Adaptive Convergence Rates of a Dirichlet Process Mixture of Multivariate Normals

Surya T Tokdar Duke University

Abstract

It is shown that a simple Dirichlet process mixture of multivariate normals offers Bayesian density estimation with adaptive posterior convergence rates. Toward this, a novel sieve for non-parametric mixture densities is explored, and its rate adaptability to various smoothness classes of densities in arbitrary dimension is demonstrated. This sieve construction is expected to offer a substantial technical advancement in studying Bayesian non-parametric mixture models based on stick-breaking priors.

Keywords: Bayesian multivariate density estimation; Non-parametric mixture; Posterior convergence; Sieve construction; Smoothness adaptation; Stick-breaking processes.

1 Introduction

Asymptotic frequentist properties of Bayesian non-parametric methods have received a lot of attention in recent years. It is now recognized that a single, fully automatic Bayesian model can offer adaptive, optimal rates of convergence for large collections of *true* data generating distributions, ranging over several smoothness classes. In a seminal work, van der Vaart and van Zanten (2009) establish adaptability of rescaled Gaussian process models for non-parametric regression, classification and density estimation. Rousseau (2010) discusses adaptive density estimation with finite beta mixtures with a hierarchical prior on the number of mixture components. Kruijer et al. (2010) and de Jonge and van Zanten (2010) derive similar results for finite location-scale mixture models, respectively, in density estimation and regression, again with a prior on the number of mixture components.

Quite interestingly, adaptability has not yet been established for Dirichlet process (DP) mixture of normals models for density estimation. Even rates of convergence of these models remain to be derived beyond the univariate case. This is surprising because these models are the most studied of all Bayesian non-parametric models, and have been among the firsts for which positive results about convergence of the posterior were established (Ghosal et al., 1999; Ghosal and van der Vaart, 2001, 2007).

The main challenge in establishing adaptability of DP mixture models and to derive rates of convergence in higher dimensions lies in constructing a suitable *low-entropy*, *high-mass sieve* on the space of non-parametric mixture densities. Such sieve constructions are an integral part of the current technical machinery for deriving rates of convergence. The sieves that have been used to study DP mixture models (e.g., in Ghosal and van der Vaart, 2007) do not scale to higher dimensions and lack adaptability to smoothness classes (Wu and Ghosal, 2010). The main import of this article is to plug this gap. It is demonstrated that a novel sieve construction proposed by this author (reported earlier in an yet unpublished paper Pati et al., 2011) give the desired dimension-scalability and smoothness-adaptability. This sieve utilizes the well known stick-breaking representation of a DP (Sethuraman, 1994) and can be potentially useful for studying a large class of stick-breaking mixture models beyond the DP mixtures (e.g., Dunson and Park, 2008; Chung and Dunson, 2009; Rodriguez and Dunson, 2011).

This sieve paves way to the following results. For independent and identically distributed observations X_1, \dots, X_n from an unknown density p on \mathbb{R}^d , posterior convergence rates are derived for a simple DP location mixture model at a true data generating density p_0 belonging to either a class of infinitely differentiable densities or a class of compactly supported densities with two continuous derivatives. The derived rates are minimax optimal for these classes (up to logarithmic factors), and adapt to these two classes without requiring any user intervention to select or estimate any tuning parameters.

The two classes considered here form two extremes of the classes of smooth densities. Finer rate adaptability results can be derived by looking at the intermediate classes of Hölder smooth densities. These classes have well defined minimax optimal rates associated with them. It is demonstrated that the new sieve works for all Hölder classes. However, we stop short of deriving precise rates of convergence for these classes. This derivation requires an additional calculation of prior thickness rates for a p_0 belonging to these classes, which is a challenging and interesting problem but is tangential to the focus of this article. Interested readers are referred to some recent developments reported in Kruijer et al. (2010).

2 A simple DP location mixture model

Let ϕ_{σ} denote the density of the *d*-variate normal distribution with mean zero and variance $\sigma^2 I$. For any probability measure F on \mathbb{R}^d , use $p_{F,\sigma}$ to denote the mixture density

$$p_{F,\sigma}(x) = \int \phi_{\sigma}(x-z)dF(z), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$
(1)

Assign p a prior distribution Π given by the law of the random density $p_{F,\sigma}$ when $(F, \sigma^{-d}) \sim DP(\alpha) \times Ga(a, b)$ where $DP(\alpha)$ denotes the Dirichlet process distribution (Ferguson, 1973) with base measure α and Ga(a, b) denotes the gamma distribution with shape a and rate b.

It is useful to recall two different characterizations of DP distributions, the original characterization by Ferguson (1973) through a consistent system of Dirichlet distributions over measurable partitions and the later stick-breaking interpretation due to Sethuraman (1994). The first approach characterizes an $F \sim DP(\alpha)$, with α a finite measure on \mathbb{R}^d , as:

$$(F(B_1), \cdots, F(B_k)) \sim Dir(\alpha(B_1), \cdots, \alpha(B_k)).$$
 (2)

for any Borell measurable partition B_1, \dots, B_k of \mathbb{R}^d . The stick breaking characterization says an

$$F = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \pi_h \delta_{Z_h}, \ \pi_h = V_h \prod_{j < h} (1 - V_j), \ \delta_x = \text{ Dirach measure at } x,$$
(3)

has a $DP(\alpha)$ distribution if $\{V_h, h \ge 1\}$ are independent $Be(1, |\alpha|)$ random variables with $|\alpha| = \alpha(\mathbb{R}^d), \{Z_h, h \ge 1\}$ are independently distributed according to the probability measure $\bar{\alpha} = \alpha/|\alpha|$ and these two sets of random variables are mutually independent.

The base measure $\bar{\alpha}$ gives the mean of F, and also determines its support. The only assumptions we make on $\bar{\alpha}$ are that it admits a Lebesgue density that is strictly positive over the whole of \mathbb{R}^d and that for some constant b_1 , $\bar{\alpha}([-a,a]^d) \leq \exp(-b_1a^2)$, where $f(a) \leq g(a)$ means $f(a) \leq Kg(a)$ for all a, for some fixed constant K.

3 Posterior convergence rates and adaptability

Consider modeling *d*-variate measurements X_1, X_2, \cdots as independent observations from a density p, which is assigned a prior distribution Π . Here Π is a probability measure on the space \mathcal{P} of Lebesgue probability densities, equipped with the Borel σ -field under a metric ρ , usually taken to be the L_1 metric $\rho(p,q) = ||p-q||_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |p(x) - q(x)| dx$ or the Hellinger metric $\rho(p,q) = h(p,q) = [\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \{p^{1/2}(x) - q^{1/2}(x)\}^2]^{1/2}$.

Let $\Pi_n(\cdot|X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ denote the posterior distribution of p based on the first n measurements, defined for every measurable $B \subset \mathcal{P}$ as

$$\Pi_n(B|X_1,\cdots,X_n) = \frac{\int_B \prod_{i=1}^n p(X_i) \Pi(dp)}{\int_{\mathcal{P}} \prod_{i=1}^n p(X_i) \Pi(dp)}$$

Let $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence of positive numbers with $\lim_{n\to\infty}\varepsilon_n = 0$. For any $p_0 \in \mathcal{P}$ we say the posterior convergence rate at p_0 is (not slower than) ε_n if for some finite constant M

$$\lim_{n \to 0} \Pi(\{p : \rho(p_0, p) > M\varepsilon_n\} | X_1, \cdots, X_n) = 0$$
(4)

almost surely whenever X_1, X_2, \cdots are independent and identically distributed (iid) with density p_0 .

Although (4) only establishes $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ as a bound on the convergence rate, it serves as a useful calibration of the method induced by Π for classes of true densities p_0 for which optimal estimation rates are known. For example, for various classes of infinitely differentiable densities the optimal rate is known to be $n^{-1/2}(\log n)^k$ for some $k \geq 0$ (Ibragimov and Khas'minskii, 1983), whereas for the class of compactly supported, twice continuously differentiable densities, the optimal rate is known to be $n^{-2/(4+d)}$ (Huang, 2004). A method is considered adaptive if it provides convergence rates that are within a power of $\log n$ of these optimal rates. Along this line, we present the following results.

Theorem 1. Let Π be the DP mixture prior of Section 2.

- 1. If p_0 equals p_{F_0,σ_0} for some probability measure F_0 on \mathbb{R}^d and some $\sigma_0 > 0$, then (4) holds with $\varepsilon_n = n^{-1/2} (\log n)^{(d+1+s)/2}$ for every s > 0. Such a p_0 will be called a supersmooth density.
- 2. If p_0 is compactly supported and twice continuously differentiable then (4) holds with $\varepsilon_n = n^{-2/(4+d)} (\log n)^{(4d+2)/(d+4)+s}$ for every s > 0. Such a p_0 will be called an ordinary-smooth density.

These results are proved in Sections 4 and 5. The main tool needed to establish (4) is a set of sufficient conditions proposed in Ghosal et al. (2000, Theorem 2.1). We present here a slightly modified version adapted from Ghosal and van der Vaart (2001, Theorem 2.1). In the following, for any two probability densities p and q and any positive number ε , we denote $K(p,q) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(x) \log\{p(x)/q(x)\} dx$, $V(p,q) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(x) [\log\{p(x)/q(x)\}]^2 dx$, $B(\varepsilon;p) = \{q \in \mathcal{P} : K(p,q) \leq \varepsilon^2, V(p,q) \leq \varepsilon^2\}$. For any $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{P}$, its ε -covering number $N(\varepsilon, \mathcal{Q}, \rho)$ is defined to be the minimum number of balls of radius ε (in the metric ρ) needed to cover \mathcal{Q} ; with $\log N(\varepsilon, \mathcal{Q}, \rho)$ referred to as the ε -entropy of \mathcal{Q} .

Theorem 2. Let ρ be the Hellinger metric on \mathcal{P} . Suppose for positive sequences $\tilde{\varepsilon}_n$, $\bar{\varepsilon}_n \to 0$ with $n \min(\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^2, \bar{\varepsilon}_n^2) \to \infty$, there exist positive constants c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 and sets $\mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathcal{P}$, $n \ge 1$, such that for all large n

$$\log N(\bar{\varepsilon}_n, \mathcal{P}_n, \rho) \le c_1 n \bar{\varepsilon}_n^2, \tag{5}$$

$$\Pi(\mathcal{P}_n^c) \le c_3 e^{-(c_2+4)n\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^2},\tag{6}$$

$$\Pi(B(\tilde{\varepsilon}_n; p_0)) \ge c_4 e^{-c_2 n \tilde{\varepsilon}_n^2}.$$
(7)

Then (4) holds with $\varepsilon_n = \max(\tilde{\varepsilon}_n, \bar{\varepsilon}_n)$.

Remark 1. If (4) holds with ρ = the Hellinger metric then it holds with ρ = the L_1 metric, because for any two probability density $||p - q||_1 \leq 2h(p,q)$.

It is common to call the sequence $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ a sieve on \mathcal{P} . The first two conditions require existence of a low-entropy, high mass sieve. The third condition requires a quantitative bound on the thickness of the prior Π at the true density p_0 . We first take up the more challenging task of sieve construction for the DP mixture prior of Section 2, followed by prior thickness calculations.

4 Sieve construction

4.1 The basic construct

The chief novelty of the sieve proposed in Pati et al. (2011) lies in exploiting the stick-breaking representation of a DP distribution. A high-mass, low-entropy subset of \mathcal{P} can be obtained by considering densities $p_{F,\sigma}$, with F as given in (3) with limited tail mass $\sum_{h>H} \pi_h$. A precise statement is given below.

Theorem 3. Fix reals $\varepsilon, a, \underline{\sigma} > 0$ and integers $M, H \ge 1$. Define

$$\mathcal{Q} = \left\{ p_{F,\sigma} : F = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \pi_h \delta_{z_h} : z_h \in [-a,a]^d, h \le H; \sum_{h>H} \pi_h < \varepsilon; 1 < \frac{\sigma}{\underline{\sigma}} < (1+\varepsilon)^M \right\}.$$
(8)

Then, for some positive constants b_1, b_2 and b_3 ,

- 1. $\log N(\varepsilon, \mathcal{Q}, \rho) \leq dH \log \frac{a}{\sigma\varepsilon} + H \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \log M$, where ρ is either the L_1 or the Hellinger metric.
- 2. If Π is the DP mixture prior of Section 2, then $\Pi(\mathcal{Q}^c) \lesssim He^{-b_1a^2} + e^{-b_2\underline{\sigma}^{-d}} + \underline{\sigma}^{-b_3d}(1+\varepsilon)^{-b_3dM} + \{(e|\alpha|/H)\log(1/\varepsilon)\}^H.$

Proof. Let R^* be a $(\underline{\sigma}\varepsilon)$ -net of $[-a, a]^d$ and let S^* be an ε -net of the H-simplex $\mathcal{S}_H = \{p = (p_1, \cdots, p_H) : p_h \ge 0, \sum_h p_h = 1\}$. It is well known that the size of R^* is $\lesssim \{a/(\underline{\sigma}\varepsilon)\}^d$ and that of S^* is $\lesssim (1/\varepsilon)^H$. For any $p_{F,\sigma} \in \mathcal{Q}$, with $F = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} z_h \delta_{z_h}$, find $z_1^*, \cdots, z_H^* \in R^*$, $\pi^* = (\pi_1^*, \cdots, \pi_H^*) \in S^*$ and $m^* \in \{1, \cdots, M\}$ such that

$$\max_{1 \le h \le H} \|z_h - z_h^*\| < \underline{\sigma}\varepsilon,\tag{9}$$

$$\sum_{h=1}^{H} |\tilde{\pi}_h - \pi_h^*| < \varepsilon, \text{ where } \tilde{\pi}_h = \frac{\pi_h}{1 - \sum_{l>H} \pi_l}, 1 \le h \le H, \text{ and}$$
(10)

$$\sigma^* = \underline{\sigma}(1+\varepsilon)^{m^*} \text{ satisfies } 1 < \sigma/\sigma^* < 1+\varepsilon.$$
(11)

Then, with $F^* = \sum_{h=1}^{H} \pi_h^* \delta_{z_h^*}$, we have,

$$\begin{split} \|p_{F,\sigma} - p_{F^*,\sigma^*}\|_1 &\leq \|p_{F,\sigma} - p_{F,\sigma^*}\|_1 + \|p_{F,\sigma^*} - p_{F^*,\sigma^*}\|_1 \\ &\leq \frac{\sigma - \sigma}{\sigma^*} + \sum_{h>H} \pi_h + \sum_{h=1}^H \pi_h \|\phi_{\sigma^*}(\cdot - z_h) - \phi_{\sigma^*}(\cdot - z_h^*)\|_1 + \sum_{h=1}^H |\pi_h - \pi_h^*|. \end{split}$$

Each of the first three terms above is smaller than or equal to ε . The last term is smaller than or equal to $(1 - \sum_{h>H} \pi_h) \sum_{h=1}^H |\tilde{\pi}_h - \pi_h^*| + \sum_{h>H} \pi_h \sum_{h=1}^H \pi_h^* \leq 2\varepsilon$ Thus a 5 ε -net of \mathcal{Q} , in the L_1 topology, can be constructed with $p^* = p_{F^*,\sigma^*}$ as above. The total number of such p^* is $\lesssim (\frac{\alpha}{\sigma\varepsilon})^{dH} (\frac{1}{\varepsilon})^H M$. This proves the first assertion of the theorem with $\rho = \|\cdot\|_1$; the constant multiplication by 5 can be absorbed in \lesssim form of the bound. The same obtains for $\rho =$ the Hellinger metric because it is bounded by the square-root of the L_1 metric.

Now with Π denoting the DP mixture prior of Section 2, we have a stick-breaking representation of a random $p \sim \Pi$ given by $p = p_{F,\sigma} = \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \pi_h \phi_{\sigma}(\cdot - Z_h)$ with π_h and Z_h as described in (3) and the paragraph that follows, and $\sigma^{-d} \sim Ga(a, b)$. Therefore,

$$\Pi(\mathcal{Q}^c) \le H\bar{\alpha}([-a,a]^d) + \Pr(\sigma^2 \not\in (\underline{\sigma}^2, \underline{\sigma}^2(1+\varepsilon)^{2M})) + \Pr\left(\sum_{h>H} \pi_h > \varepsilon\right).$$
(12)

The first term is $\lesssim H \exp(-b_1 a^2)$, by assumption on α . The second term equals $\Pr(\sigma^{-d} \geq \underline{\sigma}^{-d}) + \Pr(\sigma^{-d} \leq \underline{\sigma}^{-d}(1+\varepsilon)^{-Md}) \lesssim \exp(-1/b_2 \underline{\sigma}^{-d}) + (\underline{\sigma}^d(1+\varepsilon)^{Md})^{-b_3}$ because $\sigma^{-d} \sim Ga(a, b)$. To bound the last term in (12), note that $W = -\sum_{h=1}^{H} \log(1-V_h) \sim Ga(H, |\alpha|)$, and therefore the last term equals

$$\Pr(W < \log(1/\varepsilon)) \le (|\alpha| \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^H / \Gamma(H+1) \le \left(\frac{e|\alpha|}{H} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^H$$

by Stirling's formula. This proves the second assertion.

4.2 Sieves for Theorem 1

The subset Q of Theorem 3 can be easily adapted to form sieves targeted for different rates of convergence. Below we show this for the nearly parametric, super-smooth rate and also for the slower rates associated with Hölder classes of finitely differentiable functions. All this is done for any arbitrary dimension $d \geq 1$. **Proposition 1** (Super-smooth rate). Fix any s > 0. For $\tilde{\varepsilon}_n = n^{-1/2} (\log n)^{(d+1)/2}$ and $\bar{\varepsilon}_n = \tilde{\varepsilon}_n (\log n)^{s/2}$, there is a sequence of sets \mathcal{P}_n such that $\log N(\bar{\varepsilon}_n, \mathcal{P}_n, \rho) \leq n \bar{\varepsilon}_n^2$ and $\Pi(\mathcal{P}_n^c) \leq \exp(-cn \tilde{\varepsilon}_n^2)$ for every c > 0, where ρ is either the L_1 or the Hellinger metric.

Proof. Let \mathcal{P}_n be defined as \mathcal{Q} of (8) with $\varepsilon = \overline{\varepsilon}_n = n^{-1/2} (\log n)^{(d+1+s)/2}$, $H = n\overline{\varepsilon}_n^2/\log n = (\log n)^{d+s}$, and $M = a^2 = \underline{\sigma}^{-d} = n$. Then, by Theorem 3,

$$\log N(\bar{\varepsilon}_n, \mathcal{P}_n, \rho) \lesssim d(\log n)^{d+s+1} + (\log n)^{d+s+1} + \log n$$
$$\lesssim (\log n)^{d+s+1} = n\bar{\varepsilon}_n^2$$

which proves the first assertion. Also,

$$\Pi(\mathcal{P}_n^c) \lesssim (\log n)^{d+s} e^{-b_1 n} + e^{-b_2 n} + n^{b_3} e^{-b_3 dn \log(1+\bar{\varepsilon}_n)} + (\log n)^{-(d+s-1)(\log n)^{d+s}}.$$
 (13)

For any c > 0, the first, second and fourth terms on the right hand side of (13) are clearly bounded by $C \exp(-c(\log n)^{d+s})$ for some constant C. The third term, too, is bounded by the same, possibly with different C because $n \log(1 + \bar{\varepsilon}_n) \gtrsim n \bar{\varepsilon}_n^2 = (\log n)^s (\log n)^{d+1} > c(\log n)^{d+s}$. And therefore $\Pi(\mathcal{P}_n^c) \lesssim \exp(-c(\log n)^{d+1})$. This proves the second assertion of the theorem.

Proposition 2 (Hölder-smooth sieve). Fix any $\beta \in (0, 1/2)$, $q \ge 0$ and s > 0. For $\tilde{\varepsilon}_n = n^{-\beta}(\log n)^q$, $\bar{\varepsilon}_n = \varepsilon_n(\log n)^s$, there is a sequence of sets \mathcal{P}_n such that $\log N(\varepsilon_n, \mathcal{P}_n, \rho) \le n\bar{\varepsilon}_n^2$ and $\Pi(\mathcal{P}_n^c) \le \exp(-cn\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^2)$ for every c > 0, where ρ is either the L_1 or the Hellinger metric.

Proof. Let \mathcal{P}_n be defined as on the right hand side of (8) with $\varepsilon = \overline{\varepsilon}_n = n^{-\beta} (\log n)^{q+s}$, $H = n\overline{\varepsilon}_n^2 / \log n = n^{1-2\beta} (\log n)^{2(q+s)-1}$, $M = a^2 = \underline{\sigma}^{-d} = n$. Then by Theorem 3, $\log N(\varepsilon_n, \mathcal{P}_n, \rho) \lesssim n^{1-2\beta} (\log n)^{2(q+s)}$ and for every c > 0,

$$\begin{split} \Pi(\mathcal{P}_n^c) &\lesssim n^{1-2\beta} (\log n)^{2(q+s)-1} e^{-b_1 n} + e^{-b_2 n} + n^{b_3} e^{-b_3 dn \log(1+\bar{\varepsilon}_n)} + n^{-(1-2\beta)n^{1-2\beta} (\log n)^{2(q+s)-1}} \\ &\lesssim e^{-(1-2\beta)n^{1-2\beta} (\log n)^{2(q+s)}} \lesssim e^{-cn^{1-2\beta} (\log n)^{2q}}. \end{split}$$

The ordinary-smooth rate corresponds to $\beta = 2/(4 + d)$, and more generally, a Hölder class of functions with continuous derivatives up to order k corresponds to $\beta = k/(2k + d)$.

5 Prior Thickness

With sieve conditions (5), (6) taken care of, a proof of Theorem 1 requires establishing the prior thickness property (7) of Π for each of the two classes of densities. Below we show that for a p_0 from either class, $\Pi(B(A\tilde{\varepsilon}_n; p_0)) \gtrsim e^{-cn\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^2}$ for some constants A > 0, c > 0, with $\tilde{\varepsilon}_n$ as in Proposition 1 or Proposition 2 as appropriate (with $\beta = 2/(4+d)$). This immediately leads to $\Pi(B(\tilde{\varepsilon}_n; p_0)) \gtrsim e^{-c_2n\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^2}$ for some finite number $c_2 > 0$ and completes a proof of Theorem 1, with $\varepsilon_n = \bar{\varepsilon}_n$, because Propositions 1 and 2 hold for all constants c > 0, including, $c = c_2 + 4$, as needed by Theorem 2.

We will first tackle prior thickness at ordinary-smooth densities p_0 which present a bigger challenge than the super-smooth ones. Our proof closely follows the calculations presented in Ghosal and van der Vaart (2007) with some minor adaptation needed to handle higher dimensions. For this reason, most of the results are presented in the Appendix, with proofs given only for those where some adaptation is needed. However, we present the main argument below, because a similar argument presented in Ghosal and van der Vaart (2007, Section 9) leaves some gaps (pun intended).

Proposition 3 (Ordinary-smooth thickness). Suppose p_0 is compactly supported and

$$\int (\|\nabla p_0\|/p_0)^4 p_0 d\lambda < \infty, \quad \int (\|\nabla^2 p_0\|_2/p_0)^2 p_0 d\lambda < \infty,$$

where $||A||_2$ denotes the spectral norm of a matrix A. Then $\Pi(B(A\tilde{\varepsilon}_n; p_0)) \gtrsim e^{-cn\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^2}$ with $\tilde{\varepsilon}_n = n^{-2/(4+d)} (\log n)^{(4d+2)/(d+4)}$ for some constants A > 0, c > 0.

Proof. Fix a $\sigma^2 \in \tilde{\varepsilon}_n \{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)\}^{-2} \cdot (1/2, 1)$. Find a b > 1 such that $\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^b \{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon})\}^{9/4} \leq \tilde{\varepsilon}_n$. Let P_0 denote the probability measure associated with the density p_0 . By Corollary 1, there is a discrete probability measure $F_{\sigma} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_j \delta_{z_j}$ with at most $N \leq \sigma^{-d} \log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)^d$ support points in $[-a, a]^d$, with at least $\sigma \tilde{\varepsilon}_n^{2b}$ separation between any $z_i \neq z_j$, such that

$$\|p_{P_0,\sigma} - p_{F_{\sigma,\sigma}}\|_{\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon_n^{2b} / \sigma^{d+1} \text{ and } \|p_{P_0,\sigma} - p_{F_{\sigma,\sigma}}\|_1 \lesssim \varepsilon_n^{2b} \{\log(1/\varepsilon_n)\}^{1/2}.$$

Place disjoint balls U_j with centers at z_j , $j = 1, \dots, N$ with diameter $\sigma \varepsilon_n^{2b}$ each. Extend $\{U_1, \dots, U_N\}$ to a partition $\{U_1, \dots, U_K\}$ of $[-a, a]^d$ such that each U_j , $j = N + 1, \dots, K$, has diameter smaller than or equal to σ . This can be done with $K \lesssim \sigma^{-d} \{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)\}^d$. Further extend this to a partition U_1, \dots, U_M of \mathbb{R}^d such that $(\sigma \tilde{\varepsilon}_n^{2b})^d \lesssim \alpha(U_j) \leq 1$ for all $j = 1, \dots, M$. We can still have $M \lesssim \sigma^{-d} \{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)\}^d \lesssim \tilde{\varepsilon}_n^{-d/2} \{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)\}^{2d}$. Define $p_j = 0$, $j = N + 1, \dots, M$.

Let \mathcal{P}_{σ} denote the set of probability measures F on \mathbb{R}^{d} with $\sum_{j=1}^{M} |F(U_{j}) - p_{j}| \leq 2\tilde{\varepsilon}_{n}^{2db}$ and $\min_{1 \leq j \leq M} F(U_{j}) \geq \tilde{\varepsilon}_{n}^{4db}/2$. Then, by Lemma 2 (with $V_{i} = U_{i}, i = 1, \cdots, N, V_{0} = \bigcup_{j > N} V_{j}$) for any $F \in \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}, \|p_{F_{\sigma},\sigma} - p_{F,\sigma}\|_{\infty} \leq \tilde{\varepsilon}_{n}^{2b}/\sigma^{d}, \|p_{F_{\sigma},\sigma} - p_{F,\sigma}\|_{1} \leq \tilde{\varepsilon}_{n}^{2b}$ and hence, by Lemma 4 and Lemma 1,

$$h(p_0, p_{F,\sigma}) \leq h(p_0, p_{P_0,\sigma}) + h(p_{P_0,\sigma}, p_{F_\sigma,\sigma}) + h(p_{F_\sigma,\sigma}, p_{F,\sigma})$$

$$\lesssim \sigma^2 + \tilde{\varepsilon}_n^b \{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)\}^{1/4} + \tilde{\varepsilon}_n^b$$

$$\lesssim \sigma^2 + \tilde{\varepsilon}_n^b \{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)\}^{1/4}$$

Also, for any such F, for every $x \in [-a, a]^d$ with J(x) denoting the $j \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ such that $x \in U_j$,

$$p_{F,\sigma}(x) \ge \int_{\|z-x\| \le \sigma} \phi_{\sigma}(x-z) dF(z) \gtrsim \frac{1}{\sigma^d} \int_{\|x-z\| \le \sigma} dF(z) \ge \frac{1}{\sigma^d} F(U_{J(x)}) \gtrsim \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^{4db}}{\sigma^d}$$

because, $U_{J(x)}$, with diameter no larger than σ , must be a subset of the ball of radius σ around x. So $F \in \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}$ implies $\log \|p_0/p_{F,\sigma}\|_{\infty} \leq \log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)$ and therefore, by Lemma 4, $K(p_0, p_{F,\sigma}) \leq A^2 \tilde{\varepsilon}_n^2$ and $V(p_0, p_{F,\sigma}) \leq A^2 \tilde{\varepsilon}_n^2$, for a universal constant A > 0 that does not depend on σ .

Note that $M\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^{2db} \lesssim \tilde{\varepsilon}_n^{2db-d/2} \{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)\}^{2d} \leq 1$ and for some large constant $a_1 > 0$, $\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^{2db} \lesssim a_1 \{\min_{1 \leq j \leq M} \alpha(U_j)\}^{2/3}$. So, by Lemma 3, $\Pr(F \in \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}) \geq C \exp(-cM \log 1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n) \gtrsim$ $C \exp(-c\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^{-d/2} \{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)\}^{2d+1}),$ for some constants C, c that depend on $\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d), a, d$ and b. Therefore,

$$\Pi(B(A\tilde{\varepsilon}_n; p_0)) \gtrsim \exp(-c\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^{-d/2} \{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)\}^{2d+1}) \operatorname{Pr}(\sigma^2 \in \tilde{\varepsilon}_n \{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)\}^{-2} \cdot (1/2, 1)) \\ = \exp(-c\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^{-d/2} \{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)\}^{2d+1}) \operatorname{Pr}(\sigma^d \in \tilde{\varepsilon}_n^{d/2} \{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)\}^{-d} \cdot (1/2^d, 1)) \\ \gtrsim \exp(-c\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^{-d/2} \{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)\}^{2d+1})$$

because σ^{-d} has a gamma distribution.

From this the result follows if $\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^{-d/2} \{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)\}^{2d+1} \le n\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^2$. With $\tilde{\varepsilon}_n = n^{-2/(4+d)}(\log n)^q$, we get $n\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^2 = n^{d/(4+d)}(\log n)^{2q}$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^{-d/2} \{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)\}^{2d+1} < n^{d/(4+d)}(\log n)^{2d+1-dq/2}$ and hence the condition is satisfied if $2d + 1 - dq/2 \le 2q$, i.e., if $q \ge (4d+2)/(d+4)$.

Prior thickness calculation at a super-smooth p_0 follows along the same line, but is simpler because we can bypass the first step in the proof of Proposition 3 of approximating p_0 by a $p_{F,\sigma}$. In fact, this approximation is the main driver of the slower thickness rate $\tilde{\varepsilon}_n$, the recent developments in Kruijer et al. (2010) are about refining this approximation for densities that have higher order derivatives.

Proposition 4 (Super-smooth thickness). If $p_0 = p_{F_0,\sigma_0}$ for some F_0 supported on $[-a,a]^d$, then $\Pi(B(A\tilde{\varepsilon}_n;p_0)) \gtrsim e^{-cn\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^2}$ with $\tilde{\varepsilon}_n = n^{-1/2}(\log n)^{(d+1)/2}$ for some constants A, c > 0.

Proof. Fix a $\sigma \in \sigma_0 \cdot (1 - \tilde{\varepsilon}_n \{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)\}^{-2}, 1)$. Fix b > 1 such that $\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^b \{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)\}^{9/4} \leq \tilde{\varepsilon}_n$. Construct \mathcal{P}_{σ} as before, but with $p_{F_0,\sigma}$ instead of $p_{P_0,\sigma}$. Because σ is bounded from below by $\sigma_0/2$, this can be constructed with an $M \leq \{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)\}^d$ and hence $\Pr(F \in \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}) \gtrsim \exp(-c\{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon})\}^{d+1})$ for some constant c. Note that

$$\|p_0 - p_{F_{\sigma,\sigma}}\|_1 \le \|p_0 - p_{F_{0,\sigma}}\|_1 + \|p_{F_{0,\sigma}} - p_{F_{\sigma,\sigma}}\|_1 \le 1 - \sigma/\sigma_0 + \tilde{\varepsilon}_n^{2b} \{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)\}^{1/2}$$

$$\le \tilde{\varepsilon}_n \{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)\}^{-2} + \tilde{\varepsilon}_n^{2b} \{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)\}^{1/2}$$

and therefore, $F \in \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}$ implies $K(p_0, p_{F,\sigma}) \leq A^2 \tilde{\varepsilon}_n^2$ and $V(p_0, p_{F,\sigma}) \leq A^2 \tilde{\varepsilon}_n^2$ for some universal constant A > 0 that does not depend on σ . Now, because $\Pr(\sigma \in \sigma_0(1-\tilde{\varepsilon}_n \{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)\}^{-2}, 1)) \gtrsim \tilde{\varepsilon}_n \{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)\}^{-2} \gtrsim \exp(-\{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)\}^{d+1})$ we have $p_n \gtrsim \exp(-c \{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)\}^{d+1})$. From this the result follows if $\{\log(1/\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)\}^{d+1} \leq n\tilde{\varepsilon}_n^2$, which is satisfied with $\tilde{\varepsilon}_n = n^{-1/2}(\log n)^q$ for $2q \geq d+1$.

A Appendix: Supporting results and proofs

Theorem 4. Let P_0 be a probability measure on $[-a, a]^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\sigma > 0$, there is a discrete probability measure F_{σ} on $[-a, a]^d$ with at most $N_{\sigma,\varepsilon} = D[\{(a/\sigma) \lor 1\} \log(1/\varepsilon)]^d$ support points such that $\|p_{P_0,\sigma} - p_{F_{\sigma},\sigma}\|_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon/\sigma^d$ and $\|p_{P_0,\sigma} - p_{F_{\sigma},\sigma}\|_1 \leq \varepsilon \{\log(1/\varepsilon)\}^{1/2}$, for some universal constant D.

Proof. A proof of this result can be obtained through straightforward extensions of Lemma 2 of Ghosal and van der Vaart (2007) and Lemma 3.1 of Ghosal and van der Vaart (2001) to d dimensions. The only subtlety lies in replacing display (3.9) of Ghosal and van der Vaart (2001) with

$$\int z^{l} dF(z) = \int z^{l} dF'(z), \ l \in \{1, \cdots, 2k - 2\}^{d}$$
(14)

where, for a $z = (z_1, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a $l = (l_1, \dots, l_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, z^l denotes $z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} \cdots z_d^{l_d}$. For any probability distribution F on \mathbb{R}^d , there exists a discrete distribution F' with at most $\{2(k-1)\}^d + 1$ support points, satisfying (14). This power of d propagate all through the require extensions and appears in $N_{\sigma,\varepsilon}$ in the statement of the current theorem.

Corollary 1. Let P_0 be a probability measure on $[-a, a]^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\sigma > 0$, there is a discrete probability measure F_{σ}^* on $[-a, a]^d$ with at most $N_{\sigma,\varepsilon} = D[\{(a/\sigma) \lor 1\} \log(1/\varepsilon)]^d$ support points from the set $\{(n_1, \cdots, n_p)\sigma\varepsilon : n_i \in \mathbb{Z}, |n_i| < \lceil \frac{a}{\sigma\varepsilon} \rceil, i = 1, \cdots, p\}$ such that $\|p_{P_0,\sigma} - p_{F_{\sigma}^*,\sigma}\|_{\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon/\sigma^d$ and $\|p_{P_0,\sigma} - p_{F_{\sigma}^*,\sigma}\|_1 \lesssim \varepsilon \{\log(1/\varepsilon)\}^{1/2}$.

Proof. First get F_{σ} as in Theorem 4 and then move each of its support points to the nearest point on the grid $\{(n_1, \dots, n_p)\sigma\varepsilon : n_i \in \mathbb{Z}, |n_i| < \lceil \frac{a}{\sigma\varepsilon} \rceil, i = 1, \dots, p\}$ to get F_{σ}^* . These moves cost at most a constant times ε^2/σ^d to the supremum norm distance and at most a constant times ε to the L_1 distance.

Lemma 1. Let p_0 be a twice continuously differentiable probability density on \mathbb{R}^d and let P_0 denote the corresponding probability measure. If

$$\int (\|\nabla p_0\|/p_0)^4 p_0 d\lambda < \infty \text{ and } \int (\|\nabla^2 p_0\|_2/p_0)^2 p_0 d\lambda < \infty,$$

where $||A||_2$ denotes the spectral norm of a matrix A, then $h(p_0, p_{P_0,\sigma}) \lesssim \sigma^2$.

Proof. The proof below closely follows the proof of Lemma 4 in Ghosal and van der Vaart (2007) with some adaptation needed to handle d > 1. By the assumptions on p_0 , p_0 and ∇p_0 are uniformly bounded and hence $p_{\sigma}(x) := p_{P_0,\sigma}(x) = \int p_0(x - \sigma y)\phi(y)dy$ is twice continuously differentiable in σ with derivatives $\dot{p}_{\sigma}(x)$ and $\ddot{p}_{\sigma}(x)$ given by

$$\dot{p}_{\sigma}(x) = -\int y' \nabla p_0(x - \sigma y) \phi(y) dy$$
$$\ddot{p}_{\sigma}(x) = \int \{y' \nabla^2 p_0(x - \sigma y)y\} \phi(y) dy$$

Using Taylor's theorem with the integral form of the remainder we have

$$p_{\sigma}^{1/2}(x) - p_0^{1/2}(x) = \sigma \frac{\dot{p}_0(x)}{2p_0^{1/2}(x)} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\ddot{p}_{s\sigma}(x)}{p_{s\sigma}^{1/2}(x)} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\dot{p}_{s\sigma}^2(x)}{p_{s\sigma}^{3/2}(x)}\right) (1-s)ds.$$

Because $\dot{p}_0(x) = -\int y' \nabla p_0(x) \phi(y) dy = 0$ for every x, we obtain

$$h^{2}(p_{\sigma}, p_{0}) = \frac{1}{4}\sigma^{4} \int \left(\int_{0}^{1} \left(\frac{\ddot{p}_{s\sigma}(x)}{p_{s\sigma}^{1/2}(x)} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\dot{p}_{s\sigma}^{2}(x)}{p_{s\sigma}^{3/2}(x)} \right) (1-s) ds \right)^{2} dx$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4}\sigma^{4} \int_{0}^{1} \int \left[\left(\frac{\ddot{p}_{s\sigma}(x)}{p_{s\sigma}^{1/2}(x)} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\dot{p}_{s\sigma}^{2}(x)}{p_{s\sigma}^{3/2}(x)} \right)^{2} \right] dx \times (1-s)^{2} ds$$

Now, for any σ , by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{split} \ddot{p}_{\sigma}^{2}(x) &= \left(\frac{y'\nabla^{2}p_{0}(x-\sigma y)y(y)}{p_{0}^{1/2}(x-\sigma y)}p_{0}^{1/2}(x-\sigma y)\phi(y)dy\right)^{2} \\ &\leq \int \frac{(y'\nabla^{2}p_{0}(x-\sigma y)y)^{2}}{p_{0}(x-\sigma y)}\phi(y)dy \times p_{\sigma}(x) \\ &\leq \int \frac{\|\nabla^{2}p_{0}(x-\sigma y)\|_{2}^{2}}{p_{0}(x-\sigma y)}\|y\|^{4}\phi(y)dy \times p_{\sigma}(x) \end{split}$$

and hence $\int (\ddot{p}_{s\sigma}(x)/p_{s\sigma}(x))^2 dx \leq \int (\|\nabla^2 p_0\|_2/p_0)^2 p_0 d\lambda \times \int \|y^4\|\phi(y)dy \lesssim 1$. By Hölder's inequality with p = 4 and q = 4/3,

$$\begin{split} \dot{p}_{\sigma}^{4}(x) &= \left(\int \frac{y' \nabla p_{0}(x - \sigma y)}{p_{0}^{3/4}(x - \sigma y)} p_{0}^{3/4}(x - \sigma y) \phi(y) dy\right)^{4} \\ &\leq \left(\int \frac{\{y' \nabla p_{0}(x - \sigma y)\}^{4}}{p_{0}^{3}(x - \sigma y)} \phi(y) dy\right) \times \left(\int p_{0}(x - \sigma y) \phi(y) dy\right)^{3} \\ &\leq \left(\int \frac{\|\nabla p_{0}(x - \sigma y)\|^{4}}{p_{0}^{3}(x - \sigma y)} \|y\|^{4} \phi(y) dy\right) \times p_{\sigma}^{3}(x) \end{split}$$

and hence $\int (\dot{p}_{s\sigma}^2(x)/p_{s\sigma}^{3/2}(x))^2 dx \leq \int (\|\nabla p_0\|/p_0)^4 p_0 d\lambda \times \int \|y\|^4 \phi(y) dy \lesssim 1.$

Lemma 2. Let V_0, V_1, \dots, V_N be a partition of \mathbb{R}^d and $F' = \sum_{j=1}^N p_j \delta_{z_j}$ a probability measure on \mathbb{R}^d with $z_j \in V_j$, $j = 1, \dots, N$. Then, for any probability measure F on \mathbb{R}^d , and any $\sigma > 0$,

$$\|p_{F,\sigma} - p_{F',\sigma}\|_{\infty} \lesssim \frac{1}{\sigma^{d+1}} \max_{1 \le j \le N} \operatorname{diam}(V_j) + \frac{1}{\sigma^d} \sum_{j=1}^N |F(V_j) - p_j|$$
$$\|p_{F,\sigma} - p_{F',\sigma}\|_1 \lesssim \frac{1}{\sigma} \max_{1 \le j \le N} \operatorname{diam}(V_j) + \sum_{j=1}^N |F(V_j) - p_j|$$

where diam $(A) := \sup\{||z_1 - z_2|| : z_1, z_2 \in A\}$ denotes the diameter of a set A.

Proof. See the proof of Lemma 5 of Ghosal and van der Vaart (2007).

Lemma 3 (Lemma 10 of Ghosal and van der Vaart (2007)). Let $(X_1, \dots, X_N) \sim Dir(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N)$, with $0 < \alpha_j \leq 1$, $\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_j = m$. Fix a > 0, b > 0. Then, there exist constants c and C that only depend a, b and m such that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \min(1/4, a\{\min_j \alpha_j\}^b, 1/N))$,

$$P\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} |X_j - p_j| \le 2\varepsilon, \min_j X_j \ge \varepsilon^2/2\right) \ge C \exp\left(-cN \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$$

Lemma 4. For every pair of probability densities p and q,

$$P\log\frac{p}{q} \lesssim h^{2}(p,q) \left(1 + \log\left\|\frac{p}{q}\right\|_{\infty}\right),$$
$$P\left(\log\frac{p}{q}\right)^{2} \lesssim h^{2}(p,q) \left(1 + \log\left\|\frac{p}{q}\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{2},$$
$$\frac{1}{2}\|p-q\|_{1} \le h(p,q) \le \|p-q\|_{1}^{1/2}.$$

Proof. See Lemma 8 of Ghosal and van der Vaart (2007) for the first two inequalities. The last set is well known, (e.g., van der Vaart, 1998, page 212). \Box

References

- Chung, Y. and D. B. Dunson (2009). Nonparametric Bayes conditional distribution modeling with variable selection. *Journal of the American Statistical Association 104*, 1646–1660.
- de Jonge, R. and J. H. van Zanten (2010). Adaptive nonparametric bayesian inference using location-scale mixture priors. *The Annals of Statistics 38*, 3300–3320.
- Dunson, D. B. and J. H. Park (2008). Kernel stick-breaking processes. *Biometrika* 95, 307–323.
- Ferguson, T. (1973). Bayesian analysis of some nonparametric problems. Annals of Statistics 1, 209–230.
- Ghosal, S., J. K. Ghosh, and R. V. Ramamoorthi (1999). Posterior consistency of Dirichlet mixtures in density estimation. *The Annals of Statistics* 27, 143–158.
- Ghosal, S., J. K. Ghosh, and A. W. van der Vaart (2000). Convergence rates of posterior distributions. *The Annals of Statistics* 28, 500–531.
- Ghosal, S. and A. W. van der Vaart (2001). Entropies and rates of convergence for maximum likelihood and Bayes estimation for mixtures of normal densities. *The Annals of Statistics 29*, 1233–1263.
- Ghosal, S. and A. W. van der Vaart (2007). Posterior convergence rates of Dirichlet mixtures at smooth densities. *The Annals of Statistics* 35, 697–723.
- Huang, T.-M. (2004). Convergence rates for posterior distributions and adaptive estimation. The Annals of Statistics 32, 1556–1593.
- Ibragimov, I. A. and R. Z. Khas'minskii (1983). Estimation of distribution density. Journal of Mathematical Science 21, 40–57.
- Kruijer, W., J. Rousseau, and A. van der Vaart (2010). Adaptive bayesian density estimation with location-scale mixtures. *Electronic Journal of Statistics* 4, 1225–1257.

- Pati, D., D. Dunson, and S. T. Tokdar (2011). Posterior consistency in conditional distribution estimation. Duke Statistical Science Discussion Paper #10-17.
- Rodriguez, A. and D. B. Dunson (2011). Nonparametric bayesian models through probit stick-breaking processes. *Bayesian Analysis* 6, 145–178.
- Rousseau, J. (2010). Rates of convergence for the posterior distributions of mixtures of betas and adaptive nonparametric estimation of the density. *The Annals of Statistics 38*, 146–180.
- Sethuraman, J. (1994). A constructive definition of Dirichlet priors. *Statistica Sinica* 4, 639–650.
- van der Vaart, A. (1998). Asymptotic Statistics. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- van der Vaart, A. W. and J. H. van Zanten (2009). Adaptive bayesian estimation using a Gaussian random field with inverse gamma bandwidth. *The Annal of Statistics 37*, 2655–2675.
- Wu, Y. and S. Ghosal (2010). The L_1 -consistency of Dirichlet mixtures in multivariate density estimation. Journal of Multivariate Analysis 101, 2411–2419.