A SEMI-ORDINARY \( p \)-STABILIZATION OF SIEGEL EISENSTEIN SERIES
FOR SYMPLECTIC GROUPS AND ITS \( p \)-ADIC INTERPOLATION
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Abstract. Given a prime number \( p \), we introduce a certain \( p \)-stabilization of holomorphic Siegel Eisenstein series for the symplectic group \( \text{Sp}(2n) \) such that the resulting forms satisfy the semi-ordinary condition at \( p \), that is, the associated eigenvalue of a generalized Atkin \( U_p \)-operator is a \( p \)-adic unit. In addition, we derive an explicit formula for all Fourier coefficients of such \( p \)-stabilized Siegel Eisenstein series, and conclude their \( p \)-adic interpolation problems. This states the existence of a quite natural generalization of the ordinary \( \Lambda \)-adic Eisenstein series which have been constructed by Hida and Wiles for \( \text{GL}(2) \).

1. Introduction

Given a positive integer \( M \), a Dirichlet character \( \chi \) modulo \( M \) and an integer \( \kappa \geq 2 \) with \( \chi(-1) = (-1)^\kappa \), the classical Eisenstein series is defined as follows: For each \( z \in \mathbb{H}_1 = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im} z > 0 \} \), put

\[
E_{\kappa, \chi}(z) := \frac{2G(\chi^{-1})(-2\pi \sqrt{-1})^\kappa}{M^\kappa(\kappa - 1)!} \sum_{(c,d) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}} \chi^{-1}(d) (cMz + d)^{-\kappa},
\]

where \( G(\chi^{-1}) = \sum_{n=1}^{M} \chi^{-1}(n) \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1} n/M) \). As is well-known, \( E_{\kappa, \chi} \) gives rise to a holomorphic modular form of weight \( \kappa \) and nebentypus character \( \chi \) for the congruence subgroup \( \Gamma_0(M) \) of \( \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \) unless \( \kappa = 2 \) and \( \chi \) is trivial (or identical). If \( \chi \) is trivial (i.e., \( M = 1 \)) or primitive (i.e., the conductor of \( \chi \) equals \( M > 1 \)), then \( E_{\kappa, \chi} \) possesses the Fourier expansion

\[
E_{\kappa, \chi}(z) = \frac{L(1 - \kappa, \chi)}{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{\kappa-1, \chi}(m) q^m,
\]

where \( L(s, \chi) = \prod_{l \text{ prime}} (1 - \chi(l) l^{-s})^{-1}, \sigma_{\kappa-1, \chi}(m) = \sum_{0 < d | m} \chi(d) d^{\kappa-1} \) and \( q = \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1} z) \). This implies that \( E_{\kappa, \chi} \) is a non-cuspidal Hecke eigenform such that the associated \( L \)-function \( L(s, E_{\kappa, \chi}) \) is taken of the form

\[
L(s, E_{\kappa, \chi}) = \zeta(s)L(s - \kappa + 1, \chi).
\]

Let \( p \) be a fixed prime number not dividing \( M \), which we assume to be odd for simplicity. Put

\[
E^*_{\kappa, \chi}(z) := E_{\kappa, \chi}(z) - \chi(p) p^{\kappa-1} E_{\kappa, \chi}(pz).
\]

We easily see that \( E^*_{\kappa, \chi} \) is also a non-cuspidal Hecke eigenform of weight \( \kappa \) and nebentypus character \( \chi \) for \( \Gamma_0(Mp) \ (\subset \Gamma_0(M)) \), and its Fourier expansion is taken of the form

\[
E^*_{\kappa, \chi}(z) = \frac{L(p)(1 - \kappa, \chi)}{2} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{\kappa-1, \chi}^{(p)}(m) q^m,
\]
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where $L^{(p)}(s, \chi) := (1 - \chi(p)p^{-s}) L(s, \chi) = \prod_{l \neq p} (1 - \chi(l) l^{-s})^{-1}$ and 
\[
\sigma_{\kappa-1, \chi}^{(p)}(m) := \sum_{0 < d | m, \gcd(d, p) = 1} \chi(d) d^{\kappa-1}.
\]

It turns out that for $E_{\kappa, \chi}^*$, all the Hecke eigenvalues outside $p$ agree with $E_{\kappa, \chi}$, but the eigenvalue of $U_p$-operator, which is converted from the $p$-th Hecke operator $T_p$ (cf. [AL, Mi]), is $\sigma_{\kappa-1, \chi}^{(p)}(p) = 1$. Namely, we have
\[
L(s, E_{\kappa, \chi}^*) = \zeta(s) L^{(p)}(s - \kappa + 1, \chi).
\]

This type of normalization $E_{\kappa, \chi} \mapsto E_{\kappa, \chi}^*$ given by eliminating the $p$-part of every Fourier coefficient or equivalently, eliminating the latter half of the Euler factor at $p$ was firstly introduced by Serre [Se], and we refer to it as the ordinary\footnote{In general, a Hecke eigenform $f$ is said to be ordinary at $p$ if the eigenvalue of $U_p$ (or $T_p$) is a $p$-adic unit.} $p$-stabilization. Here we should mention that every Fourier coefficient of $E_{\kappa, \chi}$ depends on the weight $\kappa$ $p$-adically. Indeed, it follows immediately from Fermat’s little theorem that for each positive integer $m$, the function $\kappa \mapsto \sigma_{\kappa-1, \chi}^{(p)}(m)$ can be extended to an analytic function defined on the ring of $p$-adic integers $\mathbb{Z}_p$. In addition, the constant term $L^{(p)}(1 - \kappa, \chi)/2$ is also interpolated by the $p$-adic $L$-function in the sense of Kubota-Leopoldt or Deligne-Ribet [DR]. This fact turns out to be the following theorem due to Hida and Wiles:

**Fact 1.1** (cf. Proposition 7.1.1 in [H1]; Proposition 1.3.1 in [W]). Suppose that a Dirichlet character $\chi$ modulo $M$ is either trivial or primitive, and $M$ is not divisible by $p$. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}_p(\chi)$ be the field obtained by adjoining all values of $\chi$ to the $p$-adic number field $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $L = K[[X]]$ the power series ring in one variable $X$ over $K$, respectively. For each integer $a$ with $0 \leq a < p - 1$, there exists a formal Fourier expansion
\[
E_{\chi^a}(X) = E_{\chi^a(1)}^{(1)}(X) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} A_{\chi^a}(m; X) q^m \in L[[q]],
\]
where $\omega : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \to \mu_{p-1} = \{ x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times | x^{p-1} = 1 \}$ denotes the Teichmüller character, such that for each integer $\kappa > 2$ with $\chi(-1) = (-1)^\kappa$ and $\kappa \equiv a \pmod{p-1}$, we have
\[
E_{\chi^a}((1 + p)^\kappa - 1) = E_{\kappa, \chi}.
\]
Moreover, if $\varepsilon : 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ is a character having exact order $p^r$ for some integer $r \geq 0$\footnote{Since $\mu_{p-1} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$, $\omega$ can be identified with a Dirichlet character modulo $p$ in a natural way.}, then for each integer $\kappa \geq 2$, we have
\[
E_{\chi^a}(\varepsilon(1 + p)(1 + p)^\kappa - 1) = E_{\kappa, \chi^a - \kappa \varepsilon}
\]
as long as $\omega^{a - \kappa} \varepsilon$ is non-trivial.

Strictly speaking, it turns out that $E_{\chi^a}(X) \in \Lambda[[q]]$, where $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}_K[[X]]$, unless $\chi^a$ is trivial. However, we note that if $\chi^a$ is trivial, then $E_{\chi^a}(X)$ (more precisely, the constant term $A_{\chi^a}(0; X)$) has a simple pole at $X = 0$ and $\overline{E}_{\chi^a}(X) := X \cdot E_{\chi^a}(X) \in \Lambda[[q]]$. Hence the above-mentioned fact implies that $E_{\chi^a}(X)$ or $\overline{E}_{\chi^a}(X)$ according as $\chi^a$ is non-trivial or trivial, is a $\Lambda$-adic form of level $Mp^\infty$ with nebentypus character $\chi^a$, which interpolates $p$-adic families of non-cuspidal ordinary Hecke eigenforms $\{E_{\kappa, \chi}^*\}$ and $\{E_{\kappa, \chi^a - \kappa \varepsilon}\}$ or their constant multiples, given by varying the weight $\kappa$\footnote{The latter condition is regarded as if $\omega^{a - \kappa}$ corresponds to the trivial Dirichlet character.}\footnote{Namely, $\varepsilon$ can be also regarded as a primitive Dirichlet character of conductor $p^{r+1}$.}.
p-adically analytically (cf. [W, H1]). In this context, we refer to it as the ordinary $\Lambda$-adic Eisenstein series of genus 1 and level $Mp^{\infty}$ with character $\chi \omega^a$.

The aim of the present article is to formulate a similar statement in the case of Siegel modular forms, that is, automorphic forms on the symplectic group $\text{Sp}(2n)/\mathbb{Q}$ of an arbitrary genus $n \geq 1$. Let us explain how it goes briefly: Given a positive integer $e > n$ and a Dirichlet character $\chi$ modulo $M$, let $E^{(n)}_{\kappa, \chi}$ be the classical (holomorphic) Siegel Eisenstein series of weight $\kappa$ and nebentypus character $\chi$ for $\Gamma_0(M)^{(n)} \subset \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{Z})$ to be described in the subsequent §2, whenever either

(i) $M = 1$ and thus, $\chi$ is trivial or

(ii) $M > 1$ is odd, $\chi$ is primitive and $\chi^2$ is locally non-trivial at every prime $l | M^5$.

For any prime number $p | M$ (not necessarily odd), we define, in §4, a certain $p$-stabilization map $E^{(n)}_{\kappa, \chi} \mapsto (E^{(n)}_{\kappa, \chi})^*$ by means of the action of a linear combination of $(U_{p,n})^i$'s for $i = 0, 1, \cdots, n$, where $U_{p,n}$ denotes a generalized Atkin $U_p$-operator to be defined in §3 below, so that the associated eigenvalue of $U_{p,n}$ is 1. This formulation is natural from the viewpoint of which in the case where $n = 1$, $E^*_{\kappa, \chi} = (E^{(1)}_{\kappa, \chi})^*$ can be easily written in terms of Atkin’s original operator $U_p = U_{p,1}$ as

\[(1') \quad E^*_{\kappa, \chi} = E_{\kappa, \chi} \|_{\kappa, \chi} (U_p - \chi(p) p^{\kappa-1}).\]

Following in the tradition of Skinner-Urban [SU], in the case where $n > 1$, we call $(E^{(n)}_{\kappa, \chi})^*$ semi-ordinary at $p$. Moreover, we derive an explicit formula for all Fourier coefficients of $(E^{(n)}_{\kappa, \chi})^*$, which can be regarded as a natural generalization of the equation (2) (cf. Theorem 4.2 below). As a consequence of the above issues, we first show in Theorem 4.4 below the existence of a formal Fourier expansion with coefficients in $\mathcal{L} = \text{Frac}(\Lambda)$ associated to $\chi \omega^a$ with some nonnegative integer $a$, whose specialization at $X = (1 + p)^\kappa - 1$ coincides with the semi-ordinary $p$-stabilized Siegel Eisenstein series $(E^{(n)}_{\kappa, \chi})^*$ for any $\kappa$ taken as above. Finally in §5, for a fixed odd prime number $p$, we show that after taking a suitable constant multiple, the above-mentioned formal Fourier expansion is indeed a $\Lambda$-adic form of genus $n$ and level $Mp^\infty$ (or tame level $M$ in the sense of Taylor [Ta]) with character $\chi \omega^a$, which can be viewed as a satisfactory generalization of Fact 1.1 (cf. Theorem 5.4 below).
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**Notation.** We summarize here some notation we will use in the sequel. We denote by $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{R}$, and $\mathbb{C}$ the ring of integers, fields of rational numbers, real numbers and complex numbers, respectively. Let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ denote the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}$ sitting inside $\mathbb{C}$. We put $e(x) = \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1}x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{C}$. Given a prime number $p$, we denote by $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ the field of $p$-adic numbers, the ring of $p$-adic integers and the group of $p$-adic units, respectively. Hereinafter, given a prime number $p$, we fix an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and an embedding $\iota_p : \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ once for all. Let $\text{val}_p$ denote the $p$-adic valuation on $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ normalized so that $\text{val}_p(p) = 1$, and $\cdot |_p$ the corresponding norm on $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, respectively. Let $e_p$ be the continuous additive character of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ such that $e_p(x) = e(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Q}$. Let $\mathbb{C}_p$ be the completion of the normed space $(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p, \cdot |_p)$. We also fix, once for all, an isomorphism $\text{val}_p = \prod_{l \mid M} \text{val}_l \chi_l$, then for each prime $l$, $\chi_l$ is not a quadratic character.
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For each of genus \( n \), point \( \varepsilon \) respectively. Namely, \( \text{GSp}(2) = \text{GL}(2) \) and \( \text{Sp}(2) = \text{SL}(2) \) in this setting. We note that every real is commutative. Given a prime number \( p \), put
\[
P = \begin{cases} 
4 & \text{if } p = 2, \\
p & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]
Let \( \mathbb{Z}_{p,\text{tor}}^\times \) be the torsion subgroup of \( \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \), that is, \( \mathbb{Z}_{2,\text{tor}}^\times = \{ \pm 1 \} \) and \( \mathbb{Z}_{p,\text{tor}}^\times = \mu_{p-1} \) if \( p \neq 2 \). We define the Teichmüller character \( \omega : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \to \mathbb{Z}_{p,\text{tor}}^\times \) by putting \( \omega(x) = \pm 1 \) according as \( x \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{4\mathbb{Z}_2} \) if \( p = 2 \), and \( \omega(x) = \lim_{i \to \infty} x^{p^i} \) for \( x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \) if \( p \neq 2 \). In addition, for each \( x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \), put \( \langle x \rangle := \omega(x)^{-1}x + 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p. \) Then we have a canonical isomorphism
\[
\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_{p,\text{tor}}^\times \times (1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p)^{\times}.
\]
We note that the maximal torsion-free subgroup \( 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p \) of \( \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \) is topologically cyclic, that is, \( 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p = (1 + p)^{\mathbb{Z}_p}. \) As already mentioned in §1, the Teichmüller character \( \omega \) gives rise to a Dirichlet character \( \omega : (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times \to \mathbb{Z}_{p,\text{tor}}^\times \cong \mathbb{C}^\times \). Let \( \varepsilon : 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{C}_p^\times \) be a character of finite order. More precisely, if \( \varepsilon \) has exact order \( p^m \) for some nonnegative integer \( m \), then \( \varepsilon \) optimally factors through
\[
1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p/(1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p)^{p^m} \cong (1 + p)^{\mathbb{Z}_p}/(1 + p)^{p^m\mathbb{Z}_p} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p/p^m\mathbb{Z}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}.
\]
Since \( (\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})^\times \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times \times \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}, \) we may naturally regard \( \varepsilon \) as a Dirichlet character of conductor \( p^m\mathbb{Z} \).

By abuse of notation, we will often identify such \( p \)-adic characters \( \omega \) and \( \varepsilon \) with the corresponding Dirichlet characters whose conductor is a powers of \( p \) in the sequel.

Given a positive integer \( n \), let \( \text{GSp}(2n) \) be the group of symplectic similitudes over \( \mathbb{Q} \), that is,
\[
\text{GSp}(2n) := \{ g \in \text{GL}(2n) \mid {}^t g J g = \nu(g) J \text{ for some } \nu(g) \in \mathbb{G}_m \},
\]
where \( J = \begin{bmatrix} 0_n & -1_n \\ 1_n & 0_n \end{bmatrix} \) with the \( n \times n \) unit (resp. zero) matrix \( 1_n \) (resp. \( 0_n \)), and \( \text{Sp}(2n) \) the derived group of \( \text{GSp}(2n) \) characterized by the exact sequence
\[
1 \to \text{Sp}(2n) \to \text{GSp}(2n) \to \mathbb{G}_m \to 1,
\]
respectively. Namely, \( \text{GSp}(2) = \text{GL}(2) \) and \( \text{Sp}(2) = \text{SL}(2) \) in this setting. We note that every real point \( g = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in \text{GSp}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \) with \( \nu(g) > 0 \), where \( A, B, C, D \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \), acts on the Siegel upper-half space
\[
\mathfrak{H}_n := \{ Z = X + \sqrt{-1} Y \in \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \mid \begin{bmatrix} Z \\ Z \end{bmatrix} = 0 \text{ (positive-definite)} \}
\]
of genus \( n \) via the linear transformation \( Z \mapsto g(Z) = (AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1} \). If \( F \) is a function on \( \mathfrak{H}_n \), then for each \( \kappa \in \mathbb{Z} \), we define the slash action of \( g \) on \( F \) by
\[
(F \mid \kappa g)(Z) := \nu(g)^{\kappa - n(n+1)/2} \det(CZ + D)^{-\kappa} F(g(Z)).
\]
For each positive integer \( N \), we shall consider the following congruence subgroups of level \( N \) for the full-modular group \( \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{Z}) \):
\[
\Gamma_0(N)^{(n)} \text{ (resp. } \Gamma_1(N)^{(n)} \text{)} := \{ \gamma \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{Z}) \mid \gamma \equiv \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ 0_n & * \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ 0_n & 1_n \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N} \}.
\]
For each \( \kappa \in \mathbb{Z} \), let us denote by \( \mathcal{M}_\kappa(\Gamma_1(N)^{(n)}) \) the space of (holomorphic) \textit{Siegel modular forms} of genus \( n \), weight \( \kappa \) and level \( N \), that is, \( \mathbb{C} \)-valued holomorphic functions \( F \) on \( \mathfrak{H}_n \) satisfying the following two conditions:
(i) $F \parallel_{\kappa} \gamma = F$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_1(N)^{(n)}$.

(ii) For each $\gamma \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{Z})$, the function $F \parallel_{\kappa} \gamma$ possesses a Fourier expansion of the form

$$
(F \parallel_{\kappa} \gamma)(Z) = \sum_{T \in \text{Sym}_n^*(\mathbb{Z})} A_{F,\gamma}(T) e(\text{tr}(TZ)),
$$

where $\text{Sym}_n^*(\mathbb{Z})$ is the set of all half-integral symmetric matrices of degree $n$ over $\mathbb{Z}$, namely,

$$
\text{Sym}_n^*(\mathbb{Z}) := \{ T = [t_{ij}] \in \text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{Q}) \mid t_{ii}, 2t_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z} \ (1 \leq i < j \leq n) \},
$$

and $\text{tr}(\ast)$ denotes the trace. Then it is satisfied that

$$
A_{F,\gamma}(T) = 0 \text{ unless } T \geq 0 \text{ (positive-semidefinite)}
$$

for all $\gamma \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{Z})$.

A Siegel modular form $F \in \mathcal{M}_{\kappa}(\Gamma_1(N))^{(n)}$ is said to be 	extit{cuspidal} if it is satisfied that $A_{F,\gamma}(T) = 0$ unless $T > 0$ for all $\gamma \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{Z})$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}_{\kappa}(\Gamma_1(N))^{(n)}$ the subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa}(\Gamma_1(N))^{(n)}$ consisting of all cuspidal forms. Given a Dirichlet character $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$, we denote by $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa}(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)^{(n)}$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_{\kappa}(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)^{(n)}$) the subspace of $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa}(\Gamma_1(N))^{(n)}$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_{\kappa}(\Gamma_1(N))^{(n)}$) consisting of all forms $F$ with nebentypus character $\chi$, that is,

$$
F \parallel_{\kappa} \gamma = \chi(\det D)F \text{ for any } \gamma = [A B \mid C D] \in \Gamma_0(N)^{(n)}.
$$

In particular, whenever $\chi$ is trivial, we naturally write $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa}(\Gamma_0(N))^{(n)} = \mathcal{M}_{\kappa}(\Gamma_0(N), \text{triv})^{(n)}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\kappa}(\Gamma_0(N))^{(n)} = \mathcal{S}_{\kappa}(\Gamma_0(N), \text{triv})^{(n)}$, respectively.

For a given pair of $Z = [z_{ij}] \in \mathcal{S}_n$ and $T = [t_{ij}] \in \text{Sym}_n^*(\mathbb{Z})$, put

$$
\mathbf{q}^T := e(\text{tr}(TZ)) = \prod_{i=1}^n q_{ii}^{t_{ii}} \prod_{i<j} q_{ij}^{2t_{ij}},
$$

where $q_{ij} = e(z_{ij}) \ (1 \leq i \leq j \leq n)$. Since $[1_n \ 0_n \ 0_n \ 1_n] \in \Gamma_1(N)^{(n)} \subset \Gamma_0(N)^{(n)}$ for each $S \in \text{Sym}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, we easily see that if $F \in \mathcal{M}_{\kappa}(\Gamma_1(N))^{(n)}$ (or $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa}(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)^{(n)}$), then $F$ possesses a Fourier expansion of the form

$$
F(Z) = \sum_{T \in \text{Sym}_n^*(\mathbb{Z}), \ T \geq 0} A_F(T) \mathbf{q}^T,
$$

which is regarded as belonging to the ring $\mathbb{C}[[q_{ij}^{\pm 1} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n]][[q_{11}, \cdots, q_{nn}]].$ Given a ring $R$, we write

$$
R[[\mathbf{q}]]^{(n)} := R[[q_{ij}^{\pm 1} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n]][[q_{11}, \cdots, q_{nn}]],
$$
in a similar fashion to the notation of the ring of formal $q$-expansions $R[[\mathbf{q}]]$. In particular, if $F \in \mathcal{M}_{\kappa}(\Gamma_1(N))^{(n)}$ is a Hecke eigenform (i.e., a simultaneous eigenfunction of all Hecke operators whose similitude is coprime to $N$), then it is well-known that the field $K_F$ obtained by adjoining all Fourier coefficients (or equivalently, all Hecke eigenvalues) of $F$ to $\mathbb{Q}$ is an algebraic number field. Thus, by virtue of the presence of $t_p$ and $\widehat{t}_p$, we may regard $F \in K_Z[[\mathbf{q}]]^{(n)}$ as sitting inside $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{q}]]^{(n)}$ and $\mathbb{C}_p[[\mathbf{q}]]^{(n)}$ interchangeably. For further details on the basic theory of Siegel modular forms set out above, see [AZ] or [Fr]. In particular, a comprehensive introduction to the theory of elliptic modular forms and Hecke operators can be found in [Mi].

2. Siegel Eisenstein series for symplectic groups

In this section, we review some elementary facts on the Siegel Eisenstein series defined for $\text{Sp}(2n)/\mathbb{Q}$ of an arbitrary genus $n \geq 1$. In particular, we describe a explicit form of its Fourier
expansion according to some previous works of Shimura (e.g., [S1, S2]), which is the starting point for the subsequent arguments.

Let $N$ be a positive integer and $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ a Dirichlet character, respectively. As mentioned in §1, for simplicity, we restrict ourselves to either of the following cases:

(i) $N = 1$, that is, $\chi$ is trivial;
(ii) $N > 1$ is odd, $\chi$ is primitive and $\chi^2$ is locally non-trivial at every prime $l \mid N$.

Given a positive integer $n$, if $\kappa$ is an integer with $\kappa > n + 1$ and $\chi(-1) = (-1)^\kappa$, then the (holomorphic) Siegel Eisenstein series of genus $n$, weight $\kappa$ and level $N$ with nebentypus character $\chi$ is defined as follows: For each $Z \in \mathcal{H}_n$, put

$$E_{\kappa, \chi}^{(n)}(Z) := 2^{-[(n+1)/2]}L(1 - \kappa, \chi) \prod_{i=1}^{[n/2]} L(1 - 2\kappa + 2i, \chi^2) \times \sum_{\gamma = [\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ C & D \end{smallmatrix}] \in (P_{2n} \cap \Gamma_0(N)^{(n)}) \backslash \Gamma_0(N)^{(n)}} \chi^{-1}(\det D) \det(CZ + D)^{-\kappa},$$

where $L(s, \psi)$ denotes Dirichlet’s $L$-function associated with $\psi$, and $P_{2n}$ the Siegel parabolic subgroup of $\text{Sp}(2n)$ consisting of all matrices $g = [\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{smallmatrix}]$, respectively.

Let $r$ be a positive integer. For each rational prime $l$, let $\text{Sym}^r_+ (\mathbb{Z}_l)$ denote the set of all half-integral symmetric matrices of degree $r$ over $\mathbb{Z}_l$. Given a nondegenerate $S \in \text{Sym}^r_+ (\mathbb{Z}_l)$, we define a formal power series $b_l(S; X) \in X$ by

$$b_l(S; X) := \sum_{R \in \text{Sym}^r_+ (\mathbb{Z}_l) / \text{Sym}_+ (\mathbb{Z}_l)} e_l(\text{tr}(SR)) X^{\text{val}_l(\mu_R)},$$

where $\mu_R = [\mathbb{Z}_l^r + \mathbb{Z}_l^r R : \mathbb{Z}_l]$. Put $\mathcal{D}_S := 2^{[r/2]} \det S$. We note that if $r$ is even, then $(-1)^{r/2}\mathcal{D}_S \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod 4$, and thus, we may decompose it into the form

$$(-1)^{r/2}\mathcal{D}_S = \mathfrak{d}_S f_S^2,$$

where $\mathfrak{d}_S$ is the fundamental discriminant of the quadratic field extension $\mathbb{Q}_l(((-1)^{r/2}\mathcal{D}_S)^{1/2})/\mathbb{Q}_l$ and $f_S = ((-1)^{r/2}\mathcal{D}_S/\mathfrak{d}_S)^{1/2} \in \mathbb{Z}_l$. Let $\xi_l : \mathbb{Q}_l^\times \to \{\pm 1, 0\}$ denote the character defined by

$$\xi_l(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathbb{Q}_l(x^{1/2}) = \mathbb{Q}_l, \\ -1 & \text{if } \mathbb{Q}_l(x^{1/2})/\mathbb{Q}_l \text{ is unramified,} \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathbb{Q}_l(x^{1/2})/\mathbb{Q}_l \text{ is ramified}. \end{cases}$$

As shown in [Ki1, Fe, S2], for each nondegenerate $S \in \text{Sym}^r_+ (\mathbb{Z}_l)$, there exists a polynomial $F_l(S; X) \in \mathbb{Z}_l[X]$ whose constant term is 1 such that $b_l(S; X)$ is decomposed as follows:

$$b_l(S; X) = F_l(S; X) \times \begin{cases} (1 - X) \prod_{i=1}^{[r/2]} (1 - l^2i X^2) & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ (1 - X) \prod_{i=1}^{[r-1/2]} (1 - l^2i X^2) & \text{if } r \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

(cf. Proposition 3.6 in [S2]). We note that $F_l(S; X)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$F_l(S; l^{r-1}X^{-1}) = F_l(S; X) \times \begin{cases} (l^{r+1}X^2)^{-\text{val}_l(f_S)} & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ \eta_l(S)(l^{(r+1)/2}X)^{-\text{val}_l(\mathcal{D}_S)} & \text{if } r \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$\eta_l(S) := h_l(S)(\det S, (-1)^{(r-1)/2} \det S)_l (1, -1)^{(r^2-1)/8}$$
Lemma 2.1. Let \( n, \kappa, N \) and \( \chi \) be taken as above.

(I) \( E_{\kappa, \chi}^{(n)}(Z) \in \mathcal{M}_n(\Gamma_0(N)) \) and it is a Hecke eigenform, that is, a simultaneous eigenfunction of Hecke operators defined at least for all primes not dividing the level \( N \).

(II) Let us consider a Fourier expansion of \( E_{\kappa, \chi}^{(n)}(Z) \) taken of the form

\[
E_{\kappa, \chi}^{(n)}(Z) = \sum_{T \in \text{Sym}^*_n(Z), T \geq 0} A_{\kappa, \chi}(T) q^T.
\]

Then every coefficient \( A_{\kappa, \chi}(T) \), which is invariant under \( T \mapsto {^tUTU} \) for \( U \in GL(n, \mathbb{Z}) \), is described as follows:

(IIa) For \( T = 0_n \in \text{Sym}^*_n(Z) \), we have

\[
A_{\kappa, \chi}(T) = 2^{-\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor} L(1 - \kappa, \chi) \prod_{i=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} L(1 - 2\kappa + 2i, \chi^2).
\]

Therefore \( E_{\kappa, \chi}^{(n)} \) is not cuspidal.

(IIb) If \( T \in \text{Sym}^*_n(Z) \) is taken of the form

\[
T = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} T' & 0_n-r \\ \hline 0_{r-n} & \\ \end{array} \right]
\]

for some nondegenerate \( T' \in \text{Sym}^*_n(Z) \) with \( 0 < r \leq n \) (i.e., \( \text{rank} T = \text{rank} T' = r \)), then

\[
A_{\kappa, \chi}(T) = 2^{\lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor - \lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor} \prod_{i=\lfloor r/2 \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} L(1 - 2\kappa + 2i, \chi^2)
\times \begin{cases} 
L(1 - \kappa + r/2, \left( \frac{\chi}{\kappa} \right)) \prod_{l \mid t^{r-1}} F_l(T'; \chi(l) t^{k-r}) & \text{if } r \text{ is even}, \\
\prod_{l \mid t^{r-1}} F_l(T'; \chi(l) t^{k-r}) & \text{if } r \text{ is odd},
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \left( \frac{\kappa}{r} \right) \) denotes the Kronecker symbol.

Remark 2.2. For the convention in the sequel, we make the convention for \( r = 0 \), that \( \mathcal{D}_S = \mathcal{D}_S = \mathcal{F}_S = 1 \), and \( F_l(S; X) = 1 \) for all primes \( l \). This enables us to regard (IIa) as (IIb) for \( r = 0 \).

Proof. The assertion (I) is well-known. The assertion (II) can be obtained by exploiting an idea of Shimura [S2] as follows: Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be the ring of adeles over \( \mathbb{Q} \); \( G_{2n}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Sp}(2n, \mathcal{A}) \), \( P_{2n}(\mathcal{A}) = M_{2n}(\mathcal{A}) N_{2n}(\mathcal{A}) \) a Levi decomposition of the Siegel parabolic subgroup, where

\[
M_{2n} := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & 0_n \\ 0_n & A^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \mid A \in \text{GL}(n) \right\}, \quad N_{2n} := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & B \\ 0_n & 1_n \end{bmatrix} \mid tB = B \right\},
\]

and \( \chi : \mathcal{A}^\times / \mathbb{Q}^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) the unitary Hecke character corresponding to \( \chi \), respectively. For each \( s \in \mathbb{C} \), let \( \text{Ind}_{G_{2n}(\mathcal{A})}^{G_{2n}(\mathcal{A})}(\chi \cdot |.) |^s |_{\mathcal{A}} \) denote the normalized smooth representation induced from the character of \( \text{GL}(n, \mathcal{A}) \simeq M_{2n}(\mathcal{A}) \) defined by \( A \mapsto \chi(\det A) |\det A|^{s}_{\mathcal{A}} \), where \( |.|_{\mathcal{A}} \) denotes the norm on \( \mathcal{A} \).
Choosing a suitable section \( \varphi^{(s)} \in \text{Ind}_{P_{2n}(\mathbb{A})}^{G_{2n}(\mathbb{A})} (\mathbf{X} \cdot |*|_\mathbb{A}^s) \), we define the Eisenstein series \( E(\varphi^{(s)}) \) on \( G_{2n}(\mathbb{A}) \) by

\[
E(\varphi^{(s)})(g) := \sum_{\gamma \in P_{2n} \setminus G_{2n}} \varphi^{(s)}(\gamma g),
\]

which converges absolutely for \( \Re(s) \gg 0 \), and \( E(\varphi^{(s-\frac{n+1}{2})}) \) evaluates

\[
2^{[n+1]/2}L(1 - \kappa, \chi)^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{[n/2]} L(1 - 2\kappa + 2i, \chi^2)^{-1} E_{\kappa, \chi}^{(n)}.
\]

Thus, the desired equation can be obtained from an explicit formula for Fourier coefficients of \( E(\varphi^{(s)}) \), more precisely, local Whittaker functions \( \text{Wh}_T(\varphi_v^{(s)}) \) defined on \( G_{2n}(\mathbb{Q}_v) \) for all places \( v \) of \( \mathbb{Q} \), where \( \mathbb{A} = \prod_v \mathbb{Q}_v \), \( \mathbf{X} = \prod_v \mathbf{X}_v \) and \( \varphi^{(s)} = \prod_v \varphi_v^{(s)} \). Whenever \( v \) is archimedean (i.e., \( v = \infty \)) or non-archimedean at which \( \chi_v \) is unramified (i.e., \( v \) is a prime \( l \) not dividing \( N \)), it has been proved by Shimura (cf. Equations 4.34-35K in [S1] and Proposition 7.2 in [S2]). Whenever \( v \) is a non-archimedean place at which \( \chi_v \) is ramified and \( \chi_v^2 \) is non-trivial, the local Whittaker function \( \text{Wh}_T(\varphi_v^{(s)}) \) is described by Takemori [T2] in which the argument relies upon a functional equation of \( \text{Wh}_T(\varphi_v^{(s)}) \) due to Ikeda [Ik] (generalizing [Sw]).

\[
\square
\]

3. GENERALIZED ATKIN \( U_p \)-OPERATOR

In this section, we recall the theory of Atkin’s \( U_p \)-operator and its generalization. For further details on the facts set out below, see, for instance, [AZ, Bö, Ta].

Let \( p \) be a prime number and \( N \) a positive integer, respectively. If \( p \mid N \), the double coset

\[
\Gamma_0(N)^{(1)} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{array} \right] \Gamma_0(N)^{(1)} = \bigsqcup_{s=0}^{p-1} \Gamma_0(N)^{(1)} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & s \\ 0 & p \end{array} \right]
\]

induces the following operator \( U_p = U_{p,1} \) acting on \( \mathcal{M}_\kappa(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)^{(1)} \): For each \( f \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)^{(1)} \), put

\[
(f \|_{\kappa} U_p)(z) := \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \left( f \|_{\kappa} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & s \\ 0 & p \end{array} \right] \right)(z) = p^{-1} \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} f \left( \frac{z + s}{p} \right) \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)^{(1)}.
\]

We easily see that it is written in terms of Fourier expansions as

\[
f(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a(m) q^m \mapsto (f \|_{\kappa} U_p)(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a(pm) q^m
\]

and this is still valid even if \( p \nmid N \), however it maps from \( \mathcal{M}_\kappa(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)^{(1)} \) to \( \mathcal{M}_\kappa(\Gamma_0(Np), \chi)^{(1)} \) in this case. We refer to the operator \( U_p \), regardless of whether \( p \mid N \) or not, as Atkin’s \( U_p \)-operator.

**Remark 3.1.** Obviously, \( U_p \) coincides with the usual Hecke operator \( T_p \) if \( p \mid N \). However, \( U_p \) is slightly different from \( T_p \) in general:

\[
\Gamma_0(N)^{(1)} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{array} \right] \Gamma_0(N)^{(1)} = \bigsqcup_{s=0}^{p-1} \Gamma_0(N)^{(1)} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & s \\ 0 & p \end{array} \right] \Gamma_0(N)^{(1)} \left[ \begin{array}{cc} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]
\]

if \( p \nmid N \).
Similarly, if \( n > 1 \) and \( p \mid N \), the following \( n \) double-coset operators at \( p \) are relevant for Siegel modular forms of genus \( n \) and level \( N \):

\[
U_{p,i} := \begin{cases} 
\Gamma_0(N)^{(n)} \text{ diag}(1, \cdots, 1, p_i, \cdots, p, p_i, \cdots, p) \Gamma_0(N)^{(n)} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n - 1, \\
\Gamma_0(N)^{(n)} \text{ diag}(1, \cdots, 1, p_i, \cdots, p) \Gamma_0(N)^{(n)} & \text{if } i = n.
\end{cases}
\]

We note that if \( N \) is divisible by \( p \), these operators \( U_{p,1}, \cdots, U_{p,n-1} \) and \( U_{p,n} \) generate the dilating Hecke algebra at \( p \) acting on \( \mathcal{M}_\kappa(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)^{(n)} \). In particular, we are interested in the operator \( U_{p,n} \) which plays a central role among them. Namely, we define the operator \( \mathcal{U}_{p,n} \) on \( \mathbb{C}_p[[\mathbf{q}]]^{(n)} \) by

\[
F = \sum_{T \geq 0} A_F(T) \mathbf{q}^T \mapsto F \|_\kappa \mathcal{U}_{p,n} = \sum_{T \geq 0} A_F(pT) \mathbf{q}^T.
\]

Indeed, we easily see that if \( F \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)^{(n)} \) with some positive integers \( \kappa, N \) and a Dirichlet character \( \chi \), then

\[
F \|_\kappa \mathcal{U}_{p,n} \in \begin{cases} 
\mathcal{M}_\kappa(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)^{(n)} & \text{if } p \mid N,
\mathcal{M}_\kappa(\Gamma_0(Np), \chi)^{(n)} & \text{if } p \nmid N
\end{cases}
\]

and the action of \( \mathcal{U}_{p,n} \) commutes with those of the Hecke operators defined outside \( Np \).

4. Semi-ordinary \( p \)-stabilization of Siegel Eisenstein series

Let us fix a positive odd integer \( M \) and a prime number \( p \) not dividing \( M \) once for all. In this section, for a pair of positive integers \( n, \kappa \) and a Dirichlet character \( \chi \) modulo \( M \) taken as in §2, we introduce a certain \( p \)-stabilization of the Siegel Eisenstein series \( E^{(n)}_\kappa, \chi \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa(\Gamma_0(M), \chi) \) such that it can be viewed as a natural generalization of the ordinary \( p \)-stabilization \( E^{(1)}_\kappa, \chi \mapsto (E^{(1)}_\kappa, \chi)^* \) (cf. Equations (1) and (1')). Moreover, we derive an explicit form of the associated Fourier expansion

\[
(E^{(n)}_\kappa, \chi)^*(Z) = \sum_{T \in \text{Sym}_n^*(Z), T \geq 0} A^*_\kappa, \chi(T) \mathbf{q}^T,
\]

which is expressed in a similar fashion to (2), and concludes its \( p \)-adic interpolation problem.

To begin with, we introduce the following two polynomials in \( X \) and \( Y \):

\[
\mathcal{P}_p^{(n)}(X, Y) := (1 - p^n XY) \prod_{i=1}^{[n/2]} (1 - p^{2n-2i+1} X^2 Y),
\]

\[
\mathcal{R}_p^{(n)}(X, Y) := \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - p^{j(2n-j+1)/2} X^j Y).
\]

In addition, let us denote by \( \mathcal{R}_p^{(n)}(X, Y) \) the reflected polynomial of \( \mathcal{R}_p^{(n)}(X, Y) \) with respect to \( Y \), that is,

\[
\mathcal{R}_p^{(n)}(X, Y) := Y^n \mathcal{R}_p^{(n)}(X, Y^{-1}) = \prod_{j=1}^n (Y - p^{j(2n-j+1)/2} X^j).
\]

**Remark 4.1.** Whenever \( n = 1 \) and \( 2 \), a straightforward calculation yields

\[
\begin{cases}
\mathcal{P}_p^{(1)}(X, Y) = \mathcal{R}_p^{(1)}(X, Y) = 1 - pXY,
\mathcal{P}_p^{(2)}(X, Y) = \mathcal{R}_p^{(2)}(X, Y) = (1 - p^2 XY)(1 - p^3 X^2 Y).
\end{cases}
\]
We note that if \( n > 2 \), then \( \mathcal{P}_p^{(n)}(X, Y) \neq \mathcal{R}_p^{(n)}(X, Y) \), however, \( \mathcal{P}_p^{(n)}(X, 1) \) divides \( \mathcal{R}_p^{(n)}(X, 1) \) in general. For readers’ convenience, we reveal the origins of these two polynomials here: Obviously, the former \( \mathcal{P}_p^{(n)}(X, Y) \) is relevant to the local factor at \( p \) of the Fourier coefficient \( A_{\kappa, \chi}(0_n) \) described in Lemma 2.1 (IIa):

\[
\mathcal{P}_p^{(n)}(\chi(p) p^{\kappa-n-1}, 1) = (1 - \chi(p) p^{\kappa-1}) \prod_{i=1}^{[n/2]} (1 - \chi^2(p) p^{2\kappa-2i-1}).
\]

The latter \( \mathcal{R}_p^{(n)}(X, Y) \) has been introduced by Kitaoka [Ki2] and Böcherer-Sato [BS] to describe the denominator of the formal power series \( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} F_p(p^m S; X) Y^m \) for each nondegenerate \( S \in \text{Sym}^*_{n}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \) (cf. Equation (11) below).

Now, we introduce a \( p \)-stabilization of the Siegel Eisenstein series \( E_{\kappa, \chi}^{(n)}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{M}_n(\Gamma_0(M), \chi) \) in terms of a linear combination of \( (U_{p,n})^i = U_{p,n} \circ \cdots \circ U_{p,n} \) for \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, n \) as follows:

**Theorem 4.2.** For a pair of \( n, \kappa \) and \( \chi \) taken as above, put

\[
(E_{\kappa, \chi}^{(n)}\chi)^* := \frac{\mathcal{P}_p^{(n)}(\chi(p) p^{\kappa-n-1}, 1)}{\mathcal{R}_p^{(n)}(\chi(p) p^{\kappa-n-1}, 1)} \cdot E_{\kappa, \chi}^{(n)} \|_{\kappa} \mathcal{R}_p^{(n)}(\chi(p) p^{\kappa-n-1}, U_{p,n}).
\]

Then we have

(I) \( (E_{\kappa, \chi}^{(n)}\chi)^* \in \mathcal{M}_n(\Gamma_0(M\p), \chi) \) and it is a Hecke eigenform such that all the eigenvalues outside \( M\p \) agree with those of \( E_{\kappa, \chi}^{(n)}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{M}_n(\Gamma_0(M), \chi) \).

(II) If \( 0 \leq T \in \text{Sym}^*_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) \) is taken of the form

\[
T = \left[ \begin{array}{c} T' \\ \hline 0_{n-r} \end{array} \right]
\]

for some nondegenerate \( T' \in \text{Sym}^*_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) \) with \( 0 \leq r \leq n \), then the \( T \)-th Fourier coefficient of \( (E_{\kappa, \chi}^{(n)}\chi)^* \) is taken of the following form:

\[
A_{\kappa, \chi}^*(T) = 2^{(r+1)/2-[(n+1)/2]} \prod_{i=[r/2]+1}^{[n/2]} L[p](1 - 2\kappa + 2i, \chi^2) \times \begin{cases} L[p](1 - \kappa + r/2, \left(\frac{2\pi i}{p}\right) \chi) \prod_{l|T'} F_l(T'; \chi(l) l^{\kappa-r-1}) & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ \prod_{l|\mathcal{D}_{T'}, l \neq p} F_l(T'; \chi(l) l^{\kappa-r-1}) & \text{if } r \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}
\]

**Therefore we have** \( (E_{\kappa, \chi}^{(n)}\chi)^* \|_{\kappa} U_{p,n} = (E_{\kappa, \chi}^{(n)}\chi)^* \).

The preceding Theorem 4.2 totally insists that the assignment \( E_{\kappa, \chi}^{(n)}(\cdot) \rightarrow (E_{\kappa, \chi}^{(n)}\chi)^* \) can be regarded as a \( p \)-stabilization which generalizes the ordinary \( p \)-stabilization of \( E_{\kappa, \chi}^{(1)}(\cdot) \) explained in §1. Whenever \( n = 2 \), Skinner-Urban [SU] has already dealt with a similar type of \( p \)-stabilization for some Siegel modular forms so that the associated eigenvalue of \( U_{p,2} \) is a \( p \)-adic unit. Accordingly, in the same context, we call \( (E_{\kappa, \chi}^{(n)}\chi)^* \) semi-ordinary at \( p \) if \( n \geq 2 \).
Remark 4.3. It should be mentioned that if \( n > 1, (E_{n, \chi}^{(n)})^* \) may not satisfy the ordinary condition at \( p \) in the sense of Hida (cf. [H2, H3]). This disparity is inevitable at least for Siegel Eisenstein series in general. For instance, in the case where \( M = 1 \), because of the shape of Satake parameters at \( p \), there is no way to produce \( E_{n}^{(n)} = E_{n, \text{triv}}^{(n)} \) to a Hecke eigenform of level \( p \) such that the associated eigenvalues of \( U_{p,1}, \ldots, U_{p,n-1} \) and \( U_{p,n} \) are \( p \)-adic units simultaneously. However, as mentioned in [SU], it turns out that the semi-ordinary condition concerning only on the eigenvalue of \( U_{p,n} \) is sufficient to adapt Hida’s ordinary theory with some modification. (See also [Pi, BPS].)

Proof of Theorem 4.2. The assertion (I) is obvious from Lemma 2.1 (I) and the properties of \( U_{p,n} \) explained in §3. Since \( U_{p,n} \) does not effect on the Fourier coefficient \( A_{n, \chi}(0_n) \), the assertion (II) for \( r = 0 \) follows immediately from Lemma 2.1 (IIa), Equations (8) and (9). Hereinafter, we suppose that \( r > 0 \). It follows by the definition of \( \mathcal{R}_p^{(n)}(X,Y) \) (cf. Equation (7)) that

\[
\bar{\mathcal{R}}_p^{(n)}(X,Y) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^ms_m \left\{ \{ p^{j(2n-j+1)/2}X^j \mid 1 \leq j \leq n \} \right\} Y^{n-m},
\]

where \( s_m(\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}) \) denotes the \( m \)-th elementary symmetric polynomial in \( X_1, \ldots, X_n \). Thus, by Lemma 2.1 (IIb) and Equation (9), we have

\[
A_{n, \chi}^*(T) = \mathcal{P}_p^{(n)}(\chi(p)p^{n-1}, 1) \cdot \mathcal{R}_p^{(n)}(\chi(p)p^{n-1}, 1) \cdot 2^{[r+1/2]-[(n+1)/2]} \prod_{i=[r/2]+1}^{[n/2]} L(1-2\kappa+2i, \chi^2) \times \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^ms_m \left\{ \{ \chi^j(p)p^{j(2n-j+1)/2+j(\kappa-n-1)} \mid 1 \leq j \leq n \} \right\} F_p(p^{n-m}T'; \chi(p)p^{\kappa-r-1}) \times \begin{cases} L(1-\kappa+r/2, \left(\frac{p-1}{p}\right) \chi) \prod_{l|T, l\neq p} F_l(T'; \chi(l)l^{\kappa-r-1}) & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ \prod_{l|T, l\neq p} F_l(T'; \chi(l)l^{\kappa-r-1}) & \text{if } r \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}
\]

Here we note that

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{R}_p^{(n)}(X,Y) &= \mathcal{R}_p^{(r)}(p^{n-r}X,Y) \prod_{j=r+1}^{n} (1-p^{j(2n-j+1)/2}X^jY), \\
\mathcal{P}_p^{(n)}(X, 1) &= \mathcal{P}_p^{(r)}(p^{n-r}X, 1) \prod_{i=[r/2]+1}^{[n/2]} (1-p^{2n-2i+1}X^2).
\end{align*}
\]

Thus, to prove the assertion (II), it suffices to show that the following equation holds valid for each nondegenerate \( T' \in \text{Sym}^*_p(\mathbb{Z}_p) \) with \( 0 < r \leq n \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{m=0}^{r} (-1)^ms_m \left\{ \{ p^{j(2r-j+1)/2}X^j \mid 1 \leq j \leq r \} \right\} F_p(p^{r-m}T'; X) &= \mathcal{R}_p^{(r)}(X, 1) \times \begin{cases} (1-\xi_p((-1)^{r/2} \det T')^{r/2}X) & \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\ 1 & \text{if } r \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]
admits the explicit form (cf. [K1]). Thus a simple calculation yields that

$$r > \frac{2}{5}$$

Whenever $r$.

Theorem 1 in [Ki2] (resp. Theorem 6 in [BS]) states that for each nondegenerate $T' \in \text{Sym}^*_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, the equation

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} F_p(p^m T'; X) Y^m = \frac{S_p(T'; X, Y)}{(1 - Y) R_p(T') (X, Y)}$$

holds for some polynomial $S_p(T'; X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ if $p \neq 2$ (resp. $p = 2$). Since the preceding equation yields

$$\sum_{m=0}^{r} (-1)^m s_m \left( \{ p^{j(2r-j+1)/2} X^j \mid 1 \leq j \leq r \} \right) F_p(p^{-m} T', X) = S_p(T'; X, 1),$$

we may interpret Equation (10) as

$$S_p(T'; X, 1) = \frac{R_p(R_p'(X, 1))}{F_p'(X, 1)} \times \begin{cases} 
1 - \xi_p((-1)^{r/2} \det T') p^{r/2} X & \text{if } r \text{ is even}, \\
1 & \text{if } r \text{ is odd}.
\end{cases}$$

Whenever $r = 1$, we easily see that $F_p(t; X) = \sum_{i=0}^{\text{val}_p(t)} (pX)^i$ for each $t \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus \{0\}$. Thus, we have

$$F_p(pt; X) - pX F_p(t; X) = 1 = \frac{R_p(1)}{F_p'(1)}$$

(cf. Remark 4.1).

Whenever $r > 1$, for a given $T$, let $i(T)$ denote the least integer $m$ such that $p^m T^{-1} \in \text{Sym}^*_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. It is known that if $r = 2$, then for each nondegenerate $T \in \text{Sym}^*_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, the polynomial $F_p(T; X)$ admits the explicit form

$$F_p(T; X) = \sum_{i=0}^{i(T)} (p^2 X)^i \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\text{val}_p(i)} (p^3 X^2)^j - \xi_p(- \det T) pX \sum_{j=0}^{\text{val}_p(i) - 1} (p^3 X^2)^j \right\}$$

(cf. [K1]). Thus a simple calculation yields that

$$F_p(p^2 T; X) - (p^2 X + p^3 X^2) F_p(pt; X) + p^5 X^3 F_p(T; X)$$

$$= 1 - \xi_p(- \det T) pX = \frac{R_p(2)}{F_p'(2)} \times (1 - \xi_p(- \det T) pX),$$

and hence, Equation (12) also holds for $r = 2$. Now, we suppose that $r > 2$. We note that every nondegenerate $T \in \text{Sym}^*_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is equivalent, over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, to a canonical form

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & T_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
for some \( T_1 \in \text{Sym}_2^<(\mathbb{Z}_p) \) and \( T_2 \in \text{Sym}_{r-2}^<(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cap \text{GL}(r-2, \mathbb{Q}_p) \). It follows from Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 in [K1] that

\[
\frac{S_p(T; X, 1)}{1 - \xi_p((-1)^{r/2} \det T)p^{r/2}X} = \frac{S_p(T; p^2X, 1)}{1 - \xi_p((-1)^{r/2-1} \det T_2)p^{r/2+1}X} \times \frac{(1 - p^{(r-1)(r+2)/2}X^{r-1})(1 - p^{r(r+1)/2}X^r)}{1 - p^{r+1}X^2}
\]

if \( r \) is even, and

\[
S_p(T; X, 1) = S_p(T; p^2X, 1) \cdot \frac{(1 - p^{(r-1)(r+2)/2}X^{r-1})(1 - p^{r(r+1)/2}X^r)}{1 - p^{r+2}X^2}
\]

if \( r \) is odd. (See also [K2, §3]) Thus, it is proved by induction on \( r \) that Equation (12) (and thus, (10)) holds in general. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

As a straightforward conclusion of Theorem 4.2 above, we have

**Theorem 4.4.** Let \( \chi \) be a Dirichlet character modulo \( M \) as above and \( \omega^a \) a power of the Teichmüller character with \( 0 \leq a < \varphi(p) \) \(^6\), respectively. For each \( n \geq 1 \), there exists a formal Fourier expansion

\[
E_{\chi, \omega}^{(n)}(X) = \sum_{T \in \text{Sym}_n^<(\mathbb{Z})} A_{\chi, \omega}(T; X) q^{T} \in \mathcal{L}[[q]]^{(n)},
\]

where \( \mathcal{L} \) is the field of fractions of \( \Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p[\chi][[X]] \), such that for each positive integer \( \kappa > n + 1 \) with \( \chi(-1) = (-1)^\kappa \) and \( \kappa \equiv a \mod \varphi(p) \), we have

\[
E_{\chi, \omega}^{(n)}((1 + p)^\kappa - 1) = (E_{\varphi, \chi}^{(n)})^* \in \mathcal{M}_\kappa(\Gamma_0(Mp), \chi)^{(n)}.
\]

Moreover, put

\[
\mathcal{B}^{(n)}(X) := \prod_{i=1}^{[n/2]} \{(1 + p)^{-2i}(1 + X)^2 - 1\} \prod_{j=0}^{[n/2]} \{(1 + p)^{-j}(1 + X) - 1\}
\]

\[
= X \prod_{i=1}^{[n/2]} \{(1 + p)^{-i}(1 + X) - 1\}^2 \cdot \{(1 + p)^{-i}(1 + X) + 1\}.
\]

Then \( \mathcal{E}_{\chi, \omega}^{(n)}(X) := \mathcal{B}^{(n)}(X) \cdot \mathcal{E}_{\chi, \omega}^{(n)}(X) \) belongs to \( \Lambda[[q]]^{(n)} \).

**Proof.** As is well-known by Deligne-Ribet [DR] (generalizing the previous work of Kubota-Leopoldt), associated to a quadratic Dirichlet character \( \xi \), a Dirichlet character \( \chi \) taken as above, and a power of the Teichmüller character \( \omega^a \) with \( 0 \leq a < \varphi(p) \), there exists \( \Phi(\xi \chi \omega^a; X) \in \Lambda \) such that for each positive integer \( k > 1 \), we have

\[
\Phi(\xi \chi \omega^a; (1 + p)^k - 1) = \begin{cases} 
(1 + p)^k - 1 \cdot L(p)(1 - k, \omega^{-k}) & \text{if } \xi \chi \omega^a \text{ is trivial,} \\
L(p)(1 - k, \xi \chi \omega^a)^{-k} & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Accordingly, put

\[
\Psi(\xi \chi \omega^a; X) := \begin{cases} 
X^{-1}\Phi(\xi \chi \omega^a; X) & \text{if } \xi \chi \omega^a \text{ is trivial,} \\
\Phi(\xi \chi \omega^a; X) & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

We easily see that \( \Psi(\xi \chi \omega^a; (1 + p)^k - 1) = L(p)(1 - k, \xi \chi \omega^a)^{-k} \) for each \( k > 1 \). More generally, it turns out that if \( \varepsilon : 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}^\times \) is a character of finite order, then

\[
\Psi(\xi \chi \omega^a; \varepsilon(1 + p)(1 + p)^k - 1) = L(p)(1 - k, \xi \chi \omega^a)^{-k}\varepsilon
\]

\(^6\)Here \( \varphi \) denotes Euler’s totient function.
for any \( k > 1 \). On the other hand, for each \( x \in 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p \), put \( s(x) := \log_p(x)/\log_p(1 + p) \), where \( \log_p \) is the \( p \)-adic logarithm function in the sense of Iwasawa, and thus we have \( s : 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \).

Then for each \( T = \left[ \begin{array}{c} T' \\ 0_{n-r} \end{array} \right] \in \text{Sym}_n^* (\mathbb{Z}) \) with \( T' \in \text{Sym}_n^* (\mathbb{Z}) \cap \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{Q}) \) and \( 0 \leq r \leq n \), we define \( A_{\chi^\epsilon}(T; X) \in \mathcal{L} \) as follows:

\[
\begin{aligned}
A_{\chi^\epsilon}(T; X) &= 2^{(r+1)/2 - [(n+1)/2]} \prod_{i=[r/2]+1}^{[n/2]} \Psi(\chi\omega^{2i-2}; (1 + p)^{-2i}(1 + X)^2 - 1) \\
&\quad \times \prod_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_r^*} F_l(T'; \chi\omega^a(l) l^{-r-1}(1 + X)^{s(l)}) \quad \text{if } r \text{ is even,} \\
&\quad \prod_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_r^*} F_l(T'; \chi\omega^a(l) l^{-r-1}(1 + X)^{s(l)}) \quad \text{if } r \text{ is odd.}
\end{aligned}
\]

It follows from Theorem 4.2 (II) that if \( \kappa > n + 1 \) and further if \( \kappa \equiv a \pmod{\varphi(p)} \) (or equivalently, \( \omega^{a-\kappa} \) is trivial), then

\[
A_{\chi^\epsilon}(T; (1 + p)^\kappa - 1) = A_{\kappa, \chi}^*(T).
\]

Thus we obtain the former assertion. Moreover, it follows directly from Equation (14) that \( \mathcal{B}^{(n)}(X) \cdot A_{\chi^\epsilon}(T; X) \in \Lambda \) for all \( T \). Therefore \( \mathcal{E}^{(n)}_{\chi^\epsilon}(X) \in \Lambda[[q]]^{(n)} \). This completes the proof.

\[\Box\]

5. Semi-ordinary \( \Lambda \)-adic Siegel Eisenstein series

In this section, we fix an odd prime number \( p \). We show that for a Dirichlet character \( \chi \) modulo a positive odd integer \( M \) with \( p \nmid M \) and an integer \( a \) with \( 0 \leq a < p - 1 \), the formal Fourier expansion \( \mathcal{E}^{(n)}_{\chi}(X) \) (resp. \( \mathcal{E}^{(n)}_{\chi^\epsilon}(X) \)) with coefficients in \( \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Q}_p(\chi)[[X]] \) (resp. \( \Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p(\chi)[[X]] \)) defined in Theorem 4.4, give rise to classical Siegel modular forms via the specialization at \( X = \varepsilon(1+p)(1+p)^\kappa - 1 \), where \( \kappa \) is a positive integer sufficiently large and \( \varepsilon : 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \) is a character of finite order.

First, from Lemma 2.1 (II), we deduce the following:

**Theorem 5.1.** If \( \kappa \) is a positive integer with \( \kappa > n + 1 \) and further if \( \varepsilon : 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \) is a character having exact order \( p^m \) for some nonnegative integer \( m \), then

\[
\mathcal{E}^{(n)}_{\chi}(\varepsilon(1+p)(1+p)^\kappa - 1) = E^{(n)}_{\kappa, \chi\omega^{a-\kappa}\varepsilon}(\mathcal{M}_0(Mp^{m+1}), \chi\omega^{a-\kappa}\varepsilon)^{(n)}
\]

as long as \( \omega^{2a - 2\kappa}\varepsilon^2 \) is non-trivial.

**Proof.** For each \( T = \left[ \begin{array}{c} T' \\
0_{n-r} \end{array} \right] \in \text{Sym}_n^* (\mathbb{Z}) \) with \( T' \in \text{Sym}_n^* (\mathbb{Z}) \cap \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{Q}) \) and \( 0 \leq r \leq n \), the equation (14) is specialized as

\[
A_{\chi^\epsilon}(T; \varepsilon(1+p)(1+p)^\kappa - 1) = 2^{[(r+1)/2 - [(n+1)/2]} \prod_{i=[r/2]+1}^{[n/2]} L(p)(1 - 2\kappa + 2i, \chi\omega^{2a - 2\kappa}\varepsilon^2)
\]
indeed classical, that is, Theorem 5.4. can be viewed as a generalization of Fact 1.1: 5.1 and 5.2 in the case where $p$ and further if $\varepsilon > n$ (cf. Théorème 5.3.1 in [ibid]). Thus we obtain the assertion.

Remark 5.3. If $\omega$ is a Hecke eigenform whose eigenvalue of weight $\kappa$, tame level $M$ and Iwahori level $p^{m+1}$ with character $\chi\omega^{a-\kappa\varepsilon}$ (for the precise definition, see [SU, Pi]). In addition, the explicit formula for Fourier coefficients of $\chi\omega_{\kappa, \chi\omega}(e(1+p)/(1+p)^{\kappa} - 1)$ gives rise to an overconvergent $p$-adic Siegel modular form of weight $\kappa$, tame level $M$ and Iwahori level $p^{m+1}$ with character $\chi\omega^{a-\kappa\varepsilon}$ (for the precise definition, see [SU, Pi]). In addition, the explicit formula for Fourier coefficients of $\chi\omega_{\kappa, \chi\omega}(e(1+p)/(1+p)^{\kappa} - 1)$ also yields that it is an eigenform of $U_{p,n}$ whose eigenvalue is 1. Therefore, if $\kappa$ satisfies the condition $\kappa > n(n+1)/2$ (cf. Hypothèse 4.5.1 in [BPS]), then the above-mentioned overconvergent form is indeed classical, that is,

$$E_{\chi\omega}(e(1+p)/(1+p)^{\kappa} - 1) \in \mathcal{M}_{\kappa}(\Gamma_0(Mp^{m+1}), \chi\omega^{a-\kappa\varepsilon})(n)$$

(cf. Théorème 5.3.1 in [ibid]). Thus we obtain the assertion. □

Remark 5.3. On the contrary to Theorem 4.4, we may not deduce similar statements to Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 in the case where $p = 2$.

Now, as a summary of Theorems 4.4, 5.1 and 5.2 above, we have the following statement which can be viewed as a generalization of Fact 1.1:

Theorem 5.4. Let $\chi$ be a Dirichlet character modulo $M$ taken as above. For each integer $a$ with $0 \leq a < p-1$, there exists a formal Fourier expansion $E_{\chi\omega_{\kappa, \chi\omega}}(X) \in \Lambda[[q]](n)$ such that if $\kappa > n(n+1)/2$ and further if $\varepsilon : 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathcal{O}_p^*$ is a character having exact order $p^m$ with some $m \geq 0$, then

$$E_{\chi\omega}(e(1+p)/(1+p)^{\kappa} - 1) \in \mathcal{M}_{\kappa}(\Gamma_0(Mp^{m+1}), \chi\omega^{a-\kappa\varepsilon})(n)$$

is a Hecke eigenform whose eigenvalue of $U_{p,n}$ is 1. In particular,

$$E_{\chi\omega_{\kappa, \chi\omega}}(e(1+p)/(1+p)^{\kappa} - 1) = C_{\kappa, \varepsilon}^{(n)} \times \begin{cases} \langle E_{\kappa, \chi}\rangle^* & \text{if } \omega^{a-\kappa\varepsilon} \text{ is trivial}, \\ E_{\kappa, \chi\omega^{a-\kappa\varepsilon}}^{(n)} & \text{if } \omega^{2a-2\kappa\varepsilon^2} \text{ is non-trivial}, \end{cases}$$

where $C_{\kappa, \varepsilon}^{(n)} = B^{(n)}(e(1+p)/(1+p)^{\kappa} - 1)$. 

Thus, if $\omega^{2a-2\kappa\varepsilon^2}$ is non-trivial, then Lemma 2.1 (II) yields

$$A_{\chi\omega_{\kappa}}(T; \varepsilon(1+p)/(1+p)^{\kappa} - 1) = A_{\kappa, \chi\omega_{\kappa}}(T).$$

This completes the proof. □

On the other hand, by exploiting the vertical control theorem for $p$-adic Siegel modular forms in the sense of Hida [H2], we may deduce a slight weaker version of the preceding theorem as follows:

Theorem 5.2. If $\kappa$ is a positive integer with $\kappa > n(n+1)/2$, and further if $\varepsilon : 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathcal{O}_p^*$ is a character having exact order $p^m$ for some nonnegative integer $m$, then

$$E_{\chi\omega_{\kappa}}^{(n)}(e(1+p)/(1+p)^{\kappa} - 1) \in \mathcal{M}_{\kappa}(\Gamma_0(Mp^{m+1}), \chi\omega^{a-\kappa\varepsilon})(n)$$

as long as $\omega^{a-\kappa\varepsilon}$ is non-trivial.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4.4 that $E_{\chi\omega_{\kappa}}^{(n)}((1+p)^{\kappa} - 1) \in \mathcal{M}_{\kappa}(\Gamma_0(Mp), \chi)(n)$ for infinitely many integers $\kappa'$ with $\kappa' > n+1$ and $\kappa' \equiv a \pmod{p-1}$. Thus, Théorème 1.1 in [Pi] (generalizing [H2] in more general settings) turns out that if an integer $\kappa$ is sufficiently large, then the specialization of $E_{\chi\omega_{\kappa}}(X)$ at $X = e(1+p)/(1+p)^{\kappa} - 1$ gives rise to an overconvergent $p$-adic Siegel modular form of weight $\kappa$, tame level $M$ and Iwahori level $p^{m+1}$ with character $\chi\omega^{a-\kappa\varepsilon}$ (for the precise definition, see [SU, Pi]). In addition, the explicit formula for Fourier coefficients of $E_{\chi\omega_{\kappa}}(e(1+p)/(1+p)^{\kappa} - 1)$ also yields that it is an eigenform of $U_{p,n}$ whose eigenvalue is 1. Therefore, if $\kappa$ satisfies the condition $\kappa > n(n+1)/2$ (cf. Hypothèse 4.5.1 in [BPS]), then the above-mentioned overconvergent form is indeed classical, that is,

$$E_{\chi\omega_{\kappa}}(e(1+p)/(1+p)^{\kappa} - 1) \in \mathcal{M}_{\kappa}(\Gamma_0(Mp^{m+1}), \chi\omega^{a-\kappa\varepsilon})(n)$$

(cf. Théorème 5.3.1 in [ibid]). Thus we obtain the assertion. □
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