

Classical BRST charge and observables in reducible gauge theories

Andrei V. Bratchikov
Kuban State Technological University,
Krasnodar, 350072, Russia

Abstract

We study the construction of the classical Becchi-Rouet-Stora-Tyutin (BRST) charge and observables for arbitrary reducible gauge theory. Using a special coordinate system in the extended phase space, we obtain an explicit expression for the Koszul-Tate differential operator and show that the BRST charge can be found by a simple iterative method. We also give a formula for the classical BRST observables.

1 Introduction

The modern quantization method for gauge theories is based on the BRST symmetry [1],[2]. In the framework of the canonical formalism this symmetry is generated by the BRST charge. If the quantum BRST charge exists it is essentially determined by the corresponding classical one. The classical BRST charge is defined as a solution to the Poisson-bracket master equation with certain boundary conditions. The BRST construction in the case of reducible gauge theories was given in [3]. The global existence of the classical BRST charge and observables in the reducible case was proved in [4] (see also [5]). In [6] the question of quantization of reducible gauge theories with constraints linear in the momenta is studied.

In this paper we propose another construction of the classical BRST charge for arbitrary reducible gauge theory. To this aim, we find a new coordinate system in the extended phase space and transform the master equation by changing variables. This enables us to construct the Koszul-Tate differential operator. Then the BRST charge can be obtained by using

an iterative method. We also give a formula for the classical BRST observables. As a example of computing the BRST charge we consider an $SU(2)$ gauge invariant reducible theory. In this paper we extend the analysis of [7] to cover the Hamiltonian formalism.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review the BRST construction. In section 3, we introduce new variables. With respect to the new variables, the Koszul-Tate differential operator δ takes a standard form. The construction of the BRST charge is given in section 4. A formula for the BRST observables is obtained in section 5. In section 6 we find the BRST charge for a reducible theory of order $L = 1$.

In what follows Grassman parity and ghost number of a function X are denoted by $\epsilon(X)$ and $\text{gh}(X)$, respectively. The Poisson superbracket in phase space $\Gamma = (P_A, Q^A)$, $\epsilon(P_A) = \epsilon(Q^A)$, is given by

$$\{X, Y\} = \frac{\partial X}{\partial Q^A} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial P_A} - (-1)^{\epsilon(X)\epsilon(Y)} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial Q^A} \frac{\partial X}{\partial P_A}. \quad (1)$$

Derivatives with respect to generalized momenta P_A are always understood as left-hand, and those with respect to generalized coordinates Q^A (unless specified) as right-hand ones. The superbracket (1) possesses the following algebraic properties

$$\begin{aligned} \{X, Y\} &= -(-1)^{\epsilon(X)\epsilon(Y)} \{Y, X\}, \\ \{X, YZ\} &= \{X, Y\}Z + (-1)^{\epsilon(X)\epsilon(Y)} \{Y, X\}Y\{X, Z\}, \\ (-1)^{\epsilon(X)\epsilon(Z)} \{\{X, Y\}, Z\} &+ \text{cycl. perm.}(X, Y, Z) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last relation is the Jacobi identity for superbracket.

2 Generating equations for the gauge algebra

Let P be a phase space with the phase space coordinates ξ_a , $\epsilon(\xi_a) = \epsilon_a$, $a = 1, \dots, 2m$, and let G_{a_0} , $a_0 = 1, \dots, m_0$, be the first class constraints which satisfy the following Poisson brackets

$$\{G_{a_0}, G_{b_0}\} = U_{a_0 b_0}^{c_0} G_{c_0},$$

where $U_{a_0 b_0}^{c_0}$ are phase space functions. The constraints are assumed to be of definite Grassmann parity ϵ_{a_0} , $\epsilon(G_{a_0}) = \epsilon_{a_0}$.

We shall consider a reducible theory of L -th order. That is, there exist phase space functions

$$Z_{a_{k+1}}^{a_k}, \quad k = 0, \dots, L-1, \quad a_k = 1, \dots, m_k,$$

such that at each stage the Z 's form a complete set,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{a_{k+1}}^{a_k} \lambda^{a_{k+1}} \approx 0 &\Rightarrow \lambda^{a_{k+1}} \approx Z_{a_{k+2}}^{a_{k+1}} \lambda^{a_{k+2}}, \quad k = 0, \dots, L-2, \\ Z_{a_L}^{a_{L-1}} \lambda^{a_L} &\approx 0 \Rightarrow \lambda^{a_L} \approx 0. \\ G_{a_0} Z_{a_1}^{a_0} = 0, \quad Z_{a_{k-1}}^{a_{k-2}} Z_{a_k}^{a_{k-1}} &= V_{a_k}^{a_{k-2} a_0} G_{a_0}, \quad k = 2, \dots, L. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The weak equality \approx means equality on the constraint surface

$$\Sigma : \quad G_{a_0} = 0.$$

Following the BRST method the ghost pairs $(\mathcal{P}_{a_k}, c^{a_k}), k = 0, \dots, L$, are introduced

$$\epsilon(\mathcal{P}_{a_k}) = \epsilon(c^{a_k}) = \epsilon_{a_k} + k + 1, \quad -\text{gh}(\mathcal{P}_{a_k}) = \text{gh}(c^{a_k}) = k + 1.$$

The BRST charge Ω is defined as a solution to the equations

$$\{\Omega, \Omega\} = 0, \quad (3)$$

$$\epsilon(\Omega) = 1, \quad \text{gh}(\Omega) = 1, \quad (4)$$

and satisfying the boundary conditions

$$\left. \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial c^{a_0}} \right|_{c=0} = G_{a_0}, \quad \left. \frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial \mathcal{P}_{a_{k-1}} \partial c^{a_k}} \right|_{\mathcal{P}=c=0} = Z_{a_k}^{a_{k-1}}.$$

One can write

$$\Omega = \Omega^{(1)} + M, \quad M = \sum_{n \geq 2} \Omega^{(n)}, \quad \Omega^{(n)} \sim c^n, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\Omega^{(1)} = G_{a_0} c^{a_0} + \sum_{k=1}^L (\mathcal{P}_{a_{k-1}} Z_{a_k}^{a_{k-1}} + N_{a_k}) c^{a_k}, \quad (6)$$

$N_{a_1} = 0$ and N_{a_k} , $k > 1$, only involves $\mathcal{P}_{a_s}, s \leq k - 2$. Eq. (4) implies $N_{a_k}|_{\mathcal{P}=0} = 0$, $M|_{\mathcal{P}=0} = 0$.

Let \mathcal{B} denote the algebra of polynomials in $(\mathcal{P}_{a_0}, c^{a_0}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{a_L}, c^{a_L})$ with phase space functions coefficients, $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{P}_{a_0}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{a_L}] \otimes C^\infty(P) \otimes \mathbb{C}[c^{a_0}, \dots, c^{a_L}]$. Define the subspace

$$\mathcal{U} = \{X \in \mathcal{B} : X|_{\mathcal{P}=0, \Sigma} = 0\}.$$

The space \mathcal{U} can be decomposed as $\mathcal{U} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{U}_n$, where \mathcal{U}_n is the space of homogeneous polynomials in $(c^{a_0}, \dots, c^{a_L})$ of degree n .

Lemma 1 (i) \mathcal{U} is a Poisson subalgebra of \mathcal{B} .

(ii) $\Omega \in \mathcal{U}$.

Proof. (i) For $X, Y \in \mathcal{U}$ we have $XY \in \mathcal{U}$, $\{X, Y\} \in \mathcal{U}$. This proves the first statement. (ii) It is clear that $\Omega^{(1)} \in \mathcal{U}_1$. The relation $\Omega^{(n)} \in \mathcal{U}_n$ for $n \geq 2$ follows from (4) and (5). \square

The bracket $\{.,.\}$ splits as

$$\{X, Y\} = \{X, Y\}_\xi + \{X, Y\}_\diamond - (-1)^{\epsilon(X)\epsilon(Y)} \{Y, X\}_\diamond,$$

where $\{.,.\}_\xi$ refers to the Poisson bracket in the original phase space and

$$\{X, Y\}_\diamond = \sum_{k=0}^L \frac{\partial X}{\partial c^{a_k}} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \mathcal{P}_{a_k}}.$$

Let $\delta : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ be defined by

$$\delta = \{\Omega^{(1)}, .\}_\diamond = G_{a_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{P}_{a_0}} + \sum_{k=1}^L (\mathcal{P}_{a_{k-1}} Z_{a_k}^{a_{k-1}} + N_{a_k}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{P}_{a_k}}. \quad (7)$$

Substituting (5) in (3) one obtains the equations

$$\delta \Omega^{(1)} = 0, \quad (8)$$

$$\delta M + D = 0, \quad (9)$$

where

$$D = \frac{1}{2} F + AM + \frac{1}{2} \{M, M\}, \quad F = \{\Omega^{(1)}, \Omega^{(1)}\}_\xi,$$

and the operator $A : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ is given by

$$AX = \{\Omega^{(1)}, X\}_\xi - (-1)^{\epsilon(X)} \{X, \Omega^{(1)}\}_\diamond.$$

Eq. (8) is equivalent to the nilpotency of δ :

$$\delta^2 = 0. \quad (10)$$

Let \mathcal{A} denote the Poisson algebra of the first class functions,

$$\mathcal{A} = \{X(\xi) : \{X, G_\alpha\}|_\Sigma = 0\},$$

and let

$$\mathcal{J} = \{X(\xi) : X|_\Sigma = 0\}.$$

Elements of \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} are called observables.

A function $\Phi \in \mathcal{B}$ is called a BRST-invariant extension of $\Phi_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ if

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= \Phi_0 + \Pi, \quad \Pi = \sum_{n \geq 1} \Phi_n, \quad \Phi_n \in \mathcal{U}_n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad \text{gh}(\Phi) = 0, \\ &\{\Omega, \Phi\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Let $(\text{Ker } \Omega / \text{Im } \Omega)^0$ denote the set of equivalence classes of BRST-closed functions modulo BRST-exact functions, with zero ghost number. The Poisson algebras \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J} and $(\text{Ker } \Omega / \text{Im } \Omega)^0$ are isomorphic [4]. Elements of $(\text{Ker } \Omega / \text{Im } \Omega)^0$ are called the BRST observables.

3 Reduction of δ

In this section we reduce δ to a standard form. For $k = L$, eq. (2) reads

$$Z_{a'_{L-1}}^{a_{L-2}} Z_{a_L}^{a'_{L-1}} + Z_{a''_{L-1}}^{a_{L-2}} Z_{a_L}^{a''_{L-1}} \approx 0, \quad (12)$$

where $\{a'_{L-1}\}, \{a''_{L-1}\}$ are increasing index sets, such that $\{a'_{L-1}\} \cup \{a''_{L-1}\} = \{a_{L-1}\}$, $|\{a'_{L-1}\}| = |\{a_L\}|$ and $\text{rank } Z_{a_L}^{a'_{L-1}} = |\{a_L\}|$. For an index set $i = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n\}$, we denote $|i| = n$. From (12) it follows that $\text{rank } Z_{a_{L-1}}^{a_{L-2}} = |\{a_{L-1}\}| - |\{a_L\}| = |\{a''_{L-1}\}|$, and $\text{rank } Z_{a''_{L-1}}^{a_{L-2}} = |\{a''_{L-1}\}|$.

One can split the index set $\{a_{L-2}\}$ as $\{a_{L-2}\} = \{a'_{L-2}\} \cup \{a''_{L-2}\}$, such that $|\{a'_{L-2}\}| = |\{a''_{L-1}\}|$, and $\text{rank } Z_{a''_{L-1}}^{a'_{L-2}} = |\{a''_{L-1}\}|$. For $k = L - 1$, eq. (2) implies

$$Z_{a'_{L-2}}^{a_{L-3}} Z_{a''_{L-1}}^{a'_{L-2}} + Z_{a''_{L-2}}^{a_{L-3}} Z_{a''_{L-1}}^{a'_{L-2}} \approx 0.$$

From this it follows that

$$\text{rank } Z_{a''_{L-2}}^{a_{L-3}} = \text{rank } Z_{a_{L-2}}^{a_{L-3}} = |\{a_{L-2}\}| - |\{a''_{L-1}\}| = |\{a''_{L-2}\}|.$$

Using induction on k , we obtain a set of nonsingular matrices $Z_{a''_k}^{a'_{k-1}}$, $k = 1, \dots, L$, and a set of matrices $Z_{a''_k}^{a_{k-1}}$, $k = 1, \dots, L$, such that

$$\text{rank } Z_{a''_k}^{a_{k-1}} = \text{rank } Z_{a_k}^{a_{k-1}} = |\{a''_k\}|.$$

Here $\{a'_k\} \cup \{a''_k\} = \{a_k\}$, $k = 1, \dots, L - 1$,

Eq. (2) implies

$$G_{a'_0} Z_{a'_1}^{a'_0} + G_{a''_0} Z_{a'_1}^{a''_0} = 0. \quad (13)$$

From this it follows that $G_{a''_0}$ are independent. We assume that $G_{a''_0}$ satisfy the regularity conditions. It means that there are some functions $F_\alpha(\xi)$, $\{\alpha\} \cup \{a''_0\} = \{a\}$, such that $(F_\alpha, G_{a''_0})$ can be locally taken as new coordinates in the original phase space.

Let $f : \{a''_{k+1}\} \rightarrow \{a_k\}$, $k = 0, \dots, L - 1$, be an embedding, $f(j) = j$, and let $\{\alpha_k\}$ be defined by $\{a_k\} = \{f(a''_{k+1})\} \cup \{\alpha_k\}$. Since $|\{a''_k\}| = |\{\alpha_k\}|$, one can write $\alpha_k = g(a''_k)$ for some function g , and hence

$$\{a_k\} = \{f(a''_{k+1})\} \cup \{g(a''_k)\}, \quad k = 0, \dots, L - 1.$$

Lemma 2 *The nilpotent operator δ is reducible to the form*

$$\delta = \xi'_{a'_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{P}'_{g(a'_0)}} + \sum_{k=1}^L \mathcal{P}'_{f(a''_k)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{P}'_{g(a''_k)}}, \quad (14)$$

by the change of variables: $(\xi_a, \mathcal{P}_{a_0}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{a_L}) \rightarrow (\xi'_a, \mathcal{P}'_{a_0}, \dots, \mathcal{P}'_{a_L})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi'_\alpha &= F_\alpha, & \xi'_{a''_0} &= G_{a''_0}, \\ \mathcal{P}'_{f(a''_{k+1})} &= \delta \mathcal{P}_{a''_{k+1}}, & \mathcal{P}'_{g(a''_k)} &= \mathcal{P}_{a''_k}, \\ \mathcal{P}'_{a_L} &= \mathcal{P}_{a_L}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $k = 0, \dots, L-1$, $g(a''_L) = a_L$.

Proof. To prove this statement we first observe that eqs. (15) are solvable with respect to $(\xi_a, \mathcal{P}_{a_0}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{a_L})$. The original variables can be represented as

$$\xi_a = \xi_a(\xi'), \quad \mathcal{P}_{a_k} = \mathcal{P}_{a_k}(\xi', \mathcal{P}'_{a_0}, \dots, \mathcal{P}'_{a_k}), \quad k = 0, \dots, L.$$

Here we have used the fact that the \mathcal{P}_{a_k} depends only on the functions \mathcal{P}'_{a_s} with $s \leq k$. Assume that the functions $\xi_a(\xi')$ have been constructed. Then from (15) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{a'_k} &= (\mathcal{P}'_{f(a''_{k+1})} - \mathcal{P}'_{g(a''_k)} Z'^{a''_k}_{a''_{k+1}} - N'_{a''_{k+1}})(Z'^{(-1)})^{a''_{k+1}}_{a'_k}, \\ \mathcal{P}_{a''_k} &= \mathcal{P}'_{g(a''_k)}, \quad k = 0, \dots, L-1, \\ \mathcal{P}_{a_L} &= \mathcal{P}'_{a_L}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Here and in what follows

$$X'(\xi', \mathcal{P}'_{a_0}, \dots, \mathcal{P}'_{a_L}) = X(\xi, \mathcal{P}_{a_0}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{a_L}).$$

Using (7) and (10) one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \xi'_a &= \delta \mathcal{P}'_{f(a'_1)} = \dots = \delta \mathcal{P}'_{f(a'_L)} = 0, \\ \delta \mathcal{P}'_{g(a''_0)} &= \xi'_{a''_0}, \quad \delta \mathcal{P}'_{g(a''_k)} = \mathcal{P}'_{f(a''_k)}, \quad k = 1, \dots, L. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Eqs. (17) are equivalent to (14). \square

With respect to the new coordinate system the condition $X \in \mathcal{U}$ implies

$$X|_{\xi'_{a''_0} = \mathcal{P}'=0} = 0.$$

4 The BRST charge

Constructing of δ . To construct δ we need the functions N_{a_2}, \dots, N_{a_L} , which are defined by the following system of recurrent equations

$$\delta N_{a_k} = -(\mathcal{P}_{a_{k-2}} Z^{a_{k-2}}_{a_{k-1}} + N_{a_{k-1}}) Z^{a_{k-1}}_{a_k}, \quad k = 2, \dots, L, \tag{18}$$

with $N_{a_1} = 0$, $N_{a_k} \in \mathcal{V}_{k-2}$, where \mathcal{V}_{k-2} is the subspace of \mathcal{U} which consists of the functions depending only on $(\xi_a, \mathcal{P}_{a_0}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{a_{k-2}})$. Let δ_k denote the restriction of δ on \mathcal{V}_{k-2} ,

$$\delta_k = \xi'_{a_0''} \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathcal{P}'_{g(a_0'')}} + \sum_{s=1}^{k-2} \mathcal{P}'_{f(a_s'')} \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathcal{P}'_{g(a_s'')}} ,$$

let n_k be the counting operator

$$n_k = \xi'_{a_0''} \frac{\delta_l}{\delta \xi'_{a_0''}} + \mathcal{P}'_{g(a_0'')} \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathcal{P}'_{g(a_0'')}} + \sum_{s=1}^{k-2} \left(\mathcal{P}'_{f(a_s'')} \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathcal{P}'_{f(a_s'')}} + \mathcal{P}'_{g(a_s'')} \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathcal{P}'_{g(a_s'')}} \right) ,$$

and let

$$\sigma_k = \mathcal{P}'_{g(a_0'')} \frac{\delta_l}{\delta \xi'_{a_0''}} + \sum_{s=1}^{k-2} \mathcal{P}'_{g(a_s'')} \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathcal{P}'_{f(a_s'')}} .$$

One can directly verify that

$$\delta_k^2 = \sigma_k^2 = 0, \quad \delta_k \sigma_k + \sigma_k \delta_k = n_k, \quad n_k \delta_k = \delta_k n_k, \quad n_k \sigma_k = \sigma_k n_k. \quad (19)$$

The space \mathcal{V}_{k-2} splits as

$$\mathcal{V}_{k-2} = \mathcal{V}_{k-2}^{(0)} \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{k-2}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{k-2} = \mathcal{V}_{k-2}^{(1)} \oplus \mathcal{V}_{k-2}^{(2)} \oplus \dots ,$$

with $n_k X = nX$ for $X \in \mathcal{V}_{k-2}^{(n)}$. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_{k-2}^{(0)} &= \{ \Phi \in \mathcal{V}_{k-2} \mid \Phi = \Phi(\phi'_{a_0'}, \phi'^*_{f(a_{k-1}'')}) \}, \quad k \neq L+2, \\ \mathcal{V}_L^{(0)} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The subspace $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{k-2}$ is invariant under the action of δ_k , σ_k and n_k . The operator $n_k : \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{k-2} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{k-2}$ is invertible. It follows from (19) that $\delta_k^+ : \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{k-2} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{k-2}$, defined by $\delta_k^+ = \sigma_k n_k^{-1}$, is a generalized inverse of δ_k :

$$\delta_k \delta_k^+ \delta_k = \delta_k, \quad \delta_k^+ \delta_k \delta_k^+ = \delta_k^+, \quad (21)$$

and for any $X \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{k-2}$,

$$X = \delta_k^+ \delta_k X + \delta_k \delta_k^+ X. \quad (22)$$

Theorem 1. *The general solution to (18) is given by*

$$N_{a_k} = Y_k - \delta_k^+ \left((\mathcal{P}_{a_{k-2}} Z_{a_{k-1}}^{a_{k-2}} + N_{a_{k-1}}) Z_{a_k}^{a_{k-1}} \right), \quad k = 2, \dots, L, \quad (23)$$

where Y_k is an arbitrary cocycle, $\delta Y_k = 0$, subject only to the restrictions

$$Y_{a_k} \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{k-2}, \quad \epsilon(Y_{a_k}) = \epsilon(N_{a_k}), \quad \text{gh}(Y_{a_k}) = \text{gh}(N_{a_k}). \quad (24)$$

Proof. Assume that the functions $N_{a_s} \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{s-2}$, $s < k$, have been constructed. Changing variables in (18) $(\xi^a, \mathcal{P}_{a_0}, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{a_{k-2}}) \rightarrow (\xi'_a, \mathcal{P}'_{a_0}, \dots, \mathcal{P}'_{a_{k-2}})$, we get

$$\delta_k N'_{a_k} = D'_{a_k}, \quad (25)$$

where

$$D'_{a_k} = -(\mathcal{P}_{a_{k-2}} Z_{a_{k-1}}^{a_{k-2}} + N'_{a_{k-1}}) Z_{a_k}^{a_{k-1}}, \quad \mathcal{P}_{a_{k-2}} = \mathcal{P}_{a_{k-2}}(\xi', \mathcal{P}').$$

Eq. (2) reads

$$Z_{a_{k-1}}^{a_{k-2}} Z_{a_k}^{a_{k-1}} = V_{a_k}^{a_{k-1} a'_0} G'_{a'_0} + V_{a_k}^{a_{k-1} a''_0} \xi'_{a''_0}.$$

It follows from (13) that

$$G'_{a'_0} = -\xi'_{a''_0} Z_{a'_1}^{a''_0} (Z'^{(-1)})_{a'_0}^{a'_1},$$

and hence

$$Z_{a_{k-1}}^{a_{k-2}} Z_{a_k}^{a_{k-1}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{k-2}.$$

Therefore $D'_{a_k} \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{k-2}$.

One can directly verify that $\delta_k D'_{a_k} = 0$, or equivalently, using (22), $\delta_k \delta_k^+ D'_{a_k} = D'_{a_k}$. Then the general solution to (25) is given by

$$N'_{a_k} = Y'_{a_k} + \delta_k^+ D'_{a_k}, \quad (26)$$

where the cocycle Y'_{a_k} satisfies (24). By its construction, $N'_{a_k} \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{k-2}$. In the original variables (26) takes the form (23). \square

Higher orders. Our next task is to find a solution to (9). We shall need the following Lemma.

Lemma 3 *Let R denote the left-hand side of (9),*

$$R = \delta M + D. \quad (27)$$

Then

$$\delta R + AR + \{M, R\} = 0. \quad (28)$$

Proof. If (10) holds, then $R = \{\Omega, \Omega\}$. From the Jacobi identity $\{\Omega, \{\Omega, \Omega\}\} = 0$ it follows that $\{\Omega, R\} = 0$, which is equivalent to (28). Here we have used the relation $\{\Omega^{(1)}, \cdot\} = \delta + A$. \square

Since $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{V}_L \otimes \mathbb{C}[c^{a_0}, \dots, c^{a_L}]$, it follows from (20) that the operator $n_{L+2}: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ is invertible. Denote $\delta^+ = \delta_{L+2}^+$. The space \mathcal{U} can be decomposed as

$$\mathcal{U} = \text{Ker } \delta \oplus \text{Ker } \delta^+, \quad (29)$$

where the corresponding orthogonal projectors are given by

$$P_{\text{Ker } \delta} = \delta \delta^+, \quad P_{\text{Ker } \delta^+} = I - \delta \delta^+ = \delta^+ \delta,$$

I is the identity map. The last relation follows from (19).

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{U}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ be defined by

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}(I + \delta^+ A)^{-1} \delta^+ (\{X, Y\} + \{Y, X\}). \quad (30)$$

where $(I + \delta^+ A)^{(-1)} = \sum_{m \geq 0} (-1)^m (\delta^+ A)^m$.

Theorem 2 *The general solution to (9) can be obtained by applying the iteration method to the equation*

$$M = M_0 + \frac{1}{2} \langle M, M \rangle, \quad (31)$$

where

$$M_0 = (I + \delta^+ A)^{-1} \left(W - \frac{1}{2} \delta^+ F \right), \quad (32)$$

W is an arbitrary cocycle, $\delta W = 0$, subject only to the restrictions

$$\epsilon(W) = 1, \quad \text{gh}(W) = 1, \quad W \in \bigoplus_{n \geq 2} \mathcal{U}_n. \quad (33)$$

Proof. In accordance with the decomposition (29) eq. (9) splits as

$$\delta M + \delta \delta^+ D = 0, \quad (34)$$

$$(I - \delta \delta^+) R = 0. \quad (35)$$

From (34) it follows that

$$M + \delta^+ D = W, \quad (36)$$

where the cocycle W satisfies (33). Using (30), one can write (36) in the form (31). Eq. (31) can be iteratively solved as:

$$M = M_0 + \frac{1}{2} \langle M_0, M_0 \rangle + \dots \quad (37)$$

To prove that the solution to (36) satisfies (35) we use the approach of ref. [8]. Consider (28) and the condition

$$\delta^+ R = 0. \quad (38)$$

Applying δ^+ to (28) and using (38), we get

$$R = -\delta^+(AR + \{M, R\}). \quad (39)$$

From (39) by iterations it follows that $R = 0$.

It remains to check (38). The solution to (36) satisfies $\delta^+ M = \delta^+ W$, which implies

$$M = \delta^+ \delta M + W. \quad (40)$$

By definition (27), we have $\delta^+ R = \delta^+ \delta M + \delta^+ D$, and therefore by (36) and (40), $\delta^+ R = 0$. \square

Series (37) can be obtained by using a diagram technique [9].

5 The BRST observables

Consider the equation

$$\{\Omega, \Psi\} - \Lambda = 0, \quad (41)$$

where Λ is a given function, $\Lambda \in \mathcal{U}$, $\epsilon(\Lambda) = 1$, $\text{gh}(\Lambda) = 1$, $\{\Omega, \Lambda\} = 0$, and $\Psi \in \mathcal{U}$ is an unknown one.

Lemma 4 [10] *Any solution to the homogeneous equation*

$$\{\Omega, \Psi\} = 0, \quad \Psi \in \mathcal{U},$$

is given by

$$\Psi = \{\Omega, \Upsilon\},$$

where

$$\Upsilon \in \mathcal{U}, \quad \epsilon(\Upsilon) = 1, \quad \text{gh}(\Upsilon) = -1. \quad (42)$$

Denote $\{X, \cdot\}$ by $\text{ad } X$.

Theorem 3 *The general solution to (41) is given by*

$$\Psi = \Psi_p + \{\Omega, \Upsilon\}, \quad (43)$$

where

$$\Psi_p = (I + \delta^+(A + \text{ad } M))^{-1} \delta^+ \Lambda, \quad (44)$$

and Υ satisfies (42).

Proof. Eq. (41) can be written as

$$\delta\Psi + Q = 0, \quad (45)$$

where $Q = A\Psi + \{M, \Psi\} - \Lambda$. Denote by Γ the left-hand side of (41),

$$\Gamma = \{\Omega, \Psi\} - \Lambda = \delta\Psi + Q. \quad (46)$$

Using (29), we split (45) into the following two equations

$$\delta\Psi + \delta\delta^+Q = 0, \quad (47)$$

$$(I - \delta\delta^+)\Gamma = 0. \quad (48)$$

Eq. (47) is equivalent to

$$\Psi + \delta^+ Q = \Upsilon, \quad (49)$$

where Υ is a cocycle, $\delta\Upsilon = 0$. Setting $\Upsilon = 0$, we get from (49) the particular solution Ψ_p (44).

Now, let us show that (44) satisfies (48). From the Jacoby identity $\{\Omega, \{\Omega, \Psi\}\} = 0$ and the BRST invariance of Λ it follows that

$$\delta\Gamma + A\Gamma + \{M, \Gamma\} = 0. \quad (50)$$

It is straightforward to check that

$$\delta^+\Gamma = 0. \quad (51)$$

Indeed, (46) implies that

$$\delta^+\Gamma = \delta^+(\delta\Psi + Q) = \delta^+\delta\Psi - \Psi = 0,$$

which gives (51) since $\Psi \in \text{Ker } \delta^+ \cap \mathcal{U}$. Applying δ^+ to (50) and using (51) we get

$$\Gamma = -\delta^+(A\Gamma + \{M, \Gamma\}), \quad (52)$$

from which it follows that $\Gamma = 0$. We conclude that (48) is satisfied by Ψ_p (44). Using Lemma 4 we get (43). \square

Corollary *The BRST invariant extention of Φ_0 is given by*

$$\Phi = \Phi_0 - (I + \delta^+(A + \text{ad } M))^{-1} \delta^+ \{\Omega, \Phi_0\} + \{\Omega, \Upsilon\} \quad (53)$$

with some function Υ satisfying (42).

Proof. Eq. (11) reads $\{\Omega, \Pi\} + \Delta = 0$, where $\Delta = \{\Omega, \Phi_0\}$. By construction, $\Pi, \Delta \in \mathcal{U}$, $\epsilon(\Delta) = 1$, $\text{gh}(\Delta) = 1$. From the Jacoby identity $\{\Omega, \{\Omega, \Phi_0\}\} = 0$ it follows that $\{\Omega, \Delta\} = 0$. Eq. (53) is obtained by applying Theorem 3. \square

Since $\text{ad } \Omega = \delta + A + \text{ad } M$, (53) can be rewritten as

$$\Phi = \Phi_0 - (I + \delta^+(\text{ad } \Omega - \delta))^{-1} \delta^+ \{\Omega, \Phi_0\} + \{\Omega, \Upsilon\}.$$

Using (53) we can effectively construct elements of $(\text{Ker } \Omega / \text{Im } \Omega)^0$ for arbitrary reducible gauge theory.

6 $SU(2)$ gauge invariant reducible theory of order $L = 1$.

To illustrate the method of computing the BRST charge let us consider a simple reducible model. The model is described by three pairs of canonically conjugate variables (φ_a, π_a) . It is subject to the first class constraints

$$G_a = \varepsilon_{abc} \varphi_b \pi_c. \quad (54)$$

The algebra of these functions is the $su(2)$ Lie algebra :

$$\{G_a, G_b\} = \varepsilon_{abc} G_c.$$

Constraints (54) appear in the Yang-Mills quantum mechanics [11]. The reducibility condition reads

$$G_a \pi_a = 0.$$

$\Omega^{(1)}$, F and δ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{(1)} &= G_a c^a + \mathcal{P}_a \pi_a c, & F &= G_a \varepsilon_{abc} c^b c^c - 2\mathcal{P}_a \varepsilon_{abc} \pi_b c^c c, \\ \delta &= G_a \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{P}_a} + \mathcal{P}_a \pi_a \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{P}}, \end{aligned}$$

where (c^a, \mathcal{P}_a) and (c, \mathcal{P}) are auxiliary canonically conjugated variables,

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(c^a) = \epsilon(\mathcal{P}_a) = 1, & \quad \epsilon(c) = \epsilon(\mathcal{P}) = 0, & \text{gh}(c^a) = -\text{gh}(\mathcal{P}_a) = 1, \\ \text{gh}(c) = -\text{gh}(\mathcal{P}) = 2. \end{aligned}$$

The change of variables

$$\pi'_i = G_i, \quad \pi'_3 = \pi_3, \quad \mathcal{P}'_i = \mathcal{P}_i, \quad \mathcal{P}'_3 = \mathcal{P}_a \pi_a, \quad \mathcal{P}' = \mathcal{P}, \quad (55)$$

where $i = 1, 2$, yields

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \pi'_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{P}'_i} + \mathcal{P}'_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{P}'}, & \sigma &= \mathcal{P}'_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi'_i} + \mathcal{P}' \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{P}'_3}, \\ n &= \pi'_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi'_i} + \mathcal{P}'_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{P}'_i} + \mathcal{P}' \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{P}'}. \end{aligned}$$

In the domain with $\varphi_3 \neq 0$, $\pi_3 \neq 0$, the transformation (55) is invertible:

$$\pi_i = \frac{1}{\varphi_3} (\varepsilon_{ij}\pi'_j + \varphi_i\pi'_3), \quad \pi_3 = \pi'_3, \quad \mathcal{P}_i = \mathcal{P}'_i, \quad \mathcal{P}_3 = \frac{1}{\pi'_3} (\mathcal{P}'_3 - \mathcal{P}'_i\pi_i).$$

Here $\varepsilon_{ij} = \varepsilon_{ij3}$, $\pi_i = \pi_i(\pi')$.

One gets

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^+ F' &= \left(\mathcal{P}'_i \varepsilon_{ibc} - \frac{1}{\varphi_3} \mathcal{P}'_i \varphi_i \varepsilon_{3bc} \right) c^b c^c - \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\varphi_3} \left(\frac{1}{\pi'_3} (\varepsilon_{ij} \mathcal{P}'_i \mathcal{P}'_j + \varphi_3 \mathcal{P}') \varepsilon_{ij} \pi_i(\pi') - \mathcal{P}_3(\pi', \mathcal{P}') \mathcal{P}'_j \right) c^j c. \end{aligned}$$

To obtain a regular expression for Ω we take

$$W' = -\frac{1}{\pi'_3} \left(\mathcal{P}'_3 + \frac{1}{\varphi_3} \varepsilon_{ij} \pi'_i \mathcal{P}'_j \right) \varepsilon_{kl} c^k c^l.$$

Then, one finds

$$W' - \frac{1}{2} \delta^+ F' = -\frac{1}{2} (I + \delta^+ A) \mathcal{P}_a \varepsilon_{abc} c^b c^c. \quad (56)$$

Substitution (56) in (32) yields

$$M_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}_a \varepsilon_{abc} c^b c^c.$$

Since $\{\mathcal{P}_a \varepsilon_{abc} c^b c^c, \mathcal{P}_d \varepsilon_{def} c^e c^f\} = 0$, it follows from (37) that $M = M_0$, and hence

$$\Omega = G_a c^a + \mathcal{P}_a \pi_a c - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}_a \varepsilon_{abc} c^b c^c.$$

References

- [1] C. Becchi, A. Rouet and R. Stora, *Renormalization of the abelian Higgs-Kibble model*. Commun. Math. Phys. **42** (1975) 127-162.
- [2] I. V. Tyutin, *Gauge invariance in field theory and statistical mechanics*. Preprint No. **39**, Lebedev Physics Institute, Moscow, Russia, 1975.

- [3] I. A. Batalin, E. S. Fradkin, *A generalized canonical formalism and quantization of reducible gauge theories*. Phys. Lett. B **122** (1983) 157-164.
- [4] J. Fisch, M. Henneaux, J. Stasheff, and C. Teitelboim, *Existence, uniqueness and cohomology of the classical BRST charge with ghosts of ghosts*. Commun. Math. Phys. **120** (1989) 379-407.
- [5] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, *Quantization of Gauge Systems*, (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1992).
- [6] R. Ferraro, M. Henneaux and M. Puchin, *On the quantization of reducible gauge systems*. J.Math.Phys. **34** (1993) 2757-2778.
- [7] A. V. Bratchikov, *General solution of classical master equation for reducible gauge theories*. Eur. Phys. J. **C72** (2012) 2031.
- [8] B. Fedosov, *A simple geometrical construction of deformation quantization*. J. Diff. Geom. **40** (1994) 213-238.
- [9] A. V. Bratchikov, *Explicit construction of the classical BRST charge for nonlinear algebras*. Cent. Eur. J. Phys. **10** (2012) 61-65.
- [10] I. A. Batalin, I. V. Tyutin, *Existence theorem for the effective gauge algebra in the generalized canonical formalism with Abelian conversion of second class constraints*. Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A6** (1991) 3255-3282 .
- [11] S. V. Shabanov, *Geometry of the physical phase space in quantum gauge systems*. Phys. Rep. **326** (2000) 1-163.