

Matrices, bases and matrix elements for cubic double crystallographic groups.

Oleg Chalaev*

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211[†]

(Dated: June 12, 2012)

Matrices of the irreducible representations of double crystallographic point groups O , T_d , $O \otimes \{1, \hat{I}\}$ and $T_d \otimes \{1, \hat{I}\}$ are derived. The characteristic polynomials (spinor bases) up to the sixth power are obtained. The method for the derivation of the general form of an arbitrary matrix element of a vector/tensor quantity is developed; as an application, the $\vec{k} \cdot \vec{p}$ matrix elements are calculated. It is demonstrated that the other known method for obtaining the bases of the irreducible representations of the double groups ($\vec{L} \cdot \vec{S}$ -diagonalization of a linear combination of spherical harmonics) is unreliable.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Fd, 61.50.Ah, 02.20.-a

Keywords: double cubic groups, spinors, spinor spatial inversion, double group matrices, Cartan gauge

I. INTRODUCTION

The studies of the part of the group theory which is used in condensed matter physics are commonly believed to be definitely finished by the middle of the XX century. Introduction to group theory is now an indispensable part of condensed matter textbooks. Surprisingly, some important results (e.g. the matrices for the double irreducible representations) are missing. Consequently the power of group theory is not completely exploited.

Condensed matter textbooks often contain character tables (without matrices) of the double groups, and this might give a wrong impression that a character table provides all symmetry group information one would ever need in condensed matter physics. This might be true for a non-degenerate case: using character tables one easily understands whether a matrix element $\langle \Psi | \hat{O} | \Phi \rangle$ of some operator $\hat{O}$ between two non-degenerate states $|\Psi\rangle$ and $|\Phi\rangle$ must be zero by symmetry or not. The situation becomes more complicated when the states $|\Psi\rangle$ and $|\Phi\rangle$ are degenerate, and $\hat{O}$ is a vector (or a higher order tensor). In this case $\langle \Psi | \hat{O} | \Phi \rangle$ is a matrix (or a set of matrices), and one would like to understand its structure rather than just revealing if it is zero or not. The knowledge of matrices allows one to get a deeper insight into $\langle \Psi | \hat{O} | \Phi \rangle$: one can deduce its most general form using straightforward formalism, see Sec. IV A.

Another usage of matrices is efficient constructing of the bases of irreducible representations (irreps). It is possible¹ to construct a *polynomial* basis for an irreducible representation (irrep) without matrices: one finds a linear combination of the spherical harmonics (which correspond to the same degenerate level of a lonely atom) which diagonalizes the $\vec{L} \cdot \vec{S}$ operator (where $\vec{L}$ is the angular momentum, and $\vec{S}$ denotes spin). I see several drawbacks of this method though – unreliability, complexity, and narrowness:

- **Unreliability:** I am not aware of a rigid proof of reliability of this method (i.e., that every set of functions obtained using this method indeed does form

a basis of an irreducible representation). To me the requirement of the $\vec{L} \cdot \vec{S}$ diagonalization seems too weak. My suspects are confirmed in Sec. IV B where an example of a wrong basis obtained with this method is presented.

- **Complexity:** In order to obtain a high (say, sixth) power polynomial basis of an irrep one has to diagonalize a large matrix which may be hard to do analytically.
- **Narrowness:** the method is restricted to polynomial functions. These can only *approximate* wave functions in the vicinity of zero, which is unsatisfactory, e.g., in a numerical calculation where one would like to reconstruct the wave function (or electron density) in the entire atomic cell. The knowledge of matrices permits construction of projection operators^{2,3} which symmetry *arbitrary* (not necessary polynomial) wave functions. Such a symmetrization would allow, for example, to get rid of unphysical parts of the wave function (which could appear, e.g., due to numerical errors), and might improve both accuracy and speed of numerical calculations.

Recently Elder et al.¹ derived general form of the $\vec{k} \cdot \vec{p}$ Hamiltonian for the case of $T_d \otimes \{1, \hat{I}\}$ group (where 1 and $\hat{I}$ are unity and spatial inversion operators, and $\otimes$ stands for the direct product) using physical approach (diagonalizing the Hamiltonian in the presence of spin-orbit interaction). In this article I solve the same problem using projection operators approach^{2,3} which seems me simpler, easier to check by the reader, and more reliable.

The calculation of matrices of irreducible representations is based on the work of Dixon⁴, who is using the Burnside theorem, according to which every irreducible representation (irrep) of a group is contained in some direct product of certain number of its *faithful* (but not necessary irreducible) representations. Dixon⁴ demonstrated that in order to simultaneously block-diagonalize the set of direct products of matrices, it is enough to diagonalize one (specially prepared) matrix.

The practical realization of the Dixon's method, however, may be problematic if the dimension of the direct product of representations is not small enough: the problem arises due to the fact that it may be hard to diagonalize a large matrix analytically. I faced this problem when dealing with double groups with inversion, $O \otimes \{1, \hat{I}\}$ and $T_d \otimes \{1, \hat{I}\}$, which have no faithful irreps in the standard (Pauli) gauge (which assumes that the inversion operator $\hat{I}$ multiplies a spinor by -1). In order to resolve it I had to use somewhat less known Cartan gauge, see Sec. II. This allowed me to obtain the matrices of the irreps analytically, but changed the double groups (including their character tables, cf. Table I and Ref. [5]).

The choice of the gauge affects matrices of irreps and the character table but does not affect⁶⁻⁸ physical quantities, e.g., bases of the irreps as well as matrix elements of operators (such as $\vec{k} \cdot \hat{p}$) between the bands in a crystal. (Note, however, that matrices of an irrep are always defined up to a unitary transformation; so the corresponding basis sets are not unique.)

In order to save space I have included only two generators for each faithful irreducible representation in the text. However, the reader is encouraged to use supplementary material⁹, where matrices for all irreps separated in classes together with the transformation parameters (see Sec. III) are available.

It is important to check the obtained matrices. Fortunately, the check is much easier than the derivation; for convenience I provided a small program which calculates characters and multiplication tables of the irreducible representations. The program can be easily expanded by the readers for additional tests. It is available in the supplementary material⁹; there are versions for both `maxima`¹⁰ and `Mathematica` computer algebra software (CAS) systems.

II. ISOMORPHISM BETWEEN CUBIC (O) AND TETRAHEDRAL (T_d) GROUPS

The simple (geometrical) group O consists of all proper rotations¹¹ of a cube and contains 24 elements divided in five classes as follows:

class number $\rightarrow$	1	2	3	4	5
# of elements $\rightarrow$	1	3	6	6	8
rotation axis $\rightarrow$					
rotation angle $\rightarrow$	0	π	$\pi/2$	π	$2\pi/3$
Γ_5 character $\rightarrow$	3	-1	1	-1	0

(1)

The last line in the Table (1) is the character of the (faithful) Γ_5 irrep, which is given by the usual 3D proper rotation matrices (3) which transform a cube into itself.

The full tetrahedron symmetry group T_d contains both proper and improper rotations [the latter are emphasized by the overline and red color in Table (2)]. The corre-

sponding 3D rotation matrices compose (faithful) Γ_4 irrep. The group T_d is classified similarly to the O -group:

class number $\rightarrow$	1	2	3	4	5
# of elements $\rightarrow$	1	3	6	6	8
rotation axis $\rightarrow$					
rotation angle $\rightarrow$	0	π	$\overline{\pi/2}$	$\overline{\pi}$	$2\pi/3$
Γ_4 character $\rightarrow$	3	-1	-1	1	0

(2)

where the last line is the character of the Γ_4 irrep; differently from the Γ_5 irrep, Γ_4 contains matrices having determinant -1 . One can directly check that the transformations of two *simple* groups O and T_d obey the same multiplication table so that the two groups are isomorphic.

The matrices of the other irreps (Γ_1, Γ_2 , and Γ_3) are known²; otherwise one could deduce them from the direct products of the known *faithful* irreps using the Dixon method⁴.

While the spatial inversion transformation operator $\hat{I}$ is uniquely defined in a 3D vector space ($\hat{I} = -1$), the transformation of a spinor might be different:⁶⁻⁸ $\hat{I}$ multiplies a spinor by a constant which may have any out of four values: ± 1 (so called Pauli gauge) or $\pm i$ (Cartan gauge). For the groups without inversion center (O and T_d), the choice -1 is especially convenient since it is the only one which leads to isomorphism between the *double* groups¹² T_d and O . On the other hand, Cartan gauge appears more convenient for studying double groups $O \otimes \{1, \hat{I}\}$ and $T_d \otimes \{1, \hat{I}\}$ because it provides several *faithful* irreps to both groups. (In Pauli gauge these double groups possess no faithful irreps.) While I would prefer to perform all calculations in the (standard) Pauli gauge, the advantage of Cartan gauge is crucial: it strongly reduces the dimension of the matrices which I had to diagonalize analytically while using the Dixon method⁴. For this reason, both gauges are used in this article: the results for double group O are obtained in Pauli gauge; the double group T_d has been studied in both gauges: while matrices of the irreps and the character tables are gauge-dependent, the physical results are¹³ gauge-invariant. Finally, I was unable¹⁴ to obtain analytical results for the double groups $O \otimes \{1, \hat{I}\}$ and $T_d \otimes \{1, \hat{I}\}$ in Pauli gauge; for these last two double groups Cartan gauge ($\hat{I} = i$ in spinor space) was used.

III. OBTAINING THE MATRICES FOR THE IRREPS

An arbitrary element (transformation) of a double group can be characterized by the following (total five) parameters: (i) three Euler angles (α, β, γ) which determine the rotation (3) in the 3D space, (ii) the sign (or the branch number) in (5), and (iii) the presence/absence of inversion.

Below I provide a couple of generators for every faithful double irrep. Complete sets of matrices grouped in classes can be found in the supplementary material⁹.

A. Double groups O and T_d

In this section I use Pauli gauge so that the considered double groups are isomorphic and their matrices are the same (or similar). The matrices for the first five irreps are the same as for the corresponding single (geometric) groups, see, e.g., Ref. [2].

There are several definitions of Euler angles; I use the following connection between Euler angles and 3D rotation matrix, see ([15]1.4.54) or ([15]1.4.63):

$$R_{3D}^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} = R_{3D}^z(\alpha)R_{3D}^y(\beta)R_{3D}^z(\gamma), \quad (3)$$

where

$$R_{3D}^z(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha & 0 \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad (4)$$

$$R_{3D}^y(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \beta & 0 & \sin \beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \beta & 0 & \cos \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is straightforward to obtain Euler angles for all proper rotations which transform a cube into itself. Substituted in (3), these Euler angles would produce 24 matrices of the Γ_5 -representation. Similarly, the set of matrices for the Γ_4 -irrep can be obtained from all rotations which transform a tetrahedron into itself. [If the rotation is improper, the corresponding 3D rotation matrix in (3) changes its sign.]

Matrices for the Γ_6 representation can be obtained by substituting the values of α, β, γ for the O -group into the expression ([15]2.5.32) for the spinor rotation operator:

$$D^{1/2}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \mp \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\beta}{2} e^{-i\frac{\alpha+\gamma}{2}} & \sin \frac{\beta}{2} e^{-i\frac{\alpha-\gamma}{2}} \\ -\sin \frac{\beta}{2} e^{i\frac{\alpha-\gamma}{2}} & \cos \frac{\beta}{2} e^{i\frac{\alpha+\gamma}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

where I have inserted $\mp$ which stands for two branches of the matrix function $D^{1/2}$. [In physics textbooks a double group is often defined with the concept of “non-identical rotation by 2π ”; I prefer the more formal “two branches” definition¹⁶ instead.] Note that in Pauli gauge used in this section the rotation parameters of the T_d group generate the same set of matrices, as the parameters for the O -group.

I had to insert $\mp$ instead of $\pm$ in (5) in order to achieve the compatibility with Ref. [5], where the class $\{6S_4\}$ in the character table of the double T_d -group is understood to be composed of the *first*-branch matrices.

Both branches of (5) produce 48 different 2×2 matrices which compose the so-called Γ_6 representation. Using CAS one easily separates these matrices into eight classes and obtains the multiplication table which demonstrates that Γ_6 is a faithful irrep.

All irreps of a finite group are contained⁴ in a certain direct product of its faithful representations; this means that it is enough to know only one faithful (but not necessary irreducible) representation of a finite group in order to derive (at least numerically) matrices for all its irreducible representations. The search of irreps is further simplified if we know the character table⁵ for the double group, which tells us that all the missing (non-trivial) irreps (that is, $\Gamma_2, \Gamma_3, \Gamma_7, \Gamma_8$) are contained in the following direct products:

$$\Gamma_4 \otimes \Gamma_6 = \Gamma_7 + \Gamma_8, \quad \Gamma_4 \otimes \Gamma_5 = \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5. \quad (6)$$

The extraction algorithm (that is, the simultaneous transformation of all matrices of a reducible representation into block diagonal structure) is invented and explained by Dixon⁴.

Since the double groups O and T_d are isomorphic their irreps-matrices can be chosen to be the same. However, these two double groups are not identical (e.g., their irreps have different bases). The reason for this discrepancy is that coordinate functions are transformed differently in these groups (for O , the corresponding irrep is Γ_5 , while for T_d it is Γ_4). Due to the same reason the double groups $O \otimes \{\mathbb{1}, \hat{I}\}$ and $T_d \otimes \{\mathbb{1}, \hat{I}\}$ also have different bases, no matter what gauge (Pauli or Cartan) is used for the spatial inversion operator $\hat{I}$.

The generators of the faithful irreps Γ_6, Γ_7 , and Γ_8 are:

- for Γ_6 :

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{i} & \sqrt{i} \\ -\sqrt{-i} & \sqrt{-i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

where $\sqrt{\pm i} \equiv \exp[\pm i\pi/4]$,

- for Γ_7 :

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-i} & \sqrt{-i} \\ -\sqrt{i} & \sqrt{i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

- for Γ_8 :

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\sqrt{3} & \sqrt{3} & -1 \\ \sqrt{3} & -1 & -1 & \sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{3} & 1 & -1 & -\sqrt{3} \\ 1 & \sqrt{3} & \sqrt{3} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{-i} & -\sqrt{-3i} & -\sqrt{-3i} & -\sqrt{-i} \\ -\sqrt{3i} & -\sqrt{i} & \sqrt{i} & \sqrt{3i} \\ \sqrt{-3i} & -\sqrt{-i} & -\sqrt{-i} & \sqrt{-3i} \\ \sqrt{i} & -\sqrt{3i} & \sqrt{3i} & -\sqrt{i} \end{pmatrix},$$

where every pair of matrices has the following transformation parameters:

1. $\alpha = 0, \beta = \pi/2, \gamma = 0$, second (positive) branch in (5), and
2. $\alpha = \beta = \pi/2, \gamma = \pi$, first (negative) branch in (5).

B. Double groups $O \otimes \{1, \hat{I}\}$ and $T_d \otimes \{1, \hat{I}\}$

All results of this section are obtained in Cartan gauge, see Sec. II. Both double groups, $O \otimes \{1, \hat{I}\}$ and $T_d \otimes \{1, \hat{I}\}$ have 16 classes (and the same number of irreps). The groups are *not* isomorphic – they have different character tables (see Tab. II and Tab. III) and non-similar matrices. The matrices for ten “single” irreps $\Gamma_1^\pm \dots \Gamma_5^\pm$ can be¹³ easily derived from the corresponding irreps $\Gamma_1 \dots \Gamma_5$ (the ones for the groups O and T_d) as follows. For even representations¹⁷ $\Gamma_1^+ \dots \Gamma_5^+$, both first eight and last eight classes are given by the same matrices as for the group O , see Sec. III A. The same is valid for the “odd” representations $\Gamma_1^- \dots \Gamma_5^-$, except for that the matrices for the last eight classes are multiplied by -1 . These “single” irreps are identical for both double groups (in particular, the corresponding matrices are the same (or similar) for $O \otimes \{1, \hat{I}\}$ and $T_d \otimes \{1, \hat{I}\}$).

Without knowing *a priori* the character table generating the irreps-matrices is somewhat more complicated. In case of $O \otimes \{1, \hat{I}\}$ we depart from the transformation parameters of the double group O ; substituted in Eqs. (3) and (5), these parameters produce 48 (out of total 96) matrices of the irreps Γ_5^- and Γ_6^+ . In case of Γ_5^- , the rest of the matrices is obtained by multiplying the first 48 ones by -1 ; in case of Γ_6^+ , the multiplication constant is $-i$.

Recursively going over different direct products of the known irreps we inevitably obtain the matrices⁹ for all 16 irreps. The traces of the matrices composing the first 8 classes coincide with one of the lines in Table I; the coinciding line determines the number (denoted by the subscript) of the newly obtained irrep. In addition to the number of the irrep, we have to determine the parity (denoted by the $\pm$ superscript); I do this by building polynomial bases and checking their parity under the transformation $(x, y, z) \rightarrow (-x, -y, -z)$.

The generators of the six faithful irreps ($\Gamma_6^\pm$, $\Gamma_7^\pm$, and $\Gamma_8^\pm$) of the double group $O \otimes \{1, \hat{I}\}$ in Cartan gauge are:

- for Γ_6^+ :

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{-i} & -\sqrt{-i} \\ -\sqrt{i} & \sqrt{i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

- for Γ_6^- :

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{i} & \sqrt{i} \\ -\sqrt{-i} & -\sqrt{-i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

- for Γ_7^+ :

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{-i} & \sqrt{-i} \\ \sqrt{i} & \sqrt{i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

- for Γ_7^- :

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{i} & \sqrt{i} \\ \sqrt{-i} & \sqrt{-i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

- for Γ_8^+ :

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-i} & -\sqrt{-i} & \sqrt{3i} & -\sqrt{3i} \\ -\sqrt{i} & -\sqrt{i} & -\sqrt{-3i} & -\sqrt{-3i} \\ -\sqrt{-3i} & -\sqrt{-3i} & -\sqrt{i} & -\sqrt{i} \\ -\sqrt{3i} & \sqrt{3i} & -\sqrt{-i} & \sqrt{-i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (14)$$

- for Γ_8^- :

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 1 & -\sqrt{3} \\ 0 & 2 & i\sqrt{3} & i \\ -1 & i\sqrt{3} & 1 & \sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{3} & i & \sqrt{3} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{i} & \sqrt{-3i} & -\sqrt{i} & -\sqrt{3i} \\ \sqrt{-3i} & \sqrt{i} & \sqrt{-3i} & -\sqrt{-i} \\ 2\sqrt{-i} & 0 & -\sqrt{-i} & \sqrt{-3i} \\ 0 & 2\sqrt{i} & -\sqrt{-3i} & -\sqrt{-i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

where every pair of matrices has the following transformation parameters:

1. $\alpha = 0, \beta = \pi/2, \gamma = 0$, inversion is absent, second (positive) branch in (5), and
2. $\alpha = \beta = \pi/2, \gamma = \pi$, inversion is present, first (negative) branch in (5).

The corresponding characters are given in Table II.

The same procedure is used for calculating the irreps-matrices for the double group $T_d \otimes \{1, \hat{I}\}$, and the characters are given in Table III. The generators of the six faithful irreps ($\Gamma_6^\pm$, $\Gamma_7^\pm$, and $\Gamma_8^\pm$) of the double group $T_d \otimes \{1, \hat{I}\}$ are:

- for Γ_6^+ :

$$\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{-i} & -\sqrt{-i} \\ -\sqrt{i} & \sqrt{i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

- for Γ_6^- :

$$\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{i} & \sqrt{i} \\ \sqrt{-i} & \sqrt{-i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (17)$$

- for Γ_7^+ :

$$\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{-i} & \sqrt{-i} \\ \sqrt{i} & \sqrt{i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (18)$$

- for Γ_7^- :

$$\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{i} & \sqrt{i} \\ \sqrt{-i} & \sqrt{-i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (19)$$

- for Γ_8^+ :

$$\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-i} & -\sqrt{-i} & \sqrt{3i} & -\sqrt{3i} \\ -\sqrt{i} & -\sqrt{i} & -\sqrt{-3i} & -\sqrt{-3i} \\ -\sqrt{-3i} & -\sqrt{-3i} & -\sqrt{i} & -\sqrt{i} \\ -\sqrt{3i} & \sqrt{3i} & -\sqrt{-i} & \sqrt{-i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (20)$$

- for Γ_8^- :

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -2i & 0 & i & -i\sqrt{3} \\ 0 & 2i & -\sqrt{3} & -1 \\ -i & -\sqrt{3} & i & i\sqrt{3} \\ i\sqrt{3} & -1 & i\sqrt{3} & -i \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{i} & \sqrt{-3i} & -\sqrt{i} & -\sqrt{3i} \\ \sqrt{-3i} & \sqrt{i} & \sqrt{-3i} & -\sqrt{-i} \\ 2\sqrt{-i} & 0 & -\sqrt{-i} & \sqrt{-3i} \\ 0 & 2\sqrt{i} & -\sqrt{-3i} & -\sqrt{-i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (21)$$

where every pair of matrices has the following transformation parameters:

1. $\alpha = 0, \beta = \pi/2, \gamma = 0$, inversion is absent, second (positive) branch in (5), and
2. $\alpha = \beta = \pi/2, \gamma = \pi$, inversion is present, first (negative) branch in (5).

IV. GENERALIZED SELECTION RULES

A. The calculation method

Let us study the system which symmetry is given by some double group G which contains $|G|$ elements. It is convenient to present matrix elements $\langle \Psi | \hat{O} | \Phi \rangle$ between degenerate bands in the matrix form. [For example, a matrix element between a (two-fold) Γ_6 -band and a (four-fold) Γ_8 -band is a 2×4 matrix.] Symmetry enforces restrictions on matrix elements $\langle \Psi | \hat{O} | \Phi \rangle$ of an operator $\hat{O}$ between the bands $|\Psi\rangle$ and $|\Phi\rangle$. Suppose that the states in the band Ψ are transformed according to some irrep named A , the operator $\hat{O}$ is transformed according to some irrep B , and the states in the band Φ are transformed according to some irrep C .

The case when $\hat{O}$ is a scalar is trivial and will not be considered. In case when $\hat{O}$ is a vector¹⁸ operator (e.g., $\hat{O} \equiv \hat{\vec{O}} = \hat{\vec{p}}$) the quantity $\langle \Psi | \hat{O} | \Phi \rangle$ is characterized by three indexes

$$\langle ijk \rangle \equiv \langle \Psi_i | \hat{O}_j | \Phi_k \rangle = \int \Psi_i^\dagger(\lambda) \hat{O}_j \Phi_k(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad (22)$$

where λ represents all arguments of a wave function (except for spin) in some representation (e.g. λ may

be coordinate or momentum). In total $\langle \Psi | \hat{O} | \Phi \rangle$ has $n = \dim A \cdot \dim B \cdot \dim C$ elements. The symmetry (i) requires that some of the matrix elements in (22) are zero, while among the others only few (sometimes – only one) are independent – see, e.g., Eq. (34) below.

Under the action of a symmetry element $\hat{g} \in G$ the integrand in (22) is transformed¹⁹ according to the direct product of three representations $A \otimes B \otimes C$:

$$\hat{g} \langle ijk \rangle = \sum_{i',j',k'} A_{i'i}^*(g) B_{j'j}(g) C_{k'k}(g) \langle i'j'k' \rangle. \quad (23)$$

The group averaging operator

$$\hat{\mathbb{P}}_G = |G|^{-1} \sum_{\hat{g} \in G} \hat{g} \quad (24)$$

commutes with the integration in (22) and leaves all matrix elements intact:

$$\hat{\mathbb{P}}_G \langle ijk \rangle = \langle ijk \rangle. \quad (25)$$

Let us associate every set of indexes (i, j, k) with some (orthonormal) bases element e_l of the n -dimensional complex space $\mathbb{C}^n$:

$$e_l \leftrightarrow (i, j, k) \rightarrow \langle ijk \rangle, \quad l(i, j, k) = (i-1) \cdot \dim B \cdot \dim C + (j-1) \cdot \dim C + k, \quad (26)$$

where we have taken into account the fact that different index sets (i, j, k) may correspond to the same values of matrix elements $\langle ijk \rangle$.

The transformation (23) corresponds to a linear operator in $\mathbb{C}^n$:

$$\hat{g} \langle ijk \rangle = \sum_{i',j',k'} A_{i'i}^*(g) B_{j'j}(g) C_{k'k}(g) \cdot \langle i'j'k' \rangle \quad (27)$$

$$\text{or } \hat{g} e_l = \sum_{l'} \langle l' | \hat{g} | l \rangle e_{l'},$$

where we used one-to-one correspondence between l and (i, j, k) defined in (26). Similarly, the group averaging operator from (24) can be associated with a linear operator in $\mathbb{C}^n$:

$$\hat{\mathbb{P}}_G e_l = \sum_{l'} \langle l' | \hat{\mathbb{P}}_G | l \rangle e_{l'}, \quad \langle l' | \hat{\mathbb{P}}_G | l \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \langle l' | \hat{g} | l \rangle. \quad (28)$$

Then we consider $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_G$ as a linear operator which acts in the space $\mathbb{C}^n$:

$$\forall \vec{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i \in \mathbb{C}^n \quad \hat{\mathbb{P}}_G \vec{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (29)$$

A direct product of irreps is often reducible. Reducibility means that there exists a unitary transformation which converts all ($\forall g \in G$) matrices $\langle l' | \hat{g} | l \rangle$ from (28) into the block diagonal form, and every block would correspond to some irrep of the group G . The group theory tells

us that the averaging $|G|^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} \langle l' | \hat{g} | l \rangle$ destroys (averages to zero) all matrix blocks except for those (one-dimensional) ones, which correspond to the trivial irrep Γ_1 (or Γ_1^+). In other words, n -dimensional matrix $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_G$ in (29) is similar to a diagonal matrix where the only non-zero elements are ones.

This means that the operator $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_G : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a projector, and that it has only two different eigenvalues: 0 and 1. The degeneracy m of the eigenvalue 1 is the same as the number of times which the trivial irrep Γ_1 (or Γ_1^+) enters in the direct product

$$A \otimes B \otimes C = m\Gamma_1 + \text{other irreps.} \quad (30)$$

In case when $m = 1$, all matrix elements $\langle ijk \rangle$ are proportional to *only one* constant. The proportionality coefficients are just components of the eigenvector of the projector $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_G$ which corresponds to the eigenvalue 1. In order to obtain the eigenvector, we choose any set (i', j', k') which is not projected to zero by $\hat{P}_G$. In other words

$$\langle ijk \rangle \propto \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} A_{i'i}^*(g) B_{j'j}(g) C_{k'k}(g) \quad (31)$$

where I can take any set of indexes (i', j', k') which produces a non-zero value in (31). [In case when $m = 0$, any set (i', j', k') produces zero in (31).] An example of the case $m = 1$ is the matrix element of $\vec{k} \cdot \hat{p}$ between the Γ_6 conduction band and the Γ_7 valence band in GaAs. Since the symmetry of GaAs is described by the double group T_d , the vector operator $\hat{p}$ is transformed according to the irrep Γ_4 . From the fact that

$$\Gamma_6 \otimes \Gamma_4 \otimes \Gamma_7 = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4 + 2\Gamma_5 \quad (32)$$

we conclude that $m = 1$. One of the indexes set which produces a non-zero value in (31) is $(i', j', k') = (1, 1, 1)$. Substituting this and two other index sets into (31) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{for } (i', j', k') = (1, 1, 1) \quad & \langle ijk \rangle \propto i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma})\sigma_2/6, \\ \text{for } (i', j', k') = (1, 1, 2) \quad & \langle ijk \rangle \propto 0, \\ \text{for } (i', j', k') = (1, 2, 1) \quad & \langle ijk \rangle \propto (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma})\sigma_2/6, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where $\vec{\sigma} \equiv (\sigma_2, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ is the set of Pauli matrices. Going over all possible values of (ijk) we conclude that in a zinc-blende structure the matrix element $\langle \Gamma_6 | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_7 \rangle$ is parametrized by *one* constant, as predicted in (32):

$$\langle \Gamma_6 | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_7 \rangle \propto (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma})\sigma_2. \quad (34)$$

One may note that according to the bases written in Ref. [20], $\langle \Gamma_6 | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_7 \rangle \propto \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$ and ask why there is an extra σ_2 in (33) and (34). This happens because my Γ_7 basis (69) is different from the one in Winkler's book²⁰: in order to obtain Winkler's basis one has to (i) exchange basis functions in (69) and (ii) change the sign in front of one of the basis functions. Since both of these operations

correspond to unitary transformations of the irreps, both basis (69) and the matrix (34) are in agreement with the bases in Ref. [20].

In case when $m > 1$, matrix elements $\langle ijk \rangle$ are parametrized by m independent complex constants. I illustrate this case on the example of $\langle \Gamma_8 | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_8 \rangle$. From the fact that

$$\Gamma_8 \otimes \Gamma_4 \otimes \Gamma_8 = 2\Gamma_1 + 2\Gamma_2 + 4\Gamma_3 + 6\Gamma_4 + 6\Gamma_5 \quad (35)$$

we conclude that $m = 2$ in this case, so that

$$\langle \Gamma_8 | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_8 \rangle = c_1 M_1 + c_2 M_2, \quad c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (36)$$

The generalized selection rules allow us to determine the matrices M_1 and M_2 following the same prescription which we used above in order to obtain the result (34) for $\langle \Gamma_6 | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_7 \rangle$. Going over all possible values of (ijk) we obtain several (more than $m = 2$) *linearly dependent*²¹ non-zero matrices. Since we know that $m = 2$, it is enough to consider any two linearly independent matrices, e.g., For $(i', j', k') = (1, 1, 2)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 \propto k_1 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 5 & 0 & \sqrt{3} \\ -3 & 0 & -\sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{3} & 0 & -3 \\ \sqrt{3} & 0 & 5 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \\ & + k_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 5i & 0 & -\sqrt{3}i \\ 3i & 0 & -\sqrt{3}i & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{3}i & 0 & -3i \\ \sqrt{3}i & 0 & -5i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \\ & + k_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 \\ -6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

For $(i', j', k') = (2, 1, 1)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_2 \propto k_1 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -3 & 0 & \sqrt{3} \\ 5 & 0 & -\sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{3} & 0 & 5 \\ \sqrt{3} & 0 & -3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \\ & + k_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -3i & 0 & -\sqrt{3}i \\ -5i & 0 & -\sqrt{3}i & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{3}i & 0 & 5i \\ \sqrt{3}i & 0 & 3i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \\ & + k_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Any other set of values (i', j', k') produces¹³ a matrix which (together with M_1 and M_2) forms a linearly dependent system of matrices. E.g., for $(i', j', k') = (3, 2, 2)$

class $\rightarrow$	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h
# of elements $\rightarrow$	1	6	6	12	8	1	6	8
rotation angle $\rightarrow$	0	π	$\pi/2$	π	$2\pi/3$	0	$\pi/2$	$2\pi/3$
rotation axis $\rightarrow$								
Γ_1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Γ_2	1	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1	1
Γ_3	2	2	0	0	-1	2	0	-1
Γ_4	3	-1	-1	1	0	3	-1	0
Γ_5	3	-1	1	-1	0	3	1	0
Γ_6	2	0	$-i\sqrt{2}$	0	1	-2	$i\sqrt{2}$	-1
Γ_7	2	0	$i\sqrt{2}$	0	1	-2	$-i\sqrt{2}$	-1
Γ_8	4	0	0	0	-1	-4	0	1

Table I. Character table for the double group T_d in Cartan gauge. The classes are enumerated in the same order as in Ref. [5]. The characters for $\Gamma_1 \dots \Gamma_5$ and Γ_8 are the same as in Ref. [5]. The characters for Γ_6 and Γ_7 differ from the standard⁵ ones by an extra factor $-i$ which appears in front of $\sqrt{2}$. The 3D vectors are transformed according to the irrep Γ_4 ; for the spinors the appropriate irrep is Γ_6 .

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
M_3 \propto k_1 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sqrt{3}i & 0 & -3i \\ -\sqrt{3}i & 0 & 3i & 0 \\ 0 & 3i & 0 & -\sqrt{3}i \\ -3i & 0 & -\sqrt{3}i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \\
& + k_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 & -3 \\ -\sqrt{3} & 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & \sqrt{3} \\ 3 & 0 & -\sqrt{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \\
& + k_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2\sqrt{3}i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2\sqrt{3}i \\ 2\sqrt{3}i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2\sqrt{3}i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (39)
\end{aligned}$$

Any two matrix sets from $\{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ are linearly independent, but the whole set $\{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ is linearly dependent. This means, e.g., that the following expression is equivalent to (36):

$$\langle \Gamma_8 | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_8 \rangle = c'_1 M_1 + c'_2 M_3, \quad c'_1, c'_2 \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (40)$$

In case when the matrix element $\langle \Gamma_8 | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_8 \rangle$ is calculated between *the same* Γ_8 -bands, the two *complex* constants in (36) or in (40) gain a constraint: their values should be chosen in such a way that $\langle \Gamma_8 | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_8 \rangle$ is Hermitian.

In sections VIB1, VIB2, VIB3, and VIB4 I present matrix elements of the $\vec{k} \cdot \hat{p}$ operator which are obtained using CAS according to the method described in Sec. IV A.

B. Comparison with Elder et al.¹

Elder et al.¹ recently found $\vec{k} \cdot \hat{p}$ matrix elements using Löwdin approach which allows to split (approximately) the Hamiltonian into several blocks in such a way, that each block corresponds to a separate energy level (or to an irrep).

Let us compare the result (36) with ([1]31). We have to take into account the difference between the bases on p. [1]19 and (70). One notices that the *linear* basis from (70) is equivalent but not equal to the linear basis for the irrep Γ_8^- in Ref. [1]. From the bases comparison I conclude that in order to translate my Γ_8 irrep into the notations of Ref.[1] I have to apply the following unitary transformation to my Γ_8 matrices:

$$\Gamma'_8 = U \Gamma_8 U^{-1}, \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (41)$$

With the matrices Γ'_8 I do recover the linear basis for Γ_8^- on p. [1]19:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\sqrt{3}(iy+x) \uparrow, -2z \uparrow + (iy+x) \downarrow, \\
& -2z \downarrow + (iy-x) \uparrow, \sqrt{3}(iy-x) \downarrow]. \quad (42)
\end{aligned}$$

However, instead of ([1]30a) I obtain (in the notations of Ref. [1]):

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{\Gamma_8, \Gamma_8} \propto & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3k_+ & 0 & \sqrt{3}k_- \\ -k_- & 0 & -\sqrt{3}k_+ & 4k_3 \\ -4k_3 & -\sqrt{3}k_+ & 0 & -k_+ \\ \sqrt{3}k_+ & 0 & 3k_- & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \\
& + \text{const} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3k_+ & 6k_3 & -\sqrt{3}k_- \\ -5k_- & 0 & \sqrt{3}k_+ & 2k_3 \\ -2k_3 & \sqrt{3}k_- & 0 & -5k_+ \\ -\sqrt{3}k_+ & -6k_3 & 3k_- & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (43)
\end{aligned}$$

which does not fully agree with ([1]30a).

Next, with the matrices Γ'_8 I obtain [apart from (42)] two second-order polynomial bases which are incompatible with the ones on p. [1]19:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [(2z^2 - y^2 - x^2) \downarrow, \sqrt{3}(-y^2 + x^2) \uparrow, \\
& \sqrt{3}(y^2 - x^2) \downarrow, (-2z^2 + y^2 + x^2) \uparrow], \quad (44)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& [-\sqrt{3}(y+ix)z \uparrow, -(y+ix)z \downarrow + 2xy \uparrow, \\
& (y-ix)z \uparrow + 2xy \downarrow, \sqrt{3}(y-ix)z \downarrow]. \quad (45)
\end{aligned}$$

The reason for this incompatibility might be the fact that the sixth basis on p.[1]19

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_1 &= -2yz \uparrow + 3iy^2 \downarrow + 2ixz \uparrow - 4xy \downarrow - 3ix^2 \downarrow, \\
\varphi_2 &= i\sqrt{3}(2z^2 \uparrow - 2iyz \downarrow - y^2 \uparrow - 2xz \downarrow - x^2 \uparrow), \\
\varphi_3 &= i\sqrt{3}(-2z^2 \downarrow + 2iyz \uparrow + y^2 \downarrow - 2xz \uparrow + x^2 \downarrow), \\
\varphi_4 &= 2yz \downarrow - 3iy^2 \uparrow + 2ixz \downarrow - 4xy \uparrow + 3ix^2 \uparrow \quad (46)
\end{aligned}$$

$\Gamma_1^\pm$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	± 1	± 1	± 1	± 1	± 1	± 1	± 1	± 1
$\Gamma_2^\pm$	1	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1	± 1	± 1	∓ 1	∓ 1	± 1	± 1	∓ 1	∓ 1
$\Gamma_3^\pm$	2	2	0	0	-1	2	0	± 2	± 2	0	0	∓ 1	± 2	0	∓ 1
$\Gamma_4^\pm$	3	-1	-1	1	0	3	-1	0	± 3	∓ 1	∓ 1	± 1	0	± 3	∓ 1
$\Gamma_5^\pm$	3	-1	1	-1	0	3	1	0	± 3	∓ 1	± 1	∓ 1	0	± 3	± 1
$\Gamma_6^\pm$	2	0	$\sqrt{2}$	0	1	-2	$-\sqrt{2}$	-1	$\pm 2i$	0	$\pm \sqrt{2}i$	0	$\pm i$	$\mp 2i$	$\mp \sqrt{2}i$
$\Gamma_7^\pm$	2	0	$-\sqrt{2}$	0	1	-2	$\sqrt{2}$	-1	$\pm 2i$	0	$\mp \sqrt{2}i$	0	$\pm i$	$\mp 2i$	$\pm \sqrt{2}i$
$\Gamma_8^\pm$	4	0	0	0	-1	-4	0	1	$\pm 4i$	0	0	0	$\mp i$	$\mp 4i$	$\pm i$

Table II. Characters of the irreps of the double $O \otimes \{\mathbb{1}, \hat{I}\}$ group in Cartan gauge. (The inversion operator I multiplies a spinor by i .) The superscript $\pm$ in the irreps-notation means that all its polynomial bases are even/odd with respect to the transformation $(x, y, z) \rightarrow (-x, -y, -z)$. The 3D vectors are transformed according to the irrep $\Gamma_5^\pm$; for the spinors the appropriate irrep is $\Gamma_6^\pm$.

$\Gamma_1^\pm$	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	± 1	± 1	± 1	± 1	± 1	± 1	± 1	± 1
$\Gamma_2^\pm$	1	1	-1	-1	1	1	-1	± 1	± 1	∓ 1	∓ 1	± 1	± 1	∓ 1	∓ 1
$\Gamma_3^\pm$	2	2	0	0	-1	2	0	± 2	± 2	0	0	∓ 1	± 2	0	∓ 1
$\Gamma_4^\pm$	3	-1	-1	1	0	3	-1	0	± 3	∓ 1	∓ 1	± 1	0	± 3	∓ 1
$\Gamma_5^\pm$	3	-1	1	-1	0	3	1	0	± 3	∓ 1	± 1	∓ 1	0	± 3	± 1
$\Gamma_6^\pm$	2	0	$-\sqrt{2}i$	0	1	-2	$\sqrt{2}i$	-1	$\pm 2i$	0	$\mp \sqrt{2}$	0	$\pm i$	$\mp 2i$	$\pm \sqrt{2}$
$\Gamma_7^\pm$	2	0	$\sqrt{2}i$	0	1	-2	$-\sqrt{2}i$	-1	$\pm 2i$	0	$\pm \sqrt{2}$	0	$\pm i$	$\mp 2i$	$\mp \sqrt{2}$
$\Gamma_8^\pm$	4	0	0	0	-1	-4	0	1	$\pm 4i$	0	0	0	$\mp i$	$\mp 4i$	$\pm i$

Table III. Characters of the irreps of the double $T_d \otimes \{\mathbb{1}, \hat{I}\}$ group in Cartan gauge. The 3D vectors are transformed according to the irrep $\Gamma_4^\pm$; for the spinors the appropriate irrep is $\Gamma_6^\pm$.

is wrong. (All other bases on p.[1]19 are correct.⁹) First let me express the basis (46) as a linear combination of spherical harmonics²²:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_1 &= i\sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{15}} [2Y_{2,1} \uparrow + (Y_{2,2} + 5Y_{2,-2}) \downarrow], \\
\varphi_2 &= -4i\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{5}} (\sqrt{2}Y_{2,1} \downarrow + \sqrt{3}Y_{2,0} \uparrow), \\
\varphi_3 &= 4i\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{5}} (\sqrt{2}Y_{2,-1} \uparrow + \sqrt{3}Y_{2,0} \downarrow), \\
\varphi_4 &= -i\sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{15}} [2Y_{2,-1} \downarrow + (Y_{2,-2} + 5Y_{2,2}) \uparrow].
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Next, one can check⁹ that the system of functions (47) is (i) orthogonal¹³ and (ii) diagonalizes¹³ the $\hat{\vec{L}} \cdot \hat{\vec{S}}$ operator. According to the commonly accepted belief, this should mean that (47) is a basis of an irrep of the two

groups: $O \otimes \{\mathbb{1}, \hat{I}\}$ and $T_d \otimes \{\mathbb{1}, \hat{I}\}$. However, the direct check¹³ (see the file `badBasis.txt` in the supplementary material⁹) shows that the system of functions (47) does not form a basis of any of the two considered groups. In particular, the rotation around the y -axis by $\pi/2$ (which is a symmetry transformation of both groups) does not transform the system of functions (47) into linear combinations of themselves.

I am not aware of a rigid proof of reliability of the standard $\hat{\vec{L}}\hat{\vec{S}}$ -diagonalization method for finding the basis of an irrep. Moreover, the system of functions (47) is an example which demonstrates unreliability of the commonly accepted method of obtaining the polynomial bases of irreps of double groups.

On the contrary, the situation with the method of projection operators^{2,3} (used in this article) is clear: projection operators can never produce a wrong basis of an irrep.

V. CONCLUSION

From the application point of view, the most important result of the article is the general form of the matrix elements of the $\vec{k} \cdot \hat{\vec{p}}$ -operator. I expect it to be useful for studying effects in many important materials, for example, in

- zinc-blende semiconductors (GaAs, InAs, etc.),
- semiconductors with inversion center (Ge, Si, etc.), and
- cubic perovskites (SrTiO₃, LaAlO₃, etc.).

There are, however, other important (and more fundamental) results discussed in the next two paragraphs.

a. *The matrices of the irreducible representations of the double cubic groups O , T_d , $O \otimes \{\mathbb{1}, \hat{I}\}$ and $T_d \otimes \{\mathbb{1}, \hat{I}\}$ are obtained.* First, these matrices are important for the derivation of the bases of irreducible representations, which is useful both in analytical and in numerical calculations. This is the only reliable method for the bases derivation I am aware of. An alternative approach is used in Ref.[1] and is based on the statement (which seems to be commonly believed) that any linear combination of spherical harmonics Y_{lm} with the same value of l which diagonalizes the $\hat{L} \cdot \hat{S}$ -operator should be a basis for some irreducible representation. In Sec. IV B I disprove this statement using a counter-example. There is somewhat similar method used in Ref. [3] to construct a linear basis for the Γ_8 -irrep of the double group T_d , which assumes, however, that at least one basis function is known or can be guessed. Having tried to guess elements of the polynomial bases which I have obtained (see Sec. VI C and Ref. [9]) I conclude that this “guessing” requirement is almost never fulfilled, so the method³ is practically useless.

b. *An algorithm for obtaining general matrix structure of matrix elements between degenerate energy levels is developed.* This algorithm is more reliable than obtaining the matrix elements from the some manually (casually) chosen set of basis functions: there is always a chance, that due to the oversimplified (not general enough) basis one obtains wrong matrix elements: e.g., considering linear basis for the Γ_8 -irrep of T_d group, one might erroneously conclude that $\langle \Gamma_8 | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_8 \rangle = 0$.

This algorithm might be especially useful for analytical calculations with the $\vec{k} \cdot \hat{p}$ method; for this purpose the general form of the $\vec{k} \cdot \hat{p}$ matrix elements is derived (see Sec.VI B in the Appendix).

Note that there is an inconsistency between the results of this article and Ref. [20]: the 8×8 Kane model in Table [20]C.8 which has too many parameters (while only two are allowed). There is also an inconsistency (of both my results and Table [20]C.8) with matrix elements ob-

tained in Ref. [1], but I believe that it is connected with the invalidity of the basis¹, see Sec. IV B.

As a final note, let me mention somewhat misleading notation O_h which is often used for both $O \otimes \{\mathbb{1}, \hat{I}\}$ and $T_d \otimes \{\mathbb{1}, \hat{I}\}$ double groups. On the first glance it makes no sense to consider the double groups $O \otimes \{\mathbb{1}, \hat{I}\}$ and $T_d \otimes \{\mathbb{1}, \hat{I}\}$ separately: for example, in Pauli gauge these groups are isomorphic; moreover, any polynomial basis of some irrep of the double group $O \otimes \{\mathbb{1}, \hat{I}\}$ is also a basis of some irrep of the double group $T_d \otimes \{\mathbb{1}, \hat{I}\}$. However, these bases often correspond to *different* irreducible representations, so it would be incorrect to claim that two double groups $O \otimes \{\mathbb{1}, \hat{I}\}$ and $T_d \otimes \{\mathbb{1}, \hat{I}\}$ are identical (no matter what gauge is used). See also the note in the end of Sec. VI C 2.

VI. APPENDIX

A. Notations

I assume that $\sqrt{\pm i} = \exp[\pm i\pi/4]$, $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ are the usual Pauli matrices, $\mathbb{N}$ is the set of all positive integers, and $\mathbb{C}$ is the set of complex numbers. By saying that “two matrices are similar” I mean that they are connected by some similarity transformation. I denote $\mathbb{1}$ as an identity operator, and $\hat{I}$ as the spatial inversion operator.

The irreducible representations are named according to the following rules:

- An irrep named Γ_6 (or Γ_6^+ for the groups with inversion) should have a basis $[\uparrow, \downarrow]$.
- All polynomial bases of “even” irreps (marked with the “plus” sign) must be invariant with respect to the transformation $(x, y, z) \rightarrow (-x, -y, -z)$.

Equations from external sources are cited, as ([citation]NN), where NN is the equation number. For example, ([1]31) stands for the “equation (31) in the article [1]”.

B. General form of the $\vec{k} \cdot \hat{p}$ matrix elements

1. $\vec{k} \cdot \hat{p}$ matrix elements for the double group O

$$\langle \Gamma_6 | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_6 \rangle \propto \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma}, \quad \langle \Gamma_6 | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_7 \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \Gamma_7 | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_7 \rangle \propto \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma}^*, \quad (48)$$

$$\langle \Gamma_6 | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_8 \rangle \propto k_1 \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{3} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & \sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix} + k_2 \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{3}i & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & -\sqrt{3}i \end{pmatrix} + k_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (49)$$

$$\langle \Gamma_7 | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_8 \rangle \propto k_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + k_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\sqrt{3} & 0 & i \\ -i & 0 & i\sqrt{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + k_3 \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (50)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Gamma_8 | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_8 \rangle &\propto k_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 & -1 \\ \sqrt{3} & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \sqrt{3} \\ -1 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + k_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sqrt{3}i & 0 & -i \\ \sqrt{3}i & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & -\sqrt{3}i \\ i & 0 & \sqrt{3}i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + k_3 \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} + \\
&+ \text{const} \cdot \left[k_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sqrt{3} & 0 & -1 \\ -\sqrt{3} & 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 & -\sqrt{3} \\ -1 & 0 & -\sqrt{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + k_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{3}i & 0 & -i \\ -\sqrt{3}i & 0 & 3i & 0 \\ 0 & -3i & 0 & \sqrt{3}i \\ i & 0 & -\sqrt{3}i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + k_3 \begin{pmatrix} -4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

2. $\vec{k} \cdot \hat{p}$ matrix elements for the double group T_d

I have obtained bases and matrix elements of the double group T_d in both Pauli and Cartan gauges (see Table I for the Cartan characters). The results are gauge-independent, as predicted by theory:⁶⁻⁸

$$\langle \Gamma_6 | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_6 \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \Gamma_7 | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_7 \rangle = 0. \tag{52}$$

Matrix elements (MEs) $\langle \Gamma_6 | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_7 \rangle$ are written in (34).

$$\langle \Gamma_6 | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_8 \rangle \propto k_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{3} & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + k_2 \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 & \sqrt{3}i & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{3}i & 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} + k_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{53}$$

$$\langle \Gamma_7 | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_8 \rangle \propto k_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & -\sqrt{3} \\ -\sqrt{3} & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + k_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 & \sqrt{3}i \\ -\sqrt{3}i & 0 & -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + k_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{54}$$

Matrix elements $\langle \Gamma_8 | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_8 \rangle$ are written in (36).

3. $\vec{k} \cdot \hat{p}$ matrix elements for the double group $O \otimes \{\mathbb{1}, \hat{I}\}$

Matrix elements between both odd or both even parity states are zero, e.g.,

$$\langle \Gamma_6^+ | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_6^+ \rangle = \langle \Gamma_6^- | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_6^- \rangle = 0. \tag{55}$$

The other matrix elements are

$$\langle \Gamma_6^+ | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_7^- \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \Gamma_6^+ | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_6^- \rangle \propto (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma})\sigma_1, \quad \langle \Gamma_7^+ | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_7^- \rangle \propto (k_1\sigma_1 + k_2\sigma_2 - k_3\sigma_3)\sigma_2, \tag{56}$$

$$\langle \Gamma_6^+ | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_8^- \rangle \propto k_1 \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3} & -i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} + k_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i\sqrt{3} & -i \end{pmatrix} + k_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & -1 \\ \sqrt{3} & i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{57}$$

$$\langle \Gamma_7^+ | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_8^- \rangle \propto k_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i\sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + k_2 \begin{pmatrix} 2i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i & i\sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix} + k_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & \sqrt{3} \\ 1 & -i\sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{58}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Gamma_8^+ | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_8^- \rangle &\propto k_1 \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3} & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & i & i\sqrt{3} \\ -i & -\sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + k_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i\sqrt{3} & -i \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & \sqrt{3} \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + k_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ -\sqrt{3} & i & 0 & 0 \\ -i & \sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \\
&\text{const} \cdot \left[k_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3}i & i \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & \sqrt{3} \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + k_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{3} & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -i & \sqrt{3}i \\ 2i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + k_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{3}i & -i \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

4. $\vec{k} \cdot \hat{p}$ matrix elements for the double group $T_d \otimes \{\mathbb{1}, \hat{I}\}$

Like in Sec. VIB3, many MEs are zero by parity, and we do not write them here. The other MEs are

$$\langle \Gamma_6^+ | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_6^- \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \Gamma_7^+ | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_7^- \rangle = 0, \quad (60)$$

$$\langle \Gamma_6^+ | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_7^- \rangle \propto (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) \sigma_1 \quad \langle \Gamma_7^+ | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_6^- \rangle \propto (k_1 \sigma_1 + k_2 \sigma_2 - k_3 \sigma_3) \sigma_2, \quad (61)$$

$$\langle \Gamma_6^+ | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_8^- \rangle \propto k_1 \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3} & -i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} + k_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i\sqrt{3} & -i \end{pmatrix} + k_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & -1 \\ \sqrt{3} & i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (62)$$

$$\langle \Gamma_7^+ | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_8^- \rangle \propto k_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i\sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + k_2 \begin{pmatrix} 2i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i & i\sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix} + k_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & \sqrt{3} \\ 1 & -i\sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (63)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Gamma_8^+ | \vec{k} \cdot \hat{p} | \Gamma_8^- \rangle \propto & k_1 \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3} & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & i & i\sqrt{3} \\ -i & -\sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + k_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i\sqrt{3} & -i \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & \sqrt{3} \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + k_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ -\sqrt{3} & i & 0 & 0 \\ -i & \sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \\ & + \text{const} \cdot \left[k_1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3}i & i \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & \sqrt{3} \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + k_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{3} & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -i & \sqrt{3}i \\ 2i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + k_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{3}i & -i \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

C. The polynomial bases

With the information from Sec. III A it is straightforward to get the bases for the irreps using the standard projection operators technique (see, e.g, pp.[2]82-83 or pp.[3]64-65).

First, let me mention some (scalar) characteristic polynomials of the irrep Γ_1 :

$$\psi_0^1 = 1, \quad \psi_1^1 = x^{2n} + y^{2n} + z^{2n}, \quad \psi_2^1 = y^{2n} z^2 + y^2 z^{2n} + x^{2n} z^2 + x^{2n} y^2 + x^2 z^{2n} + x^2 y^{2n}, \quad \psi_3^1 = (\psi^2)^{2n}, \quad (\Gamma_1)$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a positive integer²³, and ψ^2 denotes an arbitrary characteristic polynomial of the Γ_2 -irrep, e.g.,

$$\psi_1^2 = xyz, \quad \psi_2^2 = y^2 z^{2n} - x^2 z^{2n} - y^{2n} z^2 + x^{2n} z^2 + x^2 y^{2n} - x^{2n} y^2. \quad (\Gamma_2)$$

Every basis below remains valid if all its components are multiplied by any expression from (Γ_1) . The presence of such bases is considered as obvious, so they are not explicitly mentioned below.

In the main text of the article I write only few simplest polynomial bases for each irrep. (In the supplementary material⁹ the polynomial bases are available up to the sixth order.)

Three-dimensional vectors are transformed differently in O and T_d groups. In the O -case, the corresponding irrep is Γ_5 ; in the T_d -case, 3D-vectors are transformed according to the irrep Γ_4 . (See the captions of the Tables II and III for the groups with inversion centers.) As a result, despite the fact that the two groups are isomorphic in Pauli gauge, they still have different bases and different $\vec{k} \cdot \hat{p}$ matrix elements.

1. Polynomial bases of the double group O

For Γ_6 :

$$\begin{aligned} [\uparrow, \downarrow], \quad & [-z^{2n-1} \uparrow - iy^{2n-1} \downarrow - x^{2n-1} \downarrow, z^{2n-1} \downarrow + iy^{2n-1} \uparrow - x^{2n-1} \uparrow], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ & \psi^2[yz \downarrow + ixz \downarrow + xy \uparrow, yz \uparrow - ixz \uparrow - xy \downarrow], \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

where ψ^2 denotes an arbitrary characteristic polynomial of the Γ_2 -irrep, taken, e.g., from (Γ_2) . For Γ_7 :

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^2[\downarrow, -\uparrow], \quad \psi^2[z^{2n-1}\downarrow + iy^{2n-1}\uparrow - x^{2n-1}\uparrow, z^{2n-1}\uparrow + iy^{2n-1}\downarrow + x^{2n-1}\downarrow], \\ [-(yz)^{2n-1}\uparrow + i(xz)^{2n-1}\uparrow + (xy)^{2n-1}\downarrow, (yz)^{2n-1}\downarrow + i(xz)^{2n-1}\downarrow + (xy)^{2n-1}\uparrow]. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

For Γ_8 :

$$\begin{aligned} [-\sqrt{3}(x^{2n-1} + iy^{2n-1})\uparrow, 2z^{2n-1}\uparrow - iy^{2n-1}\downarrow - x^{2n-1}\downarrow, \\ 2z^{2n-1}\downarrow - iy^{2n-1}\uparrow + x^{2n-1}\uparrow, \sqrt{3}(x^{2n-1} - iy^{2n-1})\downarrow], \\ \psi^2[2z\downarrow + x\uparrow - iy\uparrow, \sqrt{3}(-x + iy)\downarrow, \sqrt{3}(x + iy)\uparrow, 2z\uparrow - x\downarrow - iy\downarrow], \\ \psi^2[\sqrt{3}z(y + ix)\uparrow, yz\downarrow + ixz\downarrow - 2xy\uparrow, -yz\uparrow + ixz\uparrow - 2xy\downarrow, \sqrt{3}z(-y + ix)\downarrow], \\ [\sqrt{3}(-y^{2n} + x^{2n})\downarrow, (-2z^{2n} + y^{2n} + x^{2n})\uparrow, (2z^{2n} - y^{2n} - x^{2n})\downarrow, \sqrt{3}(y^{2n} - x^{2n})\uparrow]. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

2. Polynomial bases of the double group T_d

For Γ_6 :

$$\begin{aligned} [\uparrow, \downarrow], \quad \psi^2[\uparrow, \downarrow], \quad \psi^2[z^3\uparrow + y^3\uparrow + x^3\uparrow, z^3\downarrow + y^3\downarrow + x^3\downarrow], \\ [yz^{2n}\downarrow + iy^{2n}z\uparrow + ixz^{2n}\downarrow - ixy^{2n}\downarrow - ix^{2n}z\uparrow - x^{2n}y\downarrow, \\ -yz^{2n}\uparrow - iy^{2n}z\downarrow + ixz^{2n}\uparrow - ixy^{2n}\uparrow + ix^{2n}z\downarrow + x^{2n}y\uparrow]. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

For Γ_7 :

$$\begin{aligned} [z^{2n-1}\downarrow + iy^{2n-1}\uparrow - x^{2n-1}\uparrow, z^{2n-1}\uparrow + iy^{2n-1}\downarrow + x^{2n-1}\downarrow], \quad [-yz\uparrow + ixz\uparrow + xy\downarrow, yz\downarrow + ixz\downarrow + xy\uparrow], \\ \psi^2[z^{2n-1}\downarrow + iy^{2n-1}\uparrow - x^{2n-1}\uparrow, z^{2n-1}\uparrow + iy^{2n-1}\downarrow + x^{2n-1}\downarrow], \quad \psi^2[-yz\uparrow + ixz\uparrow + xy\downarrow, yz\downarrow + ixz\downarrow + xy\uparrow]. \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

For Γ_8 :

$$\begin{aligned} [-yz\uparrow + ixz\uparrow - 2xy\downarrow, \sqrt{3}z(y - x)\downarrow, -\sqrt{3}z(y - ix)\uparrow, yz\downarrow + ixz\downarrow - 2xy\uparrow], \\ \psi^2[-yz\uparrow + ixz\uparrow - 2xy\downarrow, \sqrt{3}z(y - x)\downarrow, -\sqrt{3}z(y - ix)\uparrow, yz\downarrow + ixz\downarrow - 2xy\uparrow], \\ [2z^{2n-1}\downarrow - iy^{2n-1}\uparrow + x^{2n-1}\uparrow, \sqrt{3}(iy^{2n-1} - x^{2n-1})\downarrow, \\ \sqrt{3}(iy^{2n-1} + x^{2n-1})\uparrow, 2z^{2n-1}\uparrow - iy^{2n-1}\downarrow - x^{2n-1}\downarrow], \\ [\sqrt{3}(-y^{2n} + x^{2n})\downarrow, (-2z^{2n} + y^{2n} + x^{2n})\uparrow, (2z^{2n} - y^{2n} - x^{2n})\downarrow, \sqrt{3}(y^{2n} - x^{2n})\uparrow], \\ [2yz^{2n}\uparrow + iy^{2n}z\downarrow + 2ixz^{2n}\uparrow - ixy^{2n}\uparrow + ix^{2n}z\downarrow - x^{2n}y\uparrow, \sqrt{3}(-iy^{2n}z\uparrow - ixy^{2n}\downarrow + ix^{2n}z\uparrow - x^{2n}y\downarrow), \\ \sqrt{3}(-iy^{2n}z\downarrow + ixy^{2n}\uparrow + ix^{2n}z\downarrow - x^{2n}y\uparrow), 2yz^{2n}\downarrow + iy^{2n}z\uparrow - 2ixz^{2n}\downarrow + ixy^{2n}\downarrow + ix^{2n}z\uparrow - x^{2n}y\downarrow]. \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

Note that the two bases (67) and (70) are not equivalent, because the order of the functions in an irrep-basis *matters*: e.g., one could interchange elements in the first basis set in (70) in such a way that it becomes equal to the first basis set in (67); however, such an exchange would make second basis sets in (70) and in (67) different. Generally, a wave function is given by a mixture of several basis sets.

3. Polynomial bases of the double group $O \otimes \{\mathbb{1}, \hat{I}\}$

In case of $O \otimes \{\mathbb{1}, \hat{I}\}$ the vectors/spinors are transformed according to the Γ_5^-/Γ_6^+ irreps. The bases for Γ_6^+ are:

$$\begin{aligned} [\uparrow, \downarrow], \quad [yz^{2n-1}\downarrow - y^{2n-1}z\downarrow - ixz^{2n-1}\downarrow + xy^{2n-1}\uparrow + ix^{2n-1}z\downarrow - x^{2n-1}y\uparrow, \\ yz^{2n-1}\uparrow - y^{2n-1}z\uparrow + ixz^{2n-1}\uparrow - x^{2n-1}y\downarrow - ix^{2n-1}z\uparrow + x^{2n-1}y\downarrow]. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

For Γ_6^- :

$$\begin{aligned} [z^{2n-1}\downarrow + iy^{2n-1}\uparrow - x^{2n-1}\uparrow, -z^{2n-1}\uparrow - iy^{2n-1}\downarrow - x^{2n-1}\downarrow], \\ [-yz^{2n}\uparrow - ixz^{2n}\uparrow - ixy^{2n}\uparrow + iy^{2n}z\downarrow + ix^{2n}z\downarrow - x^{2n}y\uparrow, \\ yz^{2n}\downarrow - iy^{2n}z\uparrow - ixz^{2n}\downarrow - ixy^{2n}\downarrow - ix^{2n}z\uparrow + x^{2n}y\downarrow], \\ \psi^2[yz\uparrow - ixz\uparrow - xy\downarrow, yz\downarrow + ixz\downarrow + xy\uparrow]. \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

For Γ_7^+ :

$$\begin{aligned}
& [(yz)^{2n-1} \downarrow + i(xz)^{2n-1} \downarrow + (xy)^{2n-1} \uparrow, - (yz)^{2n-1} \uparrow + i(xz)^{2n-1} \uparrow + (xy)^{2n-1} \downarrow], \\
& [yz^{2n-1} \downarrow + y^{2n-1}z \downarrow + ixz^{2n-1} \downarrow + xy^{2n-1} \uparrow + ix^{2n-1}z \downarrow + x^{2n-1}y \uparrow, \\
& \quad -yz^{2n-1} \uparrow - y^{2n-1}z \uparrow + ixz^{2n-1} \uparrow + xy^{2n-1} \downarrow + ix^{2n-1}z \uparrow + x^{2n-1}y \downarrow], \\
& \psi^2[z^{2n-1} \uparrow + iy^{2n-1} \downarrow + x^{2n-1} \downarrow, z^{2n-1} \downarrow + iy^{2n-1} \uparrow - x^{2n-1} \uparrow].
\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

For Γ_7^- :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi^2[\downarrow, \uparrow], \quad [-yz^{2n} \uparrow - iy^{2n}z \downarrow + ixz^{2n} \uparrow - ixy^{2n} \uparrow + ix^{2n}z \downarrow + x^{2n}y \uparrow, \\
& \quad yz^{2n} \downarrow + iy^{2n}z \uparrow + ixz^{2n} \downarrow - ixy^{2n} \downarrow - ix^{2n}z \uparrow - x^{2n}y \downarrow].
\end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

For Γ_8^+ :

$$\begin{aligned}
& [-z^{2n} \uparrow + 2y^{2n} \uparrow - x^{2n} \uparrow, z^{2n} \downarrow - 2y^{2n} \downarrow + x^{2n} \downarrow, i\sqrt{3}(-z^{2n} + x^{2n}) \downarrow, i\sqrt{3}(z^{2n} \uparrow - x^{2n}) \uparrow], \\
& \psi^2[\sqrt{3}(z^{2n-1} \uparrow - x^{2n-1} \downarrow), \sqrt{3}(z^{2n-1} \downarrow + \sqrt{3}x^{2n-1} \uparrow), \\
& \quad iz^{2n-1} \downarrow + 2y^{2n-1} \uparrow - ix^{2n-1} \uparrow, iz^{2n-1} \uparrow + 2y^{2n-1} \downarrow + ix^{2n-1} \downarrow], \\
& [\sqrt{3}(yz \downarrow - xy \uparrow), -\sqrt{3}(yz \uparrow + xy \downarrow), iyz \uparrow - 2xz \uparrow - ixy \downarrow, -iyz \downarrow - 2xz \downarrow - ixy \uparrow].
\end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

For Γ_8^- :

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\sqrt{3}(z^{2n-1} \downarrow + x^{2n-1} \uparrow), iz^{2n-1} \downarrow + 2y^{2n-1} \uparrow - ix^{2n-1} \uparrow, \\
& \quad \sqrt{3}(z^{2n-1} \uparrow - iy^{2n-1} \downarrow), -z^{2n-1} \uparrow - iy^{2n-1} \downarrow + 2x^{2n-1} \downarrow], \\
& \psi^2[z^2 \downarrow - 2y^2 \downarrow + x^2 \downarrow, i\sqrt{3}(-z^2 \downarrow + x^2) \downarrow, z^2 \uparrow + y^2 \uparrow - 2x^2 \uparrow, \sqrt{3}(z^2 - y^2) \uparrow], \\
& \psi^2[\sqrt{3}(yz \uparrow + xy \downarrow), -iyz \uparrow + 2xz \uparrow + ixy \downarrow, \sqrt{3}(-ixz \downarrow + xy \uparrow), 2yz \downarrow - ixz \downarrow - xy \uparrow], \\
& [\sqrt{3}(-yz^{2n} \uparrow - ixz^{2n} \uparrow - ix^{2n}z \downarrow + x^{2n}y \uparrow), -iyz^{2n} \uparrow + 2y^{2n}z \downarrow + xz^{2n} \uparrow - 2xy^{2n} \uparrow - x^{2n}z \downarrow - ix^{2n}y \uparrow, \\
& \quad \sqrt{3}(-yz^{2n} \downarrow - iy^{2n}z \uparrow + ixz^{2n} \downarrow - ixy^{2n} \downarrow), yz^{2n} \downarrow - iy^{2n}z \uparrow - ixz^{2n} \downarrow - ixy^{2n} \downarrow + 2ix^{2n}z \uparrow - 2x^{2n}y \downarrow].
\end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

4. Polynomial bases of the double group $T_d \otimes \{\mathbb{1}, \hat{I}\}$

In case of $T_d \otimes \{\mathbb{1}, \hat{I}\}$ the vectors/spinors are transformed according to the Γ_4^-/Γ_7^+ irreps. The bases for Γ_6^+ are:

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\uparrow, \downarrow], \quad [yz^{2n-1} \downarrow - y^{2n-1}z \downarrow - ixz^{2n-1} \downarrow + xy^{2n-1} \uparrow + ix^{2n-1}z \downarrow - x^{2n-1}y \uparrow, \\
& \quad yz^{2n-1} \uparrow - y^{2n-1}z \uparrow + ixz^{2n-1} \uparrow - xy^{2n-1} \downarrow - ix^{2n-1}z \uparrow + x^{2n-1}y \downarrow].
\end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

For Γ_6^- :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi^2[\downarrow, \uparrow], \quad [-yz^{2n} \uparrow - iy^{2n}z \downarrow + ixz^{2n} \uparrow - ixy^{2n} \uparrow + ix^{2n}z \downarrow + x^{2n}y \uparrow, \\
& \quad yz^{2n} \downarrow + iy^{2n}z \uparrow + ixz^{2n} \downarrow - ixy^{2n} \downarrow - ix^{2n}z \uparrow - x^{2n}y \downarrow].
\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

For Γ_7^+ :

$$\begin{aligned}
& [yz \downarrow + ixz \downarrow + xy \uparrow, -yz \uparrow + ixz \uparrow + xy \downarrow], \\
& \psi^2[z^{2n-1} \uparrow + iy^{2n-1} \downarrow + x^{2n-1} \downarrow, z^{2n-1} \downarrow + iy^{2n-1} \uparrow - x^{2n-1} \uparrow].
\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

For Γ_7^- :

$$\begin{aligned}
& [z^{2n-1} \downarrow + iy^{2n-1} \uparrow - x^{2n-1} \uparrow, -z^{2n-1} \uparrow - iy^{2n-1} \downarrow - x^{2n-1} \downarrow], \\
& [-yz^{2n} \uparrow + iy^{2n}z \downarrow - ixz^{2n} \uparrow - ixy^{2n} \uparrow + ix^{2n}z \downarrow - x^{2n}y \uparrow, \\
& \quad yz^{2n} \downarrow - iy^{2n}z \uparrow - ixz^{2n} \downarrow - ixy^{2n} \downarrow - ix^{2n}z \uparrow + x^{2n}y \downarrow], \\
& \psi^2[yz \uparrow - ixz \uparrow - xy \downarrow, yz \downarrow + ixz \downarrow + xy \uparrow].
\end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

For Γ_8^+ :

$$\begin{aligned}
& [-z^{2n} \uparrow + 2y^{2n} \uparrow - x^{2n} \uparrow, z^{2n} \downarrow - 2y^{2n} \downarrow + x^{2n} \downarrow, i\sqrt{3}(-z^{2n} + x^{2n}) \downarrow, i\sqrt{3}(z^{2n} - x^{2n}) \uparrow], \\
& \psi^2[\sqrt{3}(z^{2n-1} \uparrow - x^{2n-1} \downarrow), \sqrt{3}(z^{2n-1} \downarrow + x^{2n-1} \uparrow), \\
& \quad iz^{2n-1} \downarrow + 2y^{2n-1} \uparrow - ix^{2n-1} \uparrow, iz^{2n-1} \uparrow + 2y^{2n-1} \downarrow + ix^{2n-1} \downarrow], \\
& [\sqrt{3}\{(yz)^{2n-1} \downarrow - (xy)^{2n-1} \uparrow\}, -\sqrt{3}\{(yz)^{2n-1} \uparrow + (xy)^{2n-1} \downarrow\}, \\
& \quad i(yz)^{2n-1} \uparrow - 2(xz)^{2n-1} \uparrow - i(xy)^{2n-1} \downarrow, -i(yz)^{2n-1} \downarrow - 2(xz)^{2n-1} \downarrow - i(xy)^{2n-1} \uparrow].
\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

For Γ_8^- :

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\sqrt{3}(z^{2n-1} \downarrow + x^{2n-1} \uparrow), iz^{2n-1} \downarrow + 2y^{2n-1} \uparrow - ix^{2n-1} \uparrow, \\
& \quad \sqrt{3}(z^{2n-1} \uparrow - \sqrt{3}iy^{2n-1} \downarrow), -z^{2n-1} \uparrow - iy^{2n-1} \downarrow + 2x^{2n-1} \downarrow], \\
& \psi^2[z^2 \downarrow - 2y^2 \downarrow + x^2 \downarrow, i\sqrt{3}(x^2 - z^2) \downarrow, z^2 \uparrow + y^2 \uparrow - 2x^2 \uparrow, \sqrt{3}(z^2 - y^2) \uparrow], \\
& \psi^2[\sqrt{3}(yz \uparrow + xy \downarrow), -iyz \uparrow + 2xz \uparrow + ixy \downarrow, \sqrt{3}(-ixz \downarrow + xy \uparrow), 2yz \downarrow - ixz \downarrow - xy \uparrow].
\end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

-
- * Receive announcements about my new articles:
<http://scholar.google.com/citations?user=9zdm3gEAAAAJ>;
 E-mail me at: chalaev@gmail.com
- † Helpful discussions with M. E. Flatté and G. Vignale and the support of ARO MURI through Grant No. W911NF-08-1-0317 are gratefully acknowledged.
- ¹ W. J. Elder, R. M. Ward, and J. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 165210 (Apr 2011), <http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.83.165210>
- ² М. И. Петрашень and Е. Д. Трифонов, *Применение теории групп в квантовой механике*, 4th ed. (Эдиториал УРСС, 2000) English translation: M. I. Petrashen and J. L. Trifonov: "Applications of Group Theory in Quantum Mechanics" (Dover Books on Physics, 2009). The Russian edition contains more than 20 typos and errors; in particular, all matrices for the Γ_3 -irrep for the cubic group O must be transposed.
- ³ M. S. Dresselhaus, G. Dresselhaus, and A. Jorio, "Group theory: Application to the physics of condensed matter," (Springer Verlag, 2008) pp. 64–67, 352–359.
- ⁴ J. D. Dixon, Math. Comp. **24**, 707 (1970), see also Ref. [24]
- ⁵ P. Y. Yu and M. Cardona, "Fundamentals of semiconductors," (Springer, 2001) p. 73, 3rd ed.
- ⁶ S. L. Altmann, "Rotations, quaternions and double groups," (Oxford Univ. Press (NY), 1986) p. 108.
- ⁷ В. А. Желнорович, "Теория спиноров и её применение в физике и механике," (Наука, Москва, 1982) pp. 73–74.
- ⁸ A. W. Joshi, "Elements of group theory for physicists," (Wiley Eastern Ltd, 1982) p. 190.
- ⁹ The data and simple checking programs are included in the source of this article on [arXiv.org](http://arxiv.org), see the file [readMe.txt](http://maxima.sourceforge.net/) <http://maxima.sourceforge.net/>
- ¹⁰ <http://maxima.sourceforge.net/>
- ¹¹ That is, transformations that conserve scalar product and do not alter the basis signature.
- ¹² The isomorphism between "single" (geometric) groups does not necessary lead the isomorphism between the corresponding double groups.
- ¹³ This statement has been directly checked on computer.
- ¹⁴ True Pauli-gauge-fans can nevertheless deduce the matrices in the Pauli gauge from my bases using the approach from Ref. [3] if they really want.
- ¹⁵ Д. А. Варшалович, А. Н. Москалёв, and В. К. Херсонский, *Квантовая теория углового момента* (Наука, Ленинград, 1975) ; English translation: "Quantum theory of angular momentum : irreducible tensors, spherical harmonics, vector coupling coefficients, 3 nj symbols" by D.A. Varshalovich, A.N. Moskalev, V.K. Khersonskii. Singapore ; Teaneck, N.J. : World Scientific Pub., c1986.
- ¹⁶ W. Opechowski, Physica **7**, 552 (1940)
- ¹⁷ As we see below, the characteristic polynomials of irreps $\Gamma_6^\pm \dots \Gamma_8^\pm$ are even/odd with respect to the transformation $(x, y, z) \rightarrow (-x, -y, -z)$, and the same is valid also for the irreps $\Gamma_1^\pm \dots \Gamma_5^\pm$.
- ¹⁸ The generalization for the case when $\hat{O}$ is a tensor is straightforward.
- ¹⁹ Following Refs. 2 and 25, I use the "transposed" definition for the irreps matrices: $g\Psi_i = \sum_{i'} (A_g)_{i'i} \Psi_{i'} = (A_g^T \Psi)_i$.
- ²⁰ R. Winkler, "Spin-orbit effects in two-dimensional electron and hole systems," (Springer, 2003) pp. 108, 214–216
- ²¹ I understand linear (in)dependence of matrices as linear (in)dependence of corresponding vectors (matrix elements can always be rearranged in a vector).
- ²² L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Quantum mechanics – non-relativistic theory*, 3rd ed., Vol. 3 (Butterworth-Heinemann, 1981) see the Appendix
- ²³ I have demonstrated the validity of (Γ_1) and (Γ_2) for $n \leq 5$; for the bases of double groups the check has been performed mostly for $n = 1$ and $n = 2$, in some cases also for $n = 3$.
- ²⁴ M. El-Batanouny and F. Wooten, "Symmetry and condensed matter physics: A computational approach," (Cambridge University Press, 2008) Chap. 5
- ²⁵ А. И. Ансельм, "Введение в теорию полупроводников," (Мир, 1978) Chap. II, pp. 64–65, English translation: A. Anselm "Introduction to Semiconductor Theory", (Prentice Hall Inc., 1982)