

Vanishing Thermal Mass in the Strongly Coupled QCD/QED Medium

Hisao Nakkagawa,^{*} Hiroshi Yokota,[†] and Koji Yoshida[‡]

Institute for Natural Science, Nara University, 1500 Misasagi-cho, Nara 631-8502, Japan

(Dated: February 18, 2022)

In this paper we perform a nonperturbative analysis of a thermal quasifermion in thermal QCD/QED by studying its self-energy function through the Dyson-Schwinger equation with the hard-thermal-loop resummed improved ladder kernel. Our analysis reveals several interesting results, some of which may force us to change the image of the thermal quasifermion: (1) The thermal mass of a quasifermion begins to decrease as the coupling gets stronger and finally disappears in the strong coupling region, (2) the imaginary part of the chiral invariant mass function (i.e., the decay width of the quasifermion) persists to have $O(g^2 T \log(1/g))$ behavior. Present results suggest that in the recently produced strongly coupled quark-gluon-plasma, the thermal mass of a quasifermion should vanish. We also briefly comment on evidence of the existence of a massless, or an ultrasoft mode.

I. INTRODUCTION

High energy heavy ion collision experiments carried out at the BNL Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) and CERN LHC have achieved the creation of a quark-gluon plasma (QGP) phase, thus liberating the quark and gluon degrees of freedom. Unexpectedly, however, the produced QGP medium showed the property close to that of a perfect fluid. This fact leads us to the understanding that the QGP produced in the energy-region of the BNL RHIC is a strongly interacting system of quarks and gluons, namely, the strongly coupled QGP (sQGP) [1]. With this finding, properties of a thermal quasi-particle in the QGP phase attracted our interest once again.

Up to now, most of the theoretical findings on thermal quasifermion in the QGP are obtained through the analyses with the assumption of weakly coupled QGP at high temperature, i.e., analyses through the hard-thermal-loop (HTL) resummed effective perturbation calculation[2], or those through the one-loop calculation by replacing the thermal gluon with the massive vector boson [3]. Such analyses, however, cannot be justified in studying the thermal quasiparticle in the sQGP created in the energy region of BNL RHIC, requiring us to perform nonperturbative studies. Calculations of correlators within lattice QCD are performed in Euclidean space and give interesting results [4]. However, strictly speaking it is not possible to carry out an analytic continuation that is necessary to determine the spectral function. In addition it is difficult on the lattice to respect the chiral symmetry that should be restored in the sQGP phase, though we are interested in the property of thermal quasiparticle in the chiral symmetric sQGP phase.

In this paper we perform a nonperturbative analysis of thermal quasifermion in a thermal QCD/QED by study-

ing its self-energy function through the Dyson-Schwinger equation (DSE) with the HTL resummed improved ladder kernel. Our analysis may overcome the problems in the previous analyses listed above for the following reasons: (1) it is a nonperturbative QCD/QED analysis, (2) we study the DSE in the real-time formalism of thermal field theory, which is suitable for the direct calculation of the propagator, or the spectral function, (3) we use the HTL resummed thermal gauge boson (gluon/photon) propagator as an interaction kernel of the DSE, and take into account the quasiparticle decay processes by accurately studying the imaginary part of the self-energy function, and finally, (4) we present an analysis based on the DSE that respects the chiral symmetry and describes its dynamical breaking and restoration. Our analysis is nothing but an application of our formalism employing the DSE to the study of thermal quasi-femion on the strongly coupled QCD/QED medium with chiral symmetry [5].

Analogous studies employing the DSE are carried out by several groups [6]. All these analyses solve the DSE in the imaginary-time formalism, and try to perform an analytic continuation. Harada et al. study the DSE with a ladder kernel in which the vertex function and gauge boson propagator are replaced with the tree level quantities, while Qin et al. and Mueller et al. use the maximum entropy method to compute the quark spectral density.

Our analysis reveals several interesting results, some of which may force us to change the image of thermal quasifermion: (1) While the thermal mass of a quasifermion begins to decrease, the strength of the coupling gets stronger and finally disappears in the strong coupling region, thus showing a property of a massless particle, (2) its imaginary part (i.e., the decay width) persists to have $O(g^2 T \log(1/g))$ behavior. These results suggest that in the recently produced strongly coupled QGP, the thermal mass of a quasifermion should vanish.

In the present paper, we report the results of the analysis by focusing mainly on the behavior of chiral invariant thermal mass and its imaginary part in the strongly coupled gauge theories. Full results on properties of the thermal quasifermion will be given in a separate paper [7].

^{*}Electronic address: nakk@daibutsu.nara-u.ac.jp

[†]Electronic address: yokotah@daibutsu.nara-u.ac.jp

[‡]Electronic address: yoshidak@daibutsu.nara-u.ac.jp

II. HTL RESUMMED IMPROVED LADDER DSE FOR QUASIFERMION SELF-ENERGY FUNCTION Σ_R

The retarded quasifermion propagator $S_R(P), P = (p_0, \mathbf{p})$, is expressed by

$$S_R(P) = \frac{1}{\not{P} + i\epsilon\gamma^0 - \Sigma_R(P)}, \quad (1)$$

where Σ_R is the quasifermion self-energy function that is tensor decomposed in a chiral symmetric phase at finite temperature as follows:

$$\Sigma_R(P) = (1 - A(P))p_i\gamma^i - B(P)\gamma^0. \quad (2)$$

$A(P)$ is the inverse of the fermion wave function renormalization function, and $B(P)$ is the chiral invariant mass function. The c-number mass function does not appear in the chiral symmetric phase.

As for the interaction kernel of the DSE, we use the tree vertex and the HTL resummed gauge boson propagator, and get the HTL resummed improved ladder DSE to determine the scalar invariants $A(P)$ and $B(P)$. (N.B.: To the longitudinal gauge boson propagator we apply the instantaneous exchange approximation, i.e., the zero-th component of the longitudinal gauge boson momentum q_0 is set to zero.) In this paper we study the massless QCD/QED in the Landau gauge, and the DSE to determine A and B becomes [8]

$$\begin{aligned} -i\Sigma_R(P) = & -\frac{g^2}{2} \int \frac{d^4 K}{(2\pi)^4} \\ & \times [* \Gamma_{RAA}^\mu(-P, K, P-K) S_{RA}(-K, K) \\ & \times * \Gamma_{RAA}^\nu(-K, P, K-P) * G_{RR,\mu\nu}(K-P, P-K) \\ & + * \Gamma_{RAA}^\mu(-P, K, P-K) S_{RR}(-K, K) \\ & \times * \Gamma_{AAR}^\nu(-K, P, K-P) * G_{RA,\mu\nu}(K-P, P-K)], \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $*G_{\mu\nu}$ is the HTL resummed gauge boson propagator [9] and $*\Gamma_\mu = \gamma_\mu$ in the present approximation.

The HTL resummed effective perturbation analysis enables us to study thermal physics of $O(gT)$. Thus, we expect that the nonperturbative analysis employing the DSE with the HTL resummed dressed kernel at least takes into account the important effects of thermal fluctuations up to $O(gT)$, and enables us to give reliable solutions over wider range of couplings and temperatures, i.e., the region $\lesssim O(gT)$.

In the chiral symmetric phase the fermion propagator can be expressed as

$$S_R(P) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{D_+} \left(\gamma^0 + \frac{p_i \gamma^i}{p} \right) + \frac{1}{D_-} \left(\gamma^0 - \frac{p_i \gamma^i}{p} \right) \right] \quad (4)$$

where

$$D_\pm(P) = p_0 + B(p_0, p) \mp pA(p_0, p) \quad (5)$$

with

$$\text{Re}[D_+(p_0, p)] = -\text{Re}[D_-(-p_0, p)], \quad (6)$$

$$\text{Im}[D_+(p_0, p)] = \text{Im}[D_-(-p_0, p)]. \quad (7)$$

III. QUASIFERMION DISPERSION LAW

The quasifermion pole is defined by the zero-point of the inverse of the chiral invariant fermion propagator $D_\pm(P) \equiv D_\pm(p_0, p)$,

$$\text{Re}[D_\pm(p_0 = \omega_\pm, p)] = 0, \quad (8)$$

which determines the dispersion law of this pole. In Fig. 1 we give the quasifermion dispersion law $\omega = \omega_\pm(p)$ at small coupling $\alpha \equiv g^2/4\pi$ and at moderately high temperature [10]. It should be noted that, as can be seen in Fig. 1, in the region of weak coupling strength $\alpha \lesssim 0.01$, the dispersion law lies on a universal curve determined by the HTL calculations [9]. Thus, the result shows a good agreement with the HTL resummed effective perturbation calculation. The quasifermion has a definite thermal mass of $O(gT)$, and the collective plasmino mode exhibits a minimum at $p \neq 0$ and vanishes rapidly on to the light cone.




FIG. 1: Quasifermion dispersion law at small coupling and at moderately high temperature $T = 0.3$ (see the text for details). The dotted curves are the dispersion law of the quasifermion and of the plasmino determined through the HTL calculation.

Two comments should be added.

- 1) In this region of temperature and coupling constant, the dispersion law determined by the zero-point of D_+ agrees well and almost coincides with that determined by the peak of the spectral density ρ_+ . The spectral function of quasifermion ρ_\pm is defined by

$$\rho_\pm(P) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \frac{1}{D_\pm(P)}. \quad (9)$$

- 2) Figure 1 shows that the quasifermion energy $\omega_+(p)$ approaches m_f^* as $p \rightarrow 0$: namely, the thermal mass of the quasifermion is m_f^*

$$\left(\frac{m_f^*}{m_f}\right)^2 = 1 - \frac{4g}{\pi} \left[-\frac{g}{2\pi} + \sqrt{\frac{g^2}{4\pi^2} + \frac{1}{3}} \right], \quad (10)$$

$$m_f^2 \equiv \frac{g^2 T^2}{8}$$

which is determined through the next-to-leading order calculation of HTL resummed effective perturbation theory [2, 11].

IV. VANISHING THERMAL MASS IN THE STRONGLY COUPLED QCD/QED MEDIUM

Now let us study how the result shown in Fig. 1 changes as the coupling gets stronger, namely, in the region of intermediate to strong couplings. First let us see the quasifermion dispersion law in the small momentum region. (N.B. : Temperatures and couplings that we are studying belong to the chiral symmetric phase [10].)




FIG. 2: Quasifermion dispersion law at $T = 0.3$ for a range of couplings from weak to strong couplings (see the text for details). For simplicity, we show only the fermion branch.

Figure 2 shows the coupling α dependence of the normalized fermion dispersion law at $T = 0.3$ as the coupling α becomes stronger, where the normalization scale is the next-to-leading order thermal mass m_f^* . For simplicity, in Fig. 2 we show only the fermion branch. Though in the weak coupling region we get the solution in good agreement with the HTL resummed perturbation analyses, as the coupling becomes stronger from the intermediate to the strong coupling region, the normalized thermal mass $\omega_+(p=0) \equiv \omega_+(p=0)/m_f^*$ begins to decrease from 1 and finally tends to zero (in the region $\alpha \gtrsim 0.27$ in Fig. 2). Namely, in the thermal QCD/QED medium, the thermal mass of the quasifermion begins to decrease as the strength of the coupling gets stronger and finally disappears in the strong coupling region. This fact suggests

that in the recently produced strongly coupled QGP, the thermal mass of the quasifermion should vanish or at least become significantly lighter compared to the value in the weakly coupled QGP.




FIG. 3: The α dependence of the normalized thermal mass $\omega_+(p=0)$ (see the text for details).

To see the above behavior of the thermal mass more clearly, in Fig. 3 we show the normalized thermal mass $\omega_+(p=0)$ as a function of α . In the small coupling region ($\alpha \lesssim 0.1$) around the temperature range $T = 0.1 \sim 0.2$, results of the thermal mass agree well with those of the HTL resummed perturbation calculation. The thermal mass $\omega_+(p=0)$ decreases and finally vanishes as the coupling gets stronger from the intermediate to the strong coupling region. Analogous behavior of the thermal mass $\omega_+(p=0)$ appears in the temperature dependence [12, 13].




FIG. 4: The p_0 dependence of $\text{Re}[B(p_0, p=0)]$ at $T = 0.3$.

This behavior of the thermal mass is determined by the behavior of the chiral invariant mass function $\text{Re}[B(p_0, p)]$. In Fig. 4 we show the p_0 dependence of $\text{Re}[B(p_0, p=0)]$ at $T = 0.3$. At small coupling $\text{Re}[B(p_0, p=0)]$ has a steep valley/peak structure in the small p_0 region, but as the coupling becomes stronger this structure eventually disappears and $\text{Re}[B(p_0, p=0)]$ begins to behave almost as a straight line.

The thermal mass is given by the solution of $\text{Re}[B(p_0, p=0)] = -p_0$, which is the p_0 coordinate of the intersection point of the drawn curve of $\text{Re}[B(p_0, p=0)]$

and the straight solid line through the origin with a slope -1 in Fig. 4. At first we can see with this figure that at small coupling there are three intersection points: the one with positive p_0 , one with negative p_0 , and one at $p_0 = 0$, which corresponds to the quasifermion, the plasmino, and the massless (or, ultrasoft) modes [3, 14], respectively. As the coupling becomes stronger ($\alpha \gtrsim 0.27$ at $T = 0.3$), however, there is only one intersection point at $p_0 = 0$, representing the massless pole in the fermion propagator. Thus we can understand the behavior in Fig. 3; namely, $\omega_+(p = 0) \equiv \omega_+(p = 0)/m_f^*$ is unity in the weak coupling region, and zero in the strong coupling region ($\alpha \gtrsim 0.27$ at $T = 0.3$); therefore, the fermion thermal mass vanishes completely in the corresponding strong coupling region.

V. THE THIRD PEAK, OR THE MASSLESS MODE

The quasifermion and the plasmino modes are well understood in the HTL resummed analyses, the latter being the collective mode to appear in the thermal environment. What is the third mode? Is it nothing but evidence of the massless, or the ultrasoft mode? Is there any signature in our analysis?

To clarify this question, in Fig. 5(a) we give the spectral density $\rho_+(p_0, p = 0)$ in the small coupling region ($\alpha = 0.001, T = 0.4$). Two sharp peaks, representing the quasifermion and the plasmino poles, are clearly seen, and the existence of a slight “peak” can also be recognized around $p_0 = 0$. To see this more clearly, in Fig. 5b we show the rescaled version of Fig. 5a, where we can clearly see the peak structure around $p_0 = 0$. This third peak is nothing but convincing evidence of the existence of a massless or an ultrasoft mode in the weak coupling region [3, 14]. This peak is indistinctively slight compared to the sharp quasifermion/plasmino peak. This problem will be fully discussed in a separate paper [7].

VI. IMAGINARY PART OF THE CHIRAL INVARIANT MASS FUNCTION B, OR THE DECAY WIDTH OF THE QUASIFERMION

Finally let us see the imaginary part of the chiral invariant mass function $\text{Im}[B(p_0, p)]$. The decay width of the quasifermion is extensively studied through the HTL resummed effective perturbation calculation [15], giving a gauge invariant result of $O(g^2 T \log(1/g))$. However, as is shown above, the quasiparticle exhibits an unexpected behavior, such as the vanishing of the thermal mass in the strongly coupled QCD/QED medium, completely different from that expected from the HTL resummed effective perturbation analyses. How does the decay width of the quasifermion exhibit its property in the corresponding strongly coupled QCD/QED medium?

In Fig. 6 we show the $\text{Im}[B(p_0 = \omega_+, p = 0)]$ in the




FIG. 5: (a) Quasifermion spectral density $\rho_+(p_0, p = 0)$ in the small coupling region ($\alpha = 0.001, T = 0.4$). (b) Quasifermion spectral density $\rho_+(p_0, p = 0)$ enlarged around the origin.

small coupling region, which agrees with the HTL resummed calculation [15] up to a numerical factor. In Fig. 7 we show $\text{Im}[B(p_0 = \omega_+, p = 0)]$ in the strong coupling region.




FIG. 6: The $\text{Im}[B]$ in the small coupling QGP.

As can be seen, even in the strong coupling region, $\text{Im}[B]$ or the decay width shows the same behavior of $O(g^2 T \log(1/g))$; the numerical factor, of course, largely differs from that in the small coupling region. This behavior is again not expected, because the quasifermion in the small coupling QGP and the one in the strong coupling QGP are totally different; while in the former case the quasifermion has a thermal mass of $O(gT)$ and the plasmino branch exists in a fermion dispersion law, in the latter case the thermal mass of the quasifermion vanishes and the plasmino branch disappears.




FIG. 7: The $\text{Im}[B]$ in the strongly coupled QCD/QED medium.

VII. SUMMARY

In this paper, through the analysis employing the HTL resummed improved ladder DSE, we disclose the fact that the property of the thermal quasifermion in the strongly coupled QCD/QED medium largely differs from that expected from the analyses based on the HTL resummed effective perturbation calculations. We can summarize our main result as follows. In the strongly coupled QCD/QED medium, (i) while the thermal mass of the quasifermion vanishes and the quasifermion pole starts to behave as a massless pole, (ii) the imaginary part of the chiral invariant mass function, or the decay

width of the quasifermion persists to have the behavior of $O(g^2 T \log(1/g))$, which is expected in the small coupling and high temperature QCD/QED medium.

The first result suggests that in the recently produced sQGP the thermal mass of the quasifermion should vanish or at least become significantly lighter compared to the value in the weakly coupled QGP. And the second result indicates that in the sQGP the massless or the ultrasoft fermion pole may not be observed as a massless quasifermion mode due to its large imaginary part.

Evidence of the existence of a massless, or an ultrasoft mode, at least in a weakly coupled QGP, is also pointed out.

Details of the present DSE analysis and the investigation of the results on the property of the quasifermion will be given in a separate paper [7].

Acknowledgments

We thank the Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics at Kyoto University. Discussions during the YITP workshop YITP-W-11-14 were useful to complete this work. Part of the present work is supported by the Nara University Research Fund. Numerical computation in this work was carried out at the Yukawa Institute Computer Facility.

-
- [1] I. Arsene et al., Nucl. Phys. **A757**, 1 (2005); B. B. Back et al., Nucl. Phys. **A757**, 28 (2005); J. Adams et al., Nucl. Phys. **A757**, 102 (2005); K. Adcox et al., Nucl. Phys. **A757**, 184 (2005).
- [2] See, e.g., A. K. Rebhan, Nucl. Phys. **A702**, 111 (2002); Lect. Notes Phys. **583**, 161 (2002).
- [3] M. Kitazawa, T. Kunihiro and Y. Nemoto, Phys. Lett. B **633**, 269 (2006); Prog. Theor. Phys. **117**, 103 (2007); M. Kitazawa, T. Kunihiro, K. Mitsutani and Y. Nemoto, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 045034 (2008); M. Harada and Y. Nemoto, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 014004 (2008).
- [4] A. Schaefer and M. H. Thoma, Phys. Lett. B **451**, 195 (1999); A. Peshier and M. H. Thoma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 841 (2000); P. Petreczky, F. Karsch, E. Laermann, S. Stickan and I. Wetzorke, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **106**, 513 (2002); M. Mannarelli and R. Rapp, Phys. Rev. C **72**, 064905 (2005); F. Karsch and M. Kitazawa, Phys. Lett. B **658**, 45 (2007).
- [5] H. Nakkagawa, H. Yokota and K. Yoshida, in *Proceedings of the 2006 International Workshop on Origin Of Mass And Strong Coupling Gauge Theories, Nagoya, Japan, 2006*, edited by M. Harada, M. Tanabashi and K. Yamawaki (World Scientific, Singapore, 2008), p.220; Y. Fueki, H. Nakkagawa, H. Yokota and K. Yoshida, Prog. Theor. Phys. **110**, 777 (2003); H. Nakkagawa, H. Yokota, K. Yoshida and Y. Fueki, Pramana-J. Phys. **60**, 1029 (2003).
- [6] M. Harada Y. Nemoto and S. Yoshimoto, Prog. Theor. Phys. **119**, 117 (2008); J. A. Mueller, C. S. Fischer and D. Nickel, Eur. Phys. J. **C70**, 1037 (2010); S. X. Qin, L. Chang, Y. X. Liu and C. D. Roberts, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 014017 (2011).
- [7] A brief report of the analysis was already presented by H. Nakkagawa, H. Yokota and K. Yoshida, at the Workshop on the Thermal Quantum Field Theory and their Applications, Yukawa Institute of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto (unpublished).
- [8] In solving the DSE, we introduce a momentum cut-off as follows (Λ denotes an arbitrary cut-off parameter and plays a role to scale any dimensionful quantity, e.g., $T = 0.3$ means $T = 0.3\Lambda$):
- three momentum p : $p \leq \Lambda$
energy p_0 : $|p_0| \leq a\Lambda$ ($a = 1 \sim 5$)
- We determine the parameter “ a ” so as to get a stable solution for the fermion spectral density. Over the range of the temperature and the coupling we study in the present analysis, we set $a = 2$. In fact, the solution is totally stable for $a \geq 2$.
- [9] V. V. Klimov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **33**, 934 (1981), Sov. Phys. JETP **55**, 199 (1982); H. A. Weldon, Phys. Rev. D **26**, 1394 (1982); ibid. **26**, 2789 (1982); Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **271**, 141 (1999).
- [10] The temperature T in the present analysis represents the temperature scaled by the momentum cutoff Λ , and it does not denote the real temperature itself. With the temperature thus defined, we can determine the phase-

boundary curve in the two-dimensional (α, T) plane, separating the chiral symmetry broken/restored phase [5]. If we measure the temperature T relative to the critical temperature T_c , high or low temperature has a definite meaning.

- [11] H. Schulz, Nucl. Phys. B413, 353 (1994); A. K. Rebhan, Phys. Rev. D **48**, R3967 (1993).
- [12] The ratio $\omega_+(p=0)/T$ is not necessarily a constant, and the T dependence at small T obtained in our analysis is a consequence of the nonperturbative DSE analysis. This is because the additional dimensionful parameter, such as the regularization (or the cutoff) scale or the renormalization scale comes into the theory through the regularization and/or the renormalization of massless thermal QCD/QED. In our case the cutoff scale Λ is introduced into the theory. The thermal mass, in fact, has a $\log T$ dependence in the effective perturbation calculation: see, e.g., Rebhan's lecture in Ref. [2].
- [13] The temperature-dependent behavior of the thermal mass at extremely high temperature ($T \simeq 1$ in our present analysis) may exhibit a simulation or numerical artifact, and the T dependence at $T \simeq 1$ needs further careful analyses.
- [14] Y. Hidaka, D. Satow and T. Kunihiro, arXiv:1105.0423 [hep-ph] (2011) (unpublished).
- [15] H. Nakkagawa, A. Niégawa and B. Pire, Phys. Lett. **294B**, 396 (1992); A. Rebhan, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 4779 (1992); R. Baier, H. Nakkagawa and A. Niégawa, Can. J. Phys. **71**, 205 (1993); E. Braaten and R. D. Pisarski, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 1829 (1992).