

On the Boundedness of Solutions of a Rational System with a Variable Coefficient

E. CAMOUZIS

American College of Greece, Deree College, 6 Gravias Street, Aghia Paraskevi, 15342 Athens, Greece

Abstract

We establish the boundedness character of solutions of a system of rational difference equations with a variable coefficient.

1 Introduction

Consider the system of difference equations

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{x_n}{y_n} \text{ and } y_{n+1} = x_n + \gamma_n y_n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (1.1)$$

where $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an arbitrary sequence of positive real numbers and the initial conditions x_0 and y_0 are positive real numbers.

When $\gamma_n = \gamma > 1$, the solution $\{x_n, y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to $(0, \infty)$ and so it is unbounded. When $\gamma = 1$, the solution $\{x_n, y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies the identity

$$x_n + y_n + \frac{x_n}{y_n} + \frac{1}{y_n} = x_0 + y_0 + \frac{x_0}{y_0} + \frac{1}{y_0} = A > 2$$

and it is easy to see that it converges to

$$(0, \frac{A + \sqrt{A^2 - 4}}{2})$$

and so is bounded. Finally, when $0 < \gamma < 1$, it was established in [1] that both components of every solution $\{x_n, y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are bounded from above by a positive constant. The proof that was presented in [1] was based on the properties of the double sequence of finite sums

$$\phi(i, n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \gamma^k x_{k+i+1}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

for which, as it was shown in [1], it holds that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(i, n) = \frac{\gamma + x_i}{y_i}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots$$

In this paper we extend the ideas of the proof presented in [1] to establish that when $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is bounded from below and from above by two positive constants γ' and γ , and more precisely,

$$0 < \gamma' \leq \gamma_n \leq \gamma < 1,$$

both components of every solution of System (1.1) are bounded from above by a positive constant. It was also shown in [1] that when $\gamma_n = \gamma \in (0, 1)$ and the initial conditions are positive real numbers, the dynamics of System (1.1), in terms of boundedness, are equivalent with the dynamics of the system

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{x_n y_n}{x_n + \gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad y_{n+1} = \frac{y_n}{x_n + \gamma}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (1.2)$$

More precisely, as it was shown in [1], given a solution $\{x_n, y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of System (1.1) with $\gamma_n = \gamma > 0$, the sequence $\{x_n, w_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, for which,

$$w_n = \frac{\gamma + x_n}{y_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

satisfies

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{x_n w_n}{x_n + \gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad w_{n+1} = \frac{w_n}{x_n + \gamma}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (1.3)$$

This is also true for System (1.1) with the variable coefficient γ_n . That is, given a solution $\{x_n, y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of System (1.1), the sequence $\{x_n, w_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, where

$$w_n = \frac{\gamma_{n-1} + x_n}{y_n}, \quad n = 0, 2, \dots,$$

with $\gamma_{-1} = \gamma_0$, satisfies the system

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{x_n w_n}{x_n + \gamma_{n-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad w_{n+1} = \frac{w_n}{x_n + \gamma_{n-1}}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (1.4)$$

Furthermore,

$$w_{n+1} = \frac{1}{y_n}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0. \quad (1.5)$$

The following definitions and theorems for double sequences will be useful in the sequel. Assume that $\{\phi(k, n)\}_{k,n=1}^{\infty}$ is a double sequence of positive real numbers. Then we say that $\phi(k, n)$ converges to $L \in [0, \infty)$, if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N(\epsilon)$ such that

$$|\phi(k, n) - L| < \epsilon, \quad \text{for all } k, n \geq N.$$

We write

$$\lim_{k,n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k, n) = L,$$

and L is called the double limit of the sequence. The two limits

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k, n) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k, n)$$

are called iterated limits.

Assume that $\{\phi(k, n)\}$ is a double sequence of positive real numbers and

$$(k_1, n_1) < (k_2, n_2) < \dots < (k_s, n_s) < \dots$$

is a strictly increasing sequence of pairs of positive integers. Then $\{\phi(k_s, n_t)\}$ is a double subsequence of $\{\phi(k, n)\}$.

The following three theorems will be useful in the sequel. For the proof see [2].

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $\{\phi(k, n)\}_{k,n=1}^{\infty}$ is a double sequence of positive real numbers which is bounded from above by a positive constant. Also, assume that for each $k \geq 1$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k, n) = w_k \text{ exists.}$$

Then for any subsequence $\{\phi(k_s, n_t)\}$ of $\{\phi(k, n)\}$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k_s, n_t) = w_{k_s} \text{ exists for all } s.$$

Furthermore, if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k, n) = L \text{ exists,}$$

then for any subsequence $\{\phi(k_s, n_t)\}$ of $\{\phi(k, n)\}$,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k_s, n_t) = L.$$

Theorem 1.2. Assume that $\{\phi(k, n)\}_{k,n=1}^{\infty}$ is a double sequence of positive real numbers, which is bounded from above by a positive constant. Also, assume that $\{\phi(k_s, n_t)\}$ is a double subsequence of $\{\phi(k, n)\}$ which strictly decreases (resp. increases) to a nonnegative value L and also

$$\phi(k_s, n_t) < \phi(i, j), \text{ (resp. } \phi(k_s, n_t) > \phi(i, j)) \text{ for all } (i, j) < (k_s, n_t)$$

and for all (k_s, n_t) . Then

$$\lim_{s,t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k_s, n_t) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k_s, n_t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k_s, n_t) = L \in [0, \infty).$$

Theorem 1.3. Assume that $\{\phi(k, n)\}_{k,n=1}^{\infty}$ is a double sequence of positive real numbers such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k, n) \text{ exists uniformly in } k$$

and that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k, n) = L.$$

Then the double limit of the sequence $\{\phi(k, n)\}$ exists and

$$\lim_{k,n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k, n) = L.$$

2 Boundedness

In this section we establish that both components of every solution of System (1.1) are bounded from above by a positive constant.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\{x_n, y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a solution of System (1.1) with positive initial conditions x_0 and y_0 and such that

$$0 < \gamma' \leq \gamma_n \leq \gamma < 1, \text{ for all } n \geq 0$$

and $\gamma', \gamma \in (0, 1)$. Then both components of the solution $\{x_n, y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are bounded from above by a positive constant.

The proof of the theorem will be presented at the end of this section.

Set $\gamma_{-1} = \gamma_0$. Consider the double sequence of finite sums

$$\phi(i, n) = x_{i+1} + \gamma_{i-1}x_{i+2} + \gamma_{i-1}\gamma_i x_{i+3} + \dots + \gamma_{i-1} \cdots \gamma_{i+n-3} x_{i+n},$$

with

$$i = 0, 1, \dots \quad \text{and} \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

or equivalently,

$$\phi(i, n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu(i, k) x_{i+k+1}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2.1)$$

where for each $i \geq 0$,

$$\mu(i, k) = \prod_{j=i-1}^{k+i-3} \gamma_j, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots$$

and

$$\mu(i, 1) = 1.$$

The following lemmas will be useful in the sequel.

Lemma 2.2. *It holds that*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(i, k) = \lim_{i, k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(i, k) = 0.$$

Proof. In view of Theorem 1.3, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(i, k) = 0$$

uniformly for each i . Indeed, for a given positive number ϵ and i arbitrary but fixed, we choose $k > \frac{\ln \epsilon}{\ln \gamma} + 1$, or equivalently $\gamma^{k-1} < \epsilon$. Then

$$\mu(i, k) < \gamma^{k-1} < \epsilon$$

from which the result follows. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\{x_n, y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a solution of System (1.1). Then for each $i \geq 0$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y_{i+n}}{\mu(i, n+2)} = \infty.$$

Proof. From the first equation of System (1.1) we see that

$$\frac{y_{i+n+1}}{\mu(i, n+3)} = \frac{x_{i+n}}{\mu(i, n+3)} + \frac{y_{i+n}}{\mu(i, n+2)}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

and so the sequence $\left\{ \frac{y_{i+n}}{\mu(i, n+2)} \right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is strictly increasing. Now assume for the sake of contradiction that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{y_{i+n}}{\mu(i, n+2)} = L \in (0, \infty).$$

Then, there exists a positive number ϵ arbitrarily small and a positive integer N sufficiently large such that

$$y_{n+i} < (L + \epsilon)\mu(i, n+2), \quad \text{for all } n \geq N.$$

From Lemma 2.2, the sequence $\{\mu(i, n+2)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to zero. Thus, the sequence $\{y_{i+n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ goes to zero as well. Furthermore,

$$\mu(i, n+2) = \prod_{j=i-1}^{n+i-1} \gamma_j \leq \gamma^{n+1}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0$$

implies that

$$y_{i+n} \leq (L + \epsilon)\gamma^{n+1}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq N$$

and so

$$x_{i+n+1} = \frac{x_{i+n}}{y_{i+n}} \geq \frac{1}{(L + \epsilon)\gamma^{n+1}} \cdot x_{i+n}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq N$$

from which it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{i+n+1} = \infty.$$

However, from the second equation

$$y_{i+n+1} > x_{i+n}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0$$

which contradicts the fact that the sequence $\{y_{i+n}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to 0. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\{x_n, y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a solution of (1.1). Then for all $i \geq 0$,*

$$\frac{x_i + \gamma_{i-1}}{y_i} = w_i = \phi(i, n) + \mu(i, n+1)w_{i+n}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. Let $i \geq 0$ be given. Clearly, in view of (1.4),

$$w_i = x_{i+1} + \gamma_{i-1}w_{i+1}$$

and so the result is true when $n = 1$. Assume that $k > 1$ and that

$$\begin{aligned} w_i &= x_{i+1} + \gamma_{i-1}x_{i+2} + \dots + \gamma_{i-1} \cdots \gamma_{i+k-3}x_{i+k} + \gamma_{i-1} \cdots \gamma_{i+k-2}w_{i+k} \\ &= \phi(i, k) + \mu(i, k+1)w_{k+i}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} w_i &= \phi(i, k) + \mu(i, k+1)(x_{i+k+1} + \gamma_{i+k-1}w_{i+k+1}) \\ &= \phi(i, k) + \mu(i, k+1)x_{i+k+1} + \mu(i, k+1)\gamma_{i+k-1}w_{i+k+1} \\ &= \phi(i, k+1) + \mu(i, k+2)w_{i+k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\{x_n, y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a solution of (1.1). Then for all $i \geq 0$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(i, n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu(i, k)x_{i+k+1} = \frac{x_i + \gamma_{i-1}}{y_i} = w_i. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. The result follows from (2.2) together with the fact, in view of (1.5) and Lemma 2.3, that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(i, n+1)w_{i+n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(i, n+1)}{y_{i+n-1}} = 0.$$

□

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\{x_n, y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a solution of (1.1) and assume that for an infinite sequence of positive integers $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, $\{x_{k_i}\}$ is a bounded subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ and*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_{k_i} + \gamma_{k_i-1}}{y_{k_i}} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} w_{k_i} = M \in (0, \infty).$$

Then the following statements are true:

1.

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k_i, n) = M.$$

2. For any subsequence $\{\phi(k_{i_s}, n_j)\}$ of $\{\phi(k_i, n)\}$, it holds

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k_{i_s}, n_j) = M.$$

3.

$$\limsup_{i, n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k_i, n) \leq M.$$

4.

$$\liminf_{i, n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k_i, n) > 0.$$

5.

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_{k_i+1} > 0.$$

Proof. 1. The proof follows from Lemma 2.5 and the hypothesis.

2. The proof is an immediate consequence of the result of Part 1 and Theorem 1.1, which is presented in the Introduction.

3. The proof is an immediate consequence of the fact that, for each $i \geq 1$,

$$\phi(k_i, n) < \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu(k_i, n) x_{k+k_i+1} = w_{k_i}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1$$

and the hypothesis that $w_{k_i} \rightarrow M$.

4. The proof will be by contradiction. Assume for the sake of contradiction that there exists a decreasing subsequence $\{\phi(k_{i_s}, n_j)\}_{s,j=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{\phi(k_i, n)\}$, for which

$$\lim_{s,j \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k_{i_s}, n_j) = 0$$

and

$$\phi(k_{i_s}, n_j) < \phi(p, q), \quad \text{for all } (p, q) < (k_{i_s}, n_j).$$

We claim that both $\{k_{i_s}\}$ and $\{n_j\}$ must increase to infinity. Otherwise, for k_{i_s} finite and fixed,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k_{i_s}, n_j) = 0.$$

In view of the result of Part 2 and the hypothesis, we see that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k_{i_s}, n_j) = w_{k_{i_s}} > 0$$

which is a contradiction.

On the other hand assume that there exists a positive integer N such that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k_{i_s}, j) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, N \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k_{i_s}, N+1) > 0.$$

In view of (2.1), as $s \rightarrow \infty$, it is easy to see that

$$x_{k_{i_s}+t} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{for all } t = 1, \dots, N.$$

By choosing a further subsequence of $\{k_{i_s}\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$, which for economy in notation we still denote it as $\{k_{i_s}\}$, it holds that for each $j = -1, 0, \dots, N-2$, the sequence $\{\gamma_{k_{i_s}+j}\}_{s=1}^{\infty}$ converges to a positive number. Set

$$m = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=-1}^{N-2} \gamma_{k_{i_s}+j} \in (0, \infty).$$

Clearly, and in view of (1.4),

$$w_{k_{i_s}+N} \rightarrow \frac{M}{m} > 0.$$

Therefore,

$$x_{k_{i_s}+N+1} = \frac{x_{k_{i_s}+N} w_{k_{i_s}+N}}{\gamma_{k_{i_s}+N-1} + x_{k_{i_s}+N}} \rightarrow 0$$

and so, in view of (2.1),

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k_{i_s}, N+1) = 0$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, the sequences $\{k_{i_s}\}$ and $\{n_j\}$ are infinite sequences of positive integers and both increase to infinity. By applying Theorem 1.2, we get

$$\lim_{s, j \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k_{i_s}, n_j) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k_{i_s}, n_j) = 0.$$

On the other hand, by applying the result of Part 2, we see that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \phi(k_{i_s}, n_j) = M \in (0, \infty)$$

which is a contradiction.

5. From Part 4, clearly, there exists a positive number I such that

$$\phi(k_i, n) > I, \quad \text{for all } i, n \geq N.$$

In particular,

$$\phi(k_i, N) = x_{k_i+1} + \gamma_{k_i-1}x_{k_i+2} + \dots + \gamma_{k_i-1} \cdots \gamma_{k_i-3+N}x_{k_i+N} > I > 0, \quad (2.4)$$

for all $i \geq N$. Now assume for the sake of contradiction and without loss of generality that

$$x_{k_i+1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that

$$w_{k_i} = x_{k_i+1} + \gamma_{k_i-1}w_{k_i+1} \Rightarrow w_{k_i+1} = \frac{w_{k_i}}{\gamma_{k_i-1}} - \frac{x_{k_i+1}}{\gamma_{k_i-1}}$$

and so there exists a further subsequence of $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, which for economy in notation we still denote as $\{k_i\}$, such that

$$\gamma_{k_i-1} \rightarrow m > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad w_{k_i+1} \rightarrow \frac{M}{m},$$

and so

$$x_{k_i+2} = \frac{x_{k_i+1}w_{k_i+1}}{\gamma_{k_i} + x_{k_i+1}} \rightarrow 0.$$

By induction, we see that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_{k_i+j} = 0, \quad \text{for all } j = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

By taking limits in (2.4), as $i \rightarrow \infty$, we get a contradiction.

□

We now present the proof of Theorem 2.1

Proof. Let $\{x_n, y_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a solution of System (1.1). First we establish that the component $\{y_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of the solution is bounded from below by a positive constant. Assume for the sake of contradiction that there exists an infinite sequence of indices $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ such that

$$y_{n_i+1} = x_{n_i} + \gamma_{n_i}y_{n_i} \rightarrow 0.$$

Clearly,

$$x_{n_i-t} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y_{n_i-t} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{for all } t = 0, 1, \dots$$

In addition, there exists a sequence of indices $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$k_i \leq n_i, \quad \text{for all } i,$$

for which

$$(y_{k_i-1} \geq 1 \text{ and } y_{k_i} < 1) \text{ and } (y_t < 1, \text{ for all } t \in \{k_i + 1, \dots, n_i\}), \quad (2.5)$$

because otherwise,

$$x_{n_i} = \frac{x_0}{\prod_{j=0}^{n_i-1} y_j} > x_0,$$

which is a contradiction. From

$$y_{k_i} = x_{k_i-1} + \gamma_{k_i-1} y_{k_i-1} \quad \text{and} \quad y_{k_i-1} \geq 1, \quad \text{for all } i,$$

it follows that

$$y_{k_i} \geq \gamma_{k_i-1} \geq \gamma', \quad \text{for all } i,$$

and so

$$y_{k_i} \in [\gamma', 1), \quad \text{for all } i.$$

For i sufficiently large, when $r \in \{k_i + 1, \dots, n_i\}$,

$$x_r = \frac{x_{r-1}}{y_{r-1}} > x_{r-1}$$

and more precisely,

$$x_{n_i} > x_{n_i-1} > \dots > x_{k_i+1} > x_{k_i}.$$

Therefore,

$$x_{k_i} < x_{n_i},$$

from which it follows that $x_{k_i} \rightarrow 0$. By utilizing the fact that

$$y_{k_i} \in [\gamma', 1), \quad \text{for all } i,$$

we may select a further subsequence of $\{k_i\}$, still denoted as $\{k_i\}$ such that

$$y_{k_i} \rightarrow L \in [\gamma', 1] \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_{k_i-1} \rightarrow l_{-1} \in [\gamma', \gamma].$$

Therefore,

$$x_{k_i+1} = \frac{x_{k_i}}{y_{k_i}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad w_{k_i} = \frac{\gamma_{k_i-1} + x_{k_i}}{y_{k_i}} \rightarrow \frac{l_{-1}}{L} = M \in \left[\gamma', \frac{\gamma}{\gamma'} \right].$$

By applying Lemma 2.6, we get

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_{k_i+1} > 0$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, the component $\{y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of the solution is bounded from below by a positive constant m . In view of

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{x_n}{y_n} = \frac{1}{y_{n-1}} \cdot \frac{x_n}{x_n + \gamma_{n-1}}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1,$$

we see that

$$x_{n+1} < \frac{1}{m}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1,$$

and so the component $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is bounded from above. From the second equation of the system, clearly

$$y_{n+1} < \frac{1}{m} + \gamma y_n, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 2,$$

and so

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n \leq \frac{1}{m(1 - \gamma)}.$$

The proof of the Theorem is complete. □

References

- [1] E. Camouzis, On the Boundedness of Solutions of a Rational System, *International Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, (to appear).
- [2] Habil, E. D., Double sequences and double series, submitted to the Islamaic University Journal, (2005).