arXiv:1112.0268v1 [hep-ph] 1 Dec 2011

Bounds on the dipole moments of the tau-neutrino via the process $e^+e^- \to \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$ in a 331 model

A. Gutiérrez-Rodríguez[1]

[1]*Facultad de Física, Universidad Autónoma de Zacatecas*

Apartado Postal C-580, 98060 Zacatecas, México.

(Dated: January 5, 2022)

Abstract

We obtain limits on the anomalous magnetic and electric dipole moments of the ν_τ through the reaction $e^+e^- \to \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$ and in the framework of a 331 model. We consider initial-state radiation, and neglect W and photon exchange diagrams. The results are based on the data reported by the L3 Collaboration at LEP, and compare favorably with the limits obtained in other models, complementing previous studies on the dipole moments.

PACS numbers: 14.60.St, 13.40.Em, 12.15.Mm, 12.60.-i

Keywords: Non-standard-model neutrinos, Electric and Magnetic Moments, Neutral Currents, Models beyond the standard model.

E-mail: [1]alexgu@fisica.uaz.edu.mx

I. INTRODUCTION

In the Standard Model (SM) [1–3] extended to contain right-handed neutrinos, the neutrino magnetic moment induced by radiative corrections is unobservably small, $\mu_\nu \sim 3 \times 10^{-19}(m_\nu/1\,eV)$ [4]. Current limits on these magnetic moments are several orders of magnitude larger, so that a magnetic moment close to these limits would indicate a window for probing effects induced by new physics beyond the SM [5]. Similarly, a neutrino electric dipole moment will point also to new physics and they will be of relevance in astrophysics and cosmology, as well as terrestrial neutrino experiments [6].

The existence of a heavy neutral (Z') vector boson is a feature of many extensions of the standard model. In particular, one (or more) additional $U(1)'$ gauge factor provides one of the simplest extensions of the SM. Additional Z' gauge bosons appear in Grand Unified Theories (GUTs) [7], Superstring Theories [8], Left-Right Symmetric Models (LRSM) [4, 9, 10] and in other models such as models of composite gauge bosons [11]. In particular, it is possible to study some phenomenological features associates with this extra neutral gauge boson through models with gauge symmetry $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_N$, also called 331 models. These models arise as an interesting alternative to explain the origin of generations. Pisano and Pleitez [12] have proposed an model based on the gauge group $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_N$. This model has the interesting feature that each generation of fermions is anomalous, but that with three generations the anomalous canceled. Detailed discussions on 331 models can be found in the literature [12–14].

T. M. Gould and I. Z. Rothstein [15] reported in 1994 a bound on μ_{ν_τ} obtained through the analysis of the process $e^+e^- \to \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$, near the Z_1-resonance, with a massive neutrino and the SM $Z_1 e^+e^-$ and $Z_1\nu\bar{\nu}$ couplings.

At low center of mass energy $s << M_{Z_1}^2$, the dominant contribution to the process $e^+e^- \to \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$ involves the exchange of a virtual photon [16]. The dependence on the magnetic moment comes from a direct coupling to the virtual photon, and the observed photon is a result of initial-state Bremsstrahlung.

At higher s, near the Z_1 pole $s \approx M_{Z_1}^2$, the dominant contribution involves the exchange of a Z_1 boson. The dependence on the magnetic moment (μ_{ν_τ}) and the electric dipole moment (d_{ν_τ}) now comes from the radiation of the photon observed by the neutrino or antineutrino in the final state. We emphasize here the importance of the final state radiation near the

Z_1 pole of a very energetic photon as compared to conventional Bremsstrahlung.

However, in order to improve the limits on the magnetic moment and the electric dipole moment of the tau-neutrino, in our calculation to the process $e^+e^- \to \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$ we consider initial-state radiation, in this way the bounds on the dipole moments are stronger than those evaluated in previous studies by other authors. We neglect W and photon exchange diagrams, which amount to 1 % corrections in the relevant kinematic regime. The Feynman diagrams which give the most important contribution to the cross section are shown in Fig. 1.

Our aim in the present paper is to analyze the reaction $e^+e^- \to \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$ in the framework of a 331 model and we attribute an anomalous magnetic moment (MM) and an electric dipole moment (EDM) to a massive tau-neutrino. This process serve to set limits on the tau-neutrino MM and EDM. In this paper, we take advantage of this fact to set limits on μ_{ν_τ} and d_{ν_τ} for various values of the mixing angle ϕ of the 331 model, according to Refs. [14, 17].

The L3 Collaboration [18] evaluated the selection efficiency using detector-simulated $e^+e^- \to \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma(\gamma)$ events, random trigger events, and large-angle $e^+e^- \to e^+e^-$ events. From Fig. 1 of Ref. [18] the process $e^+e^- \to \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$ with γ emitted in the initial state is the lone background in the $[44.5^0, 135.5^0]$ angular range (white histogram). From the same figure in this angular interval that is $-0.7 < \cos\theta_\gamma < 0.7$ we see that only 6 events were found, this is the real background, not 14 events. In this case a simple method [19–21] is that at 1σ level (68 % $C.L$) for a null signal the number of observed events should not exceed the fluctuation of the estimated background events: $N = N_B + \sqrt{N_B}$. Of course, this method is good only when N_B is sufficiently large (i.e. when the Poisson distribution can be approximated with a gaussian [19–21]) but for $N_B > 10$ it is a good approximation. This means that at 1σ level (68 % $C.L.$) the limits on the non-standard parameters are found replacing the equation for the total number of events expected $N = 6 + \sqrt{6}$ in the expression $N = \sigma(\phi, \mu_{\nu_\tau}, d_{\nu_\tau})\mathcal{L}$. The distributions of the photon energy and the cosine of its polar angle are consistent with SM predictions.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II we present the calculation of the process $e^+e^- \to \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$ in the context of a 331 model. Finally, we present our results and conclusions in Sect. III.

II. THE TOTAL CROSS SECTION

In this section we calculate the total cross section for the reaction $e^+e^- \to \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$ using the neutral current lagrangian given in Eqs. (9) and (10) of Ref. [17] for the 331 model for diagrams 1-4 of Fig. 1. A characteristic interesting from this model is that is independent of the mass of the additional Z_2 heavy gauge boson and so we have the mixing angle ϕ between the Z_1 and Z_2 bosons as the only additional parameter. The respective transition amplitudes are thus given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_1 &= \frac{-g^2}{4\cos^2\theta_W(l^2-m_\nu^2)}\Big[\bar{u}(p_3)\Gamma^\alpha(\not{l}+m_\nu)\gamma^\beta\Big(\cos\phi+\frac{1-2\sin^2\theta_W}{\sqrt{3-4\sin^2\theta_W}}\sin\phi\Big)v(p_4)\Big] \qquad (1)\\ &\frac{(g_{\alpha\beta}-p_\alpha p_\beta/M_{Z_1}^2)}{\Big[(p_1+p_2)^2-M_{Z_1}^2-i\Gamma_{Z_1}^2\Big]}\Big[\bar{u}(p_2)\gamma^\alpha\Big(\cos\phi-\frac{\sin\phi}{\sqrt{3-4\sin^2\theta_W}}\Big)(g_{\rm V}-g_A\gamma_5)v(p_1)\Big]\epsilon_\alpha^\lambda,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_2 &= \frac{-g^2}{4\cos^2\theta_W(l'^2-m_\nu^2)}\Big[\bar{u}(p_3)\gamma^\beta\Big(\cos\phi+\frac{1-2\sin^2\theta_W}{\sqrt{3-4\sin^2\theta_W}}\sin\phi\Big)(\not{l}'+m_\nu)\Gamma^\alpha v(p_4)\Big] \qquad (2)\\ &\frac{(g_{\alpha\beta}-p_\alpha p_\beta/M_{Z_1}^2)}{\Big[(p_1+p_2)^2-M_{Z_1}^2-i\Gamma_{Z_1}^2\Big]}\Big[\bar{u}(p_2)\gamma^\alpha\Big(\cos\phi-\frac{\sin\phi}{\sqrt{3-4\sin^2\theta_W}}\Big)(g_{\rm V}-g_A\gamma_5)v(p_1)\Big]\epsilon_\alpha^\lambda,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_3 &= \frac{-g^2}{4\cos^2\theta_W(k^2-m_e^2)}\Big[\bar{u}(p_3)\gamma^\alpha\Big(\cos\phi+\frac{1-2\sin^2\theta_W}{\sqrt{3-4\sin^2\theta_W}}\sin\phi\Big)v(p_4)\Big] \qquad (3)\\ &\frac{(g_{\alpha\beta}-p_\alpha p_\beta/M_{Z_1}^2)}{\Big[(p_1+p_2)^2-M_{Z_1}^2-i\Gamma_{Z_1}^2\Big]}\Big[\bar{u}(p_2)\gamma^\alpha(\not{k}+m_e)\gamma^\beta\Big(\cos\phi-\frac{\sin\phi}{\sqrt{3-4\sin^2\theta_W}}\Big)(g_{\rm V}-g_A\gamma_5)v(p_1)\Big]\epsilon_\alpha^\lambda,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_4 &= \frac{-g^2}{4\cos^2\theta_W(k'^2-m_e^2)}\Big[\bar{u}(p_3)\gamma^\alpha\Big(\cos\phi+\frac{1-2\sin^2\theta_W}{\sqrt{3-4\sin^2\theta_W}}\sin\phi\Big)v(p_4)\Big] \qquad (4)\\ &\frac{(g_{\alpha\beta}-p_\alpha p_\beta/M_{Z_1}^2)}{\Big[(p_1+p_2)^2-M_{Z_1}^2-i\Gamma_{Z_1}^2\Big]}\Big[\bar{u}(p_2)\gamma^\beta\Big(\cos\phi-\frac{\sin\phi}{\sqrt{3-4\sin^2\theta_W}}\Big)(g_{\rm V}-g_A\gamma_5)(\not{k}'+m_e)\gamma^\alpha v(p_1)\Big]\epsilon_\alpha^\lambda,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Gamma^\alpha = eF_1(q^2)\gamma^\alpha + \frac{ie}{2m_\nu}F_2(q^2)\sigma^{\alpha\mu}q_\mu + eF_3(q^2)\gamma_5\sigma^{\alpha\mu}q_\mu, \qquad (5)$$

is the neutrino electromagnetic vertex, e is the charge of the electron, q^μ is the photon momentum and $F_{1,2,3}(q^2)$ are the electromagnetic form factors of the neutrino, corresponding to charge radius, MM and EDM, respectively, at $q^2 = 0$ [22, 23], while ϵ_α^λ is the polarization vector of the photon. l and k stands for the momentum of the virtual neutrino and antineutrino respectively.

The MM, EDM and the mixing angle ϕ of the 331 model give a contribution to the total cross section for the process $e^+e^- \to \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$ of the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(e^+e^- \to \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma) \;=\; & \int\Bigg\{\frac{\alpha^2}{96\pi}\left(\kappa^2\mu_B^2 + d_{\nu_\tau}^2\right)\left[\frac{s - 2\sqrt{s}E_\gamma + \frac{1}{2}E_\gamma^2\sin^2\theta_\gamma}{(s - M_{Z_1}^2)^2 + M_{Z_1}^2\Gamma_{Z_1}^2}\right] \\
& + \frac{\alpha^2}{64\pi}\left(\kappa\mu_B + d_{\nu_\tau}\right)\left(\frac{s/E_\gamma^2 - 2\sqrt{s}/E_\gamma}{1 - \cos^2\theta_\gamma}\right) \\
& \times \left[\frac{\left(1 - s(1 - 2E_\gamma/\sqrt{s})/M_Z^2\right)\left((1 - E_\gamma/\sqrt{s})^2 + E_\gamma^2\cos^2\theta_\gamma/s\right)}{\left(s(1 - 2E_\gamma/\sqrt{s}) - M_{Z_1}^2\right)^2 + M_{Z_1}^2\Gamma_{Z_1}^2}\right] \\
& + \frac{\alpha^2}{32\pi}\left(\frac{s/E_\gamma^2 - 2\sqrt{s}/E_\gamma}{1 - \cos^2\theta_\gamma}\right)\left[\frac{(1 - E_\gamma/\sqrt{s})^2 + E_\gamma^2\cos^2\theta_\gamma/s}{\left(s(1 - 2E_\gamma/\sqrt{s}) - M_{Z_1}^2\right)^2 + M_{Z_1}^2\Gamma_{Z_1}^2}\right]\Bigg\} \\
& \times \left[\frac{1 - 4x_W + 8x_W^2}{x_W^2(1 - x_W)^2}\right]\left(\cos\phi - \frac{\sin\phi}{\sqrt{3 - 4x_W}}\right)^2\left(\cos\phi + \frac{(1 - 2x_W)}{\sqrt{3 - 4x_W}}\sin\phi\right)^2 \\
& \times E_\gamma dE_\gamma d\cos\theta_\gamma,
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $x_W \equiv \sin^2\theta_W$ and E_γ, $\cos\theta_\gamma$ are the energy and the opening angle of the emitted photon.

It is useful to consider the smallness of the mixing angle ϕ, as indicated in the Eq. (14), to approximate the cross section in Eq. (6) by its expansion in ϕ up to the linear term: $\sigma = (\kappa^2\mu_B^2 + d_{\nu_\tau}^2)[A + B(\phi)] + (\kappa\mu_B + d_{\nu_\tau})[C + D(\phi)] + E + F(\phi) + O(\phi^2)$, where A, B, C, D, E and F are constants which can be evaluated. Such an approximation for deriving the limits of μ_{ν_τ} and d_{ν_τ} is more illustrative and easier to manipulate.

For $\phi < 1$, the total cross section for the process $e^+e^- \to \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$ is given by

$$\sigma(e^+e^- \to \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma) = (\mu_{\nu_\tau}^2 + d_{\nu_\tau}^2)[A + B\phi] + (\kappa\mu_B + d_{\nu_\tau})[C + D\phi] + E + F\phi + O(\phi^2), \tag{7}$$

where A explicitly is

$$A=\int\frac{\alpha^2}{96\pi}\left[\frac{1-4x_W+8x_W^2}{x_W^2(1-x_W)^2}\right]\left[\frac{s-2\sqrt{s}E_\gamma+\frac{1}{2}E_\gamma^2\sin^2\theta_\gamma}{(s-M_{Z_1}^2)^2+M_{Z_1}^2\Gamma_{Z_1}^2}\right]E_\gamma dE_\gamma d\cos\theta_\gamma, \tag{8}$$

while B, C, D, E and F are given by

$$B=\int\frac{\alpha^2}{16\pi}\left[\frac{1-4x_W+8x_W^2}{x_W(1-x_W)^2}\right]\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{3-4x_W}}\right]\left[\frac{s-2\sqrt{s}E_\gamma+\frac{1}{2}E_\gamma^2\sin^2\theta_\gamma}{(s-M_{Z_1}^2)^2+M_{Z_1}^2\Gamma_{Z_1}^2}\right]E_\gamma dE_\gamma d\cos\theta_\gamma, \tag{9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}C=&\int\frac{\alpha^2}{64\pi}\left[\frac{1-4x_W+8x_W^2}{x_W^2(1-x_W)^2}\right]\left[\frac{s/E_\gamma^2-2\sqrt{s}/E_\gamma}{1-\cos^2\theta_\gamma}\right]\\&\times\left[\frac{\left(1-s(1-2E_\gamma/\sqrt{s})/M_Z^2\right)\left((1-E_\gamma/\sqrt{s})^2+E_\gamma^2\cos^2\theta_\gamma/s\right)}{\left(s(1-2E_\gamma/\sqrt{s})-M_{Z_1}^2\right)^2+M_{Z_1}^2\Gamma_{Z_1}^2}\right]E_\gamma dE_\gamma d\cos\theta_\gamma,\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}D=&\int\frac{\alpha^2}{16\pi}\left[\frac{1-4x_W+8x_W^2}{x_W(1-x_W)^2}\right]\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{3-4x_W}}\right]\left[\frac{s/E_\gamma^2-2\sqrt{s}/E_\gamma}{1-\cos^2\theta_\gamma}\right]\\&\times\left[\frac{\left(1-s(1-2E_\gamma/\sqrt{s})/M_Z^2\right)\left((1-E_\gamma/\sqrt{s})^2+E_\gamma^2\cos^2\theta_\gamma/s\right)}{\left(s(1-2E_\gamma/\sqrt{s})-M_{Z_1}^2\right)^2+M_{Z_1}^2\Gamma_{Z_1}^2}\right]E_\gamma dE_\gamma d\cos\theta_\gamma,\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}E=&\int\frac{\alpha^2}{32\pi}\left[\frac{1-4x_W+8x_W^2}{x_W^2(1-x_W)^2}\right]\\&\times\left[\frac{s/E_\gamma^2-2\sqrt{s}/E_\gamma}{1-\cos^2\theta_\gamma}\right]\left[\frac{(1-E_\gamma/\sqrt{s})^2+E_\gamma^2\cos^2\theta_\gamma/s}{\left(s(1-2E_\gamma/\sqrt{s})-M_{Z_1}^2\right)^2+M_{Z_1}^2\Gamma_{Z_1}^2}\right]E_\gamma dE_\gamma d\cos\theta_\gamma,\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}F=&\int\frac{\alpha^2}{8\pi}\left[\frac{1-4x_W+8x_W^2}{x_W(1-x_W)^2}\right]\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{3-4x_W}}\right]\\&\times\left[\frac{s/E_\gamma^2-2\sqrt{s}/E_\gamma}{1-\cos^2\theta_\gamma}\right]\left[\frac{(1-E_\gamma/\sqrt{s})^2+E_\gamma^2\cos^2\theta_\gamma/s}{\left(s(1-2E_\gamma/\sqrt{s})-M_{Z_1}^2\right)^2+M_{Z_1}^2\Gamma_{Z_1}^2}\right]E_\gamma dE_\gamma d\cos\theta_\gamma.\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The expression given for A corresponds to the cross section previously reported by T. M. Gould and I. Z. Rothstein [15], while B, C, D, E and F comes from the contribution of the 331 model, of the interference and the SM contribution due to bremsstrahlung in which the photon is radiated to the initial electron or positron. Evaluating the limit when the mixing angle is $\phi=0$, the terms that depend of ϕ in (7) are zero and Eq. (7) is reduced to the expression (3) given in Ref. [15], more the contribution of the interference and the contribution of the SM, respectively.

III. RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

In order to evaluate the integral of the total cross section as a function of the parameters of the 331 model, that is to say, ϕ, we require cuts on the photon angle and energy to avoid divergences when the integral is evaluated at the important intervals of each experiment. We integrate over θ_γ from 44.5^o to 135.5^o and E_γ from 15 GeV to 100 GeV for various fixed values of the mixing angle $\phi = -3.979 \times 10^{-3}, 0, 1.309 \times 10^{-4}$. Using the following numerical values: $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.2314$, $M_{Z_1} = 91.18\ GeV$ and $\Gamma_{Z_1} = 2.49\ GeV$, we obtain the cross section $\sigma = \sigma(\phi, \mu_{\nu_\tau}, d_{\nu_\tau})$.

For the mixing angle ϕ between Z_1 and Z_2 of the 331 model, we use the reported data of Cogollo *et al.* [17]:

$$-3.979 \times 10^{-3} \leq \phi \leq 1.309 \times 10^{-4}, \tag{14}$$

with a 90% C. L. Other limits on the mixing angle ϕ reported in the literature are given in Ref. [14].

As was discussed in Refs. [15, 18, 24, 25], $N \approx \sigma(\phi, \mu_{\nu_\tau}, d_{\nu_\tau})\mathcal{L}$, where $N = 6 + \sqrt{6}$ is the total number of $e^+e^- \to \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$ events expected at 1σ level ($68\%\ C.L.$) as is mentioned in the introduction and $\mathcal{L} = 137\ pb^{-1}$, according to the data reported by the L3 Collaboration Ref. [18] and references therein. Taking this into consideration, we can get a limit for the tau-neutrino magnetic moment as a function of ϕ with $d_{\nu_\tau} = 0$.

The values obtained for this limit for several values of the ϕ parameter are show in Table 1.

ϕ	$\mu_{\nu_\tau}(10^{-6}\mu_B)$	$d_{\nu_\tau}(10^{-17}e\text{cm})$
-3.979×10^{-3}	[-2.57, 2.42]	[-4,95, 4.66]
0	[-2.60, 2.48]	[-5.01, 4.78]
1.309×10^{-4}	[-2.62, 2.50]	[-5.05, 4.82]

Table 1. Limits on the μ_{ν_τ} magnetic moment and d_{ν_τ} electric dipole moment at 68% C. L. for different values of the mixing angle ϕ [17]. We have applied the cuts used by L3 for the photon angle and energy.

The results obtained in Table 1 are in agreement with the literature [15, 16, 18, 22, 26–35]. However, if the mixing angle is $\phi = -2.1 \times 10^{-3}, 0, 1.32 \times 10^{-4}$ [14], we obtained the

results given in Table 2.

ϕ	$\mu_{\nu_\tau}(10^{-6}\mu_B)$	$d_{\nu_\tau}(10^{-17}e\text{cm})$
-2.1×10^{-3}	[-2.59, 2.44]	[-4.99, 4.70]
0	[-2.60, 2.48]	[-5.01, 4.78]
1.32×10^{-4}	[-2.64, 2.52]	[-5.09, 4.86]

Table 2. Limits on the μ_{ν_τ} magnetic moment and d_{ν_τ} electric dipole moment at 68% C. L. for different values of the mixing angle ϕ [14]. We have applied the cuts used by L3 for the photon angle and energy.

The previous analysis and comments can readily be translated to the EDM of the τ-neutrino with $\mu_{\nu_\tau}=0$. The resulting limits for the EDM as a function of ϕ are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

The incorporation of the diagrams with photon radiation in the initial state, as well as the statistical analysis gives a contribution of about 22 % on the bounds of magnetic and electric dipole moments of the tau-neutrino, with respect to analysis in Z_1 boson resonance, that is to say $s=M_{Z_1}^2$.

We plot the total cross section in Fig. 2 as a function of the mixing angle ϕ for the limits of the magnetic moment given in Tables 1 and 2. Our results for the dependence of the differential cross section on the photon energy versus the cosine of the opening angle between the photon and the beam direction (θ_γ) are presented in Fig. 3 for $\phi=-3.979\times10^{-3}$ and $\mu_{\nu_\tau}=2.42\times10^{-6}\mu_B$. In addition, the form of the distributions does not change significantly for the values ϕ and μ_{ν_τ} because ϕ and μ_{ν_τ} are very small in value, as shown in Tables 1-2. Finally, we plot the differential cross-section in Fig. 4 as a function of the photon energy for the limits of the magnetic moments given in Tables 1-2.

Other upper limits on the tau-neutrino magnetic moment reported in the literature are $\mu_{\nu_\tau}<3.3\times10^{-6}\mu_B$ (90 % $C.L.$) from a sample of e^+e^- annihilation events collected with the L3 detector at the Z_1 resonance corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 137 pb^{-1} [18]; $\mu_{\nu_\tau}\leq2.7\times10^{-6}\mu_B$ (95 % $C.L.$) at $q^2=M_{Z_1}^2$ from measurements of the Z_1 invisible width at LEP [22]; $\mu_{\nu_\tau}\leq2.62\times10^{-6}$ in the effective Lagrangian approach at the Z_1 pole [36]; $\mu_{\nu_\tau}<1.83\times10^{-6}\mu_B$ (90 % $C.L.$) from the analysis of $e^+e^-\to\nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$ at the Z_1-pole, in a class

of E_6 inspired models with a light additional neutral vector boson [37]; from the order of $\mu_{\nu_\tau} < O(1.1 \times 10^{-6}\mu_B)$ Keiichi Akama *et al.* derive and apply model-independent limits on the anomalous magnetic moments and the electric dipole moments of leptons and quarks due to new physics [38]. However, the limits obtained in Ref. [38] are for the tau-neutrino with an upper bound of $m_\tau < 18.2\ MeV$ which is the current experimental limit. It was pointed out in Ref. [38] however, that the upper limit on the mass of the electron neutrino and data from various neutrino oscillation experiments together imply that none of the active neutrino mass eigenstates is heavier than approximately 3 eV. In this case, the limits given in Ref. [38] are improved by seven orders of magnitude. The limit $\mu_{\nu_\tau} < 5.4 \times 10^{-7}\mu_B$ (90 % $C.L.$) is obtained at $q^2 = 0$ from a beam-dump experiment with assumptions on the D_s production cross section and its branching ratio into $\tau\nu_\tau$ [39], thus severely restricting the cosmological annihilation scenario [40]. Our results in Tables 1 and 2 for $\phi = -3.979 \times 10^{-3}, 0, 1.309 \times 10^{-4}$ and $\phi = -2.1 \times 10^{-3}, 0, 1.32 \times 10^{-4}$ compare favorably with the limits obtained by the L3 Collaboration [18], and with others limits reported in the literature [15, 16, 22, 36].

In the case of the electric dipole moment, other upper limits reported in the literature are: $\mid d(\nu_\tau) \mid \leq 5.2 \times 10^{-17} e$ cm, 95% C.L. [22] and $\mid d(\nu_\tau) \mid < O(2 \times 10^{-17} e$ cm) [38].

In summary, we conclude that the estimated limits for the tau- neutrino magnetic and electric dipole moments in the context of a 331 model compare favorably with the limits obtained by the L3 Collaboration, and complement previous studies on the dipole moments. In the limit $\phi = 0$ our limits takes the value previously reported in Ref. [15] for the SM. On the other hand, it seems that in order to improve these limits it might be necessary to study direct CP-violating effects [41]. In addition, the analytical and numerical results for the total cross section have never been reported in the literature before and could be of some practical use for the scientific community.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge support from CONACyT, SNI and PROMEP (México).

[1] S. L. Glashow, *Nucl. Phys.* **22**, 579 (1961).

[2] S. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **19**, 1264 (1967).

[3] A. Salam, in *Elementary Particle Theory*, Ed. N. Svartholm (Almquist and Wiskell, Stockholm, 1968) 367.

[4] R. N. Mohapatra and P. B. Pal, in *Massive Neutrinos in Physics and Astrophysics*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1991).

[5] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, *Physics of Neutrinos and Applications to Astrophysics*, (Springer, Berlin, 2003).

[6] A. Cisneros, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **10**, 87 (1971).

[7] R.W. Robinett, *Phys. Rev.* **D26**, 2388 (1982).

[8] M. Green and J. Schwarz, *Phys. Lett.* **B149**, 117 (1984).

[9] G. Senjanovic, *Nucl. Phys.* **B153**, 334 (1979).

[10] G. Senjanovic and R. N. Mohapatra, *Phys. Rev.* **D12**, 1502 (1975).

[11] U. Baur *et al.*, *Phys. Rev.* **D35**, 297 (1987).

[12] F. Pisano and V. Pleitez, *Phys. Rev.* **D46**, 410 (1992).

[13] J. C. Montero, F. Pisano and V. Pleitez, *Phys. Rev.* **D47**, 2918 (1993).

[14] Hoang Ngoc Long *et al.*, *Phys. Rev.* **D53**, 437 (1996).

[15] T. M. Gould and I. Z. Rothstein, *Phys. Lett.* **B333**, 545 (1994).

[16] H. Grotch and R. Robinet, *Z. Phys.* **C39**, 553 (1988).

[17] D. Cogollo *et al.*, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A23**, 3405 (2009).

[18] L3 Collab. M. Acciarri *et al.*, *Phys. Lett.* **B412**, 201 (1997).

[19] K. Nakamura *et al.*, (Particle Data Group), *J. Phys.* **G37**, 075021 (2010).

[20] Rick S. Gupta and James D. Wells, arXiv: 1110.0824 [hep-ph].

[21] G. L. Bayatian et al., (CMS Collaboration), *J. Phys.* **G34**, 995 (2007).

[22] R. Escribano and E. Massó, *Phys. Lett.* **B395**, 369 (1997).

[23] P. Vogel and J. Engel, *Phys. Rev.* **D39**, 3378 (1989).

[24] R. M. Barnett *et al.*, *Phys. Rev.* **D54**, 166 (1996).

[25] G. J. Feldman and R. D. Cousins, *Phys. Rev.* **D57**, 3873 (1998).

[26] DELPHI Collaboration, *Z. Phys.* **C74**, 577 (1997).

[27] J. M. Hernández et al., *Phys. Rev.* **D60**, 013004 (1999).

[28] M. A. Pérez, G. Tavares-Velasco and J. J. Toscano, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A19**, 159 (2004).

[29] F. Larios, M. A. Pérez, G. Tavares-Velasco, *Phys. Lett.* **B531**, 231 (2002).

[30] A. Gutiérrez-Rodríguez *et al.*, *Phys. Rev.* **D58**, 117302 (1998).

[31] A. Gutiérrez-Rodríguez *et al.*, *Rev. Mex. de Fís.* **45**, 249 (1999).

[32] A. Gutiérrez-Rodríguez *et al.*, *Acta Physica Slovaca* **53**, 293 (2003).

[33] A. Gutiérrez-Rodríguez *et al.*, *Phys. Rev.* **D69**, 073008 (2004).

[34] A. Gutiérrez-Rodríguez *et al.*, *Phys. Rev.* **D74**, 053002 (2006).

[35] C. Aydin, M. Bayar and N. Kilic, arXiv: hep-ph/0603080.

[36] M. Maya, M. A. Pérez, G. Tavares-Velasco, B. Vega, *Phys. Lett.* **B434**, 354 (1998).

[37] Aytekin Aydemir and Ramazan Sever, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A16** 7, 457 (2001).

[38] Keiichi Akama, Takashi Hattori and Kazuo Katsuura, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 201601 (2002).

[39] A. M. Cooper-Sarkar *et al.*, *Phys. Lett.* **B280**, 153 (1992).

[40] G. F. Giudice, *Phys. Lett.* **B251**, 460 (1990).

[41] M. A. Pérez and F. Ramírez-Zavaleta, *Phys. Lett.* **B609**, 68 (2005).




FIG. 1: The Feynman diagrams contributing to the process $e^+e^- \to \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$ in a 331 model (1, 2) when the Z_1 vector boson is produced on mass-shell and the SM (3, 4) diagrams for initial-state radiation.




FIG. 2: The total cross section for $e^+e^- \to \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$ as a function of ϕ and μ_{ν_τ} (Tables 1, 2).




FIG. 3: The differential cross section for $e^+e^- \to \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$ as a function of E_γ and $\cos\theta_\gamma$ for $\phi = -3.979 \times 10^{-3}$ and $\mu_{\nu_\tau} = 2.42 \times 10^{-6}\mu_B$.




FIG. 4: The differential cross section for $e^+e^- \to \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$ as a function of E_γ and μ_{ν_τ} with $\phi = -3.979 \times 10^{-3}$.