2 Frequency in the bulk of the band

If frequency \( \omega \) is located in the band far from the band edges then the character of asymptotics of \( \gamma \) is completely different. In this case

\[
\gamma = C_2 \sigma^2 \quad \text{provided} \quad \sigma \ll 1.
\]

(72)
Figure 3: The discriminant curve and bandgap structure of model 1 with $n_1 = 1$, $n_2 = 2.5$, $l_1 = 1$, $l_2 = 0.1$. Point A corresponds to the left band edge $\omega = 5.6288$ while point B with $\omega = 9$ lies in the middle of the band.

Here $C_2$ is a constant independent of $\sigma$. We illustrate this dependence numerically for frequency $\omega = 9$ (point B in Fig. 3). The result is shown in Fig. 4 by squares. Dependence of $\lg \gamma$ on $\lg \sigma$ is then approximated by the line

$$\lg \gamma = 2 \lg \sigma + 1.34$$

which is well consistent with the asymptotics (72).

6 Conclusions

We have studied the influence of disorder on transmission through periodic waveguides described by the Schrödinger and optical equations. While the bands of the Schrödinger operator have regular structure, it is shown that the bands of the optical operator do not possess this property, and the lengths of its gaps exhibits a chaotic behavior as the frequency increases. Assuming that the transfer matrix of perturbed waveguide has the form $\tilde{M}_k = M (I + \sigma V_k + O(\sigma^2))$ we have shown that this representation is valid for two particular models of disordered waveguides. Using the result that Lyapunov exponents of systems with transfer matrices in the canonical form estimate each other, we have estimated the Lyapunov exponent of the optical waveguide through that of the Schrödinger equation with the white noise potential. Exact dependence of the Lyapunov exponent $\gamma$ was established as a function of frequency $\omega$ and intensity of the disorder $\sigma$. When the frequency $\omega$ lies in the bulk of the band then $\gamma \sim \sigma^2$, while near the band edge $\gamma$ has the order $\gamma \sim \sigma^{2/3}$. Thus, small disorder drastically reduces transmission of the waveguide if the frequencies are located near non-degenerate band edges.
Figure 4: Numerical simulation of dependence of the Lyapunov exponent $\gamma$ on the strength of disorder $\sigma$. Circles and squares correspond to perturbation of points A and B in Fig. 3, respectively, and approximated by the lines with the slopes $2/3$ and 2.
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