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Abstract

Sounds are information sequences that cannot exist outside of a time base and therefore cannot be analyzed inside an animal without an accurate internal clock. It is suggested that the evolutionary development of the clock may be linked to the development of the inner ear. The causes of vertigo during rotation are discussed. It is shown that if a continuous inner time exists then sleeping is a “mathematical necessity”. The “time slowing down” during an extreme event is discussed. A resonance based mechanism of the time snippets measurements is hypothesized. The concept of the Time Intervals Pinned activities and Snippet Inner Time is introduced. Yawning and sleeping hypothesis are suggested.

Introduction

As it is noted in the review The inner experience of time [1], “the striking diversity of psychological and neurophysiological models of „time perception” characterizes the debate on how and where in the brain time is processed”. Despite the diversity, so far not a single concrete internal process has been identified that cannot function without an inner time, aside from the generic understanding that “the perception of time is an essential and inextricable component of everyday experience”. This probably is a major factor why “no conclusive answers to the questions of which neural substrates and what kind of neurophysiological processes could account for the experience of duration have been established”, because without a specific understanding where the internal time is used it is not clear where to search for its physiological mechanism.

In this paper, we focus on the observation that hearing cannot function without an accurate inner time. Sounds are information sequences that are distributed in physical (vs inner) time – and do not have meaning without a time base that is coherent with physical time, – and therefore cannot be analyzed internally without an inner time that is accurate enough vs the physical time. Hearing could not have been developed evolutionarily without a concomitant inner clock and we discuss the idea that the auditory system includes an inner clock in its mechanism.

Hearing inner time

Fig.1 is a recording of the spoken word “sound” made by a modern computer with the “Audacity” software [2]. The whole word lasts 0.8s.

By replaying different length pieces of the recording we estimated that the length of the replayed piece, to make the word “sound” recognizable, must be at least 0.6s. This means that to be recognized the recording must be analyzed as a whole, as a function known in a large enough time interval.
The most interesting thing about the recording is that no matter how many times it is replayed to a person the person always hears the same; same word, voice, pitch and tempo. It might appear trivial, but in fact it means that there is an inner time that acts exactly as the real time, at least within short intervals.

Each replay produces an external to the person information sequence (sound waves sequence), a function of physical time. Hearing converts this function into its image in the person’s brain. Since the person hears the same each time, the conversion of this external function of time produces the same internal image. The image must be analyzed as a whole to be recognized. The image is a sequence of the distributions of the “instantaneous” sound frequencies and their amplitudes. The sequence itself is a distribution of the input information over the brain’s time, which is the inner time. Since we don’t know how may inner clocks and times an animal has, we will refer to the inner time used to analyze hearing as hearing inner time, HIT. So we have a distribution over HIT of the instantaneous amplitude-frequency distributions.

For the image being the same two things must occur. First, each instantaneous amplitude-frequency distribution must be the same for the same input. This occurs because the stratification of the incoming sound by pitch (by frequency) depends on which part of the cochlea responds (resonates and produces electrical signal to the CNS) to the pitch. This remains constant for as long as the electro-mechanical properties of the ear remain the same.

Second, the distribution over HIT of the instantaneous amplitude-frequency distributions must be the same for each replay. For this during the conversion equal length physical time intervals must be converted into equal length HIT intervals. This means that HIT(t) must be a linear function of (physical) time, \( HIT(t) = Kt \), maintaining the same coefficient of the proportionality, the HIT slope \( K \), during all the replays.

Comparing the conversion of the sounds by hearing to the sound recording by any recording device, a consistent recording is possible only when the recorder timebase speed (e.g., the speed of the movement of the vinyl record groove vs the needle) is constant enough vs physical time. Note that this comparison is not exactly accurate, because the change of the recorder timebase speed during the replay will change both the pitch (sound frequencies) and the tempo (the distribution of the frequencies over the time interval). Contrary to this, if the HIT “timebase speed” (which is the HIT slope) changes it will result only in the change of the tempo without changing the pitch, as the latter is defined by the ear as a mechanical spectrum analyzer.

To assess human sensitivity to the changes of the HIT slope we used “Change Tempo without Changing Pitch” option of the software, setting the length of the recording in the “Change Tempo” panel to a series of values and replaying the recording using the “Preview” option.
For the recording of the word “sound” in Fig.1, the changes of the recording length from 0.8s to 0.7s and to 0.9s were clearly recognizable. This means that if the HIT slope does fluctuate over time it does not deviate from some median value more than ±10%. A time interval of 1s will be perceived by HIT as being somewhere within 0.9-1.1s.

The software allows changing individually the tempo of the subintervals of the recording in Fig.1. Changing the tempo of different subintervals to different values it is possible to make the replayed word “sound” unrecognizable, though all the frequencies in its recording will remain the same.

Without an accurate enough HIT, hearing could only provide information about presence of sounds of certain frequencies while recognition of the meaning contained in the time sequence of sounds would have been impaired or impossible.

**Internal integration of acceleration**

It is well known that if a blindfolded person is rotated in a swivel chair 5 times over 10 seconds and then stopped the person will erroneously feel that he or she is still rotating, which is a form of vertigo. In this experiment the sensors that provide the motion information are human accelerometers, presumably located in the inner ears. The fact that the person experiences having an angular velocity, which is an integral of the acceleration, suggests that somewhere inside the human body the accelerations are integrated.

The angular velocity and the angular and linear acceleration of the two inner ears in the experiment are schematically shown in Fig.2. Angular velocity, $\omega$, and angular acceleration, $\alpha$, are the same for both inner ears. Tangential, $a_t$, and centripetal, $a_c$, accelerations of the inner ear will occur if there is a non-zero distance, $r$, between the inner ear and the axis of rotation. In general, $a_t$ and $a_c$ are different for the two ears. If a sitting person is rotated around a vertical axis then at least one of the ears will not be on the axis and will have both of these accelerations.

![Fig.2. Schematic graphs of the angular velocity and accelerations of the inner ear.](image-url)
The accelerations shown in Fig. 2 are inputs to human accelerometers. Inside the body these accelerations are integrated into the expected angular velocity, in the case of the spinning chair experiment – erroneously.

On the other hand, if a person turns his or her head 1/8 of the full circle in 1/4 of a second, which would result in the head velocity as in the spinning chair experiment, normally there will be no vertigo. We know this because we habitually turn our heads much faster than this. This means that under some circumstances the angular integration is accurate.

It seems there are two ways in which the change in velocity, \( \Delta v = \int a(t) \, dt \), can be calculated inside the body. First way is to register the accelerations as functions of the inner time and have a mechanism of integration of these functions over the inner time. Let us call the inner time that would be needed for this the acceleration inner time, AIT. For this way to work AIT must be a linear function of time, \( AIT = kt \). Then \( \Delta v = \frac{1}{k} \int a(AIT) \, d(AIT) \), which means that integration over the inner time allows obtaining the required result.

The other way can be rooted in the well-known fact that upon registration by the inner ear the mechanical input, acceleration \( a \), is converted into the electrical current, \( i = f(a) \) [3, 4]. Suppose this dependence is linear, \( i = ka \). The electrical current is the first derivative over time of the electrical charge, \( q \), that is passing through the electrical circuit, \( i = \frac{dq}{dt} \). Then \( \Delta v = \frac{1}{k} \Delta q \).

Hence if the acceleration registration mechanism can count the electrical charge that is passing through the circuit then an inner time is not needed to calculate the velocity change because the increment of the velocity is proportional to the increment of the charge.

Conversely, if there is a mechanism that can count the passing electrical charge, e.g. count the ions that are passing through an electrolyte or through the cochlea hair cells, then in the presence of a constant current the count itself can serve as the inner time. The silent stria vascularis current appears to be constant and significant [5]. If the mechanism of the silent current charge count (or its portion passing through the hair cells) exists then the count can serve as the inner time.

Note that the angular and tangential accelerations are about the same, in value and duration, both in the swivel chair experiment and during the short head turn. In this case the only difference between the long and short rotations is in the duration of the centripetal acceleration that is not included into the integration for obtaining the angular velocity. It may be that a prolonged application of the centripetal acceleration drains the resources of the system, resulting in the angular and tangential accelerations registered at the end of the rotation to be imprecise. It would be very interesting to perform an experiment in which the rotational axis goes exactly through both inner ears (e.g. while a person is laying on his side) to study the vertigo effects in the case when only the angular acceleration is present.

**Location of the hearing inner clock**

As was discussed above, without an inner time the hearing sensory mechanism could only provide information about the presence of a sound and its frequency, but the recognition of complex sounds unfolding in time would have been impossible. This indicates that the hearing sensory mechanism – as complex as it is, – evolutionarily could have been developed only in the presence of an accurate enough inner clock.
On the other hand, are there other processes in an animal that could have prompted the evolutionary development of an accurate enough for hearing inner clock before hearing was developed? The answer to this seems to be unknown.

In the absence of a better guess, we speculate that the inner clock needed for hearing was evolutionarily developed together with hearing as a part of the same system. Taken into account that in vertebrates the hearing and acceleration sensory mechanisms are bundled together in the inner ear, and that integration of the accelerations either requires an inner clock or provides a mechanism that can serve as an inner clock, we suggest a hypothesis that the hearing inner clock is located, at least partially, within the same bundle. The acceleration inner clock, if it exists, is also located there.

**Continuous inner time and sleep**

Let us use IT to denote HIT or AIT (if the latter exists). Suppose that IT(t) is a continuous function of time. For the convenience of the discussion, we assume that the IT slope > 0 so that IT(t) increases over time. Then either the IT(t) range is so large that it is not exceeded during the animal’s life span or there must be periods when the function IT(t) decreases.

Let us explore the second possibility (Fig.3).

![Fig.3. Schematic graph of the continuous inner time, IT(t).](image)

During the periods of time when the fixed IT slope is not maintained and IT(t) decreases the sound recognition is impossible and maintaining balance is difficult because of the misinterpretation of the acceleration inputs. This would be the principle reason why an animal needs to sleep, despite all of the vulnerabilities an animal in the wild experiences when sleeping.

We don’t have a theory of how exactly IT(t) would change during sleep but the existence of different stages of sleep hints that it may change unevenly.

On the other hand, if the IT(t) graph in Fig.3 is in principle correct, then it seems that a human should be able to consciously measure, with at least ~10% precision, the time intervals between the events within the same wakefulness period. This does not occur. So either IT(t) is not continuous or it cannot be consciously queried. The latter is very likely as it seems there is no an evolutionary advantage that the exact knowledge of time could provide.
Unrelated to the specific discussion of HIT and AIT, there might be inner clocks/times that serve purposes where the exact proportionality to the physical time does not matter. If there is a physical process or characteristics that serves as an inner time then the inner time will be continuous with respect to the physical time (assuming that all these internal processes or characteristics are continuous). The range of the inner time will be limited and it cannot increase everywhere. When it decreases the inner time will go backwards with respect to the physical time. What was before and after will be scrambled internally. It is difficult to list all the things that could go wrong if the inversed inner time were used, because we don’t know all the internal processes where an inner time is used. E.g., creation and usage of the conditioned reflexes would be impossible.

There are many internal processes, such as memory consolidation needed for learning, that occur during sleep [6]. However it is not known why these processes actually require sleep, which is a significant suppression of the animal’s sensory inputs. Drawing a comparison with the processing data by a computer, the reorganization of the computer data does not require turning off the computer inputs. However if an animal has a continuous inner time then sleep may be a “mathematical necessity”, needed to avoid misinterpretation of the inputs during the inner time “rewinding”.

**“Saw-teeth” hearing inner time**

As discussed above, HIT(t) maybe is not a continuous function of time. Yet it must be a linear function of time, always with the same slope, for at least as long as it is needed to recognize the meaning of a sound. HIT(t) cannot have breaks that would create internal signal sequences that are shorter than it is needed for the recognition. This leads to the following model, Fig.4.

![Fig.4. Schematic graph of the non-continuous hearing inner time, HIT.](image)

In the absence of the input sound HIT(t) changes linearly until it reaches its range, then it breaks and resets to 0. Resetting to 0 is also triggered by arrival of new sounds, the words “sound” and “hello” in Fig.4, and by the completion of the sounds recognition.

Within this model the object being recognized by hearing is a function of two arguments with a fixed domain. The first argument is the length along the cochlea spiral to where the electrical signal, which is the value of the function, is produced. First argument’s domain is the whole cochlea spiral length. The second argument is HIT, which is limited to the HIT range.
Humans and some other animals are able to recognize still visual images. In this case the object of recognition is also a function (or several functions, if we include color) of two arguments with a fixed domain, where the arguments are parametric coordinates (in some parametric representation of the retina surface) of the point on the retina from where the signal is coming.

It is possible that similar mechanisms are used for the recognition of the hearing and visual inputs.

**Inner time and acceleration**

In 1987 the author of this paper was subjected to a strong deceleration during a car crash. The exact parameters of the deceleration are unknown but they must be comparable to the deceleration of a buckled up person in a car that hits a concrete wall at a speed of 70-90 km/hour.

Two most remarkable observations during the crash were, a set of fractures propagating through the windshield so slowly that the author could see the details of the fractures development, and a heavy 4.5 liter metal thermos filled with tea that was slowly floating in the air near author’s head as if it was a toy balloon.

In reality, both events, the fracture propagation and the thermos’ flight, lasted a fraction of a second. In the author’s inner time they lasted several seconds. This means that under those circumstances the IT slope had temporarily increased, 10-100 times.

There are many evidences of similar experiences, in particular, by the test pilots [7]. There is a research that tries to prove that these experiences are not a result of perception, but a result recollection of a dramatic event [8]. In Appendix 1 we’ll show that this research is based on an erroneous interpretation of the experimental data and cannot be relied upon.

On the other hand it is well known that prolonged cycles of small accelerations induce sleep. This is commonly used to rock babies to sleep. Those who travelled long distances in a train sleeping car know that it is applicable to adults as well. As it is noticed in [9], “the nature of the link between rocking and sleep is poorly understood”. If the suggested theory about the bundling of the inner clock with the acceleration sensory mechanism is correct then a possible explanation of the rocking effect may be that a prolonged acceleration load exhausts the whole mechanism, including the inner clock, which would result in sleep.

In both cases, hearing inner time and acceleration inner time, there must exist a mechanism that allows measurement of the short time intervals. Is there such a mechanism that could have been developed evolutionary? A hypothesis suggested in Appendix 2. A more generic observation of the activities where short time intervals measurement is essential is considered in Appendix 3.

**Conclusions**

We have demonstrated that accurate inner clocks are needed for the recognition of complex sounds. The arguments were made that the clocks or their parts may be located in the inner ear. Experimental verification of the proposed theories is required. Chronic observations that would cover normal wakefulness-sleep cycle may be needed for the inner clock location.

We thank Dr. Alec N. Salt for assistance in research on the cochlea electrodynamics, and Dr. Marat M. Rvachev and Dr. Timur M. Rvachov for critique and support.
Appendix 1. Does Time Really Slow Down during a Frightening Event?

The research [8] named as above tries to prove that it does not. Let us show, based both on subjective and objective observations, that the research does not prove anything. The approach was interesting and daring, as the participants had to free fall from of a Suspended Catch Air Device (SCAD) tower. Here is a short extract from the research [8]. “Observers commonly report that time seems to have moved in slow motion during a life-threatening event... Using a hand-held device to measure speed of visual perception, participants experienced free fall for 31 m before landing safely in a net. We found no evidence of increased temporal resolution, in apparent conflict with the fact that participants retrospectively estimated their own fall to last 36% longer than others' falls...Our findings suggest that time-slowing is a function of recollection, not perception... We speculate that the... emotional memory may lead to dilated duration judgments retrospectively”.

Let me start with my subjective objections to the research conclusion, which originate from the car crash that I was in about 30 years ago. Two circumstances do not fit into the research assumptions. First, there was no a subjective premise, an expectation of the coming accident that could create some special emotional background of the event. I did not see it coming and did not know that it was a car crash until many hours later, when the car was raised and I saw that the front axle beam was warped into almost a pretzel. Only then I realized that it was really a life-threatening crash and that I was saved only because I was buckled up.

The details of the crash may be interested to many readers, as I would guess most would never imagine that this was possible. It was in the U.S.S.R in 1987. A construction company was adding one more lane to the road. When they ran out of the money for the project they dropped the new lane construction halfway, until the funds to extend the lane farther would be available. They removed their equipment, all the temporary fencing that was protecting the worksite while they were working, and went away. There was no a smooth merge of the new lane ending with the old road. Not a single sign was left to indicate that the lane ends. There was no a lane marking at all.

When I was driving, at night, as I thought in the middle of the empty lane, the front axle beam hit a practically absolutely rigid obstacle, a row of the curb stones edging the old road. My car was pinned by the curb stones like a fly is pinned for the insect collection. After the crash, from outside the car looked not much damaged (until the car was raised and we saw the pretzel axle), though the engine compartment shifted a little, which lead to the windshield fracturing. Inside, the rear seat back was torn off its constraints and became a ramp over which the stuff from the luggage compartment was unloaded onto me.

I called the police. When the officer arrived he began to lament¹ that he had tired documenting the accidents on this very spot. Only during his shifts there were more than five of them, including a motorcyclist who broke his spine.

¹ This is a delicate description of what the officer was saying.
Can somebody imagine anything like this in a safe country? The construction company would be out of business and somebody would have been criminally charged, thanks to the lawyers.

Anyway, back to the research discussion. My second subjective experience that does not fit the research conclusion is the suggestion that the time-slowing is a function of recollection. By the time of the accident I had seen many a specimen destruction in a test machine, which was a part of my professional experience, and had some definite ideas about the fracture propagation speed. So, when during the “my car crash experiment” I saw a fracture in the windshield that was propagating and branching so slowly that I could see all the details, I was amazed right away. Another strange thing that was happening was a heavy metal thermos filled with 5 litters of tea that arrived from the luggage compartment and was hovering near my head as a toy balloon, though at the time most of my thinking was about the fracture as I was not interested in the balloons.

Now, the objective objection to the research conclusion. The speed of visual perception was measured by a hand-held device with a flickering dial. The flickering frequency was adjusted so that the experiment participant could not see the flickering, but a tiny drop of the frequency would make the flickering visible. The assumption was that if “time as a whole runs in slow motion during frightening events” then during the fall from the SCAD tower the participant would see the flickering though its frequency was not changed. As it is correctly noted in the research discussion, “A critical point for the logic of this study is that flicker fusion frequencies are not limited by the retina”, and they come to the conclusion that they are not, “since retinal ganglion cells have extremely high temporal resolution”.

This last conclusion is an erroneous understanding of what kind of temporal resolution is required to see flickering. To see flickering, the eye retina/brain system should react and we should see the light when it appeared, and the system should recuperate and we should stop seeing the light when it is gone. The recuperation time is many times longer than the excitation time, as almost all of us observed on many occasions. Imagine that somebody is waving a flashlight at night. What do we see? If waving is slow, we see the light as one spot, because it is in one spot. But as waving gets faster, the spot becomes a ribbon, and it could be a pretty long ribbon comparing to the size of the flashlight², Fig.5.

Fig.5. A moving flashlight may look like a ribbon, https://www.shutterstock.com/image-photo/man-waving-flashlight-blue-background-387206. Of course, on the photo it is because of the photo-exposure duration. But we can see a similar picture “in vivo”, without a camera.

---

² When I was a teenager, at a bonfire at night, we were pulling out sticks with the smoldering ends out of the fire and competing whose waving ribbon was longer.
The sensitivity to flickering is limited by the recuperation time. The fact that we can see the head of the ribbon far apart from its tail shows that our brain can work much faster than retina/brain system can recuperate. Flickering could not detect a change in our “brain speed”, even if it occurred during the free fall.

Then the only conclusion we can derive from that research is that the participants, subjectively, observed that during the free fall time slowed down 36%. Since there were several participates who felt this way, maybe there was some objective reality behind these observations.

**Appendix 2. Time-bearing oscillator hypotheses and time snippets measurement**

Let us hypothesize that there is a variable frequency oscillator contour in the brain, frequency of which slowly monotonically changes over time. Let us call it a “time-bearing” oscillator, TBO. Suppose that when each input event is recorded by the brain a “tuning fork” oscillator is added to the record. The tuning fork, TF, natural frequency is fixed and set to be equal to the TBO frequency at the moment of the snapshot. Then the natural frequencies of the recorded TF-s will differ from the current frequency of the “time-bearing” oscillator, TBO; the earlier TF was set the larger the difference. If the oscillation of TBO is imposed to excite TF, it will create a forced oscillation in TF. The amplitude of the forced oscillation, compared to the excitation amplitude $F$ (transmissibility), can serve as the measure of the time difference between now and the time of the snapshot; the larger the amplitude the smaller the time difference.

**Measurement accuracy**

To assess sensitivity of such a measurement let us assume that TBO and TF are harmonic oscillators; oscillation of TBO are given

$$x_{TBO} = F \sin(\omega t),$$

where $\omega = \omega(t)$, $|\dot{\omega}| \ll \omega_0$, $t_0 < t < t_1$, while oscillations of TF are forced oscillations defined by the equation

$$\frac{d^2x_{TF}}{dt^2} + 2\zeta \omega_0 \frac{dx_{TF}}{dt} + \omega_0^2 x_{TF} = x_{TBO},$$

where $\zeta$ is TF damping and $\omega_0$ is its natural frequency.

Then

$$x_{TF} = \frac{F}{K} \sin(\omega t + \varphi),$$

where $K = \sqrt{(2\omega_0 \omega \zeta)^2 + (\omega_0^2 - \omega^2)^2}$ and $\varphi = \arctan\left(\frac{2\omega_0 \omega \zeta}{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2}\right) + n\pi$.

Suppose that the time-bearing oscillator, TBO, frequency decreases uniformly from 400 Hz to 100 Hz over about 16 hours,

$$\omega(t) = -0.005t + 400 \ (Hz), \ 0 < t < 60,000 \ (sec).$$
while the damping parameter $\zeta$ increases over this time span from about $1e-6$ to $1e-5$. Then 10ms after TF was set the frequency difference between TBO and TF, $\Delta \omega = |\omega - \omega_0|$, will be $5e-5$, which will result in amplification, transmissibility $= \frac{1}{K}$, of the forced TF oscillation vs TBO oscillation of $\approx 3$ at the beginning of the 60K time span and $\approx 5$ at its end, which is potentially detectable. The 10ms time intervals remain detectable while $\Delta \omega < 500 \text{ ms}$, with the decreasing amplification as $\Delta \omega$ grows. When $\Delta \omega$ goes over 500 ms the TF sensitivity to the excitation by TBO sharply drops, which is in compliance with the trace conditioning observations. Further elaboration on the numbers does not make sense as the pure harmonic model discussion is speculative. Also conversion of the transmissibility back into the time intervals (which would be a non-linear inversion) hardly makes sense, because, even if this mechanism is really used in the trace conditioning, most likely the TF oscillation amplitude directly serves as the conditioning trigger.

*Can the time-bearing oscillator exist?*

Then there is a question, can an oscillator with the frequency that changes over time with about the same rate monotonically, TBO, exist in the brain? Many oscillations of different frequencies are observed in the brain; the exact nature of them is unknown. (The selected in the above example frequency range, 100-400 Hz, is picked from the frequencies of the “ripples” observed in the human brain [10].) It is known that the number of available for binding $A_1$ adenosine receptors, $A_1$ARs, increases during the period of wakefulness and decreases when asleep. When adenosine binds to its receptors, neural activity slows down, and we feel sleepy [e.g. 11-13]. Can the number of the available $A_1$ARs or other receptors control the time-bearing oscillator frequency? Can binding/unbinding of some specific receptors change the frequency of some oscillation loop in the brain, same as opening and closing the flute holes changes its tune? Something that controls the observed EEG oscillations may have billions of different states, and the existence of the Time Bearing Oscillator cannot be excluded.

Time Bearing Oscillator mechanism provides a “natural” – resonance based (and not counter based) – way of measuring short time intervals. A resonator with the natural frequency that changes monotonically within some time interval may exist naturally. E.g. a closed filled with air shell immersed into the ocean at a fixed depth is such a resonator, because with the tidal change of the pressure the shell cavity shape changes. Whether such a mechanism is incorporated by the living nature during the evolution remains to be seen.
Appendix 3. Time Intervals Pinned activities and Snippet Inner Time

An animal exists in time, all its activities occur in time and in this sense are time dependent. However for some activities the time dependence is quite specific. There are several animal activities that cannot function without an inner clock that measures accurately at least short intervals of the real time. Let us call them “time intervals pinned (TIP) activities”, to tell apart from the other time dependencies.

Advanced animals are capable of assessing velocities of objects, predicting future positions of the moving objects (within some time intervals) and their own movements and efforts required to intercept or avoid moving objects. To estimate the velocities there should be an ability to remember, compare and differentiate (at least) two positions of the objects. Then, there should be an ability to assess the time intervals between the positions.

In most of the cases the positions of the objects are registered based on the visual clues. The positions differentiation starts with excitation of different light sensitive cells and different eye orientation. There is some understanding of how the visual input is processed in the brain visual cortex. At least, the visual recognition systems that emulates the steps observed in the brains of humans and monkeys work with a reasonable success [14]. However, how the time intervals between the positions are registered and measured is not known.

A similar situation is with the sounds recognitions. Advanced animals are capable to recognize sound sequences, like birds’ songs, tiger roar or human speech. Different input sound frequencies excite different hearing hair cells and thus are differentiated. However for the recognition of a sound sequence the duration of each frequency matters. Changing the duration of each frequency can distort a word beyond recognition, even if the order of the frequencies is kept the same. How the time durations of the sound frequencies are registered and measured is not known.

The so called “muscle memory” also is not possible without accurate knowledge of the real time intervals. The muscles move objects of a certain mass (including the body parts). According to the second Newton’s law the velocity increment is proportional to the force and the time increment. To achieve the required motor sequence each muscle must produce specific force during specific time interval. The diversity of the possible motor sequences that can be produced by the same muscles shows that it is not hardcoded, when the current state uniquely defines the next state, like in the case of the heartbeat or the digestive system movements. Somehow the force-time sequences are acquired, memorized and reproduced. The reproduced muscle memory sequences also may be continuously corrected, based on the visual, kinesthetic or other input.

One of the partial cases of the muscle memory is the ability to keep the standing position (upright standing in the case of humans). This is interesting to consider separately in the context of the theories that suggest that sleeping is needed for some special information processing. If for whatever important data processing reason the nature blocks out our vision and hearing for 8 hours a day, then why, for at least these hours, it will not let us to be in the standing position, so we will be better off woken up by a danger, ready to fight or flee? What are the changes in our bodies that are induced by standing that could be restored only by sleeping? Our muscles get tired, but to
restore this we do not need to sleep; few minutes of sitting would help. Do we, just by standing, 
learn much information that require some special processing? Does the information learnt by 
standing justify 8 hour blackouts for processing it?

How the times at which the events occur are recorded and differentiated? There must be the 
parts of the nerve system that register the times or at least the time intervals between close in time 
events. The diverse neuro-oscillators that are experimentally observed are maybe involved into 
this, though an oscillation counter is needed to measure random time intervals with an oscillator. 
It seems that so far there are no a suggestion how such a counter could be implemented 
biologically.

Setting aside the unknown implementation of the biological clock, let us discuss how it could 
work from the point of view of the temporal data management.

Let us call an “event” any change that occur to the animal (e.g. change of the visual input, 
hearing input, muscle strain etc.). For the TIP activities, within some time span (~500ms\(^3\)), for at 
least TIP activity related events (TIP events), A and B, the time interval between them must be 
measured internally with the sufficient for the activity precision. For this there must exist an 
internal time interval measuring mechanism (or maybe many of them). Each event must have a 
handle that allows the mechanism to attach itself to the event. Let us call the handle the “event 
time-tag”.

For the time-tags there are two possibilities, the “constant” time-tags that do not change over 
time and the “variable” tags that do change.

Let us consider 3 obvious examples.

1. Suppose that the events are organized as a queue (of some limited length): when each 
new event arrives it takes place at the end of the queue while the first event is deleted 
from the queue. Suppose that the time-tag of the event is its number in the queue; it will 
be a variable time-tag, because the event’s number is the queue will change each time 
when a new event arrives. The time interval measuring mechanism calculates the number 
of the events in the queue between A and B. For the queue based mechanism to produce 
accurate results the events must arrive at a steady pace (constant frequency of the events).

2. Suppose that at the moment when event A arrive 
a n internal stopwatch is started. Then 
the stopwatch itself is both the variable time-tag and the time interval measuring 
mechanism. The stopwatch reading when event B arrives is the time interval between A 
and B.

3. Suppose that when event arrives the current value of some “inner time” is set as the 
event’s constant time-tag. The time interval measuring mechanism should be able to 
convert the inner times at the moments of A and B arrival into an assessment of the real 
time interval between A and B.

\(^3\) “Short enough” may mean different for different animals. Some trace conditioning experiments point that within 
about 500 ms the time intervals are differentiated [28].
Which, if any, of these 3 mechanisms might be actually used? Within the time span needed for the TIP activity, TIP activity snippet (~500 ms), there may be a very large number of the TIP events. If variable time-tags are used, as hypothesized in 1–2 or any other imaginable, these time-tags must be modified in sync with the real time to acquire accurate time interval readings. The cost of this must be many times bigger than the cost of functioning of a constant time-tag time interval measuring mechanism. So let us hypothesize that the latter is used and analyze the consequences of this supposition.

Within the TIP activity snippet the time intervals must be processed inside the animal with the same proportionality as they really have. For this the internal process that serves as the time-tag must have a scalar property that changes proportionally to the real time within the snippet, Fig.6a. Then the increments of the process will be “convertible” back into the increments of the real time and thus usable for the internal time increments assessment. To measure the time durations up to 500 ms the process increments must be proportional to the real time increments at least in the 500 ms time snippets. But since the measurements are happening at any moment, these 500 ms snippets will be overlapping each other and covering the whole period when the measurements can occur. Therefore, for the whole duration when the TIP activities occur, the “inner time” process must be (almost) proportional to the real time Fig.6b.

![Fig.6. a. Temporal processing of the events by the brain must be similar to the actual distribution of the events in real time, at least within short time intervals. b. When the intervals of proportionality do not overlap, the process overall can be complex. If the proportionality intervals overlap, the process overall is proportional, with the same slope everywhere.](image)

Let us call the process the Snippet Inner Time, to underline that, though it must be defined and proportional during the whole period of time when the brain input information is time-differentiated (which could be many hours of wakefulness), the measurement of only short time intervals (of few seconds or shorter) is required⁴.

---

⁴ We draw the proportional piece of the graph as ascending for convenience.
On the other hand, Snippet Inner Time, SIT, will be limited and continuous vs the real time, because any physicochemical process that can be implemented biologically is limited and continuous (let us accept this as an axiom). But then it cannot be proportional to the real time all the time we live (unless our life span is very short), Fig.7.

What can be said about the periods when SIT graph on Fig.7 is not linear? If SIT is not proportional to real time, correct interpretation of the “time intervals pinned” input will not be possible. Or, more accurately, the interpretation based on the experience that was learnt with one SIT slope will not be applicable when the SIT slope means something much different.

In general, it seems that any time-dependent experience (not only “time intervals pinned”) learnt when SIT was proportional to the real time cannot be used when SIT means something completely different and, in particularly, when it is descending, because interpretation of SIT will be reversed vs the real time. Such attempt to use this experience would be useless or harmful. Suppose a monkey has learnt that for jumping from a branch to another branch it has to grab the second one to stop the flight. Replaying this experience when SIT reverses in the opposite direction vs the real time, descending on Fig.7, would mean that the monkey would expect that after releasing the second branch it will be returned back to the first one. In reality, something “a little bit” different will happen.

Our activity, based on the experience learnt when SIT was linearly ascending, must be blocked during SIT descending periods. If there is a transition between the ascending and descending periods, when the slope of SIT is very small (SIT “almost stops”), activity must be limited. Are these intervals, shown on Fig.7 in grey and light grey, the periods of sleep and drowsiness?

To summarize, since time-proportional Snippet Inner Time is necessary for our wakefulness activity, sleep is a “mathematical necessity”, because an internal process cannot be proportional to the real time all the time.

Note that the necessity of having SIT does not mean that there are no other time measuring mechanisms inside us, like possible stopwatches that coordinate the muscles movements. There may be many of them. Appendix 2 presents a possible hypothetical implementation of SIT.
SIT shown on Fig. 7 is not only necessary to synchronize the wakefulness activity with the real time, but it seems that its implementation is biologically imaginable.

Snippet Inner Time graph on Fig. 7 is similar to the well-known Process S graph of the wake/sleep cycle, shown on Fig. 8. What is the difference?

![Process S](https://via.placeholder.com/350)

Fig. 8. Process S (sleep drive; sleep-wake homeostasis), [15].

The similarity of the graphs is natural, because both represent the wake/sleep cycle. One confirms another. But there are two principal differences.

First, the Process S is a phenomenological model that generalizes a wide spectrum of the experimental researches, but does not explain why it happens this way. Snippet Inner Time model says that it is the only way how it can be; there is no a way around. It is logically deducted as the only way of how some most critical wakefulness abilities can function.

Second, the Process S value, the sleep drive (graph ordinate), is some overall (integral) characteristics of how we feel. In contrary, the slope (derivative) of the ascending branch of SIT graph reflects the rate at which we operate at any single moment of the wakefulness, at a millisecond level, with the outer world and within ourselves. At the same time the descending branch tells very little, besides that SIT is being reset (“rewind”) to some smaller value – as small as possible during a good sleep – that will be used as a starting point for the next coming wakefulness. Is seems that until the actual mechanism of SIT implementation is discovered not much can be said about the descending branch, though there are indications that some temporal learning occurs even during sleep [16].

Why when we are well awake it is easy to catch a ball, but when we are sleepy we miss? The same real time intervals will be processed as different ones, if the slope of SIT graph on Fig. 7 changes. If for whatever reason the slope of the curve increases significantly, we may even perceive the outer world in a slow motion. If the slope drops to zero, the different in time outer world events will blur into one and we fall asleep, Fig. 9.

---

5 Sleepwalking may be is an indication that during sleep SIT is actually used for balancing.

6 Perception usually is much slower and subjective a thing than our ability to act. The examples could be endless, in particularly in the sport activities, where after some training we move much faster than we can think about. Or, when we are typing on a keyboard, do we really perceive each letter we type? After some training typing is mindless, often not only literally.
Fig. 9. a. Same real time intervals will be processed as different inner time intervals if the slope of SIT changes; b. If the slope much increases a short real time intervals may be perceived as a long one; c. If the slope much decreases different real time events will blur into one.

Does this comply with the earlier suggestion that SIT graph must be linear during wakefulness for the synchronization of the “time intervals pinned” input? There is no a contradiction. First, if the slope changes slowly then within the short (~500 ms) intervals required for the synchronization it still will be linear with the accuracy that may be sufficient for the synchronization. Second, it might be that under some dramatic event the synchronization is momentarily lost, when the slope of SIT curve abruptly changes, Fig. 9b.

Appendix 4. Yawning hypothesis

Wakefulness requires SIT to be growing almost proportionally to the real time. SIT, as any biologically possible process, is bounded. Then, when it reaches its upper limit, the SIT upper limit, there is no a work around and we have to fall asleep. Note, that we might go asleep well before SIT reaches its upper limit, because evolution, when there is nothing to do and we are bored, should have found a way to use this time to set us ready for the future battles. But let us talk about the inverse situation now, something very important is happening at this very moment, something very interesting or life threatening that we do not want or cannot afford to miss, but SIT is reaching its upper limit. If it gets there, we fall asleep and miss the action, or even die. Should not evolution had found some defending tool to prolong wakefulness for a little bit, to pass through this important time?

Let us speculate that yawning is the wakefulness short-time extension tool; that SIT drops a little during the couple of seconds of yawn, which would allow us to keep going for another several minutes without reaching the SIT upper limit, Fig. 10.7

---

7 Another defense would be to move the max inner time up a little. Most likely both is happening; living things are very flexible. It is just not possible to discuss everything at a time.
First, of course, let us see what fits this idea, and then discuss what is known that may contravene it.

What facts are known about yawning?

1. We yawn when we are drowsy but something makes us to delay going to sleep.

2. Yawning “is also found under fearful and stressful situations, as was commonly observed during the First World War, in troops waiting in the trenches for the ‘whistle to blow’ and the order to ‘go over the top’ and confront the enemy. It is also seen in athletes before a competitive race, with actors before going on stage, and in migraine sufferers just before an attack” [17].

3. Yawning is contagious; seeing others yawning may provoke us to yawn.

4. For many of us, when we yawn, our body would shake, especially upper body and arms.

5. If something is said to us when we yawn we miss what it was.

Let us go through this, step by step.

Number 1 is from where we derived the Fig.11 SIT yawning bluff.

Number 2. If we are expecting that something critical is coming would not we want our real inner clock, SIT clock, be “rewound” in full? Usually we try to get a good sleep before an important event. Still the last moment SIT drop, even a small one, can be of essence.

Number 3 is directly derived from 2 and the fact that social animals, like some of us, may take clues from the others about what is going to happen. If they are yawning then maybe something important is coming, so I better get ready too.

Number 4, the shaking. The skeletal muscles work in antagonistic pairs, which allows moving the body parts in opposite directions. Sometimes the movement is tightly controlled by the afferent feedback from the movement to the brain and then back from the brain to the muscles, e.g., when we are threading a needle. But most of the movements have a much looser central control. When my brain commands, “Grab a cup of coffee”, the muscles “know” what to do, first my triceps works for a split second so that my hand accelerates towards the cup, then the biceps

---

8 A “bluff” here means a “cliff”, because of the shape of the Fig.10 graph.
breaks it to a halt, and about 40 other muscles that are directly involved into grabbing work in sync. This is pretty complex, accurate within milliseconds, and this is a learnt behavior [e.g. 18]. What is learnt is timing and strain, when, how long and how hard each muscle has to run to grab the toy. The timing is learnt when SIT curve has some slope, and if SIT slope changes much vs the time of the leaning then the learnt timing can be off. When we are very sleepy grabbing a cup of coffee may result in a spill, and people under the alcohol influence are known to do the same, before their SIT slows so much that they go to sleep.

A few seconds yawn is not long enough to turn around the whole complex central nervous system, so we do not fall asleep and fall on the ground altogether. But since during the yawn SIT slope reverses, as the yawn-bluff graph suggests, then the normal learnt upfront time-based coordination of the antagonistic muscle pairs will fail. The muscles will be moving controlled only by a much longer and slower feedback loop, and a slow feedback in a dynamical system typically results in oscillations. So shaking while yawning seems to supports the yawn-bluff hypotheses.

Lastly, number 5, yawning “deafness”. There are different explanations that suggested that hearing is really suppressed during the yawn, e.g. because the act of yawning activates the tensor tympani muscle. But we do hear during the yawn, we know that something was said, but what was it?

If the yawn-bluff graph is correct then it is clear why while yawning we can’t understand the words we hear. If the temporal sorting is still turned on when SIT is decreasing the incoming sounds unfold inside our brain is the reversed order and we’ll hear the words backwards. If the temporal sorting is turned off during the yawn then all the sounds will mess up together.

Then there are studies that came to the “Conclusions: The data therefore do not support an arousing effect of yawning or a role in regulation of vigilance” [19]. Does this contravene the Fig.10 yawning graph? In the researches, they assess the arousal by comparing the thirty-second samples of the brain waves (EEG) before and after yawns. Other ways of assessing the arousal were reviewed. They found no difference before and after yawns, and came to the quoted conclusion. But if we look at the SIT graph pieces, Fig.10, before and after the yawn, they are expected to be the same, only shifted in time. The SIT yawn-bluff hypotheses does not suggest that after the yawn we become more aroused; it just suggests that yawning delays the onslaught of sleep.

It is interesting that in the same research that comes to the quoted conclusion they refer to another one, where they concluded that within 10 to 20 sec near-yawn intervals there may be transient changes in the brain waves typical for a mix of wakefulness and not deep sleeping (transient decreases in Delta and increases in Theta, Sigma (spindle), and Beta frequencies associated with yawning) [20]. If anything, this maybe confirms that yawning does what a very short sleep would do, which according to the yawn-bluff hypotheses rewinds SIT a little.
Appendix 5. Sleeping hypothesis

If the main (and only?) purpose of sleeping is to rewind the SIT clock then why sleeping appears to be so complex, with different stages, paradoxical rapid eye moment (REM) sleep, several non-rapid eye moment (NREM) stages of sleep, and – most of all – with the amazing sweet dreams and dreadful nightmares?

Let us start with the REM sleep. Very short recap of the experimental observations. “During rapid eye movement (REM) sleep, the eyes move rapidly back and forth under closed eyelids. REM sleep is also known as paradoxical sleep because electroencephalography (EEG) readings taken during this time show high-frequency, small amplitude waves, which are similar to those of a person who is awake. Surprisingly, neuronal activity is high during REM sleep—brain blood flow and oxygen use are actually higher during REM sleep than during intense mental or physical activity while awake! … Heart rate, respiratory rate, and blood pressure increase during REM sleep. In addition, most somatic motor neurons are inhibited during REM sleep, which causes a significant decrease in muscle tone and even paralyzes the skeletal muscles. The main exceptions to this inhibition are those somatic motor neurons that govern breathing and eye movements. REM sleep is also the period when most dreaming occurs.” [21, 22]. Typical distribution of REM sleep over the sleep cycle for a healthy human is shown on Fig.11.

![Fig.11. REM intervals become longer, while NREM shorten during the sleep cycle [23].](image)

It is also known that chemistry-wise REM sleep too behaves about the same as wakefulness. Then, if we accept the adenosine flute implementation of SIT, REM sleep is just a useless waste of time as it does not reset the flute.

Let us look at sleep from the point of view of the following wakefulness. When we wake up, sometimes we are fresh and ready to go, feeling that we had a good sleep. But often we are sleepy, we feel not so good and would want to sleep more. We know very well why the second happens, it is because something woke us up before we are ready. It could be a phone-call in the middle of the night, a toilet call or a tactless interference of the internal circadian clock that ignored that we went to bed very late yesterday. It could be a disturbing dream.

But what wakes us up after we had a good sleep, we are absolutely ready for the full-fledged awakening?
Is this the lucky case when the circadian clock behaved? But we know that if we go to bed earlier than usual and manage to fall asleep we might get up in the middle of the night just because we don’t want to sleep anymore, well before that nasty circadian clock itself wakes up.

How would the brain know that we got enough sleep, that it *is* ready to start the wakefulness run, without the risk of braking the leg or setting the car to “D” instead or “R” when backing out of the garage?

Our brain is a very complex system, most likely the most complex there is around. What is the only known way to get at least some assurance that a complex system will run properly after it is started? The answer is well known, it is to test the system, in an as safe environment as possible.

Then let us hypothesize that REM sleep is a *test-run* that checks if the brain is ready to work properly awake, and see how the hypotheses fits into the known facts.

- *Awake and REM sleep brain waves, EEG, are similar* – fits.

- *Neuronal activity is high during REM sleep, brain blood flow and oxygen use are actually higher during REM sleep than during intense mental or physical activity while awake. Heart rate, respiratory rate, and blood pressure increase during REM sleep* – normally during the test the system is forced to endure a higher load than is expected during the regular use, which adds to the system reliability.

- *Most somatic motor neurons are inhibited during REM sleep, which causes a significant decrease in muscle tone and even paralyzes the skeletal muscles* – if you test a car in a garage, you would set the stick to “N” (clutch off), and then you may run the engine, make it roar, test the lights…

- *The main exceptions to this inhibition are those somatic motor neurons that govern breathing and eye movements* - … and you may let the fan, a/c and the windshield wipers run to test them.

- *REM sleep is also the period when most dreaming occurs* – what is the most amazing about the dreams? They may pop up some old forgotten memories, they may mingle together some completely unrelated facts and experiences. In general, dreams may create any mess and keep processing it as something that makes sense. Isn’t this what a good software tester does? A good tester will be trying any possible input combinations, any stupid things to make sure that the software still will keep running with the messy input and, at least, won’t crash. (Which is, btw, very helpful for the developers.) So dreaming might be just testing of the ability to think about any combinations, any mess (and not go berserk).

Lastly, how does the REM – NREM alternations during the sleep cycle, Fig.11, comply with the “REM sleep is a wakefulness readiness test-run” hypotheses? As was discussed, sleeping is to rewind SIT. Or, if we accept the adenosine flute SIT implementation, to clean the flute. If REM testing of the flute cleanness will find that it is not clean enough, will the brain keep testing, or will it get back to cleaning? Continue testing will be a waste of time and resources, so the brain
will get back to cleaning. Then, after a while, when maybe it became clean enough, REM testing will restart again. This time it will take longer to find the dirty spots, because the flute became cleaner. The cleaning periods, in turn, will become shorter, because the cleaner it is the earlier it may feel that it is clean enough to turn back to the testing. The farther you go the more testing you do and the less fixing remains – pretty typical for the development cycle and, finally, you release the product, or wake up.

So, it appears that interpretation of REM sleep as testing of the brain readiness for the wakefulness activity does comply with the most established known experimental facts.

Now let us turn to NREM sleep, which reverses the biochemical changes of the wakefulness and, in our slang, cleans the adenosine flute. NREM sleep has specific brain waves, with their larger amplitude and regularity. What do these large amplitude and regularity oscillations do?

Let us start with the question, if we want to clean up something, is it enough to put it into a clean environment and wait until it cleans up by itself, or some additional effort is needed to make it faster? The answer is well-known, Fig.12. In theory, we could put the cloth into clean water and wait for few months until it becomes clean, but it may be a little too long a wait.

Any brain receptor state is to some degree stable, otherwise it would not have been identified as a state. Stability means that some effort must be applied to change the state, to move something out of a potential well. When it comes to the adenosine flute cleaning, reopening of all the holes combinations, which will rewind SIT, how does the change of the states of adenosine (or any other) receptors occur? Is it enough to change the adenosine concentration and wait when the number of available $A_1$ receptors changes?

When we look at the larger NREM sleep waves, their amplitude and regularity hints that there is no much subtle meaning behind, not much intelligence. It looks more like doing something indiscriminately in bulk. Could it be that they are just energy excitations needed to expedite the flute cleaning?

Then why there are several types of the waves (slow waves, spindles, K-complexes…)? I, of course, do not have a clue what each specific wave does. But I do know that our washing machine also goes through several washing cycles. On the top of this, if there is a really dirty spot, it is better to do some manual rubbing of it with some stuff that my wife buys, before putting into the machine.
During wakefulness Snippet Inner Time is needed to synchronize – between themselves and vs real time – many different systems, sensory, motor, cognitive…, each consistent of many subsystems. Different mechanisms are needed to provide the synchronization. Resetting/cleaning all these mechanisms may require much more diverse efforts than just washing the cloth, and different types of waves may be are cleaning different mechanisms.

So, could it be that the sleep stages are just brainwashing – literal, receptors-wise – and checking if it is already clean enough? The glymphatic system that works as a “brain sewage”, which during NREM sleep is by an order more active than at any other time [27], also hints to that, because washing requires much drainage. Maybe studying of what is discharged by the glymphatic system can lead to the discovery of what is the actual mechanism of the Snippet Inner Time. The materials that are expeditiously removed by the glymphatic system during NREM sleep must have been gradually accumulating during wakefulness and may be components of SIT clock mechanism.

9 Good cleaning/resetting of a really complex system often requires its (at least, partial) disassembly. So it might be that during NREM sleep some connections between the brain subsystems are “unplugged” and during REM it is assembled back and tested.
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