

An Algebraic Model for the Multiple Meixner Polynomials of the First Kind

Hiroshi Miki [†], Satoshi Tsujimoto [†], Luc Vinet [‡] and Alexei Zhedanov [§]

[†] *Department of Applied Mathematics and Physics, Graduate School of Informatics, Kyoto University, Sakyo-Ku, Kyoto 606 8501, Japan*

E-mail: *miki@amp.i.kyoto-u.ac.jp, tsujimoto@i.kyoto-u.ac.jp*

[‡] *Centre de recherches mathématiques, Université de Montréal, P. O. Box 6128, Centre-ville Station, Montréal (Québec), H3C 3J7, Canada*

E-mail: *luc.vinet@umontreal.ca*

[§] *Donetsk Institute for Physics and Technology, Donetsk 83 114, Ukraine*

E-mail: *zhedanov@fti.dn.ua*

Abstract. An interpretation of the multiple Meixner polynomials of the first kind is provided through an infinite Lie algebra realized in terms of the creation and annihilation operators of a set of independent oscillators. The model is used to derive properties of these orthogonal polynomials.

Key words: multiple Meixner polynomials; algebraic model; generating function; step relations

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20C35; 42C05; 33C80; 81R05; 81R30

1 Introduction

The theory of multiple orthogonal polynomials, rooted in Hermite-Padé approximation schemes [1, 2], is currently undergoing development [3, 4, 5, 6]. These are polynomials of a single variable, labeled by a multi-index and orthogonal for a number of measures. Three of us have recently shown [7] that the multiple Charlier polynomials arise in the construction of the common eigenstates of a set of non-Hermitian oscillator Hamiltonians. In keeping with the significant connection between algebras and special functions, this provided a model from which many properties of the multiple Charlier polynomials could be derived and interpreted while giving a physical context for them. The purpose of this paper is to similarly describe another family of multiple orthogonal polynomials, namely that of the multiple Meixner polynomials of the first kind. As shall be seen, these are related to the Lie algebra of diffeomorphisms in \mathbb{C}^r whose generators are realized in terms of the creation and annihilation oscillators of independent harmonic oscillators. This will allow to obtain their step relations and their generating function.

2 Multiple Meixner polynomials of the first kind

The monic r -multiple Meixner polynomials of the first kind $M_{\vec{n}}^{\beta, \vec{c}}(x)$ are labeled by a multi-index $\vec{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$ with length $|\vec{n}| = n_1 + \dots + n_r$. They are orthogonal with respect to the r discrete measures

$$\mu_i = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} (\beta)_x \frac{c_i^x}{x!} \delta_x, \quad i = 1, \dots, r, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\beta > 0, 0 < c_i < 1$ for all i and $c_i \neq c_j$ whenever $i \neq j$; that is, they satisfy

$$\sum_{x=0}^{\infty} M_{\vec{n}}^{\beta, \vec{c}}(x) x^j (\beta)_x \frac{c_i^x}{x!} = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

for $j = 0, \dots, n_i - 1, i = 1, \dots, r$. As usual, the Pochhammer symbol stands for $(\beta)_x = \beta(\beta + 1)\cdots(\beta + x - 1)$. The parameters c_1, \dots, c_r are collectively represented by \vec{c} . In the following, we shall often omit the suffices β and \vec{c} whenever the context is unambiguous.

Let $\vec{e}_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ denote the i -th standard unit vector in r dimensions with 1 in the i -th entry. The multiple Meixner polynomials of the first kind obey [5] r recurrence relations of nearest-neighbor form that read as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} xM_{\vec{n}}(x) &= M_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_i}(x) + \left[\frac{c_i}{1-c_i}(\beta + |\vec{n}|) + \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{n_k}{1-c_k} \right] M_{\vec{n}}(x) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{c_k}{(1-c_k)^2} n_k (\beta + |\vec{n}| - 1) M_{\vec{n}-\vec{e}_k}(x), \quad i = 1, \dots, r. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

It should be observed, that if one subtracts the above relations pair-wise, one finds that the polynomials $M_{\vec{n}}(x)$ verify as a consequence of (2.3):

$$M_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_i}(x) - M_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_j} = (\beta + |\vec{n}|) \frac{c_j - c_i}{(1-c_i)(1-c_j)} M_{\vec{n}}(x) \quad (2.4)$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$.

3 Harmonic oscillator operators and states

The construction of the algebraic model for the polynomials $M_{\vec{n}}^{\beta, \vec{c}}$ will involve the operators and eigenstates of r independent quantum harmonic oscillators. We here briefly review basic facts in this connection to establish notation.

The annihilation and creation operators a_i, a_i^+ (resp.), $i = 1, \dots, r$, satisfy the commutation relations

$$[a_i, a_j] = [a_i^+, a_j^+] = 0, \quad [a_i, a_j^+] = \delta_{i,j}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, r \quad (3.1)$$

and hence generate the Lie algebra $W(r)$ made out of r copies W_i of the Heisenberg-Weyl algebra:

$$W(r) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r W_i, \quad (3.2)$$

where W_i is the linear span of $\{a_i, a_i^+, 1\}$. Denote by $|n_1, \dots, n_r\rangle = |n_1\rangle \cdots |n_r\rangle$ the normalized simultaneous eigenvectors of the r number operators $N_i = a_i^+ a_i$:

$$a_i^+ a_i |n_1, \dots, n_i, \dots, n_r\rangle = n_i |n_1, \dots, n_i, \dots, n_r\rangle, \quad n_i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i = 1, \dots, r, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\langle m_1, \dots, m_r | n_1, \dots, n_r \rangle = \delta_{m_1, n_1} \cdots \delta_{m_r, n_r}. \quad (3.4)$$

The algebra $W(r)$ is represented in the standard way in this number state basis:

$$\begin{aligned} a_i |n_1, \dots, n_i, \dots, n_r\rangle &= \sqrt{n_i} |n_1, \dots, n_i - 1, \dots, n_r\rangle, \\ a_i^+ |n_1, \dots, n_i, \dots, n_r\rangle &= \sqrt{n_i + 1} |n_1, \dots, n_i + 1, \dots, n_r\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

The Bargmann realization in terms of coordinates $z_i, i = 1, \dots, r$ in \mathbb{C}^r has

$$a_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}, \quad a_i^+ = z_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, r, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle z_1, \dots, z_r | n_1, \dots, n_r \rangle = \frac{z_1^{n_1} \cdots z_r^{n_r}}{\sqrt{n_1! \cdots n_r!}}. \quad (3.6)$$

4 The Model

Consider the set of r non-Hermitian operators $H_i, i = 1, \dots, r$, defined as follows in $\mathcal{U}(W(r))$, the universal enveloping algebra of $W(r)$:

$$H_i^{\beta, \vec{c}} = a_i + \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{a_k^+ a_k}{1 - c_k} + \left(\frac{c_i}{1 - c_i} + \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{c_j}{(1 - c_j)^2} a_j^+ \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^r a_k^+ a_k + \beta \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, r. \quad (4.1)$$

Let us observe, especially using the holomorphic representation of Bargmann, that the operators $\sum_{k=1}^r a_k^+ a_k, a_i, a_i^+, a_i^+ \sum_{k=1}^r a_k^+ a_k, i = 1, \dots, r$, making up the H_i , generate a Lie algebra isomorphic to that of the diffeomorphisms in \mathbb{C}^r spanned by (generalized) vector fields of the form $\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(\vec{z}) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} + g(\vec{z})$ with $\vec{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_r)$. In the coordinate realization where $a_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_i + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i})$ and $a_i^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_i - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i})$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, the operators H_i are third order differential operators. However, they can be considered as Hamiltonians, as we shall see below. Now the key observation is that they can be simultaneously diagonalized by the multiple Meixner polynomials.

Indeed, consider the states $|x, \beta, \vec{c}\rangle\rangle$ defined through the following combination of the states $|n_1, \dots, n_r\rangle$:

$$|x, \beta, \vec{c}\rangle\rangle = N_{x, \beta, \vec{c}}^r \sum_{\vec{n}} \frac{M_{\vec{n}}^{\beta, \vec{c}}(x)}{\sqrt{n_1! \dots n_r!}} |n_1, \dots, n_r\rangle, \quad (4.2)$$

where $N_{x, \beta, \vec{c}}^r$ are constants. Acting with H_i on $|x, \beta\rangle\rangle$, we find (with some indices suppressed):

$$\begin{aligned} H_i^{\beta} |x, \beta\rangle\rangle &= N_x^r \sum_{\vec{n}=\vec{0}}^{\infty} \frac{M_{\vec{n}}(x)}{\sqrt{n_1! \dots n_r!}} \cdot \left\{ \sqrt{n_i} |n_1, \dots, n_i - 1, \dots, n_r\rangle \right. \\ &\quad + \left[\sum_{k=1}^r \frac{n_k}{1 - c_k} + \frac{c_i}{1 - c_i} \left(\beta + \sum_{k=1}^r n_k \right) \right] |n_1, \dots, n_r\rangle \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\beta + \sum_{k=1}^r n_k \right) \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{c_j}{(1 - c_j)^2} \sqrt{n_j + 1} |n_1, \dots, n_i + 1, \dots, n_r\rangle \right\} \\ &= N_x^r \sum_{\vec{n}=\vec{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_1! \dots n_r!}} \cdot \left\{ M_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_i}(x) + \left[\frac{c_i}{1 - c_i} (\beta + |\vec{n}|) + \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{n_k}{1 - c_k} \right] M_{\vec{n}}(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{c_j}{(1 - c_j)^2} n_j (\beta + |\vec{n}| - 1) M_{\vec{n}-\vec{e}_j}(x) \right\} |n_1, \dots, n_r\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Hence, appealing to the recurrence relations (2.3), we have

$$H_i^{\beta, \vec{c}} |x, \beta, \vec{c}\rangle\rangle = x |x, \beta, \vec{c}\rangle\rangle \quad (4.4)$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. We thus find that in spite of being non-Hermitian, the operators $H_i^{\beta, \vec{c}}$ all have the same real spectrum. (An explanation is given below.)

Somewhat surprisingly, the operators $H_i^{\beta, \vec{c}}$ do not commute. In effect, one finds that

$$\left[H_i^{\beta, \vec{c}}, H_j^{\beta, \vec{c}} \right] = a_i - a_j + \frac{c_i - c_j}{(1 - c_i)(1 - c_j)} \left(\beta + \sum_{k=1}^r a_k^+ a_k \right). \quad (4.5)$$

How can this be reconciled with the fact that they can be simultaneously diagonalized? In this respect, one observes that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[H_i^{\beta, \vec{c}}, H_j^{\beta, \vec{c}} \right] |x, \beta, \vec{c}\rangle\rangle \\
&= N_x^r \sum_{\vec{n}=\vec{0}}^{\infty} \frac{M_{\vec{n}}^{\beta, \vec{c}}(x)}{\sqrt{n_1! \cdots n_r!}} \cdot \left\{ \sqrt{n_i} |n_1, \dots, n_i - 1, \dots, n_r\rangle - \sqrt{n_j} |n_1, \dots, n_j - 1, \dots, n_r\rangle \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{c_i - c_j}{(1 - c_i)(1 - c_j)} (\beta + |\vec{n}|) \sqrt{n_i} |n_1, \dots, n_r\rangle \right\} \\
&= N_x^r \sum_{\vec{n}=\vec{0}}^{\infty} \left[M_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_i}(x) - M_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_j} + \frac{c_i - c_j}{(1 - c_i)(1 - c_j)} (\beta + |\vec{n}|) M_{\vec{n}}(x) \right] |n_1, \dots, n_r\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

to find that

$$\left[H_i^{\beta, \vec{c}}, H_j^{\beta, \vec{c}} \right] |x, \beta, \vec{c}\rangle\rangle = 0 \tag{4.7}$$

from (2.4). This shows that the operators $H_i^{\beta, \vec{c}}$ commute when acting on the states $|x, \beta, \vec{c}\rangle\rangle$. These operators therefore define a “weakly” integrable model.

5 Conjugation operators and isospectrality

First observe, using (2.4) in (2.3), that $M_{\vec{n}}(x)$ satisfies “non nearest-neighbor” recurrence relations of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
x M_{\vec{n}}(x) &= M_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_i}(x) + \left(\frac{c_i}{1 - c_i} \beta + \frac{|\vec{n}|}{1 - c_i} \right) M_{\vec{n}}(x) + \sum_{k=i}^r \frac{c_k}{1 - c_k} n_k M_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_i - \vec{e}_k}(x) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{c_k}{(1 - c_i)(1 - c_k)} n_k (\beta + |\vec{n}| - 1) M_{\vec{n} - \vec{e}_k}, \quad i = 1, \dots, r.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Consider another set of r Hamiltonians $\bar{H}_i^{\beta, \vec{c}}$ defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{H}_i^{\beta, \vec{c}} &= a_i + \frac{c_i}{1 - c_i} \beta + \frac{\sum_{k=1}^r a_k^+ a_k}{1 - c_i} + \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{c_k}{1 - c_k} a_k^+ a_i \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{c_k}{(1 - c_i)(1 - c_k)} a_k^+ \left(\beta + \sum_{k=1}^r a_k^+ a_k \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, r.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

In a manner similar to the procedure followed in Section 4, one can easily show that the operators $\bar{H}_i^{\beta, \vec{c}}, i = 1, \dots, r$ are also diagonalized with the help of the multiple Meixner polynomials. In fact one finds that they share with $H_i^{\beta, \vec{c}}$ the same eigenstates:

$$\bar{H}_i^{\beta, \vec{c}} |x, \beta, \vec{c}\rangle\rangle = x |x, \beta, \vec{c}\rangle\rangle. \tag{5.3}$$

Here it should be noted that $\bar{H}_i^{\beta, \vec{c}} \neq H_i^{\beta, \vec{c}}$ except for $r = 1$, although they have common spectra by construction.

Quite remarkably, each of the operators $\bar{H}_i^{\beta, \vec{c}}$ can be obtained from the standard harmonic oscillator Hamiltonians in r dimensions

$$H_0 = \sum_{j=1}^r a_j^+ a_j \tag{5.4}$$

by a conjugation. Let S_i , $i = 1, \dots, r$ be the operators defined by

$$S_i = \exp[(1 - c_i)a_i] \prod_{j=1}^r \exp\left[-\frac{c_k}{(1 - c_i)(1 - c_k)} a_k^+(\beta + H_0)\right]. \quad (5.5)$$

Using the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula

$$\exp[X] Y \exp[-X] = Y + [X, Y] + \frac{1}{2}[X, [X, Y]] + \dots \quad (5.6)$$

and the fact that

$$[a_k^+(\beta + H_0), H_0] = a_k^+(\beta + H_0), \quad (5.7a)$$

$$[a_i^+(\beta + H_0), a_j^+(\beta + H_0)] = 0, \quad (5.7b)$$

it is seen that

$$\bar{H}_i^{\beta, \vec{c}} = S_i H_0 S_i^{-1} \quad (5.8)$$

with the proper choice for β and \vec{c} in S_i implicit. This explains why each of the operators $\bar{H}_i^{\beta, \vec{c}}$ and hence each of the $H_i^{\beta, \vec{c}}$ has a real spectrum identical to that of H_0 .

6 The special case $r = 1$ and $SU(1, 1)$

When $r = 1$, the multiple Meixner polynomials of the first kind reduce to the standard monic Meixner polynomials. It is well known that these are related to the discrete series representation of the Lie algebra $SU(1, 1)$ which is bounded from below [8, 9, 10]. It is of interest to make the connection with our model.

Let J_0 and J_{\pm} denote the generators of $SU(1, 1)$ satisfying the commutation relations:

$$[J_0, J_{\pm}] = \pm J_{\pm}, \quad [J_+, J_-] = -2J_0. \quad (6.1)$$

The Casimir operator C is given by

$$C = J_0^2 - \frac{1}{2}(J_+ J_- + J_- J_+). \quad (6.2)$$

We shall take it to be of the following form

$$C = d(d - 1) \quad (6.3)$$

in irreducible representations. The discrete series model of $SU(1, 1)$ which is bounded from below is spanned by basis vectors $\{|d, n\rangle, n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ on which the generators act according to [11]

$$J_0 |d, n\rangle = (d + n) J_0 |d, n\rangle, \quad (6.4a)$$

$$J_{\pm} |d, n\rangle = \sqrt{(d + n \pm 1)(d + n) - d(d - 1)} |d, n \pm 1\rangle. \quad (6.4b)$$

Consider now the following ‘‘Hamiltonians’’ \tilde{H} in the Lie algebra of $SU(1, 1)$:

$$\tilde{H} = J_- + \left(\frac{1 + c}{1 - c}\right) J_0 + \frac{c}{(1 - c)^2} J_+, \quad 0 < c < 1. \quad (6.5)$$

Using the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula (5.6), it is readily seen that

$$\tilde{H} = SJ_0S^{-1} \quad (6.6)$$

with

$$S = \exp[(1-c)J_-] \exp\left[-\frac{c}{(1-c)^2}J_+\right]. \quad (6.7)$$

Hence \tilde{H} has the same spectrum as J_0 . In order to diagonalize \tilde{H} , one introduces the vectors

$$|d, x\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_n(x)}{\sqrt{n!(2d)_n}} |d, n\rangle. \quad (6.8)$$

The eigenvalue equation

$$\tilde{H} |d, x\rangle = (d+x) |d, x\rangle \quad (6.9)$$

is then readily seen to require that the $p_n(x)$ satisfy the 3-term recurrence relation which is obtained from (2.3) when $r = 1$, as long as

$$\beta = 2d. \quad (6.10)$$

This establishes the connection between $SU(1, 1)$ and the standard Meixner polynomials.

Obtaining harmonic oscillator models for these polynomials therefore amounts to providing embeddings of $SU(1, 1)$ in the universal enveloping algebra of $W(1)$. One such well known realization corresponds to the metaplectic representation of $SU(1, 1)$. One takes

$$J_- = \frac{a^2}{2}, \quad J_+ = \frac{(a^+)^2}{2}, \quad J_0 = \frac{1}{4}(aa^+ + a^+a). \quad (6.11)$$

It is straightforward to check that the defining relations (6.1) are satisfied, given the canonical commutation rule $[a, a^+] = 1$. In this realization, the Casimir operator C takes the value

$$C = d(d-1) = -\frac{3}{16}, \quad (6.12)$$

implying that only two series, respectively corresponding to $d = \frac{1}{4}$ and $d = \frac{3}{4}$ are thus constructed. In other words, this only provides an algebraic model for the standard Meixner polynomials $M_n^{\beta,c}$ with $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ or $\beta = \frac{3}{2}$. An extension to more general value of β can be obtained [12] by letting a and a^+ in (6.11) stand for annihilation and creation operators of parabosonic oscillators, that is by considering a deformation of the Heisenberg algebra defined by the commutation relation

$$[a, a^+] = 1 + 2\mu R \quad (6.13)$$

where R is an involution operator satisfying $R^2 = 1$. In doing so, we construct the $SU(1, 1)$ discrete series with $d(= \frac{\beta}{2})$ equal to $\frac{\mu}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$ and to $\frac{\mu}{2} + \frac{3}{4}$ and models for Meixner polynomials with the corresponding β . In any case, we have found that the scheme corresponding to (6.11) does not extend to r dimensions or to r oscillators, so as to provide an interpretation of the multiple Meixner polynomials.

Now, if we specialize the model presented in section 4, to the case $r = 1$ (and suppress the sole index 1), we first find that the operators H and \bar{H} coincide and read

$$H = \bar{H} = a + \frac{1+c}{1-c} \left(a^+a + \frac{\beta}{2} \right) + \frac{c}{(1-c)^2} [a^+(\beta + a^+a)] - \frac{\beta}{2}. \quad (6.14)$$

Moreover the operator that conjugates $H_0 = a^+a$ into $\bar{H} = H$ is

$$S = \exp[(1-c)a] \exp \left[-\frac{c}{(1-c)^2} a^+(\beta + a^+a) \right]. \quad (6.15)$$

Hence if we take

$$J_- = a, \quad J_+ = a^+(\beta + a^+a), \quad J_0 = a^+a + \frac{\beta}{2}, \quad (6.16)$$

we see that $H + \frac{\beta}{2}$ is in the form (6.5) and that S is the same group element as in (6.7). It is then straightforward to check that the operators defined in (6.14) indeed verify the $SU(1,1)$ algebra commutation relations. Furthermore, the Casimir operator C is readily calculated to be

$$C = \frac{\beta}{2} \left(\frac{\beta}{2} - 1 \right), \quad (6.17)$$

confirming that $d = \frac{\beta}{2}$ and that this embedding of $SU(1,1)$ in $\mathcal{U}(W(1))$ provides an algebraic model for the Meixner polynomials with arbitrary values of $\beta > 0$. To our knowledge, this interpretation of the standard Meixner polynomials through the oscillator realization (6.14) of $SU(1,1)$ is new. The derivations of properties of the multiple Meixner polynomials stemming from our model would hence also be original in the case $r = 1$. (See the next three sections.) Of course, for $r > 1$, the multi-oscillator generalization of the operators (6.14) leads to generators that realize an infinite Lie algebra isomorphic to $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^r)$ as we have already pointed out.

7 Ladder operators and step relations

In the remaining sections, we shall illustrate how the algebraic model, presented in section 4, provides a useful framework to derive properties of the multiple Meixner polynomials. We will first focus on the step relations and therefore start with an examination of ladder operators.

Introduce

$$X_i = a_i + \frac{c_i}{1-c_i}(\beta + H_0), \quad i = 1, \dots, r \quad (7.1)$$

and

$$Y = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{a_k^+}{1-c_k}. \quad (7.2)$$

It is straightforward to check that these operators satisfy the following relations:

$$H_i^{\beta+1} X_j - X_j H_i^\beta = -X_j \quad (7.3)$$

and

$$H_i^{\beta-1} Y - Y H_i^\beta = Y, \quad (7.4)$$

for $i, j = 1, \dots, r$. From (7.3) and (7.4), it follows that

$$X_i |x, \beta\rangle = \xi_{i,x,\beta} |x-1, \beta+1\rangle, \quad (7.5)$$

$$Y |x, \beta\rangle = \eta_{x,\beta} |x+1, \beta-1\rangle, \quad (7.6)$$

where $\xi_{i,x,\beta}$ and $\eta_{x,\beta}$ are proportionality factors to be determined. Therefore X_i (resp. Y) maps the eigenstate of H_i^β with eigenvalue x to the eigenstate of $H_i^{\beta+1}$ (resp. $H_i^{\beta-1}$) with eigenvalue $x+$

1 (resp. $x - 1$). It should be stressed that these operators (much like supersymmetry generators in quantum mechanics) relate the eigenstates of different operators. Using the definition of $|x, \beta\rangle\rangle$ and a procedure by now familiar, it is found that (7.5) translates into

$$N_{x,\beta}^r \left[M_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_i}^\beta(x) + \frac{c_i}{1-c_i}(\beta + |\vec{n}|)M_{\vec{n}}^\beta(x) \right] = \xi_{i,x,\beta} N_{x-1,\beta+1}^r M_{\vec{n}}^{\beta+1}(x-1). \quad (7.7)$$

Given the monicity of the polynomials, i.e. that the coefficient of the leading term is one, we must have

$$\xi_{i,x,\beta} = \frac{N_{x,\beta}^r}{N_{x-1,\beta+1}^r}(x+a), \quad (7.8)$$

where a is a constant. This gives

$$M_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_i}^\beta(x) + \frac{c_i}{1-c_i}(\beta + |\vec{n}|)M_{\vec{n}}^\beta(x) = (x+a)M_{\vec{n}}^{\beta+1}(x-1). \quad (7.9)$$

In order to determine the constant a , we set $\vec{n} = \vec{0}$. We immediately find that $a = 0$ since we know from the recurrence relations (2.3) that

$$M_{\vec{e}_i}^{\beta,\vec{e}} = x - \frac{c_i}{1-c_i}\beta. \quad (7.10)$$

We thus arrive at the following backward relation

$$xM_{\vec{n}}^{\beta+1}(x-1) = M_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_i}^\beta(x) + \frac{c_i}{1-c_i}(\beta + |\vec{n}|)M_{\vec{n}}^\beta(x), \quad i = 1, \dots, r. \quad (7.11)$$

Similarly, it is seen that (7.6) amounts to

$$N_{x,\beta}^r \left[M_{\vec{n}}^\beta(x) + \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{n_k}{1-c_k} M_{\vec{n}-\vec{e}_k}^\beta(x) \right] = \eta_{x,\beta} N_{x+1,\beta-1}^r M_{\vec{n}}^{\beta-1}(x+1). \quad (7.12)$$

The monicity of the polynomials here implies that

$$\eta_{x,\beta} = \frac{N_{x,\beta}^r}{N_{x+1,\beta-1}^r}, \quad (7.13)$$

thereby giving the following forward relation:

$$M_{\vec{n}}^{\beta-1}(x+1) = M_{\vec{n}}^\beta(x) + \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{n_k}{1-c_k} M_{\vec{n}-\vec{e}_k}^\beta(x). \quad (7.14)$$

8 Intertwiners and contiguity relations

Contiguity relations can be obtained in a fashion similar to the way the step relations were derived in the last section. One observes that the operators

$$\hat{X}_i = a_i + \frac{1}{1-c_i}(\beta + H_0), \quad i = 1, \dots, r \quad (8.1)$$

and

$$\hat{Y}_i = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{c_k}{1-c_k} a_k^+ \quad (8.2)$$

satisfy the following intertwining relations:

$$H_i^{\beta+1} \hat{X}_j = \hat{X}_j H_i^\beta \quad (8.3)$$

and

$$H_i^{\beta-1} \hat{Y} = \hat{Y} H_i^\beta, \quad (8.4)$$

for $i, j = 1, \dots, r$. From (8.3) and (8.4), we conclude that

$$\hat{X}_i |x, \beta\rangle = \hat{\xi}_{i,x,\beta} |x, \beta + 1\rangle, \quad (8.5)$$

$$\hat{Y} |x, \beta\rangle = \hat{\eta}_{x,\beta} |x, \beta - 1\rangle, \quad (8.6)$$

with $\hat{\xi}_{i,x,\beta}$ and $\hat{\eta}_{x,\beta}$ proportionality factors again. Note that in contradistinction to (7.5) and (7.6), the eigenvalue x is unscathed in (8.5) and (8.6) with \hat{X}_i (resp. \hat{Y}) mapping the eigenstate of H_i^β with eigenvalue x to the eigenstate of $H_i^{\beta+1}$ (resp. $H_i^{\beta-1}$) with the same eigenvalue x .

We now proceed as in the last section. Eq. (8.5) is seen to imply that

$$N_{x,\beta}^r \left[M_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_i}^\beta(x) + \frac{1}{1-c_i}(\beta + |\vec{n}|)M_{\vec{n}}^\beta(x) \right] = \hat{\xi}_{i,x,\beta} N_{x-1,\beta+1}^r M_{\vec{n}}^{\beta+1}(x). \quad (8.7)$$

with monicity forcing

$$\hat{\xi}_{i,x,\beta} = \frac{N_{x,\beta}^r}{N_{x,\beta+1}^r}(x + \hat{a}), \quad (8.8)$$

where \hat{a} is a constant. Here also \hat{a} is determined by taking $\vec{n} = \vec{0}$ and one finds $\hat{a} = \beta$. One thus arrives at the following relation

$$(x + \beta)M_{\vec{n}}^{\beta+1}(x) = M_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_i}^\beta(x) + \frac{1}{1-c_i}(\beta + |\vec{n}|)M_{\vec{n}}^\beta(x), \quad i = 1, \dots, r. \quad (8.9)$$

A second relation is obtained from (8.6) which entails

$$M_{\vec{n}}^{\beta-1}(x) = M_{\vec{n}}^\beta(x) + \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{c_k}{1-c_k} n_k M_{\vec{n}-\vec{e}_k}^\beta(x) \quad (8.10)$$

with $\hat{\eta}_{x,\beta}$ required to be

$$\hat{\eta}_{x,\beta} = \frac{N_{x,\beta}^r}{N_{x,\beta-1}^r}. \quad (8.11)$$

Here it should be mentioned that combining (7.11) and (8.9), one finds

$$xM_{\vec{n}}^{\beta+1}(x-1) - c_i(x + \beta)M_{\vec{n}}^{\beta+1}(x) = (1-c_i)M_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_i}^\beta(x), \quad (8.12)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, r$ and using (7.14) and (8.10) one gets

$$M_{\vec{n}}^\beta(x+1) - M_{\vec{n}}^\beta(x) = \sum_{k=1}^r n_k M_{\vec{n}-\vec{e}_k}^{\beta+1}(x). \quad (8.13)$$

The raising and lowering relations (8.12) and (8.13) have been shown [13] to yield a difference equation for the multiple Meixner polynomials that reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{j=1}^r [c_j(x + \beta) - xT_x^{-1}] \circ (T_x - I)M_{\vec{n}}^{\beta, \vec{c}}(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^r (c_k - 1)n_k \prod_{j \neq k} [c_j(x + \beta) - xT_x^{-1}] M_{\vec{n}}^{\beta, \vec{c}}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (8.14)$$

where I is the identity operator and T_x is the shift operator for x , i.e. $T_x[f(x)] = f(x + 1)$.

We can also derive another “difference” equation for the multiple Meixner polynomials not in x but in β by combining the relations (8.9) and (8.10):

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{j=1}^r [(c_j - 1)(x + \beta + 1)T_\beta + (\beta + |\vec{n}|)] \circ (T_\beta - I)M_{\vec{n}}^{\beta, \vec{c}}(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^r c_k n_k \prod_{j \neq k} [(c_j - 1)(x + \beta + 1)T_\beta + (\beta + |\vec{n}|)] M_{\vec{n}}^{\beta+1, \vec{c}}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (8.15)$$

with T_β the shift operator for β .

9 Generating function

A generating function for the multiple Meixner polynomials of the first kind is readily obtained from the model with the help of the Bargmann realization. With a_i and a_i^+ realized as in (3.6), the Hamiltonian $H_i^{\beta, \vec{c}}$ reads

$$H_i^{\beta, \vec{c}} = \sum_{k=1}^r f_{ik}(\vec{z}) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} + g_i(\vec{z}), \quad (9.1)$$

where

$$f_{ik}(\vec{z}) = \delta_{ik} + \left(\frac{c_i}{1 - c_i} + \frac{1}{1 - c_k} \right) z_k + \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{c_j}{(1 - c_j)^2} z_j z_k, \quad (9.2)$$

$$g_i(\vec{z}) = \beta \left(\sum_{j=1}^r \frac{c_j}{(1 - c_j)^2} z_i + \frac{c_i}{1 - c_i} \right). \quad (9.3)$$

The eigenvalue equation $H_i^{\beta, \vec{c}} |x, \beta\rangle = x |x, \beta\rangle$ thus becomes a first order linear partial differential equation in \mathbb{C}^r . One can check directly that it has for solution the function

$$\varphi_x^{\beta, \vec{c}}(\vec{z}) = \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{z_k}{1 - c_k} \right)^x \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{c_k}{1 - c_k} z_k \right)^{-x - \beta} \quad (9.4)$$

with the constant of integration chosen so that $\varphi_x^{\beta, \vec{c}}(0) = 1$. Recalling how the number states are represented, see (3.6), in the Bargmann realization we have:

$$\langle z_1, \dots, z_r | x, \beta, \vec{c} \rangle = N_{x, \beta}^r \sum_{\vec{n}=\vec{0}}^{\infty} \frac{M_{\vec{n}}^{\beta, \vec{c}}(x)}{n_1! \dots n_r!} z_1^{n_1} \dots z_r^{n_r}. \quad (9.5)$$

Note that $\langle 0, \dots, 0 | x, \beta, \vec{c} \rangle = N_{x, \beta}^r$; hence, $\varphi_x^{\beta, \vec{c}}(\vec{z}) = \frac{1}{N_{x, \beta}^r} \langle z_1, \dots, z_r | x, \beta, \vec{c} \rangle$. Bringing this together gives the generating function formula

$$\left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{z_k}{1 - c_k}\right)^x \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{c_k}{1 - c_k} z_k\right)^{-x - \beta} = \sum_{\vec{n}=\vec{0}}^{\infty} \frac{M_{\vec{n}}^{\beta, \vec{c}}(x)}{n_1! \cdots n_r!} z_1^{n_1} \cdots z_r^{n_r}. \quad (9.6)$$

10 Conclusions

Let us summarize our results. As was done previously for the multiple Charlier polynomials, we have provided here an algebraic interpretation of the multiple Meixner polynomials of the first kind. They were shown to arise in the construction of the common eigenfunctions of a set of r non-Hermitian Hamiltonians $H_i^{\beta, \vec{c}}$ $i = 1, \dots, r$. These turn out to be in involution only “on shell” where they define a weakly integrable system. This model is not a multi-variable extension of the familiar connection between the one-dimensional harmonic oscillator and the Meixner polynomials via the metaplectic representation of $SU(1, 1)$. The isospectrality of the H_i has been traced back to the fact that their spectra are identical to those of operators \bar{H}_i that can be obtained from the standard r -dimensional harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian through conjugation by some specific operators. Finally, the model has been shown to give interpretations to the step and contiguity relations as well as to a generating function.

Acknowledgement

One of us (H.M.) wishes to thank the CRM for its hospitality while this work was carried out and gratefully acknowledges a Grant-in-Aid for Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Fellows. The research of S.T. is funded in part by KAKENHI (22540224). L.V. is supported in part through funds provided by the National Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada.

References

- [1] Angelesco A., Sur l’approximation simultanée de plusieurs intégrales définies, *C. R. Paris* **167** (1918) 629-631.
- [2] Nikishin E. M. and Sorokin V. N., Rational Approximations and Orthogonality, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 92, *Amer. Math. Soc.*, Providence, RI, 1991.
- [3] Van Assche W., Nearest neighbor recurrence relations for multiple orthogonal polynomials, *J. Approx. Theory* **163** (2011) 1427-1448.
- [4] Kuijlaars A., Multiple orthogonal polynomials in random matrix theory, in: “Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Volume III” (R. Bhatia, ed.) Hyderabad, India, (2010) 1417-1432.
- [5] Haneczok M. and van Assche W., Interlacing properties of zeros of multiple orthogonal polynomials, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **389** 429-438.
- [6] Ismail M. E. H., Classical and Quantum Orthogonal Polynomials in One Variable, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications* 98, Cambridge University Press, 2005
- [7] Miki H., Vinet L. and Zhedanov A., Non-Hermitian oscillator Hamiltonians and multiple Charlier polynomials, *Phys. Lett. A* **376** (2011) 65-69.
- [8] Granovskii Ya. I. and Zhedanov A., Orthogonal polynomials in the Lie algebras, *Russian Physics Journal* **29** (1986) 387-393.
- [9] Floreanini R., LeTourneux J. and Vinet L., Quantum Mechanics and Polynomials of a Discrete Variable, *Ann. Phys.* **223** (1993) 331-349.
- [10] Vinet L. and Zhedanov A., Representations of the Schrödinger group and matrix orthogonal polynomials, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **44** (2011), 35, 28 pages.

- [11] Wybourne B. G., Classical Groups and Generalized Symmetries in Quantum Theory, Wiley, New York, 1974.
- [12] Tsujimoto S., Vinet L. and Zhedanov A., From $sl_q(2)$ to a Parabosonic Hopf Algebra, *SIGMA* (2011), 093, 13 pages.
- [13] Lee D. W., Difference equations for discrete classical multiple orthogonal polynomials, *J. Approx. Theory* **150** (2008) 132-152.