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Abstract. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a holomorphic foliation with ample canonical bundle on a smooth projective surface $X$. We obtain an upper bound on the order of its automorphism group which depends only on $K_F^2$ and $K_F K_X$, provided this group is finite. Here, $K_F$ and $K_X$ are the canonical bundles of $\mathcal{F}$ and $X$, respectively.

1. Introduction

A finiteness theorem for the automorphism group of a smooth curve of genus at least 2 goes back to the 19th century with H. A. Schwarz, F. Klein and H. Poincaré. The order of this group was studied by A. Hurwitz who obtained in [12] the following well–known bound

$$|\text{Aut}(C)| \leq 42 \deg(K_C),$$

where $K_C$ is the canonical bundle of the curve $C$. In arbitrary dimension, the finiteness of the automorphism group, or more generally, of the group of birational self-maps was proved by A. Andreotti in [1] for varieties of general type, and a bound in the above sense, was obtained in the 2-dimensional case. He showed that there exists a universal function $f$ so that

$$|\text{Aut}(X)| \leq f(c_2),$$

where $c_2$ is the second Chern class of the tangent bundle of the smooth surface $X$. The function $f$ given by Andreotti is of exponential type, and since then this estimate has been improved in [11, 5, 20, 21] and extended for higher dimensions by many others in [10, 5, 18, 22, 19, 23, 9], placed in chronological order.

In the present paper, we are interested in the subgroup of the automorphism group, or even of the group of birational self–maps, that preserves a holomorphic foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on a smooth projective surface $X$. One of the first progress in this direction has been made by J. V. Pereira and P. F. Sanchez in [16]. They showed that the group $\text{Bir}(\mathcal{F})$ of birational self-maps preserving a foliation of general type on a projective surface is finite. Therefore, we can naturally to raise the question if there exists an upper bound for the order of $\text{Bir}(\mathcal{F})$ in the sense of the previous one.

One purpose of this work is to answer this question for a particular class of general type foliations, namely, those whose the canonical bundle $K_G$ of a reduced model $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is ample, see Corollary [4.4]. This follows as consequence of Theorem 1.2 our main result, where we obtain an explicit upper bound on the order of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$ in which depends only on intersections of the canonical bundles of $\mathcal{F}$ and $X$. More precisely, this bound is a function of $K_F^2$ and $K_F K_X$.

In our theorem, a finiteness hypothesis on $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$ is necessary. We point out that foliations on projective surfaces having infinite automorphism group are very rare and they have been classified, up to birational maps, in [4] by S. Cantat and C. Favre. As a consequence of this classification, in Proposition 2.2 we classify the foliations on projective surfaces having infinite automorphism group and ample canonical bundle.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some basic concepts on codimension one foliations as well their canonical bundles. In Section 3 we obtain a polynomial upper bound on the order of the automorphism group of a codimension one $k$-web of degree $d$ on $\mathbb{P}^N$ in terms of $k, d$ and $N$, and introduce their dual pairs. Finally, in Section 4 we prove our main results.
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2. Codimension One Foliations

2.1. Basic Definitions. Let $X$ be a smooth complex variety of dimension $n > 1$. A codimension one foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on $X$, is given by an open covering $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$ of $X$ and 1-forms $\omega_i \in \Omega^1_X(U_i)$ subject to the conditions:

1. For each non-empty intersection $U_i \cap U_j$ there exists a function $g_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}^*_U$ such that $\omega_i = g_{ij} \omega_j$;
2. For every $i$ the zero set of $\omega_i$ has codimension at least two;
3. For every $i$, $\omega_i \wedge d\omega_i = 0$.

The 1-forms $\{\omega_i\}$ patch together to form a global section $\omega = \{\omega_i\} \in H^0(X, \Omega^1_X \otimes \mathcal{L})$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is the line bundle over $X$ determined by the cocycle $\{g_{ij}\}$. The singular set of $\mathcal{F}$, denoted by $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$, is the zero set of the twisted 1-form $\omega$.

Let $TX$ be the tangent sheaf of $X$. The tangent sheaf of $\mathcal{F}$, induced by a twisted 1-form $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega^1_X \otimes \mathcal{L})$, is defined on each open set $U \subset X$ by

$$T_\mathcal{F}(U) = \{v \in TX(U); i_v \omega = 0\}.$$ 

The dual of $T_\mathcal{F}$ is the cotangent sheaf of $\mathcal{F}$ and will be denoted by $T^*_\mathcal{F}$. The determinant of $T^*_\mathcal{F}$, i.e. $(\wedge^{n-1} T^*_\mathcal{F})^*$, is called the canonical bundle of $\mathcal{F}$ and is denoted by $K_\mathcal{F}$. If $n = 2$, we can use vector fields instead 1-forms. The foliation can be given by $v_i \in TX(U_i)$ with codimension two zero set and satisfying $v_i = f_{ij} v_j$, where $f_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}^*_U$.

The condition (3) together with Frobenius Theorem imply that for every point in the complement of $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$, there exists a unique germ of smooth codimension one hypersurface $V$ invariant by $\mathcal{F}$, i.e., satisfying $i_v^*(\omega) = 0$ where $i : V \to X$ is the inclusion. Analytic continuation of these subvarieties describes the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$. As usual, we will make abuse of notation by writing $(T_\mathcal{F})_x$ for the tangent space to the leaf passing through $x$ or for the stalk of the sheaf $T_\mathcal{F}$ at $x$.

We say that $\varphi : X \to X$ preserves $\mathcal{F}$ if it sends leaves on leaves, that is if $\varphi^* \omega$ defines the same foliation $\mathcal{F}$. Through this work we want to analyze the order of the groups $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\text{Bir}(\mathcal{F})$, which are respectively defined as the maximal subgroup of automorphisms $\text{Aut}(X)$ and of birational self-maps $\text{Bir}(X)$ that preserve $\mathcal{F}$. It has been proved by J. V. Pereira and P. Sanchez in [16] the following finiteness theorem for general type foliations on surfaces.

**Theorem 2.1.** If $\mathcal{F}$ is a foliation of general type on a smooth projective surface then $\text{Bir}(\mathcal{F})$ is finite.

A foliation on a surface $X$ is said of general type if the Kodaira dimension of $\mathcal{F}$, Kod($\mathcal{F}$), is equal to 2. The concept of Kodaira dimension for holomorphic foliations has been introduced independently by L. G. Mendes and M. McQuillan. For more information on the subject see [2]. For convenience to the reader we will recall it in the next few lines.

An isolated singularity $x$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is called reduced if the eigenvalues of the linear part $Dv(x)$ are not both zero and their quotient, when defined, is not a positive rational number. A foliation is called reduced if all the singularities are reduced. A remarkable theorem by A. Seidenberg (see [17]) says that there exists a sequence of blowing-ups $\pi: \tilde{X} \to X$ over singularities of $\mathcal{F}$ such that the induced foliation $\pi^*(\mathcal{F})$ in $\tilde{X}$ has only reduced singularities. Any reduced foliation birationally equivalent to $\mathcal{F}$ is called reduced model of $\mathcal{F}$.

We could define the Kodaira dimension of $\mathcal{F}$ as the Kodaira dimension of the line bundle $K_\mathcal{F}$, for short Kod($K_\mathcal{F}$). But this is not a birational invariant for $\mathcal{F}$. For example, the radial foliation (lines passing through a point) on $\mathbb{P}^2$ has canonical bundle $K_\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}(d-1)$ but a suitable birational transformation $\mathbb{P}^2 \dasharrow \mathbb{P}^2$ defined by polynomials of high degree transforms it into a foliation $\mathcal{G}$ with canonical bundle $K_\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{O}(d-1)$. Fortunately, Kod($K_\mathcal{F}$) is a birational invariant.
for foliations having only reduced singularities. So if \( \mathcal{F} \) is a foliation on the complex surface \( X \), and \( \mathcal{G} \) is any reduced model of \( \mathcal{F} \), the Kodaira dimension of \( \mathcal{F} \) is defined as \( \text{Kod}(\mathcal{G}) \).

### 2.2. Foliations with \( K_X \) ample on surfaces.

One of the main propose of this paper is to give a universal bound for the order of \( \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}) \) by supposing this group is finite and also that \( K_X \) is ample. Foliations having infinite automorphism group were classified up to birational maps by S. Cantat and C. Favre in [4] in the 2-dimensional case. In what follows we will make use of their ideas to classify the foliations on projective surfaces having infinite automorphism group and ample canonical bundle.

Let \( X \) be a projective surface and \( f : X \to X \) an automorphism. Fix an ample line bundle \( \mathcal{L} \) on \( X \). The degree of \( f \) with respect to \( \mathcal{L} \) is defined as

\[
\deg_{\mathcal{L}}(f) = f^* \mathcal{L} \cdot \mathcal{L}.
\]

It is known that the asymptotic behavior of the sequence \( \deg_{\mathcal{L}}(f^n) \) is independent of the chosen line bundle \( \mathcal{L} \). See for example the proof of Proposition 3.1 in [3]. Furthermore, this sequence either can be bounded or can have growth of the following types: linear, quadratic or exponential.

As we will see below, the case in which this sequence is bounded is particularly interesting for us. Under this hypothesis the automorphism \( f \) is the time-1 map associated to a flow of a global vector field. For detail see [1] p. 7] and references therein.

Now let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a foliation on \( X \) with \( K_X \) ample and infinite group \( \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}) \). By [4] Theorem 1.1] there exists an element \( f \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}) \) with infinite order. Since \( K_X \) is ample and \( f^*(K_X) = K_X \) then the sequence of degrees \( \deg_{K_X}(f^n) \) is bounded. Hence \( f \) is the time-1 flow of a nontrivial global vector field \( v \).

This implies that \( \mathcal{F} \) is preserved by a flow of a global vector field \( v \in H^0(X, TX) \). Indeed, let \( \text{Aut}_0(X) = \text{Aut}(X) \cap \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}) \) be the connected component of the identity of the complex Lie group \( \text{Aut}(X) \) and \( \text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{F}) = \text{Aut}_0(X) \). If \( \varphi_t \) is the time-1 flow of \( v \), then \( \varphi_n = f^n \in \text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{F}) \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). This is enough to ensure that the Lie algebra of \( \text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{F}) \) is nontrivial.

Since \( \mathcal{F} \) is preserved by a flow, it follows from [4] Proposition 3.8] that \( \mathcal{F} \) is one of the following types: turbulent, Riccati, rational fibration, elliptic fibration, linear foliation on a torus or up to a birational map, \( \mathcal{F} \) is preserved by the flow of a vector field on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \). All these cases, unless the last one, cannot happen under the hypothesis of \( K_X \) to be ample. In fact, if \( \mathcal{F} \) is turbulent or Riccati and \( F \) is a generic fiber of the elliptic or rational fibration then \( K_X F = 0 \) (see [2] p. 23]). If \( \mathcal{F} \) is a rational fibration then the restriction of \( K_X \) to a generic leaf \( L \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \) is \( \mathcal{O}_L(-2) \). Finally, if \( \mathcal{F} \) is a linear foliation on a torus then \( K_X = \mathcal{O}_X \).

Suppose \( \mathcal{F} \) is birational to a foliation preserved by the flow of a vector field on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \). The birational map from \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) to \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) is obtained in the following way. When \( X \) is rational we can contract \((-1)\)-curves to arrive in a minimal surface, which must be either \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) or a Hirzebruch surface \( \Sigma_n, n \geq 0 \). We note that, at this point, \( K_X \) is still ample. Blowing-up a singular point of \( v \) we can replace \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) by \( \Sigma_1 \). To arrive in \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) we have to make flipping of fibers that contains singularities of \( v \) (see [2] p. 87]). The resulting foliation, still denoted by \( \mathcal{F} \), on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \) is invariant by the flow of a vector field \( v_1 \oplus v_2 \). In addition, the argument of S. Cantat and C. Favre in the end of the proof of Proposition 3.8 in [1] shows that \( \mathcal{F} \) is given by a global vector field if \( v \) is not tangent to a foliation by rational curves. We summarize the above discussion in the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.2.** If \( \mathcal{F} \) is a foliation on a smooth projective surface \( X \) with \( K_X \) ample and \( \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}) \) infinite, then up to a birational map, \( \mathcal{F} \) is preserved by the flow of a vector field \( v = v_1 \oplus v_2 \) on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \). Moreover, if \( v \) is not tangent to a foliation by rational curves then \( \mathcal{F} \) is given by a global vector field on \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \).

**Example 2.3.** Let us give an example of a foliation on \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) with \( K_X \) ample and \( \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}) \) infinite. Consider the foliation \( \mathcal{F} \) of degree two on \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) given in homogeneous coordinates by the 1-form

\[
\omega = -byz^2 dx + (bxz^2 + ay^2)dy - ay^3 dz
\]
where \(a, b \in \mathbb{C}^*\). This foliation has ample canonical bundle \(K_X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)\) and is preserved by the flow of the global vector field \(v = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\). In fact, the flow preserves the 1-form \(\omega = L_v\) where \(L_v\) is the Lie derivative. Blowing-up two singular points of \(F\), contained in \((y = 0)\), and contracting a \((-1)\)-curve we arrive in a foliation given by the 1-form \(bdy - adx\) in an affine chart of \(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\) which is preserved by the flow of \(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\). We leave the details to the reader.

### 3. Codimension One Webs

#### 3.1. Basic Definitions.

Let \(X\) be a smooth complex variety of dimension \(n > 1\). A **codimension one k-web** \(\mathcal{W}\) on \(X\) is given by an open covering \(U = \{U_i\}\) of \(X\) and \(k\)-symmetric 1-forms \(\omega_i \in \text{Sym}^k \Omega^1_X(U_i)\) subject to the conditions:

1. For each non-empty intersection \(U_i \cap U_j\) there exists a non-vanishing function \(g_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}_{U_i \cap U_j}\) such that \(\omega_i = g_{ij} \omega_j\);
2. For every \(i\) the zero set of \(\omega_i\) has codimension at least two;
3. For every \(i\) and a generic \(x \in U_i\), the germ of \(\omega_i\) at \(x\) seen as homogeneous polynomial of degree \(k\) in the ring \(\mathcal{O}_x[dx_1, ..., dx_n]\) is square–free;
4. For every \(i\) and a generic \(x \in U_i\), the germ of \(\omega_i\) at \(x\) is a product of \(k\) germs of 1-forms \((\omega_i)_x = \beta_1 \cdot \beta_k\) satisfying the integrability condition \(\beta_i \wedge d\beta_i = 0\).

The \(k\)-symmetric 1-forms \(\{\omega_i\}\) patch together to form a global section

\[
\omega = \{\omega_i\} \in \mathcal{H}^0(X, \text{Sym}^k \Omega^1_X \otimes \mathcal{L}),
\]

where \(\mathcal{L}\) is the line bundle over \(X\) determined by the cocycle \(\{g_{ij}\}\). Two global sections in \(\mathcal{H}^0(X, \text{Sym}^k \Omega^1_X \otimes \mathcal{L})\) determine the same web if and only if they differ by the multiplication by an element of \(\mathcal{H}^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)\). The **singular set** of \(\mathcal{W}\), denoted by \(\text{Sing}(\mathcal{W})\), is the zero set of \(\omega\).

We say that \(x \in X\) is a **smooth point** of \(\mathcal{W}\), \(x \in \mathcal{W}_{\text{sm}}\), if \(x \notin \text{Sing}(\mathcal{W})\) and the germ of \(\omega\) at \(x\) satisfies the conditions described in (3) and (4) above. For any smooth point \(x\) of \(\mathcal{W}\) we have \(k\) distinct (not necessarily in general position) linearly embedded subspaces of dimension \(n - 1\) passing through \(x\). Each one of these subspaces will be called \((n - 1)\)-plane tangent to \(\mathcal{W}\) at \(x\) and denoted by \(T^X_x \mathcal{W}, ..., T^X_x \mathcal{W}\). Furthermore, these conditions ensure that in a neighborhood of \(x\) there are \(k\) distinct codimension one foliations \(\mathcal{F}^1, ..., \mathcal{F}^k\) satisfying \(T^X_x \mathcal{F}^i = T^X_x \mathcal{W}\).

In the case that \(X = \mathbb{P}^N\) the **degree** of \(\mathcal{W}\), denoted by \(\text{deg}(\mathcal{W})\), is geometrically defined as the degree of the tangency locus between \(\mathcal{W}\) and a generic \(\mathbb{P}^1\) linearly embedded in \(\mathbb{P}^N\). If \(i : \mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N\) is the inclusion, then \(\text{deg}(\mathcal{W})\) is the degree of the zero divisor of the twisted \(k\)-symmetric 1-form \(i^* \omega \in \mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \text{Sym}^k \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{[\mathbb{P}^1]}).\) Since \(\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^1} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)\) one obtains \(\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(d + 2k)\) where \(d = \text{deg}(\mathcal{W})\). From the Euler sequence\(^1\) we can deduce the following

\[
0 \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{k-1} \left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)^{\oplus (N+1)}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^k \left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(1)^{\oplus (N+1)}\right) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^k T \mathbb{P}^N \rightarrow 0.
\]

Taking the dual sequence and tensorizing by \(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(d + 2k)\) we can see that a \(k\)-web given by

\[
\omega \in \mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^N, \text{Sym}^k \Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}^N} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(d + 2k))
\]

can be represented in homogeneous coordinates by a **k-symmetric** form, still denoted by \(\omega\)

\[
\omega(x) = \sum_{|I| = k} A_I(x) dx_0^{i_1} \cdots dx_N^{i_N},
\]

whose the coefficients \(A_I\) are homogeneous polynomials of degree \(d + k\) in \(x_0, ..., x_N\). Two \(k\)-symmetric forms in homogeneous coordinates define the same \(k\)-web if they differ by a constant.

We finish this section remarking that a \(k\)-web on a possible singular projective variety \(X\) is a \(k\)-web on its smooth locus which extends to a global web on any of its desingularizations. We also observe that a 1-web is a codimension one foliation, as defined in Section 2.

\(^1\)For properties of symmetric powers see [7] Propositions A2.2 and A2.7.
3.2. Automorphism Group of Projective Webs. Let \( W \) be a codimension one \( k \)-web on the complex projective space \( \mathbb{P}^N \) defined by the twisted \( k \)-symmetric 1-form \( \omega \). We say that \( T \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^N) \) preserves \( W \) if the pullback \( T^* \omega \) determines the same \( k \)-web \( W \). As remarked in the last section, \( \omega \) and \( T^* \omega \) must differ by the multiplication by an element of \( H^0(\mathbb{P}^N, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}^*) \), that is, by a nonvanishing constant. The automorphism group of \( W \), denoted by \( \text{Aut}(W) \), is the maximal subgroup of \( \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^N) \) that preserves \( W \).

**Proposition 3.1.** Let \( W \) be a \( k \)-web on \( \mathbb{P}^N \), \( N \geq 2 \), of degree \( d \) and finite automorphism group. Then

\[
|\text{Aut}(W)| \leq (d+2k)^{(N+1)^2-1}.
\]

**Proof.** We first consider the \( k \)-symmetric form defining \( W \) in homogeneous coordinates

\[
\omega(x) = \sum_{|I|=k} A_I(x)dx_0^i \cdots dx_N^i,
\]

where \( A_I(x_0, \ldots, x_N) \) is a homogeneous polynomial of degree \( d+k \) for all \( I = (i_0, \ldots, i_N) \).

Writing an element of \( \text{Aut}(W) \) as \( (N+1) \times (N+1) \) matrix we may think of its coordinates as homogeneous coordinates of a point \( T = (\ldots : a_{ij} : \ldots) \in \mathbb{P}^r \) where \( r = (N+1)^2 - 1 \).

Since \( \omega \) and \( T^* \omega \) differ by the multiplication by a nonvanishing constant, for fixed \( x = (x_0, \ldots, x_N) \) the entries of \( T \) must satisfy the equation \( \omega(x) \wedge (T^* \omega)(x) = 0 \). Now we can rewrite the pullback of \( \omega \) by \( T \),

\[
T^* \omega(x) = \sum_{|I|=k} A_I(x) a_{ij} dx_0^i \cdots dx_N^i,
\]

in terms of the basis \( \{dx_0^i \cdots dx_N^i ; |I| = k\} \) to obtain

\[
T^* \omega(x) = \sum_{|I|=k} B_I^x(x) a_{ij} dx_0^i \cdots dx_N^i,
\]

where \( B_I^x(x) \) is a homogeneous polynomial of degree \( d+2k \) in \( T = (\ldots, a_{ij}, \ldots) \) for each \( x \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \) and for each index \( I \). So the equation \( \omega(x) \wedge (T^* \omega)(x) = 0 \) is equivalent to the vanishing of the polynomials \( H_I^x(a_{ij}, \ldots) = A_I(x) B_I^x(...) \) for all \( I \), \( J \) with \( |I| = |J| = k \).

Therefore \( \text{Aut}(W) \) is an intersection of hypersurfaces \( Z^x_I = (H_I^x = 0) \) of degree \( d+2k \) in \( \mathbb{P}^r \). By hypothesis, \( \text{Aut}(W) \) is finite. Then we can choose \( r \) among the \( Z^x_I \), say \( Z_1, \ldots, Z_r \) such that \( Z_i \) does not contain any irreducible component of \( Z_1 \cap \cdots \cap Z_{i-1} \) for each \( i = 1, \ldots, r \), and \( \text{Aut}(W) = \cap_{i=1}^r Z_i \). It follows from Bézout’s Theorem that

\[
|\text{Aut}(W)| \leq (d+2k)^{(N+1)^2-1}.
\]

\( \square \)

In particular, we obtain a polynomial upper bound for the order of the self-birational group of a generic foliation \( \mathcal{F} \) on \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) depending only on its degree.

**Corollary 3.2.** If \( \mathcal{F} \) is a reduced foliation on \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) of degree \( d > 1 \), then \( \text{Bir}(\mathcal{F}) = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}) \) and

\[
|\text{Bir}(\mathcal{F})| \leq (d+2)^8.
\]

**Proof.** Since \( K_\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d-1) \) is ample, follows from [2] Theorem 1 p.75 that there exists a unique minimal model for \( (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{F}) \). The minimal model is obtained in two steps: first resolve the singularities of the foliation and then contract exceptional invariant curves. But the singularities are already reduced and \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) does not admit \((-1)\)-curves. Therefore \( (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{F}) \) is minimal and consequently \( \text{Bir}(\mathcal{F}) = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}) \). To conclude the proof we have just to apply Theorem [2] and Proposition 3.1. \( \square \)
3.3. Duality. Let $\hat{\mathbb{P}}^N$ denote the projective space parametrizing hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^N$ and $\mathcal{I}$ be the incidence variety, that is
$$\mathcal{I} = \{(x, H) \in \mathbb{P}^N \times \hat{\mathbb{P}}^N ; x \in H\}.$$ If $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is a projective variety of dimension $n$, the conormal variety $\text{Con}(X)$ is the closure in $\mathcal{I}$ of the set of pairs $(x, H)$ such that $x$ is a smooth point of $X$ and $H$ is a hyperplane containing the tangent plane $T_x X$.

Now let $\mathcal{W}$ be an irreducible codimension one $k$-web on $X$. The conormal variety of the pair $(X, \mathcal{W})$ is the subvariety $\text{Con}(X, \mathcal{W})$ of $\mathcal{I}$ defined by
$$\text{Con}(X, \mathcal{W}) = \{(x, H) \in \mathcal{I} ; x \in \mathcal{W}_{sm} \text{ and } \exists i, 1 \leq i \leq k, H \supseteq T^i_x \mathcal{W}\},$$ where the overline in the right side means the Zariski closure in $\mathcal{I}$. We note that for $x \in \mathcal{W}_{sm}$, the fiber $\pi^{-1}(x) \cap \text{Con}(X, \mathcal{W})$ is a union of $k$ linear spaces of dimension $N - n$, then $\text{Con}(X, \mathcal{W})$ has codimension $N - 1$ in $\mathcal{I}$.

Using the natural identification of $\mathcal{I}$ with $\mathbb{P}(T^* \mathbb{P}^N)$ the conormal $\text{Con}(X, \mathcal{W})$ can be also characterized by the following conditions (see [15, Section 1.4]):

1. $\text{Con}(X, \mathcal{W})$ is irreducible;
2. $\pi(\text{Con}(X, \mathcal{W})) = X$;
3. For generic $x \in X$ the fiber $\pi^{-1}(x) \cap \text{Con}(X, \mathcal{W})$ is a union of linear subspaces corresponding to the projectivization of the conormal bundles in $\mathbb{P}^N$ of the leaves of $\mathcal{W}$ passing through $x$.

Given $x \in \mathcal{W}_{sm}$ we have the superposition of $k$ distinct codimension one foliations in a neighborhood $U$ of $x$ in $X$. The lift of these foliations to $\mathcal{I}$ determines a codimension one foliation $\mathcal{F}_U$ on $\pi^{-1}(U) \cap \text{Con}(X, \mathcal{W})$ in which is just the foliation obtained by the restriction of the contact form $\alpha \in H^0(U, \mathcal{O}_U^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(1))$ to $\pi^{-1}(U) \cap \text{Con}(X, \mathcal{W})$ (see [15], p. 39 for details). So we get a codimension one foliation $\mathcal{F}$ globally defined on $\text{Con}(X, \mathcal{W})$ such that the leaves are the lifting of leaves of $\mathcal{W}$.

In order to obtain the dual pair $\mathcal{D}(X, \mathcal{W})$ we consider the variety $Y = \hat{\pi}(\text{Con}(X, \mathcal{W})) \subset \hat{\mathbb{P}}^N$. Let $s$ be the number of irreducible components of the fiber $\hat{\pi}^{-1}(H) \cap \text{Con}(X, \mathcal{W})$ for generic $H \in Y$. If $\dim Y > 1$, over regular values of $\hat{\pi}$ the direct image of $\mathcal{F}$ can be identified with the superposition of $s$ distinct codimension one foliations. Therefore we arrive in a codimension one web $\mathcal{W}^\vee$ on $Y$. If $\dim Y = 1$ the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are projected in points. In this case we adopt the convention that on the irreducible curve $Y$ there is only one web $\mathcal{W}^\vee$, the 1-web which has as leaves the points of $Y$. We shall say that $\mathcal{D}(X, \mathcal{W}) = (Y, \mathcal{W}^\vee)$ is the dual pair of $(X, \mathcal{W})$.

The characteristic numbers associated to the pair $(X, \mathcal{W})$ are
$$d_i(X, \mathcal{W}) = \int_\mathcal{I} [\text{Con}(X, \mathcal{W})][\text{Con}(\mathbb{P}^i)],$$ where $i = 0, ..., N - 1$ and $\mathbb{P}^i \subset \mathbb{P}^N$. Notice that if $X = \mathbb{P}^N$ then $d_0(X, \mathcal{W}) = k$ and $d_1(X, \mathcal{W}) = \deg(\mathcal{W})$. The last equality follows from the fact that $\text{Con}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is the set of points $(x, H)$ such that $x \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and $H$ contains $\mathbb{P}^1$. So if $\mathbb{P}^1$ represents a generic line, $x \in \text{Con}(X, \mathcal{W}) \cap \text{Con}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ if and only if $x$ is contained in the tangency locus between $\mathcal{W}$ and $\mathbb{P}^1$. We also observe that since $\text{Con}(X, \mathcal{W}) = \text{Con}(\mathcal{D}(X, \mathcal{W}))$ and $\text{Con}(\mathbb{P}^1) = \text{Con}(\mathbb{L})$ where $\mathbb{L} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{N-i-1} \subset \hat{\mathbb{P}}^N$ one obtains
$$d_i(X, \mathcal{W}) = d_{N-i-1}(\mathcal{D}(X, \mathcal{W})).$$

4. MAIN RESULTS

Given a smooth projective variety $X$ of dimension $n$ and a codimension one foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on $X$, with ample canonical bundle $K_X$, the $m$-th pluricanonical map
$$\phi_m : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N = \mathbb{P}H^0(X, K_X^\otimes m)$$
is an embedding for sufficiently large $m$. The map $\phi_m$ send a point $p \in X$ to the hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}H^0(X, K_X^\otimes m)$ consisting of the sections vanishing at $p$. Let us denote by $X_m = \phi_m(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$
the embedded variety and $F_m$ the respective foliation on $X_m$. Since $\text{Aut}(F)$ acts naturally in $\mathbb{P}H^0(X, K_F^{\otimes m})$ and so in $\mathbb{P}H^0(X, K_F^{\otimes m})^\vee$, this action induces a monomorphism of groups

$$\text{Aut}(F) \longrightarrow \text{PGL}(N, \mathbb{C})$$

which image in $\text{PGL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ is exactly $\text{Lin}(F_m)$, the automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^N$ leaving $X_m$ and $F_m$ invariant.

The following remark will be useful in the sequel.

**Remark 4.1.** Matsusaka’s Big Theorem states that given an ample line bundle $L$ on a smooth projective variety $X$ of dimension $n$, there exists a positive integer $m_0$, depending only on the coefficients of the Hilbert polynomial of $L$ such that $L^{\otimes m}$ is very ample for $m \geq m_0$. This theorem was improved by J. Kollár and T. Matsusaka in [13] showing that $m_0$ depends only on the coefficients $t^n$ and $t^{n-1}$ in the Hilbert Polynomial $P(t)$. This implies that $m_0$ depends only on $L^n$ and $L^{n-1}K_X$. We will need of an effective version of Matsusaka’s Big Theorem obtained by G. Fernandez del Busto in [8]. He proves that if $L$ is an ample line bundle on a smooth projective surface $X$ and

$$m > k_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{(L \cdot (K_X + 4L) + 1)^2}{L^2} + 3 \right]$$

(2)

then $L^{\otimes m}$ is very ample.

Now we are able to prove our main result. The idea of the proof is in some sense close to the argument of [10] Theorem 1]. That is, first apply Matsusaka’s Big Theorem to embed $X$, goes to the dual space and then use homogeneous coordinates to bound the order of $\text{Aut}(F)$. In our case, the dual of the pair $(X, F)$ is a codimension one web.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let $F$ be a foliation on a smooth irreducible projective surface $X$. If $K_F$ is ample and $\text{Aut}(F)$ is finite then

$$|\text{Aut}(F)| \leq \left( (3m^2 + 2m)K_F^2 \right)^{(m^2K_F^2+2)^2-1}$$

where $m = (K_F \cdot (K_X + 4K_F) + 1)^2 + 3K_F^2$.

**Proof.** Let us fix $m = (K_F \cdot (K_X + 4K_F) + 1)^2 + 3K_F^2$. By Remark [11] $K_F^{\otimes m}$ is very ample and hence the $m$-pluricanonical is an embedding. Let $X_m \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be the embedded variety and $F_m$ the respective foliation on $X_m$. We will first prove that the dual web $W_m = F_m^\vee$ is a codimension one web on $\mathbb{P}^N$. Since the dual variety of a curve, in which is not a line, is always a hypersurface, we must prove that a generic leaf of $F_m$ is not a line. If a leaf $L$ of $F_m$ is a line then $L$ must pass through a singular point of the foliation. In fact, if $L$ does not contain a singular point then the restriction of $K_{F_m}$ to this leaf coincides with the canonical bundle $K_L = \mathcal{O}_P(-2)$ of $L$. This contradicts the hypothesis of $K_{F_m}$ be ample. Therefore if a generic leaf of $F_m$ is a line, there are an infinite quantity passing through the same singular point $x \in \text{Sing}(F_m)$. Since $X$ is smooth irreducible this is enough to ensure that $X$ is a linearly embedded $\mathbb{P}^2$ and $F_m$ is the foliation by lines passing through $x$. The last assertion follows from the fact that the tangency between two foliations is an algebraic subset of $X$. But, in this case, $K_{F_m} = \mathcal{O}_P(-1)$ is not ample.

The image of $\text{Aut}(F)$ in $\text{PGL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ by the monomorphism

$$\text{Aut}(F) \longrightarrow \text{PGL}(N, \mathbb{C})$$

is $\text{Lin}(F_m)$, the automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^N$ leaving $X_m$ and $F_m$ invariant. Since $\text{Lin}(F_m) \simeq \text{Aut}(W_m)$ we have just to bound $\text{Aut}(W_m)$. By hypothesis $\text{Aut}(F)$ is finite, and thus one obtains the same conclusion for $\text{Aut}(W_m)$. It follows from Proposition [3.1] that

$$|\text{Aut}(W_m)| \leq (d + 2k)^{(N+1)^2-1}.$$ 

But from (1),

$$d = d_1(\mathbb{P}^N, W_m) = d_{N-2}(X_m, F_m)$$

and

$$k = d_0(\mathbb{P}^N, W_m) = d_{N-1}(X_m, F_m).$$
Now we can write this numbers intrinsically. The second one is the number of tangencies between \(\mathcal{F}_m\) and a generic hyperplane section of \(X_m\). That is,
\[
d_{N-1}(X_m, \mathcal{F}_m) = \text{tang}(\mathcal{F}_m, \mathcal{O}_{X_m}(1)) = \text{tang}(\mathcal{F}, K_{\mathcal{F}}^\otimes m).
\]
Thus by [2] Proposition 2 p.23, \(d_{N-1}(X_m, \mathcal{F}_m) = (m^2 + m)K_{\mathcal{F}}^2\). It is not hard to see that the first number \(d_{N-2}(X_m, \mathcal{F}_m)\) coincides with \(\deg(X_m)\), hence \(d_{N-2}(X_m, \mathcal{F}_m) = m^2K_{\mathcal{F}}^2\). Therefore
\[
|\text{Aut}(\mathcal{W}_m)| \leq ((3m^2 + 2m)K_{\mathcal{F}}^2)^{(N+1)^2-1}.
\]

Since \(N = h^0(X, K_X^\otimes m) - 1\), to conclude the proof of the theorem we need only to apply the following bound given in [14] Theorem 3
\[
h^0(X, K_X^\otimes m) \leq m^2K_{\mathcal{F}}^2 + 2. \tag{3}
\]

**Corollary 4.3.** Let \(\mathcal{F}\) be a foliation on a non-rational smooth irreducible projective surface \(X\). If \(K_{\mathcal{F}}\) is ample then
\[
|\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F})| \leq ((3m^2 + 2m)K_{\mathcal{F}}^2)^{(m^2K_{\mathcal{F}}^2+2)^2-1}
\]
where \(m = (K_{\mathcal{F}} \cdot (K_X + 4K_{\mathcal{F}}) + 1)^2 + 3K_{\mathcal{F}}^2\).

**Proof.** The finiteness of \(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{F})\) is ensured by Proposition [2.2].

In the following corollary, the pair \((X, \mathcal{F})\) represents a foliation \(\mathcal{F}\) on a smooth irreducible projective surface \(X\).

**Corollary 4.4.** There exists a function \(f\), so that if \((X, \mathcal{F})\) is reduced and has ample canonical bundle \(K_{\mathcal{F}}\), then the minimal model \((Y, \mathcal{G})\) has ample canonical bundle \(K_{\mathcal{G}}\) and
\[
|\text{Bir}(\mathcal{F})| \leq f(K_{\mathcal{G}}^2, K_{\mathcal{G}}K_Y).
\]

**Proof.** We proceed similarly to the proof of Corollary [3.2] Let \((Y, \mathcal{G})\) the minimal model of \((X, \mathcal{F})\). The minimal model is obtained contracting exceptional invariant curves \(\pi: (X, \mathcal{F}) \to (Y, \mathcal{G})\) and the contraction of an exceptional curve produces a reduced singularity or a regular point. Thus \(\pi\) is a sequence of blowing-ups over reduced singularities or regular points.

We will show that amplitude of \(K_{\mathcal{F}}\) implies amplitude of \(K_{\mathcal{G}}\). In general if \(\pi: (X, \mathcal{F}) \to (Y_1, \mathcal{G}_1)\) is the blow up over a singularity \(x\) of \(\mathcal{G}_1\) and \(\iota_x\) is the order of \(\mathcal{G}_1\) on \(E = \pi^{-1}(x)\) then \(K_{\mathcal{F}} = \pi^*(K_{\mathcal{G}_1}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(1 - \iota_x)E\), see [2] p. 26. If \(x\) is a reduced singularity then \(K_{\mathcal{F}} = \pi^*(K_{\mathcal{G}_1})\) and if \(x\) is a regular point then \(K_{\mathcal{F}} = \pi^*(K_{\mathcal{G}_1}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(E)\). Thus \(K_{\mathcal{F}}\) ample implies that \(K_{\mathcal{G}_1}\) is ample, as can be checked by using Nakai–Moishezon Criterion. This is enough to deduce the amplitude of \(K_{\mathcal{G}}\).

To finish the proof of the corollary we observe that \(\text{Bir}(\mathcal{F}) = \text{Bir}(\mathcal{G}) = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G})\) and apply Theorem [2.1] together with Theorem [4.2].

**Remark 4.5.** We remember that by definition, \(\mathcal{F}\) is of general type if and only if \(\mathcal{F}\) has a reduced model \(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\) with big canonical bundle \(K_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}\). Corollary [4.4] can be applied to foliations \(\mathcal{F}\) with the stronger hypothesis on \(K_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}\) to be ample.
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