

ON AN ESTIMATE OF CALDERÓN-ZYGMUND OPERATORS BY DYADIC POSITIVE OPERATORS

ANDREI K. LERNER

ABSTRACT. Given a general dyadic grid \mathcal{D} and a sparse family of cubes $\mathcal{S} = \{Q_j^k\} \in \mathcal{D}$, define a dyadic positive operator $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D},\mathcal{S}}$ by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D},\mathcal{S}}f(x) = \sum_{j,k} f_{Q_j^k} \chi_{Q_j^k}(x).$$

Given a Banach function space $X(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the maximal Calderón-Zygmund operator T_{\natural} , we show that

$$\|T_{\natural}f\|_X \leq c(n, T) \sup_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}} \|\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D},\mathcal{S}}|f|\|_X.$$

This result is applied to weighted inequalities. In particular, it implies: (i) the “two-weight conjecture” by D. Cruz-Uribe and C. Pérez in full generality; (ii) a simplification of the proof of the “ A_2 conjecture”; (iii) an extension of certain mixed A_p - A_r estimates to general Calderón-Zygmund operators; (iv) an extension of sharp A_1 estimates (known for T) to the maximal Calderón-Zygmund operator T_{\natural} .

1. INTRODUCTION

A Calderón-Zygmund operator in \mathbb{R}^n is an L^2 bounded integral operator with kernel K satisfying the following growth and smoothness conditions:

- (i) $|K(x, y)| \leq \frac{c}{|x-y|^n}$ for all $x \neq y$;
- (ii) there exists $0 < \delta \leq 1$ such that

$$|K(x, y) - K(x', y)| + |K(y, x) - K(y, x')| \leq c \frac{|x - x'|^\delta}{|x - y|^{n+\delta}},$$

whenever $|x - x'| < |x - y|/2$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B20, 42B25.

Key words and phrases. Banach function space, Calderón-Zygmund operator, Haar shift operator, local mean oscillation decomposition, A_2 conjecture, two-weight conjecture.

Given a Calderón-Zygmund operator T , define its maximal truncated version by

$$T_{\natural}f(x) = \sup_{0 < \varepsilon < \nu} \left| \int_{\varepsilon < |y| < \nu} K(x, y) f(y) dy \right|.$$

By a *general dyadic grid* \mathcal{D} we mean a collection of cubes with the following properties: (i) for any $Q \in \mathcal{D}$ its sidelength ℓ_Q is of the form 2^k , $k \in \mathbb{Z}$; (ii) $Q \cap R \in \{Q, R, \emptyset\}$ for any $Q, R \in \mathcal{D}$; (iii) the cubes of a fixed sidelength 2^k form a partition of \mathbb{R}^n .

We say that $\{Q_j^k\} \in \mathcal{D}$ is a *sparse family* of dyadic cubes if: (i) the cubes Q_j^k are disjoint in j , with k fixed; (ii) if $\Omega_k = \cup_j Q_j^k$, then $\Omega_{k+1} \subset \Omega_k$; (iii) $|\Omega_{k+1} \cap Q_j^k| \leq \frac{1}{2} |Q_j^k|$.

Given a dyadic grid \mathcal{D} and a sparse family $\mathcal{S} = \{Q_j^k\} \in \mathcal{D}$, consider a dyadic positive operator \mathcal{A} defined by

$$\mathcal{A}f(x) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}}f(x) = \sum_{j,k} f_{Q_j^k} \chi_{Q_j^k}(x)$$

(we use the standard notation $f_Q = \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f$).

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a Banach function space over \mathbb{R}^n equipped with Lebesgue measure. Then, for any appropriate f ,*

$$\|T_{\natural}f\|_X \leq c(T, n) \sup_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}} \|\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}}f\|_X,$$

where the supremum is taken over arbitrary dyadic grids \mathcal{D} and sparse families $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{D}$.

We consider several applications of this result in the case when X is the weighted Lebesgue space, $X = L^p(u)$ (by a weight we mean a non-negative locally integrable function).

The operators similar to \mathcal{A} were used in [4, 14, 18] to deal with several classical transforms represented in terms of the Haar shift operators of bounded complexity (for example, the Hilbert, Riesz and Beurling transforms). Now, by Theorem 1.1, we have that the results obtained by this approach hold for arbitrary Calderón-Zygmund operators. In particular, we mention the work [4] by D. Cruz-Uribe, J. Martell and C. Pérez where it was found a very simple proof of both the “two-weight” and “ A_2 ” conjectures for \mathcal{A} (and hence for the above mentioned classical operators). Now we have that this proof is automatically extended to any Calderón-Zygmund operator, and, in particular, this yields the “two-weight conjecture” due to D. Cruz-Uribe and C. Pérez in full generality. Moreover, the approach to \mathcal{A}

from [4] allows actually to get a rather general sufficient condition for the two-weighted boundedness of T .

First we observe that the two-weighted estimates for dyadic positive operators (and in particular for \mathcal{A}) have been recently characterized by M. Lacey, E. Sawyer and I. Uriarte-Tuero [16]; a necessary and sufficient condition is expressed in terms of Sawyer-type testing conditions. We mention here a different simple characterization which is partially based on an idea used in [4] to deal with \mathcal{A} . Its advantage is that it avoids the use of the notions of \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{S} . On the other hand, it requires the following bi(sub)linear maximal operator defined by

$$\mathcal{M}(f, g)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f| \right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |g| \right),$$

where the supremum is taken over arbitrary cubes Q containing the point x .

Theorem 1.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let u, v be arbitrary weights. Then the following equivalence*

$$\sup_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}} \|\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}}\|_{L^p(v) \rightarrow L^p(u)} \asymp \|\mathcal{M}\|_{L^p(v) \times L^{p'}(u^{1-p'}) \rightarrow L^1}$$

holds with the corresponding constants depending only on n .

In order to give a general formulation of the two-weighted Muckenhoupt type sufficient condition for T , we invoke again the Banach function space X . Given a cube Q , define the X -average of f over Q and the maximal operator M_X by

$$\|f\|_{X, Q} = \|(f\chi_Q)(\ell_Q \cdot)\|_X, \quad M_X f(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \|f\|_{X, Q}.$$

This operator was introduced and studied by C. Pérez [23, 24]. By X' we denote the associate space to X .

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 easily imply the following.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, and let X and Y be the Banach function spaces such that $M_{X'}$ and $M_{Y'}$ are bounded on $L^{p'}$ and L^p , respectively. Then*

$$(1.1) \quad \|T_{\mathfrak{H}}\|_{L^p(v) \rightarrow L^p(u)} \leq c \sup_Q \|u^{1/p}\|_{X, Q} \|v^{-1/p}\|_{Y, Q}.$$

Assume that $X = L^p$. Then $M_{X'} = M_{L^{p'}}$. The operator $M_{L^{p'}}$ is not bounded on $L^{p'}$ since this would be equivalent to the boundedness of M on L^1 . Similarly, if $Y = L^{p'}$, then $M_{Y'} = M_{L^p}$ is not bounded on L^p . It is natural that in the case $X = L^p, Y = L^{p'}$ the condition of the theorem is not satisfied since in this case the finiteness of the right-hand

side of (1.1) means that a couple (u, v) satisfies the A_p Muckenhoupt condition. But it is well known that $(u, v) \in A_p$ is not sufficient even for the two-weighted boundedness of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M [25]. On the other hand, taking the X and Y averages on the right-hand side of (1.1) a bit bigger than the L^p and $L^{p'}$ averages, the corresponding operators $M_{X'}$ and $M_{Y'}$ will be a bit smaller than $M_{L^{p'}}$ and M_{L^p} , and we obtain their boundedness on $L^{p'}$ and L^p , respectively, and therefore a sufficient two-weighted condition.

A typical situation occurs when $X = L^A$ is the Orlicz space defined by means of the Young function A , equipped with the Luxemburg norm. In this case $X' = L^{\bar{A}}$ (with the equivalence of norms), where \bar{A} is the Young function complementary to A . The boundedness of M_{L^A} on L^p was characterized by C. Pérez [24]; a necessary and sufficient condition is the B_p condition which says that for some $c > 0$,

$$\int_c^\infty \frac{A(t)}{t^p} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty.$$

Hence, if $X = L^A$ and $Y = L^B$, the boundedness of $M_{X'}$ and $M_{Y'}$ on $L^{p'}$ and L^p is equivalent to that $\bar{A} \in B_{p'}$ and $\bar{B} \in B_p$. In this case Theorem 1.3 yields the “two-weight conjecture” by D. Cruz-Uribe and C. Pérez mentioned above (we use the notation $\|f\|_{L^A, Q} = \|f\|_{A, Q}$).

Conjecture 1.4. *Given $p, 1 < p < \infty$, let A and B be two Young functions such that $\bar{A} \in B_{p'}$ and $\bar{B} \in B_p$. If a couple of weights (u, v) satisfies*

$$\sup_Q \|u^{1/p}\|_{A, Q} \|v^{-1/p}\|_{B, Q} < \infty,$$

then

$$\|Tf\|_{L^p(u)} \leq c \|f\|_{L^p(v)}.$$

For a complete history of this conjecture and partial results we refer to a recent book [3]. Under certain restrictions on A and B , the conjecture was proved in [2]. By means of the “local mean oscillation decomposition” the conjecture was proved for any T in the case $p > n$ in [17]. After that, using the same decomposition and the operator \mathcal{A} , the conjecture was proved for the Hilbert, Riesz and Beurling transforms in [4]. In a recent work [21], the conjecture was completely proved in the case $p = 2$ by means of the Bellman function method. Also, in [5], the conjecture was proved for the so-called log bumps and for certain log log bumps.

The rest of applications of Theorem 1.1 are given in Section 2 below. We turn now to the main ingredients used in the proof of this theorem.

- A representation of T in terms of the Haar shift operators $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{m,k}$ obtained by T. Hytönen [7] (see also [8, 13]), and its “maximal truncated” corollary proved in [11].
- A recent estimate by T. Hytönen and M. Lacey [9] where they used the “local mean oscillation decomposition” from [17] to bound $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{m,k}$ by the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{\kappa+1} \mathcal{A}_i$, where $\kappa = \max(k, m, 1)$ is the complexity of $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{m,k}$, and the operators \mathcal{A}_i are defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_i f(x) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{S}, i} f(x) = \sum_{k,j} f_{(Q_j^k)^{(i)}} \chi_{Q_j^k}(x)$$

(here $Q^{(i)}$ denotes the i -th ancestor of Q , that is, the unique dyadic cube containing Q and such that $\ell_{Q^{(i)}} = 2^i \ell_Q$). Observe that the idea to bound $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{m,k}$ by operators \mathcal{A}_i goes back to [4]. But a crucial point is the linear dependence on κ in [9], while it was exponential in [4].

- The key idea in [9] was that \mathcal{A}_i can be viewed as a Haar shift operator of complexity i , but with a positive kernel. This fact allowed to simplify certain arguments used when dealing with general Haar shift operators. Our novel point in this paper is that one can use again the “local mean oscillation decomposition” to bound \mathcal{A}_i . More precisely, we consider the formal adjoint of \mathcal{A}_i given by

$$\mathcal{A}_i^* f(x) = \frac{1}{2^{in}} \sum_{k,j} f_{Q_j^k} \chi_{(Q_j^k)^{(i)}}(x).$$

We show that given a finite sparse family \mathcal{S}_1 , there is a sparse family \mathcal{S}_2 such that for a.e. x ,

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}_1, i}^* f(x) \leq c(n) i (Mf(x) + \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}_2} f(x)).$$

Combining this estimate with the above mentioned ingredients leads easily to Theorem 1.1.

Observe that the “local mean oscillation decomposition” proved in [17] states that

$$(1.3) \quad |f(x) - m_f(Q_0)| \leq 4M_{1/4; Q_0}^{\#, d} f(x) + 4 \sum_{k,j} \omega_{\frac{1}{2^{n+2}}} (f; (Q_j^k)^{(1)}) \chi_{Q_j^k}(x)$$

(see Section 4 below for the definitions of the objects involved here). This estimate would allow to get (1.2) with \mathcal{A}_1 instead of $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0$ on the right-hand side, and, as a result, we would get Theorem 1.1 with \mathcal{A}_1 . This is not actually important from point of view of main applications. But in order to arrive to a smaller operator \mathcal{A} , we will

use the following variant of (1.3) proved in Theorem 4.5 below:

$$|f(x) - m_f(Q_0)| \leq 4M_{\frac{1}{2^{n+2}}, Q_0}^{\#, d} f(x) + 2 \sum_{k,j} \omega_{\frac{1}{2^{n+2}}} (f; Q_j^k) \chi_{Q_j^k}(x).$$

The main difference with (1.3) is that the oscillations here are taken over the cubes Q_j^k .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give some other applications of Theorem 1.1. Section 3 contains basic facts concerning the Haar shift operators. In Section 4 we prove the above mentioned version of the “local mean oscillation decomposition”. Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 5. Finally, in Section 6 we prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

Throughout the paper we will use the following notation. Given a sparse family $\{Q_j^k\}$, set $E_j^k = Q_j^k \setminus \Omega_{k+1}$. Observe that the sets E_j^k are pairwise disjoint and $|Q_j^k| \leq 2|E_j^k|$. In the case when the argument does not depend on a particular grid \mathcal{D} and a sparse family $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{D}$ we drop the subscripts \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{S} , and we will assume that \mathcal{D} is the standard dyadic grid.

2. APPLICATIONS

2.1. The “ A_2 conjecture”. Given a weight w , define its A_p characteristic by

$$\|w\|_{A_p} \equiv \sup_Q A_p(w; Q) = \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w \, dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \, dx \right)^{p-1},$$

The “ A_2 conjecture” states that for a Calderón-Zygmund operator T ,

$$(2.1) \quad \|T\|_{L^p(w)} \leq c(T, p, n) \|w\|_{A_p}^{\max(1, \frac{1}{p-1})} \quad (1 < p < \infty).$$

Note that by extrapolation it suffices to get this result in the case $p = 2$ (this explains the name of the conjecture). In its full generality this conjecture was recently settled by T. Hytönen [7] (see also [8, 13]). Soon after that it was shown in [11] that (2.1) holds for T_{\natural} as well. The proof of (2.1) is based on the representation of T in terms of the Haar shift operators $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^{m,k}$. After that the proof reduces to showing (2.1) for $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^{m,k}$ in place of T with the corresponding constant depending linearly (or polynomially) on the complexity. Observe that over the past year several different proofs of the latter step appeared (see, e.g., [15, 26]).

We now have that (2.1) follows immediately from Theorem 1.1 combined with the estimate

$$(2.2) \quad \|\mathcal{A}\|_{L^2(w)} \leq c(n) \|w\|_{A_2}$$

proved in [4]. The proof of (2.2) is quite elementary, and we give it here for the sake of the completeness. Let M_w^d be the dyadic weighted maximal operator; we use that it is bounded on $L^p(w)$ with the bound independent of w . Assuming that $f, g \geq 0$, by Hölder's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mathcal{A}f)g dx &= \sum_{j,k} f_{Q_j^k} g_{Q_j^k} |Q_j^k| \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{j,k} A_2(w; Q_j^k) \left(\frac{1}{w^{-1}(Q_j^k)} \int_{Q_j^k} f \right) \left(\frac{1}{w(Q_j^k)} \int_{Q_j^k} g \right) |E_j^k| \\
&\leq 2 \|w\|_{A_2} \sum_{j,k} \int_{E_j^k} M_{w^{-1}}^d(fw) M_w^d(gw^{-1}) dx \\
&\leq 2 \|w\|_{A_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M_{w^{-1}}^d(fw) M_w^d(gw^{-1}) dx \\
&\leq 2 \|w\|_{A_2} \|M_{w^{-1}}^d(fw)\|_{L^2(w^{-1})} \|M_w^d(gw^{-1})\|_{L^2(w)} \\
&\leq c \|w\|_{A_2} \|f\|_{L^2(w)} \|g\|_{L^2(w^{-1})},
\end{aligned}$$

which yields (2.2) by duality.

Resuming, the “ A_2 conjecture” follows now from the next steps.

- A representation of T in terms of $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{m,k}$ [7, 8, 13].
- The “local mean oscillation decomposition” bound of $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{m,k}$ by the operators \mathcal{A}_i [9].
- The “local mean oscillation decomposition” bound of \mathcal{A}_i^* by \mathcal{A} .
- The $L^2(w)$ bound of \mathcal{A} [4].

2.2. Mixed A_p - A_∞ estimates. Given a weight w , define its A_∞ characteristic by

$$\|w\|_{A_\infty} = \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_Q M(w\chi_Q).$$

Note that $\|w\|_{A_\infty} \leq c(p, n) \|w\|_{A_p}$ for any $p > 1$.

M. Lacey [14] showed that for classical singular integrals (2.1) can be improved as follows

$$(2.3) \quad \|T_{\mathfrak{h}}\|_{L^p(w)} \leq c(T, p, n) \|w\|_{A_p}^{1/p} \max \left((\|w\|'_{A_\infty})^{1/p'}, (\|\sigma\|'_{A_\infty})^{1/p} \right),$$

where $\sigma = w^{1-p'}$. Also, it was conjectured in [14] that this estimate holds for any Calderón-Zygmund operator. Soon after that the conjecture was proved in [9]; the proof was based on the analysis of the operators \mathcal{A}_i . On the other hand, the proof in [14] was based on showing (2.3) for \mathcal{A} in place of $T_{\mathfrak{h}}$. Hence, by Theorem 1.1 we have that this proof actually yields (2.3) in the general case.

2.3. Mixed A_p - A_r estimates. Given a weight w , define its mixed A_p - A_r characteristic by

$$\|w\|_{(A_p)^\alpha (A_r)^\beta} = \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} A_p(w; Q)^\alpha A_r(w; Q)^\beta,$$

where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$.

In [18], it was proved that for any $2 \leq p \leq r < \infty$,

$$(2.4) \quad \|\mathcal{A}\|_{L^p(w)} \leq c(p, r, n) \|w\|_{(A_p)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} (A_r)^{1-\frac{1}{p-1}}}.$$

By duality, it follows from this that for any $1 < p < 2$ and $r > p'$,

$$(2.5) \quad \|\mathcal{A}\|_{L^p(w)} \leq c(p, r, n) \|\sigma\|_{(A_{p'})^{\frac{1}{p'-1}} (A_r)^{1-\frac{1}{p'-1}}}.$$

From this, estimates (2.4) and (2.5) were obtained in [18] for classical singular integrals in place of \mathcal{A} . Now, by Theorem 1.1 we have that they hold for any Calderón-Zygmund operator T (and $T_{\mathfrak{h}}$). Note that the difference between these estimates and (2.3) is that in the mixed A_p - A_r characteristic only one supremum is involved, while the right-hand side of (2.3) involves two independent suprema. It was shown in [18] by simple examples that the right-hand sides in (2.4) and (2.3) are incomparable. In [9], a new conjecture was posed about the $L^p(w)$ bound for T implying the estimates of both types. By Theorem 1.1 we have that it suffices to prove this conjecture for \mathcal{A} . However, even for this simple operator the new conjecture is still not clear.

2.4. Sharp A_1 estimates. Recall that w is an A_1 weight if there exists $c > 0$ such that $Mw(x) \leq cw(x)$ a.e.; the smallest possible c here is denoted by $\|w\|_{A_1}$.

It was proved in [20] that for any $w \in A_1$,

$$(2.6) \quad \|Tf\|_{L^p(w)} \leq c(n, T) p p' \|w\|_{A_1} \|f\|_{L^p(w)} \quad (1 < p < \infty)$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad \|Tf\|_{L^{1,\infty}(w)} \leq c(n, T) \|w\|_{A_1} \log(1 + \|w\|_{A_1}) \|f\|_{L^1(w)}.$$

The so-called weak Muckenhoupt-Wheeden conjecture says that (2.7) holds with the linear dependence on $\|w\|_{A_1}$. However, this was recently disproved in [22], and it raises a conjecture that the $L \log L$ dependence on $\|w\|_{A_1}$ in (2.7) is best possible.

Very recently, both estimates (2.6) and (2.7) have been improved by T. Hytönen and C. Pérez [12] as follows:

$$(2.8) \quad \|Tf\|_{L^p(w)} \leq c(n, T) p p' \|w\|_{A_1}^{1/p} \|w\|_{A_\infty}^{1/p'} \|f\|_{L^p(w)} \quad (1 < p < \infty)$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad \|Tf\|_{L^{1,\infty}(w)} \leq c(n, T) \|w\|_{A_1} \log(1 + \|w\|_{A_\infty}) \|f\|_{L^1(w)}.$$

Note that (2.9) follows from (2.8) by means of the Calderón-Zygmund method. Inequality (2.8) was deduced in [12] from a sharp version of the reverse Hölder inequality along with the estimate

$$(2.10) \quad \|Tf\|_{L^p(w)} \leq c(n, T) p p' \left(\frac{1}{r-1} \right)^{1-1/pr} \|f\|_{L^p(M_r w)} \quad (1 < r < 2).$$

proved in [20] (here $M_r w = M(w^r)^{1/r}$). The method of the proof of (2.10) leaves open a question whether this inequality (and so (2.8) and (2.9)) holds for the maximal Calderón-Zygmund operator T_{\sharp} as well. Theorem 1.1 yields a positive answer to this question.

Theorem 2.1. *Inequalities (2.8) and (2.9) remain true for T_{\sharp} in place of T .*

It follows from the discussion above that it suffices to prove (2.10) for T_{\sharp} . The rest of the argument is exactly the same as in [12]. Next, by Theorem 1.1, it suffices to prove (2.10) for \mathcal{A} . This can be done in a variety of ways. For example, it was shown in [17] that (2.10) follows from

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Tf|w \, dx \leq c(n, T) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (Mf)^{\delta} M((Mf)^{1-\delta}w) \, dx \quad (0 < \delta \leq 1).$$

Exactly as in [17] we have that this inequality with \mathcal{A} in place of T would imply (2.10) for \mathcal{A} . But this is almost trivial:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mathcal{A}f)w \, dx &= \sum_{k,j} f_{Q_j^k} w_{Q_j^k} |Q_j^k| \leq 2 \sum_{k,j} (f_{Q_j^k})^{\delta} ((Mf)^{1-\delta}w)_{Q_j^k} |E_j^k| \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{j,k} \int_{E_j^k} (Mf)^{\delta} M((Mf)^{1-\delta}w) \, dx \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (Mf)^{\delta} M((Mf)^{1-\delta}w) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

3. HAAR SHIFT OPERATORS

We recall briefly main definitions concerning Haar shift operators. For more details we refer to [7, 11, 13].

Definition 3.1. We say that h_Q is a Haar function on a cube $Q \in \mathscr{D}$ if

- (i) h_Q is a function supported on Q , and is constant on the children of Q ;
- (ii) $\int h_Q = 0$;

We say that h_Q is a generalized Haar function if it is a linear combination of a Haar function on Q and χ_Q (in other words, only condition (i) above is satisfied).

Definition 3.2. Given a general dyadic grid \mathcal{D} , $(m, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$, and $Q \in \mathcal{D}$, set

$$\mathbb{S}_Q f(x) = \sum_{\substack{Q', Q'' \in \mathcal{D}, Q', Q'' \subset Q \\ \ell(Q') = 2^{-m} \ell(Q), \ell(Q'') = 2^{-k} \ell(Q)}} \frac{\langle f, h_{Q'}^{Q''} \rangle}{|Q|} h_{Q''}^{Q'}(x),$$

where $h_{Q'}^{Q''}$ is a (generalized) Haar function on Q' , and $h_{Q''}^{Q'}$ is one on Q'' such that

$$\|h_{Q'}^{Q''}\|_{L^\infty} \|h_{Q''}^{Q'}\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1.$$

We say that \mathbb{S} is a (generalized) Haar shift operator of complexity type (m, k) if

$$\mathbb{S}f(x) = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^{m,k} f(x) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}} \mathbb{S}_Q f(x).$$

The number $\kappa = \max(m, k, 1)$ is called the complexity of \mathbb{S} .

Also, it is assumed by the definition the L^2 boundedness of the generalized Haar shift operator (for the usual Haar shift this follows automatically from its properties).

Definition 3.3. Given a generalized Haar shift \mathbb{S} , define its associated maximal truncations by

$$\mathbb{S}_\sharp f(x) = \sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq v < \infty} |\mathbb{S}_{\varepsilon, v} f(x)|,$$

where

$$\mathbb{S}_{\varepsilon, v} f(x) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{D}: \varepsilon \leq \ell_Q \leq v} \mathbb{S}_Q f(x).$$

The importance of the defined objects follows from the following result proved by T. Hytönen [7] and simplified in [13].

Theorem 3.4. *Let T be a Calderón-Zygmund operator which satisfies the standard estimates with $\delta \in (0, 1]$. Then for all bounded and compactly supported functions f and g ,*

$$\langle g, Tf \rangle = c(T, n) \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}} \sum_{k, m=0}^{\infty} 2^{-(m+k)\delta/2} \langle g, \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^{m,k} f \rangle,$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is the expectation with respect to a probability measure on the space of all general dyadic grids.

By means of Theorem 3.4, it was deduced in [11] the following estimate.

Proposition 3.5. *We have the pointwise bound*

$$T_{\natural}f(x) \leq c(T, n) \left(Mf(x) + \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}} \sum_{k,m=0}^{\infty} 2^{-(m+k)\delta/2} (\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^{m,k})_{\natural} f(x) \right).$$

4. A “LOCAL MEAN OSCILLATION DECOMPOSITION”

Definition 4.1. The non-increasing rearrangement of a measurable function f on \mathbb{R}^n is defined by

$$f^*(t) = \inf\{\alpha > 0 : |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| < \alpha\}| < t\} \quad (0 < t < \infty).$$

Definition 4.2. Given a measurable function f on \mathbb{R}^n and a cube Q , the local mean oscillation of f on Q is defined by

$$\omega_{\lambda}(f; Q) = \inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} ((f - c)\chi_Q)^*(\lambda|Q|) \quad (0 < \lambda < 1).$$

Definition 4.3. By a median value of f over Q we mean a possibly nonunique, real number $m_f(Q)$ such that

$$\max(|\{x \in Q : f(x) > m_f(Q)\}|, |\{x \in Q : f(x) < m_f(Q)\}|) \leq |Q|/2.$$

It is easy to see that the set of all median values of f is either one point or the closed interval. In the latter case we will assume for the definiteness that $m_f(Q)$ is the *maximal* median value. Observe that it follows from the definitions that

$$(4.1) \quad |m_f(Q)| \leq (f\chi_Q)^*(|Q|/2).$$

This estimate implies

$$(4.2) \quad ((f - m_f(Q))\chi_Q)^*(\lambda|Q|) \leq 2\omega_{\lambda}(f; Q) \quad (0 < \lambda \leq 1/2).$$

We also mention that (cf. [6, Lemma 2.2])

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{|Q| \rightarrow 0, Q \ni x} m_f(Q) = f(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Given a cube Q_0 , denote by $\mathcal{D}(Q_0)$ the set of all dyadic cubes with respect to Q_0 . The dyadic local sharp maximal function $M_{\lambda; Q_0}^{\#, d} f$ is defined by

$$M_{\lambda; Q_0}^{\#, d} f(x) = \sup_{x \in Q' \in \mathcal{D}(Q_0)} \omega_{\lambda}(f; Q').$$

The following theorem was proved in [17].

Theorem 4.4. *Let f be a measurable function on \mathbb{R}^n and let Q_0 be a fixed cube. Then there exists a (possibly empty) sparse family of cubes $Q_j^k \in \mathcal{D}(Q_0)$ such that for a.e. $x \in Q_0$,*

$$|f(x) - m_f(Q_0)| \leq 4M_{1/4;Q_0}^{\#,d} f(x) + 4 \sum_{k,j} \omega_{\frac{1}{2^{n+2}}} (f; (Q_j^k)^{(1)}) \chi_{Q_j^k}(x).$$

Here we will prove a similar result with the local mean oscillations taken over the cubes Q_j^k instead of $(Q_j^k)^{(1)}$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let f be a measurable function on \mathbb{R}^n and let Q_0 be a fixed cube. Then there exists a (possibly empty) sparse family of cubes $Q_j^k \in \mathcal{D}(Q_0)$ such that for a.e. $x \in Q_0$,*

$$|f(x) - m_f(Q_0)| \leq 4M_{\frac{1}{2^{n+2}};Q_0}^{\#,d} f(x) + 2 \sum_{k,j} \omega_{\frac{1}{2^{n+2}}} (f; Q_j^k) \chi_{Q_j^k}(x).$$

The key element of the proof is the following.

Lemma 4.6. *There exists a (possibly empty) collection of pairwise disjoint cubes $\{Q_j^1\} \in \mathcal{D}(Q_0)$ such that $\sum_j |Q_j^1| \leq \frac{1}{2}|Q_0|$ and for a.e. $x \in Q_0$,*

$$(4.4) \quad f - m_f(Q_0) = g_1 + \sum_j \alpha_{j,1} \chi_{Q_j^1} + \sum_j (f - m_f(Q_j^1)) \chi_{Q_j^1},$$

where $|g_1| \leq 2M_{\frac{1}{2^{n+2}};Q_0}^{\#,d} f$ for a.e. $x \in Q_0 \setminus \cup_j Q_j^1$ and the numbers $\alpha_{j,1}$ satisfy $|\alpha_{j,1}| \leq 2\omega_{\frac{1}{2^{n+2}}} (f; Q_0)$.

Having this lemma established, the proof of Theorem 4.5 follows exactly the same lines as the proof of Theorem 4.4. Therefore, we only outline briefly main details.

Proof of Theorem 4.5. Iterating (4.4) for each Q_j^1 and for every subsequent cube, we get that for a.e. $x \in Q_0$,

$$(4.5) \quad f - m_f(Q_0) = g + \sum_j \alpha_{j,1} \chi_{Q_j^1} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{i: Q_i^{k-1} \cap \Omega_k \neq \emptyset} \sum_{j: Q_j^k \subset Q_i^{k-1}} \alpha_{j,k}^{(i)} \chi_{Q_j^k},$$

where $\Omega_k = \cup_j Q_j^k$, and the family $\{Q_j^k\}$ is sparse. Moreover,

$$|g| \leq 2M_{\frac{1}{2^{n+2}};Q_0}^{\#,d} f \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha_{j,k}^{(i)}| \leq 2\omega_{\frac{1}{2^{n+2}}} (f; Q_i^{k-1}).$$

The first sum in (4.5) is bounded by $2\omega_{\frac{1}{2^{n+2}}} (f; Q_0) \leq 2M_{\frac{1}{2^{n+2}};Q_0}^{\#,d} f$. Further,

$$\sum_{j: Q_j^k \subset Q_i^{k-1}} |\alpha_{j,k}^{(i)}| \chi_{Q_j^k} \leq 2\omega_{\frac{1}{2^{n+2}}} (f; Q_i^{k-1}) \chi_{Q_i^{k-1}}.$$

Hence, the second sum in (4.5) is bounded by

$$2 \sum_{k \geq 2} \sum_i \omega_{\frac{1}{2^{n+2}}}(f; Q_i^{k-1}) \chi_{Q_i^{k-1}}.$$

Combining the obtained estimates completes the proof. \square

Proof of Lemma 4.6. Set $f_1(x) = f(x) - m_f(Q_0)$ and

$$E_1 = \{x \in Q_0 : |f_1(x)| > (f_1 \chi_{Q_0})^*(\lambda_n |Q_0|)\},$$

where $\lambda_n = \frac{1}{2^{n+2}}$. If $|E_1| = 0$, then by (4.2) we trivially have

$$|f(x) - m_f(Q_0)| \leq 2\omega_{\lambda_n}(f; Q_0) \leq 2M_{\lambda_n; Q_0}^{\#,d} f(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in Q_0.$$

Assume therefore that $|E_1| > 0$. Let

$$\mathbf{m}_{Q_0} f_1(x) = \sup_{x \in Q \in \mathcal{D}(Q_0)} \max_{Q_i: Q_i^{(1)} = Q} |m_{f_1}(Q_i)|$$

(the maximum is taken over 2^n dyadic children of Q). Consider the set

$$\Omega_1 = \{x \in Q_0 : \mathbf{m}_{Q_0} f_1(x) > (f_1 \chi_{Q_0})^*(\lambda_n |Q_0|)\}.$$

By (4.3), $\mathbf{m}_{Q_0} f_1(x) \geq |f_1(x)|$ a.e., and hence $|\Omega_1| \geq |E_1| > 0$. We can write $\Omega_1 = \cup Q_j^1$, where Q_j^1 are pairwise disjoint cubes from $\mathcal{D}(Q_0)$ with the property that they are maximal such that

$$(4.6) \quad \max_{Q_i: Q_i^{(1)} = Q_j^1} |m_{f_1}(Q_i)| > (f_1 \chi_{Q_0})^*(\lambda_n |Q_0|).$$

In particular, this means that each Q_j^1 satisfies

$$|m_{f_1}(Q_j^1)| \leq (f_1 \chi_{Q_0})^*(\lambda_n |Q_0|) \leq 2\omega_{\lambda_n}(f; Q_0).$$

Since $m_{f_1}(Q_j^1) = m_f(Q_j^1) - m_f(Q_0)$, we have

$$f - m_f(Q_0) = f_1 \chi_{Q_0 \setminus \Omega_1} + \sum_j m_{f_1}(Q_j^1) \chi_{Q_j^1} + \sum_j (f - m_f(Q_j^1)) \chi_{Q_j^1},$$

which proves (4.4) with $g_1 = f_1 \chi_{Q_0 \setminus \Omega_1}$ and $\alpha_{j,1} = m_{f_1}(Q_j^1)$. By the above established properties we have that g_1 and $\alpha_{j,1}$ satisfy the statement of the lemma.

It remains to show that $|\Omega_1| \leq |Q_0|/2$. If Q_i is a child of Q , then by (4.1),

$$|m_f(Q_i)| \leq (f \chi_{Q_i})^*(|Q_i|/2) \leq (f \chi_Q)^*(|Q|/2^{n+1}).$$

Therefore, if (4.6) holds, then

$$(f_1 \chi_{Q_0})^*(\lambda_n |Q_0|) < (f_1 \chi_{Q_j^1})^*(|Q_j^1|/2^{n+1}).$$

Hence,

$$|\{x \in Q_j^1 : |f_1(x)| > (f_1 \chi_{Q_0})^*(\lambda_n |Q_0|)\}| \geq |Q_j^1|/2^{n+1},$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \sum_j |Q_j^1| &\leq \sum_j |\{x \in Q_j^1 : |f_1(x)| > (f_1 \chi_{Q_0})^*(\lambda_n |Q_0|)\}| \\ &\leq |\{x \in Q_0 : |f_1(x)| > (f_1 \chi_{Q_0})^*(\lambda_n |Q_0|)\}| \leq \lambda_n |Q_0|, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Taking into account Proposition 3.5, in order to prove Theorem 1.1, it suffices to show that

$$(5.1) \quad \|Mf\|_X \leq c(n) \|\mathcal{A}f\|_X \quad (f \geq 0)$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad \|(\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^{m,k})_{\sharp} f\|_X \leq c(n) \kappa^2 \|\mathcal{A}|f|\|_X.$$

Here and below, $\|\mathcal{A}_i f\|_X$ is understood as $\sup_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}} \|\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}, i} f\|_X$, where the supremum is taken over arbitrary dyadic grids \mathcal{D} and sparse families $\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{D}$.

5.1. Banach function spaces. For a general account of Banach function spaces we refer to [1, Ch. 1]. Here we mention only several facts which will be used below.

The associate space X' consists of measurable functions f for which

$$\|f\|_{X'} = \sup_{\|g\|_X \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)g(x)| dx < \infty.$$

This definition implies the following Hölder inequality:

$$(5.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)g(x)| dx \leq \|f\|_X \|g\|_{X'}.$$

Further [1, p. 13],

$$(5.4) \quad \|f\|_X = \sup_{\|g\|_{X'}=1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)g(x)| dx.$$

By Fatou's lemma [1, p. 5], if $f_n \rightarrow f$ a.e., and if $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\|_X < \infty$, then $f \in X$, and

$$(5.5) \quad \|f\|_X \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\|_X.$$

5.2. Proof of (5.1). We shall use the well-known principle saying that in order to estimate the usual maximal operator it suffices to estimate the dyadic one. This principle has several forms. We shall need the one attributed in the literature to M. Christ and, independently, to J. Garnett and P. Jones. However, we have found it in a very clear form only in [12, proof of Th. 1.10].

Proposition 5.1. *There are 2^n dyadic grids \mathcal{D}_α such that for any cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists a cube $Q_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ such that $Q \subset Q_\alpha$ and $\ell_{Q_\alpha} \leq 6\ell_Q$.*

It follows from this Proposition that

$$(5.6) \quad Mf(x) \leq 6^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2^n} M^{\mathcal{D}_\alpha} f(x).$$

By the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition, if $\{x : M^d f(x) > 2^{(n+1)k}\} = \cup_j Q_j^k$, then the family $\{Q_j^k\}$ is sparse and

$$M^d f(x) \leq 2^{n+1} \sum_{k,j} f_{Q_j^k} \chi_{E_j^k}(x) \leq 2^{n+1} \mathcal{A}f(x).$$

From this and from (5.6),

$$(5.7) \quad Mf(x) \leq 2 \cdot 12^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2^n} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} f(x),$$

where $\mathcal{S}_\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ depends on f . This implies (5.1) with $c(n) = 2 \cdot 24^n$.

5.3. Proof of (5.2). We start with the following lemma by T. Hytönen and M. Lacey [9].

Lemma 5.2. *If \mathbb{S} has complexity κ , then for any dyadic Q*

$$\omega_\lambda(\mathbb{S}_\natural f; Q) \leq c(\lambda, n) \left(\kappa |f|_Q + \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} |f|_{Q^{(i)}} \right).$$

Observe that “dyadic” here means that $Q \in \mathcal{D}$ if $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{D}}$. Combining Lemma 5.2 with Theorem 4.5, we get

$$|(\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^{m,k})_\natural f(x) - m_{(\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^{m,k})_\natural f}(Q_0)| \leq c(n) \left(\kappa Mf(x) + \kappa \mathcal{A}|f|(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \mathcal{A}_i |f|(x) \right).$$

Assuming that f is bounded and with compact support, we have by (4.1) that $m_{(\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^{m,k})_\natural f}(Q) \rightarrow 0$ as Q expands unboundedly. Therefore, the previous inequality combined with Fatou’s lemma (5.5) implies

$$\|(\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^{m,k})_\natural f\|_X \leq c(n) \kappa (\|Mf\|_X + \|\mathcal{A}|f|\|_X) + \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \|\mathcal{A}_i |f|\|_X.$$

From this and from (5.1) we get that in order to prove (5.2) it suffices to show that

$$(5.8) \quad \|\mathcal{A}_i f\|_X \leq c(n)i \|\mathcal{A} f\|_X.$$

Exactly as above, one can assume that \mathcal{A}_i is defined by means of the standard dyadic grid. Also, since we shall deal below only with \mathcal{A}_i and M , one can assume that $f \geq 0$.

Consider the formal adjoint of \mathcal{A}_i :

$$\mathcal{A}_i^* f(x) = \frac{1}{2^{in}} \sum_{k,j} f_{Q_j^k} \chi_{(Q_j^k)^{(i)}}(x).$$

Our goal is to show that the operator \mathcal{A}_i^* is of weak type $(1,1)$ with the bound depending linearly on i . This will be done by the classical Calderón-Zygmund argument. Hence we start with the L^2 boundedness of \mathcal{A}_i^* . In the proof below we use the well known fact that $\|M^d\|_{L^p} \leq p'$.

Proposition 5.3. *For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\|\mathcal{A}_i^* f\|_{L^2} = \|\mathcal{A}_i f\|_{L^2} \leq 8\|f\|_{L^2}.$$

Proof. Similarly to the proof of (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mathcal{A}_i f) g dx &= \sum_{k,j} \int_{Q_j^k} f_{Q_j^k} g_{Q_j^k} dx \leq 2 \sum_{k,j} \int_{E_j^k} (M^d f)(M^d g) dx \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (M^d f)(M^d g) dx. \end{aligned}$$

From this, using Hölder's inequality, the L^2 boundedness of M^d and duality, we get the L^2 bound for \mathcal{A}_i . \square

Lemma 5.4. *For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\|\mathcal{A}_i^* f\|_{L^{1,\infty}} \leq ci \|f\|_{L^1},$$

where c is an absolute constant (for i big enough one can take $c = 5$).

Proof. Let $\Omega = \{x : M^d f(x) > \alpha\} = \cup_l Q_l$, where Q_l are maximal pairwise disjoint dyadic cubes such that $f_{Q_l} > \alpha$. Set also

$$b_l = (f - f_{Q_l}) \chi_{Q_l}, \quad b = \sum_l b_l$$

and $g = f - b$. We have

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} |\{x : |\mathcal{A}_i^* f(x)| > \alpha\}| &\leq |\Omega| + |\{x : |\mathcal{A}_i^* g(x)| > \alpha/2\}| \\ &+ |\{x \in \Omega^c : |\mathcal{A}_i^* b(x)| > \alpha/2\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Further, $|\Omega| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \|f\|_{L^1}$, and, by the L^2 boundedness of \mathcal{A}_i^* ,

$$|\{x : |\mathcal{A}_i^* g(x)| > \alpha/2\}| \leq \frac{4}{\alpha^2} \|\mathcal{A}_i^* g\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{c}{\alpha^2} \|g\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \|g\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \|f\|_{L^1}.$$

It remains therefore to estimate the term in (5.9). For $x \in \Omega^c$ consider

$$\mathcal{A}_i^* b(x) = \frac{1}{2^{in}} \sum_l \sum_{k,j} (b_l)_{Q_j^k} \chi_{(Q_j^k)^{(i)}}(x).$$

The second sum is taken over those cubes Q_j^k for which $Q_j^k \cap Q_l \neq \emptyset$. If $Q_l \subset Q_j^k$, then $(b_l)_{Q_j^k} = 0$. Therefore one can assume that $Q_j^k \subset Q_l$. On the other hand, if $(Q_j^k)^{(i)} \cap \Omega^c \neq \emptyset$, then $Q_l \subset (Q_j^k)^{(i)}$. Hence, for $x \in \Omega^c$ we have

$$\mathcal{A}_i^* b(x) = \frac{1}{2^{in}} \sum_l \sum_{k,j: Q_j^k \subset Q_l \subset (Q_j^k)^{(i)}} (b_l)_{Q_j^k} \chi_{(Q_j^k)^{(i)}}(x).$$

The latter sum is nontrivial if $i \geq 2$. In this case the family of all dyadic cubes Q for which $Q \subset Q_l \subset Q^{(i)}$ can be decomposed into $i-1$ families of disjoint cubes of equal length. Therefore,

$$\sum_{k,j: Q_j^k \subset Q_l \subset (Q_j^k)^{(i)}} \chi_{Q_j^k} \leq (i-1) \chi_{Q_l}.$$

From this we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x \in \Omega^c : |\mathcal{A}_i^* b(x)| > \alpha/2\}| &\leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \|\mathcal{A}_i^* b\|_{L^1(\Omega^c)} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \sum_l \sum_{k,j: Q_j^k \subset Q_l \subset (Q_j^k)^{(i)}} \int_{Q_j^k} |b_l| dx \leq \frac{2(i-1)}{\alpha} \sum_l \int_{Q_l} |b_l| dx \\ &\leq \frac{4(i-1)}{\alpha} \|f\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 5.5. *Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$. For any dyadic cube Q ,*

$$\omega_{\lambda_n}(\mathcal{A}_i^* f; Q) \leq c(n) i f_Q.$$

Proof. For $x \in Q$,

$$\frac{1}{2^{in}} \sum_{k,j: Q \subseteq (Q_j^k)^{(i)}} f_{Q_j^k} \chi_{(Q_j^k)^{(i)}}(x) = \frac{1}{2^{in}} \sum_{k,j: Q \subseteq (Q_j^k)^{(i)}} f_{Q_j^k} \equiv c.$$

Hence

$$|\mathcal{A}_i^* f(x) - c| \chi_Q(x) = \frac{1}{2^{in}} \sum_{k,j: (Q_j^k)^{(i)} \subset Q} f_{Q_j^k} \chi_{(Q_j^k)^{(i)}}(x) \leq \mathcal{A}_i^*(f \chi_Q)(x).$$

From this and from Lemma 5.4,

$$\inf_c ((\mathcal{A}_i^* f - c)\chi_Q)^*(\lambda_n|Q|) \leq (\mathcal{A}_i^*(f\chi_Q))^*(\lambda_n|Q|) \leq c(n)if_Q,$$

which completes the proof. \square

We are ready now to prove (5.8). By the standard limiting argument, one can assume that the sum defining \mathcal{A}_i is finite. Then $m_{\mathcal{A}_i^* f}(Q) = 0$ for Q big enough. Hence, By Lemma 5.5 and Theorem 4.5, for a.e. $x \in Q$,

$$\mathcal{A}_i^* f(x) \leq c(n)i(Mf(x) + \mathcal{A}f(x))$$

(notice that here \mathcal{A}_i and \mathcal{A} are taken with respect to different sparse families). From this and from (5.7), and using that the operator \mathcal{A} is self-adjoint, for any $g \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mathcal{A}_i f)g dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\mathcal{A}_i^* g) dx \\ &\leq c_n i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2^n+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} g) dx \\ &= c_n i \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2^n+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} f)g dx \leq c'_n i \sup_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}} \|\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}} f\|_X \|g\|_{X'}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (5.4) yields (5.8), and therefore the proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

6. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.2 AND 1.3

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Using the same argument as in the proof of (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mathcal{A}f)g &= \sum_{j,k} f_{Q_j^k} g_{Q_j^k} |Q_j^k| \leq 2 \sum_{j,k} \int_{E_j^k} \mathcal{M}(f, g) dx \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{M}(f, g) dx \leq 2 \|\mathcal{M}\|_{L^p(v) \times L^{p'}(u^{1-p'}) \rightarrow L^1} \|f\|_{L^p(v)} \|g\|_{L^{p'}(u^{1-p'})}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over g with $\|g\|_{L^{p'}(u^{1-p'})} = 1$ gives

$$\|\mathcal{A}\|_{L^p(v) \rightarrow L^p(u)} \leq 2 \|\mathcal{M}\|_{L^p(v) \times L^{p'}(u^{1-p'}) \rightarrow L^1}.$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 5.1,

$$(6.1) \quad \mathcal{M}(f, g)(x) \leq 12^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2^n} \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{D}_\alpha}(f, g)(x).$$

Consider $\mathcal{M}^d(f, g)$ taken with respect to the standard dyadic grid. Suppose that $f, g \geq 0$ and $f, g \in L^1$. We will use exactly the same

argument as in the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition. For c_n which will be specified below and for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ consider the sets

$$\Omega_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}^d(f, g)(x) > c_n^k\}.$$

Then we have that $\Omega_k = \cup_j Q_j^k$, where the cubes Q_j^k are pairwise disjoint with k fixed, and

$$c_n^k < f_{Q_j^k} g_{Q_j^k} \leq 2^{2n} c_n^k.$$

From this and from Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_j^k \cap \Omega_{k+1}| &= \sum_{Q_i^{k+1} \subset Q_j^k} |Q_i^{k+1}| \\ &< c_n^{-\frac{k+1}{2}} \sum_{Q_i^{k+1} \subset Q_j^k} \left(\int_{Q_i^{k+1}} f \int_{Q_i^{k+1}} g \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq c_n^{-\frac{k+1}{2}} \left(\int_{Q_j^k} f \int_{Q_j^k} g \right)^{1/2} \leq 2^n c_n^{-1/2} |Q_j^k| \end{aligned}$$

Hence, taking $c_n = 2^{2(n+1)}$, we obtain that the family $\{Q_j^k\}$ is sparse, and

$$\mathcal{M}^d(f, g)(x) \leq 2^{2(n+1)} \sum_{j,k} f_{Q_j^k} g_{Q_j^k} \chi_{Q_j^k}(x).$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{M}^d(f, g) dx \leq 2^{2(n+1)} \sum_{j,k} f_{Q_j^k} g_{Q_j^k} |Q_j^k| = 2^{2(n+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mathcal{A}f) g dx.$$

From this and from (6.1), applying Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{M}(f, g)(x) dx &\leq 4 \cdot 48^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} f) g dx \\ &\leq 4 \cdot 48^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2^n} \|\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha, \mathcal{S}_\alpha} f\|_{L^p(u)} \|g\|_{L^{p'}(u^{1-p'})} \\ &\leq 4 \cdot 96^n \sup_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}} \|\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}}\|_{L^p(v) \rightarrow L^p(u)} \|f\|_{L^p(v)} \|g\|_{L^{p'}(u^{1-p'})}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Hölder's inequality (5.3),

$$|f|_Q |g|_Q \leq \|f v^{1/p}\|_{Y', Q} \|v^{-1/p}\|_{Y, Q} \|g u^{-1/p}\|_{X', Q} \|u^{1/p}\|_{X, Q}.$$

Hence,

$$\mathcal{M}(f, g)(x) \leq c(u, v) M_{Y'}(f v^{1/p})(x) M_{X'}(g u^{-1/p})(x),$$

where $c(u, v) = \sup_Q \|u^{1/p}\|_{X,Q} \|v^{-1/p}\|_{Y,Q}$. Therefore, by assumptions on X' and Y' and by the usual Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{M}(f, g)(x) dx &\leq c(u, v) \|M_{Y'}(fv^{1/p})\|_{L^p} \|M_{X'}(gu^{-1/p})\|_{L^{p'}} \\ &\leq c(u, v) \|f\|_{L^p(v)} \|g\|_{L^{p'}(u^{1-p'})}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this estimate with Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 completes the proof. \square

Added in proof. We have found [19] that the main result of this paper can be proved without the use of the Haar shift operators. This further simplifies the proof of the A_2 conjecture. Almost simultaneously, a proof of the A_2 conjecture based on a similar idea was obtained in [10].

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators*, Academic Press, New York, 1988.
- [2] D. Cruz-Uribe, J.M. Martell and C. Pérez, *Sharp two-weight inequalities for singular integrals, with applications to the Hilbert transform and the Sarason conjecture*, Adv. Math., **216** (2007), no. 2, 647–676.
- [3] D. Cruz-Uribe, J.M. Martell, C. Pérez, *Weights, Extrapolation and the Theory of Rubio de Francia*, *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, 215, Birkhauser, Basel, (2011).
- [4] D. Cruz-Uribe, J.M. Martell and C. Pérez, *Sharp weighted estimates for classical operators*, Adv. Math., **229** (2012), no. 1, 408–441.
- [5] D. Cruz-Uribe, A. Reznikov and A. Volberg, *Logarithmic bump conditions and the two-weight boundedness of Calderón-Zygmund operators*, preprint. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1112.0676>
- [6] N. Fujii, *A condition for a two-weight norm inequality for singular integral operators*, Studia Math. **98** (1991), no. 3, 175–190.
- [7] T.P. Hytönen, *The sharp weighted bound for general Calderón-Zygmund operators*, to appear in Annals of Math. (2012). Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1007.4330>
- [8] T.P. Hytönen, *Representation of singular integrals by dyadic operators, and the A_2 theorem*, preprint. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1108.5119>
- [9] T.P. Hytönen and M. Lacey, *The $A_p - A_\infty$ inequality for general Calderón-Zygmund operators*, preprint. To appear in Indiana Univ. Math. J. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1106.4797>
- [10] T.P. Hytönen, M.T. Lacey and C. Pérez, *Non-probabilistic proof of the A_2 theorem, and sharp weighted bounds for the q -variation of singular integrals*, preprint. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.2229>
- [11] T.P. Hytönen, M.T. Lacey, H. Martikainen, T. Orponen, M.C. Reguera, E.T. Sawyer and I. Uriarte-Tuero, *Weak and strong type estimates for maximal truncations of Calderón-Zygmund operators on A_p weighted spaces*, preprint. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1103.5229>

- [12] T.P. Hytönen and C. Pérez, *Sharp weighted bounds involving A_∞* , to appear in J. Anal. & PDE. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1103.5562>
- [13] T.P. Hytönen, C. Pérez, S. Treil and A. Volberg, *Sharp weighted estimates for dyadic shifts and the A_2 conjecture*, preprint. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1010.0755>
- [14] M.T. Lacey, *An A_p - A_∞ inequality for the Hilbert transform*, to appear in Houston Math. J. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1104.2199>
- [15] M.T. Lacey, *On the A_2 inequality for Calderón-Zygmund operators*, preprint. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1106.4802>
- [16] M.T. Lacey, E.T. Sawyer and I. Uriarte-Tuero, *Two weight inequalities for discrete positive operators*, preprint. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/0911.3437>
- [17] A.K. Lerner, *A pointwise estimate for the local sharp maximal function with applications to singular integrals*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **42** (2010), no. 5, 843–856.
- [18] A.K. Lerner, *Mixed A_p - A_r inequalities for classical singular integrals and Littlewood-Paley operators*, to appear in J. Geom. Anal. (2012). Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1105.5735>
- [19] A.K. Lerner, *A simple proof of the A_2 conjecture*, preprint. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.2824>
- [20] A.K. Lerner, S. Ombrosi and C. Pérez, *A_1 bounds for Calderón-Zygmund operators related to a problem of Muckenhoupt and Wheeden*, Math. Res. Lett., **16** (2009), no. 1, 149–156.
- [21] F. Nazarov, A. Reznikov, S. Treil and A. Volberg, *A solution of the bump conjecture for all Calderón-Zygmund operators: the Bellman function approach*, preprint, available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.2406>
- [22] F. Nazarov, A. Reznikov, V. Vasuyinin and A. Volberg, *A_1 conjecture: weak norm estimates of weighted singular operators and Bellman functions*, preprint.
- [23] C. Pérez, *Two weighted inequalities for potential and fractional type maximal operators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **43**, (1994), no. 2, 663–683.
- [24] C. Pérez, *On sufficient conditions for the boundedness of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator between weighted L^p -spaces with different weights*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **71** (1995), no. 1, 135–157.
- [25] E.T. Sawyer, *A characterization of a two-weight norm inequality for maximal operators*, Studia Math., **75** (1982), 1–11.
- [26] S. Treil, *Sharp A_2 estimates of Haar shifts via Bellman function*, preprint. Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1105.2252>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAR-ILAN UNIVERSITY, 52900 RAMAT GAN, ISRAEL

E-mail address: `aklerner@netvision.net.il`