

OSCILLATION AND THE MEAN ERGODIC THEOREM

JEREMY AVIGAD AND JASON RUTE

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{B} be a uniformly convex Banach space, let T be a nonexpansive linear operator, and let $A_n x$ denote the ergodic average $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i < n} T^i x$. A generalization of the mean ergodic theorem due to Garrett Birkhoff asserts that the sequence $(A_n x)$ converges, which is equivalent to saying that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, the sequence has only finitely many fluctuations greater than ε . Drawing on calculations by Kohlenbach and Leuştean [17], we provide a uniform bound on the number of fluctuations that depends only on $\rho := \|x\|/\varepsilon$ and a modulus η of uniform convexity for \mathcal{B} . Specifically, we show that the sequence of averages $(A_n x)$ has $O(\rho^2 \log \rho \cdot \eta(1/(8\rho))^{-1})$ -many ε -fluctuations, and if \mathcal{B} is a Hilbert space, the sequence has $O(\rho^3 \log \rho)$ -many ε -fluctuations. The proof is fully explicit, providing a remarkably uniform, quantitative, and constructive formulation of the mean ergodic theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

A Banach space \mathcal{B} is said to be *uniformly convex* if for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$ there exists a $\delta \in (0, 1]$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathcal{B}$, if $\|x\| \leq 1$, $\|y\| \leq 1$, and $\|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon$, then $\|(x + y)/2\| \leq 1 - \delta$. Any function $\eta(\varepsilon)$ returning such a δ for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$ is called a *modulus of uniform convexity*.

Let \mathcal{B} be a uniformly convex Banach space, and let T be a nonexpansive linear operator, that is, a linear map satisfying $\|Tx\| \leq \|x\|$ for every $x \in \mathcal{B}$. For each $n \geq 1$, let $A_n x$ denote the ergodic average $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i < n} T^i x$. A generalization of the mean ergodic theorem due to Garrett Birkhoff [3] implies that the sequence $(A_n x)$ converges. This is equivalent to saying that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, the sequence has only finitely many fluctuations greater than ε . Here we show that there is an explicit bound on the number of fluctuations that depends only on $\|x\|$, ε , and a modulus of uniform convexity for \mathcal{B} . In particular, the bound is entirely independent of \mathcal{B} , T , and x .

Let us be more precise. If (a_n) is any finite or infinite sequence of elements of \mathcal{B} and $\varepsilon > 0$, we will say (following the terminology in [12]) that (a_n) *admits k ε -fluctuations* if there are $i_1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq j_k$ such that, for each $u = 1, \dots, k$, $\|a_{j_u} - a_{i_u}\| \geq \varepsilon$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let \mathcal{B} be any uniformly convex Banach space with modulus of uniform convexity η , and let T be any nonexpansive linear operator. For any x in \mathcal{B} and $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\rho = \|x\|/\varepsilon$. Then the sequence of ergodic averages $(A_n x)$ admits at most $O(\rho^2 \log \rho \cdot \eta(1/(8\rho))^{-1})$ -many ε -fluctuations. In the case where $\eta(\varepsilon)$ can be written in the form $\varepsilon \cdot \tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon)$ where $\tilde{\eta}$ is nondecreasing, the conclusion holds with η replaced by $\tilde{\eta}$.*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37A30, 03F60.

This work has been partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1068829.

Theorem 1.2. *Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and let T be any nonexpansive linear operator. For any x in \mathcal{H} and $\varepsilon > 0$, the sequence of ergodic averages $(A_n x)$ admits at most $O(\rho^3 \log \rho)$ -many ε -fluctuations, where $\rho = \|x\|/\varepsilon$.*

We will see below that these results follow fairly straightforwardly from the subtle calculations of Kohlenbach and Leuştean [17], which, in turn, draw on those in Birkhoff's original proof. Thus the main contribution of this note is the observation that these calculations yield the strong conclusions just stated. The proofs are fully explicit, providing a remarkably uniform, quantitative, and constructive formulation of the mean ergodic theorem.

In Section 2, we consider various quantitative data that can be associated to a convergence theorem, and clarify the relationship between the results here and metastability results of [1, 17, 20, 15, 16]. In Section 3 we prove the theorems just stated.

In the measure-theoretic setting, bounds on oscillations in the pointwise ergodic theorem have been studied extensively [9, 12, 13, 10, 11]. These are closely related to upcrossing inequalities in the style of Bishop [4, 5, 6]. In Section 4, we compare our results to those that can be obtained from upcrossing inequalities in the special case where \mathcal{H} is $L^2(\mathcal{X})$ for a finite measure-preserving system \mathcal{X} .

2. QUANTITATIVE CONVERGENCE THEOREMS

Let (a_n) be a sequence of elements of some metric space. The next three statements all express the fact that (a_n) is convergent:

- (1) For every ε , there is an n such that for every $i, j \geq n$, $d(a_i, a_j) < \varepsilon$.
- (2) For every ε , there is a k such that (a_n) admits at most k ε -fluctuations.
- (3) For every ε and function $g(n)$, there is an n such that for every $i, j \in [n, g(n)]$, $d(a_i, a_j) < \varepsilon$.

Even though the statements are equivalent, the existence assertions are quite different. A bound $r(\varepsilon)$ on the value of n as in (1) is called a *bound on the rate of convergence* of (a_n) . A bound $s(\varepsilon)$ on k as in (2) is called a *bound on the number of fluctuations*. A bound $t(\varepsilon, g)$ on n as in (3) is called a *bound on the rate of metastability*.

Notice that any bound on the rate of convergence of (a_n) provides, *a fortiori*, a bound on the number of fluctuations, for every ε . Moreover, if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $s(\varepsilon)$ is a bound on the number of ε -fluctuations, then for any monotone function g , one of the intervals

$$[1, g(1)], [g(1), g^2(1)], \dots, [g^{s(\varepsilon)}(1), g^{s(\varepsilon)+1}(1)]$$

must fail to include a pair i, j with $d(a_i, a_j) > \varepsilon$. Hence $t(\varepsilon, g) = g^{s(\varepsilon)}(1)$ is a bound on the rate of metastability.

On the other hand, it is well known that there are computable, bounded, increasing sequences of rationals (a_n) that fail to have a computable rate of convergence. (Such a sequence is called a Specker sequence; see, for example, [19] or the discussion in [1, Section 5].) Clearly for such a sequence there is a computable bound on the number of fluctuations. Similarly, it is not hard to construct a computable, bounded sequence of rationals for which there is no computable bound on the number of fluctuations. (Roughly speaking, have the sequence oscillate n times by some ε_n whenever the n th Turing machine is seen to halt on empty input.) But as long

as g is computable, one can always compute a bound on $t(\varepsilon, g)$ by searching for a suitable interval.

In a similar way, it is not hard to construct classes of sequences with a uniform bound on the number of fluctuations, but no uniform bound on the rate of convergence; and classes of sequences with a uniform bound on the rate of metastability, but no uniform bound on the number of fluctuations.¹

Now consider the mean ergodic theorem, which asserts that given any nonexpansive linear operator on a Hilbert space, the averages $(A_n x)$ converge for any elements x . It has long been known [18] that there is no uniform bound on the rate of convergence, and it is not hard to show [2, 1] that one cannot generally compute a bound on the rate of convergence from the given data. Avigad, Gerhardy, and Towsner [1] showed, however, that there are uniform and computable bounds on the rate of metastability; see also Tao [20] for a special case, and a generalization in a different direction. Kohlenbach and Leuştean have generalized this to uniformly convex Banach spaces and beyond [17, 15, 16]. In the next section, we show that, at least as far as the mean ergodic theorem for uniformly convex Banach spaces is considered, the stronger conclusion holds: there is a uniform and computable bound on the number of fluctuations.

(Avigad, Gerhardy, and Towsner [1] also showed that in the case of a Hilbert space, one *can* compute a bound on the rate of convergence of the ergodic averages, *given* the norm of the limit. The considerations here show that this result extends to uniformly convex Banach spaces more generally. Specifically, for every $n, k \geq 1$ we have $\|A_{kn}f\| = \|\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i < k} T^{in} A_n\| \leq \|A_n f\|$, and hence the norm of the ergodic limit is the infimum of the norms $\|A_n f\|$. Lemma 3.1 below and the comment after the proof then shows that one can compute a rate of convergence by waiting until the norm of one of the averages is sufficiently close to this infimum.)

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREMS

Fix a Banach space \mathcal{B} and a modulus of uniform convexity η . Let T be any nonexpansive linear operator on \mathcal{B} . For any element x of \mathcal{B} , we follow the notation of Kohlenbach and Leuştean [17] closely by writing x_n for the average $A_n x$. The following lemma is implicit in that paper:

Lemma 3.1. *For any $x \in \mathcal{B}$, let*

$$M = \left\lceil \frac{16\|x\|}{\varepsilon} \right\rceil, \quad \gamma = \frac{\varepsilon}{16} \eta \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{8\|x\|} \right).$$

Suppose that N is such that for every $m \leq u$, $\|x_m\| \geq \|x_N\| - \gamma$. Then for every pair i, j in the interval $[MN, \lfloor u/2 \rfloor]$, we have $\|x_i - x_j\| < \varepsilon$. If the modulus of convexity η can be written in the form $\eta(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon \cdot \tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon)$ where $\tilde{\eta}$ is nondecreasing in ε , the conclusion holds with

$$\gamma = \frac{\varepsilon}{8} \tilde{\eta} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{8\|x\|} \right)$$

in place of the γ defined above.

¹As an example of the latter, consider the countable collection of sequences where the j th sequence starts out with 0's and then oscillates j -times from 0 to 1 or back at the j th element. For any function g , if $g(1) < j$, then $[1, g(1)]$ has no oscillations; otherwise, $g(1) \geq j$ and one of the intervals $[g(1), g^2(1)], \dots, [g^{g(1)+1}(1), g^{g(1)+2}(1)]$ has no oscillations. Thus $t(g, \varepsilon) = g^{g(1)+1}(1)$ is a uniform bound on the rate of metastability.

Proof. This is exactly the calculation in Section 4 of Kohlenbach and Leuştean [17], with $h(N)$ replaced by u , $g(MN)$ replaced by $u/2 - MN$, and b replaced by $\|x\|$. \square

Notice in particular that if $\|x_N\|$ is a “global γ -minimum,” which is to say, $\|x_m\| \geq \|x_N\| - \gamma$ for every m , then Lemma 3.1 implies $\|x_i - x_j\| < \varepsilon$ for all $i, j > MN$.

The next lemma shows that, in a certain sense, a small interval cannot contain many ε -fluctuations.

Lemma 3.2. *Fix $N \geq 1$ and real numbers $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then for any x in \mathcal{B} , the number of ε -fluctuations between N and αN is at most $\lfloor 2 \log \alpha \cdot \|x\|/\varepsilon \rfloor$.*

Proof. Suppose

$$N \leq i_1 \leq j_1 \leq i_2 \leq j_2 \leq \dots \leq i_s \leq j_s \leq \alpha N$$

satisfy $\|x_{j_u} - x_{i_u}\| \geq \varepsilon$ for every u . We need to show $s \leq 2 \log \alpha \cdot \|x\|/\varepsilon$.

A straightforward calculation (see equation (6) of [3] or equation (11) of [17]) shows that for every $n, k \geq 1$, $\|x_{n+k} - x_k\| \leq 2k\|x\|/(n+k)$. In particular, for any $j = j_u$ and $i = i_u$, we have $2(j-i)\|x\|/j \geq \varepsilon$, and so

$$j \geq \left(\frac{2\|x\|}{2\|x\| - \varepsilon} \right) \cdot i = \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\|x\| - \varepsilon} \right) \cdot i > \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2\|x\|} \right) \cdot i.$$

Since $i_1 \geq N$, we have $j_1 \geq (1 + \varepsilon/(2\|x\|)) \cdot N$; since $i_2 \geq j_1$, we have $j_2 \geq (1 + \varepsilon/(2\|x\|))^2 \cdot N$, and so on. Thus $j_s \geq (1 + \varepsilon/(2\|x\|))^s \cdot N$. Since $j_s \leq \alpha N$, we have

$$(1 + (\varepsilon/(2\|x\|)))^s \leq \alpha$$

and hence

$$s \leq \frac{\log \alpha}{\log(1 + \varepsilon/(2\|x\|))} < 2 \log \alpha \cdot \|x\|/\varepsilon,$$

since $\log(1 + \varepsilon/(2\|x\|)) < \varepsilon/(2\|x\|)$. \square

Given $x \in \mathcal{B}$, consider the sequence x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots of averages. Let M and γ be as in the statement of Lemma 3.1. Define a finite sequence N_0, N_1, \dots, N_s of integers by setting $N_0 = 1$ and setting N_{i+1} equal to the least $m \geq N_i$ such that $\|x_m\| < \|x_{N_i}\| - \gamma$, if such an m exists. Notice that $s \leq \lfloor \|x\|/\gamma \rfloor$, as the norm of the averages cannot drop by γ more than $\lfloor \|x\|/\gamma \rfloor$ -many times.

The idea is this: Lemma 3.1 tells us that there are no ε -fluctuations in the intervals $[MN_0, N_1/2]$, $[MN_1, N_2/2]$, \dots , $[MN_{s-1}, N_s/2]$, or beyond MN_s . This leaves the ε -fluctuations in the intervals $[1, MN_0)$ and $[N_u/2, MN_u)$ for $u = 1, \dots, s$, whose number we can bound using Lemma 3.2; as well as at most s -many ε -fluctuations that span more than one interval. (The fact that the intervals in the first sentence may overlap or that some of the N_u 's may be odd does not hurt the argument below.)

More precisely, suppose $i_1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq j_k$ are such that for each $u = 1, \dots, k$, $\|a_{j_u} - a_{i_u}\| \geq \varepsilon$. Lemma 3.2 tells us that at most $\lfloor 2 \log M \|x\|/\varepsilon \rfloor$ of the pairs (i_u, j_u) lie in the first interval, $[1, MN_0) = [1, M)$, and at most $\lfloor 2 \log(2M) \|x\|/\varepsilon \rfloor$ of them lie in the remaining ones. Each of the remaining pairs has to straddle at least one of the N_u 's for $u = 1, \dots, s$. Thus k is at most

$$\left\lfloor 2 \log M \cdot \frac{\|x\|}{\varepsilon} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{\|x\|}{\gamma} \right\rfloor \cdot \left\lfloor 2 \log(2M) \cdot \frac{\|x\|}{\varepsilon} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{\|x\|}{\gamma} \right\rfloor.$$

This provides a precise bound on the number of fluctuations, but some simplification will improve readability.

If $\|x\|/\varepsilon$ is sufficiently large, we can expand the definitions of M and γ and absorb the first and third terms and various constants into a constant multiple of the second term. More precisely, setting $\rho = \|x\|/\varepsilon$, the sequence of ergodic averages admits at most $O(\rho^2 \log \rho \cdot \eta(1/(8\rho))^{-1})$ -many ε -fluctuations. When the additional criterion of Lemma 3.1 is met, we can replace η by $\tilde{\eta}$ in this statement. Finally, as noted by Kohlenbach and Leuştean [17, Corollary 2.3], in the case of a Hilbert space we can take $\tilde{\eta}(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon/8$, ensuring that the sequence of ergodic averages admits at most $O(\rho^3 \log \rho)$ -many ε -fluctuations.

As noted in Section 2, these results yield uniform and computable bounds on the rate of metastability as well. But bounds obtained by more direct methods can be quantitatively better: for example, in the case of a Hilbert space, Kohlenbach and Leuştean [17] obtain a bound² on the rate of metastability that is given by $O(\rho^2)$ iterations of a function that grows slightly faster than the argument g described in Section 2; whereas the crude bound obtained from the bound on the number of fluctuations requires $O(\rho^3 \log \rho)$ iterations.

4. COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS

We have observed that saying that a sequence of elements of some metric space converges is equivalent to saying that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, the sequence admits only finitely many ε -fluctuations. Similarly, if (f_n) is a sequence of measurable functions from a measure space $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ to some metric space, saying that (f_n) converges pointwise a.e. is equivalent to saying that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, the measure of the set

$$\{x \in X \mid (f_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ admits } k \text{ } \varepsilon\text{-fluctuations}\}$$

approaches 0 as k approaches infinity. Such results can often be obtained from upcrossing inequalities in the style of Doob's upcrossing inequality for the martingale convergence theorem [7] and Bishop's upcrossing inequalities for the pointwise ergodic theorem and Lebesgue's theorem [4, 5, 6]. Other upcrossing inequalities and oscillation inequalities have been obtained in the measure-theoretic setting [9, 12, 10, 11, 13, 8].

A straightforward calculation³ from Bishop's inequality shows the following: for any $f \in L^\infty(\mathcal{X})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, the sequence $(A_n f)$ admits at most $C(\|f\|_\infty/\varepsilon)^4$ -many ε -fluctuations in the L^2 norm, for some absolute constant C . (Kachurovskii [12, Theorem 29] obtains a slightly weaker bound.) The bound we obtain in this paper is not only more general, but also numerically better.

Theorem 1.1 shows that the uniform bound on the oscillations of a sequence of ergodic averages is a geometric rather than metric phenomenon. We do not, however, know the extent to which our bounds are sharp, and it would not be surprising if they can be improved using ideas from the study of fluctuations in the measure-theoretic setting. It may also be possible to obtain more information of

²Note that due to an error in typesetting there is an extra "h" in the statement of the main theorem in [17].

³Namely, let $k(\varepsilon)$ be the maximum number of ε -fluctuations of $(A_n f)$ in the L^2 norm and $h(x, \varepsilon)$ be the maximum number of ε -fluctuations of $(A_n f(x))$. There are constants C_1 and C_2 such that $(\varepsilon/\|f\|_\infty)^2 k(\varepsilon) \leq C_1 \int h(x, \varepsilon/2) d\mu \leq C_2 (\|f\|_\infty/\varepsilon)^2$. The first inequality follows from $\|A_n f\| \leq \|f\|_\infty$ and the second follows from Bishop's upcrossing inequality.

the kind considered by Jones et al. [10, 11], such as a bound on the total variation of the sequence.

It would also be interesting to know whether similar uniformities hold for Tao's generalization of the mean ergodic theorem to the convergence of multiple ergodic averages [20], or for the generalizations of the mean ergodic theorem considered by Kohlenbach and Leuştean [15, 16].

Finally, it is worth noting that Kohlenbach's "proof mining" methods [14] provide general logical methods for extracting bounds on the rate of metastability, as well as general conditions that guarantee the relevant uniformities (see also the discussions in [1, 15, 16]). Along these lines, it would be nice to have a better general understanding as to when (and how) uniform and computable bounds on the number of fluctuations can be obtained from a nonconstructive convergence theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] Jeremy Avigad, Philipp Gerhardy, and Henry Towsner. Local stability of ergodic averages. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 362(1):261–288, 2010.
- [2] Jeremy Avigad and Ksenija Simic. Fundamental notions of analysis in subsystems of second-order arithmetic. *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic*, 139(1-3):138–184, 2006.
- [3] Garrett Birkhoff. The mean ergodic theorem. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 5(1):19–20, 1939.
- [4] Errett Bishop. An upcrossing inequality with applications. *Michigan Math. J.*, 13:1–13, 1966.
- [5] Errett Bishop. *Foundations of Constructive Analysis*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1967.
- [6] Errett Bishop. A constructive ergodic theorem. *J. Math. Mech.*, 17:631–639, 1967/1968.
- [7] J. L. Doob. *Stochastic processes*. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1953.
- [8] Michael Hochman. Upcrossing inequalities for stationary sequences and applications. *The Annals of Probability*, 37(6):2135–2149, 2009.
- [9] V. V. Ivanov. Oscillations of averages in the ergodic theorem. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk*, 347(6):736–738, 1996.
- [10] Roger L. Jones, Robert Kaufman, Joseph M. Rosenblatt, and Máté Wierdl. Oscillation in ergodic theory. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 18(4):889–935, 1998.
- [11] Roger L. Jones, Joseph M. Rosenblatt, and Máté Wierdl. Counting in ergodic theory. *Canad. J. Math.*, 51(5):996–1019, 1999.
- [12] A. G. Kachurovskii. Rates of convergence in ergodic theorems. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 51(4(310)):73–124, 1996. Translation in *Russian Math. Surveys* 51 (1996), no. 4, 653–703.
- [13] Steven Kalikow and Benjamin Weiss. Fluctuations of ergodic averages. *Illinois J. Math.*, 43(3):480–488, 1999.
- [14] Ulrich Kohlenbach. *Applied proof theory: proof interpretations and their use in mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [15] Ulrich Kohlenbach. A uniform quantitative form of sequential weak compactness and Baillon's nonlinear ergodic theorem. *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics*, to appear.
- [16] Ulrich Kohlenbach and Laurentiu Leuştean. Effective metastability of Halpern iterates in CAT(0) spaces. Submitted.
- [17] Ulrich Kohlenbach and Laurentiu Leuştean. A quantitative mean ergodic theorem for uniformly convex Banach spaces. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 29(6):1907–1915, 2009. Erratum: *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems* 29:1995, 2009.
- [18] Ulrich Krengel. On the speed of convergence in the ergodic theorem. *Monatsh. Math.*, 86(1):3–6, 1978/79.
- [19] Marian B. Pour-El and J. Ian Richards. *Computability in analysis and physics*. Perspectives in Mathematical Logic. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [20] Terence Tao. Norm convergence of multiple ergodic averages for commuting transformations. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 28(2):657–688, 2008.