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#### Abstract

We introduce a BMW type algebra for every Coxeter group, These new algebras are introduced as deformations of the Brauer type algebras introduced by the author, they have the corresponding Hecke algebras as quotients.


## 1 introduction

The Birman-Murakami-Wenzl algebras $B_{n}(\tau, l)$ (BMW algebras)introduced by Birman, Wenzl in [BW] are natural deformations of Brauer's centralizer algebras $B_{n}(\tau)$. These two kinds of algebras were studied extensively during last two decades. For an explanation of their backgrounds and their relation to three dimensional Topology, consult [BW] [Mu].

In 2001, Häring-Oldenberg [Ha] introduced the Cyclotomic BMW algebras and Cyclotomic Brauer algebras associated with $G(m, 1, n)$ type pseudo reflection groups. The Cyclotomic BMW algebras were studied intensively in recent years. In RX Rui and Xu classified irreducible representations of these algebras. In [2] Goodman proved they support cellular structures. In $[\mathrm{Yu}] \mathrm{Yu}$ studied freeness of them for certain parameter ring, and also proved cellularity of these algebras. In [GH] Goodman and Hauschild introduced Affine BMW algebras from topological considerations and give them a presentation. In 2005, Cohen, Gijsbers and Wales [GW1] introduced a BMW type algebra and a Brauer type algebra for every simply laced Coxeter group. Then they calculated dimension, and established the semisimplicity and cellularity of these algebras (of finite type) in [1], [CW2]. In this paper we present a BMW type algebra for every Coxeter group, as the deformations of the generalized Brauer type algebras constructed in our last paper [CH]. When $W$ is of simply laced type, our algebras are isomorphic to the algebras from CGW1](Proposition 5.2).

Since the present paper is very closely related to the simply laced BMW algebras, we write down the presentation of them according to [CGW1]. Let $\Gamma$ be a simply laced Dynkin diagram. Here 'simply laced' means containing no multiple bonds. For two nodes $i, j$ of $\Gamma$, if $\mathfrak{i}$ and $\mathfrak{j}$ are connected by a bond, we write $\mathfrak{i} \sim \mathfrak{j}$, otherwise we write $\mathfrak{i} \nsim j$.

Definition 1.1 ([CGW1]). Let $\Gamma$ be a rank n simply laced Dynkin diagram. The type $\Gamma$ Brauer algebra $\mathrm{B}_{\Gamma}(\tau)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\tau)$ and the type $\Gamma B M W$ algebra $\mathrm{B}_{\Gamma}$ over $\mathbb{Q}(1, x)$ are

TABLE 1. Presentation for $B_{\Gamma}(\tau)$ and $B_{\Gamma}(\tau, l)$.

|  | $\mathrm{B}_{\Gamma}(\tau)$ | $\mathrm{B}_{\Gamma}(\tau, l)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Generators | $s_{i}(i \in I) ; e_{i}(i \in I)$ | $X_{i}(i \in I) ; E_{i}(i \in I)$ |
| Relations | $\begin{aligned} & s_{i} s_{j} s_{i}=s_{j} s_{i} s_{j}, \text { if } i \sim \mathfrak{j} ; \\ & s_{i} s_{j} e_{i}=e_{j} s_{i} s_{j} \text { if } \mathfrak{i} \sim \mathfrak{j} ; \\ & s_{i} s_{j}=s_{j} s_{i}, \text { if } \mathfrak{i} \nsim j ; \\ & s_{i}^{2}=1, \text { for all } i ; \\ & s_{i} e_{i}=e_{i}, \text { for all } \mathfrak{i} ; \\ & e_{i} s_{j} e_{i}=e_{i}, \text { if } \mathfrak{i} \sim \mathfrak{j} ; \\ & s_{i} e_{j}=e_{j} s_{i}, \text { if } i \nsim j ; \\ & e_{i}^{2}=\tau e_{i}, \text { for all } i . \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & X_{i} X_{j} X_{i}=X_{j} X_{i} X_{j}, \text { if } \mathfrak{i} \sim j ; \\ & X_{i} X_{j} E_{i}=E_{j} X_{i} X_{j} \text { if } \mathfrak{i} \sim j ; \\ & X_{i} X_{j}=X_{j} X_{i}, \text { if } i \nsim j ; \\ & l\left(X_{i}^{2}+v X_{i}-1\right)=v E_{i}, \text { for all } i ; \\ & X_{i} E_{i}=l^{-1} E_{i}, \text { for all } i ; \\ & E_{i} X_{j} E_{i}=l E_{i}, i f i \sim j ; \\ & X_{i} E_{j}=E_{j} X_{i}, i f i \nsim j ; \\ & E_{i}^{2}=\tau E_{i} . \end{aligned}$ |

Where $v=\frac{l-l^{-1}}{1-\tau}$. When the Coxeter group is of $A_{n-1}$ type,that is, being the $n$-th symmetric group, the corresponding simply laced BMW algebra and Brauer algebra are just $B_{n}(\tau, l)$ and $B_{n}(\tau)$ respectively. In $[\mathrm{CH}]$ the author introduced a Brauer type algebra(see Definition 2.1, Definition 2.2 of the present paper) for every Coxeter group and every pseudo reflection group as candidates for generalized Brauer type algebras. In that construction, certain KZ connections and generalized Lawrence-Krammer representations for finite type Coxeter groups introduced by Marin (including a slightly further generalization by the author) play important roles. When $W$ is a finite pseudo reflection group, the algebra $\mathrm{Br}_{W}(\Pi)$ supports a flat, $W$-invariant connection $\Omega_{W}$ (Definition 2.3), which can be used to deform every finite dimensional representation of $\mathrm{Br}_{W}(\Pi)$ to a one parameter class of representations of the associated braid group $B_{W}$. In this paper we try to introduce BMW algebras for every Coxeter group, as deformations of the Brauer type algebras of [CH]. The starting point of our construction is the following observation.

Let $\Gamma$ be $A_{2}$, the Dynkin diagram with two vertex $v_{0}, v_{1}$ and a simple lace connecting them. So the BMW algebra $B_{A_{2}}(\tau, l)=B_{3}(\tau, l)$ is generated by $\left\{X_{0}, X_{1}, E_{0}, E_{1}\right\}$ with relations as in above table. Denote the free monoid generated by $\left\{X_{0}, X_{1}, E_{0}, E_{1}\right\}$ as $\Lambda$.

Then for $\mathfrak{i}=0,1$, there is a function $\Phi^{i}$ on $\Lambda$ such that the following relation holds in $B_{A_{2}}(\tau, l):$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i} x E_{i}=\Phi^{i}(x) E_{i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

These relations are clear from the diagram presentation of the BMW algebras. It is an interesting fact that we can obtain above $\Phi^{i}$ from the LK representation of the braid group $B_{3}$. Let $\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}$ be the generators of $B_{3}$ in its canonical presentation. The LK representation is a 3 dimensional representation with 2 parameters $\{\tau, l\} \rho_{L K}: B_{3} \rightarrow G L(V)$. We can show (Lemma 3.1) that for $i=0,1$, the operator $e_{i}=\frac{l}{v}\left(\rho\left(\sigma_{i}\right)^{2}+v \rho\left(\sigma_{i}\right)-1\right.$ ) is a projector to some line $\mathbb{C} u_{i}$ in $V$, where $v=\frac{l-l^{-1}}{1-\tau}$. The LK representation can be looked as a representation of $B_{A_{2}}(\tau, l)$ by sending $X_{i}, E_{i}$ to $\rho_{i}\left(\sigma_{i}\right), e_{i}$ respectively for $i=0,1$. We denote this representation by the same symbol $\rho_{\text {LK }}$. For any word $W=A_{1} A_{2} \cdots A_{k}$ in $\Lambda$, where
$A_{i} \in\left\{X_{0}, X_{1}, E_{0}, E_{1}\right\}$ we set $\rho_{\mathrm{LK}}(W)=\rho_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(A_{1}\right) \cdots \rho_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(A_{k}\right) \in \mathrm{gl}(\mathrm{V})$. Then there are functions $\bar{\Phi}^{i}(i=0,1)$ on $\Lambda$ such that $e_{i} \rho_{\mathrm{LK}}(W) e_{i}=\bar{\Phi}^{i}(W) e_{i}$, since $e_{i}$ is a projector. Comparing above two identities, we have $\Phi^{i}=\bar{\Phi}^{i}$.

Denote the Dihedral group of type $\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~m})$ as $\mathrm{D}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, whose Dynkin diagram consists of two vertexes $v_{0}, v_{1}$ and an edge with weight $m$ connecting them. Denote the associated Artin group as $A_{D_{m}}$. The group $A_{D_{m}}$ has a canonical presentation with two generators $\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}$.

The group $D_{m}$ has a natural representation on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ as a reflection group of order 2 m . It has $m$ reflection hyperplanes $H_{0}, H_{1}, \cdots, H_{m-1}$. Denote the reflection by $H_{i}$ as $s_{i}$, and chose a linear function $f_{i}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ such that $H_{i}=k e r f_{i}$. Set $\omega_{i}=d f_{i} / f_{i}$, which is a holomorphic 1 -form on $M$. Denote the complementary space $\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash \cup_{i=0}^{m-1} H_{i}$ as $M_{m}$. The Artin group $A_{D_{m}}$ also has a generalize Lawrence-Krammer representation as special cases of the one constructed by Marin [Ma2] as follows. Set $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathbb{C}<v_{0}, v_{1}, \cdots, v_{\mathrm{m}}>$ be a vector space with a basis in one to one correspondence with the set of reflection hyperplanes of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}$. The action of $D_{m}$ on the second set naturally induces a representation of $D_{m}$ on $V_{m}$ which will be denoted as l . Then on the trivial bundle $M_{m} \times V_{m}$, we have Marin's connection: $\Omega_{L K}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} K\left(k l\left(s_{i}\right)-p_{i}\right) \omega_{i}$, where $p_{i} \in \operatorname{End}\left(V_{m}\right)$ is suitable projector to the line $\mathbb{C} v_{i}$. It is proved [Ma2] that $\Omega_{L K}$ is flat and $D_{m}-$ invariant. So it induces a flat connection on the flat bundle $M \times_{D_{m}} V$, whose monodromy gives the generalized LK representation of $A_{D_{m}}$, which will be denoted as $T_{L K}: A_{D_{m}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{m}\right)$.

First we suppose the cases when $m$ is odd, we have

Lemma 3.1 Set $\mathrm{q}=\exp (\kappa k \pi \sqrt{-1})$ and $l=\exp (\kappa \alpha \pi \sqrt{-1}) / \mathrm{q}$. For generic $\kappa$,
(1) $\left(T_{L K}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)-l^{-1}\right)\left(T_{L K}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)-q\right)\left(T_{L K}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)+q^{-1}\right)=0$ for $\mathfrak{i}=0,1$.
(2) $e_{i}=\frac{1}{q^{-1}-q}\left(T_{L K}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)-q\right)\left(T_{L K}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)+q^{-1}\right)$ is a projector, i.e, Ranke $e_{i}=1$ for $i=0,1$.

Still let $\Lambda$ be the monoid freely generated by $X_{0}, X_{1}, E_{0}, E_{1}$. Define a morphism $f: \Lambda \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{End}\left(V_{m}\right)$ by setting: $f\left(X_{i}\right)=T_{L K}\left(\sigma_{i}\right), f\left(E_{i}\right)=e_{i}(i=0,1)$. Considering (2) of above Lemma 3.1, we define a function $\Phi_{\mathfrak{m}, \Pi, k}^{i}: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by: $e_{i} f(X) e_{i}=\Phi_{m, \Pi}^{i}(X) e_{i}$. Then we define the BMW algebra $B_{D_{m}}(\Pi, \kappa)$ associated with $D_{m}$ as the following Definition 3.4.

Suppose $k \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, denote $\Pi=\{k, \alpha\}$. Set $q=\exp (k \sqrt{-1} \pi k)$ and $l=$ $\exp (\kappa \alpha \pi \sqrt{-1}) / \mathbf{q}$.

Definition 3.4 The algebra $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathfrak{m}}}(\Pi, \kappa)$ is generated by $\mathrm{X}_{0}, \mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{E}_{0}, \mathrm{E}_{1}$ with following relations.

1) $X_{i} X_{i}^{-}=1, i=0,1$;
2) $\left[X_{0} X_{1} \cdots\right]_{m}=\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{m}$;
3) $\left(q^{-1}-q\right) E_{i}=l\left(X_{i}-q\right)\left(X_{i}+q^{-1}\right)$ for $i=0,1$;
4) $X_{i} E_{i}=E_{i} X_{i}=l^{-1} E_{i}$ for $i=0,1$;
5) $\mathrm{E}_{i} X \mathrm{E}_{i}=\Phi_{m, \Pi, k}^{i}(X) \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ for any $\mathrm{X} \in \Lambda, i=0,1$.

The relation 1) to 4) have clear analogues with the BMW algebra $B_{3}(\tau, l)$. Relation 5)
is similar with the equation (1) for $B_{3}(\tau, l)$. From above definition we see $B_{D_{m}}(\Pi, \kappa)$ is a quotient of the group algebra $\mathbb{C} A_{D_{m}}$, and has the Hecke algebra of type $W$ as its quotient.

When $m$ is even, we have a pair of projectors $e_{0}, e_{1}$ acting on Marin's generalized LK representation as in Lemma 3.2. By using them we define a function $\Phi_{\mathrm{m}, \Pi, \mathrm{k}}^{i}: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which is used in Definition 3.3 of the BMW algebra $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi, \kappa)$.

In section 4 we study the algebras $B_{D_{m}}(\Pi, \kappa)$, the following results support that they are indeed the deformation of the Brauer type algebras $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi)$. Our Theorem 4.1 says that for generic parameters $\Pi, \operatorname{dim} \mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi)=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi, \kappa)$. Proposition says the representations of $A_{D_{m}}$ obtained from monodromy of the KZ connection $\Omega_{D_{m}}$ (associated with finite dimensional representations of $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi)$ factor through $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi, \kappa)$.

Once the definition of BMW algebras associated with Dihedral groups are given, they induce a natural definition of BMW algebra $\mathrm{B}_{W_{\Gamma}}(\Pi, \kappa)$ for any Coxeter group $W_{\Gamma}$, as in Definition 4.1.

We hope these algebras $\mathrm{B}_{W_{\Gamma}}(\Pi, \kappa)$ be deformation of the Brauer type algebras $\mathrm{Br}_{W_{\Gamma}}(\Pi)$ as the dihedral cases. We can't give a proof of them in this paper. We only prove (Theorem 5.1) that $B_{W_{\Gamma}}(\Pi, \kappa)$ is finite dimensional when $W$ is a finite group, by similar arguments used in [CGW1] in the proof of Theorem 1.1 of that paper. Proposition 5.2 says the algebra $B_{W_{\Gamma}}(\Pi, \kappa)$ is isomorphic to the simply laced BMW algebra defined in [CGW1] when $W$ is a simply laced Coxeter group. At last, Theorem 5.2 says for finite $W$, for generic parameters $\Pi$, the representations of $A_{W}$ resulted from monodromy of the KZ connection $\Omega_{W}$ all factor through $B_{W}(\Pi, \kappa)$ for suitable parameters.
Acknowledgements I 'd like to present my thanks to Ivan Marin for his detailed explanation of some tools involved in proof of Lemma 3.5.

## 2 preliminaries

Let $E$ be a complex linear space. An hyperplane arrangement (or arrangement simply) in $E$ means a finite set of hyperplanes contained in E . Let $\mathcal{A}=\left\{\mathrm{H}_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ be an arrangement in E , we denote the complementary space $\mathrm{E}-\cup_{i \in \mathrm{I}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$ as $M_{\mathcal{A}}$. Intersection of any subset of $\mathcal{A}$ is called an edge. If L is an edge of $\mathcal{A}$, define $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{L}}=\left\{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}} \in \mathcal{A} \mid \mathrm{L} \subset \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}=\left\{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L}}=\left\{\mathrm{i} \in \mathrm{I} \mid \mathrm{L} \subset \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}$.

For every $i \in I$, chose a linear form $f_{i}$ with kernel $H_{i}$. Set $\omega_{i}=d \log f_{i}$, which is a holomorphic closed 1-form on $M_{\mathcal{A}}$. Consider the formal connection $\Omega=k \sum_{i \in I} X_{i} \omega_{i}$. Here $X_{i}$ are linear operators to be determined. When we take $X_{i}$ 's as endomorphisms of some linear space E , then $\Omega$ is realized as a connection on the bundle $M_{\mathcal{A}} \times \mathrm{E}$. We have the following theorem of Kohno.

Theorem 2.1 (Kohno [Ko1]). The formal connection $\Omega$ is flat if and only if:
$\left[X_{i}, \sum_{j \in I_{L}} X_{j}\right]=0$ for any codimension 2 edge $L$ of $\mathcal{A}$, and for any $i \in I_{L}$. Where $[A, B]$ means $A B-B A$.

Let $W \subset U(E)$ be a finite pseudo reflection group. Let $R$ be the set of pseudo reflections contained in $W$ and let $\mathcal{A}=\left\{\mathrm{H}_{i}\right\}_{i \in P}$ be the set of reflection hyperplanes in E . Since the
action of $W$ on $E$ send reflection hyperplanes to reflection hyperplanes, we have a natural action of $W$ on $P$ which will denoted simply by $(w, i) \mapsto w(i)$ for $w \in W, i \in P$. For $s, s^{\prime} \in R$, denote $s \sim s^{\prime}$ if they lie in the same conjugacy class. For $\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{i}^{\prime} \in P$, denote $\mathfrak{i} \sim \mathfrak{i}^{\prime}$ if there exist $w \in W$ such that $w(i)=\mathfrak{i}^{\prime}$. For $\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j} \in P$, denote $R(i, j)=\left\{s \in R \mid s\left(H_{j}\right)=H_{i}\right\}$. For $i \in P$, let $W_{i}$ be the subgroup of $W$ consisting of elements that fixing $H_{i}$ pointwise. Let $m_{i}=\left|W_{i}\right|$. It is well known that $W_{i}$ is a cyclic group and we denote the element in $W_{i}$ with exceptional eigenvalue $e^{\frac{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}}{m_{i}}}$ as $s_{i}$. For $s \in R$, define $i(s) \in P$ by requesting $H_{i(s)}$ to be the reflection hyperplane of $s$. Set $M_{W}=E-\cup_{i \in P} H_{i}$. The action of $W$ on $M_{W}$ is free, by a famous theorem of Steinberg. The groups $\mathrm{B}_{W}=\pi_{1}\left(M_{W} / W\right)$ and $P_{W}=\pi_{1}\left(M_{W}\right)$ are called the complex braid group and the complex pure braid group associated with $W$ respectively. For $i \in P$, suppose $\omega_{i}$ is the 1 -form on $M_{W}$ associated with $H_{i}$ as above. Suppose ( $V, \rho$ ) is a complex linear representation of $W$. Suppose $\Omega=\sum_{i \in P} X_{i} \omega_{i}$ is a connection on the trivial vector bundle $M_{W} \times V$, where $X_{i} \in \operatorname{End}(V)$ for any $i \in P$. In this case we can get a vector bundle on $M_{W} / W$ as the orbit space of the free action of $W$ on $M_{W} \times V$ : $w \cdot(p, v)=(w \cdot p, \rho(w)(v))$, for any $w \in W, p \in M_{W}$ and $v \in V$. Denote the quotient bundle as $M_{W} \times{ }_{W} \mathrm{~V}$, and the quotient map from $M_{W} \times \mathrm{V}$ to $M_{W} \times{ }_{W} \mathrm{~V}$ as $\pi$. We have the following Lemma, for details and backgrounds about these connections see Section 4 of [?] .

Lemma 2.1. If $\rho(w) X_{i} \rho(w)^{-1}=X_{w(i)}$ for any $\mathfrak{i} \in P$ and $w \in W$, then $\Omega$ induce $a$ connection $\bar{\Omega}$ on $M_{W} \times_{W} \mathrm{~V}$, whose pull back through by $\pi$ is $\Omega$.

If a connection satisfy the condition in above Lemma, we call it as $W$-invariant.
Suppose $E^{\mathbb{R}}$ is a $n$ dimensional Eclidean space, denote the bilinear form on $E^{\mathbb{R}}$ as $<,>$. $W \subset O\left(E^{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ is a finite reflection group with the set of reflections denoted as R. Suppose $W$ is essential, which means the fixed subspace of $W$ in $E^{\mathbb{R}}$ is 0 . Denote the complexification $E^{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \sqrt{-1} E^{\mathbb{R}}$ of $E^{\mathbb{R}}$ as $E$. There is a natural positive definite Hermitian form on $E$ extending the metric on $E^{\mathbb{R}}$ defined as:

$$
<v+\sqrt{-1} w, v^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} w^{\prime}>=<v, v^{\prime}>+\sqrt{-1}<w, v^{\prime}>-\sqrt{-1}<v, w^{\prime}>+<w, w^{\prime}>.
$$

Denote the distance of two points $p, q \in E$ determined by this Hermitian metric as $d(p, q)$. Because $R$ contain only order 2 elements, the map $i$ from $R$ to $P$ (index set of reflection hyperplanes) is bijective. So we simply set $P=R$ and denote the reflection hyperplane in $E^{\mathbb{R}}$ of $s$ as $H_{s}$. Denote the complexification of $H_{s}$ as $H_{s}^{\mathbb{C}}$, which is a hyperplane in $E$. It is a well-known fact (for example see [Hu]) that each path component of $E^{\mathbb{R}}-\cup_{s \in R} H_{s}$ is a simplicial cone. Choose one from these cones, denote the closure of it as $\Delta$. Denote the $n$ reflection hyperplanes (of $W$ ) corresponding to $n$ codimension one faces of $\Delta$ as $\mathrm{H}_{s_{1}}, \cdots, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{s}_{n}}$. The following Theorem is canonical (see [Hu]).

Theorem 2.2. The group $W$ is generated by $s_{1}, \cdots, s_{n}$ with the following relations.

1) $s_{i}^{2}=1,1 \leq i \leq n ; \quad$ 2) $\left[s_{i} s_{j} \cdots\right]_{m_{i, j}}=\left[s_{j} s_{i} \cdots\right]_{m_{i, j}}, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$.

Here the expression $[x y \cdots]_{\mathfrak{m}}$ means a word with length $m$,in which the letters $x, y$ appear alternatively, and whose left most subword is $x y$. Similarly we define $[\cdots x y]_{m}$.

The symmetric matrix $\Gamma=\left(m_{i, j}\right)_{n \times n}$ is called the Coxeter matrix of $W$, which is equivalent to a Dynkin diagram under certain simple rules.

Now consider the space $M_{W} / W$. Denote the quotient map from $M_{W}$ to $M_{W} / W$ as $\pi$. Choose a inner point $p \in \Delta$. Notice since $E^{\mathbb{R}}$ is a subspace of its complexification $E, p$ belongs to $M_{W}$. Denote $\pi(p)$ as $\bar{p}$. Denote the segment connecting $p$ with $s_{i}(p)$ as $\gamma_{i}$, which intersecting $H_{s_{i}}$ in one point $p_{i}$. Chose $0<\epsilon \ll 1$. Let $p_{i}^{\prime}$ be the point on $\gamma_{i} \cap \Delta$ satisfying: $d\left(p_{i}^{\prime}, p_{i}\right)=\epsilon$. Set $p_{i}^{\prime \prime}=s_{i}\left(p_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ (also belong to $\left.\Gamma_{i}\right)$. Denote the vector $s_{i}(p)-p$ as $\alpha_{i}$, then the reflection $s_{i}$ can be presented as $s_{i}(v)=v-\frac{\left.2<v, \alpha_{i}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right\rangle} \alpha_{i}$. denote the path from $p$ to $p_{i}^{\prime}$ as $\gamma_{i}^{\prime}$, and the path from $p_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ to $s_{i}(p)$ as $\gamma_{i}^{\prime \prime}$. Define the following path $\eta_{i}$ from $p_{i}^{\prime}$ to $p_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ as: $\eta_{i}:[0,1] \rightarrow E, t \mapsto p_{i}^{\prime}-(1-\exp \pi \sqrt{-1} t) \frac{\left\langle p_{i}^{\prime}, \alpha_{i}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right\rangle} \alpha_{i}$. Denote the composed path $\gamma_{i}^{\prime \prime} \circ \eta_{i} \circ \gamma_{i}^{\prime}$ as $l_{i}$. It is easy to see when $\epsilon$ is small enough, the path $l_{i}$ lie in $M_{W}$, and it project to a closed path $\overline{\mathcal{l}}_{i}$ in $M_{W} / W$ based at $\bar{p}$. Denote the element of $\pi_{1}\left(M_{W} / W, \bar{p}\right)$ represented by $\bar{l}_{i}$ as $\sigma_{i}$.

Theorem 2.3 (Brieskorn [Bri]). The group $\pi_{1}\left(M_{W} / W, \bar{p}\right)$ is generated by $\sigma_{1}, \cdots, \sigma_{n}$ with the following relations.
$\left[\sigma_{i} \sigma_{\mathfrak{j}} \cdots\right]_{\mathfrak{m}_{i, j}}=\left[\sigma_{\mathfrak{j}} \sigma_{\mathfrak{i}} \cdots\right]_{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathfrak{j}}}$ for $1 \leq \mathfrak{i} \neq \mathfrak{j} \leq \boldsymbol{n}$.
The group $\pi_{1}\left(M_{W} / W, \bar{p}\right)$ is the Artin group of type $W$, which will be denoted as $A_{W}$. The following results about monodromy of flat connections will be used later. Suppose $W$ is a finite Coxeter group, and $M_{W}, \Delta, s_{i}, H_{i}, p, \bar{p}$ defined as above. Suppose $\rho: W \rightarrow G L(V)$ (or $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathrm{V}))$ is a finite dimensional complex linear representation of $W$, and $\Omega=k \sum_{s \in R} X_{s} \omega_{s}$ is a $W$-invariant flat connection on a trivial vector bundle $M_{W} \times V$, where $X_{s} \in \operatorname{End}(V)$ for any $s \in R$. Denote the flat connection on $M_{W} \times_{W} V$ induced by $\Omega$ as $\bar{\Omega}$. Denote the monodromy representation of $\bar{\Omega}$ as $T: \pi_{1}\left(M_{W} / W, \bar{p}\right) \rightarrow G L(V)$. Then

Lemma 2.2 (Proposition 2.3 of $\overline{M a 2}]$ ). For generic $\kappa$, the operator $T\left(\sigma_{i}\right)$ is conjugated to $\rho\left(s_{i}\right) \exp \left(\kappa \sqrt{-1} \pi X_{s_{i}}\right)$ in $G L(V)$.

Now suppose $L$ is an edge of the arrangement $\left\{H_{s}\right\}_{s \in R}$, which means $L \subset E$ is the nonempty intersection of several members of $\left\{H_{s}\right\}_{s \in R}$. Set $R_{L}=\left\{s \in R \mid L \subset H_{s}\right\}$, and $M_{W_{L}}=E-\cup_{s \in R_{L}} H_{s}$. It is a canonical result (see [Hu]) that the subgroup $W_{L}$ generated by $R_{L}$ is the maximal subgroup of $W$ fixing $L$ pointwise. As a reflection group it has its own associated Artin group $A_{W_{\mathrm{L}}}$ and pure Artin group $\mathrm{P}_{W_{\mathrm{L}}}$. It is clear that we have identifications: $\mathrm{P}_{W_{\mathrm{L}}} \cong \pi_{1}\left(M_{W_{\mathrm{L}}}\right)$ and $A_{W_{\mathrm{L}}} \cong \pi_{1}\left(M_{W_{\mathrm{L}}} / W_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$. Now choose a point $\mathrm{q} \in \mathrm{L}$ such that $\mathrm{q} \notin \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{s}}$ for any $\mathrm{s} \notin \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}$. We can choose $\epsilon>0$ small enough such that the ball $D_{\epsilon}(q)=\{a \in E \mid d(a, q)<\epsilon\}$ has empty intersection with any $H_{s}\left(s \notin R_{L}\right)$. It is easy to see the natural morphism $\pi_{1}\left(D_{\epsilon}(q) \cap M_{W}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(M_{W_{L}}\right)$ induced by the inclusion map is an isomorphism, thus the morphism $\pi_{1}\left(D_{\epsilon}(q) \cap M_{W}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(M_{W}\right)$ induced by inclusion map gives us an injective morphism: $\lambda_{L}: P_{W_{L}} \rightarrow P_{W}$. Since $D_{\epsilon}(q)$ is setwise stabilized by $W_{L}$, $\lambda_{\mathrm{L}}$ can be extend to an injective morphism $\bar{\lambda}_{\mathrm{L}}: A_{W_{\mathrm{L}}} \rightarrow A_{W}$.

Assume ( $\mathrm{V}, \rho$ ), $\Omega$ as above. On the trivial bundle $M_{W_{\mathrm{L}}} \times \mathrm{V}$ we define the following connection: $\Omega^{\prime}=\kappa \sum_{s \in R_{\mathrm{L}}} X_{s} \omega_{s}$. it is easy to see $\Omega^{\prime}$ is also a flat and $W_{\mathrm{L}}$-invariant connection, so it induce a flat connection $\bar{\Omega}^{\prime}$ on the quotient bundle $M_{W_{L}} \times W_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{V}$. The connection
$\bar{\Omega}^{\prime}$ induce a monodromy representation $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{L}}: A_{W_{\mathrm{L}}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{V})$. On the other hand, by the injection $\bar{\lambda}_{\mathrm{L}}$ we have another representation $\mathrm{T} \circ \bar{\lambda}_{\mathrm{L}}$ of $A_{W_{\mathrm{L}}}: A_{W_{\mathrm{L}}} \rightarrow A_{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{V})$. In [Ma2] the following theorem is presented.

Theorem 2.4. Assume above conventions. For generic K , the representation $\left(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\left(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{T} \circ \bar{\lambda}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$.

Let $W \subset U(E)$ be a finite pseudo reflection group. In CH the author introduced a Brauer type algebra $\mathrm{Br}_{W}(\Pi)$ associated with $W$, which is defined as follows. Set notations $R, \mathcal{A}, P, \mathfrak{i}(s), s \sim s^{\prime}, i \sim \mathfrak{i}^{\prime}, R(i, j)$ as above. Choose constants $\left\{k_{s}\right\}_{s \in R} \cup\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}_{i \in P}$ such that $k_{s}=k_{s^{\prime}}$ if $s \sim s^{\prime}$ and $\alpha_{i}=\alpha_{i^{\prime}}$ if $\mathfrak{i} \sim \mathfrak{i}^{\prime}$. Denote $\left\{k_{s}\right\}_{s \in R} \cup\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}_{i \in P}$ by one symbol $\Pi$. For $\mathfrak{i} \neq \mathfrak{j}$ we denote $H_{i} \pitchfork H_{j}$ if $\left\{k \in P \mid H_{i} \cap H_{j} \subset H_{k}\right\}=\{i, j\}$. A codimension 2 edge $L$ of $\mathcal{A}$ will be called a crossing edge if there exists $i, j \in P$ such that $H_{i} \pitchfork H_{j}$ and $L=H_{i} \cap H_{j}$, otherwise L will be called a noncrossing edge.

Definition 2.1. The algebra $\mathrm{Br}_{W}(\Pi)$ associated with pseudo reflection group $\mathrm{W} \subset \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{E})$ is generated by the set $\left\{\mathrm{T}_{w}\right\}_{w \in \mathrm{G}} \cup\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{P}}$ which satisfies the following relations.
0) $T_{w_{1}} T_{w_{2}}=T_{w_{3}}$ if $w_{1} w_{2}=w_{3}$.

1) $T_{s_{i}} e_{i}=e_{i} T_{s_{i}}=e_{i}$, for $i \in P$.
1)' $\mathrm{T}_{w} e_{i}=e_{i} \mathrm{~T}_{w}=e_{\mathrm{i}}$, for $w \in G$ such that $w\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}} \cap \mathrm{E}_{w}$ is a noncrossing edge. Where $\mathrm{E}_{w}=\{v \in \mathrm{E} \mid w(v)=v\}$.
2) $e_{i}^{2}=\alpha_{i} e_{i}$.
3) $T_{w} e_{j}=e_{i} T_{w}$, if $w \in G$ satisfies $w\left(H_{j}\right)=H_{i}$.
4) $e_{i} e_{j}=e_{j} e_{i}$, if $H_{i} \pitchfork H_{j}$.
5) $e_{i} e_{j}=\left(\sum_{s \in R(i, j)} k_{s} T_{s}\right) e_{j}=e_{i}\left(\sum_{s \in R(i, j)} k_{s} T_{s}\right)$, if $H_{i} \cap H_{j}$ is a noncrossing edge, and $R(i, j) \neq \emptyset$.
6) $e_{i} e_{j}=0$, if $H_{i} \cap H_{j}$ is a noncrossing edge, and $R(i, j)=\emptyset$.

It is proved in $[\mathrm{CH}](T h e o r e m ~ 8.4)$ that when W is a finite type Coxeter group with Coxeter matrix $\left(m_{i, j}\right)_{n \times n}$, the algebra $\mathrm{Br}_{W}(\Pi)$ is isomorphic to the following algebra $\mathrm{Br}_{W_{M}}^{\prime}(\Pi)$ with a canonical presentation.

Definition 2.2. For any Coxeter matrix $M=\left(m_{i, j}\right)_{n \times n}$, the algebra $B r_{W_{M}}^{\prime}(\Pi)$ is defined as follows. Let $\Pi$ be as in Definition 2.1. Denote $\alpha_{s_{i}}$ in $\Upsilon$ as $\alpha_{i}$. If we don't give range for an index then it means "for all". The generators are $S_{1}, \cdots, S_{n}, E_{1}, \cdots, E_{n}$.

The relations are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1) } S_{i}^{2}=1 \text {; } \\
& \text { 2) }\left[S_{i} S_{j} \cdots\right]_{\mathfrak{m}_{i, j}}=\left[S_{j} S_{i} \cdots\right]_{\mathfrak{m}_{i, j}} \text {; } \\
& \text { 3) } S_{i} E_{i}=E_{i}=E_{i} S_{i} \text {; } \\
& \text { 4) } E_{i}^{2}=\alpha_{i} E_{i} \text {; } \\
& \text { 5) } S_{i} E_{j}=E_{j} S_{i} \text { if } m_{i, j}=2 \text {; } \\
& \text { 6) } \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}} \text { if } \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}}=2 \text {; } \\
& \text { 7) }\left[S_{j} S_{i} \cdots\right]_{2 k-1} E_{i} \\
& =E_{i}\left[S_{j} S_{i} \cdots\right]_{2 k-1}=E_{i}, \\
& \text { if } \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}}=2 \mathrm{k}>2 \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 11) }\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{j}} \cdots\right]_{2 \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}\left[\mathrm{~S}_{i} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{j}} \cdots\right]_{2 \mathrm{k}} \text { If } \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}}=2 \mathrm{k}+1 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

An important feature of the algebra $\mathrm{Br}_{W}(\Pi)$ is it supports the following $W$-invariant, flat formal connection

Definition 2.3. The $K Z$ connection of $\mathrm{Br}_{W}(\Pi)$ is the following formal connection on $M_{W} \times \operatorname{Br}_{W}(\Pi): \Omega_{W}=\kappa \sum_{i \in \mathrm{P}}\left(\sum_{s: i(s)=i} k_{s} T_{s}-e_{i}\right) \omega_{i}$.

The following fact is from Proposition 5.1 of CH .
Proposition 2.1. The connection $\Omega_{W}$ is flat and $W$-invariant.
This connection can deform every finite dimensional representation of $\mathrm{Br}_{W}(\Pi)$ to a representation of $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{W}}$, the associated braid group. We have the following example. Let $R, P, M_{W}, \omega_{i}$ be defined as above. Set $V_{W}=\mathbb{C}<\nu_{i}>_{i \in P}$ be a complex linear space with a basis in one to one correspondence with the set of reflection hyperplanes of $W$. The permutating action of $W$ on $P$ induces a representation $\iota$ of $W$ on $V_{W}$ in a natural way: $\mathfrak{l}(w)\left(v_{i}\right)=v_{w(i)}$ for any $w \in W$ and $i \in P$. Let the data $\left\{k_{s}\right\}_{s \in R} \cup\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}_{i \in P}$ be $\Pi$ in $\mathrm{Br}_{W}(\Pi)$. For $i \in P$, define an element $p_{i} \in \operatorname{End}\left(V_{W}\right)$ as by $p_{i}\left(v_{i}\right)=\alpha_{i} v_{i} ; p_{i}\left(v_{j}\right)=\left(\sum_{t \in R: t(j)=i} k_{t}\right) v_{i}$. So $p_{i}$ is a projector to the line $\mathbb{C} v_{i}$.

Lemma 2.3. The $\operatorname{map} \mathrm{T}_{w} \mapsto \mathfrak{l}(w)$ for $w \in \mathbb{W}$ and $\mathrm{e}_{\mathfrak{i}} \mapsto \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}$ for $\mathfrak{i} \in \mathrm{P}$ extends to a representation $\rho_{\mathrm{LK}}: \operatorname{Br}_{W}(\Pi) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}\left(\mathrm{V}_{W}\right)$.

We call this representation as the infinitesimal Lawrence-Krammer representation. So the connection $\Omega_{W}^{L K}=\kappa \sum_{i \in P}\left(\sum_{s \in \operatorname{Ri} i(s)=i} k_{s} l(s)-p_{i}\right) \omega_{i}$ on the vector bundle $M_{W} \times V_{W}$ is flat and $W$-invariant by Proposition 2.1. $\Omega_{W}^{\mathrm{LK}}$ induces a flat connection $\bar{\Omega}_{W}^{\mathrm{LK}}$ on the bundle $M_{W} \times{ }_{W} V_{W}$,whose monodromy representation is defined as the generalized LawrenceKrammer representations (of $A_{W}$ ). The generalized Lawrence-Krammer representations for finite type simply-laced Artin groups are invented by Cohen and Wales in [CW], and Digne in [Di] independently. In [Ma2] Marin introduced generalized Lawrence-Krammer representations for pseudo reflection groups whose pseudo reflections all have order 2. Above
slightly further generalization of Marin's work can be found in $[\mathrm{CH}$, which is put in the frame of $\mathrm{Br}_{W}(\Pi)$ for later convenience.

Consider the permutating action of $W$ on $P$, denote the space of orbits as $P / W$. For each $c \in P / W$, it is easy to see $V_{W, c}=\mathbb{C}<v_{i}>_{i \in c}$ is a sub representation of $\rho_{\mathrm{LK}}$. Thus we have a decomposition $\rho_{\mathrm{LK}}=\oplus_{\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{P} / W} \rho_{\mathrm{LK}, \mathrm{c}}$ according to the decomposition $\mathrm{V}_{W}=\oplus_{\mathrm{c} \in \mathrm{P} / W} \mathrm{~V}_{W, c}$. In dihedral cases (where we can set $P=R$ ), when $m$ is odd, the set of reflections $R$ of $D_{m}$ contains only one orbit. When $m$ is even, let $c_{0} \subset R, c_{1} \subset R$ be those reflections conjugated to $s_{0}, s_{1}$ respectively. Then we have $V_{D_{m}}=V_{D_{m}, c_{0}} \oplus V_{D_{m}, c_{1}}$, correspondingly $\rho_{\mathrm{LK}}=\rho_{\mathrm{LK}, \mathrm{c}_{0}} \oplus \rho_{\mathrm{LK}, \mathrm{c}_{1}}$.

The following result follows from Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 4.2 of [Ma2].
Lemma 2.4. When $m$ is odd, for generic $k$, $\alpha$, the infinitesimal Lawrence-Krammer representation $\rho_{\mathrm{LK}}$ is irreducible. When m is even, for generic $\mathrm{k}_{0}, \alpha_{0}, \mathrm{k}_{1}, \alpha_{1}$, the representations $\rho_{\mathrm{LK}, \mathfrak{c}_{0}}, \rho_{\mathrm{LK}, \mathfrak{c}_{1}}$ are irreducible.

## 3 Generalized Lawrence-Krammer Representations

Suppose $W$ is a finite Coxeter group. We assume the conventions for $E, R, M_{W}$ as in Section 2. We study the generalized Lawrence-Krammer representation in detail for the cases when $W$ is a dihedral group, as they will play an important role in the next section.

Denote the dihedral group of type $\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~m})$ as $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}$. The arrangement of its reflection hyperplanes can be explained with the following Figure 1.


Figure 1: The arrangement of $D_{6}$
There are $m$ lines(hyperplanes) passing the origin. The angle between every two neighboring lines is $\pi / \mathrm{m}$. Suppose the $x$-axis is one of the reflection lines and denote it as $\mathrm{H}_{0}$, we denote these lines by $H_{0}, H_{1}, \cdots, H_{m-1}$ in anticlockwise order as shown in above graph. Denote the reflection by $H_{i}$ as $s_{i}$. The set of reflections in $D_{m}$ is $R=\left\{s_{i}\right\}_{0 \leq i \leq m-1}$. Denote the rotation of $2 j \pi / m$ in anticlockwise order as $r_{j}$. It is well known that $D_{m}$ is generated by $s_{0}, s_{1}$ with the following presentation

$$
<s_{0}, s_{1}, \mid\left(s_{0} s_{1}\right)^{m}=1, s_{0}^{2}=s_{1}^{2}=1>.
$$

The associated Artin group $A_{W_{\mathrm{m}}}$ has a presentation:

$$
<\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}, \mid\left[\sigma_{0} \sigma_{1} \cdots\right]_{\mathfrak{m}}=\left[\sigma_{1} \sigma_{0} \cdots\right]_{\mathfrak{m}}>.
$$

As a set $D_{m}=\left\{s_{i}, r_{i}\right\}_{0 \leq i \leq m-1}$. Under this presentation, $s_{i}$ can be determined inductively in the following way. $s_{1}=s_{1}, s_{2}=s_{1} s_{0} s_{1}$ and $s_{i}=s_{i-1} s_{i-2} s_{i-1}$. Recall by $\left[s_{i} s_{j} \cdots\right]_{k}$ we denote the length $k$ word starting with $s_{i} s_{j}$, in which $s_{i}$ and $s_{j}$ appear alternatively. The word $\left[\cdots s_{0} s_{1}\right]_{k}$ is defined similarly. Then $s_{i}=\left[s_{1} s_{0} \cdots\right]_{2 i-1}$, where $s_{m}=\left[s_{1} s_{0} \cdots\right]_{2 m-1}=s_{0}$.

The cases when $m$ is odd Recall the connection $\Omega_{W}^{\mathrm{LK}}$ on $M_{W} \times V_{W}$ in Lemma 2.2. We denote $D_{m}$ simply as $W$ in this paragraph. For these cases all reflections in $W$ lie in one conjugating class. So the constants $k_{s}$ all equal to some $k$ and constants $\alpha_{s}$ all equal to some $\alpha$. We simply denote the base elements $\nu_{s_{i}}$ of $V_{W}$ as $\nu_{i}$, the projector $p_{s_{i}}$ as $p_{i}$, and $\omega_{s_{i}}$ as $\omega_{i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq m-1$. The connection can be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} k\left(k \iota\left(s_{i}\right)-p_{i}\right) \omega_{i}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where the $W$ representation $\left(V_{W}, \iota\right)$ is as in Section 2, and $p_{i}$ is defined by $p_{i}\left(v_{i}\right)=$ $\alpha v_{i} ; p_{i}\left(v_{j}\right)=k v_{i}$ if $\mathfrak{j} \neq i$. Denote the induced flat connection on $M_{W} \times_{W} V_{W}$ as $\bar{\Omega}_{m}$ and the monodromy representation of $\bar{\Omega}_{\mathrm{m}}$ as $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{LK}}: A_{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}\left(\mathrm{V}_{W}\right)$.

Lemma 3.1. Set $q=\exp (\kappa k \pi \sqrt{-1})$ and $l=\exp (\kappa \alpha \pi \sqrt{-1}) / q$. For generic $\kappa$,
(1) $\left(T_{L K}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)-l^{-1}\right)\left(T_{L K}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)-q\right)\left(T_{L K}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)+q^{-1}\right)=0$ for $i=0,1$.
(2) $e_{i}=\frac{l}{q^{-1}-q}\left(T_{L K}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)-q\right)\left(T_{L K}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)+q^{-1}\right)$ is a projector, i.e, Ranke $e_{i}=1$ for $i=0,1$.

Proof. We only need to prove the case $i=0$. Another case is similar. First we diagonalize $\mathfrak{l}\left(s_{0}\right)$ under certain basis $\left\{u_{0}, \cdots, u_{m-1}\right\}$ where $u_{0}=v_{0}$. Under the same basis $\kappa\left(k \iota\left(s_{0}\right)-p_{0}\right)$ is presented by a matrix whose entries other than the diagonal and the first column are zero. Now by Lemma 2.2, the operator $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(\sigma_{0}\right)$ is conjugated to $\mathfrak{l}\left(s_{0}\right) \exp \left(\sqrt{-1} \pi \kappa\left(k \mathfrak{l}\left(s_{0}\right)-p_{0}\right)\right)$. Under the basis $\left\{u_{i}\right\}$, the operator $\mathfrak{l}\left(s_{0}\right) \exp \left(\sqrt{-1} \pi \kappa\left(k \iota\left(s_{0}\right)-p_{0}\right)\right)$ is still presented by a matrix whose entries other than the diagonal and the first column are zero, and whose ( 1,1 ) entry is $l^{-1}$,other diagonal entries are $q, q^{-1}$. When $\left.\kappa(k-\alpha) \notin\{\kappa k+\mathbb{Z}\} \cup\{-\kappa k+\mathbb{Z}\}\right\}$, so $l^{-1} \notin\left\{q, q^{-1}\right\}$, we see $l\left(s_{0}\right) \exp \left(\sqrt{-1} \pi \kappa\left(k \imath\left(s_{0}\right)-p_{0}\right)\right)$ is also diagonalizable with eigenvalues $\mathrm{q},-\mathrm{q}^{-1}, \mathrm{l}^{-1}$, and the eigenspace of $\mathrm{l}^{-1}$ is one dimensional. So complete the proof.

The cases when $m=2 k$ is even In this paragraph denote $D_{m}(m$ being even)as $W$. In these cases the set of reflections in $W$ consists of two conjugacy classes, represented by $s_{0}$, $s_{1}$ respectively. So constants for the connection consists of $k_{s_{0}}, k_{s_{1}}, \alpha_{s_{0}}, \alpha_{s_{1}}$. Denote them simply by $k_{0}, k_{1}, \alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}$. Denote the basis element $v_{s_{i}}$ of $V_{W}$ as $v_{i}$, projectors $p_{s_{i}}$ as $p_{i}$, and $\omega_{s_{i}}$ as $\omega_{i}$ for $0 \leq \mathfrak{i} \leq m-1$. The connection $\Omega_{W}^{\mathrm{LK}}$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{m}=k\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(k_{0} \mathfrak{l}\left(s_{2 i}\right)-p_{2 i}\right) \omega_{2 i}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(k_{1} \iota\left(s_{2 i+1}\right)-p_{2 i+1}\right) \omega_{2 i+1}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $p_{i}(0 \leq i \leq m-1)$ is determined by: $p_{i}\left(v_{j}\right)=0$ if $2 \nmid \mathfrak{i}-\mathfrak{j} ; p_{i}\left(v_{j}\right)=2 v_{i}$ if $2 \mid \mathfrak{i}-\mathfrak{j}$ and $\mathfrak{i} \neq \mathfrak{j} ; \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{i}}\left(v_{i}\right)=k_{0} v_{i}$ if $\mathfrak{i}$ is odd; $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{i}}\left(v_{i}\right)=k_{1} v_{i}$ if $\mathfrak{i}$ is even. Denote the flat connection on $M_{W} \times_{W} V_{W}$ induced from $\Omega_{m}$ as $\bar{\Omega}_{m}$, and denote the monodromy representation of $\bar{\Omega}_{m}$ as $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{LK}}: A_{W} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}\left(\mathrm{V}_{W}\right)$. We have the following Lemma. The proof is similar to Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. Set $q_{i}=\exp \left(\kappa k_{i} \pi \sqrt{-1}\right)$ and $l_{i}=\exp \left(\kappa \alpha_{i} \pi \sqrt{-1}\right) / q_{i}$ for $i=0,1$. For generic $k_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i}$,
(1) $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)-l_{i}^{-1}\right)\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{i}}\right)+\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}^{-1}\right)=0$ for $\mathrm{i}=0,1$.
(2) $e_{i}=\frac{l_{i}}{q_{i}^{-1}-q_{i}}\left(T_{L K}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)-q_{i}\right)\left(T_{L K}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)+q_{i}^{-1}\right)$ is a projector, i.e, Ranke $e_{i}=1$ for $i=0,1$.

The following lemma is a easy fact.
Lemma 3.3. Suppose $V$ is a Hermitian vector space whose distance is denoted as $\mathrm{d}($,$) .$ Suppose $\left\{v_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, m} \subset V$ span $V$. Then there exists $\epsilon>0$, such that $\mathrm{d}\left(v_{i}^{\prime}, v_{i}\right)<\epsilon$ for $\mathfrak{i}=1, \cdots, m$ implies $\left\{v_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i=1, \cdots, m}$ span $V$.

Definition 3.1. Let V be a linear space. A set $\left\{\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}} \subset \mathrm{gl}(\mathrm{V})$ is called irreducible on V if for any $v \in \mathrm{~V}$, the set $\left\{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}(v)\right\}_{i \in \mathrm{I}}$ span V .

Lemma 3.4. Let V be a finite dimensional Hermitian linear space. Suppose $\left\{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\}_{i=1, \cdots, m} \subset$ $\mathrm{gl}(\mathrm{V})$ is a finite set being irreducible on V . Then there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that $\mathrm{d}\left(A_{i}^{\prime}, A_{i}\right)<\epsilon$ for $\mathfrak{i}=1, \cdots, m$ implies that $\left\{A_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, m}$ is irreducible on $V$. Where $A_{i}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{gl}(\mathrm{V})$, and $\mathrm{d}($,$) is a metric on \mathrm{gl}(\mathrm{V})$ defined by $\mathrm{d}\left(\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}}\right),\left(\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}}\right)\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}}\left|a_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}}-\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}}\right|^{2}$ (Here we suppose a basis of V has been chosen, so identify $\mathrm{gl}(\mathrm{V})$ with the matrix algebra.)

Proof. Denote the projective space of V as $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{V})$. By Lemma 4.3, for any $[v] \in \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{V})$ we can easily find a neighborhood $\mathrm{U}([v])$ of $[v]$ and a constant $\epsilon_{[v]}>0$ such that $\left[\nu^{\prime}\right] \in \mathrm{U}([v])$ and $\mathrm{d}\left(A_{i}^{\prime}, A_{i}\right)<\epsilon$ for $\mathfrak{i}=1, \cdots, m$ implies that $\left\{A_{i}^{\prime} v^{\prime}\right\}_{i=1, \cdots, m}$ span $V$. From this we can find a constant $\epsilon>0$ such that if $d\left(A_{i}^{\prime}, A_{i}\right)<\epsilon$ for $i=1, \cdots, m$, then $\left\{A_{i}^{\prime}\right\}_{i=1, \cdots, m}$ is irreducible on V , because $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{V})$ is compact.

Lemma 3.5. When $m$ is odd, for generic data $\Pi$ and $\kappa$, the representation $T_{L K}$ is irreducible. When $m$ is even, for generic data $\Pi$ and $\kappa$, the representations $T_{\text {LK,0 }}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{LK}, 1}$ are irreducible.

Proof. First consider the cases when $m$ is odd. As in section 2, set $\Pi=\{k, \alpha\}$, the map $s_{i} \mapsto \mathfrak{l}\left(s_{i}\right), e_{i} \mapsto p_{i}(i=0,1)$ extends to a representation $\rho_{L K}: \operatorname{Br}_{D_{m}}(\Pi) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}\left(V_{D_{m}}\right)$. Where $p_{i}, l(w)$ are defined as in section 3. Then the connection $\Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}$ (equation (1)) can be rewritten as $\Omega_{\mathfrak{m}}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\left(k \rho_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(s_{i}\right)-\rho_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(e_{i}\right)\right) \omega_{i}$. By Theorem 6.1 of [CH] we know $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi)$ has dimension $2 m+m^{2}$. Suppose $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 m+m^{2}}$ is a basis of $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi)$, and suppose the generating set $\left\{s_{0}, s_{1}, e_{0}, e_{1}\right\} \subset x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 m+m^{2}}$. By Lemma 2.4, we know for generic $\Pi$, the set of operators $\left\{\rho_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right), \cdots, \rho_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{m}^{2}}\right)\right\}$ is irreducible on $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}$. Now by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(\sigma_{0}\right)=\rho_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(s_{0}\right) \exp \left(\sqrt{-1} \pi \kappa\left(k \rho_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(s_{0}\right)-\rho_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(e_{0}\right)\right)\right)=\rho_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(s_{0}\right)+\mathrm{O}(\kappa) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)=\mathrm{A}(\kappa) \rho_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(s_{1}\right) \exp \left(\sqrt{-1} \pi \mathrm{~K}\left(\mathrm{k} \rho_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(s_{1}\right)-\rho_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(e_{1}\right)\right)\right) \mathrm{A}(\kappa)^{-1}=\rho_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(s_{1}\right)+\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{k}) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for suitable matrix $A(\kappa)$ such that $A(\kappa)=I+O(\kappa)$. So we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{-1} \pi \kappa}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(\sigma_{0}\right)^{2}-1\right)=\mathrm{k} \rho_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(s_{0}\right)-\rho_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(e_{0}\right)+\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~K}) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we have
$\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{-1} \pi \kappa}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)^{2}-1\right)=A(\kappa)\left(k \rho_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(s_{1}\right)-\rho_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(e_{1}\right)\right) A(\mathrm{~K})^{-1}+\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{k})=\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(s_{1}\right)-\rho_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(e_{1}\right)\right)+\mathrm{O}(\kappa)$.
Apply Lemma 3.4 to the set $\left\{\rho_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right), \cdots, \rho_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{m}^{2}}\right)\right\}$, we obtain some $\epsilon>0$. Since $\left\{s_{0}, s_{1}, k s_{0}-e_{0}, k s_{1}-e_{1}\right\}$ generate $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi)$, by above equations (3), (4), (5), (6), we can find elements $\left\{X_{1}, \cdots, X_{2 m+m^{2}}\right\} \subset \mathbb{C} A_{D_{m}}$ and $\eta>0$, such that if $\kappa<\eta$ then $d\left(T_{L K}\left(X_{i}\right), \rho_{L K}\left(X_{i}\right)\right)<$ $\epsilon$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2 m+m^{2}$. Where $d(A, B)$ is the distance introduced in Lemma 3.4. So by Lemma 3.4 for those $\Pi$ and $\kappa<\eta$, the representation $T_{\text {LK }}$ is irreducible. So we see the subset of $k$ with which the representations are irreducible contains an open set. Since the subset of $k$ with which the representation $T_{L K}$ being reducible is a subvariety, we see for generic $k$ the representation $T_{L K}$ is irreducible. The cases when $m$ is even can be proved similarly.

Denote the free monoid generated by $X_{0}, X_{1}, E_{0}, E_{1}$ as $\Lambda$. Let $m$ be an odd positve integer, and $\Pi=(k, \alpha) \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} \times \mathbb{C}$, or $m$ being an even positive integer and $\Pi=\left(k_{0}, k_{1}, \alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}\right) \in$ $\left(\mathbb{C}^{\times}\right)^{2} \times \mathbb{C}^{2}$. For $X \in \Lambda$, define $f(X) \in \operatorname{End}\left(V_{W}\right)$ to be the morphism obtained by replace letters $X_{0}, X_{1}, E_{0}, E_{1}$ in $X$ with $T_{L K}\left(\sigma_{0}\right), T_{L K}\left(\sigma_{1}\right), e_{0}, e_{1}$ respectively. For example, $f\left(X_{0} E_{0} E_{1}\right)=$ $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{LK}}\left(\sigma_{0}\right) \mathrm{e}_{0} e_{1} \in \operatorname{End}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{W}\right)$.

Definition 3.2. Choose generic constants system $\Pi$ and $\kappa$. The map $\Phi_{\mathfrak{m}, \Pi, k}^{i}: \wedge \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ $(i=0,1)$ is defined by the following identity. $e_{i} f(X) e_{i}=\Phi_{m, \Pi, k}^{i}(X) e_{i}$.

Remark 3.1. Above definition makes sense because for any $\phi \in \operatorname{End}(V), e_{i} \phi e_{i} \in \mathbb{C} e_{i}$ since $e_{i}$ 's are projectors by Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2.

Now we present the definition of Birman-Murakami-Wenzl algebras for dihedral groups. Let $W$ be a finite Coxeter group, suppose the set of reflections $R$ in $W$ consists of $n_{W}$ conjugacy classes $\mathcal{C}_{i}\left(i=1, \cdots, n_{W}\right)$. We associate a pair of numbers $\left(k_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} \times \mathbb{C}$ with each class $\mathcal{C}_{i}$, and denote the data of all ( $k_{i}, \alpha_{i}$ ) as $\Pi$. We denote the BMW algebra associated with $W$ (to be defined ) as $B_{W}(\Pi, \kappa)$, where $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$. If $W$ is of type $\Gamma$ ( $\Gamma$ is a Coxeter matrix or Dynkin diagram ), we also denote the associated BMW algebra as $B_{\Gamma}(\Pi, \kappa)$. Suppose $m=2 l$. So $\Pi=\left\{k_{0}, \alpha_{0}, k_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right\}$. Set $q_{i}=\exp \left(\kappa \sqrt{-1} \pi k_{i}\right), l_{i}=\exp \left(\kappa \alpha_{i} \pi \sqrt{-1}\right) / q_{i}$ for $i=0,1$.

Definition 3.3. The algebra $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi)$ is generated by $\mathrm{X}_{0}^{ \pm}, \mathrm{X}_{1}^{ \pm}, \mathrm{E}_{0}, \mathrm{E}_{1}$ with following relations.

1) $X_{i} X_{i}^{-}=1, i=0,1$;
2) $\left[X_{0} X_{1} \cdots\right]_{m}=\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{m}$;
3) $\left(q_{i}^{-1}-q_{i}\right) E_{i}=l_{i}\left(X_{i}-q_{i}\right)\left(X_{i}+q_{i}^{-1}\right)$ for $i=0,1$;
4) $X_{i} E_{i}=E_{i} X_{i}=l_{i}^{-1} E_{i}$ for $i=0,1$;
5) $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{X} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}=\Phi_{\mathrm{m}, \Pi, \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{X}) \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ for any $\mathrm{X} \in \Lambda, \mathfrak{i}=0,1$;
6) $\mathrm{E}_{0} X \mathrm{E}_{1}=0=\mathrm{E}_{1} X \mathrm{E}_{0}$ for any $\mathrm{X} \in \Lambda$.

When is odd, the data $\Pi=\{k, \alpha\}$. Set $q=\exp (\kappa \sqrt{-1} \pi k)$ and $l=\exp (\kappa \alpha \pi \sqrt{-1}) / q$.
Definition 3.4. The algebra $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi, \kappa)$ is generated by $X_{0}, X_{1}, \mathrm{E}_{0}, \mathrm{E}_{1}$ with following relations.

1) $X_{i} X_{i}^{-}=1, i=0,1$;
2) $\left[X_{0} X_{1} \cdots\right]_{m}=\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{m}$;
3) $\left(q^{-1}-q\right) E_{i}=l\left(X_{i}-q\right)\left(X_{i}+q^{-1}\right)$ for $i=0,1$;
4) $X_{i} E_{i}=E_{i} X_{i}=l^{-1} E_{i}$ for $i=0,1$;
5) $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{X} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}=\Phi_{\mathrm{m}, \Pi, \mathrm{K}}^{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{X}) \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ for any $\mathrm{X} \in \Lambda, i=0,1$.

Remark 3.2. Above 5) of Definition 3.3 and 4) of Definition 3.4 looks containing infinite many relations. But it isn't hard to see we can choose finite many $X$ in these relations to obtain the same algebra.

## 4 BMW type algebra associated with dihedral groups

In this section we study the algebras $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi, \kappa)$ to certify from several aspects that they are suitable deformations of the Brauer type algebras introduced in [CH]. The following Proposition 4.1 is easy to see.

Proposition 4.1. Denote the ideal in $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi, \kappa)$ generated by $\mathrm{E}_{0}, \mathrm{E}_{1}$ as $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{m}}$. Then when m is odd, the quotient algebra $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi, \mathrm{k}) / \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is isomorphic to the Hecke algebra $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\mathrm{q})$. When $m$ is even, $B_{D_{m}}(\Pi, \kappa) / \mathrm{I}_{m}$ is isomorphic to the Hecke algebra $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathfrak{m}}}\left(\mathrm{q}_{0}, q_{1}\right)$ of multiparameters.

$$
\text { Set } \tau=\frac{\mathfrak{l}\left(l^{-1}-q\right)\left(l^{-1}+q^{-1}\right)}{q^{-1}-q} ; \tau_{i}=\frac{\mathfrak{l}_{i}\left(l_{i}^{-1}-q_{i}\right)\left(l_{i}^{-1}+q_{i}^{-1}\right)}{q_{i}^{-1}-q_{i}} \text { for } \mathfrak{i}=0,1 \text {. }
$$

Lemma 4.1. In $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi, \kappa)$ for odd m we have
(1) $E_{i}^{2}=\tau E_{i}$ for $i=0,1$;
(2) $\mathrm{E}_{1}\left[\mathrm{X}_{0} \mathrm{X}_{1} \cdots\right]_{\mathrm{m}-1}=\left[\mathrm{X}_{0} \mathrm{X}_{1} \cdots\right]_{\mathrm{m}-1} \mathrm{E}_{0}$.

In $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi)$ for even m we have
(3) $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}=\tau_{i} \mathrm{E}_{i}$ for $i=0,1$;
(4) $\mathrm{E}_{1}\left[\mathrm{X}_{0} \mathrm{X}_{1} \cdots\right]_{\mathfrak{m}-1}=\left[\mathrm{X}_{0} \mathrm{X}_{1} \cdots\right]_{\mathfrak{m}-1} \mathrm{E}_{1}$;
(5) $\mathrm{E}_{0}\left[\mathrm{X}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{0} \cdots\right]_{\mathrm{m}-1}=\left[\mathrm{X}_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{\mathrm{m}-1} \mathrm{E}_{0}$;
(6) $\left[\cdots X_{1} X_{0}\right]_{\mathfrak{m}-1} E_{1}=E_{1}\left[\cdots X_{1} X_{0}\right]_{\mathfrak{m}-1}=\tau_{1}^{-1} \Phi_{\mathfrak{m}, \Pi}^{1}\left(\left[\cdots X_{1} X_{0}\right]_{\mathfrak{m}-1}\right) E_{1}$;
(7) $\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{m-1} E_{0}=E_{0}\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{m-1}=\tau_{0}^{-1} \Phi_{m, \Pi}^{0}\left(\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{m-1}\right) E_{0}$.

Proof. (1), (3) are by simple computations. To show (2), we use relation (3) of Definition 3.3 and the identities $X_{1}^{i}\left[X_{0} X_{1} \cdots\right]_{m-1}=\left[X_{0} X_{1} \cdots\right]_{\mathfrak{m}-1} X_{0}^{i}$ for nonzero $i$ 's. The proof of (4), (5) are similar to (2). For (6), we start from the equation $E_{1}\left[\cdots X_{1} X_{0}\right]_{m-1} E_{1}=$ $\Phi_{\mathfrak{m}, \Pi}^{1}\left(\left[\cdots X_{1} X_{0}\right]_{\mathfrak{m}-1}\right) \mathrm{E}_{1}$, which is a special case of relation (5) in Definition 3.2. Then by the proved equations (4), (1) of this lemma, the left side equals $\tau_{1}^{-1}\left[\cdots X_{1} X_{0}\right]_{m-1} E_{1}$ and (6) is proved. The proof of (7) is similar.

Lemma 4.2. (1) When $m$ is odd, the algebra $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi, \kappa)$ is spanned by the subset
$\left\{\left[X_{0} X_{1} \cdots\right]_{i} \mid 0 \leq i \leq m\right\} \cup\left\{\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j} \mid 1 \leq \mathfrak{j} \leq m-1\right\} \cup\left\{\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{i} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j} \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq m-1\right\}$.
(2) When $m=2 k$ is even, the algebra $B_{D_{m}}(\Pi, k)$ is spanned by the subset $\left\{\left[X_{0} X_{1} \cdots\right]_{i} \mid 0 \leq\right.$ $\mathfrak{i} \leq m\} \cup\left\{\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq m-1\right\} \cup\left\{\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{i} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j} \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq k-1\right\} \cup\left\{\left[\cdots X_{1} X_{0}\right]_{i} E_{1}\left[X_{0} X_{1} \cdots\right]_{j} \mid 0 \leq\right.$ $i, j \leq k-1\}$.

Proof. First we prove (1). It is a well known fact that the Hecke algebra $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{q})$ can be spanned by the subset $\left\{\left[X_{0} X_{1} \cdots\right]_{i} \mid 0 \leq i \leq m\right\} \cup\left\{\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq m-1\right\}$ for any q. So by Proposition 4.1, we only need to show the ideal $I_{m}$ is spanned by the subset $\left\{\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{i} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j} \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq m-1\right\}$. Now by (2) of Lemma 4.1, $I_{m}$ can be spanned by $\left\{f\left(X_{0}^{ \pm}, X_{1}^{ \pm}, E_{0}\right)\right\}$, which is the set of words composed by letters $E_{0}, X_{i}^{ \pm}$. By (5) of Definition 3.4 and (1) of Lemma 4.1 we see $I_{m}$ can be spanned by those words in $\left\{f\left(X_{0}^{ \pm}, X_{1}^{ \pm}, E_{0}\right)\right\}$ which contain only one $E_{0}$. Then by (3), (4) of Definition 3.3, $I_{m}$ can be spanned by the set $\left\{\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{n_{1}} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{n_{2}}\right\}$. Denote the space spanned by $\left\{\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{i} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j} \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq\right.$ $m-1\}$ as $I_{m}^{\prime}$. We prove $I_{m}^{\prime}$ is stable under the multiplication by $X_{0}, X_{1}$ from left side.

Case 1. $i<m-1$ and $i$ is odd, then the left most letter in $\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{i}$ is $X_{1}$, so we write the word in discussion as $\left[X_{1} \cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{i} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j}$. Since $i<m-1, X_{0}$. $\left[X_{1} \cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{i} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j} \in I_{m}^{\prime}$. And we have $X_{1} \cdot\left[X_{1} \cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{i} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j}=$
$X_{1}^{2}\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{i-1} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j}=E_{1}\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{i-1} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j} \operatorname{modI}_{m}^{\prime}$ by (3) of Definition 3.4. Using (2) of Lemma 4.1, we have $E_{1}\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{i-1} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j}=$
$\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{\mathfrak{m}-1} E_{0}\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{m-1}^{-1}\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{\mathfrak{i}-1} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j}=\gamma\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{m-1} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j}$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ by (5) of Definition 3.4.

Case 2. $i<m-1$ and $i$ is even, then the word can be written as $\left[X_{0} \cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{i} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j}$. Since $i<m-1, X_{1} \cdot\left[X_{0} \cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{i} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j} \in I_{m}^{\prime}$. It is easy to see we have also $X_{0} \cdot\left[X_{0} \cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{i} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j} \in I_{m}^{\prime}$.

Case 3. $\mathfrak{i}=m-1$. It is easy to see $X_{0}\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{m-1} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j} \in I_{m}^{\prime}$. And we have $X_{1}\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{m-1} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j}=X_{1} E_{1}\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{m-1}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j}=$
$l^{-1} E_{1}\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{m-1}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j}=l^{-1}\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{m-1} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j} \in I_{m}^{\prime}$.
Similarly we can prove $I_{m}^{\prime}$ is stable by multiplication by $X_{0}, X_{1}$ from the right side. So (1) is proved.

For (2), denote the subspace in $B_{D_{m}}(\Pi, \kappa)$ spanned by

$$
\left\{\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{i} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j} \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq k-1\right\} \cup\left\{\left[\cdots X_{1} X_{0}\right]_{i} E_{1}\left[X_{0} X_{1} \cdots\right]_{j} \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq k-1\right\}
$$

as $I_{m}^{\prime}$. We only need to show $I_{m}=I_{m}^{\prime}$ as well. Similar discussions by using Definition 3.3 (Notice the relation (6)) and Lemma 4.1 show that $I_{m}$ is spanned by the set $\left\{\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{n_{1}} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{n_{2}}\right\} \cup\left\{\left[\cdots X_{1} X_{0}\right]_{n_{1}} E_{1}\left[X_{0} X_{1} \cdots\right]_{n_{2}}\right\}$. So it is enough to show that $I_{m}^{\prime}$ is stable under the left and right multiplication by $X_{0}, X_{1}$. It suffice to show the left case and the cases for words $\left\{\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{i} \mathrm{E}_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j} \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq k-1\right\}$. Other cases are similar.

Case 1. $\mathfrak{i}<k-1$ and $\mathfrak{i}$ is odd. Then the word in discussion can be presented as $\left[X_{1} \cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{i} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j}$. Since $i<k-1$ we have $X_{0} \cdot\left[X_{1} \cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{i} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j} \in I_{m}^{\prime}$. On the other hand, $X_{1} \cdot\left[X_{1} \cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{i} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j}=X_{1}^{2}\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{i-1} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j} \in I_{m}^{\prime}$ by (3), (6) of Definition 3.3.

Case 2. $i<k-1$ and $i$ is even. The word can be written as $\left[X_{0} \cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{i} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j}$. Since $i<k-1$ we have $X_{1} \cdot\left[X_{0} \cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{i} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j} \in I_{m}^{\prime}$. On the other hand,
$X_{0} \cdot\left[X_{0} \cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{i} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j}=X_{0}^{2}\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{i-1} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j} \in I_{m}^{\prime}$ by (3), (5) of Definition 3.3.

Case 3. $i=k-1$. If $k$ is odd, then the word can be written as $\left[X_{0} \cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{k-1} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j}$. Now we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{0} \cdot\left[X_{0} \cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{k-1} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j} \in I_{m}^{\prime} \text { by (3), (5) of Definition 3.3. And we have } \\
& X_{1} \cdot\left[X_{0} \cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{k-1} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j}=\tau_{0}^{-1} \Phi_{m, \Pi}^{0}\left(\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{m-1}\right)\left[\cdots X_{1} X_{0}\right]_{k-1}^{-1} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j}= \\
& \tau_{0}^{-1} \Phi_{m, \Pi}^{0}\left(\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{m-1}\right)\left[\cdots X_{0}^{-1} X_{1}^{-1}\right]_{k-1} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j}=\gamma\left[\cdots X_{0} X_{1}\right]_{k-1} E_{0}\left[X_{1} X_{0} \cdots\right]_{j} \bmod I_{m}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Where the first " $=$ " in above equation is by (7) of Lemma 4.1, the third $"="$ is by iterated use of Definition 3.3. The cases of $k$ being even and $\mathfrak{i}=k-1$ are easy to see.

The dihedral group $D_{3}$ is just the symmetric group $S_{3}$. We have
Proposition 4.2. The algebra $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{D}_{3}}(\Pi, \kappa)$ in Definition 3.4 is isomorphic the $B M W$ algebra $\mathrm{B}_{3}(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{l})$.

Proof. By comparing Definition 1.1 for $B_{3}(q, l)\left(B_{A_{2}}(q, l)\right)$ with Definition 2.1 for $B_{D_{3}}(\Pi, \kappa)$, we only need to prove that the relation 5) of Definition 3.4 can be induced from relations in Definition 1.1 for $B_{3}(q, l)$. First, for $B_{3}(q, l)$, let $X$ be any word composed from $X_{i}, E_{i}$ $(i=0,1)$, we claim there exist $\phi(X), \psi(X) \in \mathbb{C}\left[q^{ \pm}, l^{ \pm}\right]$, such that $E_{0} X E_{0}=\phi(X) E_{0}, E_{1} X E_{1}=$ $\psi(X) E_{1}$. Denote the length of a word $X$ as $l(X)$. Call letters $X_{0}, E_{0}\left(X_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ as of type 0 (type1). By relations in Definition 1.1, we only need to consider those $X$ in which type 0 letters and type 1 letters appear alternatively and $l(x)$ being odd. It is proved in Proposition 2.3 of [CGW1] that $E_{0} E_{1} E_{0}=E_{0}$ and $E_{1} E_{0} E_{1}=E_{1}$. So the claim is true for $l(X)=0,1$. Suppose we have proved the claim for $l(X) \leq 2 k+1$. Now suppose $l(X)=2 k+3$. For the case $E_{0} X E_{0}$, we can assume the letter $E_{0}$ doesn't appear in $X$ and $E_{1}$ appear in $X$ at most once. Because otherwise we can use induction. So we only need to consider those $X$ with $l(X) \leq 3$. Because if $l(X) \geq 5$, by assumption above $X=X_{1} X_{0} A_{1} Y$ or $X=Y A_{1} X_{0} X_{1}$, where $A_{1}=X_{1}$ or $E_{1}$. Now $E_{0} X_{1} X_{0} A_{1} \gamma E_{0}=X_{1} X_{0} E_{1} A_{1} \gamma E_{0}=\lambda X_{1} X_{0} E_{1} Y E_{0}=\lambda E_{0} X_{1} X_{0} \gamma E_{0}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\left[q^{ \pm}, l^{ \pm}\right]$, which implies $E_{0} X_{1} X_{0} A_{1} \gamma E_{0} \in \mathbb{C}\left[q^{ \pm}, l^{ \pm}\right] E_{0}$ because $l\left(X_{1} X_{0} Y\right)<l(X)$. So to
prove the claim for $E_{0} X E_{0}$, we are left with the cases $X \in\left\{X_{1} X_{0} X_{1}, E_{1} X_{0} X_{1}, X_{1} X_{0} E_{1}\right\}$, which can be certified by simple computations. The cases for $E_{1} X E_{1}$ can be proved similarly.

Since the Lawrence-Krammer representation factor through $B_{3}(q, l)$, we see $\phi(X)=$ $\Phi_{3, \Pi, k}^{0}(X)$ and $\psi(X)=\Phi_{3, \Pi, k}^{1}(X)$, where $\Pi=\{\alpha, k\}$ such that $q=\exp (k \sqrt{-1} \pi k), l=q^{\alpha-1}$.

When $m$ is odd, suppose $(V, \rho)$ is a finite dimensional representation of $B r_{D_{m}}(\Pi)$, where $\Pi=\{k, \alpha\}$. Then on the trivial bundle $M_{D_{m}} \times V$ we have a $D_{m}$-invariant flat connection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(\Omega_{D_{m}}\right)=k \sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\left(k \rho\left(s_{i}\right)-\rho\left(e_{i}\right)\right) \omega_{i} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It induces a flat connection $\rho\left(\bar{\Omega}_{D_{m}}\right)$ on $M_{D_{m}} \times_{D_{m}} V$, whose monodromy representation will be denoted as $T_{\rho}: A_{D_{m}} \rightarrow G L(V)$. When $m=2 k$ is even, suppose $(V, \rho)$ is a finite dimensional representation of $\operatorname{Br}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi)$, where $\Pi=\left\{\mathrm{k}_{0}, \alpha_{0} ; \mathrm{k}_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right\}$. Similarly by using the $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}$-invariant flat connection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(\Omega_{D_{m}}\right)=\kappa\left[\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(k_{0} \rho\left(s_{2 i}\right)-\rho\left(e_{2 i}\right)\right) \omega_{2 i}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(k_{1} \rho\left(s_{2 i+1}\right)-\rho\left(e_{2 i+1}\right)\right) \omega_{2 i+1}\right], \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain a monodromy representation $T_{\rho}: A_{D_{m}} \rightarrow G L(V)$.
Proposition 4.3. (1) Suppose $m$ is odd. Let the data $\Pi$ be generic so that $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi)$ is a semisimple algebra. Then for generic $\kappa$, the representation $T_{\rho}$ of $A_{D_{m}}$ factors through the algebra $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi, \kappa)$. (2) Suppose m is even. Let the data $\Pi$ be generic so that $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi)$ is a semisimple algebra. Then for generic K , the representation $\mathrm{T}_{\rho}$ of $A_{D_{m}}$ factors through the algebra $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi, \kappa)$.

Proof. We prove (2), the proof of (1) is similar and easier. So let $m$ be even. Denote the natural quotient map from $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi)$ to $\mathbb{C D}_{m}$ as $\pi_{\mathrm{m}}$. Suppose $\rho_{1}, \cdots, \rho_{v}$ are all the irreducible representations of $\mathbb{C D}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, denote the representation of $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathfrak{m}}}(\Pi)$ induced from $\rho_{i}$ through $\pi_{\mathfrak{m}}$ as $\bar{\rho}_{i}$. As in Lemma 2.4, we have two more representation $\rho_{\mathrm{LK}, \mathfrak{c}_{0}}, \rho_{\mathrm{LK}, \mathfrak{c}_{1}}$ of $B_{D_{m}}(\Pi)$. By Theorem 6.1 ,Theorem 6.3 of [CH], we know for generic data $\Pi$, the algebra $\operatorname{Br}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi)$ is semisimple and $\bar{\rho}_{1}, \cdots, \bar{\rho}_{v}, \rho_{\mathrm{LK}, \mathrm{c}_{0}}, \rho_{\mathrm{LK}, \mathrm{c}_{1}}$ are all the irreducible representations of $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi)$. So because of semisimplicity of $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi)$, we only need to prove the proposition when $\rho$ is one of above listed irreducible representations. Set $q_{i}=\exp \left(\sqrt{-1} \pi \kappa k_{i}\right), l_{i}=$ $\exp \left(\kappa \alpha_{i} \pi \sqrt{-1}\right) / q_{i}$. Set $e_{i}^{\rho}=\frac{l_{i}}{q_{i}^{-1}-q_{i}}\left(T_{\rho}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)-q_{i}\right)\left(T_{\rho}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)+q_{i}^{-1}\right)$ for $i=0,1$. We want to show the map $\phi_{m}: E_{i} \mapsto e_{i}^{\rho}, X_{i} \mapsto T_{\rho}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)$ extends to an algebraic morphism. Recall by Lemma 2.2, $T_{\rho}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)$ is conjugated to $\rho\left(s_{i}\right) \exp \left(\kappa \sqrt{-1} \pi\left(k_{i} \rho\left(s_{i}\right)-\rho\left(e_{i}\right)\right)\right.$ where $k_{i}=k_{0}$ for even $i$, and $k_{i}=k_{1}$ for odd $i$. Suppose $\rho=\bar{\rho}_{l}$, then $k_{i} \rho\left(s_{i}\right)-\rho\left(e_{i}\right)=k_{i} \rho\left(s_{i}\right)$ can be presented by a diagonal matrix whose diagonal elements being in $\left\{ \pm k_{i}\right\}$. So we know $e_{i}^{\rho}=0$ and $\phi_{\mathfrak{m}}$ keep all relations in Definition 3.3 and these cases are done. Now suppose $\rho=\rho_{\mathrm{LK}, \mathrm{c}_{0}}$. By (1) of lemma 3.2, we see $\phi_{\mathfrak{m}}$ satisfy (4) of Definition 3.3. The cases for (1), (2), (3) of Definition 3.3 are trivial. Since $T_{\rho}$ is a subrepresentation of $T_{L K}$, by definition of $\Phi_{m, \Pi}^{i}(X)$ we know
relation (5) of Definition 3.3 is also satisfied by $\phi_{\mathrm{m}}$. Since $\mathrm{T}_{\rho_{\mathrm{LK}, \mathrm{c}_{0}}}\left(e_{1}\right)=0$, we see $e_{1}^{\rho}=0$ so relation (6) of Definition 3.3 is also satisfied by $\phi_{\mathrm{m}}$. The case for $\rho=\rho_{\mathrm{LK}, \mathrm{c}_{1}}$ is similar.

Remark 4.1. If $(V, \rho)$ is the infinitesimal Lawrence-Krammer representation of $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{m}}(\Pi)$ (in Lemma 2.3), then the connection $\rho\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}\right)$ of equation (7) ( (8)) coincide with the connection $\Omega_{\mathrm{m}}$ of equation (1) ((2) ). So above proposition shows the generalized Lawrence-Krammer representation of $A_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}$ factor through the algebra $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi, \kappa)$. It thus provide us a way to compute the monodromy of Marin's flat connections by using the generalized BMW type algebras, at least in cases of dihedral groups.

Theorem 4.1. (1) When $m$ is odd, for generic data $\Pi$, $\kappa$, the algebra $B_{D_{m}}(\Pi, \kappa)$ is semisimple with dimension $2 \mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{m}^{2}$; (2) When m is even, for generic data $\Pi$, the algebra $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi, \kappa)$ is semisimple with dimension $2 \mathrm{~m}+\frac{\mathfrak{m}^{2}}{2}$.

Proof. First we prove (1). According to Proposition 4.1, denote the quotient map from $B_{D_{m}}(\Pi, k)$ to the Hecke algebra $H_{D_{m}}(q)$ as $\pi$. For generic $q, H_{D_{m}}(q)$ is semisimple and we denote its irreducible representations as $\rho_{1}, \cdots, \rho_{k}$. Through $\pi$, each $\rho_{i}$ induces an irreducible representation $\bar{\rho}_{i}$ of $B_{D_{m}}(\Pi, \kappa)$. These representations are different from each other and we have $\sum_{\mathfrak{i}=1}^{k}\left(\operatorname{dim} \bar{\rho}_{i}\right)^{2}=\operatorname{dim} H_{D_{m}}(q)=2 m$. Because for any $1 \leq \mathfrak{i} \leq k$, the annihilating polynomial of $\bar{\rho}_{i}\left(X_{j}\right)$ has degree 2 , and the annihilating polynomial of $\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{\text {LK }}\left(X_{j}\right)$ has degree 3, so by Lemma 3.5 we know $\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{\mathrm{LK}}$ is an irreducible representation different with any $\bar{\rho}_{i}$. So by Wedderburn-Artin theorem, we have $\operatorname{dim} B_{D_{m}}(\Pi, \kappa) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\operatorname{dim} \bar{\rho}_{i}\right)^{2}+$ $\left(\operatorname{dim} \bar{T}_{\mathrm{LK}}\right)^{2}=2 \mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{m}^{2}$. So by (1) of Lemma 4.2 and by Wedderburn-Artin theorem again, we know for generic data $\Pi, \kappa, B_{D_{m}}(\Pi, \kappa)$ is a semisimple algebra with dimension $2 m+m^{2}$. The proof of (2) is similar, by using (2) of Lemma 4.2 and the Wedderburn-Artin theorem.

Remark 4.2. By Theorem 6.1 of $\left[\mathrm{CH}\right.$, we have $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Br}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Upsilon)=\mathrm{m}^{2}+2 \mathrm{~m}$ for odd $m$, and $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Upsilon)=\mathrm{m}^{2}+\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{2}$ for even m . Above Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 4.2, 4.3 say that the algebra $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Pi, \kappa)$ is a satisfactory deformation of the Brauer type algebra $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}}(\Upsilon)$.

Now let $W_{\Gamma}$ be a Coxeter group with Coxeter matrix $\Gamma=\left(m_{i, j}\right)_{n \times n}$. Which has a presentation as in Theorem 2.2. For each $1 \leq \mathfrak{i} \leq n$, choose a pair of constants $\left(k_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{C}^{\times} \times \mathbb{C}$ such that $\left(k_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right)=\left(k_{j}, \alpha_{j}\right)$ if $s_{i}$ is conjugated to $s_{j}$. Denote the data consisting of $\left\{\left(k_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ as one symbol $\Pi$. Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$. Set $q_{i}=\exp \left(\kappa \sqrt{-1} \pi k_{i}\right), l_{i}=\left(q_{i}\right)^{\alpha_{i}-1}$ for $1 \leq \mathfrak{i} \leq n$. The definition of BMW type algebras of Dihedral groups naturally inspire us to present the following definition of $\mathrm{B}_{W}(\Pi, \kappa)$, the BMW type algebra of type $W$. These algebras will be generated by elements $X_{i}, E_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$. For $1 \leq i<j \leq n$, denote the parabolic subgroup of $W$ generated by $s_{i}, s_{j}$ as $W_{i, j}$. Then $W_{i, j}$ is isomorphic to $D_{m_{i, j}}$. Denote the data $\left\{\left(k_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right),\left(k_{j}, \alpha_{j}\right)\right\}$ as $\Pi_{i, j}$. Denote the free monoid generated by $X_{i}, E_{i}, X_{j}, E_{j}$ as $\Lambda_{i, j}$. Repeat the definition of function $\Phi_{m, \Pi, k}^{i}$ in Definition 3.1, but replace the roles of $\left(D_{m}, X_{0}, E_{0}, X_{1}, E_{1}, \Lambda, \Pi\right)$ by $\left(W_{i, j}, X_{i}, E_{i}, X_{j}, E_{j}, \Lambda_{i, j}, \Pi_{i, j}\right)$, we obtain functions $\Phi_{m_{i, j}, \Pi_{i, j}}^{i}$ and $\Phi_{m_{i, j}, \Pi_{i, j}}^{j}$ from $\Lambda_{i, j}$ to $\mathbb{C}$, which are similar to $\Phi_{m, \Pi, k}^{0}$ and $\Phi_{m, \Pi, k}^{1}$ in Definition 3.1 respectively.

Definition 4.1. The algebra $B_{\Gamma}(\Pi, \kappa)$ is generated by elements $X_{i}^{ \pm}, E_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ with the following relations.
(1). $X_{i} X_{i}^{-}=X_{i}^{-} X_{i}=1$ for any $i$;
(2). $\left[X_{i} X_{j} \cdots\right]_{m_{i, j}}=\left[X_{j} X_{i} \cdots\right]_{m_{i, j}}$ for any $\mathfrak{i} \neq \mathfrak{j}$;
(3). $\left(q_{i}^{-1}-q_{i}\right) E_{i}=l_{i}\left(X_{i}-q_{i}\right)\left(X_{i}+q_{i}^{-1}\right)$ for any $i$;
(4). $X_{i} E_{i}=E_{i} X_{i}=l_{i}^{-1} E_{i}$ for any $i$;
(5). $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}} X \mathrm{E}_{i}=\Phi_{\mathrm{m}_{i, j}, \Pi_{i, j}, k}^{i}(X) \mathrm{E}_{i}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{j} X \mathrm{E}_{j}=\Phi_{\mathrm{m}_{i, j}, \Pi_{i, j}, \mathrm{~K}}^{j}(\mathrm{X}) \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}$ for any $\mathrm{i}<\mathfrak{j}$ and $\mathrm{X} \in \Lambda_{i, j}$;
(6). $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{X} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}=0$ for any $\mathrm{X} \in \Lambda_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}}$ if $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}}$ is an even number greater than 2 .

## 5 General BMW Type Algebras

Let $\Gamma=\left(m_{i, j}\right)_{n \times n}$ be a Coxeter matrix. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, choose $q_{i} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$such that $q_{i}=q_{j}$ if $s_{i}$ is conjugated to $s_{j}$. Denote the data $\left\{q_{1}, \cdots, q_{n}\right\}$ as $\bar{q}$. The type $\Gamma$ Hecke algebra $\mathrm{H}_{\Gamma}(\overline{\mathrm{q}})$ is the quotient algebra of the group algebra $\mathbb{C} A_{\Gamma}$ to the ideal generated by $\left\{\left(\sigma_{i}-q_{i}\right)\left(\sigma_{i}+q_{i}^{-1}\right)\right\}$. The following Proposition is evident.

Proposition 5.1. The map $X_{i} \mapsto \sigma_{i}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathfrak{i}} \mapsto 0(1 \leq \mathfrak{i} \leq \mathfrak{n})$ extends to a surjection from $\mathrm{B}_{\Gamma}(\Pi, \kappa)$ to $\mathrm{H}_{\Gamma}(\overline{\mathrm{q}})$ if the data $\Pi$ and $\overline{\mathrm{q}}$ satisfies $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}=\exp \mathrm{k} \sqrt{-1} \pi \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$ for $1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{n}$.

The following Theorem 5.1 is proved using similar method as in the proof of Proposition 2.9 of [CGW1]. Suppose $\Gamma=\left(m_{i, j}\right)_{n \times n}$ is a finite type Coxeter matrix. Let $w_{0}$ be the longest element of $W_{\Gamma}$ and denote the length of $w_{0}$ as $L$. A sequence in $[1, n]$ is a sequence $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, \mathfrak{i}_{k}\right)$ where $1 \leq i_{v} \leq n$ for any $v$. The sequence ( $i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{k}$ ) is called reducible if $\mathfrak{i}_{v}=\mathfrak{i}_{v+1}$ for some $v$. Otherwise it is called irreducible. A basic transformation of a sequence $Q$ is, replacing a subsequence $[i, j, \cdots]_{m_{i, j}}$ in $Q$ by $[j, i, \cdots]_{\mathfrak{m}_{i, j}}$. For example, transform $(3,1,2,1,2,4)$ to $(3,2,1,2,1,4)$ when $m_{1,2}=4$. By the theory of Coxeter groups, we see the following fact: if a sequence $Q$ has length greater than $L$, then $Q$ can be transformed into a reducible sequence.

Theorem 5.1. If $\Gamma$ is of finite type, then $\mathrm{B}_{\Gamma}(\Pi, \kappa)$ is a finite dimensional algebra.
Proof. Denote the set of words composed by $\left\{X_{i}, E_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ as $S$. By (3) of Definition 4.1, we see $B_{\Gamma}(\Pi, \kappa)$ can be spanned by $S$. Let $w_{0}$ be the longest element of $W_{\Gamma}$, denote the length of $w_{0}$ as $L$. For a word $W$, denote the length of $W$ as $l(W)$. Let $S_{0}=\{W \in S \mid l(W) \leq L\}$. Denote the subspace of $B_{\Gamma}(\Pi, \kappa)$ spanned by $S_{0}$ as $A$. The theorem follows from the following assertion: Any word in $S$ lie in $A$ as an element of the algebra. Let $W \in S$. When $l(W)<L$, the assertion is evident. Suppose we have prove that for any $W \in S$ with $l(W) \leq K$, we have $W \in A$. Here we can ask $K \geq L$. Let $W \in S$ is a word with length $K+1$. Each word $W \in S$ determine a sequence of indices $\mathrm{Q}_{W}$. For example, if $W=\mathrm{E}_{1} \mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{3} \mathrm{E}_{2}$ then $\mathrm{Q}_{w}=(1,2,3,2)$. Since the length of $\mathrm{Q}_{W}$ is greater than L , we see $\mathrm{Q}_{W}$ can be transformed into a reducible sequence by $M$ times of basic transformations. Then we do induction on $M$. If $M=0$, then $Q_{W}$ is reducible, which mean $W$ contains a subword with one of the following type: $E_{i} E_{i}$, $X_{i} E_{i}, E_{i} X_{i}, X_{i} X_{i}$. By relation (3), (4) of Definition 4.1 and by induction we see $W \in A$.

Now suppose the assertion of $l(W) \leq K$ and $l(W)=K+1, M \leq J$ are proved. Suppose we have a word $W$ such that $Q_{W}$ is irreducible, $l(W)=K+1$ and $M=J+1$. Then there is a series of sequence $\mathrm{Q}_{1}, \mathrm{Q}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{J}+2}$ such that $\mathrm{Q}_{1}=\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{w}}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{J}+2}$ is reducible and $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{i}+1}$ is obtained by doing one basic transformation to $Q_{i}$. Denote the subword of $W$ in the position where the baisc transformation to $\mathrm{Q}_{2}$ occur as V . And suppose the basic transformation from $Q_{1}$ to $Q_{2}$ is by replacing a subsequence $[i, j \cdots]_{\mathfrak{m}_{i, j}}$ with $[\mathfrak{j}, \mathfrak{i} \cdots]_{\mathfrak{m}_{i, j}}$. For example when $W=X_{1} E_{2} X_{3} X_{2} E_{3}$, and $Q_{2}=(1,3,2,3,2)$ then $V=E_{2} X_{3} X_{2} E_{3}$. First we observe if there are two $E_{i}$, or two $E_{j}$ in $V$ then we can shorten $V$ by Relation (5) of Definition 4.1 and the assertion for $W$ can be proved. So we can suppose there is at most one $E_{i}$ and one $E_{j}$ in $V$.

Case 1. $m_{i, j}=2 k+1$ is odd, $V=A E_{i} E_{j} C$ where $A, C$ contain only $X_{i}, X_{j}$. Denote the length of $A, C$ as $a, c$ respectively, so by above arguments $A=\left[\cdots X_{i} X_{j}\right]_{a}$ and $C=$ $\left[\cdots X_{j} X_{i}\right]_{c}$. We have $a+c=2 k-1$. Suppose $a>c$, so $a \geq k$. By applying (2) of Lemma 4.1 to the subalgebra generated by $\left\{X_{i}, X_{j}, \mathrm{E}_{i}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}\right\}$, we have $A \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{C}=[\cdots]_{2 k-a} \mathrm{E}_{j} \mathrm{DE} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{C}=$ $\lambda[\cdots]_{2 k-a} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{C}$, where $[\cdots]_{2 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{a}}$ denote some word with length $2 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{a}$, and D is some word. Since $l\left([\cdots]_{2 k-a} E_{j} C\right) \leq K$, by replace $X_{i}^{-1}$ in $[\cdots]_{2 k-a}$ with linear sum of $X_{i}$, $E_{i}$, we complete the proof of this case by induction. The case of $a<c$ is similar.

Case $2 . \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}}=2 \mathrm{k}+1$ is odd, $\mathrm{V}=A E_{i} B E_{j} C$ Where $A, B, C$ are nonempty words contain only $X_{i}, X_{j}$. Denote the length of $A, B, C$ as $a, b, c$ respectively. We have $a \neq c$ because otherwise $V=A E_{i} B E_{i} C$ which contradicts our assumption. We can suppose $a>c$. The cases of $a<c$ is similar. Again by using (2) of Lemma 4.1, $\mathrm{AE}_{i} \mathrm{BE}_{j} \mathrm{C}=[\cdots]_{2 k-a} \mathrm{E}_{j} D \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{C}=$ $\lambda[\cdots]_{2 k-a} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{C}$. Now $l\left(\lambda[\cdots]_{2 k-a} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{C}\right)=2 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{a}+1+\mathrm{c}<2 \mathrm{k}-1$ so by induction we complete the proof of these cases.

Case 3. $m_{i, j}=2 k+1$ is odd, $V=A E_{i} B$ where $A, B$ are nonempty words contain only $X_{i}, X_{j}$. Denote the length of $A, B$ as $a, b$ respectively. Suppose $B$ is nonempty. Relation (3) of Definition 4.1 gives us an identity $X_{i}=\lambda X_{i}^{-1}+\mu E_{i}+\gamma$. Replace every $X_{i}$ in $B$ with $\lambda X_{i}^{-1}+\mu \mathrm{E}_{i}+\gamma$ and every $X_{j}$ in B with $\lambda X_{j}^{-1}+\mu \mathrm{E}_{j}+\gamma$, we see $V=\lambda^{\prime} A \mathrm{E}_{i}\left[X_{j}^{-1} X_{i}^{-1} \cdots\right]_{b}+U$ where U is a liner sum of words containing two $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$, or containing more than one $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and containing one $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}$ with length $\mathrm{K}+1$, or with smaller length. By Case 1 and 2, we see $u \in A$. And by (2) of Lemma 4.1, we have $A E_{i}\left[X_{j}^{-1} X_{i}^{-1} \cdots\right]_{b}=\left[\cdots X_{j}^{-1} X_{i}^{-1}\right]_{b} E_{j} A^{\prime}$. By replacing $X_{i}^{-1}, X_{j}^{-1}$ in the subword $\left[\cdots X_{j}^{-1} X_{i}^{-1}\right]_{b}$ we see $W=$ a linear sum of words with sequence $Q_{2} \bmod A$. Since $Q_{2}$ can be transformed to an reducible sequence with fewer basic transformations, we proved these cases.

Case 4. $m_{i, j}=2 k+1$ is odd, $V=\left[X_{i} X_{j} \cdots\right]_{2 k+1}$. By replacing the subword $V$ in $W$ by $\left[X_{j} X_{i} \cdots\right]_{2 k+1}$, we see the resulted word (equal $W$ ) has sequence $Q_{2}$, then we use induction.

For the cases when $m_{i, j}=2 k$, the same argument shows we only need to consider those cases when $V$ contain at most one $E_{i}$ and one $E_{j}$. Now (6) of Definition 4.1 show we only need to consider the cases (1) that $V$ contain one $E_{i}$ or one $E_{j}$; (2) the case $V$ contain only $X_{i}, X_{j}$. We can prove the cases (1) similarly as above case 3, and prove the cases (2) as above case 4.

When $\Gamma$ is a simply laced type Coxeter group, then all reflections of $W_{\Gamma}$ lie in the same
conjugacy class. So the data $\Pi$ of $B_{\Gamma}(\Pi, \kappa)$ consists of $\{k, \alpha\}$ essentially. As a corollary of Proposition 4.2, we have

Proposition 5.2. The algebra $\mathrm{B}_{\Gamma}(\Pi, \kappa)$ defined in Definition 4.1 is isomorphic to the simply laced $B M W$ algebra $B_{\Gamma}(q, l)$ of CGW1 for $q=\exp (\kappa \sqrt{-1} \pi k), l=\frac{\exp (\alpha \pi \sqrt{-1})}{q}$.

Let $W$ be a finite type Coxeter group. Let $R$ be the set of reflections in $W$. Suppose $(V, \rho)$ is a finite dimensional representation of $\operatorname{Br}_{W}(\Pi)$. Where $\Pi=\left\{k_{s}, \alpha_{s}\right\}_{s \in R}$. Then by using the KZ connection (Definition 2.3), we have a flat, $W$-invariant connection $\rho\left(\Omega_{W}\right)=$ $\kappa \sum_{s \in R}\left(k_{s} \rho(s)-\rho\left(e_{s}\right)\right) \omega_{s}$ on $M_{W} \times V$, which induces a representation $T_{\rho}: A_{W} \rightarrow G L(V)$. Suppose $W$ is of rank $n$ and has a canonical set of generators $\left\{s_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ as in Theorem 2.2. Suppose the Artin group $A_{W}$ has a canonical set of generators $\left\{\sigma_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ as in Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 5.2. For generic $\Pi$ and $\kappa$, the representation $T_{\rho}$ factor through $B_{W}(\Pi, \kappa)$.
Proof. We want to show if set $X_{i}=T_{\rho}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)$ and $E_{i}=\frac{l_{i}}{q_{i}^{-1}-q_{i}}\left(T_{\rho}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)-q_{i}\right)\left(T_{\rho}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)+q_{i}^{-1}\right)$ for $1 \leq$ $i \leq n$, where $q_{i}=\exp \left(\kappa k_{s_{i}} \pi \sqrt{-1}\right)$ and $l_{i}=\exp \left(\kappa \alpha_{i} \pi \sqrt{-1}\right) / q_{i}$, then $\left\{X_{i}, E_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ satisfies the relations in Definition 4.1. If $W$ is a dihedral group $D_{m}$, this is true by Proposition 4.3. The cases for general $W$ can be reduced to the cases for dihedral groups by using Theorem 2.4 as follows. Recall the notations " $\Delta, \mathrm{H}_{s_{i}}$ " above Theorem 2.2, and the notations " $\Pi$, $\Pi_{i, j}$ " before Definition 4.1. For $1 \leq i<j \leq n$, first we suppose $m_{i, j}$ is odd. Denote $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{H}_{s_{\mathrm{i}}} \cap \mathrm{H}_{s_{\mathrm{j}}}$, define $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}, W_{\mathrm{L}}, A_{W_{\mathrm{L}}}$ and $M_{W_{\mathrm{L}}}$ as in section 2 (above Theorem 2.4). We can identify $A_{W_{L}}$ with the Artin group $A_{D_{m_{i, j}}}$ in suitable way, so the morphism $\bar{\lambda}_{L}$ from $A_{W_{L}}$ to $A_{W}$ maps $\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}$ to $\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}$ respectively.

In this case we have a natural isomorphism $\phi_{i, j}$ from $W_{D_{m_{i}, j}}$ to $W_{L}$ extending the map $s_{0} \mapsto s_{i}, s_{1} \mapsto s_{j}$, and we can identify $M_{W_{D_{m_{i, j}}}}$ with $M_{W_{L}}$ ( actually $M_{W_{L}} \cong M_{W_{D_{m_{i, j}}}} \times$ $\mathbb{C}^{n-2}$, but it will not make any difference. ) The morphism $\phi_{i, j}$ can be extended to a morphism from $\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{D}_{m_{i, j}}}\left(\Pi_{i, j}\right)$ to $\operatorname{Br} r_{W}(\Pi)$ by sending $e_{0}, e_{1} \in \operatorname{Br}_{\mathrm{D}_{m_{i}, j}}\left(\Pi_{i, j}\right)$ to $e_{i}, e_{j} \in \operatorname{Br} r_{W}(\Pi)$ respectively. And we know the morphism $\bar{\lambda}_{\mathrm{L}}$ maps $A_{W_{\mathrm{L}}}$ isomorphically to the parabolic subgroup of $A_{W}$ generated by $\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}$. Through $\phi_{i, j}$ we have a representation $\rho \circ \phi_{i, j}$ : $\operatorname{Br}_{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}_{i, j}}}\left(\Pi_{i, j}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{gl}(\mathrm{V})$. As in section 2, set $\Omega^{\prime}=k \sum_{s \in R_{\mathrm{L}}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}} \rho(\mathrm{s})-\rho\left(e_{s}\right)\right) \omega_{s}$. It isn't hard to see $\Omega^{\prime}$ coincide with the connection $\Omega_{\rho \circ \phi_{i, j}}$ on $M_{W_{\mathrm{L}}}$. So by Proposition 4.3, if we set $X_{0}=T_{L}\left(\sigma_{0}\right)$ and $X_{1}=T_{L}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)$, then $X_{0}, X_{1}$ satisfy the relations in Definition 3.4 for $m=m_{i, j}$ and suitable data $\Pi$. ( since by (3) of Definition 3.4, $E_{i}$ can be presented by $X_{i}$ for generic data, all those relations can be seen as relations between $X_{0}, X_{1}$. ) By Theorem 2.4, there is a element $A \in G L(V)$, so $T \circ \bar{\lambda}_{L}\left(\rho_{i}\right)=A T_{L}\left(\rho_{i}\right) A^{-1}$ for $i=0,1$. Since $T_{\rho} \circ \bar{\lambda}_{L}\left(\rho_{0}\right)=T_{\rho}\left(\sigma_{i}\right), T_{\rho} \circ \bar{\lambda}_{L}\left(\rho_{1}\right)=T\left(\sigma_{j}\right)$, we have that $X_{l}=T_{\rho}\left(\sigma_{l}\right)$ and $E_{l}=\frac{l_{l}}{q_{l}^{-1}-q_{l}}\left(T_{\rho}\left(\sigma_{l}\right)-\right.$ $\left.q_{l}\right)\left(T_{\rho}\left(\sigma_{l}\right)+q_{l}^{-1}\right)(l=i, j)$ satisfy the relation (5) of Definition 4.1.

Similarly we can prove if $m_{i, j}$ is even, then $X_{i}, X_{j}, E_{i}, E_{j}$ satisfy relation (5), (6) of Definition 4.1, and we can prove $X_{i}, E_{i}$ satisfy relation (3), (4), by using Theorem 2.4.
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