ESTIMATES FOR SCHATTEN-VON NEUMANN NORMS OF HARDY-STEKLOV OPERATOR

ELENA P. USHAKOVA

ABSTRACT. Some upper and lower estimates are obtained for Schattenvon Neumann norms of Hardy-Steklov operator in Lebesgue spaces on the semi-axis.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given $1 and <math>I \subseteq [0, +\infty) =: \mathbb{R}^+$ let $L^p(I)$ denote a collection of measurable functions f on I satisfying $||f||_{p,I} := (\int_I |f(t)|^p dt)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$. Put p' = p/(p-1) and assume that v, w are non-negative weight functions on \mathbb{R}^+ such that $v \in L^{p'}_{loc}(0,\infty)$ and $w \in L^p_{loc}(0,\infty)$. We consider the Hardy -Steklov operator

(1.1)
$$\mathscr{H}f(x) = w(x) \int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} f(y)v(y) \mathrm{d}y$$

on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ with boundaries a(x) and b(x) satisfying the conditions:

- (i) a(x), b(x) are differentiable and strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$;
- (ii) a(0) = b(0) = 0, a(x) < b(x) for $0 < x < \infty, a(\infty) = b(\infty) = \infty$.

The operator \mathscr{H} is a generalization of a weighted Hardy integral operator of the form $Hf(x) = w(x) \int_0^x f(t)v(t)dt$, which mapping properties on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ were effectively studied in a number of articles and books (see e.g. [5, 2, 3, 6] and references there). Having more complicated structure than H the Hardy-Steklov transform (1.1) is significantly more difficult to study. Nevertheless, there exist several results concerning boundedness and compactness properties of \mathscr{H} in Lebesgue spaces on \mathbb{R}^+ (see [4] and [10, 11, 12, 13]). In this article we deal with another question, which is related to Schatten ideal behaviour of $\mathscr{H} : L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \to L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Today, this problem is very poorly understood. Our result is necessary and sufficient conditions for belonging

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. 47G10.

Key words and phrases. Hardy-Steklov operator, Lebesgue space, Approximation number, Schatten class, Schatten-von Neumann norm.

 $\mathscr{H}: L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \to L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ to the Schatten-von Neumann class \mathbf{S}_{α} . Remind that all compact on X linear operators $T: X \to X$ satisfying

$$||T||_{\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}} := \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n^{\alpha}(T)\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} < \infty, \quad 0 < \alpha < \infty,$$

constitute the Schatten classes \mathbf{S}_{α} . Here $a_n(T)$ is the *n*-th approximation number of the operator $T: X \to X$ defined

$$a_n(T) = \inf_{K: \text{ rank}K < n} ||T - K||_{X \to X}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

The quantity $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}}$ is called the Schatten-von Neumann norm. Our necessary and sufficient conditions obtained have a form of a two-sided estimate of $\|\mathscr{H}\|_{\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}}$ by functionals expressed in terms of p, v, w and α .

The pointed problem is not enough studied today, in particular, for the case $p \neq 2$. The only known result is by E.N. Lomakina [8], where the author is giving a criterion for $\mathscr{H}: L^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ to be in \mathbf{S}_{α} [8, Theorem 5] and a sufficient condition for belonging $\mathscr{H}: L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \to$ $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ with $1 to the class <math>\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha > 1$ [8, (6)]. The sufficient condition by E.N. Lomakina was found with help of known upper estimates for the norm $||H||_{\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}}$ of the Hardy operator H [2, 3, 8] and on a base of a one-sided inequality binding counting functions of the sequences $a_n(\mathscr{H})$ and $a_n(H)$ [7, Lemma 2]. That result has a form of an upper estimate of $||H||_{\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}}$ by a discrete functional. In Theorem 3.1 of our article we find upper bounds for that functional in a continuous form (see (3.1) and (3.2)).

Our main result is a lower estimate for the norm $\|\mathscr{H}: L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \to$ $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \|_{\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}}$, when $\alpha > 0$ (see Theorem 2.8). We also give an alternative upper estimate for $\|\mathscr{H}\|_{\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}}$ (see Theorem 2.9), which is different from that by E.N. Lomakina but convertible to the forms analogous to those in Theorem 3.1. In order to obtain our results we directly applied the original method by D.E. Edmunds, W.D. Evans, D.J. Harris (see [2], [3] and [9]), which was initially created for H, to the Hardy-Steklov operator of the form (1.1). Such a way allowed us to obtain a two-sided estimate for $\|\mathscr{H}\|_{\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}}$ with upper and lower bounds in discrete forms (see Theorems 2.9 and 2.8). Compared to the lower bound the one from above was convertible to an integral form (2.15) (or (2.17)). Meanwhile, the estimate from below seemed too "small" for that purpose. That is why our necessary and sufficient conditions are of different types and provide a criterion for certain boundaries a, b and weights v, w only (see Example 2.10). Nevertheless, being the only existing necessary condition for $\mathscr{H}: L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \to L^p(\mathbb{R}^+), p \neq 2$, to belong to the class \mathbf{S}_{α} , $\alpha > 0$, the lower estimate in Theorem 2.8 is the most

valuable of our findings in this work. There might be a possibility to improve the result obtained to a criterion with the same necessary and sufficient conditions of the forms (2.16) or (2.18). This option is totally depending on outcome of Lemma 2.1. Unfortunately, in our work this statement has a "viewless zone" or a kind of gap in its necessary part. Filling the gap would help to make the lower bound in the two-sided estimate for $||\mathscr{H}||_{\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}}$ of the same type as its upper one and, therefore, convertible to the form (2.16) (or (2.18)) for all a, b, v and w. For the moment such an improvement seems impossible.

Throughout the article products of the form $0 \cdot \infty$ are supposed to be equal to 0. We write $A \ll B$ or $A \gg B$ when $A \leq c_1 B$ or $A \geq c_2 B$ with constants c_i , i = 1, 2, which are either absolute or depending on p or α only. $A \approx B$ means $A \ll B \ll A$. Symbols \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{N} denote integers $\{k\}$ and naturals $\{n\}$ respectively. χ_E stands for a characteristic function of a subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}^+$. We also use =: and := for marking new quantities.

2. The main result

2.1. **Preliminary estimates.** Let I = (d, e) and $W_I := \int_I w^p(x) dx$. We shall write

$$H(x) = \int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} f(y)v(y)dy, \quad H_I = \frac{1}{W_I} \int_I H(x)w^p(x)dx.$$

Denote

$$\mathscr{K}(I) := \sup_{f \neq 0} \frac{\|w(H - H_I)\|_{p,I}}{\|f\|_p}$$

Given $I \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ let $c \in I = [d, e]$ be chosen so that $\int_d^c w^p = \frac{1}{2} \int_d^e w^p$. Denote $w_d = w\chi_{[d,c]}, w_e = w\chi_{[c,e]}, f_a = v\chi_{[a(d),a(c)]}, f_b = v\chi_{[b(c),b(e)]}$ and

$$\bar{H}(x) = \int_{a(d)}^{a(x)} f(y)v(y)dy + \int_{b(x)}^{b(e)} f(y)v(y)dy$$

The following statement is giving a two-sided estimate for $\mathscr{K}(I)$.

Lemma 2.1. We have

(2.1)
$$\frac{1}{4} \left[\sup_{f: \text{ supp } f \subseteq [a(d), a(c)]} \frac{\|w_d H\|_p}{\|f\|_p} + \sup_{f: \text{ supp } f \subseteq [b(c), b(d)]} \frac{\|w_e H\|_p}{\|f\|_p} \right] \\ \leq \mathscr{K}(I) \leq 2 \sup_{f \in L^p} \frac{\|w\bar{H}\|_{p, I}}{\|f\|_p}.$$

Proof. To prove the lower estimate we take $f = f_a$ and write

$$\mathcal{K}(I) \|f_a\|_p \ge \|w_d(H - H_I)\|_p \ge \|w_d H\|_p - \|w_d H_I\|_p$$
$$= \|w_d H\|_p - |H_I| W_{[d,c]}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

In view of $\int_d^c w^p = \frac{1}{2} \int_d^e w^p$ we have

(2.2)
$$\mathscr{K}(I) \|f_a\|_p \ge \|w_d H\|_p - \frac{\int_d^c H w^p}{2^{1/p} W_{[d,c]}^{\frac{1}{p'}}},$$

and, by Hölder's inequality,

(2.3)
$$\mathscr{K}(I) \|f_a\|_p \ge \frac{1}{2} \|w_d H\|_p.$$

Analogously, with $f = f_b$ we can obtain the estimate

(2.4)
$$\mathscr{K}(I) \| f \|_{p,[b(c),b(d)]} \ge \frac{1}{2} \| w_e H \|_p,$$

which yields the required lower estimate when combined with (2.3).

The upper estimate is following from the fact that $H(x) - H_I = -[\bar{H}(x) - \bar{H}_I]$ and the inequality

$$||w(\bar{H} - \bar{H}_I)||_{p,I} \le 2||w\bar{H}||_{p,I}.$$

Throughout this section we shall broadly use the fact that $\mathscr{K}(I) = \mathscr{K}(d, e)$ is continuously depending on an interval I = (d, e). This follows from the above statement or from the equivalence

$$||w(H - H_I)||_{p,I} \approx W_I^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_I \int_I |H(t) - H(s)|^p w^p(s) w^p(t) \mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and arguments similar to those in [2, Lemma 5]. Therefore, given $0 < M\varepsilon < \mathscr{K}(\mathbb{R}^+), M \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and numbers $0 = c_0 < c_1 \dots c_{MN} < c_{MN+1} = \infty, M \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\mathscr{K}(I_n) = \varepsilon$ for $n = 0, \dots, MN - 1$, where $I_n = (c_n, c_{n+1})$, and $\mathscr{K}(I_{MN}) \leq \varepsilon$. With all these assumptions we claim the following statement.

Lemma 2.2. Let $1 and <math>0 < 7\varepsilon < \mathscr{K}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Suppose that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and numbers $0 = c_0 < c_1 \dots c_{7N} < c_{7N+1} = \infty$ such that $\mathscr{K}(I_n) = \varepsilon$ for $n = 0, \dots, 7N - 1$, where $I_n = (c_n, c_{n+1})$, and $\mathscr{K}(I_{7N}) \leq \varepsilon$. Then $a_N(\mathscr{H}) \geq \varepsilon/2$.

Proof. Let $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. By assumption that $\mathscr{K}(I_n) = \varepsilon$ for $n = 0, \ldots, 7N-1$ there exist f_n such that

(2.5)
$$||w(F_n - (F_n)_{I_n})||_{q,I_n} > \lambda \varepsilon ||f_n||_p,$$

where $F_n(x) = \int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} f_n(y)v(y)dy$ and the intervals I_n are of two types. The first type, say \mathscr{I}_1 , is consisting of all I_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}_1 \subseteq \{1, \ldots, 7N\}$, with end points c_n and c_{n+1} satisfying the property $b(c_n) \leq a(c_{n+1})$. The

5

second type \mathscr{I}_2 is formed from all the rest $I_n, n \in \mathbb{N}_2 \subseteq \{1, \ldots, 7N\},\$ $\mathbb{N}_1 \cap \mathbb{N}_2 = \emptyset$, that is from those satisfying $b(c_n) > a(c_{n+1})$.

Define a dominant class \mathscr{I}_d of intervals I_n as follows:

$$\mathscr{I}_d = \begin{cases} \mathscr{I}_1, & \text{if } \sharp \{I_n \in \mathscr{I}_1\} > 2N, \\ \mathscr{I}_2, & \text{if } \sharp \{I_n \in \mathscr{I}_2\} > 5N, \\ \text{either } \mathscr{I}_1 \text{ or } \mathscr{I}_2, & \text{if } \sharp \{I_n \in \mathscr{I}_1\} = 2N \text{ and } \sharp \{I_n \in \mathscr{I}_2\} = 5N. \end{cases}$$

We shall consider such a dominant class \mathscr{I}_d of intervals I_n and will take into account N its members only, say, $I_m \in \mathscr{I}_d, m = 1, \ldots, N$. Given N the set of I_m will be chosen depending on \mathscr{I}_d . Further, chosen $\{I_m\}_{m=1}^N$ we introduce functions

$$\phi_m = f_m \chi_{[a(c_m), a(c_{m+1})]} + f_m \chi_{[b(c_m), b(c_{m+1})]}, \quad m = 1, \dots, N,$$

and suppose that a linear operator K is of rank less than N. Then there exist constants $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N$, not all zero, such that

(2.6)
$$K\left(\sum_{m=1}^{N}\lambda_{m}\phi_{m}\right) = 0.$$

Besides, we define $\phi = \sum_{m=1}^{N} \lambda_m \phi_m$ and put $\Phi(x) = \int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} \phi(y) v(y) dy$. Consider first the situation when $\mathscr{I}_d = \mathscr{I}_1$. Notice that in view of

 $b(c_n) \leq a(c_{n+1})$ we have $\phi_n = f_n \chi_{[a(c_n),b(c_{n+1})]}$. Moreover, the supports of ϕ_n with only odd serial numbers $n \in \mathbb{N}_1$ (or only even serial numbers $n \in \mathbb{N}_1$) are disjoint. Let us take as $I_m \in \mathscr{I}_d = \mathscr{I}_1$ exactly N intervals $I_n \in \mathscr{I}_1$ with even serial numbers $n \in \mathbb{N}_1$. Notice that in such a case

$$\Phi(x) = \int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} \phi(y)v(y) \mathrm{d}y = \lambda_m F_m(x), \qquad x \in I_m.$$

It is known (see e.g. [2, p. 482]) that for all constants k,

(2.7)
$$\|w(F - F_I)\|_{p,I} \le \|w(F - k)\|_{p,I} + \|w(k - F)_I\|_{p,I} \le 2\|w(F - k)\|_{p,I}.$$

Thus, by (2.6), (2.7) and (2.5)

$$\|\mathscr{H}\phi - K\phi\|_{p,\mathbb{R}^{+}}^{p} = \|\mathscr{H}\phi\|_{p,\mathbb{R}^{+}}^{p} \ge \sum_{m=1}^{N} \|w\Phi\|_{p,I_{m}}^{p}$$
$$= \sum_{m=1}^{N} |\lambda_{m}|^{p} \|wF_{m}\|_{p,I_{m}}^{p} \ge \frac{1}{2^{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} |\lambda_{m}|^{p} \|w(F_{m} - (F_{m})_{I_{m}})\|_{p,I_{m}}^{p}$$
$$> \frac{(\lambda\varepsilon)^{p}}{2^{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} |\lambda_{m}|^{p} \|f_{m}\|_{p}^{p} \ge \frac{(\lambda\varepsilon)^{p}}{2^{p}} \|\phi\|_{p}^{p}.$$

Hence, we obtain the estimate

(2.8)
$$\|\mathscr{H}\phi - K\phi\|_{p,\mathbb{R}^+}^p > \frac{(\lambda\varepsilon)^p}{2^p} \|\phi\|_p^p,$$

which shows that $a_N(\mathscr{H}) \geq \lambda \varepsilon/2$ with λ chosen arbitrary close to 1. Thus, $a_N(\mathscr{H}) \geq \varepsilon/2$.

To consider the situation when $\mathscr{I}_d = \mathscr{I}_2$ we introduce a sequence $\{\xi_k\}_{k=0}^K \subset (0,\infty), \ 0 < K < \infty$, as follows. Let $I_{n_1}, \ n_1 \in \mathbb{N}_2$, be the interval of the type \mathscr{I}_2 which appears first from the left. Then we put

(2.9)
$$\xi_0 = c_{n_1}, \quad \xi_k = (a^{-1} \circ b)^k (c_{n_1}), \quad k = 0, \dots, K,$$

where $\xi_K \geq c_{7N}$. Notice that in view of $b(c_n) > a(c_{n+1})$ we have $c_{n_1+1} < \xi_1 = a^{-1}(b(c_{n_1}))$, that is $I_{n_1} \subset [\xi_0, \xi_1) =: \Delta_0$. Moreover, by the same reason all the intervals from \mathscr{I}_2 have non-empty intersections with at most two neighbour segments $\Delta_k := [\xi_k, \xi_{k+1})$. Now we divide all the intervals of the type \mathscr{I}_2 into two subclasses. The first one, $\mathscr{I}_{2,1}$, is consisting of all $I_n \in \mathscr{I}_2$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_{2,1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_2$, which are having non-empty intersections (of measure greater than 0) with two neighbour segments $[\xi_k, \xi_{k+1})$. The second subclass $\mathscr{I}_{2,2}$ is consisting of all the rest $I_n \in \mathscr{I}_2$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_{2,2} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_2$, which are such that $I_n \subset \Delta_{k(n)}$ for some k(n). Now we determine a dominant subclass $\mathscr{I}_{2,d}$ of intervals I_n from \mathscr{I}_2 . Such a subclass must be represented by not less than 3N intervals I_n of type $\mathscr{I}_{2,1}$ or by not less than 2N intervals I_n from $\mathscr{I}_{2,2}$.

Let $\mathscr{I}_{2,d} = \mathscr{I}_{2,1}$ first. As usual we shall take into account exactly N intervals $I_m \in \mathscr{I}_{2,1}$, which have, for instance, serial numbers multiple of 3. Notice that in such a case the corresponding functions ϕ_m have disjoint supports. Moreover, for $x \in I_m$ it holds that

$$\Phi(x) = \lambda_m \int_{a(x)}^{a(c_{m+1})} f_m v + \lambda_m \int_{b(c_m)}^{b(x)} f_m v$$
$$= \lambda_m \int_{a(x)}^{a(c_{m+1})} f_m v \pm \lambda_m \int_{a(c_{m+1})}^{b(c_m)} f_m v + \lambda_m \int_{b(c_m)}^{b(x)} f_m v$$
$$= \lambda_m F_m(x) - \lambda_m \int_{a(c_{m+1})}^{b(c_m)} f_m v =: \lambda_m [F_m(x) + \mu_m].$$

Thus, similar to the case $\mathscr{I}_d = \mathscr{I}_1$

$$\|\mathscr{H}\phi - K\phi\|_{p,\mathbb{R}^{+}}^{p} = \|\mathscr{H}\phi\|_{p,\mathbb{R}^{+}}^{p} \ge \sum_{m=1}^{N} \|w\Phi\|_{p,I_{m}}^{p}$$
$$= \sum_{m=1}^{N} |\lambda_{m}|^{p} \|w(F_{m} - \mu_{m})\|_{p,I_{m}}^{p} \ge \frac{1}{2^{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} |\lambda_{m}|^{p} \|F_{m} - (F_{m})_{I_{m}}\|_{p,I_{m}}^{p}$$
$$> \frac{(\lambda\varepsilon)^{p}}{2^{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} |\lambda_{m}|^{p} \|f_{m}\|_{p}^{p} \ge \frac{(\lambda\varepsilon)^{p}}{2^{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} |\lambda_{m}|^{p} \|\phi_{m}\|_{p}^{p} \ge \frac{(\lambda\varepsilon)^{p}}{2^{p}} \|\phi\|_{p}^{p},$$

and the required estimate $a_N(\mathscr{H}) \geq \varepsilon/2$ follows.

If $\mathscr{I}_{2,d} = \mathscr{I}_{2,2}$ then there exist at least 2N intervals I_n which are located inside of some segments $\Delta_{k(n)}$. Let us numerate the segments Δ_k with such I_n inside from 1 to some $K_0 \leq K$. Notice that some of Δ_k may include more than 1 of the intervals $I_n \in \mathscr{I}_{2,2}$. Denote $\Delta_{odd} := \bigcup_{\text{odd } k} \Delta_k$ and $\Delta_{even} := \bigcup_{\text{even } k} \Delta_k$. Since $\mathscr{I}_{2,2}$ is dominating then at least one of the two sets Δ_{odd} and Δ_{even} is represented by not less than N intervals $I_m \in \mathscr{I}_{2,2}$. We shall consider such a set taking into account only N its members I_m . By the construction, for all $x \in I_m$

$$\Phi(x) = \int_{a(x)}^{a(c_{m+1})} \phi v + \int_{a(c_{m+1})}^{b(c_m)} \phi v + \int_{b(c_m)}^{b(x)} \phi v$$
$$= \lambda_m \int_{a(x)}^{a(c_{m+1})} f_m v + \int_{a(c_{m+1})}^{b(c_m)} \phi v + \lambda_m \int_{b(c_m)}^{b(x)} f_m v \pm \lambda_m \int_{a(c_{m+1})}^{b(c_m)} f_m v$$
$$= \lambda_m F_m(x) + \int_{a(c_{m+1})}^{b(c_m)} \phi v - \lambda_m \int_{a(c_{m+1})}^{b(c_m)} f_m v =: \lambda_m [F_m(x) + \nu_m].$$

We have

$$\|\mathscr{H}\phi - K\phi\|_{p,\mathbb{R}^{+}}^{p} = \|\mathscr{H}\phi\|_{p,\mathbb{R}^{+}}^{p} \ge \sum_{m=1}^{N} \|w\Phi\|_{p,I_{m}}^{p}$$
$$= \sum_{m=1}^{N} |\lambda_{m}|^{p} \|w(F_{m} - \nu_{m})\|_{p,I_{m}}^{p} \ge \frac{1}{2^{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} |\lambda_{m}|^{p} \|F_{m} - (F_{m})_{I_{m}}\|_{p,I_{m}}^{p}$$
$$> \frac{(\lambda\varepsilon)^{p}}{2^{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} |\lambda_{m}|^{p} \|f_{m}\|_{p}^{p} \ge \frac{(\lambda\varepsilon)^{p}}{2^{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} |\lambda_{m}|^{p} \|\phi_{m}\|_{p}^{p} \ge \frac{(\lambda\varepsilon)^{p}}{2^{p}} \|\phi\|_{p}^{p}.$$

This implies the required estimate $a_N(\mathscr{H}) \geq \varepsilon/2$.

 $\overline{7}$

The next lemma is giving the similar estimate for $a_n(\mathscr{H})$ from above.

Lemma 2.3. Let $1 and <math>0 < \varepsilon < ||\mathscr{H}||$. Suppose that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and numbers $0 = c_0 < c_1 \dots c_N < c_{N+1} = \infty$ such that $\mathscr{K}(I_n) = \varepsilon$ for $n = 0, \dots, N-1$, where $I_n = (c_n, c_{n+1})$, and $\mathscr{K}(I_N) \leq \varepsilon$. Then $a_{N+2}(\mathscr{H}) \leq 7^{1/p}\varepsilon$.

Proof. First of all notice that in view of properties of the operator H

(2.10)
$$\mathscr{K}(I_n) = \sup_{f: \operatorname{supp} f \subseteq [a(c_n), b(c_{n+1})]} \frac{\|w(H - H_{I_n})\|_{q, I_n}}{\|f\|_p}$$

Moreover, since $\mathscr{K}(I) = \mathscr{\bar{K}}(I) := \sup_{f \neq 0} \frac{\|w(\bar{H} - \bar{H}_I)\|_{p,I}}{\|f\|_p}$ with

$$\bar{H}(x) = \int_{a(c_n)}^{a(x)} fv + \int_{b(x)}^{b(c_{n+1})} fv,$$

we have

(2.11)
$$\mathscr{K}(I_n) = \sup_{f: \operatorname{supp} f \subseteq \left\{ [a(c_n), a(c_{n+1})] \cup [b(c_n), b(c_{n+1})] \right\}} \frac{\|w(\bar{H} - \bar{H}_I)\|_{q, I_n}}{\|f\|_p}.$$

Let $f \in L^p$ be such that $||f||_{p,\mathbb{R}^+} = 1$. We define

$$Kf = \sum_{n=0}^{N} K_{I_n} f, \qquad K_I f(x) = \chi_I(x) w(x) H_I.$$

Then K is bounded on L^p and has rank not grater than N + 1. We write

$$\|\mathscr{H}f - Kf\|_p^p = \sum_{n=0}^N \|\mathscr{H}f - K_{I_n}f\|_{p,I_n}^p = \sum_{n=0}^N \|w(H - H_{I_n})\|_{p,I_n}^p$$

Now divide all the intervals I_n , $0 \le n \le N$, into three groups \mathscr{I}_1 , $\mathscr{I}_{2,1}$ and $\mathscr{I}_{2,2}$ as in the proof of Lemma 2.2. Namely, let

$$\mathscr{I}_{1} = \left\{ I_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{1} \subseteq \{0, \dots, N\} : b(c_{n}) \le a(c_{n+1}) \right\},$$
$$\mathscr{I}_{2,1} = \left\{ I_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{2,1} \subseteq \{0, \dots, N\} : b(c_{n}) > a(c_{n+1}) \text{ and } \right\}$$

there exists two neighbour Δ_k such that meas $\{I_n \cap \Delta_k\} \neq \emptyset$,

$$\mathscr{I}_{2,2} = \left\{ I_n, n \in \mathbb{N}_{2,2} \subseteq \{0, \dots, N\} : b(c_n) > a(c_{n+1}) \text{ and} \right\}$$

there exists the only Δ_k such that meas $\{I_n \cap \Delta_k\} \neq \emptyset$.

Notice that $\mathbb{N}_1 \cap \mathbb{N}_{2,1} \cap \mathbb{N}_{2,2} = \emptyset$. First consider the class \mathscr{I}_1 . Assume that $n \in \mathbb{N}_1$ counts only odd (or only even) serial numbers from \mathbb{N}_1 . Then, in view of (2.10), the set consists of those I_n whose norms $\mathscr{K}(I_n)$ are achieved on functions with non-overlapping supports. Thus, by (2.10)

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_1} \|w(H - H_{I_n})\|_{p,I_n}^p = \sum_{\text{odd } n \in \mathbb{N}_1} \|w(H - H_{I_n})\|_{p,I_n}^p$$
$$+ \sum_{\text{even } n \in \mathbb{N}_1} \|w(H - H_{I_n})\|_{p,I_n}^p \leq 2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_1} \mathscr{K}^p(I_n) \|f\|_p^p$$
$$\leq 2\varepsilon^p \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_1} \|f\|_p^p \leq 2\varepsilon^p \|f\|_{p,\mathbb{R}^+}^p = \gamma_1 \varepsilon^p, \quad \gamma_1 = 2.$$

Properties of the class $\mathscr{I}_{2,1}$ (see proof of Lemma 2.2) and (2.10) allow us to obtain similar estimate for I_n with $n \in \mathbb{N}_{2,1}$:

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{2,1}} \|w(H - H_{I_n})\|_{p,I_n}^p \le \gamma_2 \varepsilon^p, \quad \gamma_2 = 3.$$

Finally, taking into account (2.11) we can give similar estimate for all I_n from the class $\mathscr{I}_{2,2}$:

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{2,2}} \|w(H - H_{I_n})\|_{p,I_n}^p \le \gamma_3 \varepsilon^p, \quad \gamma_3 = 2.$$

In summary, we have

$$\|\mathscr{H}f - Kf\|_p^p \le \sum_{i=1,2,3} \gamma_i \varepsilon^p = 7\varepsilon^p,$$

which yields the required upper estimate $a_{N+2}(\mathscr{H}) \leq 7^{1/p}\varepsilon$.

2.2. Denotations and technical lemmas. Given boundaries a(x), b(x) put a sequence $\{\xi_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \subset (0,\infty)$ such that

(2.12)
$$\xi_0 = 1, \quad \xi_k = (a^{-1} \circ b)^k (1), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and denote

$$\nu_{k} := \sup_{t \in (\xi_{k}, \xi_{k+1})} \left(\int_{b^{-1}(\sigma(t))}^{a^{-1}(\sigma(t))} w^{p}(x) \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} v^{p'}(y) \mathrm{d}y \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}},$$
$$\bar{\nu}_{k} := \sup_{t \in (\xi_{k}, \xi_{k+1})} \left(\int_{b^{-1}(\sigma(t))}^{a^{-1}(\sigma(t))} w^{p}(x) \chi_{[\xi_{k}, \xi_{k+1}]}(x) \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} v^{p'}(y) \mathrm{d}y \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}},$$
$$\tilde{\nu}_{k} := \left(\int_{\xi_{k}}^{\xi_{k+1}} w^{p}(x) \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{a(\sigma^{-1}(a(\xi_{k+1})))}^{b(\sigma^{-1}(a(\xi_{k+1})))} v^{p'}(y) \mathrm{d}y \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}},$$

where $\sigma(t)$ is a fairway-function satisfying $a(t) < \sigma(t) < b(t), t > 0$ and

(2.13)
$$\int_{a(t)}^{\sigma(t)} v^{p'}(y) dy = \int_{\sigma(t)}^{b(t)} v^{p'}(y) dy, \quad t > 0.$$

(see [11, Definition 2.4] for details). Further, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we introduce a sequence $\{x_j\}, -j_a(k) \leq j(k) \leq j_b(k) - 1$, analogous to that in [11, Lemmas 2.7, 2.8]:

- (1) $x_{-j_a(k)} = \xi_k, x_0 = \sigma^{-1}(b(\xi_k)) = \sigma^{-1}(a(\xi_{k+1})), x_{j_b(k)} = \xi_{k+1};$ (2) if $\sigma^{-1}(a(x_0)) \le \xi_k$ then $j_a(k) = 1;$
- (3) if $\sigma^{-1}(b(x_0)) \ge \xi_{k+1}$ then $j_b(k) = 1$;
- (4) if $\sigma^{-1}(a(x_0)) > \xi_k$ then $j_a(k) > 1$ and $x_{j(k)-1} = \sigma^{-1}(a(x_{j(k)}))$ for $x_{j(k)-1} > \xi_k$, $j_a(k) + 2 \le j(k) \le 0$;
- (5) if $\sigma^{-1}(b(x_0)) > \xi_{k+1}$ then $j_b(k) > 1$ and $x_{j(k)+1} = \sigma^{-1}(b(x_{j(k)}))$ for $x_{j(k)+1} < \xi_{k+1}, 0 \le j(k) \le j_b(k) - 2$.

Further, define $m = \sum_{l < k} \sum_{i=-j_a}^{j_b - 1} i(l) + \sum_{-j_a \le i \le j(k) < j_b} i(k)$ and put

$$\mu_m := \left(\int_{x_m}^{x_{m+1}} w^p(x) \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{a(x_m)}^{b(x_{m+1})} v^{p'}(y) \mathrm{d}y\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}$$
$$= \left(\int_{x_{j(k)}}^{x_{j(k)+1}} w^p(x) \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{a(x_{j(k)})}^{b(x_{j(k)+1})} v^{p'}(y) \mathrm{d}y\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}.$$

It follows from [11, Theorem 4.1] that

(2.14)
$$\beta_p \tilde{\nu}_k \leq \beta_p \bar{\nu}_k \leq \beta_p \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \nu_k \leq \|\mathscr{H}\|_{L^p \to L^p} \leq \gamma_p \sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_m.$$

We establish our result in terms of sequences μ_m and ν_k . Meanwhile, in view of $\tilde{\nu}_k \leq \bar{\nu}_k \leq \nu_k$ two other sequences $\tilde{\nu}_k$ and $\bar{\nu}_k$ can be taken instead of ν_k for checking necessary condition for \mathscr{H} to be in $\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}, \alpha > 0$. Notice that the sequence $\tilde{\nu}_k$ is consisting of the smallest elements and being the most convenient for calculation.

We shall need the three statements below to prove our lower estimate.

Lemma 2.4. Let $c \in I$ be chosen so that $W_{[d,c]} = W_I/2$. Suppose that $0 < \varepsilon < ||\mathcal{H}||$ and assume that $\sharp S_I(4\varepsilon/\beta_p) \ge 4$, where

$$S_I(\varepsilon) := \{ k \in \mathbb{Z} \colon \Delta_k \subset I, \ \nu_k > \varepsilon \}.$$

Then $\mathscr{K}(I) > \varepsilon$.

Proof. Since $\sharp S_I(4\varepsilon/\beta_p) \geq 4$ then at least one of the intervals $I_d := [d, b^{-1}(a(c))], I_e := [a^{-1}(b(c)), e]$, say I_d , contains not less than one member of $S_I(4\varepsilon/\beta_p)$ not hidden by "viewless zone" $[b^{-1}(a(c)), a^{-1}(b(c))]$.

Therefore, by Lemma 2.1 and (2.14)

$$\mathscr{K}(I) \geq \frac{1}{4} \left[\sup_{f: \text{ supp } f \subseteq [a(d), a(c)]} \frac{\|w_d H\|_p}{\|f\|_p} + \sup_{f: \text{ supp } f \subseteq [b(c), b(d)]} \frac{\|w_e H\|_p}{\|f\|_p} \right]$$
$$\geq \frac{\beta_p}{4} \nu_k > \varepsilon.$$

Lemma 2.5. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $N = N(\varepsilon)$ be the length of the sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^{7N+1}$ from Lemma 2.2 with $c_0 = 0$ and $c_{7N+1} = \infty$. Then

 $\sharp\{k \in \mathbb{Z} \colon \nu_k > 4\varepsilon/\beta_p\} \le 28N(\varepsilon).$

Proof. We have

$$\sharp\{k \in \mathbb{Z} \colon c_n \in \Delta_k \text{ for some } n, 1 \le n \le 7N\} \le 7N.$$

For every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, which is not included in the above set, $\overline{\Delta}_k \subset I_n$ for some $n, 0 \leq n \leq 7N - 1$. Then by Lemma 2.4

$$#\{k \in \mathbb{Z} \colon \nu_k > 4\varepsilon/\beta_p\} \le 3.$$

Thus,

$$\sharp\{k \in \mathbb{Z} \colon \nu_k > 4\varepsilon/\beta_p\} \le \sum_{k=0}^{7N-1} \sharp\{k \in \mathbb{Z} \colon \bar{\Delta}_k \subseteq I_n, \, \nu_k > 4\varepsilon/\beta_p\}$$
$$+7N \le 3 \cdot 7N + 7N = 28N.$$

Lemma 2.6. We have for all t > 0:

$$\sharp\{k \in \mathbb{Z} \colon \nu_k > t\} \le 28 \sharp\{n \in \mathbb{N} \colon a_n(\mathscr{H}) \ge \beta_p t/8\}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.2

$$\sharp\{n \in \mathbb{N} \colon a_n(\mathscr{H}) \ge 2^{-1}\varepsilon\} \ge N(\varepsilon).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.5

$$\sharp\{k \in \mathbb{Z} \colon \nu_k > t\} \le 28N(\beta_p t/4) \le 28\sharp\{n \in \mathbb{N} \colon a_n(\mathscr{H}) \ge \beta_p t/8\}.$$

The next lemma will help us to estimate $\|\mathscr{H}\|_{\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}}$ from above.

Lemma 2.7. Let $\delta_m = (x_m, x_{m+1})$, $I_n = (c_n, c_{n+1})$ and $x_m < c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_{l-1} < x_{m+1}$, l > 1. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \left(\int_{x_m}^{x_{m+1}} w^p \chi_{I_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{a(x_m)}^{b(x_{m+1})} v^{p'} \left[\chi_{[a(c_i), a(c_{i+1})]} + \chi_{[b(c_i), b(c_{i+1})]} \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq \mu_m.$$

Proof. The statement is following by Hölder's inequality.

2.3. The lower and upper estimates for the Schatten norms.

Theorem 2.8. For all $\alpha > 0$,

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\nu_k^{\alpha} \le 28\left(\frac{8}{\beta_p}\right)^{\alpha}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}a_n^{\alpha}(\mathscr{H}).$$

Proof. By [1, Proposition II.1.8] and in view of Lemma 2.6

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\nu_k^{\alpha} = \alpha \int_0^{\infty} t^{\alpha-1} \sharp\{k\in\mathbb{Z} \colon \nu_k > t\} dt$$
$$\leq 28\alpha \int_0^{\infty} t^{\alpha-1} \sharp\{n\in\mathbb{N} \colon a_n(\mathscr{H}) \ge \beta_p t/8\} dt$$
$$= 28 \left(\frac{8}{\beta_p}\right)^{\alpha} \int_0^{\infty} \tau^{\alpha-1} \sharp\{n\in\mathbb{N} \colon a_n(\mathscr{H}) \ge \tau\} d\tau$$
$$= 28 \left(\frac{8}{\beta_p}\right)^{\alpha} \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_n^{\alpha}(\mathscr{H}).$$

Theorem 2.9. Let $\alpha > 1$. Then

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_n^{\alpha}(\mathscr{H}) \le 2^{\alpha} \zeta(\alpha) 7^{\alpha/p} \gamma_p^{\alpha} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \mu_m^{\alpha}.$$

Proof. Given $N = N(\varepsilon)$ there exist two options for positioning of the intervals I_n , $0 \le n \le N-1$ with respect to the segments $\delta_m := [x_m, x_{m+1})$:

(1) two neighbour points, say c_{n_0} and c_{n_0+1} , are in different intervals δ_m , say $\delta_{m(n_0)}$ and $\delta_{m(n_0)+1}$, where $m(n_0) < m(n_0+1)$;

(2) not less than two neighbour points, say $c_{n_1}, \ldots, c_{n_1+l-1}$ with l > 1, are in the same interval δ_m , that is $m(n_1) = m(n_1+1) = \ldots = m(n_1+l-1)$ (or $I_i \subseteq \delta_{m(n_1)}$ for $n_1 \le i \le n_1+l-1$), where l > 1.

By Lemma 2.1 and (2.14) we have

$$\varepsilon = \mathscr{K}(I_n) \le 2\gamma_p \sup_{m(n_0) \le m \le m(n_0+1)} \mu_m =: 2\gamma_p \,\mu_{m_0}(n)$$

in the first situation. In the second case, by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.7

$$\varepsilon l = \sum_{i=n_1}^{n_1+l-1} \mathscr{K}(I_i) \le 2 \gamma_p \mu_{m_1}(n).$$

We have

$$N(\varepsilon) = \sharp \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} \colon \mu_{m_0}(n) \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{2\gamma_p} \right\} + \sum_{l>1} \sharp \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} \colon \mu_{m_1}(n) \ge \frac{\varepsilon l}{2\gamma_p} \right\}$$
$$\leq \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sharp \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} \colon \mu_m(n) \ge \frac{\varepsilon l}{2\gamma_p} \right\} \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sharp \left\{ m \in \mathbb{Z} \colon \mu_m \ge \frac{\varepsilon n}{2\gamma_p} \right\}.$$

On the strength of Lemma 2.3

$$\sharp\{n \in \mathbb{N} \colon a_n(\mathscr{H}) > 7^{1/p}\varepsilon\} \le N(\varepsilon) + 1 \le 2N(\varepsilon)$$

Thus, by [1, Proposition II.1.8]

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n^{\alpha}(\mathscr{H}) = \alpha \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha - 1} \sharp \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \colon a_n(\mathscr{H}) > t \} dt$$
$$\leq 2\alpha \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha - 1} N(t/7^{1/p}) dt$$
$$\leq 2\alpha \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sharp \{ m \in \mathbb{Z} \colon \mu_m \ge \frac{tn}{2 \cdot 7^{1/p} \gamma_p} \} dt$$
$$\leq 2^\alpha \alpha 7^{\alpha/p} \gamma_p^\alpha \int_0^\infty \tau^{\alpha - 1} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^\alpha} \sharp \{ m \in \mathbb{Z} \colon \mu_m \ge \tau \} d\tau$$
$$= 2^\alpha \zeta(\alpha) 7^{\alpha/p} \gamma_p^\alpha \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_m^\alpha.$$

It follows from Theorem 2.9 and properties of the intervals δ_m that

(2.15)
$$\|\mathscr{H}\|_{\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}} \ll \left(\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}\mu_{m}^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \ll \mathscr{V}$$

for all $1 < \alpha, p < \infty$, where

(2.16)
$$\mathscr{V}^{\alpha} := \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\int_{b^{-1}(t)}^{a^{-1}(t)} w^{p} \right]^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \left[\int_{a(\sigma^{-1}(t))}^{b(\sigma^{-1}(t))} v^{p'} \right]^{\frac{\alpha}{p'} - 1} v^{p'}(t) dt < \infty.$$

By the same reason we have

(2.17)
$$\|\mathscr{H}\|_{\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}} \ll \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_{m}^{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \ll \mathscr{W},$$

for $\alpha \geq p$, where

(2.18)
$$\mathscr{W}^{\alpha} := \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[\int_{b^{-1}(\sigma(t))}^{a^{-1}(\sigma(t))} w^{p} \right]^{\frac{\alpha}{p}-1} \left[\int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} v^{p'} \right]^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} w^{p}(t) \mathrm{d}t < \infty.$$

For establishing (2.15) notice that

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_m^{\alpha} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{-j_a(k) \le j \le j_b(k) - 1} \mu_{j(k)}^{\alpha} =: \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} J_k.$$

Besides, by [11, Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8] it holds that

(2.19)
$$\int_{a(x_{j(k)})}^{b(x_{j(k)+1})} v^{p'} \approx \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} v^{p'}, \qquad t \in [x_{j(k)}, x_{j(k)+1}].$$

The rest follows from arguments analogous to those in the proof of Theorem 3.1 (see (3.4) - (3.7)). The estimate (2.17) is going on the strength of (2.19) and by the following facts:

$$J_{k} \simeq \sum_{-j_{a}(k) \leq j \leq -1} \int_{x_{j(k)}}^{x_{j(k)+1}} \left(\int_{x_{j(k)}}^{t} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}-1} w^{p}(t) dt \left(\int_{a(x_{j(k)})}^{b(x_{j(k)+1})} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} + \sum_{0 \leq j \leq j_{b}(k)-1} \int_{x_{j(k)}}^{x_{j(k)+1}} \left(\int_{t}^{x_{j(k)+1}} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}-1} w^{p}(t) dt \left(\int_{a(x_{j(k)})}^{b(x_{j(k)+1})} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} \leq \sum_{-j_{a}(k) \leq j \leq -1} \int_{x_{j(k)}}^{x_{j(k)+1}} \left(\int_{b^{-1}(\sigma(t))}^{t} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}-1} w^{p}(t) dt \left(\int_{a(x_{j(k)})}^{b(x_{j(k)+1})} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} + \sum_{0 \leq j \leq j_{b}(k)-1} \int_{x_{j(k)}}^{x_{j(k)+1}} \left(\int_{t}^{a^{-1}(\sigma(t))} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}-1} w^{p}(t) dt \left(\int_{a(x_{j(k)})}^{b(x_{j(k)+1})} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}}.$$

In some special cases of the boundaries a, b and weights v, w the twosided estimate obtained in Theorems 2.8 and 2.9 for the Schatten-von Neumann norm $\|\mathscr{H}\|_{\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}}$ of the Hardy-Steklov operator \mathscr{H} becomes symmetric giving a criterion for \mathscr{H} to be in a class \mathbf{S}_{α} for $1 < \alpha < \infty$.

Example 2.10. Let a(x) = Ax, b(x) = Bx for some $0 < A < B < \infty$ and $v \equiv 1$. Then, by definition (2.13), the fairway $\sigma(x) = (A + B)x/2$.

Given w, α and p consider a quantity $\Lambda_k^{\alpha} := \tilde{\nu}_{k-1}^{\alpha} + \tilde{\nu}_k^{\alpha} + \tilde{\nu}_{k+1}^{\alpha}$. Denote $\xi_k^+ := \sigma^{-1}(b(\xi_k))$ and $\xi_k^- := \sigma^{-1}(a(\xi_k))$. Then

$$\begin{split} \Lambda_k^p &\approx \left[b(\xi_k^-) - a(\xi_k^-) \right]^{p-1} \int_{\xi_{k-1}}^{\xi_k} w^p + \left[b(\xi_k^+) - a(\xi_k^+) \right]^{p-1} \int_{\xi_k}^{\xi_{k+1}} w^p \\ &+ \left[b(\xi_{k+1}^+) - a(\xi_{k+1}^+) \right]^{p-1} \int_{\xi_{k+1}}^{\xi_{k+2}} w^p =: \tilde{\Lambda}_k^p \end{split}$$

provided $\xi_k^+ = \xi_{k+1}^-$. By the construction $\xi_k^- = 2A\xi_k/(A+B)$, $\xi_k^+ = 2B\xi_k/(A+B)$ and, therefore, $a(\xi_k^+) = 2AB\xi_k/(a+B) = b(\xi_k^-)$. Since $b(\xi_k) - a(\xi_k) > b(\xi_k^-) - \sigma(\xi_k^-)$ and $b(\xi_k) - a(\xi_k) > \sigma(\xi_k^+) - a(\xi_k^+)$ then there exist $1 < c_1, c_2 < \infty$ such that $B - A = Ac_1[2B/(A+B) - 1]$ and

 $B-A = Bc_2[1-2A/(A+B)]$, namely, $c_1 = 1+B/A$ and $c_2 = 1+A/B$. Together with property (2.13) it gives:

$$b(\xi_k^-) - a(\xi_k^-) = 2[b(\xi_k^-) - \sigma(\xi_k^-)] = 2[b(\xi_k) - a(\xi_k)]/c_1,$$

$$b(\xi_k^+) - a(\xi_k^+) = 2[\sigma(\xi_k^+) - a(\xi_k^+)] = 2[b(\xi_k) - a(\xi_k)]/c_2.$$

Analogously,

$$b(\xi_{k+1}^+) - a(\xi_{k+1}^+) = 2[b(\xi_{k+1}) - a(\xi_{k+1})]/c_2$$

Moreover, since $\xi_{k+1} = \frac{B}{A}\xi_k$ then

$$b(\xi_{k+1}) - a(\xi_{k+1}) = [B - A]\xi_{k+1} = \frac{B}{A}[B - A]\xi_k = \frac{B}{A}[b(\xi_k) - a(\xi_k)]$$

and $b(\xi_{k+1}) - a(\xi_k) = c_1[b(\xi_k) - a(\xi_k)]$. Therefore, in view of $1/c_1 < 1/c_2$,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\Lambda}_{k}^{p} &= \frac{2^{p-1}}{c_{1}^{p-1}} [b(\xi_{k}) - a(\xi_{k})]^{p-1} \int_{\xi_{k-1}}^{\xi_{k}} w^{p} + \frac{2^{p-1}}{c_{2}^{p-1}} [b(\xi_{k}) - a(\xi_{k})]^{p-1} \int_{\xi_{k}}^{\xi_{k+1}} w^{p} \\ &\quad + \frac{2^{p-1}}{c_{2}^{p-1}} [b(\xi_{k+1}) - a(\xi_{k+1})]^{p-1} \int_{\xi_{k+1}}^{\xi_{k+2}} w^{p} \\ &\geq \frac{2^{p-1}}{c_{1}^{p-1}} [b(\xi_{k}) - a(\xi_{k})]^{p-1} \int_{\xi_{k-1}}^{\xi_{k+1}} w^{p} + \frac{2^{p-1}}{c_{2}^{p-1}} \frac{B^{p-1}}{A^{p-1}} [b(\xi_{k}) - a(\xi_{k})]^{p-1} \int_{\xi_{k+1}}^{\xi_{k+2}} w^{p} \\ &\geq \frac{2^{p-1}}{c_{1}^{p-1}} [b(\xi_{k}) - a(\xi_{k})]^{p-1} \int_{\xi_{k-1}}^{\xi_{k+2}} w^{p} = \frac{2^{p-1}}{c_{1}^{2(p-1)}} [b(\xi_{k+1}) - a(\xi_{k})]^{p-1} \int_{\xi_{k-1}}^{\xi_{k+2}} w^{p}. \end{split}$$

Further, by Theorem 2.8 and in view of $\tilde{\nu}_k \leq \nu_k$ we obtain for $\alpha \geq p$

$$\begin{split} \|\mathscr{H}\|_{\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}}^{\alpha} \gg &\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\nu}_{k} \geq \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda_{k}^{\alpha} \approx \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\Lambda}_{k}^{\alpha} \gg \\ \gg & c_{1}^{-2\alpha/p'} [b(\xi_{k+1}) - a(\xi_{k})]^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} \left(\int_{\xi_{k-1}}^{\xi_{k+2}} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \\ \gg & c_{1}^{-2\alpha/p'} [b(\xi_{k+1}) - a(\xi_{k})]^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} \int_{\xi_{k}}^{\xi_{k+1}} \left(\int_{\xi_{k-1}}^{t} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}-1} w^{p}(t) dt \\ & + c_{1}^{-2\alpha/p'} [b(\xi_{k+1}) - a(\xi_{k})]^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} \int_{\xi_{k}}^{\xi_{k+1}} \left(\int_{t}^{a^{-1}(\sigma(t))} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}-1} w^{p}(t) dt \\ & \gg & c_{1}^{-2\alpha/p'} [b(\xi_{k+1}) - a(\xi_{k})]^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} \int_{\xi_{k}}^{\xi_{k+1}} \left(\int_{b^{-1}(\sigma(t))}^{a^{-1}(\sigma(t))} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}-1} w^{p}(t) dt \\ & \geq & c_{1}^{-2\alpha/p'} \int_{\xi_{k}}^{\xi_{k+1}} \left(\int_{b^{-1}(\sigma(t))}^{a^{-1}(\sigma(t))} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}-1} [b(t) - a(t)]^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} w^{p}(t) dt. \end{split}$$

This means that for this particular case of a, b and v the norm $\|\mathscr{H}\|_{\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}}, 1 < \alpha < \infty$, is equivalent to the functional

$$F := \left(\int_{\xi_k}^{\xi_{k+1}} \left(\int_{b^{-1}(\sigma(t))}^{a^{-1}(\sigma(t))} w^p \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}-1} [b(t) - a(t)]^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} w^p(t) \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}},$$

which finiteness is necessary and sufficient for belonging \mathscr{H} to the Schatten-von Neumann classes \mathbf{S}_{α} for all $1 < \alpha < \infty$.

3. An Alternative upper estimate

Theorem 3.1. Let $1 < \alpha, p < \infty$. Suppose that the operator \mathscr{H} : $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+) \to L^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is compact. If $\alpha \leq p$ then

$$(3.1) ||\mathscr{H}||_{\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}} \ll \mathscr{V}.$$

In the case $p \leq \alpha$ we have

$$(3.2) ||\mathscr{H}||_{\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}} \ll \mathscr{W}.$$

Proof. Given boundaries a(x), b(x) put the sequence $\{\xi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset (0, \infty)$ defined by the formula (2.12) and split the operator \mathscr{H} into the sum

$$\mathscr{H}f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[T_{k,1}f(x) + T_{k,2}f(x) + S_{k,1}f(x) + S_{k,2}f(x) \right]$$

of four block-diagonal operators

$$T_i f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} T_{i,k} f(x), \quad S_i f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{i,k} f(x), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

of the forms

$$\begin{aligned} T_{k,1}f(x) &:= w(x) \int_{a(x)}^{a(\xi_k)} f(y)v(y) \mathrm{d}y, \ x \in [\sigma^{-1}(a(\xi_{k+1})), \xi_{k+1}], \\ T_{k,2}f(x) &= w(x) \int_{a(x)}^{b(\xi_k)} f(y)v(y) \mathrm{d}y, \ x \in [\xi_k, \sigma^{-1}(b(\xi_k))], \\ S_{k,1}f(x) &= w(x) \int_{b(\xi_k)}^{b(x)} f(y)v(y) \mathrm{d}y, \ x \in [\xi_k, \sigma^{-1}(b(\xi_k))], \\ S_{k,2}f(x) &= w(x) \int_{a(\xi_k)}^{b(x)} f(y)v(y) \mathrm{d}y, \ x \in [\sigma^{-1}(a(\xi_{k+1})), \xi_{k+1}]. \end{aligned}$$

By [7, Lemma 2, Th. 2, 3, 4] and [8, Th. 2, 3, 4] we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|\mathscr{H}\|_{\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}}^{\alpha} &\leq \sum_{k} \|T_{k,1}\|_{\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}}^{\alpha} + \sum_{k} \|T_{k,2}\|_{\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}}^{\alpha} + \sum_{k} \|S_{k,1}\|_{\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}}^{\alpha} + \sum_{k} \|S_{k,2}\|_{\mathbf{S}_{\alpha}}^{\alpha} \\ &\ll \sum_{k} \int_{\sigma^{-1}(a(\xi_{k+1}))}^{\xi_{k+1}} \left(\int_{a(s)}^{a(\xi_{k+1})} v^{p'}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} \left(\int_{\sigma^{-1}(a(\xi_{k+1}))}^{s} w^{p}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}-1} w^{p}(s) \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \sum_{k} \int_{\xi_{k}}^{\sigma^{-1}(b(\xi_{k}))} \left(\int_{b(\xi_{k})}^{b(s)} v^{p'}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} \left(\int_{s}^{\sigma^{-1}(b(\xi_{k}))} w^{p}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}-1} w^{p}(s) \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \sum_{k} \int_{\xi_{k}}^{\sigma^{-1}(b(\xi_{k}))} \left(\int_{b(\xi_{k})}^{b(s)} v^{p'}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} \left(\int_{s}^{\sigma^{-1}(b(\xi_{k}))} w^{p}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}-1} w^{p}(s) \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \sum_{k} \int_{\sigma^{-1}(a(\xi_{k+1}))}^{\xi_{k+1}} \left(\int_{a(\xi_{k+1})}^{b(s)} v^{p'}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} \left(\int_{s}^{\xi_{k+1}} w^{p}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}-1} w^{p}(s) \mathrm{d}s \\ &=: \Sigma_{1} + \Sigma_{2} + \Sigma_{3} + \Sigma_{4}. \end{split}$$

Let $\alpha \leq p$ first. Any fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we introduce an additional sequence $\{x_{j(k)}\}_{j=-j_a(k)}^{j_b(k)}$ analogous to that on the page 10. Denote $\xi_k^+ := \sigma^{-1}(b(\xi_k))$ and $\xi_{k+1}^- = \sigma^{-1}(a(\xi_{k+1}))$. If $j_b = 1$ then $\sigma(\xi_{k+1}) \leq b(\xi_{k+1}^-)$ and on the strength of the property (2.13) of σ

$$\Sigma_1 \le \frac{p}{\alpha} \sum_k \left(\int_{a(\xi_{k+1})}^{b(\xi_{k+1}^-)} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} \left(\int_{\xi_{k+1}^-}^{\xi_{k+1}} w^p \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}}$$

and

$$\Sigma_{4} \leq 2^{\alpha/p'} \sum_{k} \int_{\xi_{k+1}^{-}}^{\xi_{k+1}} \left(\int_{a(s)}^{\sigma(s)} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} \left(\int_{s}^{\xi_{k+1}} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}-1} w^{p}(s) \mathrm{d}s$$
$$\leq 2^{\alpha/p'} \frac{p}{\alpha} \sum_{k} \left(\int_{a(\xi_{k+1}^{-})}^{\sigma(\xi_{k+1})} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} \left(\int_{\xi_{k+1}^{-}}^{\xi_{k+1}} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}}.$$

Therefore, by (2.13) and in view of $\sigma(\xi_{k+1}) \leq b(\xi_{k+1}^-)$

$$\Sigma_{1,4} := \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_4 \ll \sum_k \left(\int_{a(\xi_{k+1}^-)}^{b(\xi_{k+1}^-)} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} \left(\int_{\xi_{k+1}^-}^{\xi_{k+1}} w^p \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \\ \le 2^{\alpha/p'+1} \sum_k \left(\int_{a(\xi_{k+1}^-)}^{b(\xi_{k+1}^-)} v^{p'} \right)^{-1} \left(\int_{\sigma(\xi_{k+1}^-)}^{b(\xi_{k+1}^-)} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}+1} \left(\int_{\xi_{k+1}^-}^{\xi_{k+1}} w^p \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}}.$$

Notice that if $\sigma(\xi_{k+1}^-) \leq t \leq b(\xi_{k+1}^-)$ then $b(\xi_{k+1}^-) \leq b(\sigma^{-1}(t)), a(\xi_{k+1}^-) \leq a(\sigma^{-1}(t))$ and $b^{-1}(t) \leq \xi_{k+1}^- < \xi_{k+1} \leq a^{-1}(t)$. Besides, by (2.13)

(3.3)
$$\int_{a(\sigma^{-1}(t))}^{t} v^{p'}(y) \mathrm{d}y = \int_{t}^{b(\sigma^{-1}(t))} v^{p'}(y) \mathrm{d}y, \qquad t > 0.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{1,4} \ll &\sum_{k} \left(\int_{a(\xi_{k+1}^{-})}^{b(\xi_{k+1}^{-})} v^{p'} \right)^{-1} \int_{\sigma(\xi_{k+1}^{-})}^{b(\xi_{k+1}^{-})} \left(\int_{t}^{b(\xi_{k+1}^{-})} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} v^{p'}(t) dt \left(\int_{\xi_{k+1}^{-}}^{\xi_{k+1}} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \\ &\leq \sum_{k} \int_{\sigma(\xi_{k+1}^{-})}^{b(\xi_{k+1}^{-})} \left(\int_{t}^{b(\xi_{k+1}^{-})} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} \left(\int_{a(\xi_{k+1}^{-})}^{t} v^{p'} \right)^{-1} \left(\int_{b^{-1}(t)}^{a^{-1}(t)} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} v^{p'}(t) dt \\ &\leq \sum_{k} \int_{\sigma(\xi_{k+1}^{-})}^{b(\xi_{k+1}^{-})} \left(\int_{t}^{b(\sigma^{-1}(t))} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} \left(\int_{a(\sigma^{-1}(t))}^{t} v^{p'} \right)^{-1} \left(\int_{b^{-1}(t)}^{a^{-1}(t)} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} v^{p'}(t) dt \\ &\leq 2^{1-\alpha/p'} \sum_{k} \int_{a(\xi_{k+1})}^{b(\xi_{k+1})} \left(\int_{a(\sigma^{-1}(t))}^{b(\sigma^{-1}(t))} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}-1} \left(\int_{b^{-1}(t)}^{a^{-1}(t)} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} v^{p'}(t) dt. \end{split}$$

If $j_b > 1$ then we obtain provided $\alpha/p \le 1$:

$$\Sigma_{1} \leq \frac{p}{\alpha} \sum_{k} \left(\int_{a(\xi_{k+1}^{-})}^{a(\xi_{k+1})} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} \left(\int_{\xi_{k+1}^{-}}^{\xi_{k+1}} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} \\ \leq \frac{p}{\alpha} \sum_{k} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq j_{b}-1} \left(\int_{a(\xi_{k+1})}^{b(\xi_{k+1}^{-})} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} \left(\int_{x_{j(k)}}^{x_{j(k)+1}} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}}.$$

Further, in view of $\alpha/p-1 \leq 0$, properties of σ and $b(x_{k(j)}) \geq \sigma(x_{j(k)+1})$

$$\Sigma_{4} = \sum_{k} \sum_{0 \le j \le j_{b}-1} \int_{x_{j(k)}}^{x_{j(k)+1}} \left(\int_{a(\xi_{k+1})}^{b(s)} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} \left(\int_{s}^{\xi_{k+1}} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}-1} w^{p}(s) ds$$

$$\leq 2^{\alpha/p'} \sum_{k} \sum_{0 \le j \le j_{b}-1} \int_{x_{j(k)}}^{x_{j(k)+1}} \left(\int_{a(s)}^{\sigma(s)} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} \left(\int_{s}^{\xi_{k+1}} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}-1} w^{p}(s) ds$$

$$\leq 2^{\alpha/p'} \frac{p}{\alpha} \sum_{k} \sum_{0 \le j \le j_{b}-1} \left(\int_{a(x_{j(k)})}^{\sigma(x_{j(k)+1})} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} \left(\int_{x_{j(k)}}^{x_{j(k)+1}} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}}$$

$$\leq 2^{2\alpha/p'} \frac{p}{\alpha} \sum_{k} \sum_{0 \le j \le j_{b}-1} \left(\int_{\sigma(x_{j(k)})}^{b(x_{j(k)})} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} \left(\int_{x_{j(k)}}^{x_{j(k)+1}} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}}.$$

This yields

(3.4)
$$\Sigma_{1,4} \ll \sum_{k} \sum_{0 \le j \le j_{b}-1} \left(\int_{\sigma(x_{j(k)})}^{b(x_{j(k)})} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} \left(\int_{x_{j(k)}}^{x_{j(k)+1}} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}}$$
$$\leq 2 \sum_{k} \sum_{0 \le j \le j_{b}-1} \left(\int_{a(x_{j(k)})}^{b(x_{j(k)})} v^{p'} \right)^{-1} \left(\int_{\sigma(x_{j(k)})}^{b(x_{j(k)})} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}+1} \left(\int_{x_{j(k)}}^{x_{j(k)+1}} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}}.$$

Here again, if $\sigma(x_{j(k)}) \leq t \leq b(x_{j(k)})$ then $b(x_{j(k)}) \leq b(\sigma^{-1}(t)), a(x_{j(k)}) \leq a(\sigma^{-1}(t))$ and $b^{-1}(t) \leq x_{j(k)} < x_{j(k)+1} \leq a^{-1}(t)$. Therefore, as before

(3.5)
$$\Sigma_{1,4} \ll \sum_{k} \sum_{0 \le j \le j_b - 1} \int_{\sigma(x_{j(k)})}^{b(x_{j(k)})} \left(\int_{a(\sigma^{-1}(t))}^{b(\sigma^{-1}(t))} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'} - 1} \times \left(\int_{b^{-1}(t)}^{a^{-1}(t)} w^p \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} v^{p'}(t) \mathrm{d}t.$$

By the construction the intervals $(\sigma(\xi_{k,j}), b(\xi_{k,j}))$ are disjoint. Thus,

$$(3.6)\Sigma_{1,4} \ll \sum_{k} \int_{a(\xi_{k+1})}^{b(\xi_{k+1})} \left(\int_{a(\sigma^{-1}(t))}^{b(\sigma^{-1}(t))} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}-1} \left(\int_{b^{-1}(t)}^{a^{-1}(t)} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} v^{p'}(t) \mathrm{d}t.$$

Analogously, one can prove that

$$\Sigma_{2,3} := \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3$$
(3.7) $\ll \sum_k \int_{a(\xi_k)}^{b(\xi_k)} \left(\int_{a(\sigma^{-1}(t))}^{b(\sigma^{-1}(t))} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}-1} \left(\int_{b^{-1}(t)}^{a^{-1}(t)} w^p \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}} v^{p'}(t) dt.$

Thus, taking into account that $b(\xi_k) = a(\xi_{k+1})$, we obtain (3.1). Now let $p \leq \alpha$. We have

$$\Sigma_{1} \leq \frac{p}{\alpha} \sum_{k} \left(\int_{a(\xi_{k+1}^{-})}^{\sigma(\xi_{k+1}^{-})} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} \left(\int_{\xi_{k+1}^{-}}^{\xi_{k+1}} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}}$$
$$= \sum_{k} \left(\int_{\sigma(\xi_{k+1}^{-})}^{b(\xi_{k+1}^{-})} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} \int_{\xi_{k+1}^{-}}^{\xi_{k+1}} \left(\int_{t}^{\xi_{k+1}} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}-1} w^{p}(t) dt$$
$$\leq \sum_{k} \int_{\xi_{k+1}^{-}}^{\xi_{k+1}} \left(\int_{t}^{a^{-1}(\sigma(t))} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}-1} \left(\int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} w^{p}(t) dt,$$

because $\xi_{k+1} \leq a^{-1}(\sigma(t))$ and $a(t) \leq \sigma(\xi_{k+1}^-) < b(\xi_{k+1}^-) \leq b(t)$ for any $t \in [\xi_{k+1}^-, \xi_{k+1}]$. Further,

$$\Sigma_{2} \leq \sum_{k} \int_{\xi_{k}}^{\xi_{k}^{+}} \left(\int_{b^{-1}(\sigma(t))}^{t} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}-1} \left(\int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} w^{p}(t) \mathrm{d}t$$

in view of $\xi_k \ge b^{-1}(\sigma(t))$ and $b(\xi_k) \le b(t)$ for any $t \in [\xi_k, \xi_k^+]$. Analogously, we obtain

$$\Sigma_{3} \leq \sum_{k} \int_{\xi_{k}}^{\xi_{k}^{+}} \left(\int_{b^{-1}(\sigma(t))}^{t} w^{p} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}-1} \left(\int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} w^{p}(t) \mathrm{d}t$$

and

$$\Sigma_4 \le \sum_k \int_{\xi_{k+1}^-}^{\xi_{k+1}} \left(\int_t^{a^{-1}(\sigma(t))} w^p \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}-1} \left(\int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} v^{p'} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p'}} w^p(t) \mathrm{d}t.$$

Thus, we arrive to (3.2) provided $\alpha/p - 1 \ge 0$.

Acknowledgements. The European Commission is grant-giving authority for the research of the author (Project IEF-2009-252784). The work was also partially supported by the Far-Eastern Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences (Projects 12-I-OMH-01 and 12-II-0-01M-005). The author thanks Dr D.V. Prokhorov from the Computing Centre of the Far Eastern Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences in Khabarovsk for his interest to the work and useful discussions.

References

- C. BENNETT, R. SHARPLEY, Interpolation of operators. Pure Appl. Math. 129, Academic Press, New York, 1988.
- [2] D.E. EDMUNDS, W.D. EVANS, D.J. HARRIS, Approximation numbers of certain Volterra integral operators, J. London Math. Soc., (2) 37 (1988) 471-489.
- [3] D.E. EDMUNDS, W.D. EVANS, D.J. HARRIS, Two-sided estimates for the approximation numbers of certain Volterra integral operators, *Stud. Math.*, (1) 124 (1997) 59-80.
- [4] H.P. HEINIG, G. SINNAMON Mapping properties of integral averaging operators, *Studia Math.*, **129** (1998), 157-177.
- [5] A. KUFNER, L.-E. PERSSON, Weighted inequalities of Hardy type. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, HJ, 2003.
- [6] M.A. LIFSHITS, W. LINDE, Approximation and entropy numbers of Volterra operators with Applications to Brownian motion, *Mem. Amer. Math Soc.*, (745) 157 (2002) viii+87 pp.
- [7] E.N. LOMAKINA, Estimates for the approximation numbers of one class of integral operators I, Sib. Math. J., (1) 44 (2003) 147-159.
- [8] E.N. LOMAKINA, Estimates for the approximation numbers of one class of integral operators II, Sib. Math. J., (2) 44 (2003) 298-310.
- [9] E.N. LOMAKINA, V.D. STEPANOV, On asymptotic behaviour of the approximation numbers and estimates of Schatten-von Neumann norms of the Hardytype integral operators, Function spaces and applications (Delhi, 1997), 153-187, Narosa, New Delhi, 2000.
- [10] V.D. STEPANOV, E.P. USHAKOVA, On integral operators with variable limits of integration, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, 232 (2001), 290–309.

20

- [11] V.D. STEPANOV AND E.P. USHAKOVA, Kernel operators with variable intervals of integration in Lebesgue spaces and applications, *Math. Ineq. Appl.*, (3) 13 (2010), 449-510.
- [12] V.D. STEPANOV AND E.P. USHAKOVA, On boundedness of a certain class of Hardy-Steklov type operators in Lebesgue spaces, *Banach J. Math. Anal.*, (1) 4 (2010), 28–52.
- [13] E.P. USHAKOVA, On boundedness and compactness of a certain class of kernel operators, J. Funct. Spaces Appl., (1) 9 (2011), 67–107.

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of York, York, YO10 5DD, United Kingdom.

E-mail address: elena.ushakova@york.ac.uk

Computing Centre of the Far-Eastern Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Khabarovsk, 680000, RUSSIA.

E-mail address: elenau@inbox.ru