

Dark Energy in F(R,T) Gravity

Ratbay Myrzakulov*

Eurasian International Center for Theoretical Physics and Department of General & Theoretical Physics, Eurasian National University, Astana 010008, Kazakhstan

Abstract

Since the discovery of cosmic acceleration, modified gravity theories play an important role in the modern cosmology. In particular, the well-known F(R)-theories reached great popularity motivated by the easier formalism and by the prospect to find a final theories of gravity for the dark scenarios. In the present work, we study some generalizations of F(R) and F(T) gravity theories. At the beginning, we briefly review the formalism of such theories. Then, we will consider one of their generalizations, the so-called F(R,T)-theory. The point-like Lagrangian is explicitly presented. Based on this Lagrangian, the field equations of F(R,T)-gravity are found. For the specific model $F(R,T) = \mu R + \nu T$, the corresponding exact solutions are derived. Furthermore, we will consider the physical quantities associated to such solutions and we will find how for some values of the parameters the expansion of our universe can be accelerated without introducing any dark component.

1 Introduction

Recent observational data imply -against any previous belief- that the current expansion of the universe is accelerating [1]. Since this discovery, the so-called Dark Energy issue has probably become the most ambitious and tantalizing field of research because of its implications in fundamental physics. There exist several descriptions of the cosmic acceleration. Among them, the simplest one is the introduction of small positive Cosmological Constant in the framework of General Relativity (GR), the so-called Λ CDM Model, but it is well accepted the idea according to which this is not the ultimate theory of gravity, but an extremely good approximation valid in the present day range of detection. A generalization of this simple modification of GR consists in considering modified gravitational theories [1, 2]. In the last years the interest in modified gravity theories like $F(R)$ and $F(G)$ -gravity as alternatives to the Λ CDM Model grew up.

Recently, a new modified gravity theory, namely the $F(T)$ -theory, has been proposed. This is a generalized version of the teleparallel gravity originally proposed by Einstein [3]-[16]. It also may describe the current cosmic acceleration without invoking dark energy. Unlike the framework of GR, where the Levi-Civita connection is used, in teleparallel gravity (TG) the used connection is the Weitzenböck's one. In principle, modification of gravity may contain a huge list of invariants and there is not any reason to restrict the gravitational theory to GR, TG, $F(R)$ gravity and/or $F(T)$ gravity. Indeed, several generalizations of these theories have been proposed (see e.g. the quite recent review [17]). In this paper, we study some other generalizations of $F(R)$ and $F(T)$ gravity theories. At the beginning, we briefly review the formalism of $F(R)$ gravity and $F(T)$ gravity in Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) universe. The flat FRW space-time is described by the metric

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2), \quad (1.1)$$

where $a = a(t)$ is the scale factor. The orthonormal tetrad components $e_i(x^\mu)$ are related to the metric through

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ij} e_\mu^i e_\nu^j, \quad (1.2)$$

*Email: rmyrzakulov@gmail.com

where the Latin indices i, j run over $0\dots 3$ for the tangent space of the manifold, while the Greek letters μ, ν are the coordinate indices on the manifold, also running over $0\dots 3$.

$F(R)$ and $F(T)$ modified theories of gravity have been extensively explored and the possibility to construct viable models in their frameworks has been carefully analyzed in several papers (see [17] for a recent review). For such theories, the physical motivations are principally related to the possibility to reach a more realistic representation of the gravitational fields near curvature singularities and to create some first order approximation for the quantum theory of gravitational fields. Recently, it has been registered a renaissance of $F(R)$ and $F(T)$ gravity theories in the attempt to explain the late-time accelerated expansion of the Universe [17]. In the modern cosmology, in order to construct (generalized) gravity theories, three quantities – the curvature scalar, the Gauss–Bonnet scalar and the torsion scalar – are usually used (about our notations see below):

$$R_s = g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}, \quad (1.3)$$

$$G_s = R^2 - 4R^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} + R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \quad (1.4)$$

$$T_s = S_\rho{}^{\mu\nu} T^\rho{}_{\mu\nu}. \quad (1.5)$$

In this paper, our aim is to replace these quantities with the other three variables in the form

$$R = u + g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}, \quad (1.6)$$

$$G = w + R^2 - 4R^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} + R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \quad (1.7)$$

$$T = v + S_\rho{}^{\mu\nu} T^\rho{}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (1.8)$$

where $u = u(x_i; g_{ij}, \dot{g}_{ij}, \ddot{g}_{ij}, \dots; f_j)$, $v = v(x_i; g_{ij}, \dot{g}_{ij}, \ddot{g}_{ij}, \dots; g_j)$ and $w = w(x_i; g_{ij}, \dot{g}_{ij}, \ddot{g}_{ij}, \dots; h_j)$ are some functions to be defined. As a result, we obtain some generalizations of the known modified gravity theories. With the FRW metric ansatz the three variables (1.3)-(1.5) become

$$R_s = 6(\dot{H} + 2H^2), \quad (1.9)$$

$$G_s = 24H^2(\dot{H} + H^2), \quad (1.10)$$

$$T_s = -6H^2, \quad (1.11)$$

where $H = (\ln a)_t$. In the contrast, in this paper we will use the following three variables

$$R = u + 6(\dot{H} + 2H^2), \quad (1.12)$$

$$G = w + 24H^2(\dot{H} + H^2), \quad (1.13)$$

$$T = v - 6H^2. \quad (1.14)$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we briefly review the formalism of $F(R)$ and $F(T)$ -gravity for FRW metric. In particular, the corresponding Lagrangians are explicitly presented. In Sec. 3, we consider $F(R, T)$ theory, where R and T will be generalized with respect to the usual notions of curvature scalar and torsion scalar. Some reductions of $F(R, T)$ gravity are presented in Sec. 4. In Sec. 5, the specific model $F(R, T) = \mu R + \nu T$ is **analyzed** and in Sec. 6 the exact power-law solution is found; some cosmological implications of the model will be here discussed. The Bianchi type I version of $F(R, T)$ gravity is considered in Sec. 7. Sec. 8 is devoted to some generalizations of some modified gravity theories. Final conclusions and remarks are provided in Sec. 9.

2 Preliminaries of $F(R)$, $F(G)$ and $F(T)$ gravities

At the beginning, we present the basic equations of $F(R)$, $F(T)$ and $F(G)$ modified gravity theories. For simplicity we mainly work in the FRW spacetime.

2.1 $F(R)$ gravity

The action of $F(R)$ theory is given by

$$\mathcal{S}_R = \int d^4x e [F(R) + L_m], \quad (2.1)$$

where R is the curvature scalar. We work with the FRW metric (1.1). In this case R assumes the form

$$R = R_s = 6(\dot{H} + 2H^2). \quad (2.2)$$

The action we rewrite as

$$\mathcal{S}_R = \int dt L_R, \quad (2.3)$$

where the Lagrangian is given by

$$L_R = a^3(F - RF_R) - 6F_R a \dot{a}^2 - 6F_{RR} \dot{R} a^2 \dot{a} - a^3 L_m. \quad (2.4)$$

The corresponding field equations of $F(R)$ gravity read

$$6\dot{R}H F_{RR} - (R - 6H^2)F_R + F = \rho, \quad (2.5)$$

$$-2\dot{R}^2 F_{RRR} + [-4\dot{R}H - 2\ddot{R}]F_{RR} + [-2H^2 - 4a^{-1}\dot{a} + R]F_R - F = p, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

2.2 $F(T)$ gravity

In the modified teleparallel gravity, the gravitational action is

$$\mathcal{S}_T = \int d^4x e [F(T) + L_m], \quad (2.8)$$

where $e = \det(e_\mu^i) = \sqrt{-g}$, and for convenience we use the units $16\pi G = \hbar = c = 1$ throughout. The torsion scalar T is defined as

$$T \equiv S_\rho{}^{\mu\nu} T^\rho{}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$T^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} \equiv -e_i^\rho (\partial_\mu e_\nu^i - \partial_\nu e_\mu^i), \quad (2.10)$$

$$K^{\mu\nu}{}_\rho \equiv -\frac{1}{2}(T^{\mu\nu}{}_\rho - T^{\nu\mu}{}_\rho - T_\rho{}^{\mu\nu}), \quad (2.11)$$

$$S_\rho{}^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(K^{\mu\nu}{}_\rho + \delta_\rho^\mu T^{\theta\nu}{}_\theta - \delta_\rho^\nu T^{\theta\mu}{}_\theta). \quad (2.12)$$

For a spatially flat FRW metric (1.1), as a consequence of equations (2.9) and (1.1), we have that the torsion scalar assumes the form

$$T = T_s = -6H^2. \quad (2.13)$$

The action (2.8) can be written as

$$\mathcal{S}_T = \int dt L_T, \quad (2.14)$$

where the point-like Lagrangian reads

$$L_T = a^3(F - F_T T) - 6F_T a \dot{a}^2 - a^3 L_m. \quad (2.15)$$

The equations of $F(T)$ gravity look like

$$12H^2 F_T + F = \rho, \quad (2.16)$$

$$48H^2 F_{TT} \dot{H} - F_T (12H^2 + 4\dot{H}) - F = p, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 0. \quad (2.18)$$

2.3 $F(G)$ gravity

The action of $F(G)$ theory is given by

$$\mathcal{S}_G = \int d^4x e [F(G) + L_m], \quad (2.19)$$

where the Gauss – Bonnet scalar G for the FRW metric is

$$G = G_s = 24H^2(\dot{H} + H^2). \quad (2.20)$$

3 A naive model of $F(R, T)$ gravity

Our aim in this section is to present a naive version of $F(R, T)$ gravity. We assume that the relevant action of $F(R, T)$ theory is given by [14]

$$\mathcal{S}_{37} = \int d^4x e[F(R, T) + L_m], \quad (3.1)$$

where $R = u + R_s$ and $T = v + T_s$ are some dynamical geometrical variables to be defined, and R_s and T_s are the usual curvature scalar and the torsion scalar for the FRW spacetime. It is the so-called M_{37} - model [14]. In this paper we will restrict ourselves to the simple case where for FRW spacetime R and T are given by

$$R = u + 6(\dot{H} + 2H^2) = u + R_s, \quad (3.2)$$

$$T = v - 6H^2 = v + T_s. \quad (3.3)$$

As we can see these two variables (R, T) are some analogies (generalizations) of the usual curvature scalar (R_s) and torsion scalar (T_s) and for obvious reasons we will still continue to call them as the "curvature" scalar and the "torsion" scalar. We note that, in general, $u = u(t, a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}, \dots; f_i)$ and $v = v(t, a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}, \dots; g_i)$ are some real functions, $H = (\ln a)_t$, while f_i and g_i are some unknown functions related with the geometry of the spacetime. Finally we can write the M_{37} - model for the FRW spacetime as

$$S_{37} = \int d^4x e[F(R, T) + L_m], \quad (3.4)$$

$$R = u + 6(\dot{H} + 2H^2), \quad (3.5)$$

$$T = v - 6H^2. \quad (3.6)$$

In this paper we restrict ourselves to the case $u = u(a, \dot{a})$ and $v = v(a, \dot{a})$. The scale factor $a(t)$, the curvature scalar R and the torsion scalar $T(t)$ are taken as independent dynamical variables. Then, after some algebra the action (3.4) becomes

$$\mathcal{S}_{37} = \int dt L, \quad (3.7)$$

where the point-like Lagrangian is given by

$$L_{37} = a^3(F - TF_T - RF_R + vF_T + uF_R) - 6(F_R + F_T)a\dot{a}^2 - 6(F_{RR}\dot{R} + F_{RT}\dot{T})a^2\dot{a} - a^3L_m. \quad (3.8)$$

The corresponding equations of the M_{37} - model assume the form [14]

$$\begin{aligned} D_2F_{RR} + D_1F_R + JF_{RT} + E_1F_T + KF &= -2a^3\rho, \\ U + B_2F_{TT} + B_1F_T + C_2F_{RRT} + C_1F_{RTT} + C_0F_{RT} + MF &= 6a^2p, \\ \dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Here

$$D_2 = -6\dot{R}a^2\dot{a}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$D_1 = -6a\dot{a}^2 + a^3u_{\dot{a}}\dot{a} - a^3(u - R), \quad (3.11)$$

$$J = -6a^2\dot{a}\dot{T}, \quad (3.12)$$

$$E_1 = -6a\dot{a}^2 + a^3v_{\dot{a}}\dot{a} - a^3(v - T), \quad (3.13)$$

$$K = -a^3 \quad (3.14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} U &= A_3 F_{RRR} + A_2 F_{RR} + A_1 F_R, \\ A_3 &= -6\dot{R}^2 a^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

$$A_2 = -6\ddot{R}a^2 - 12\dot{R}a\dot{a} + a^3\dot{R}u_{\dot{a}}, \quad (3.16)$$

$$A_1 = -6\dot{a}^2 - 12a\ddot{a} + 3a^2\dot{a}u_{\dot{a}} + a^3\dot{u}_{\dot{a}} - 3a^2(u - R) - a^3u_a, \quad (3.17)$$

$$B_2 = -12\dot{T}a\dot{a} + a^3\dot{T}v_{\dot{a}}, \quad (3.18)$$

$$B_1 = -6\dot{a}^2 - 12a\ddot{a} + 3a^2\dot{a}v_{\dot{a}} + a^3\dot{v}_{\dot{a}} - 3a^2(v - T) - a^3v_a, \quad (3.19)$$

$$C_2 = -12a^2\dot{R}\dot{T}, \quad (3.20)$$

$$C_1 = -6a^2\dot{T}^2, \quad (3.21)$$

$$C_0 = -12\dot{R}a\dot{a} - 12\dot{T}a\dot{a} - 6a^2\ddot{T} + a^3\dot{R}v_{\dot{a}} + a^3\dot{T}u_{\dot{a}}, \quad (3.22)$$

$$M = -3a^2. \quad (3.23)$$

We can rewrite the system (3.9) in terms of H as

$$\begin{aligned} DF_{RR} + D_1 F_R + JF_{RT} + E_1 F_T + KF &= -2a^3\rho, \\ U + B_2 F_{TT} + B_1 F_T + C_2 F_{RRT} + C_1 F_{RTT} + C_0 F_{RT} + MF &= 6a^2 p, \\ \dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

where

$$D_2 = -6\dot{R}a^2\dot{a} = -6a^3 H\dot{R}, \quad (3.25)$$

$$D_1 = -6a^3 H^2 + a^3 u_{\dot{a}}\dot{a} + 6a^3(\dot{H} + 2H^2) = a^3 u_{\dot{a}}\dot{a} + 6a^3(\dot{H} + H^2), \quad (3.26)$$

$$J = -6a^3 H\dot{T}, \quad (3.27)$$

$$E_1 = -6a^3 H^2 + a^3 v_{\dot{a}}\dot{a} - 6a^3 H^2 = -12a^3 H^2 + a^3 v_{\dot{a}}\dot{a}, \quad (3.28)$$

$$K = -a^3. \quad (3.29)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} U &= A_3 F_{RRR} + A_2 F_{RR} + A_1 F_R, \\ A_3 &= -6\dot{R}^2 a^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

$$A_2 = -6\ddot{R}a^2 - 12\dot{R}a\dot{a} + a^3\dot{R}u_{\dot{a}} = -6\ddot{R}a^2 - 12\dot{R}a\dot{a} + a^3\dot{R}u_{\dot{a}}, \quad (3.31)$$

$$A_1 = -6\dot{a}^2 - 12a\ddot{a} + 3a^2\dot{a}u_{\dot{a}} + a^3\dot{u}_{\dot{a}} - 3a^2(u - R) - a^3u_a, \quad (3.32)$$

$$B_2 = -12\dot{T}a\dot{a} + a^3\dot{T}v_{\dot{a}}, \quad (3.33)$$

$$B_1 = -6\dot{a}^2 - 12a\ddot{a} + 3a^2\dot{a}v_{\dot{a}} + a^3\dot{v}_{\dot{a}} - 3a^2(v - T) - a^3v_a, \quad (3.34)$$

$$C_2 = -12a^2\dot{R}\dot{T}, \quad (3.35)$$

$$C_1 = -6a^2\dot{T}^2, \quad (3.36)$$

$$C_0 = -12\dot{R}a\dot{a} - 12\dot{T}a\dot{a} - 6a^2\ddot{T} + a^3\dot{R}v_{\dot{a}} + a^3\dot{T}u_{\dot{a}}, \quad (3.37)$$

$$M = -3a^2. \quad (3.38)$$

4 Reductions. Preliminary classification

Note that the system (3.9) or (3.24) admits some important reductions. Let us now present these particular cases.

4.1 Case: $F = R$

Now we consider the particular case $F = R$. Thus, the system (3.24) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 + KR &= -2a^3\rho, \\ A_1 + MR &= 6a^2 p, \\ \dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
3H^2 + 0.5(u - \dot{a}u_{\dot{a}}) &= \rho, \\
2\dot{H} + 3H^2 - 0.5(\dot{a}u_{\dot{a}} + \frac{1}{3}a\dot{u}_{\dot{a}} - u) &= -p, \\
\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Let us rewrite this system as

$$\begin{aligned}
3H^2 &= \rho + \rho_c, \\
2\dot{H} + 3H^2 &= -(p + p_c), \\
\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) &= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_c &= -0.5(u - \dot{a}u_{\dot{a}}), \\
p_c &= -0.5(\dot{a}u_{\dot{a}} + 3^{-1}a\dot{u}_{\dot{a}} - u)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

are the corrections to the energy density and pressure. Note that if $u = 0$ we obtain the standard equations of GR,

$$\begin{aligned}
3H^2 &= \rho, \\
2\dot{H} + 3H^2 &= -p, \\
\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

4.2 Case: $F = T$

Let us now to consider $F = T$. Then the system (3.24) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1 + KT &= -2a^3\rho, \\
B_1 + MT &= 6a^2p, \\
\dot{\rho} - 3H(\rho + p) &= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
3H^2 + 0.5(v - \dot{a}v_{\dot{a}}) &= \rho, \\
2\dot{H} + 3H^2 - 0.5(\dot{a}v_{\dot{a}} + \frac{1}{3}a\dot{v}_{\dot{a}} - v) &= -p, \\
\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

The above system can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
3H^2 &= \rho + \rho_c, \\
2\dot{H} + 3H^2 &= -(p + p_c), \\
\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) &= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

where

$$\rho_c = -0.5(v - \dot{a}v_{\dot{a}}), \tag{4.10}$$

$$p_c = -0.5(\dot{a}v_{\dot{a}} + 3^{-1}a\dot{v}_{\dot{a}} - v) \tag{4.11}$$

are the corrections to the energy density and pressure. Obviously, if $v = 0$ we obtain the standard equations of GR (4.6).

4.3 Case: $F = F(T)$, $u = v = 0$

Let us take $F = F(T)$, $u = v = 0$. Then, the system (3.24) becomes

$$E_1 F_T + K F = -2a^3 \rho, \quad (4.12)$$

$$B_2 F_{TT} + B_1 F_T + M F = 6a^2 p, \quad (4.13)$$

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 0 \quad (4.14)$$

or

$$-12a\dot{a}^2 F_T - a^3 F = -2a^3 \rho, \quad (4.15)$$

$$-12\dot{T}a\dot{a}F_{TT} - (36\dot{a}^2 + 12a\ddot{a})F_T - 3a^2 F = 6a^2 p, \quad (4.16)$$

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 0. \quad (4.17)$$

This system can be rewritten as

$$-2TF_T + F = 2\rho, \quad (4.18)$$

$$-8\dot{H}TF_{TT} + 2(T - 2\dot{H})F_T - F = 2p, \quad (4.19)$$

$$\dot{\rho} - 3H(\rho + p) = 0 \quad (4.20)$$

that is the same as (2.16)-(2.18) of $F(T)$ gravity.

4.4 Case: $F = F(R)$, $u = v = 0$

We get the second reduction if we consider the case where $F = F(R)$, $u = v = 0$. Then the system (3.9) leads to

$$D_2 F_{RR} + D_1 F_R + K F = -2a^3 \rho, \quad (4.21)$$

$$A_3 F_{RRR} + A_2 F_{RR} + A_1 F_R + M F = 6a^2 p, \quad (4.22)$$

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 0, \quad (4.23)$$

where

$$A_3 = -6\dot{R}^2 a^2, \quad (4.24)$$

$$A_2 = -6\ddot{R}a^2 - 12\dot{R}a\dot{a}, \quad (4.25)$$

$$A_1 = -6\dot{a}^2 - 12a\ddot{a} + 3a^2 R, \quad (4.26)$$

$$D_2 = -6\dot{R}a^2 \dot{a}, \quad (4.27)$$

$$D_1 = -6a\dot{a}^2 + a^3 R, \quad (4.28)$$

$$K = -a^3. \quad (4.29)$$

This system can be written as

$$-6\dot{R}a^2 \dot{a} F_{RR} + [-6a\dot{a}^2 + a^3 R] F_R - a^3 F = -2a^3 \rho, \quad (4.30)$$

$$-6\dot{R}^2 a^2 F_{RRR} + [-12\dot{R}a\dot{a} - 6\ddot{R}a^2] F_{RR} + [-6\dot{a}^2 - 12a\ddot{a} + 3a^2 R] F_R - 3a^2 F = 6a^2 p, \quad (4.31)$$

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 0. \quad (4.32)$$

As a consequence,

$$6\dot{R}H F_{RR} - (R - 6H^2) F_R + F = 2\rho, \quad (4.33)$$

$$-2\dot{R}^2 F_{RRR} + [-4\dot{R}H - 2\ddot{R}] F_{RR} + [-2H^2 - 4a^{-1}\ddot{a} + R] F_R - F = 2p, \quad (4.34)$$

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 0. \quad (4.35)$$

This system corresponds to the one in equations (2.5)-(2.7). We have shown that our model contains $F(R)$ and $F(T)$ gravity models as particular cases. In this sense it is the generalizations of these two known modified gravity theories.

4.5 The M_{37A} - model

For the M_{37A} - model we have $u \neq 0$, $v = 0$ so that

$$S_{37A} = \int d^4x e[F(R, T) + L_m], \quad (4.36)$$

$$R = u + 6(\dot{H} + 2H^2), \quad (4.37)$$

$$T = -6H^2. \quad (4.38)$$

4.6 The M_{37B} - model

If we consider the case $u = 0$, $v \neq 0$, then we get the M_{37B} - model with

$$S_{37B} = \int d^4x e[F(R, T) + L_m], \quad (4.39)$$

$$R = 6(\dot{H} + 2H^2), \quad (4.40)$$

$$T = v - 6H^2. \quad (4.41)$$

4.7 The M_{37C} - model

Now we consider the case $v = \zeta(u)$. We get the M_{37C} - model with

$$S_{37B} = \int d^4x e[F(R, T) + L_m], \quad (4.42)$$

$$R = u + 6(\dot{H} + 2H^2), \quad (4.43)$$

$$T = \zeta(u) - 6H^2, \quad (4.44)$$

where in general ζ is a function to be defined e.g. $\zeta = \zeta(t; a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}, \dots; \varsigma; u)$ and ς is an unknown function.

4.8 The M_{37D} - model

Now we consider the particular case of $u = \xi(v)$ and we get the M_{37D} - model with

$$S_{37B} = \int d^4x e[F(R, T) + L_m], \quad (4.45)$$

$$R = \xi(v) + 6(\dot{H} + 2H^2), \quad (4.46)$$

$$T = v - 6H^2, \quad (4.47)$$

where in general ξ is a function to be defined e.g. $\xi = \xi(t; a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}, \dots; \varsigma; v)$ and ς is an unknown function.

4.9 The M_{37E} - model

Finally we consider the case $u = v = 0$ and we get the M_{37E} - model with

$$S_{37E} = \int d^4x e[F(R, T) + L_m], \quad (4.48)$$

$$R = 6(\dot{H} + 2H^2), \quad (4.49)$$

$$T = -6H^2. \quad (4.50)$$

About this model we have some doubt related with the equation

$$\dot{T} = -2(R + 3T)\sqrt{-\frac{T}{6}} \quad (4.51)$$

which follows from (4.49)-(4.50) by avoiding the variable H . This equation tell us that we have only one independent dynamical variable R or T . It turns out that the model (4.48)-(4.50) is not of the type of $F(R, T)$ gravity, but is equivalent to $F(R)$ or $F(T)$ gravity only. This is why in this paper we introduced some new functions like u, v and w with the (temporally?) unknown geometrical nature.

4.10 The M_{37F} - model

The M_{37F} - model corresponds to the case

$$R = 0, \quad T \neq 0 \quad (4.52)$$

that is

$$u = -6(\dot{H} + 2H^2) \quad (4.53)$$

As a consequence the M_{37F} - model reads

$$S_{37J} = \int d^4x e [F(R, T) + L_m], \quad (4.54)$$

$$R = 0, \quad (4.55)$$

$$T = v - 6H^2. \quad (4.56)$$

We can see that the M_{37F} - model is in fact a generalization of $F(T)$ gravity.

4.11 The M_{37G} - model

We obtain the M_{37G} - model by assuming

$$R \neq 0, \quad T = 0 \quad (4.57)$$

that is

$$v = 6H^2. \quad (4.58)$$

In this way we write the M_{37G} - model as

$$S_{37J} = \int d^4x e [F(R, T) + L_m], \quad (4.59)$$

$$R = u + 6(\dot{H} + 2H^2), \quad (4.60)$$

$$T = 0. \quad (4.61)$$

This model is in fact a generalization of $F(R)$ gravity.

5 The particular model: $F(R, T) = \mu R + \nu T$

The equations of $F(R, T)$ gravity are much more complicated with respect to the ones of GR even for FRW metric. For this reason let us consider the following simplest particular model

$$F(R, T) = \nu T + \mu R, \quad (5.1)$$

where μ and ν are some real constants. The equations system of $F(R, T)$ gravity becomes

$$\mu D_1 + \nu E_1 + K(\nu T + \mu R) = -2a^3 \rho, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\mu A_1 + \nu B_1 + M(\nu T + \mu R) = 6a^2 p, \quad (5.3)$$

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 0, \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$D_1 = -6a\dot{a}^2 + a^3 u_{\dot{a}} \dot{a} - a^3(u - R) = 6a^2\ddot{a} + a^3 \dot{a} u_{\dot{a}} = a^3 \left(6\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \dot{a} u_{\dot{a}}\right), \quad (5.5)$$

$$E_1 = -6a\dot{a}^2 + a^3 v_{\dot{a}} \dot{a} - a^3(v - T) = -12a\dot{a}^2 + a^3 \dot{a} v_{\dot{a}} = a^3 \left(-12\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \dot{a} v_{\dot{a}}\right), \quad (5.6)$$

$$K = -a^3, \quad (5.7)$$

$$A_1 = 12\dot{a}^2 + 6a\ddot{a} + 3a^2 \dot{a} u_{\dot{a}} + a^3 \dot{u}_{\dot{a}} - a^3 u_a, \quad (5.8)$$

$$B_1 = -24\dot{a}^2 - 12a\ddot{a} + 3a^2 \dot{a} v_{\dot{a}} + a^3 \dot{v}_{\dot{a}} - a^3 v_a, \quad (5.9)$$

$$M = -3a^2, \quad (5.10)$$

$$R = u + 6\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + 6\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = u + 6(\dot{H} + 2H^2), \quad (5.11)$$

$$T = v - 6\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = v - 6H^2. \quad (5.12)$$

We get

$$-6(\mu + \nu)\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \mu \dot{a} u_{\dot{a}} + \nu \dot{a} v_{\dot{a}} - \mu u - \nu v = -2\rho \quad (5.13)$$

$$-2(\mu + \nu)\left(\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + 2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}\right) + \mu \dot{a} u_{\dot{a}} + \nu \dot{a} v_{\dot{a}} - \mu u - \nu v + \frac{\mu}{3}a(\dot{u}_{\dot{a}} - u_a) + \frac{\nu}{3}a(\dot{v}_{\dot{a}} - v_a) = 2p, \quad (5.14)$$

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 0. \quad (5.15)$$

May rewrite it as

$$3(\mu + \nu)\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} - 0.5(\mu \dot{a} u_{\dot{a}} + \nu \dot{a} v_{\dot{a}} - \mu u - \nu v) = \rho, \quad (5.16)$$

$$(\mu + \nu)\left(\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + 2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}\right) - 0.5(\mu \dot{a} u_{\dot{a}} + \nu \dot{a} v_{\dot{a}} - \mu u - \nu v) - \frac{\mu}{6}a(\dot{u}_{\dot{a}} - u_a) - \frac{\nu}{6}a(\dot{v}_{\dot{a}} - v_a) = -p \quad (5.17)$$

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 0. \quad (5.18)$$

or

$$3(\mu + \nu)H^2 - 0.5(\mu \dot{a} u_{\dot{a}} + \nu \dot{a} v_{\dot{a}} - \mu u - \nu v) = \rho, \quad (5.19)$$

$$(\mu + \nu)(2\dot{H} + 3H^2) - 0.5(\mu \dot{a} u_{\dot{a}} + \nu \dot{a} v_{\dot{a}} - \mu u - \nu v) - \frac{\mu}{6}a(\dot{u}_{\dot{a}} - u_a) - \frac{\nu}{6}a(\dot{v}_{\dot{a}} - v_a) = -p \quad (5.20)$$

$$\dot{\rho} - 3H(\rho + p) = 0. \quad (5.21)$$

This system contents 2 equations and 5 unknown functions (a, ρ, p, u, v) . Note that the EoS parameter is given by

$$\omega = \frac{p}{\rho} = -1 - \frac{2(\mu + \nu)\dot{H} - \frac{\mu}{6}a(\dot{u}_{\dot{a}} - u_a) - \frac{\nu}{6}a(\dot{v}_{\dot{a}} - v_a)}{3(\mu + \nu)H^2 - 0.5(\mu \dot{a} u_{\dot{a}} + \nu \dot{a} v_{\dot{a}} - \mu u - \nu v)}. \quad (5.22)$$

Now we assume

$$u = \alpha a^n, \quad v = \beta a^m, \quad (5.23)$$

where n, m, α, β are some real constants so that we have

$$u = \alpha \left(\frac{v}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{n}{m}}, \quad v = \beta \left(\frac{u}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{m}{n}}, \quad (5.24)$$

Then, the previous system (5.16)-(5.18) leads to

$$3(\mu + \nu)\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + 0.5(\mu \alpha a^n + \nu \beta a^m) = \rho, \quad (5.25)$$

$$(\mu + \nu)\left(\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + 2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a}\right) + \frac{\mu \alpha (n+3)}{6}a^n + \frac{\nu \beta (m+3)}{6}a^m = -p, \quad (5.26)$$

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 0 \quad (5.27)$$

or

$$3(\mu + \nu)H^2 + 0.5(\mu\alpha a^n + \nu\beta a^m) = \rho, \quad (5.28)$$

$$(\mu + \nu)(2\dot{H} + 3H^2) + \frac{\mu\alpha(n+3)}{6}a^n + \frac{\nu\beta(m+3)}{6}a^m = -p, \quad (5.29)$$

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = 0. \quad (5.30)$$

6 Cosmological implications. Dark energy

Here we are interested in the cosmological implications of the model relating to the dark energy problem. In order to satisfy our interest, let us consider the power-law solution in the form

$$a = a_0 t^\eta, \quad (6.1)$$

where a_0 and η are constants. Thus,

$$\rho = 3(\mu + \nu)\eta^2 t^{-2} + 0.5(\mu\alpha a_0^n t^{\eta n} + \nu\beta a_0^m t^{\eta m}), \quad (6.2)$$

$$p = -[(\mu + \nu)(-2\eta + 3\eta^2)t^{-2} + \frac{\mu\alpha(n+3)}{6}a_0^n t^{\eta n} + \frac{\nu\beta(m+3)}{6}a_0^m t^{\eta m}]. \quad (6.3)$$

The EoS parameter reads




Figure 1: The evolution of the EoS parameter $\omega(t)$ with respect of the cosmic time t for Eq. (125)

$$\omega = \frac{p}{\rho} = -1 - \frac{-2\eta(\mu + \nu) + \frac{\mu\alpha n}{6}a_0^n t^{\eta n} + \frac{\nu\beta m}{6}a_0^m t^{\eta m}}{3(\mu + \nu)\eta^2 t^{-2} + 0.5(\mu\alpha a_0^n t^{\eta n} + \nu\beta a_0^m t^{\eta m})}. \quad (6.4)$$

These expressions still content some unknown constant parameters. We assume that these parameters have the following values, namely $\mu = \nu = 1 = m = n = \alpha = \beta = a_0$, $\eta = 2/3$. In this case one has

$$\rho = \frac{8}{3}t^{-2} + t^{2/3}, \quad (6.5)$$

$$p = -\frac{4}{3}t^{2/3}, \quad (6.6)$$

so that the EoS takes the form

$$\rho = \frac{512}{81p^3} + \frac{3p}{4}. \quad (6.7)$$

Furthermore, the EoS parameter becomes

$$\omega(t) = \frac{p}{\rho} = -\frac{4}{3 + 8t^{-8/3}} = -\frac{4t^{8/3}}{3t^{8/3} + 8}. \quad (6.8)$$

Hence, we see that $\omega(0) = 0$, $\omega(1) = -4/11 \approx -0.36$ and $\omega(\infty) = -4/3 \approx -1.33$, so that our particular case admits the phantom crossing for $\omega = -1$ as $t_0 = 8^{3/8}$. In Fig.1 we plot the evolution of the EoS parameter with respect to the cosmic time t . It is interesting to compare this result with the torsionless case with $\nu = \alpha = \beta = 0$, by taking the same values for all the other parameters, namely $\mu = 1$ and $\eta = 2/3$, which is the case of GR. As a consequence $p = 0$ and $\rho = \frac{8}{3t^2}$, which describe the dust matter.

7 $F(R, T)$ gravity: Bianchi type I model

The results of the section 3 can be extended to the other metric. As an example, let us consider the M_{37} - model for the Bianchi type spacetime. The corresponding metric is given by

$$ds^2 = -d\tau^2 + A^2 dx_1^2 + B^2 dx_2^2 + C^2 dx_3^2, \quad (7.1)$$

In this case the M_{37} - model reads as

$$S_{39} = \int d^4x e[F(R, T) + L_m], \quad (7.2)$$

$$R = u + 2 \left(\frac{\ddot{A}}{A} + \frac{\ddot{B}}{B} + \frac{\ddot{C}}{C} + \frac{\dot{A}\dot{B}}{AB} + \frac{\dot{A}\dot{C}}{AC} + \frac{\dot{B}\dot{C}}{BC} \right), \quad (7.3)$$

$$T = v - 2 \left(\frac{\dot{A}\dot{B}}{AB} + \frac{\dot{A}\dot{C}}{AC} + \frac{\dot{B}\dot{C}}{BC} \right). \quad (7.4)$$

Here $u = u(t, A, B, C, \dot{A}, \dot{B}, \dot{C}, \ddot{A}, \ddot{B}, \ddot{C}, \dots; f_i)$ and $v = v(t, A, B, C, \dot{A}, \dot{B}, \dot{C}, \ddot{A}, \ddot{B}, \ddot{C}, \dots; g_i)$.

8 Other generalizations of some generalized gravity models

8.1 The $F(G)$ with w field

Now we consider the M_{39} - model which looks like

$$S_{39} = \int d^4x e[F(G) + L_m], \quad (8.1)$$

$$G = w + 24H^2(\dot{H} + H^2), \quad (8.2)$$

$$w = w(t, a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}, \dots; h_i), \quad (8.3)$$

where, again, $w = w(t, a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}, \dots; h_i)$ is a real function and h_i is an unknown function related to the geometry of the spacetime. If $w = 0$ the M_{39} - model reduces to the usual $F(G)$ gravity with $G = G_s = 24H^2(\dot{H} + H^2)$.

8.2 The M_{40} - model

Now we consider the M_{40} - model which reads

$$S_{40} = \int d^4x e[F(R, G) + L_m], \quad (8.4)$$

where

$$R = u + 6(\dot{H} + 2H^2), \quad (8.5)$$

$$G = w + 24H^2(\dot{H} + H^2), \quad (8.6)$$

$$u = u(t, a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}, \dots; f_i), \quad (8.7)$$

$$w = w(t, a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}, \dots; h_i). \quad (8.8)$$

Here, $u = u(t, a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}, \dots; f_i)$ and $w = w(t, a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}, \dots; h_i)$ are some real functions and f_i, h_i, g_i are some unknown functions related to the geometry of the spacetime. Note that if we put $u = w = 0$, the M_{40} - model reduces to the usual $F(R, G)$ gravity.

8.3 The M_{38} - model

Let us consider the following action of the M_{38} - model

$$\mathcal{S}_{38} = \int d^4x e[F(G, T) + L_m], \quad (8.9)$$

where

$$G = w + 24H^2(\dot{H} + H^2), \quad (8.10)$$

$$T = v - 6H^2, \quad (8.11)$$

$$w = w(t, a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}, \ddot{\ddot{a}}, \dots; h_i), \quad (8.12)$$

$$v = v(t, a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}, \ddot{\ddot{a}}, \dots; g_i). \quad (8.13)$$

Here in general $w = w(t, a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}, \ddot{\ddot{a}}, \dots; h_i)$ and $v = v(t, a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}, \ddot{\ddot{a}}, \dots; g_i)$ are some real functions and h_i and g_i are some unknown functions related with the geometry of the spacetime.

8.4 The M_{41} - model

Now we consider the M_{41} - model with the following action

$$\mathcal{S}_{41} = \int d^4x e[F(R, G, T) + L_m], \quad (8.14)$$

where

$$R = u + 6(\dot{H} + 2H^2), \quad (8.15)$$

$$G = w + 24H^2(\dot{H} + H^2), \quad (8.16)$$

$$T = v - 6H^2, \quad (8.17)$$

$$u = u(t, a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}, \ddot{\ddot{a}}, \dots; f_i), \quad (8.18)$$

$$w = w(t, a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}, \ddot{\ddot{a}}, \dots; h_i), \quad (8.19)$$

$$v = v(t, a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}, \ddot{\ddot{a}}, \dots; g_i). \quad (8.20)$$

Here, again, $u = u(t, a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}, \ddot{\ddot{a}}, \dots; f_i)$, $w = w(t, a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}, \ddot{\ddot{a}}, \dots; h_i)$ and $v = v(t, a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}, \ddot{\ddot{a}}, \dots; g_i)$ are some real functions and f_i, h_i, g_i are some unknown functions related to the geometry of the spacetime.

8.5 The M_{42} - model

Let us consider the M_{42} - model with the action

$$\mathcal{S}_{42} = \int d^4x e[F(R, T) + L_m], \quad (8.21)$$

where

$$R = T\phi + 6(\dot{H} + 2H^2), \quad (8.22)$$

$$T = R\varphi - 6H^2 \quad (8.23)$$

Here $u = T\phi$, $v = R\varphi$, where $\phi = \phi(t, a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}, \ddot{\ddot{a}}, \dots; \phi_i)$ and $\varphi = \varphi(t, a, \dot{a}, \ddot{a}, \ddot{\ddot{a}}, \dots; \varphi_i)$ are some unknown functions. This model admits (at least) two important particular cases.

a) *The M_{42A} - model.* Let us take $R = 0$. Then $F(R, T) = F(T)$, $T = -6H^2$ and $\phi = \phi_0 = 2 + H^{-2}\dot{H}$, so that we get purely $F(T)$ gravity.

b) *The M_{42B} - model.* Let us take now $T = 0$. Then, $F(R, T) = F(R)$, $R = 6(\dot{H} + 2H^2)$ and $\varphi = \varphi_0 = H^2(\dot{H} + 2H^2)^{-1}$. This case corresponds to the purely $F(R)$ gravity.

9 Other gravity theories

Consider some general spacetime, the geometric structure of which is determined by three tensors: the metric tensor ($g_{\mu\nu}$), the torsion tensor ($S^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$) and the nonmetricity tensor ($Q_{\lambda\mu\nu}$). The torsion tensor is the antisymmetric part of the connection and the nonmetricity tensor measures the failure of the connection to be metric compatible. Note that these three tensors can be computed once an affine connection $\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\lambda}$ is given. In above, we have considered the Myrzakulov gravity (MG) which has the following action

$$S = \int \sqrt{-g} d^4x [F(R, T) + L_m], \quad (9.1)$$

where R is the Ricci scalar, T is the torsion scalar and L_m is the matter Lagrangian. This MG is some kind generalizations of the well-known $F(R)$ and $F(T)$ gravity theories. Note that there are some other gravity theories. Let us we present some of them.

9.1 Modified MG

The action of the modified MG has the following form

$$S = \int \sqrt{-g} d^4x [F(R, T, \mathcal{T}) + L_m], \quad (9.2)$$

where R is the Ricci scalar (scalar curvature), T is the torsion scalar and \mathcal{T} is the trace of the energy-momentum tensor.

9.2 Extended MG

The action of the extendend MG is given by

$$S = \int \sqrt{-g} d^4x [F(R, T, Q) + L_m], \quad (9.3)$$

where R is the Ricci scalar, T is the torsion scalar and Q is the nonmetricity scalar.

9.3 Generalized MG

The action of the generalized MG reads as

$$S = \int \sqrt{-g} d^4x [F(R, T, \mathcal{T}, Q) + L_m], \quad (9.4)$$

where R is the Ricci scalar, T is the torsion scalar, Q is the nonmetricity scalar and \mathcal{T} is the trace of the energy-momentum tensor (the trace of the stress-energy tensor).

10 Conclusion

As it is well known, modified gravity theories play an important role in modern cosmology. In particular, the well-known $F(R)$ and $F(T)$ theories are useful tools to study dark energy phenomena motivated at a fundamental level. In the present work, we have considered the more general theory, namely the $F(R, T)$ - models.

At first, we have written the equations of the model and we have found their several reductions. In particular, the Lagrangian has been explicitly constructed. The corresponding exact solutions are found for the specific model $F(R, T) = \mu R + \nu T$ theory, for which the universe expands as $a(t) = a_0 t^\eta$. Furthermore, we have considered the physical quantities corresponding to the exact solution, and we have found that it can describe the expansion of our universe in an accelerated way without introducing the dark energy.

Some remarks are in order. Of course many aspects of $F(R, T)$ theory are actually unexplored. For example, we do not have any realistic model which fits the cosmological data, unlike $F(R)$

or $F(T)$ theory. We do not know viability conditions of the models, , what forms of $F(R, T)$ can be derived from fundamental theories and so on (it may be extremely important to reconstruct a $F(R, T)$ -theory by starting from some basic principles). On the other hand, we have here shown that the $F(R, T)$ models can be serious candidates as modified gravity models for the dark energy. Also we note that the behaviour and the results of $F(R, T)$ -gravity may be extremely different with respect to the ones of GR, $F(R)$ and $F(T)$ gravity theories, so that only the observation of our universe may discriminate between the various gravity theories. We do not want here discuss merits and demerits of the models above, since we think that it requires some more accurate investigations related to cosmological applications.

References

- [1] E. J. Copeland, M. Sami and S. Tsujikawa, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **15**, 1753 (2006) [hep-th/0603057];
J. Frieman, M. Turner and D. Huterer, *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **46**, 385 (2008) [arXiv:0803.0982];
S. Tsujikawa, arXiv:1004.1493 [astro-ph.CO];
M. Li, X. D. Li, S. Wang and Y. Wang, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **56**, 525 (2011) [arXiv:1103.5870];
Y. F. Cai, E. N. Saridakis, M. R. Setare and J. Q. Xia, *Phys. Rept.* **493**, 1 (2010) [arXiv:0909.2776].
- [2] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, *Living Rev. Rel.* **13**, 3 (2010) [arXiv:1002.4928];
T. Clifton, P. G. Ferreira, A. Padilla and C. Skordis, arXiv:1106.2476 [astro-ph.CO];
T. P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 451 (2010) [arXiv:0805.1726];
S. Tsujikawa, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **800**, 99 (2010) [arXiv:1101.0191];
S. Capozziello, M. De Laurentis and V. Faraoni, arXiv:0909.4672 [gr-qc];
R. Durrer and R. Maartens, arXiv:0811.4132 [astro-ph];
S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **4**, 115 (2007) [hep-th/0601213];
S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, arXiv:1011.0544 [gr-qc].
- [3] G. R. Bengochea and R. Ferraro, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 124019 (2009) [arXiv:0812.1205].
- [4] E. V. Linder, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 127301 (2010) [arXiv:1005.3039]; Erratum-ibid. *D* **82**, 109902 (2010).
- [5] R. J. Yang, *Europhys. Lett.* **93**, 60001 (2011) [arXiv:1010.1376].
- [6] H. Wei, X. P. Ma and H. Y. Qi, *Phys. Lett. B* **703**, 74 (2011) [arXiv:1106.0102].
- [7] R. Ferraro and F. Fiorini, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 084031 (2007) [gr-qc/0610067];
R. Ferraro and F. Fiorini, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 124019 (2008) [arXiv:0812.1981].
- [8] P. X. Wu and H. W. Yu, *Phys. Lett. B* **693**, 415 (2010) [arXiv:1006.0674];
P. X. Wu and H. W. Yu, *Phys. Lett. B* **692**, 176 (2010) [arXiv:1007.2348];
P. X. Wu and H. W. Yu, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71**, 1552 (2011) [arXiv:1008.3669];
P. X. Wu and H. W. Yu, *Phys. Lett. B* **703**, 223 (2011) [arXiv:1108.5908];
K. Bamba, C. Q. Geng, C. C. Lee and L. W. Luo, *JCAP* **1101**, 021 (2011) [arXiv:1011.0508];
K. Bamba, C. Q. Geng and C. C. Lee, arXiv:1008.4036 [astro-ph.CO];
Y. Zhang, H. Li, Y. G. Gong and Z. H. Zhu, *JCAP* **1107**, 015 (2011) [arXiv:1103.0719];
Y. F. Cai, S. H. Chen, J. B. Dent, S. Dutta and E. N. Saridakis, arXiv:1104.4349 [astro-ph.CO];
M. Li, R. X. Miao and Y. G. Miao, *JHEP* **1107**, 108 (2011) [arXiv:1105.5934];
R. X. Miao, M. Li and Y. G. Miao, *JCAP* **1111**, 033 (2011) [arXiv:1107.0515];
X. H. Meng and Y. B. Wang, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71**, 1755 (2011) [arXiv:1107.0629];
R. J. Yang, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71**, 1797 (2011) [arXiv:1007.3571];
T. Wang, *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 024042 (2011) [arXiv:1102.4410];
R. Zheng and Q. G. Huang, *JCAP* **1103**, 002 (2011) [arXiv:1010.3512].

- [9] B. Li, T. P. Sotiriou and J. D. Barrow, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 104017 (2011) [arXiv:1103.2786];
 B. Li, T. P. Sotiriou and J. D. Barrow, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 064035 (2011) [arXiv:1010.1041];
 T. P. Sotiriou, B. Li and J. D. Barrow, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 104030 (2011) [arXiv:1012.4039].
- [10] G. R. Bengochea, Phys. Lett. B **695**, 405 (2011) [arXiv:1008.3188];
 S. H. Chen, J. B. Dent, S. Dutta and E. N. Saridakis, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 023508 (2011) [arXiv:1008.1250];
 J. B. Dent, S. Dutta and E. N. Saridakis, JCAP **1101**, 009 (2011) [arXiv:1010.2215];
 R. Ferraro and F. Fiorini, Phys. Lett. B **702**, 75 (2011) [arXiv:1103.0824];
 R. Ferraro and F. Fiorini, arXiv:1106.6349 [gr-qc];
 C. G. Boehmer, A. Mussa and N. Tamanini, arXiv:1107.4455 [gr-qc].
- [11] C. Deliduman and B. Yapiskan, arXiv:1103.2225 [gr-qc];
 R. Myrzakulov, Eur. Phys. J. C **71**, 1752 (2011) [arXiv:1006.1120];
 K. K. Yerzhanov, S. R. Myrzakul, I. I. Kulnazarov and R. Myrzakulov, arXiv:1006.3879 [gr-qc];
 R. Myrzakulov, arXiv:1008.4486 [astro-ph.CO];
 K. Karami and A. Abdolmaleki, arXiv:1009.2459 [gr-qc];
 K. Karami and A. Abdolmaleki, arXiv:1009.3587 [physics.gen-ph];
 P. B. Khatua, S. Chakraborty and U. Debnath, arXiv:1105.3393 [physics.gen-ph];
 S. Chattopadhyay and U. Debnath, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **20**, 1135 (2011) [arXiv:1105.1091];
 M. Sharif and S. Rani, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **26**, 1657 (2011) [arXiv:1105.6228].
- [12] M. H. Daouda, M. E. Rodrigues and M. J. S. Houndjo, Eur. Phys. J. C **71**, 1817 (2011) [arXiv:1108.2920];
 M. H. Daouda, M. E. Rodrigues and M. J. S. Houndjo, arXiv:1109.0528 [physics.gen-ph];
 C. Q. Geng, C. C. Lee, E. N. Saridakis and Y. P. Wu, Phys. Lett. B **704**, 384 (2011) [arXiv:1109.1092];
 C. Q. Geng, C. C. Lee and E. N. Saridakis, arXiv:1110.0913 [astro-ph.CO];
 Y. P. Wu and C. Q. Geng, arXiv:1110.3099 [gr-qc];
 M. R. Setare and F. Darabi, arXiv:1110.3962 [physics.gen-ph];
 R. Ferraro and F. Fiorini, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 083518 (2011) [arXiv:1109.4209];
 P. A. Gonzalez, E. N. Saridakis and Y. Vasquez, arXiv:1110.4024 [gr-qc];
 G. G. L. Nashed, arXiv:1111.0003 [physics.gen-ph];
 M. Sharif and S. Rani, Phys. Scripta **84**, 055005 (2011) [arXiv:1111.3599];
 M. H. Daouda, M. E. Rodrigues and M. J. S. Houndjo, arXiv:1111.6575 [gr-qc];
 C.G. Boehmer, A. Mussa, N. Tamanini. *Existence of relativistic stars in $f(T)$ gravity*, arXiv:1107.4455;
 N. Tamanini, C. G. Boehmer. *Good and bad tetrads in $f(T)$ gravity*, arXiv:1204.4593.
- [13] R. Myrzakulov, arXiv:1204.1093; arXiv:1201.4360.
- [14] R. Myrzakulov, arXiv:1008.4486.
- [15] A.J. Lopez-Revelles, R. Myrzakulov, D. Saez-Gomez, Physical Review D, **85**, N10, 103521 (2012).
- [16] K. Bamba, R. Myrzakulov, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, Physical Review D, **85**, N10, 104036 (2012).
- [17] K. Bamba, S. Capozziello, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, arXiv:1205.3421.
- [18] L. Fabbri, S. Vignolo. *A modified theory of gravity with torsion and its applications to cosmology and particle physics*, arXiv:1201.5498.
- [19] N. Tamanini. *Variational approach to gravitational theories with two independent connections*, arXiv:1205.2511.