

Holographic Dynamics as Way to Solve the Basic Cosmological Problems

Yu. L. Bolotin,^{*} O. A. Lemets,[†] D. A. Yerokhin,[‡] and L. G. Zazunov[§]

*A.I. Akhiezer Institute for Theoretical Physics, National Science Center "Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology",
Akademicheskaya Str. 1, 61108 Kharkov, Ukraine*

We review recent results on the cosmological models based on the holographic principle which were proposed to explain the most of the problems occurring in the Standard Cosmological Model. It is shown that these models naturally solve the cosmological constant problem and coincidence problem. Well-documented cosmic acceleration at the present time was analyzed in the light of holographic dark energy. In particular, we showed that in the model of Universe consisting of dark matter interacting with a scalar field on the agegraphic background can explain the transient acceleration. We also study the impact of ideas on the physics of entangled states in these cosmological models. Entanglement entropy of the universe gives holographic dark energy with the equation of state consistent with current observational data.

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the most promising ideas that emerged in theoretical physics during the last decade was the Holographic Principle proposed by 't Hooft and Susskind [3–7]; it appears to be a new guiding paradigm for the true understanding of quantum gravity theories. Basically, the Holographic Principle states that the fundamental degrees of freedom of a physical system are bound by its surface area in Planck units.

It concerns the number of degrees of freedom in nature and states that the entropy of matter systems is drastically reduced compared to conventional quantum field theory. This claim is supported by the covariant entropy bound [8] which is valid in a rather general class of spacetime geometries. The notion of holography is well developed in certain models and backgrounds, in particular in the context of the *AdS/CFT* correspondence. A more general formulation is lacking, however, and the ultimate role of the holographic principle in fundamental physics remains to be identified.

Although this holographic nature of our description of the particles appears to apply only for particles entering a black hole, one may argue that it must have a much more universal validity. According to general relativity, there should exist a direct mapping that relates physical phenomena in one setting (with a gravitational field present) to another one (freely falling coordinates)[3].

The holographic principle is composed of the two main statements:

1. The number of possible states of a region of space is the same as that of a system of binary degree of freedom distributed on the boundary of the region, i.e. physics inside a causal horizon can be described completely by physics on the horizon;

2. The number of such degrees of freedom N is not indefinitely large but is bounded by the area A of the region (on causal horizon) in Planck units:

$$N \leq \frac{Ac^3}{G\hbar}. \quad (1)$$

Therefore holography says that in a quantum theory of gravity we should be able to describe physics in some region of space by a theory with at most one degree of freedom per unit Planck area. Notice that the number of degrees of freedom N would then increase with the area and not with the volume as we are normally used to. Of course, for all physical systems that we normally encounter the number of degrees of freedom is much smaller than the area, since the Planck length is so small [7]. It is called “holography” because it would be analogous to a hologram which can store a three dimensional image in a two dimensional surface.

II. COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT AND HOLOGRAPHIC PRINCIPLE

Why cosmological constant observed today is so much smaller than the Planck scale? This is one of the most important problems in modern physics. In history, Einstein first introduced the cosmological constant in his famous field equation to achieve a static universe in 1917.

A. The basic problems of the Standard Cosmological Model

Recent observations of supernovae [11], CMB anisotropies [12] and large scale structure [13] point to the presence of a flat universe with a dark energy component. Understanding the origin of dark energy is one of the most important challenges facing cosmology and theoretical physics. One aspect of the problem is to understand what is the role of zero-point vacuum fluctuations.

^{*}Electronic address: ybolotin@gmail.com

[†]Electronic address: oleg.lemets@gmail.com

[‡]Electronic address: denyerokhin@gmail.com

[§]Electronic address: zazun@online.kharkiv.com




FIG. 1: The change of the vacuum energy density parameter, Ω_Λ , as a function of the scale factor a , in a universe with $\Omega_{\Lambda 0} = 0.7$, $\Omega_{m 0} = 0.3$. Scale factors corresponding to the Planck era, electroweak symmetry breaking (EW), and Big Bang nucleosynthesis (BBN) are indicated, as well as the present day. The spike reflects the fact that, in such a universe, there is only a short period in which Ω_Λ is evolving noticeably with time.

In particle physics, the cosmological constant naturally arises as an energy density of the vacuum, which is evaluated by the sum of zero-point energies of quantum fields with mass m as follows

$$\rho_\Lambda = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\Lambda \frac{4\pi k^2 dk}{(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{k^2 + m^2} \approx \frac{\Lambda^4}{16\pi^2}, \quad (2)$$

where $\Lambda \gg m$ is the UV cutoff. Usually the quantum field theory is considered to be valid just below the Planck scale: $M_p \sim 10^{18} \text{ GeV}$, where we used deduced Planck mass $M_p^{-2} = 8\pi G$ for convenience. If we pick up $\Lambda = M_p$, we find that the energy density of the vacuum in this case is estimated as 10^{70} GeV^4 , which is about 10^{120} orders of magnitude larger than the observation value 10^{-47} GeV^4 . This problem is called the cosmological constant problem [14].

Another related but distinct difficulty with ΛCDM is the so-called “why now?” or coincidence problem. *Why* the densities of dark energy and dark matter are comparable *today*? While a cosmological constant is by definition time-independent, the matter energy density is diluted as $1/a^3$ as the Universe expands. Thus, despite evolution of a over many orders of magnitude, we appear to live in an era during which the two energy densities are roughly the same. In other words, if Λ is tuned to give $\Omega_\Lambda \sim \Omega_M$ today, then for essentially all of the previous history of the universe, the cosmological constant was negligible in the dynamics of the Hubble expansion, and for the indefinite future, the universe will undergo a de Sitter-type expansion in which Ω_Λ is near unity and all other components are negligible. The present epoch would then be a very special time in the history of the universe, the only period when $\Omega_M \sim \Omega_\Lambda$.

B. Cosmological constant: holographic view

We now turn to the question of whether some form of a holographic bound may apply to a cosmological theory in which no boundary conditions have been enforced.

For an effective quantum field theory in a box of size L with UV cutoff Λ the entropy S scales extensively, $S \sim L^3 \Lambda^3$

However the specific thermodynamics of black holes [1, 2] has led Bekenstein [1] to postulate that the maximum entropy in a box of volume L^3 behaves non-extensively, growing only as the area of the box. For any Λ , there is a sufficiently large volume for which the entropy of an effective field theory will exceed the Bekenstein limit.

The Bekenstein entropy bound may be satisfied in an effective field theory if we limit the volume of the system according to

$$L^3 \Lambda^3 \lesssim S_{BH} \equiv \pi L^2 M_P^2 \quad (3)$$

where S_{BH} is the entropy of a black hole of radius L [1, 2]. Consequently the length L , which acts as an IR cutoff, cannot be chosen independently of the UV cutoff, and scales as Λ^{-3} .

An effective field theory that can saturate (3) necessarily includes many states with Schwarzschild radius much larger than the box size.

To avoid these difficulties in [10] has been proposed an even stronger constraint on the IR cutoff $1/L$ which excludes all states that lie within their Schwarzschild radius. Since the maximum energy density in the effective theory is Λ^4 , the constraint on L is

$$L^3 \Lambda^4 \lesssim L M_P^2. \quad (4)$$

Here the IR cutoff scales like Λ^{-2} . This bound is far more restrictive than (3).

While saturating this inequality by choosing the largest L it gives rise to a holographic energy density

$$\rho_\Lambda = 3c^2 M_P^2 L^{-2}, \quad (5)$$

where c is a dimensionless constant. Then the key issue is what possible physical scale one can choose as the cutoff L constrained by the fact of the current acceleration of the universe.

Applying (5) this relation to the Universe as whole it is naturally to identify the IR-scale with the Hubble radius (simplest case) H^{-1} . Then for the upper bound of the energy density one finds

$$\rho_\Lambda \sim L^{-2} M_{Pl}^2 \sim H^2 M_{Pl}^2. \quad (6)$$

We will below denote its density as ρ_{DE} . Accounting that $M_{Pl} \simeq 1.2 \times 10^{19} \text{ GeV}$; $H_0 \simeq 1.6 \times 10^{-42} \text{ GeV}$, one finds

$$\rho_{DE} \simeq 3 \times 10^{-47} \text{ GeV}^4. \quad (7)$$

So, this value is comparable to the observed dark energy density $\rho_{obs} \sim 10^{-46} \text{ GeV}^4$. Therefore the holographic dynamics is free from the cosmological constant problem.

The coincidences problem can also be solved within the framework of holographic cosmology [15]. Setting $L = H^{-1}$ in the equation (5) and working with the equality (i.e., assuming that the holographic bound is saturated) it becomes $\rho_{DE} = 3c^2 M_P^2 H^2$. Let us consider the flat universe consisting of nonrelativistic matter and holographic dark energy. The Friedmann equation in this case take the following form

$$3M_P^2 H^2 = \rho_{DE} + \rho_m$$

and can be re-written in a very neat form

$$\rho_m = 3(1 - c^2) M_P^2 H^2. \quad (8)$$

Now, the argument runs as follows: The energy density ρ_m varies as H^2 , which coincides with the dependence of ρ_{DE} on H . The energy density of cold matter is known to scale as $\rho_m \propto a^{-3}$. So, their ratio is constant and has the form

$$\frac{\rho_m}{\rho_{DE}} = \frac{1 - c^2}{c^2}. \quad (9)$$

Therefore the holographic dynamics is free from the cosmic coincidences problem. If $\rho_{DE} \propto H^2$, then dynamical behavior of holographic dark energy coincides with that of normal matter, thus the accelerated expansion is impossible.

In order to produce the accelerated expansion of Universe in frames of holographic dark energy model we will try to use the IR-cutoff spatial scale different from the Hubble radius. The first thing that comes to mind is a consideration as the cutoff value of the cosmological particle or event horizon.

The particle horizon is given by

$$R_h = a \int_0^t \frac{dt}{a} = a \int_0^a \frac{da}{Ha^2}. \quad (10)$$

Substituting in (5) expression for R_H and using the equation of covariant conservation, it is easy to verify [16] that expression for the equation of state parameter $w = p/\rho$ takes the form $w = -\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3c} > -\frac{1}{3}$. So, this IR-scale can not provide the accelerated expansion of the universe. To get an accelerating expansion of Universe, we supersede the particle horizon by the future event horizon

$$R_h = a \int_t^\infty \frac{dt}{a} = a \int_a^\infty \frac{da}{Ha^2}.$$

This horizon is the boundary of the volume a fixed observer may eventually observe. One is to formulate a theory regarding a fixed observer within this horizon. In this case, the equation of state parameter acquire the form

$$w = -\frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{3c}. \quad (11)$$

We obtain a component of energy behaving as dark energy. If we take $c = 1$, its behavior is similar to the cosmological constant. If $c < 1$, $w < -1$, a value achieved in the past only in the phantom model in the traditional approach.

For the first impression the declared task is completed. The holographic dark energy with equation of state parameter (11) from the one hand provides correspondence between the observed density and the theoretical estimate, and from the other it leads to the state equation which is able to generate the accelerated expansion of Universe. However the holographic dark energy with IR-cutoff on the event horizon still leaves unsolved problems connected with the causality principle: according to the definition of the event horizon the holographic dark energy dynamics depends on future evolution of the scale factor. Such dependence is hard to agree with the causality principle.

In addition to these parameters of infrared cutoff scale in the literature are discussed the Ricci scalar curvature and scale associated with the age of the universe. The latter type of dark energy is so-called agegraphic dark energy.

The former based on the fact that space-time curvature is non-zero, so it can be associated with a horizon, that is considered as a holographic screen. The latter type of energy is so-called the agegraphic dark energy. This kind of dark energy we study in more detail.

1. Agegraphic dark energy

According to the definition the agegraphic dark energy is the holographic dark energy in the infrared cutoff scale equal to the age of the universe. It is remarkable that this kind of energy can be obtained from independent and less radical conception.

The existence of quantum fluctuations in the metric directly leads to the following conclusion, related to the problem of distance measurements in the Minkowski space: the distance t^1 cannot be measured with precision exceeding the following

$$\delta t = \beta t_{Pl}^{2/3} t^{1/3}, \quad (12)$$

where β is a factor of order of unity. This expression is so-called Karolyházy uncertainty relation [17].

The Károlyházy relation (12) together with the time-energy uncertainty relation enables one to estimate a quantum energy density of the metric fluctuations of Minkowski space-time [19–21]. With the relation (12), a length scale t can be known with a maximal precision δt determining a minimal detectable cell δ^3 over a spatial

¹ recall that we use the system of units where the light speed equals $c = \hbar = 1$, so that $L_{Pl} = t_{Pl} = M_{Pl}^{-1}$

region t^3 . Thus one is able to look at the microstructure of space-time over a region t^3 by viewing the region as the one consisting of cells $\delta t^3 \sim t_p^2 t$. Therefore such a cell δt^3 is the minimal detectable unit of space-time over a given length scale t and if the age of the space-time is t , its existence due to the time-energy uncertainty relation cannot be justified with energy smaller than $\sim t^{-1}$. Hence, as a result of the relation (12), one can conclude that if the age of the Minkowski space-time is t over a spatial region with linear size t (determining the maximal observable patch) there exists a minimal cell δt^3 , the energy of the cell cannot be smaller than [18, 20, 21]

$$E_{\delta t^3} \sim t^{-1}, \quad (13)$$

due to the time-energy uncertainty relation, it was argued that the energy density of metric fluctuations of Minkowski spacetime is given by

$$\rho_q \sim \frac{E_{\delta t^3}}{\delta t^3} \sim \frac{1}{t_p^2 t^2} \sim \frac{M_p^2}{t^2}. \quad (14)$$

In [20] (see also [21]), it is noticed that the Károlyházy relation naturally obeys the holographic black hole entropy bound [1]. It is worth noting that the form of energy density Eq. (14) is similar to the one of holographic dark energy [22, 23], i.e., $\rho_\Lambda \sim l_p^{-2} t^{-2}$. The similarity between ρ_q and ρ_Λ might reveal some universal features of quantum gravity, although they arise from different sources. As the most natural choice, the time scale t in Eq. (14) is chosen to be the age of our Universe. Therefore, we call it “agegraphic” dark energy [21].

The relation (14) allows to introduce an alternative model for holographic dark energy, which uses the age of Universe T for IR-cutoff scale. In such a model

$$\rho_q = \frac{3n^2 M_{Pl}^2}{T^2}, \quad (15)$$

where n is a free parameter of model, and the number coefficient 3 is introduced for convenience. So defined energy density (15) with $T \sim H_0^{-1}$, where H_0 is the current value of the Hubble parameter, leads to the observed value of the dark energy density with the coefficient n value of order of unity. Thus in SCM, where $H_0 \simeq 72 \text{ km sec}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_{DE} \simeq 0.73$, $T \simeq 13.7 \text{ Gyr}$, one finds that $n \simeq 1.15$.

Suppose that the Universe is described by the Friedmann equation

$$H^2 = \frac{1}{3M_{Pl}^2} (\rho_q + \rho_m). \quad (16)$$

The state equation for the dark energy is

$$w_q = -1 + \frac{2}{3n} \sqrt{\Omega_q}. \quad (17)$$

So such universe will be accelerated expanded, and would be similar to ΛCDM . Thus the holographic model for dark energy with IR-cutoff scale set to the Universe age, allows the following:

1. to obtain the observed value of the dark energy density;
2. provide the accelerated expansion regime on later stages of the Universe evolution;
3. resolve contradictions with the causality principle.

The first successes of holographic principle application from one hand awoke hopes to create on that basis an adequate description of the Universe dynamics, free of a number of problems which the traditional approach suffers from. Nevertheless we do not discard other possibilities, on which exist the allusions of observations.

C. Observations Challenge

A. Starobinsky [24] and co-workers investigated the course of cosmic expansion in its recent past using the Constitution SN Ia sample (which includes CfA data at low redshifts), jointly with signatures of baryon acoustic oscillations (BAO) in the galaxy distribution and fluctuations in the cosmic microwave background (CMB). Allowing the equation of state of dark energy (DE) to vary, they find that a coasting model of the universe ($q_0 = 0$) fits the data about as well as ΛCDM . This effect, which is most clearly seen using the recently introduced Om diagnostic [25], corresponds to an increase of Om and q at redshifts $z \lesssim 0.3$. In geometrical terms, this suggests that cosmic acceleration may have already peaked and that we are currently witnessing its slowing down (see figure II C).

The case for evolving dark energy strengthens if a subsample of the Constitution set consisting of SNLS+ESSENCE+CfA SN Ia data is analysed in combination with BAO+CMB using the same statistical methods. Thus the main result of the analysis is the following: SCM is not unique though the simplest explanation of the observational data, and the accelerated expansion of Universe presently dominated by dark energy is just a transient phenomenon.

Thus, the evolutionary behavior of dark energy reconstructed and the issue of whether the cosmic acceleration is slowing down or even speeding up is highly dependent upon the SNIa data sets, the light curve fitting method of the SNIa, and the parametrization forms of the equation of state. In order to have a definite answer, we must wait for data with more precision and search for the more reliable and efficient methods to analyze these data.

Model with the holographic dark energy, as discussed above, in their original form, do not allow to explain the nonmonotonic dependence of the cosmological parameters.




FIG. 2: The deceleration parameter dependence $q(z)$ reconstructed from independent observational data, including the brightness curves for SN Ia, cosmic microwave background temperature anisotropy and baryon acoustic oscillations (BAO). The red solid line shows the best fit on the confidence level 1σ CL[24].

III. THE MODEL OF INTERACTING DARK ENERGY WITH A TRANSIENT ACCELERATION PHASE

Current literature usually considers the models where the required dynamics of Universe is provided by one or another, and always only one, type of dark energy.

As was multiply mentioned above, in order to explain the observed dynamics of Universe, the action for gravitational field is commonly complemented, besides the conventional matter fields (both matter and baryon), by either the cosmological constant, which plays role of physical vacuum in SCM, or more complicated dynamical objects — scalar fields, K -essence and so on. In the context of holographic cosmology, the latter term is usually neglected, restricting to contribution of the boundary terms. Nevertheless such restriction has no theoretical motivation.

We consider the cosmological model which contains both volume and surface terms. The role of former is played by homogeneous scalar field in exponential potential, which interact with dark matter. The boundary term responds to holographic dark energy in form of (15). This scenario predicts transient accelerating phase.

To describe the dynamical properties of the Universe it is convenient to transform to dimensionless variables as the following:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{\dot{\varphi}}{\sqrt{6}M_{Pl}H}, y = \frac{1}{M_{Pl}H} \sqrt{\frac{V(\varphi)}{3}}, \\ z &= \frac{1}{M_{Pl}H} \sqrt{\frac{\rho_m}{3}}, u = \frac{1}{M_{Pl}H} \sqrt{\frac{\rho_q}{3}}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The evolution of scalar field is described by the Klein-Gordon equation, which in the case of interaction between the scalar field and matter takes the following

form:

$$\ddot{\varphi} + 3H\dot{\varphi} + \frac{dV}{d\varphi} = -\frac{Q}{\dot{\varphi}}. \quad (19)$$

In the present section we consider the case when the interaction parameter Q is a linear combination of energy density for scalar field and dark energy

$$Q = 3H(\alpha\rho_\varphi + \beta\rho_m), \quad (20)$$

where α, β are constant parameter. For given model, regardless the explicit form of the scalar field potential $V(\varphi)$.

As was mentioned above, here we consider the simplest case of exponential potential

$$V = V_0 \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{\mu\varphi}{M_{Pl}}\right), \quad (21)$$

where μ is constant.

Taking into account the expression (18), the system of equations describing the dynamics of the universe in this model reads

$$\begin{aligned} x' &= \frac{3x}{2} \left[g(x, z, u) - \frac{\alpha(x^2 + y^2) + \beta z^2}{x^2} \right] - 3x - \mu y^2, \\ y' &= \frac{3y}{2} g(x, z, u) + \mu xy, \\ z' &= \frac{3z}{2} \left[g(x, z, u) + \frac{\alpha(x^2 + y^2) + \beta z^2}{z^2} \right] - \frac{3}{2}z, \\ u' &= \frac{3u}{2} g(x, z, u) - \frac{u^2}{n}, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where

$$g(x, z, u) = 2x^2 + z^2 + \frac{2}{3n}u^3, \quad \lambda \equiv -\frac{1}{V} \frac{dV}{d\varphi} M_{Pl}. \quad (23)$$

Next, we consider the simplest case in which this model can obtained the regime of transient acceleration. $Q = 3H\alpha\rho_\varphi$ We consider the case with the interaction parameter of the form (20) with $\beta = 0$. For example, we consider the case presented in the figure 3. With these values of the parameters of interaction, transient acceleration begins almost in the present era. So, one of the deficiencies of original agegraphic dark energy model is the inability to explain the phenomenon of transient acceleration, in this model can be solved.

IV. ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY AND HOLOGRAPHY

In quantum information science, quantum entanglement is a central concept and a precious resource allowing various quantum information processing such as quantum key distribution. The entanglement is a quantum nonlocal correlation which can not be prepared by local operations and classical communication [28]. For pure




FIG. 3: Behavior of Ω_ϕ (dot line), Ω_q (dash line) and Ω_m (solid line) as a function of $N = \ln a$ for $n = 3$, $\alpha = 0.005$ and $\mu = -5$ (upper plot). Evolution of deceleration parameter for this model (center) and the deceleration parameter $q(z)$ reconstructed from independent observational data (lower plot).

states the entanglement entropy S_{Ent} is a good measure of entanglement. For a bipartite system AB described by a full density matrix ρ_{AB} . The von Neumann entropy S_{Ent} is

$$S_{Ent} = -Tr(\rho_A \ln \rho_A), \quad (24)$$

where ρ_A – reduced matrix obtained by “tracing out” the degrees of freedom of system B (which is quantum-correlated with A) and given by

$$\rho_A \equiv Tr_B \rho_{AB} \quad (25)$$

The Basic Conjecture of the Entanglement entropy are

1. Quantum entanglement of matter or the vacuum in the universe increases like the entropy;
2. There is a new kind of force - quantum entanglement force associated with this tendency;
3. Gravity and dark energy are types of the quantum entanglement force associated with the increase of the entanglement, similar to Verlinde’s [26] entropic force linked with the increase of the entropy.




FIG. 4: The space around a massive object with mass M can be divided into two subspaces, the inside and the outside of an imaginary spherical surface with a radius r . The surface Σ has the entanglement entropy $S_{ent} \propto r^2$ and entanglement energy $E_{ent} \equiv \int_{\Sigma} T_{ent} dS_{ent}$. If there is a test particle with mass m , it feels an effective attractive force in the direction of increase of entanglement.

For an entanglement system in the flat spacetime, we consider the three-dimensional spherical volume V and its enclosed boundary Σ (See Fig. IV). We assume that this system with radius r and the cutoff scale b is described by the local quantum field theory of a free scalar field ϕ .

In general, the vacuum entanglement entropy of a spherical region with a radius r with quantum fields can be expressed in the form

$$S_{ent} = \frac{\beta r^2}{b^2}, \quad (26)$$

where β is an $O(1)$ constant that depends on the nature of the field (like n in the agegraphic dark energy) and b is the UV-cutoff.

The entanglement energy is carried by the modes around \mathcal{B} , which implies that the cutoff scale b is introduced only in the r direction through the length contraction b^2/r^2 . We start by noting the similarity between the entanglement system in the flat spacetime and the stretched horizon formulation of the Schwarzschild black hole.

So, S_{Ent} has a form consistent with the holographic principle, although it is derived from quantum field theory without using the principle. Thus, from different and independent physical assumptions, we come to equal physical consequence. We can use both of this ideology with equal success and equivalent effect.

Why are we considering the quantum entanglement as an essential concept for gravity? First, there are interesting similarities between the holographic entropy and the entanglement entropy of a given surface. Both are proportional to its area in general and related to quantum nonlocality. Second, when there is a gravitational force, there is always a Rindler horizon for some observers, which acts as information barrier for the observers. This can lead to ignorance of information beyond the horizons, and the lost information can be described by the entanglement entropy. The spacetime should bend itself so that the increase of the entanglement entropy compensate the lost information of matter. Third, if we use the entanglement entropy of quantum fields instead of thermal entropy of the holographic screen, we can understand the microstates of the screen and explicitly calculate, in principle, relevant physical quantities using the quantum field theory in the curved spacetime. The microstates can be thought of as just quantum fields on the surface or its discretized oscillators. Finally, identifying the holographic entropy as the entanglement entropy could explain why the derivations of the Einstein equation is involved with entropy, the Planck constant \hbar and, hence, quantum mechanics. All these facts indicate that quantum mechanics and gravity has an intrinsic connection,

and the holographic principle itself has something to do with quantum entanglement.

V. CONCLUSION

In this work we did a brief overview of the application of holographic principle for solving the basic problems of the standard cosmological model. It is shown how a model based on the holographic principle naturally solve the cosmological constant problem and coincidence problem. Proposed modification of this model was capable of explaining the possibility of nonlinear dynamics of the cosmological parameters - the phenomenon transient acceleration. It is shown that there is a deep analogy between the cosmological models with the holographic principle and models with quantum entanglement entropy.

Acknowledgements

Work is supported in part by the Joint DFFD-RFBR Grant # F40.2/040.

-
- [1] J. D. Bekenstein, *Black Holes and Entropy*, Phys. Rev. D **7**, 2333–2346 (1973); *Generalized Second Law of Thermodynamics in Black Hole Physics*, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 3292–3300 (1974); *A Universal Upper Bound On The Entropy to Energy Ratio for Bounded Systems*, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 287–298 (1981); *Entropy Bounds and Black Hole Remnants*, gr-qc/9307035, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 1912–1921 (1994).
 - [2] S. W. Hawking, *Particle Creation by Black Holes*, Communications In Mathematical Physics, Volume 43, Issue 3, pp.199–220; *Black Holes and Thermodynamics*, Phys. Rev. D **13**, 191–197 (1976).
 - [3] G. 'tHooft: “Dimensional reduction in quantum gravity”. In *Salanfestschrift* pp. 284–296, ed. by A. Alo, J. Ellis, S. Randjbar-Daemi, World Scientific Co, Singapore, 1993 [gr-qc/9310006].
 - [4] L. Susskind, *The World as a Hologram*, J. Math. Phys. **36** (1995) 6377 [hep-th/9409089v2].
 - [5] D. A. Lowe, J. Polchinski, L. Susskind, L. Thorlacius, and J. Uglum, *Black Hole Complementarity Versus Locality*, hep-th/9506138, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 6997–7010 (1995).
 - [6] E. Witten, *Anti de Sitter space and holography*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 253 [hep-th/9802150v2].
 - [7] Maldacena J. M. Gravity, Particle Physics and their Unification, arXiv:hep-ph/0002092v1
 - [8] R. Bousso, Class. Quant. Grav. **17** (2000) 997 [hep-th/9911002].
 - [9] R. Bousso, Rev. Mod. Phys. **74** (2002) 825–874 [hep-th/0203101].
 - [10] Andrew G. Cohen, David B. Kaplan and Ann E. Nelson, Effective Field Theory, Black Holes, and the Cosmological Constant, arXiv:hep-th/9803132v2
 - [11] S. Perlmutter *et al.*, The Supernova Cosmology Project, Astrophys. J. **517**, 565 (1999); A. G. Riess *et al.*, High- z Supernova Search, Astrophys. J. **116**, 1009 (1998).
 - [12] P. de Bernardis *et al.*, Nature **404**, 955 (2000); A. E. Lange *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 042001 (2001); C. B. Netterfield *et al.*, astro-ph/0104460; C. Pryke *et al.*, astro-ph/0104490; R. Stompor *et al.*, astro-ph/0105062.
 - [13] J. Peacock and S. Dodds, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. **267**, 1020 (1994).
 - [14] S. Weinberg, The cosmological constant proble, Rev. Mod. Phys. **61**, 1 (1989); S. Carroll, The Cosmological Constant, astro-ph/0004075.
 - [15] Diego Pavon, Winfried Zimdahl, Holographic dark energy and cosmic coincidence, Phys.Lett. B **628** 206-210 (2005), arXiv:gr-qc/0505020v3
 - [16] Miao Li, A Model of Holographic Dark Energy, Phys.Lett. B **603** 1 (2004), arXiv:hep-th/0403127v4
 - [17] F. Károlyházy, Nuovo Cim. A **42** (1966) 390; F. Károlyházy, A. Frenkel and B. Lukács, in *Physics as Natural Philosophy*, edited by A. Simony and H. Feshbach, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA (1982); F. Károlyházy, A. Frenkel and B. Lukács, in *Quantum Concepts in Space and Time*, edited by R. Penrose and C. J. Isham, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1986).
 - [18] Naoki Sasakura, An Uncertainty Relation of Space-Time, Prog. Theor. Phys. Vol. **102** No. 1 (1999) 169, [arXiv:hep-th/9903146].
 - [19] M. Maziashvili, Space-time in light of Karolyhazy uncertainty relation, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **16** (2007) 1531, [gr-qc/0612110].
 - [20] M. Maziashvili, Cosmological implications of Karolyhazy uncertainty relation, Phys. Lett. B **652** (2007) 165,

- [arXiv:0705.0924].
- [21] R. G. Cai, A Dark Energy Model Characterized by the Age of the Universe, *Phys. Lett. B* **657** (2007) 228, [arXiv:0707.4049].
- [22] H. Wei and S. N. Zhang, Age Problem in the Holographic Dark Energy Model, *Phys. Rev. D* **76** (2007) 063003, [arXiv:0707.2129].
- [23] X. Zhang and F. Q. Wu, *Phys. Rev. D* **76** (2007) 023502, [astro-ph/0701405].
- [24] Arman Shafieloo, Varun Sahni, Alexei A. Starobinsky, Is cosmic acceleration slowing down?, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 101301 (2009), [arXiv:0903.5141v4]
- [25] Varun Sahni, Arman Shafieloo, Alexei A. Starobinsky, Two new diagnostics of dark energy, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 103502 (2008) [arXiv:0807.3548v3].
- [26] E. P. Verlinde, On the Origin of Gravity and the Laws of Newton, *JHEP*04(2011)029, arXiv:1001.0785 (2010).
- [27] Yun Soo Myung, Yong-Wan Kim, Entropic force and entanglement system, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 105012 (2010), arXiv:1002.2292v2 [hep-th]
- [28] Jae-Weon Lee, Hyeong-Chan Kim, Jungjai Lee, Gravity as Quantum Entanglement Force, arXiv:1002.4568v1 [hep-th]